DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

FY 1999 BUDGET ESTIMATE SUBMISSION



Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Volume I

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I

		<u>Page</u>
	Introduction	1
O-1	O&M Funding by BA/AG	9
OP-5 Narratives:		
Budget Activity 1	Operating Forces	12
Activity Group 11	Air Operations	27
Activity Group 12	Combat Related Operations	59
Activity Group 13	Space Operations	80
Budget Activity 2	Mobilization	97
Activity Group 21	Mobility Operations	98
Budget Activity 3	Training and Recruiting	116
Activity Group 31	Accession Training	125
Activity Group 32	Basic Skills and Advanced Training	137
Activity Group 33	Recruiting & Other Training & Education	157
Budget Activity 4	Administrative and Servicewide Activities	168
Activity Group 41	Logistics Operations	178
Activity Group 42	Servicewide Activities	197
Activity Group 43	Security Programs	218
Activity Group 44	Support to Other Nations	224

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) (\$ in Millions)

Appropriation Summary:

FY 1997	Price	Program	FY 1998 <u>Estimate</u>	Price	Program	FY 1999
Actual	Growth	<u>Growth</u>		Growth	<u>Growth</u>	Estimate
18,564.3	1,101.8	(1,276.1)	18,390.0	147.9	639.1	19,177.0

Description of Operations Financed: The Air Force Operation and Maintenance (O&M) appropriation is the backbone of readiness and sustainability. It directly supports the Air Force capability to develop, train, sustain, and integrate the elements of air and space power to produce: core competencies of air and space superiority, global attack, rapid global mobility, precision engagement, information superiority, and agile combat support. The synergy of these competencies provides the full range of air and space capabilities to combined forces commanders. More specifically, the requested funds allow the Air Force to operate and maintain aircraft, space and related weapon systems; train personnel; operate communications, command and control systems; and purchase supplies, equipment and fuel. O&M resources also directly support essential combat related activities such as intelligence, logistics, weather, air traffic control, search and rescue, operation and maintenance of airfields runways and base facilities, and the working and living environment of Air Force personnel.

Financial requirements detailed in the O&M appropriation request are based on programmed force structure and operating activity levels such as flying hours, deployments, workyears, and scheduled weapon systems maintenance. A critical balance must be maintained within this appropriation, as well as between O&M and other Air Force appropriations to maintain current and assure future readiness. Our primary challenge is to keep our forces ready now and in the future while coping with constrained budgets. With the O&M resource levels in this budget, we can support the joint warfighter's needs across a wide spectrum of conflict. As such, the resources requested are sufficient to support current force structure, field new or modernized systems on schedule, and sustain the infrastructure at minimum levels. In FY 1999, the Air Force remains at approximately the same funding in real terms as in FY 1998. Although the Air Force O&M shows an overall program increase of \$639.1 million in FY 1999; this increase is due mainly to funding transfers. This level of O&M funding represents the minimally acceptable level of funding. Any further reductions to the O&M request will have a direct adverse impact on the Air Force's ability to maintain current levels of force readiness, unless there are specific program or force structure reductions timed so actual reductions yield savings in that same period. We have assumed risks across nearly all programs to build a balance program and protect Air Force modernization. Despite continued program decline, this budget reflects our priority of protecting readiness and sustainability, funding logistics and infrastructure accounts at minimally acceptable levels, and continuing important quality of life initiatives.

Overview:

Funding Responsibility Transfers. Transfers increased Air Force O&M \$+646.1 million in FY 1999. The most significant programs to transfer into O&M include \$+302.1 million for Defense Commissary Operations to allow the services increased flexibility in providing commissary support; \$+210.3 million for the 3 RDT&E bases into O&M to properly align all BOS and RPM funding for Eglin, Edwards and Arnold AFBs and provide consistent programming and greater cost visibility for base infrastructure costs; \$+70.1 million transfers from the Military Personnel Appropriation for actions associated with competitive sourcing; \$+28.0 million for Pentagon Renovation and \$+31.3 million from Air Force Procurement for Contract Logistics Support of RC-135 aircraft.

Quality of Life. A fundamental Air Force tenet is putting its people first. To take care of our people and ensure adequate force structure we accepted increased risk in readiness. Complex weapon systems are not effective without motivated, highly trained and educated Airmen—officers, enlisted, and civilians—who are the center of all we do. Meeting their core needs is the fundamental underpinning to combat readiness. We are concentrating our limited resources on high payoff items that support our people. This budget continues the strong emphasis on recruiting, educating, and training by increasing education programs while developing and keeping a highly skilled workforce. We address the needs of our young Air Force families by increasing the appropriated funds for Child Development Centers. We are also funding family readiness programs to help families cope when the Air Force member is deployed.

Readiness. Air Force Readiness, the ability of our assigned forces to execute their designated mission in the time required, remains a top O&M budget priority. Air and Space forces must maintain a high state of readiness to meet the rapid response time required to support theater commanders' needs. Airlift, tanker, fighter, communications, reconnaissance, and intelligence units are among the first forces required in any warfighting effort. Current Air Force readiness levels allow us to deploy a relevant force rapidly anywhere in the world to respond to a wide spectrum of conflict. To assure this capability continues in the future, this budget request supports continuing OPTEMPO at the current levels. Hours per crew per month are maintained at approximately 19 for fighters, 18 for bombers, 17 for tankers, and 25 for airlift aircraft. Also, the requested level of funding sustains high aircraft mission capable rates.

Force Structure Changes. Force structure changes during this period, while not as significant as in recent years, continue to occur. The active Air Force Fighter Wing Equivalents of combat coded fighter aircraft is now at 12.58. Overall Primary Aircraft Authorized (PAA) increase by 37 (1%) in FY 1999. Major PAA changes are associated with the bomber fleet, trainers and airlift. The Air Force continues the buy back of B-1s from attrition reserve as the B-1completes its full conventional bomber reorientation. Other changes include the addition of 22 T-1A Jayhawk for Specialized Undergraduate Pilot Training. Under this training track, T-38B aircraft are being supplemented by the new and less expensive T-1A Jayhawk. We are also continue to buy back T-37 and T-38 from attrition reserve to meet increased pilot production requirements. We will also receive delivery of two additional C-32 aircraft for Presidential Fleet support. Our flying hours remain level from previous years, even with the net

decline in total PAA. Military manpower remains relatively constant. Civilian manpower declines by over two thousand (-2%) after discounting the RDT&E transfer. The intercontinental ballistic missile fleet remains level at 50 Peacekeeper and 500 Minuteman IIIs.

Force Sustainment. The Air Force has continued to emphasize Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM) funding. This budget request complies with Congressional direction to identify Depot Maintenance in separate and distinct subactivity groups. We have broken depot maintenance out into four distinct subactivity groups, one in each budget activity. In addition, this budget request funds the program at 83 percent of requirements in real terms, which is comparable to FY 1998 levels. Congressional increases in FY 1998 and additional Air Force funding in FY 1999 were provided to address the additional aircraft maintenance requirements of the KC-135 due to over 3,800 added hours per PDM to address extensive corrosion problems on all models. Despite these funding increases, there remains an unfinanced executable requirement of \$323 million—representing the deferral of 25 airframes, 106 engines, and work on missiles, exchangeables, and other major end items.

Contingency Funding. FY 1997 marked the first year the DoD budgeted for contingency funding in the President's Budget submission. In FY 1998, with the Air Force continuing to provide a full range of theater air capabilities in Bosnia, as well as Southwest Asia, the budget request included funding for these contingency operations. The FY 1998 Appropriation Act transferred funding for Southwest Asia from the Air Force to the Contingency Operations Transfer Fund. Included within this amount were the incremental costs for flying hours, airlift, rotational travel, and site operations costs for the Southwest Asia operations. In FY 1999, funding requirements are included in the Contingency Operations Transfer Fund.

Bomber Force Upgrades. Bomber modernization is critical to Air Expeditionary Force (AEF) development, and fulfilling the Air Force core competency of Global Attack—the ability to project power rapidly, precisely, and globally. Whether employed from the CONUS, or at higher sortie rates from in-theater, bombers provide lethality to an AEF with large payloads of direct attack and stand-off weapons. The Air Force continues to improve the bomber fleet conventional response by extending precision capabilities into the night, all-weather realm, and with greater standoff capabilities. The B-1 bomber, originally designed as a nuclear weapons delivery platform, is undergoing the Conventional Mission Upgrade Program to transition to a conventional only platform. Likewise, advancements in the B-1's weapons carriage to include Joint Direct Attack Munitions (JDAM) will improve platform lethality. The B-2 stealth bomber makes a unique contribution to global power projection. The original B-2 Block 20 airframe provided a quantum leap in warfighting capability. These aircraft incorporated the first generation of avionics systems to include the Terrain Following (TF) and Global Positioning System satellite navigation capability. The Air Force has begun upgrading to the Block 30 airframe to baseline the airframe's full low observable and combat mission potential. Additionally, on-board systems such as the TF, communications, situation awareness and targeting will reach full maturity. By FY 2000 all B-2s will be upgraded to Block 30 and be designated "fully deployable."

Space Superiority. A robust space launch capability is essential for maintaining Air Force Core Competencies related to space. Space launch provides access to space for essential navigation, communications, weather, intelligence, and missile warning systems required to support the warfighters and other Air Force core competencies. Titan launch vehicles continue to deliver heavy payloads such as Defense Support Program, MILSTAR, and other National User satellites into orbit in support of national security objectives. Atlas and Delta launch vehicles provide capabilities

for Global Positioning System (GPS) satellites and Defense Satellite Communications Systems. In addition, FY 1999 begins the first year of Space Based Infrared System (SBIRS) operation. SBIRS, which replaces the Defense Support Program, consolidates DoD's non-imaging infrared systems into a single overarching architecture that fulfills the nation's security needs in the areas of missile warning, missile defense, technical intelligence, and battlespace characterization. This newly emerging technology allows commanders to verify an adversary's technical ability, strength, and disposition.

Information Superiority. Success in the 21st century will require that we rely more on the ability to use and protect our information technology. The pace and volume of information enabled by modern technology provides advantages to the nation's military forces—but with these advantages come vulnerabilities as well. The budget continues funding for the Air Force's Information Warfare Squadron which ensures we can protect our own information systems, both in garrison and when deployed, as we develop the ability to attack those of our adversaries. The Air Force is also exploiting new capabilities in systems such as the U-2, Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS), Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS), and Rivet Joint. These aircraft are in constant demand around the world today, as our Joint Force Commander's seek to gain the information superiority that they need to execute their mission. The Air Force is exploiting these new capabilities, and will be standardizing our network of linked weapons, intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, and command and control platforms to increase our commander's situation awareness. Another significant system for the Air Force has been the Predator Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV). The Predator has been a workhorse over Bosnia and has provided a wealth of real-time information to our joint forces commanders. Beginning in FY 1999, our first UAV squadron will reach Initial Operational Capability.

Logistics and Infrastructure. While OPTEMPO and mission capable rates will be sustained in FY 1999, the Air Force balanced the program by imposing significant efficiencies and accepting risk in the logistics and infrastructure accounts. Depot Level Reparables are again funded at 95 percent of requirements. Further, although real property maintenance backlogs have grown, funding constraints forced us to reduce funding to the preservation maintenance level (PML). Within this funding level, the Air Force can meet the majority of critical facility and infrastructure requirements and only fund essential recurring maintenance requirements. The resources will only support day-to-day maintenance (such as periodic maintenance of heating, ventilating, and air conditioning, pavement, water and sewer distribution systems) to continue the existing life cycle of real property facilities and infrastructure. The backlog of requirements grows to \$3.9 billion in FY 1999.

<u>Force Protection.</u> The Air Force continues to institute an aggressive series of force protection measures throughout the United States and Central Command area of responsibility. After careful review, the Air Force identified shortfalls in the areas of physical security, site improvement, security forces/technicians, security and investigative matters, and intelligence/counterintelligence. To help us combat this increased terrorist threat, we stood up a field organization at Lackland AFB, TX, called the Air Force Security Forces Group. This organization integrates force protection programs and provide trained and ready forces capable of deploying base force protection capabilities. We also have a force protection battle lab contained within the organization that focuses on exploring and integrating technology, tactics, and training to increase our force protection readiness.

Competitive Sourcing. Responding to DoD direction, our competitive sourcing program builds upon our successful A-76 program. Our fundamental objective is to reduce infrastructure, increase the role of the private sector, and still meet mission requirements. Our intent is to maintain military control of the mission, while improving quality, reducing costs, and identifying savings that can be applied toward future modernization. We have established general guidelines that Air Force organizations must use to determine areas that are not inherently governmental, military essential, or legislatively protected as candidates for competitive sourcing. We identified opportunities in base support, depot maintenance, and training and education areas. The anticipated savings are reflected throughout the budget request.

Narrative Explanation of Major Changes by Budget Activity:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Budget Activity 1: Operating Forces	9,636.1	9,683.0	9,909.8

This budget activity consists of three activity groups: Air Operations, Combat Related Operations, and Space Operations. These funds provide support for fighter, bomber, and missile forces assigned to Air Force operational commands. They also provide global command, control, and communications; the capability to launch payloads into various earth orbits; and a worldwide network of sites and terminals to relay data gathered by satellites. Also included in this budget activity are unique missions such as combat test and training fighter aircraft; electronic warfare aircraft; Tactical Air Control aircraft; command, control and communications, including the Airborne Warning and Control aircraft; Dissimilar Air Combat Training aircraft; support ranges; and command and base support personnel and activities.

TX7 100F

TX7 1000

TX 1000

The FY 1999 budget of \$9,909.7 million includes price increase of \$+38.4 million, program increases of \$110.3 million and functional transfers in of \$+79.1 million. Major functional transfer increases include \$+31.3 million from the Military Personnel Appropriation for functions deemed "not military essential" that are under study to be either contracted out or converted to in-house civilian labor according to the rules of OMB Circular A-76; \$+38.6 million from Aircraft Procurement for Contractor Logistics Support (CLS) of RC-135 and Compass Call aircraft; and \$+11.2 million for information infrastructure transfer to align the program where the personnel funding executes. The major functional transfer decrease is \$-7.2 million to centralize civilian PCS.

Major Program Changes FY 1998 - FY 1999

• The Flying Hour funding increase of \$51.9 million primarily reflects planned DLR factor adjustments in FY 1999 for the B-2, B-1, F-15E, and F-16 aircraft.

- Program funding for the F-15 increases by \$23 million for the Distributive Mission Training (DMT) initiative that converted flying hour funding to simulators. Under the DMT initiative simulators will provide interactive, real time, combat mission training capability at designated operational locations throughout the Air Force.
- A \$23.0 million increase for Site licenses for COTS software, contract system administration, and hardware maintenance; realignment into Global Command & Control Systems (GCCS) to establish a single program line; and realignment into Global Broadcast System prior to Milestone II approval with offsetting decreases reflecting the deactivation of communication circuits.
- A \$37.0 decrease for estimated savings which will be achieved by reducing costs through increased competition and expanded employee/private sector participation. These savings have been realigned from Air Force O&M to Air Force modernization accounts.

Budget Activity 2: Mobilization FY 1997 FY 1998 FY 1999 2,899.9 2,840.6 2,808.9

The mission of Air Force Mobility Operations is to provide global mobility through strategic and theater airlift to support peacetime, contingency, and wartime operations in pursuit of national objectives. The rapid movement and sustainment of United States combat forces anywhere in the world to deter aggression or provide humanitarian/peacekeeping assistance is a major instrument of United States national security policy. The Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS), the military services, other Department of Defense (DoD) and government agencies, depend heavily on Air Force Mobility operations for essential cargo and troop movements in support of a variety of missions. Successes in Desert Storm and humanitarian assistance efforts amplify the importance of our Mobility force projections. The financial resources requested in this budget provide the minimum levels essential in meeting national objectives. Key mission areas discussed in this budget include Airlift Operations; Airlift Operations Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence (C3I); Mobilization Preparedness; and Payment to the Transportation Business Area.

The \$2,808.9 million requested for FY 1999 includes a price change of \$-15.0 million, a program decrease of \$-36.8 million and transfers in of \$+20.2 million. The major transfers in include: information infrastructure of \$+9.3 million, combat controller of \$+5.7 million, and the transfer of diagnostic software equipment requirements to the lead command for \$3.3 million

Major Program Changes FY 1998 - FY 1999

- Resources decrease \$-15.2 million due to the effect of recovering FY 1997 revenue and expense imbalances.
- Base Operating Support decrease of \$-9.1 million reflects estimated savings which will be achieved by reducing costs through increased competition and expanded employee/private sector participation. These savings have been realigned from Air Force O&M to Air Force modernization accounts.

FY 1997 1,587.0 FY 1998

1,651.8

FY 1999

1,698.5

Budget Activity 3: Training and Recruiting

The funds requested for this budget activity support three broad mission areas: Accession Training, Specialized Skills and Flight Training, and Recruiting & Other Training and Education. Accession Training operations produce the enlisted and officer personnel needed to meet total force requirements. Officer accessions receive indoctrination training through the United States Air Force Academy (USAFA), Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps (AFROTC), Officer Training Squadron (OTS), and Airmen Education and Commissioning Program (AECP). Specialized Skills provide Air Force personnel (and individuals of other services) training and education essential to operate, maintain, and manage complex Air Force weapon systems and associated support structure. Flying training programs include flight screening, undergraduate pilot training, specialized undergraduate and advanced navigator training, pilot instructor training (PIT), and undergraduate helicopter training. Other training programs cover initial and follow-on technical skill progression training, professional military education, specialized professional development, and related training support.

The \$1,698.5 million requested for FY 1999 includes a price change of \$+24.5 million, a program increase of \$+7.0 million, and functional transfers in of \$+15.2 million. The major functional transfer is \$+15.6 million from the Military Personnel Appropriation for functions deemed "not military essential" that are under study to be either contracted out or converted to in-house civilian labor according to the rules of OMB Circular A-76.

Major Program Changes FY 1998 - FY 1999

- An increase of \$26.1 million supports increased Specialized Undergraduate Pilot Training (SUPT) production by 50 pilots per year to reach a pilot production goal of 1,100 pilots per year by FY 2001. In addition, the Air Force expanded the Air Force Reserve Instructor Pilot program and converted Flight Screening instructors at the United States Air Force Academy from military to contract instructors.
- Furniture buys for the Air Force Academy and new OTS training facility result in an increase of \$6.3 million.
- Base support decreases \$-7.6 million for estimated savings which will be achieved by reducing costs through increased competition and expanded employee/private sector participation. These savings have been realigned from Air Force O&M to Air Force modernization accounts...
- Civilian Personnel decrease by \$-15.0 million (300 civilian workyears) as directed by the National Performance Review and civilian workforce consolidations.

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Budget Activity 4: Administration & Servicewide Activities	4,441.3	4,214.6	4,759.7

This budget activity funds four broad mission areas: Logistic Operations, Servicewide Support, Security Programs, and Support to Other Nations. Logistics Operations includes Air Force Logistics Operations, Technical Support Activities, Servicewide Transportation, and Base Support. It primarily funds the operation of Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC), which provides Air Force-wide cradle-to-grave acquisition and logistics support and comprises 90 percent of the resources in this activity group. The Servicewide Activities cut across the entire Air Force to ensure combat capability and maintain readiness, effective leadership, efficient management, and adequate support to Air Force units and personnel in diverse geographic locations. Much of this is accomplished via a number of highly specialized and unique Air Force organizations. The Security Programs includes the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) and a series of classified programs. Finally, the Support to Other Nations activity group provides support to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO); Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE); North Atlantic Treaty Organization, other international headquarters.

The \$4,759.8 million requested for FY 1999 includes a price change of \$+100.3 million and a program decrease of \$-88.0 million. The net total of functional transfers is \$+531.8 million. The transfers in include \$302.1 million for Defense Commissary Operations to allow the services increased flexibility to provide commissary support; \$210.3 million for the 3 RDT&E bases to properly align all BOS and RPM funding for Eglin, Edwards and Arnold AFBs and provide consistent programming and greater cost visibility for base infrastructure costs and \$+28 million as Pentagon Renovation returns to O&M from Defense-wide.

Major Program Changes FY 1998 - FY 1999

- Anticipated savings of \$-29.5 million for estimated savings which will be achieved by reducing costs through increased competition and expanded employee/private sector participation. These savings have been realigned from Air Force O&M to Air Force modernization accounts.
- Program reduction of \$-29.5 million reflects a return to preservation maintenance level of funding in FY 1999 after a one-time FY 1998 Congressional add for real property maintenance quality of life projects.
- A \$21.0 million decrease in Logistics Operations which results from a one time Congressional add for REMIS (\$8,900); anticipated reduced payments to Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) (\$6,559); a decrease in anticipated reimbursements (\$5,524).

O-1 Exhibit

FY 1999 President's Budget Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
	AIR OPERATIONS	6,972,507	7,247,046	7,400,896
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,842,072	2,211,234	2,311,299
20	PRIMARY COMBAT WEAPONS	255,604	234,264	236,147
30	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	175,050	179,847	196,036
40	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	547,895	586,406	562,839
45	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	856,882	1,115,780	1,022,087
50	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	1,027,121	883,537	958,706
60	BASE SUPPORT	1,635,580	1,497,367	1,538,126
65	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	632,303	538,611	575,656
	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS	<u>1,536,112</u>	<u>1,351,926</u>	<u>1,385,350</u>
70	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	741,555	640,103	669,379
80	NAVIGATION/WEATHER SUPPORT	121,031	117,142	118,337
90	OTHER COMBAT OPS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	249,286	220,027	221,593
100	JCS EXERCISES	40,144	30,237	30,521
110	MANAGEMENT/OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	173,691	108,542	117,540
120	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	210,405	235,875	227,980
	CDACE ODEDATIONS	4 407 470	4 004 004	4 400 405
130	SPACE OPERATIONS LAUNCH FACILITIES	<u>1,127,479</u> 249,138	1,084,064 208,374	1,123,425 221,046
140	LAUNCH VEHICLES	249,136 96,259	93,263	102,064
150	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	233,497	246,902	246,940
160	SATELLITE SYSTEMS	26,894	29,968	36,152
170	OTHER SPACE OPERATIONS	98,955	79,929	85,292
180	BASE SUPPORT	308,624	300,521	309,406
185	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	114,112	125,107	122,525
100	WINTERWARDE OF INEMETINOS EIGHT	117,112	120,107	122,020
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:	9,636,098	9,683,036	9,909,671

Exhibit O-1 9

O-1 Exhibit

FY 1999 President's Budget

Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS	<u>2,899,889</u>	<u>2,840,589</u>	<u>2,808,949</u>
190	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	1,466,987	1,361,902	1,326,774
200	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS C3I	15,555	11,661	21,676
210	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	271,895	111,101	134,807
215	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	200,965	292,345	316,485
220	PAYMENTS TO TRANSPORTATION BUSINESS AREA	400,886	514,000	470,000
230	BASE SUPPORT	402,001	401,723	390,876
235	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	141,600	147,857	148,331
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2:	2,899,889	2,840,589	2,808,949
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING	200,899	204,987	205,044
240	OFFICER ACQUISITION	48,718	50,029	57,679
250	RECRUIT TRAINING	4,578	3,815	4,360
260	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	49,509	45,162	46,522
270	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	53,814	55,824	58,828
275	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (ACADEMIES ONLY)	44,280	50,157	37,655
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING	<u>1,151,473</u>	1,221,279	<u>1,264,253</u>
280	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	205,916	198,273	215,477
290	FLIGHT TRAINING	318,456	384,395	406,940
300	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	75,531	88,041	90,709
310	TRAINING SUPPORT	66,754	62,861	65,742
315	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	13,363	16,560	12,186
320	BASE SUPPORT (OTHER TRAINING)	369,984	373,029	370,961
325	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (OTHER TRAINING)	101,469	98,120	102,238
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION	<u>234,597</u>	<u>225,554</u>	<u>229,246</u>
330	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	61,881	55,000	54,775
340	EXAMINING	1,431	2,084	2,668
350	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	80,596	81,095	84,122
360	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	65,652	62,714	61,124
370	JUNIOR ROTC	25,037	24,661	26,557
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:	1,586,969	1,651,820	1,698,543

Exhibit O-1 10

O-1 Exhibit

	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIPE 995 THE St's Budget	<u>FY 1997</u>	FY 1998	FY 1999
	Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2 200 000	2 252 204	2 525 050
200	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	<u>2,260,069</u>	<u>2,353,294</u>	<u>2,535,950</u>
380	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	740,475	725,328	706,893
390		363,275	396,868	389,685
400	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	211,188	205,152	196,178
405	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	57,566	82,781	69,344
410	BASE SUPPORT	680,598	726,744	916,165
415	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	206,967	216,421	257,685
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	<u>1,674,118</u>	1,332,284	1,653,027
420	ADMINISTRATION	133,621	125,984	140,879
430	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	277,636	288,452	234,065
440	PERSONNEL PROGRAMS	84,874	104,563	127,718
450	RESCUE AND RECOVERY SERVICES	46,075	52,390	48,466
470	ARMS CONTROL	25,947	28,363	30,005
480	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	877,460	524,779	517,780
490	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	29,292	31,045	31,828
500	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	19,785	17,520	13,927
	COMMISSARY OPERATIONS	0	0	302,071
510	BASE SUPPORT	155,102	136,727	180,221
515	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	24,326	22,461	26,067
	SECURITY PROGRAMS	<u>493,878</u>	<u>513,466</u>	<u>557,256</u>
520	SECURITY PROGRAMS	493,878	513,466	557,256
	SUPPORT TO OTHER MATIONS	42 275	45 544	12 600
500	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS	<u>13,275</u>	<u>15,514</u>	<u>13,608</u>
530	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	13,275	15,514	13,608
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	4,441,340	4,214,558	4,759,841
	TOTAL, O&M, AIR FORCE	18,564,296	18,390,003	19,177,004

Exhibit O-1

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: This budget activity consists of three activity groups: <u>Air Operations</u>, <u>Combat Related Operations</u>, and <u>Space Operations</u>. The resources requested are for fighter forces assigned to Pacific Air Forces, Air Combat Command (ACC), and the United States Air Forces in Europe, as well as bomber and missile forces assigned to ACC. These funds will provide support for combat, test, and training fighter aircraft; bomber aircraft; strategic and other missiles; electronic warfare aircraft; Tactical Air Control aircraft; command, control and communications, including the Airborne Warning and Control aircraft; Dissimilar Air Combat Training aircraft; support ranges; and command and base support personnel and activities. Also supported are the United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM); the Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center, Air Force Special Operations Command, JCS Exercises, Chemical and Biological Defense activities, Tactical Reconnaissance and Electronic Warfare, Tactical Intelligence and Special Activities, United States Central Command, Joint Deployment Agency, counterdrug operations, and the Joint Communications Support Element.

The <u>Air Operations</u> activity group consists of the front-line fighters and bombers of the Air Force. Included are the B-52, B-1, B-2, F-15, A-10, F-16, and F-117. Also included are the Peacekeeper and Minuteman Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles (ICBMs), the helicopters that support them, plus the missiles that are subsystems of fighter and bomber aircraft. Combat enhancement forces enhance the effectiveness of other weapon systems employed. They include Electronic Warfare (EF-111) assets, High Anti-Radiation Missile (HARM) and Harm Targeting System (HTS), Tactical Air to Ground Missile, Joint Services Imagery Processing System (JSIPS), Compass Call (EC-130H) aircraft, Common Electronic Countermeasures Equipment, Mission Planning Systems, Electronic Combat Support, and Special Operations Forces. Air operations training supports activities related to combat crew and advanced tactical training for all fighter and missile aircrews. Combat communications provides support for air weapons control systems and tactical air control systems such as: forward air control posts, tactical air control centers, air support operations centers, and airborne command and control systems.

The <u>Combat Related Operations</u> comprise the support elements for combat forces and provide for global command, control, communications (C3), intelligence gathering, early warning, training, evaluation, management oversight, weather and air traffic control capabilities. The forces employ a wide range of assets to accomplish this myriad of missions supported through the following programs: the Strategic Offensive C3I, the National Military Command Center, the National Emergency Airborne Command Post, and the Minimum Essential Emergency Communications Network. The remaining components of this mission grouping consist of survivable communication links for Ground Entry Points, Minuteman/Peacekeeper Missile Wings, and technical support for current USSTRATCOM command and control programs and improvements.

The <u>Space Operations</u> provide for the launch of payloads into various earth orbits; the command, control and communication with these space based platforms; and a worldwide network of sites and terminals to relay data gathered by satellite constellations. Other programs include personnel and infrastructure support for the manpower and facilities used to execute these missions. These include launch facilities at Vandenberg, AFB, CA and Cape Canaveral AFS, FL; launch vehicles such as Delta II, Atlas E, Atlas II, Titan II and Titan IV; space control systems such as the Satellite Control Network and the Air Force Satellite Control Network; and satellite systems such the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program and the Navstar Global Positioning System.

Resources provide for operation of the forces in this budget activity, and include manpower authorizations, peculiar and support equipment, necessary facilities and the associated costs specifically identifiable and measurable to the activity groups described herein.

Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below.

Utility Systems Operation Installation Equipment Maintenance Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction of

> Aircraft Runways Missile Silos Aircraft Maintenance Complexes Roads

Dormitories Environmental Compliance

Engineering Services

Fire Protection Crash Rescue Custodial

Refuse Collection Snow Removal Security Forces of Protection

Aircraft Missiles

Real Property Buildings

Equipment Personnel Air Base Operability

Explosive Ordinance Disposal

Ground Transportation

Operational Readiness

Other Support

Base Communication Services Essential Data Processing Services

Lease of Real Property

Personnel support includes housing services for unaccompanied and deployed forces; child care and family support centers; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services to Air Force personnel and their families.

II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Squadrons (Aircraft/Missiles)	113	112	109
Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA)	1,706	1,688	1669
Total Aircraft Inventory (TAI)	2,047	2,014	1,998
Strategic Missiles (Minuteman & Peacekeeper)	580	550	550
Flying Hours	624,061	606,085	594,301
Military End Strengths	165,092	161,021	159,908
Civilian End Strengths	31,184	29,211	29,207

II. <u>Force Structure Summary:</u>

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Operational Launch Pads:			
Eastern Range:			
Air Force	6	6	6
NASA (Shuttle)	2	2	2
Western Range:			
Air Force	3	3	3
NASA	1	1	1
Satellite Control Network (SCN): Antennas	15	15	15
Control Nodes	2	2	2
Satellite Operations Centers	8	2 Q	2
	0	0	0
Spacecraft Checkout facilities (Transportable)	1	1	1
Satellites in orbit	2	2	2
Global Positioning System (GPS)			
Satellites in orbit	24	24	24

II. Force Structure Summary Con't:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Over-The-Horizon Radar System - Sectors (Limited Operations & Cold Storage)	2	2	2
NORAD Cheyenne Mountain Complex	1	1	1
Air Force Satellite Communication (AFSATCOM) Network Operations	7	7	7

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

<u> </u>	_		FY 1998		
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. Activity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Request</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Request</u>	Estimate
	A - 0 - 2 - 0 -	A- 0 4 4 0 - 0	*=		 400 00 c
Air Operations	\$6,972,507	\$7,364,973	\$7,233,673	7,247,046	\$7,400,896
Combat Related Operations	1,536,112	1,440,090	1,425,638	1,351,926	1,385,350
Space Operations	<u>1,127,479</u>	<u>1,169,575</u>	<u>1,170,727</u>	1,084,064	<u>1,123,425</u>
Total	\$9,636,098	\$9,974,638	\$9,830,038	\$9,683,036	\$9,909,671
B. Reconciliation Summary			Change		Change
			\underline{FY}		$\underline{\text{FY}}$
			1998/1998		1998/1999
Decaling Funding			¢0 074 629		¢0.692.026
Baseline Funding			\$9,974,638		\$9,683,036
Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)			-144,600		0
FY98 Budget Amendment			-40,885		0
Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)			-208,064		0
Reprogramming/Transfer			-6,430		0
Price Change			0		38,404
Functional Transfers			-29,542		79,066
Program Changes			<u>137,919</u>		<u>109,165</u>
Current Estimate			\$9,683,036		\$9,909,671

C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands)</u>:

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request		\$9,974,638
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	\$-144,600	
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount		\$9,830,038
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment	\$-40,885	
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed) \$+23,000 1) Real Property Maintenance \$+23,000 2) Chemical/Biological Defense - PACOM \$+10,000 3) Other Contracts Program Growth \$-76,598 4) QDR Civilian Reductions \$-30,320 5) Section 8041 CAAS Reductions \$-30,079 6) Revised Economic Assumptions \$-28,442 7) Foreign Currency Fluctuation \$-22,808 8) High Risk Automated Systems \$-15,675 9) Section 8105 Excess Inventory \$-15,470 10) TDY Expenses \$-13,729 11) Civilian Personnel Under Strength \$-4,268 12) Section 8035E FFRDCs \$-3,675	\$-208,064	
3.	Reprogramming Transfer		\$-6,430
	a. Transfers In	\$-6,430	

	b. Transfers Out	\$-8,430 5-7,985 \$-445	
4.	Functional Program Transfers		\$-29,542
	' I	\$+6,724 +4,596 +2,128	
	2) CDA Customer Identification	\$-36,266 26,648 5-4,343 5-4,158 \$-714 \$-403	
5.	Program Increases		\$+331,723
	a. Contingency Realignment b. Base Communications c. CAF Pilot Production d. Force Protection - Base Physical Security e. Environmental Programs f. Civilian Pay g. Combat Related Operations Civilian Pay h. Real Property Services i. Classified Program j. Real Property Maintenance k. NBC Defense Program	\$+224,002 \$+15,263 \$+15,300 \$+12,100 \$+8,564 \$+8,043 \$+5,768 \$+8,533 \$+4,355 \$+3,476 \$+3,100	

	Combat Related Operations ADP	\$+3,039	
	m. Information Warfare (IW) Support	\$+2,990	
	n. Squadrons	\$+2,612	
	o. Air Base Ground Defense	\$+1,506	
	p. JFACC Situational Awareness System (JSAS)	\$+1,500	
	q. Strategic Offensive C3I	\$+1,472	
	r. Combat Developments	\$+1,278	
	s. Aerial Targets	\$+1,189	
	t. Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP)	\$+1,134	
	u. Civil Engineer Squadrons Heavy Repair	\$+1,073	
	v. Weather Service	\$+823	
	w. Operational HQ - Space/Space Comm Combat Programs	\$+785	
	x. Other Combat Comm Support	\$+729	
	y. Operational HQ, US Space Command	\$+625	
	z. Air Traffic Control & Landing System	\$+607	
	aa. Space Warfare Center	\$+581	
	bb. Special Operations Forces	\$+392	
	cc. Engineering Installation Support	\$+368	
	dd. Weather/Notice to Airmen Communications	\$+327	
	ee. Air Force-Wide Communications	\$+189	
6.	Program Decreases		\$-193,804
	a. Depot Maintenance	\$-47,936	
	b. Line Item Veto Adjustment to SR-71	\$-30,000	
	c. Flying Hour/Consumption Changes	\$-20,167	
	d. Other Mission Support Changes	\$-17,479	
	e. Real Property Maintenance Programs	\$-14,283	
	f. Congressional Mark Adjustment, Space Operations	\$-11,711	
	g. Combat Related Operations Reduction	\$-9,112	

	h. Manned Reconnaissance System	\$-7,342	
	i. Space Control Systems	\$-7,181	
	j. Strategic Defensive C3I	\$-6,407	
	k. Combat Communications and Combat Weapons	\$-6,388	
	Medium and Titan Space Launch Vehicles	\$-4,321	
	m. Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Operations	\$-2,549	
	n. SIMVAL	\$-1,800	
	o. JFACC Situational Awareness System	\$-1,500	
	p. ICBM Squadrons	\$-1,337	
	q. Range Operations	\$-1,074	
	r. Combat Air Intelligence Systems	\$-671	
	s. Air Force TENCAP	\$-589	
	t. Joint Spectrum Center	\$-526	
	u. Inertial Upper Stage	\$-425	
	v. Mission Evaluation Activities	\$-380	
	w. Management HQ - Space Command	\$-271	
	x. AGM-142 Missile System	\$-220	
	y. Tactical Deception	\$-135	
7.	FY 1998 Current Estimate		\$9,683,036
8.	Price Growth		\$+38,404
9.	Functional Program Transfers		\$+79,066
	a. Transfers In	\$+112,497	
	1) Competitive Sourcing (A-76 Military Actions)\$+37,318		
	2) RC-135/F-117 Spares\$+33,903		
	3) Information Infrastructure Realignment \$+11,231		
	4) AF Studies and Analysis Agency \$+9,744		

4	5) DPEM Decentralization	\$+7,220		
(5) COMPASS CALL	\$+6,300		
7	() Realign Tech Orders to Weapons Systems	\$+3,581		
8		\$+3,000		
Ģ	Classified Program Transfers	\$+200		
b. 7	Fransfers Out		\$-33,431	
]) Joint Spectrum Center	\$-12,523		
2	2) Centralize Civilian Permanent Change of Station (PCS)	\$-7,206		
3		\$-5,713		
4	Long Haul Communications Consolidation	\$-3,488		
4	=	\$-2,814		
(\$-874		
		\$-813		
Progr	am Increases			\$+303,499
a. F	ying Hour Changes		\$+51,951	
			\$+23,499	
			\$+22,983	
			\$+20,837	
			\$+19,840	
			\$+21,230	
			\$+15,688	
h. F	acility Disposal		\$+14,760	
i. Jo	DINT STARS		\$+12,985	
j. B	-52 Squadrons		\$+8,792	
-	-		\$+8,546	
1. C	ombat Communications OPTEMPO		\$+8,539	
m. U	nmanned Aerial Vehicle Operations		\$+7,567	
	b. The state of th	7) Realign Tech Orders to Weapons Systems. 8) GPS IIA ON-ORB SPT. 9) Classified Program Transfers. b. Transfers Out. 1) Joint Spectrum Center. 2) Centralize Civilian Permanent Change of Station (PCS). 3) Combat Controller Transfer. 4) Long Haul Communications Consolidation. 5) 366th Range Squadron. 6) High Frequency Contractor Logistics Support. 7) Centralize Calibration Contract. Program Increases. a. Flying Hour Changes b. F-15 Squadrons. c. Air Force-Wide-Communications. d. Real Property Maintenance Programs. e. Environmental Program. f. Civilian Pay. g. Battle Labs. h. Facility Disposal i. JOINT STARS. j. B-52 Squadrons.	6) COMPASS CALL	6) COMPASS CALL. \$+6,300 7) Realign Tech Orders to Weapons Systems. \$+3,581 8) GPS IIA ON-ORB SPT. \$+3,000 9) Classified Program Transfers. \$+200 b. Transfers Out. \$-33,431 1) Joint Spectrum Center. \$-12,523 2) Centralize Civilian Permanent Change of Station (PCS). \$-7,206 3) Combat Controller Transfer. \$-5,713 4) Long Haul Communications Consolidation \$-3,488 5) 366th Range Squadron. \$-2,814 6) High Frequency Contractor Logistics Support \$-874 7) Centralize Calibration Contract. \$-813 Program Increases. a. Flying Hour Changes. \$+51,951 b. F-15 Squadrons. \$+22,893 d. Real Property Maintenance Programs \$+23,499 c. Air Force-Wide-Communications. \$+22,983 d. Real Property Maintenance Programs. \$+20,837 e. Environmental Program. \$+19,840 f. Civilian Pay \$+21,230 g. Battle Labs. \$+15,688 h. Facility Disposal \$+14,760 i. JOINT STARS \$+12,985 j. B-52 Squadrons. \$+8,549 l. Combat Communications OPTEMPO. \$+8,539

	n. Strategic Defensive C3I	\$+7,083	
	o. Airborne Warning and Control	\$+6,665	
	p. Distributed Common Ground Systems	\$+5,556	
	q. Air Force Strategic Planning	\$+5,402	
	r. Space Warfare Center	\$+5,104	
	s. Range Operations	\$+6,067	
	t. Launch Vehicles	\$+4,747	
	u. Anti-Terrorism	\$+4,529	
	v. Spacetrack	\$+3,427	
	w. Air Base Ground Defense	\$+2,890	
	x. CAF Readiness Ranges	\$+2,297	
	y. HARM Targeting System - Manned Destructive Suppression	\$+2,260	
	z. LANTIRN Program Office Support	\$+1,812	
	aa. Theater Battle Management Core	\$+1,624	
	bb. Common Electronic Counter Measures	\$+1,593	
	cc. Mission Planning Systems	\$+1,135	
	dd. Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP)	\$+2,141	
	ee. Tactical Terminals	\$+1,055	
	ff. Weather Service	\$+895	
11.	Program Decreases		\$-194,334
	a. Depot Maintenance	\$-109,493	
	b. Competitive Sourcing Savings	\$-37,351	
	c. Strategic Offensive C3I	\$-13,537	
	d. Classified Program	\$-12,412	
	e. Manned Reconnaissance Systems	\$-6,789	
	f. Space Control Systems	\$-3,432	
	g. AGM-142 Missile System	\$-2,669	
	h. EF-111 Squadrons	\$-2,581	

	i. ICBM Squadrons	\$-2,380	
	j. Civilian Pay	\$-1,616	
	k. Air Traffic Control & Landing Systems	\$-1,213	
	1. Environmental	\$-571	
	m. Combat Developments	\$-290	
12	EV 1000 Pudget Dequest		\$9.909.671
14.	FY 1999 Budget Request		φ ラ, ラ∪ラ,∪ / 1

IV. Personnel Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	165,092	161,021	159,908	-1,113
Officer	21,153	21,343	21,194	- 149
Enlisted	143,939	139,678	138,714	- 964
Civilian End Strength (Total)	31,184	29,211	29,207	- 4
U.S. Direct Hire	22,490	21,339	21,169	- 170
Foreign National Direct Hire	2,500	2,230	2,265	35
Total Direct Hire	24,990	23,569	23,434	- 135
Foreign National Indirect Hire	6,194	5,642	5,773	131
Military Workyears (Total)	169,019	164,089	161,606	-2,483
Officer	21,740	21,970	21,579	- 391
Enlisted	147,279	142,119	140,027	-2,092
Civilian Workyears (Total)	31,341	29,944	29,472	- 472
U.S. Direct Hire	22,671	21,972	21,442	- 530
Foreign National Direct Hire	2,554	2,274	2,276	2
Total Direct Hire	25,225	24,246	23,718	- 528
Foreign National Indirect Hire	6,116	5,698	5,754	56

Description of Operations Financed: The United States Air Force mission is: "To defend the United States through control and I. exploitation of air and space." Today, the Air Force continues to undergo changes to adapt to the post Cold War world. Many of these changes, presented in this activity group, impact force structure and operational requirements. The total fighter inventory will be restructured and modestly reduced from current levels. These changes will result in a more modern and flexible force of 12.58 active fighter wing equivalents, and just over seven Air National Guard and reserve fighter wing equivalents. In addition, the B-2 program will continue to grow during FY 1997-1999, increasing to 10 PAA in FY 1998. At present, the B-2 bomber is an immature weapon system requiring high levels of sustaining engineering and contractor support. Eight of ten B-2 aircraft initially delivered will also begin the first of a series of block upgrades, with all aircraft eventually upgraded to Block 30. In addition, EF-111 Primary Authorized Aircraft (PAA) will drawdown from 24 to 0 in FY 1998. In the training area, there were overall decreases in both PAA and flying hours, however, funding will increase in support of flying hour, infrastructure, and contractual requirements to enhance fighter lead in, combat crew and advanced tactical training proficiency for increased pilot production. Funding increased to support a Chief of Staff Air Force decision to increase FY 1998 active duty pilot production. As this effort transitioned to FY 1998, the AF will increase the number of pilots by 50 per year until FY 2001 when we reach a sustainment level of 1,100 pilots per year. Of these 50 pilots, 40 of them will go to fighters, accounting for the increase in the CAF Training flying hours. Other changes to force structure include the addition of the Joint STAR E-8C aircraft and phase out of the Minutemen II missiles.

The Air Operations activity group consists of the following seven subactivity groups:

- 1. <u>Primary Combat Forces:</u> This subactivity consists of the front-line fighters and bombers of the Air Force. Included are the B-52, B-1, B-2, F-15, A-10, F-16, and F-117. These resources represent the "tip of the spear" in projecting global power under the Air Force's Global Reach Global Power doctrine. The funds requested will provide a capability to counter a wide range of threats to the U.S. and its allies and to assure a viable deterrent posture. Resources provide for civilian personnel, support equipment, necessary facilities, and the associated costs specifically identified and measurable to: wing headquarters, fighter squadrons, bomber squadrons, organizational avionics, and consolidated aircraft maintenance. The objective is to preserve readiness and functional proficiency through flying and ground operations training with personnel/equipment/systems that will be involved in responding to crisis/contingency/emergency situations.
- 2. <u>Primary Combat Weapons:</u> This subactivity group includes the Peacekeeper and Minuteman Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles (ICBMs), the helicopters that support them, plus the missiles that are subsystems of fighter and bomber aircraft. These subsystems

include: the Short Range Attack Missile (SRAM), the Air Launched Cruise Missile (ALCM), and the Advanced Cruise Missile (ACM). Also included are conventional weapons such as the Harpoon, the Tri-Service Attack Missile, the Standoff Attack Missile, Low Altitude Navigation and Targeting Infrared for Night (LANTIRN) and the Maverick.

- **3.** <u>Combat Enhancement Forces:</u> Consists of assets that enhance the effectiveness of other weapon systems employed. Included are Manned Destructive Suppression aircraft, Tactical Air-to-Ground Missile, Joint Services Imagery Processing System (JSIPS), Compass Call (EC-130H) aircraft, Common Electronic Countermeasures Equipment, Mission Planning Systems, Electronic Combat Support, and Special Operations Forces.
- **4.** <u>Air Operations Training:</u> Supports activities related to combat crew and advanced tactical training for all fighter and missile aircrews. Activities include tactical training exercises and deployments, combat simulation training, and dissimilar air combat training. Funds exercises such as Red Flag, Blue Flag, Green Flag, Maple Flag and Coalition Flag that are designed to simulate real combat conditions; training and aggressor squadron aircraft; range activities, facilities and equipment; and wargaming and simulation programs.
- 5. Combat Communications: Combat Communications provides support for air weapons control systems and tactical air control systems such as: forward air control posts, tactical air control centers, air support operations centers, and airborne command and control systems (including the E-3 AWACS, EC-135K, EC-130E, EC-137 and OA-10s). Also includes Theater Battle Management, Joint STARS support (E-8C), Special Reconnaissance Systems to include the Defense Airborne Reconnaissance Program (DARP) (including R/TC-135s, U-2s, and U-2 ground stations), Tactical Cryptological Activities and Constant Source. The Tactical Air Control System (TACS) provides the Air Force Component Commander (AFCC) and the Joint Force Air Component Commander (JFACC) the capability to plan and conduct theater air operations, including joint US operations and combined operations with allied forces. The TACS supports the Air Force doctrine of centralized control and decentralized execution of air operations. Components of the TACS include the Air Operations Center (AOC); the Wing Operations Center (WOC); non-radar ground-based elements; radar ground-based elements; airborne elements; and the command, control, communications, and computers (C4) to tie it all together. Deployable TACS elements, both airborne and ground-based, contain standard manning and equipment as required by the force projection tenets. They must be mobile and flexible to respond to contingencies across the spectrum of warfare.

- **6.** <u>Base Operating Support:</u> Program funds transportation, security forces, comptroller, staff judge advocate, claims, and personnel organizations; and dining facilities, lodging, contracting services, chaplain, administration, mess attendant and equipment maintenance contracts, postal services, data processing, airfield and air operations, furnishings management, and other authorized service activities.
- 7. <u>Real Property Services:</u> Provides essential installation facility support for purchased utilities, utility plant operations, grounds maintenance, fire protection, crash rescue, snow removal and ice alleviation, entomological services, elevator maintenance/inspection, and rents and leases. Contracted engineering services include custodial services, refuse collection, corrosion control, sewer and waste systems, facility engineering and public works management, other installation engineering services and annual service requirements performed in-house or by contract.

Infrastructure support includes a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving support are listed below.

Utility Systems Operation

Installation Equipment Maintenance

Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction

of Real Property

Aircraft Runways

Missile Silos

Aircraft Maintenance Complexes

Roads

Dormitories

Environmental Compliance

Engineering Services

Fire Protection

Crash Rescue

Custodial

Security Forces Protection

Aircraft

Missiles

Buildings

Equipment

Personnel

Air Base Operability

Explosive Ordinance Disposal

Ground Transportation

Operational Readiness

Other Support

Base Communication Services

Essential Data Processing Services

Lease of Real Property

Refuse Collection Snow Removal

Personnel support includes: housing services for unaccompanied and deployed forces; child care and family support; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services to Air Force personnel and their families.

II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Squadrons (Aircraft/Missiles)	113	112	109
Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA)	1,656	1,642	1,630
Total Aircraft Inventory (TAI)	1,981	1,963	1,956
Strategic Missiles (Minuteman & Peacekeeper)	580	550	550
Flying Hours	606,535	588,456	580,784
Military End Strengths	129,365	126,019	125,865
Civilian End Strengths	24,110	22,056	22,187

III. <u>Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands)</u>:

	•		FY 1998			
		FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A.	Subactivity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	Request	Appropriation	Request	Estimate
	Primary Combat Forces	\$1,842,072	\$2,719,301	\$2,572,801	\$2,211,234	\$2,311,299
	Primary Combat Weapons	255,604	457,939	457,939	234,264	236,147
	Combat Enhancement Forces	175,050	253,099	256,199	179,847	196,036
	Air Operations Training	547,895	617,828	617,828	586,406	562,839
	Combat Communications	1,027,121	981,936	981,936	883,537	958,706
	Real Property Maintenance	632,303	576,409	576,409	538,611	575,656
	Base Support	1,635,580	1,758,461	1,770,561	1,497,367	1,538,126
	Depot Maintenance (1)	856,882	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1,115,780	1,022,087
	Total	\$6,972,507	\$7,364,973	\$7,233,673	\$7,247,046	\$7,400,896

B. Reconciliation Summary:	Change <u>FY 1998/1998</u>	Change <u>FY 1998/1999</u>
Baseline Funding	\$7,364,973	\$7,247,046
Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	-131,300	0
FY98 Budget Amendment	-35,882	0
Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)	-141,877	0
Reprogramming/Transfer	-6,430	0
Price Change	0	-205
Functional Transfers	59,664	70,041
Program Changes	<u>137,898</u>	84,014
Current Estimate	\$7.247.046	\$7,400,896

⁽¹⁾ In accordance FY 1998 DoD Appropriations Act, Depot Maintenance is separately displayed as an 0-1 line item.

C.	Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):		
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request		\$7,364,973
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	\$-131,300	
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount		\$7,233,673
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment	\$-35,882	
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed) \$+15,625 1) Real Property Maintenance \$+49,048 2) Other Contracts Program Growth \$-49,048 3) Foreign Currency Fluctuation \$-20,012 4) Revised Economic Assumptions \$-19,504 5) QDR Civilian Reductions \$-18,013 6) Section 8041 CAAS Reductions \$-17,891 7) Section 8105 Excess Inventory \$-10,193 8) High Risk Automated Systems \$-9,336 9) TDY Expenses \$-8,477 10) Civilian Underexecution \$-2,675 11) Section 8035E FFRDCs \$-2,353	\$-141,877	
3.	Reprogramming Transfer		\$-6,430
	a. Transfers In	\$+2,000	
	1) Civilian Pay Adjustment \$+2,000		

A portion of the Congressional reduction for civilian underexecution $\ was \ realigned to \ RDT\&E$.

	b.		Flying Hour Program AVDLR	\$-7,985	\$-8,430	
			Defense Integrated Military Human Resource System	\$-445		
4.	Fur	ection	al Program Transfers			\$+59,664
	a.	1)	Depot Budget Structure Change	\$+76,646	\$+86,354	
		2)	C-130 Depot Maintenance (DPEM)	\$+4,596		

Resources transfer from SubActivity Group Airlift Operations, to SubActivity Group Combat Communications, in conjunction with an Air Combat Command effort to realign DPEM resources into the programs they actually support.

b.

3)	Information Warfare Squadron	\$+2,984	
4)	Central Design Agency Customer Funding Transfer	\$+2,128	
Tra	C-130 Depot Maintenance/Depot Maintenance Transfer	\$-26,287	\$-26,690

	2)	Information Management (IM)/Communications Merger The IM and Command, Control, and Communications Computer System (SC) functions merged AF-wide 1 October 1996. This merger aligns manpower and resources from IM flights to Base Communications for improved resource management.	\$-403		
5.	Progra	m Increases			\$+284,559
	TI A Tr re m (\$ w	ontingency Realignment		\$+224,002	
	In ac fu F` A su	AF Pilot Production		\$+15,300	
	c. Ba	ase Communications (FY 1998 Base: \$73,559)		\$+15,263	

Increase funding is to purchase a portion of the required Network Management hardware/software, licenses, maintenance contracts, and training to successfully implement DOD communication initiatives (e.g. Air Force Network Control Centers, Defense Message System, and Theater Battle Management Systems).

d.	Environmental Programs (FY 1998 Base: \$127,740)	\$+8,564
e.	Civilian Pay (FY 1998 Base: \$555,445)	\$+8,043
f.	Real Property Services (FY 1998 Base: \$448,012)	\$+5,164

g.	Information Warfare (IW) Support (FY1998 Base: \$53,360)	\$+2,990
h.	B-2 Squadrons (FY 1998 Base: \$255,064)	\$+2,612
i.	Joint Forces Air Component Commander (JFACC) Situational Awareness System (JSAS) This increase reflects the realignment of Congressional funding provided in Activity Group, Combat Related Operations, to Activity Group Air Operations (Theater Battle Management Core C2 System) where the program is executed.	\$+1,500
j.	Other Combat Comm Support	\$+729

\$-31,233

Depot Maintenance (FY1998 Base: \$715,727)..... Depot Maintenance net funding change is based on changes to the following aircraft/systems: **B-52** TF33 engine maintenance requirements increase from 58 to 81 engines due to increased usage of less reliable TF33-3 engines vice TF33-103 engines. The B-52H is equipped with two TF33 engine variants, the TF33-3 and the TF33-103. The TF33-3 is the original engine. This engine underwent a PACER GRADE program (FY 87 - FY 94) to replace "tired iron" in the hot section of the engine, resulting in a "younger" TF33-103 variant of the engine. The Air Force only performed enough -103 conversions to support the programmed force structure. Because the remaining force structure was programmed for retirement, some -3s were never upgraded. There are insufficient -103s to support the entire B-52H force structure. Congressional direction to retain aircraft that were programmed for retirement has forced the Air Force to continue in use the older variant engines for the B-52. (\$+2,634); **B-1** engine requirements increase from 14 to 19 in FY 1998 (\$+1,979); **F-16** Cancellation of SSE-2 and SSE-3 software updates reduced software maintenance requirement. Additionally, GE-129 engine depot maintenance requirements were reduced from 19 to 15 (\$-13,430); A-10 Delay in Block Cycle Change (BCC-01) reduced software maintenance and associated flight testing requirements (\$-5,481); **F-15E** aircraft PDM requirements were reduced by 5 (deferred to FY 1999) and F100-229 engine depot maintenance requirements were reduced by two in FY 1998 (\$-3,428); **B-2** F118 engine

maintenance costs reduced in FY 1998 (\$-2,573); **F-15C/D** residual F100-220 engine maintenance requirements were reduced due to transition from two-level maintenance to three level maintenance (\$-1,517). Special Operations Forces reduced software maintenance requirement in H-53J due to elimination of one Operational Flight Test Program (OFP) update for Enhanced Navigation System (ENS) and in HC-130P/N for OFP deficiency correction due to a change in scope of the Navigation and Display System Block Cycle Change. (\$-2,400); **EF-111** depot maintenance requirements are reduced as the aircraft is retired (\$-4,000); and Comm Electronic Countermeasures Equipment funding was realigned to support higher priorities (\$-1,200). Depot Maintenance funding in Readiness Training Range Equipment for a PDM for mini-MUTES threat emitter system and a training radar set control were postponed in FY 1998 (\$-1,727).

\$-15,663

terminals (+\$4.6 million); Manned Reconnaissance System (-\$3.7 million); other programs net a decrease of \$-0.3 million.

c.	Line Item Veto Adjustment to SR-71 (FY 1998: Base \$0)	\$-30,000
d.	Flying Hour/Consumption Changes (FY 1998 Base: \$1,433,056)	\$-20,167
e.	Other Mission Support Changes (FY 1998 Base: \$135,041)	\$-17,479
f.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base: \$538,611)	\$-14,283

and funding for anticipated "critical mission" impacting real property maintenance requirements (\$-2,861). This decrease does not reflect a decline in requirements which will be deferred to future years.

g.	Manned Reconnaissance System (FY 1998 Base: \$360,092)	\$-7,342
h.	Combat Communications and Combat Weapons	\$-6,388
i.	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Operations (FY 1998 Base: \$3,100)	\$-2,549
j.	ICBM Squadrons (FY 1998 Base \$207,615)	\$-1,337
k.	AGM-142 Missile System (FY 1998 Base \$4,977)	\$-220

Reduces sustainment funding for HAVE NAP. Inventory levels exceed requirements and are adequate for maintenance or replacement of missiles.

7.	FY 1998 Current Estimate				\$7,247,046
8.	Price G	rowth			\$-205
9.	Function	nal Program Transfers			\$+70,041
		nsfers In	\$+33,903	\$+89,899	
	2)	Competitive Sourcing (A-76 Military Actions)	\$+16,950		
	3)	Information Infrastructure Realignment	\$+11,231		

4)	AF Studies and Analysis Agency	\$+9,744
5)	DPEM Decentralization	\$+7,220
6)	COMPASS CALL	\$+6,300
7)	Realign Tech Orders to Weapons Systems	\$+3,581

	8)	Information Warfare Squadron	\$+970	
b.	Tra	Centralize Civilian Permanent Change of Station (PCS)	\$-7,206	\$-19,858
	2)	Combat Controller Transfer	\$-5,713	
	3)	Long Haul Communications Consolidation	\$-3,488	

realigned into Long-Haul Communications program in Activity Group Servicewide Activities.

\$+51,951

F/Hs and \$-23.5M) under a Distributive Mission Training (DMT) initiative that converted flying hours to fund simulators. Under the DMT initiative, simulators will provide interactive, real time, combat mission training capability at designated operational locations throughout the Air Force.

b.	F-15 Squadrons (FY 1998 Base: \$474,090)	\$+23,499
c.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base: \$387,694)	\$+20,837
d.	Environmental Program (FY 1998 Base \$128,799)	\$+19,840
e.	Civilian Pay (FY 1998 Base \$555,445)	\$+17,489

care centers or additions at Kadena, Spangdahlem, RAF Mildenhall, Ramstein, Incirlik, and Langley.

f.	Facility Disposal	\$+14,760
g.	Battle Labs	\$+14,100
h.	JOINT STARS (FY1998 Base: \$74,011)	\$+12,985
i.	B-52 Squadrons (FY1998 Base: \$192,002)	\$+8,792

Planned conventional weapons upgrades to the B-52 continue on track. Increased contractual support is required as new weapon systems such as Joint Direct Attack Missiles (JDAM), Wind Corrected Munitions Dispenser (WCMD), and Sensor Fused Weapons (SFW) come on line.

j.	B-1 Squadrons (FY1998 Base: \$356,399)	\$+8,546
k.	Combat Communications OPTEMPO (FY 1998 Base: \$186,886)	\$+8,539
1.	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Operations Battlelab (FY 1998 Base: \$18,187) Increase is to fund new UAV Battle Lab located at Eglin AFB, FL. Initiative supports AF directive to explore new missions for UAVs (Suppression of Enemy Air Defenses and Communication relays).	\$+7,700
m.	Airborne Warning and Control (FY 1998 Base: \$22,541)	\$+6,665
n.	Distributed Common Ground Systems (FY 1998 Base: \$108,307)	\$+5,556

Increase funds Joint Requirements Oversight Council approved Common Imagery Ground Surface System and incorporates the Imagery Exploitation Support System , upgrades Contingency Airborne Reconnaissance System communication capabilities, and replacement software for Pacific Air Forces Integrated National Exploitation System.

0.	Civilian Pay (FY 1998 Base: \$54,579)	\$+3,741
p.	Theater Battle Management C4I/Core (FY 1998 Base: \$25,357)	\$+3,079
q.	CAF Readiness Ranges (FY 1998 Base: \$58,143)	\$+2,297
r.	HARM Targeting System - Manned Destructive Suppression (FY 1998 Base: \$8,754) Funds an increase in operations and maintenance costs for the F-16 High Speed Anti-radiation Missile (HARM) Targeting System (HTS), in support of the	\$+2,260

manned destructive Suppression of Enemy Air Defenses (SEAD). Major HTS O&M requirements include Contract Logistics Support (CLS) for repairs to the AN/ASQ-213 Pods and test equipment. The HTS pod provides real time, "range known" targeting information for the F-16C Block 50's responsibility for SEAD.

s.	LANTIRN Program Office Support (FY 1998 Base \$1,392)	\$+1,812
t.	Common Electronic Counter Measures (FY 1998 Base \$3,647)	\$+1,593
u.	Mission Planning Systems (FY 1998 Base: \$18,258)	\$+1,135
v.	Tactical Terminals (FY 1998 Base: \$3,300)	\$+1,055

environment for future Theater Battle Management system integration. There was no replacement of workstations in FYs 1997/1998.

a. Depot Maintenance (FY 1998 Base: \$1,115,780)......\$-109,493

B-52 aircraft and engine depot maintenance requirements decrease commensurate with a reduction in B-52 force structure (-\$54,419). **B-1** Aircraft maintenance requirements decrease as the remaining PDMs transition from contract depot maintenance at Rockwell to the organic facility at Oklahoma City Air Logistics Center and Programmed Depot Maintenance (PDM) decrease by 3 in FY 1999 as the aircraft transitions to a 4 year interval. Additionally, the B-1 software maintenance requirements are reduced with the conclusion of the Block D software update in FY 1998. This decrease is partially offset by an increase of 3 engine overhauls in FY 1999 (-\$10,941). **B-2** continues its transition to a sustainment profile with increasing software maintenance requirements. Increasing flying operations and engine operating time is reflected in six more engines projected for depot maintenance in FY 1999 vs. FY 1998. FY 1999 software maintenance requirements are reduced with the conclusion of Block 30.1 software update in FY 1998 (-\$10,044). **Peacekeeper:** Funding realigned to support higher priorities in anticipation of reduced cost for ICBM prime contract. Reduced requirements for aging surveillance (Thiokol, Hercules, Arnold) and refurbishment of missile silos at Vandenberg (-\$7,803). **F-15:** 5 PDMs were deferred in FY99 (-\$5,353) and realigned to higher priorities. Minuteman: Funding realigned to support higher priorities in anticipation of reduced cost for ICBM prime contract (\$-4,806). **F-16**: Maintenance requirements for Service Life Improvement Program (SLIP) decrease from the FY 1998 program as these bulkhead and center fuselage repairs are incorporated. SLIP consists of three Time Compliance Technical Order (TCTO) actions: TCTO 2034, the Fuselage Station (FS) 479 bulkhead

replacement due to cracking; TCTO 2059, engine mount replacement (a fracture critical part) and TCTO 2060, upper fuselage skins rework/repair, which also replaces select longerons, and installs external doublers on some fuselage panels along the aft F-16 fuselage aircraft (-\$3,591). F-15E: 10 PDMs were deferred in FY99 (\$-2,493) to support higher priority requirements. **Special Operations** Forces: MH-53 on condition maintenance (OCM) requirement decreases by one in FY 99 and MH-53 software maintenance requirements are reduced due to fielding the IDAS/MATT modification (-\$2,400). **A-10:** A-10 aircraft paint requirements reduced from FY 1998. FY 1998 included a one-time increase because the work was deferred from FY 1997 due to contract problems in the European theater (-\$2,251). **EF-111**: Depot maintenance requirements are reduced to zero in FY 1999 as the aircraft is retired (-\$2,140). Defense Support Program requirements were transferred from Sacramento Air Logistics Center (SMALC) to Ogden Air Logistics Center (OOALC) which has a lower labor rate. Also, programmed depot maintenance was down-scoped in FY 1999 to due to non-availability of the mission vehicles (-\$1,500). Advanced Cruise Missiles (ACM) and Air Launched Cruise Missiles (ALCM): Reduced requirement for F112 engine overhauls (ACM) as interval is extended from 5 to 6 years (\$-480). **Other funding** realigned to support higher priorities (\$-1,272).

b.	Base Support (FY 1998 Base, \$1,043,036)	\$-30,305
	This decrease reflects additional competitive sourcing savings that will be	
	achieved by reducing costs through increased competition and expanded	
	employee/private sector participation. These savings have been realigned from	
	Air Force O&M to Air Force modernization accounts.	
c.	Manned Reconnaissance Systems (FY 1998 Base \$346,087)	\$-6,789

Reduced logistical support required based on initiatives identified in a cost reduction study performed by the U-2 System Program Office to reduce contractor costs for logistical support of U-2 aircraft.

	d. AGM-142 Missile System (FY 1998 Base \$4,729)	\$-2,669
	e. EF-111 Squadrons (FY 1998 Base \$2,581)	\$-2,581
	f. ICBM Squadrons (FY 1998 Base \$198,108)	\$-2,380
12.	FY 1999 Budget Request	\$7,400,896

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Squadrons (Aircraft/Missiles)	113	112	109
Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA)	1,656	1,642	1,630
Total Aircraft Inventory (TAI)	1,981	1,963	1,956
Strategic Missiles (Minuteman & Peacekeeper)	580	550	550
Flying Hours	606,535	588,456	580,784

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	FY 1998	FY 1999
Base Support			
Total End Strength	59,803	57,471	57,569
Military	43,469	42,485	42,556
Civilian	16,334	14,986	15,013
Total Major Installations	40	40	40
CONUS	27	27	27
Overseas	13	13	13
Total Number of Quarters	126,889	127,733	129,553
Number of Officer Quarters	17,174	17,911	18,006
Number of Enlisted Quarters	109,715	109,822	111,547
Total Number of Vehicles	48,560	47,886	45,611
Owned	47,256	45,700	42,224
Leased	1,304	2,186	3,367
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	150	152	152
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Spaces	22,977	24,655	25,049
Appropriated Fund Support to MWR (\$ Thousands)	147,362	156,354	162,360
Appropriated Fund Support to Bachelor Housing (\$ Thousands)	20,946	21,428	21,910

V. Personnel Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	129,365	126,019	125,865	- 154
Officer	12,759	13,127	13,185	58
Enlisted	116,606	112,892	112,680	- 212
Civilian End Strength (Total)	24,110	22,056	22,187	131
U.S. Direct Hire	15,503	14,267	14,232	- 35
Foreign National Direct Hire	2,456	2,191	2,226	35
e	*	*	*	
Total Direct Hire	17,959	16,458	16,458	0
Foreign National Indirect Hire	6,151	5,598	5,729	131
Military Workyears (Total)	132,456	128,422	127,211	-1,211
Officer	13,134	13,537	13,442	- 95
Enlisted	119,322	114,885	113,769	-1,116
Civilian Workyears (Total)	24,289	22,690	22,266	- 424
U.S. Direct Hire	15,700	14,802	14,319	- 483
Foreign National Direct Hire	2,512	2,234	2,237	3
Total Direct Hire	18,212	17,036	16,556	- 480
Foreign National Indirect Hire	6,077	5,654	5,710	56

- I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: These programs provide global command, control, communications (C3), intelligence gathering, early warning, defensive training, evaluation, management oversight, weather, and air traffic control capabilities. These forces employ a wide range of assets to accomplish the myriad of missions included in six subactivity groups discussed below:
 - A. The Global C3I & Early Warning program is subdivided into three categories:
 - 1. Strategic Offensive C3I: Offensive command, control, communications, and computer (C4) assets comprise the medium by which interconnected airborne and ground based command centers execute the commands for offensive strikes against opposing threats. These assets support the management and employment of Strategic Offensive Forces. This capability relies on systems which are fast, accurate, reliable, secure, resistant to destruction and disruption, and tailored to the needs of the National Command Authority, Commander in Chief, US Strategic Command (USCINCSTRAT), and operational commanders.
 - 2. Strategic Defensive C3I: This group of programs supports the strategic defensive surveillance and tactical warning mission which provides radar surveillance and timely, reliable warning of enemy attack through employment of a worldwide network of assets such as: the Joint Surveillance System (JSS) long range radar sites; the North Warning System (NWS) radars; the North Atlantic Defense System (NADS); the Ballistic Missile Tactical Warning and Attack Assessment (TW/AA) System; the Ballistic Missile Early Warning System (BMEWS); the Sea Launched Ballistic Missile (SLBM) System; and the Over-the-Horizon Backscatter Radar System (OTH-B).
 - 3. Air Force-Wide Communications: These programs support development of a survivable satellite system for worldwide communications for command and control during pre-attack, trans-attack, and post-attack periods. It provides satellite communications terminal facilities and activation of the Milstar Mission Control Segment required for system operations. Also supported in this area is the Global Command and Control System (GCCS), an interconnecting command and control system.
 - B. Navigation programs encompass navigation and control systems common to the DoD mission but not provided by the Federal Aviation Administration and ensures safe, orderly, and expeditious aerospace vehicle movements worldwide. The Weather Program supports the operations, readiness, and sustainment of the Air Force weather forces and space environmental support system.

- C. Other combat operations resources support equipment, necessary facilities and other costs in support of defensive training; civil engineer heavy repair squadrons (RED HORSE); organizations, activities, and procedures structured to provide intelligence and intelligence functional support to USAF Tactical Command and Control, and other USAF tactical force elements; aircraft delivery; ground processing and interpretation of reconnaissance imagery acquired by USAF tactical reconnaissance aircraft; engineering installation support; base physical security systems, (i.e. perimeter detection sensors); chemical and biological defensive equipment items and materials; specialized equipment to mislead hostile intelligence regarding the disposition of USAF tactical forces; combat development activities to support experimentation, tests, projects, evaluations necessary to develop and/or validate new doctrine, material and organization; anti-terrorism programs; and electronic combat intelligence support programs.
- D. JCS exercises provide realistic training required to effectively employ joint combat forces to meet contingencies worldwide. It provides a tangible demonstration of U.S. resolve and a joint readiness capability to project military presence anywhere in the world in support of national interests and commitments to U.S. allies. Joint training with allies provides the necessary interaction to test/evaluate combined systems, lines of communication, technical agreements, and interoperability. The program funds exercise planning and participation.
- E. Management headquarters fulfills the leadership, planning, policy formulation, and administrative functions essential to ensure optimum combat capability, readiness, and morale of the combat and combat support forces assigned. The various headquarters supported include US Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) at Offutt Air Force Base, the NORAD Combat Operations Staff located at Cheyenne Mountain Air Force Base, US Central Command (USCENTCOM) at MacDill Air Force Base, and some elements of Air Combat Command at Langley, Beale, Barksdale, and Vandenberg Air Force Bases.
- F. Tactical Intelligence & Special Activities are classified. Details will be provided upon request.

II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Air Force Global Command and Control System (GCCS) Sites			
Host Sites	17	17	17
Remote Sites	123	173	223
National Airborne Operations Center			
(NAOC) Ground Entry Points	21	21	21
National Military Command System (NMCS)			
Sites	1	1	1
Joint Surveillance System (JSS) Sites	55	55	55
Region & Sector Air Operations			
Centers (RAOCs and SAOCs)	6	6	6
North Warning System	54	54	54
North Atlantic Defense System (NADS)	4	4	4
Ballistic Missile Early Warning System			
Sites (BMEWS)	3	3	3
Sea Launched Ballistic Missile Radar			
Warning Sites (SLBM)	5	5	5

II. <u>Force Structure Summary Con't:</u>

II. Force Structure Summary Con t:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Over-The-Horizon Radar System - Sectors (Limited Operations & Cold Storage)	2	2	2
NORAD Cheyenne Mountain Complex	1	1	1
Air Force Satellite Communication (AFSATCOM) Network Operations	7	7	7
Aircraft/Flying Hours			
PAA TAI Flying Hours	50 66 17,526	46 51 17,629	39 42 13,517
Manpower			
Military E/S Civilian E/S	27,813 3,385	27,931 3,747	27,135 3,737

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1998		
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. Subactivity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	Request	<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Request</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Global C3I & Early Warning	\$741,555	\$712,916	\$712,916	\$640,103	\$669,379
Navigation/Weather Support	121,031	131,608	131,608	117,142	118,337
Other Combat Operations Support	249,286	205,449	207,249	220,027	221, 593
JCS Exercises	40,144	45,306	30,306	30,237	30,521
Mgt Operational Headquarters	173,691	113,400	110,648	108,542	117,540
Tactical Intelligence & Special Activities	<u>210,405</u>	<u>231,411</u>	232,911	<u>235,875</u>	227,980
Total	\$1,536,112	\$1,440,090	\$1,425,638	\$1,351,926	\$1,385,350
B. Reconciliation Summary:			Change		Change
		<u> </u>	FY1998/1998	<u>F</u>	Y 1998/1999
Baseline Funding			\$1,440,090		\$1,351,926
Congressional Adjustments Distributed			-14,452		0
Congressional Adjustments Undistributed			-30,744		0
FY 98 Budget Amendment			-4,905		0
Price Change			0		21,181
Functional Transfers			-54,718		-5,099
Program Changes			<u>16,655</u>		<u>17,342</u>
Current Estimate			\$1,351,926		\$1,385,350

C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget	\$1,440,090
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated	\$1,425,638
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment	
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed) \$-30,744 1) Other Contracts - Program Growth \$-12,146 2). Chemical/Biological Defense - PACOM \$+10,000 3) QDR - Civilian Personnel Reductions \$-5,432 4) Section 8041 CAAS Savings \$-5,368 5) Revised Economic Assumptions \$-4,292 6) TDY Expenses \$-3,407 7) Section 8105 Excess Inventory Savings \$-3,347 8) High Risk Automation Systems \$-2,768 9) Foreign Currency Fluctuation \$-2,741 10) Civilian Personnel Understrength \$-680 11) Section 8035 FFRDC \$-563	
3.	Functional Program Transfers	\$-54,718
	a. Transfers Out	

1)	DPEM Realignment	\$-42,158	
2)	CDA Customer Identification	\$-4,343	
3)	EC 135Y Support to Commander in Chief Central Command (CINCCENT) EC-135Y flying hours and resources transfer from Sub Activity Group Management/Operational Headquarters to Sub Activity Group Airlift Operations to provide a more rapid response to CINCCENT taskings. This transfer also saves EC-135Y flying hours since the aircraft will transfer to MacDill AFB, FL (vice Robins AFB, GA), and become collocated with USCENTCOM headquarters.		\$-4,158
4)	Realign Information Warfare Squadron	\$-2,984	
5)	AETC Standard Systems Group	\$-714	

In accordance with revision of DoDI 4000.19, Interservice, Intergovernmental Base Support Reimbursement, the host command can only charge for the incremental costs generated as a result of providing base support type services to tenants. This transfer reflects the realignment of customer funding from the central design activity to the host base support accounts.

6)	C-130 Command Realignment	\$-361
	CONUS based C-130s transfer from Air Combat Command to Air Mobility	
	and Air Education and Training Commands, beginning in FY 1998, to	
	improve the ability to execute Global Mobility missions, allowing for a	
	seamless mobility system. Accordingly, resources transfer from this	
	Activity Group to Activity Group Mobility Operations.	

4.	Program Increases			
	a.	Force Protection - Base Physical Security (FY 1998 Base, \$20,359)	\$+12,100	
	b.	Combat Related Operations Civilian Pay (FY 1998 Base, \$76,001)	\$+5,768	
	c.	Classified Program Details are classified. Further information can be provided.	\$+4,355	

d.	Nuclear, Biological, Chemical Defense Program (FY 1998 Base, \$5,456)	\$+3,100
e.	Combat Related Operations ADP (FY 1998 Base, \$37,170)	\$+3,039
f.	Air Base Ground Defense (FY 1998 Base, \$12,533)	\$+1,506
g.	Strategic Offensive C3I (FY 1998 Base, \$209,155)	\$+1,472

h.	Combat Developments (FY 1998 Base, \$83,722)	\$+1,278
i.	Aerial Targets (FY 1998 Base, \$2,579)	\$+1,189
j.	Civil Engineer Squadrons Heavy Repair (FY 1998 Base, \$5,508)	\$+1,073
k.	Weather Service (FY 1998 Base, \$73,663)	\$+823
1.	Air Traffic Control & Landing System (FY 1998 Base, \$35,883)	\$+607

Services that requires a certification of instrument approaches be conducted at all airports transited by our aircraft.

m.	Space Warfare Center (FY 1998 Base, \$13,248)	\$+581
n.	Engineering Installation Support (FY 1998 Base, \$963)	\$+368
0.	Weather/Notice to Airmen Communications (FY 1998 Base, \$19,103)	\$+327
p.	Air Force-Wide Communications (FY 1998 Base, \$126,084)	\$+189

Satellite Communications Terminals reduced Defense Purchased Equipment Maintenance requirements for level II organic software maintenance for mission control segment (\$-3,821).

5.	Pro	ogram Decreases		\$-21,120
	a.	Combat Related Operations Reduction	\$-9,112	
	b.	Strategic Defensive C3I (FY 1998 Base, \$377,677)	\$-6,407	

continues, replacing the processing and display portion of the R/SAOC system (\$+1,333).

c.	Simulator Validation	\$-1,800
d.	Joint Force Air Component Commander Situational Awareness System	\$-1,500
e.	Combat Air Intelligence Systems (FY 1998 Base, \$42,489) Decrease reflects reduced central data processing services for the Combat Intel System.	\$-671
f.	Air Force TENCAP (FY 1988 Base, \$6,842)	\$-589
g.	Joint Spectrum Center (FY 1998 Base, \$12,466) Decrease stems from reduced user training of spectrum management information systems.	\$-526

	h.	Mission Evaluation Activities (FY 1998 Base, \$4,143)	\$-380		
	i.	Tactical Deception (FY 1998 Base, \$1,795)	\$-135		
6.	FY	1998 Current Estimate	\$1,351,926		
7.	Pric	ce Growth	\$+21,181		
8.	Functional Program Transfers				
	a.	Transfers In	\$+9,241		
		1) Competitive Sourcing	041		
		2) Classified Program Transfers	200		
	b.	Transfers Out	\$-14,340		

	1)	Joint Spectrum Center	\$-12,523		
		Transfers the functions and resources for the Joint Spectrum Center from this Activity Group to Defense Information Systems Agency.			
	2)	Realign Information Warfare Squadron	\$-970		
	3)	High Frequency Contractor Logistics Support	\$-671		
	4)	Centralize Calibration Contract	\$-176		
9.	Progran	n Increases			\$+48,886
	The	Force-Wide-Communications (FY 1998 Base, \$98,707)e net increase reflects: 1) Site licenses for Commercial-Off-the-Shelf tware, contract system administration, and hardware maintenance (\$+714);		\$+22,983	

2) realignment into Global Command & Control Systems (GCCS) to establish a single program line (\$+14,502); and 3) realignment into Global Broadcast System prior to Milestone II approval with offsetting decreases reflecting the deactivation of communication circuits (\$+7,767).

Strategic Defensive C3I (FY 1998 Base, \$339,245)..... The net increase reflects: 1) renegotiations of Communications Service Authorizations held at Defense Information Telecommunications Contract Office (DITCO) for long haul communications service for Integrated Tactical Warning/Attack Assessment System (ITW/AA) connectivity between NORAD Cheyenne Mountain Complex and the forward sensor sites (\$+182); 2) technical support for the USCINCSPACE mandated identification and resolution of certification issues associated with the evolution/migration of the ITW/AA System to the NORAD/USSPACECOM Warfighting Support System (\$+407); 3) administrative and infrastructure support for the Space System Support Group (\$+225); 4) stand-up of the Survivable Relay Ground Station, the Mobile Multi-Mission Processors, the Initial Qualification Training facility, and additional communications costs in preparation for Space Based Infrared Systems Initial Operational Capability (\$+8,084); 5) contract labor rate increase of five percent required by the US-Iceland Memorandum of Agreement, and increase in contract management responsibility due to operational acceptance of the NATO Control and Reporting Center and Iceland Software Support Facility (\$+1,318); and 6) higher cost share for Joint Surveillance System - Alaska operations (\$+2,611). Offsetting decreases reflect: 1) decommissioning of final legacy systems as new Cheyenne

Mountain Upgrade subsystems reach full operational capability in FY 1998 (\$-1,439); 2) savings as existing long line communications circuits are replaced with a less expensive system (\$-1,895); and 3) placement of PAVE

PAWS Southeast and Southwest into cold storage (\$-2,410).

\$+7,083

	c.	Air Force Strategic Planning (FY 1998 Base, \$8993)	\$+5,402	
	d.	Space Warfare Center (FY 1998 Base, \$13,717)	\$+5,104	
	e.	Anti-Terrorism (FY 1998 Base, \$3,133)	\$+4,529	
	f.	Air Base Ground Defense (FY 1998 Base, \$13,801)	\$+2,890	
	g.	Weather Service (FY 1998 Base, \$68,596)	\$+895	
10.	Pro	gram Decreases		\$-31,544

a.	Strategic Offensive C3I (FY 1998 Base, \$202,151)	\$-13,537
	Decrease reflects: 1) retirement of the EC-135 squadron in first quarter FY 1999 (\$-13,069); and 2) reduction of contract logistics support (\$-5,494). Offsetting increases reflect: 1) Nuclear Planning and Execution System (NPES) client/server (\$+3,130); and 2) maintaining all existing commercial communications contract services for the National Airborne Operations Center Communications network and all associated GEP equipment (\$+1,896).	
b.	Classified Program Details are classified. Further information can be provided.	\$-12,412
c.	Competitive Sourcing Savings	\$-4,092
d.	Air Traffic Control & Landing Systems (FY 1998 Base, \$27,287)	\$-1,213
e.	Combat Developments (FY 1998 Base, \$82,027)	\$-290

11.	FY 1999 Budget Request	\$1,385,350
-----	------------------------	-------------

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Aircraft/Flying Hours:			
TAI	66	51	42
PAA	50	46	39
Flying Hours	17,526	17,629	13,517
APAI	50	46	39
Avg Flying Hours Per APAI	1,719	2,539	1,913

V. Personnel Summary:

· 	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	27,813	27,931	27,135	- 796
Officer	6,443	6,446	6,291	- 155
Enlisted	21,370	21,485	20,844	- 641
Civilian End Strength (Total)	3,385	3,747	3,737	- 10
U.S. Direct Hire	3,300	3,668	3,658	- 10
Foreign National Direct Hire	42	35	35	0
Total Direct Hire	3,342	3,703	3,693	- 10
Foreign National Indirect Hire	43	44	44	0
Military Workyears (Total)	28,463	28,459	27,415	-1,044
Officer	6,605	6,615	6,392	- 223
Enlisted	21,858	21,844	21,023	- 821
Civilian Workyears (Total)	3,404	3,702	3,809	107
U.S. Direct Hire	3,323	3,622	3,730	108
Foreign National Direct Hire	42	36	35	- 1
Total Direct Hire	3,365	3,658	3,765	107
Foreign National Indirect Hire	39	44	44	0

- I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: Space Operations Forces provide for the launch of payloads into various earth orbits; the command, control and communication for these space based platforms; and a worldwide network of sites and terminals to relay data gathered by satellite constellations. Also included is the infrastructure support for the manpower and facilities used to execute these missions.
 - A. Launch facilities at Vandenberg AFB, CA and Cape Canaveral AS, FL are the only US spaceports for all launches of sensitive national, high priority DoD, civil and commercial satellite/space systems. The launch ranges of the 45th Space Wing (SW) at Patrick AFB and the 30th Space Wing (SW) at Vandenberg AFB consist of: instrumentation required to support launches as well as land and sea-based ballistic missile and other operational analysis test missions; control centers used to direct operations; data collection and processing systems; and communications required to tie it all together. Examples of range infrastructure components include radars, telemetry receiving sites, optical trackers, command destruct transmitters, range safety display systems, Range Operations Control Centers (ROCCs), and data links. The ranges are also responsible for maintaining facilities critical to the launch mission. These include, but are not limited to, heating and air conditioning systems, fire protection/detection systems, and corrosion control. Also included are contract payload/vehicle operations necessary to ensure successful space launches, contract range activities necessary to support launch of operational space vehicles and payloads, and the contract activities necessary to operate and maintain range systems.
 - B. Launch vehicles for satellites include Delta II, Atlas IIA, Titan II, and Titan IV launch vehicles, and the Inertial Upper Stage (IUS). The medium launch vehicles and the Titan II and IV programs provide consolidated launch and orbital support for operational DoD space programs. The Atlas IIA, Titan IV, and Delta II space launch capability at Cape Canaveral AS, FL and the Delta II, Titan II and Titan IV space launch capability at Vandenberg AFB, CA must satisfy the launch requirements of the DoD satellite programs. Atlas IIAS capability at Vandenberg AFB, CA is scheduled for June 1998. The requirements are a function of the number of satellites on-orbit and their success and failure rates. Resources finance contractual services supporting the various launch capabilities, fuel, overtime, and pad refurbishment and maintenance.
 - C. Space Control Systems include the Air Force Satellite Control Network (AFSCN) and launch range control systems. The AFSCN provides the global network of control centers, Remote Tracking Stations, and communications links required to operate military satellites. AFSCN activities include AFSCN Operations, Satellite Control Network (SCN) Communications, and sustainment. AFSCN Operations provides funding for operations and maintenance, logistics, and management of control centers, Remote Tracking Stations, and support elements. SCN Communications provides funding for the operation and maintenance of an extensive mission communications network interfacing Onizuka Air Station, California, and Falcon AFB, Colorado, with the worldwide tracking stations to support assigned DoD, NASA, and classified space programs. SCN Communications funds administrative

switchboard systems, wire communications, launch communications, and radio frequency analysis required by AFSCN missions. In addition, the sustainment effort provides software and hardware maintenance, engineering support, on-site operations support to the Air Force Space Command (AFSPC), and Program Office support.

- D. Satellite Systems span a broad range of space support/missions including the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) and NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS).
 - 1. The Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) collects and disseminates global visible/infrared cloud imagery and other specialized meteorological, oceanographic and solar-geophysical data to support worldwide DoD operations and high priority national programs. DMSP is the sole source of high resolution weather imagery supporting critical Air Force, Navy, Army, and Marine Corps centralized and theater missions. DMSP consists of two fully operational satellites in polar orbit, a command and control (C2) system (satellite operations center, satellite tracking/alternate ops center, mission planning, satellite engineering, and logistics facilities), ground based satellite data processing equipment, and field/ship based terminals deployed worldwide. Operation and Maintenance funds provide for: C2 segment hardware/software maintenance, user terminals hardware/software maintenance, maintenance of DMSP equipment at remote tracking stations, support and service contract for launch pad maintenance and launch preparation activities, support and services contract for satellite and sensor daily operations support (factory experts performing telemetry analysis/trending, troubleshooting, and anomaly resolution), independent verification, validation, and modification of C2 system software, and civilian pay for system operators.
 - 2. The NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS) is a space-based radio navigation network which provides 24-hour navigation information to meet the needs of the US and allied military services worldwide. These services include: extremely accurate three-dimensional positioning, velocity and time; a worldwide common grid, passive all-weather operations; continuous real-time information; support to an unlimited number of users and areas and support to civilian users at a slightly less-accurate level. The NAVSTAR satellites circle the globe every 12 hours, emitting continuous navigational signals. The Delta II expendable launch vehicle is used to launch the NAVSTAR satellites from Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, FL into 11,000 mile circular orbits. O&M funding for the NAVSTAR GPS sustains the operational control segment and launch operations. These costs include maintenance, contractor logistics support, and funding for an interim backup mission control center. The new GPS Operational Control Segment (OCS) support Contract (GOSC) is now updating the ground control architecture to combine these tasks and reduce the complexity.

E. Other Space Operations include Spacetrack, which is a worldwide space surveillance network (SSN) of dedicated, collateral and contributing electro-optical, passive radio frequency (RF), and radar sensors. The SSN is tasked to provide space object cataloging and identification, satellite attack warning, timely notification to US forces of satellite flyover, space treaty monitoring, and scientific and technical intelligence gathering. Spacetrack O&M funds provide a significant portion of the support and maintenance to the many sensors that support this mission. Supportability as well as the continued increase in the satellite and orbital debris populations, increased use of different launch trajectories, nonstandard orbits, and geosynchronous altitudes necessitate upgrades to detection and tracking sensors to meet existing requirements.

Management Headquarters activities include the management overhead for subordinate organizations such as civilian pay, travel, supplies and equipment.

F. Real Property Maintenance functions include maintenance and repair projects and minor construction accomplished by contract and by an in-house workforce. This Subactivity group supports and maintains our primary space systems launch, tracking, and recovery complexes, AFSPC Headquarters at Peterson AFB, Colorado, the Cheyenne Mountain complex, and other space tracking and support operations worldwide. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity and preserve our physical plant.

Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below:

Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction of: Real Property Aircraft Maintenance Complexes Aircraft Runways Roads Dormitories Missile Silos

G. Base Support provides funding for base support functions, and engineering and environmental programs in support of Air Force Space Command (AFSPC). The main objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity and infrastructure support. Significant categories of support are listed below:

<u>Audiovisual Information Activities</u>: Funds audiovisual support for video production, graphic art, photo lab, visual information library equipment maintenance, presentation sections, video teleconferencing system, management and operation of audiovisual product distribution, armament delivery recording, still photo, motion picture, television and audio recordings, and electronic and graphics imaging.

Base Communications: Supports base telephone systems, maintenance of intra-base radio systems, base wire communications, official toll calls, Class B toll call, and other base government-owned commercial communication requirements; dedicated leased long lines that provide connectivity to Air Force and DoD networks; Global Decision Support Systems to support command and control of worldwide airlift/tanker mission requirements; Phase IV standard base level computer equipment; and secure voice teleconferencing command and control systems.

<u>Base Operating Support</u>: Program funds transportation, security forces, comptroller, staff judge advocate, claims, and personnel organizations; dining facilities, lodging, contracting services, chaplain, administration, mess attendant and equipment maintenance contracts, postal services, data processing, airfield and air operations, furnishings management, and other authorized service activities.

Child Development Centers (CDC) and Family Support Centers (FSC): CDCs support provisions of the Military Child Care Act of 1989 to include Family Day Care (FDC). Full-day, part-day, and hourly care for children is provided by CDCs. The FDC program supervises individuals who reside in on-base housing and provide full-day care for children. FSCs support readiness and retention as the focal point for family matters and provide core services such as: consultation, family readiness, crisis assistance, Air Force Aid Society, personal financial management, spouse employment, volunteer resource, and relocation and transition assistance programs.

<u>Real Property Services</u>: Provides essential installation facility support for purchased utilities, utility plant operations, grounds maintenance, fire protection, crash rescue, snow removal and ice alleviation, entomological services, elevator maintenance/inspection, and rents and leases. Contracted engineering services include custodial services, refuse collection, corrosion control, sewer and waste systems, facility engineering and public works management, other installation engineering services and annual service requirements performed in-house or by contract.

<u>Environmental Conservation/Compliance</u>: Environmental Conservation provides for protection and enhancement of natural and cultural resources, environmental surveys, consultations with environmental regulators, and mapping and planning support systems. Environmental Compliance ensures all federal, state, and local environmental laws are enforced through sampling, analysis, and monitoring; hazardous waste characterization and disposal; underground storage tank removal/repair/replacement; leak detection and monitoring; spill response and clean-up; training; maintenance, repair, and minor construction projects for environmental facilities and infrastructure.

<u>Pollution Prevention</u>: This program was established to prevent future pollution by reducing hazardous material use and releases of pollutants into the environment to as near zero as feasible to alleviate environmentally harmful discharges to the air, land, surface and ground water.

II. Force Structure Summary:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Operational Launch Pads:			
Eastern Range:			
Air Force	6	6	6
NASA (Shuttle)	2	2	2
Western Range:			
Air Force	3	3	3
NASA	1	1	1
Satellite Control Network (SCN):			
Antennas	15	15	15
Control Nodes	2	2	2
Satellite Operations Centers	8	8	8
Spacecraft Checkout facilities (Transportable)	1	1	1
Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP)			
Satellites in orbit	2	2	2
Global Positioning System (GPS)			
Satellites in orbit	24	24	24
Manpower:			
Military E/S	7,914	7,071	6,908
Civilian E/S	3,689	3,408	3,283

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1998		
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. <u>Subactivity Groups</u> :	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Request</u>	Appropriation	<u>Request</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Launch Facilities	\$249,138	\$226,956	\$226,956	\$208,374	\$221,046
Launch Vehicles	96,259	103,576	103,576	93,263	102,064
Space Control Systems	233,497	283,597	284,997	246,902	246,940
Satellite Systems	26,894	42,235	42,235	29,968	36,152
Other Space Operations	98,955	82,972	82,724	79,929	85,292
Real Property Maintenance	114,112	119,869	119,869	125,107	122,525
Base Support	308,624	310,370	310,370	300,521	<u>309,406</u>
Total	\$1,127,479	\$1,169,575	\$1,170,727	\$1,084,064	\$1,123,425

B. Reconciliation Summary	Change <u>FY 1998/1998</u>	Change FY 1998/1999
Baseline Funding	\$1,169,575	\$1,084,064
Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	1,152	0
FY 1998 Budget Amendment	-98	0
Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)	-35,443	0
Reprogramming Transfer	0	0
Price Change	0	17,428
Functional Transfers	-34,488	14,124
Program Changes	<u>-16,634</u>	<u>7,809</u>
Current Estimate	\$1,084,064	\$1,123,425

C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request		\$1,169,575
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	\$+1,152	
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount		\$1,170,727
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment	\$-98	
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed) 1) Other Contracts - Program Growth		
3.	Functional Program Transfers		\$-34,488
	a. Transfers Out 1) Depot Maintenance Budget Structure Change	\$-34,488	

required in the Statement to the Managers accompanying the FY1998 DoD Appropriations Act.

4.	Pro	gram Increases		\$+9,389
	a.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base \$119,869)	\$+3,476	
	b.	Real Property Services (FY 1998 Base \$134,494)	\$+3,369	
	c.	Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) (FY 1998 Base \$17,257)	\$+1,134	
	d.	Operational HQ - Space/Space Comm Combat Programs (FY 1998 Base \$8,045) The increase supports continuation of USCINCSPACE initiative to educate the warfighting CINCs on space as a force enhancer and controlling force in operational campaigns. This increase is directly tied to the Joint Space Support team's travel and	\$+785	

transportation requirements and a technical support contract which provides a space planning computer simulation that integrates space information into air campaigns.

	e.	Operational HQ, US Space Command (FY 1998 Base \$8,233)	\$+625	
5.	Prog	gram Decreases		\$-26,023
	a.	Congressional Mark Adjustment, Space Operations (FY 1998 Base \$1,169,575)	\$-11,711	
	b.	Space Control Systems (FY 1998 Base \$283,597)	\$-7,181	
	c.	Medium and Titan Space Launch Vehicles (FY 1998 Base \$98,828)	\$-4,321	
	d.	Range Operations (FY 1998 Base \$226,956)	\$-1,074	
	e.	Depot Maintenance (FY 1998 Base \$15,353)	\$-1,040	

Reduction in cost for 60 foot antenna scheduled bearing change for AF Satellite Control Network (AFSCN) Operations.

	f.	Refl repl	tial Upper Stage (FY 1998 Base \$4,748)		\$-425	
	g.	Upg	rades to system software support effort were completed in FY 1997 ahead of the 1998 expected completion date.		\$-271	
6.	FY	1998	Current Estimate			\$1,084,064
7.	Pric	e Gro	wth			\$+17,428
8.	Fun	ction	al Program Transfers			\$+14,124
	a.	Trai	nsfers In		\$+14,327	
		1)	Competitive Sourcing	\$+11,327		
		2)	Global Positioning System (GPS) IIA On-Orbit Support	\$+3,000		

software development and maintenance and technical expertise while the satellites are in orbit.

	b.	Transfers Out	\$-203	
		1) High Frequency Contractor Logistic Support		
9.	Pro	gram Increases		\$+16,382
	a.	Range Operations (FY 1998 Base \$208,374)	\$+6,067	
	b.	Launch Vehicles (FY 1998 Base 93,263)	\$+4,747	
	c.	Spacetrack (FY 1998 Base \$43,630)	\$+3,427	

	d.	Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) (FY 1998 Base \$17,527)	\$+2,141	
10.	Pro	gram Decreases		\$-8,573
	a.	Space Control Systems (FY 1998 Base \$246,902)	\$-3,432	
	b.	Competitive Sourcing (FY 1998 Base \$3,571)	\$-2,954	
	c.	Civilian Pay (FY 1998 Base \$26,890)	\$-1,616	
	d.	Environmental Programs (FY 1998 Base \$28,235)	\$-571	
11.	FY	1999 Budget Request		\$1,123,425

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

1. Satellite Tracking, Telemetry and Command Capability

The Air Force uses two indicators of Air Force Satellite Control Network (AFSCN) activity levels: number of satellite operations and network support hours. The number of satellite operations includes the number of times the AFSCN is used for transmitting commands, receiving telemetry or mission data and tracking space vehicles. It also includes training and maintenance. Because the time and effort associated with each operation varies depending on the purpose of the operation, orbit of the satellite, and ground station antenna to be used, network support hours are also used as an indicator of AFSCN activity. Network support hours include the time required to conduct the actual operation. In addition, there is extensive pre- and post-operation activity.

The data below represents the number of contacts as well as support hours of the AFSCN. Neither the number of satellite contacts nor the network support hours are measures of required funding levels because the entire network must be maintained and operated as a national resource regardless of the number of spacecraft supported. The baseline capability must be maintained regardless of fluctuations in these numbers.

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Satellite Contacts:			
Daily (Projected Average)	438	475	459
Annually (Projected)	159,998	173,472	167,575
Network Support Hours	77,182	83,636	81,552

2. Air Force O&M Supported Launches:

The Launch Services Office publishes the space launch manifest quarterly. It is a planning order for launches and contains all U.S. space launches. The national mission model portrays all U.S. requirements for launches driven by user need and unconstrained by launch capacities. The following assumptions are made in the manifest development: dates are estimated using nominal flows - current best estimates; unknowns are not included in manifest - delays due to weather, collateral pad operation, rework or equipment failure.

Eastern and Western Range Activity The Eastern and Western Ranges support DOD, civil and commercial space launches as well as ballistic missile tests and aeronautical testing (Western Range) based on the manifest launch profile. Whether the ranges support a single or multiple launches, the same minimum level of facilities and equipment are required. Space launch operations culminate in the launch of a vehicle and payload, but also require numerous interfaces with the range prior to and during launch event. Operations which support these activities include: system end-to-end tests, weather, telemetry, frequency management, fueling, pad and range safety, communications, vehicle operations, and ballistic missile tests.

TT 1000

TX 1 1000

LAUNCH VEHICLE

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Atlas I, II, IIAS	8	11	9
Delta II,III	10	20	14
Titan II	1	1	1
Titan IV	3	4	4
LMLV	1	3	1
Pegasus	2	0	1
Taurus	0	2	1
Space Shuttle	8	6	7
Missile Test Flight	6	6	4
Total	39	53	42

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Base Support			
Total End Strength	5,174	4,450	4,503
Military	3,211	2,703	2,863
Civilian	1,963	1,747	1,640
Total Major Installations	6	6	6
CONUS	6	6	6
Overseas	0	0	0
Total Number of Quarters	14,698	13,924	13,924
Number of Officer Quarters	1,936	1,919	1,919
Number of Enlisted Quarters	12,762	12,005	12,005
Total Number of Vehicles	6,367	6,374	6,292
Owned	5,742	5,720	5,587
Leased	625	654	705
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	16	16	16
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Spaces	3,073	3,073	3,140
Appropriated Fund Support to MWR (\$ Thousands)	17,889	19,495	20,200
Appropriated Fund Support to Bachelor Housing (\$ Thousands)	5,925	6,061	6,198
Facilities Supported (000 sq. ft)	24,012	24,010	24,010
Plant Replacement Value (\$000)	\$11,208,000	\$11,376,000	\$11,558,000

V. Personnel Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>	FY 1998/1999
	7.014	7.071	6.000	1.60
Active Military End Strength (Total)	7,914	7,071	6,908	- 163
Officer	1,951	1,770	1,718	- 52
Enlisted	5,963	5,301	5,190	- 111
Civilian End Strength (Total)	3,689	3,408	3,283	- 125
U.S. Direct Hire	3,687	3,404	3,279	- 125
Foreign National Direct Hire	2	4	4	0
Total Direct Hire	3,689	3,408	3,283	- 125
Foreign National Indirect Hire	0	0	0	0
Military Workyears (Total)	8,100	7,208	6,980	- 228
Officer	2,001	1,818	1,745	- 73
Enlisted	6,099	5,390	5,235	- 155
Civilian Workyears (Total)	3,648	3,552	3,397	- 155
U.S. Direct Hire	3,648	3,548	3,393	- 155
Foreign National Direct Hire	0	4	4	0
Total Direct Hire	3,648	3,552	3,397	- 155
Foreign National Indirect Hire	0	0	0	0

This Budget Activity Consists Of One Activity Group, Mobility Operations:

Justification Details For Mobility Operations Are Provided On The Following Pages.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: The mission of Air Force Mobility Operations is to provide global mobility through strategic and theater airlift to support peacetime, contingency, and wartime operations in pursuit of national objectives. The rapid movement and sustainment of United States combat forces anywhere in the world to deter aggression or provide humanitarian peacekeeping assistance is a major instrument of United States national security policy. The Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS), the military services, other Department of Defense (DoD), and government agencies depend heavily on Air Force Mobility Operations for essential cargo and troop movements in support of a wide variety of missions. Successes in Desert Storm and humanitarian assistance efforts amplify the importance of our Mobility force projection capabilities. The financial resources requested in this budget will ensure that we continue meeting national objectives. The following are key mission areas discussed in this budget: Airlift Operations; Airlift Operations Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence (C3I); Mobilization Preparedness; Payment to the Transportation Business Area; Depot Maintenance; Base Support; and Real Property Maintenance elements.

Airlift Operations support the day-to-day mission activity of Mobility Operations. Aircraft operations incorporate: C-130 theater airlift; air refueling KC-10s and KC-135s; Operational Support Airlift for the movement of personnel and cargo with time, place or mission sensitive requirements; Short Takeoff and Landing C-27 tactical airlifters; headquarters operations at Air Mobility Command (AMC), its detachments, and other numbered Air Force headquarters. Airlift Operations include the entire spectrum for aircrew training activities directly related to school-house and proficiency training for C-5, C-130, C-141, C-17, C-12, C-21, UH-1N, and HH-60 aircrews. Program funding in this activity group also supports direct costs associated with training and operating KC-135 and KC-10 air refueling aircraft. Additionally, funding pays for the costs of operating the Operational Support Airlift (OSA) program, which includes the operation of C-9 (non-Medical Evacuation), C-12, C-20, C-21, C-135, UH-1N, CT-43, and EC-135Y aircraft. Also funded in the OSA program are Air Force One aircraft used by the President of the United States, C-137 aircraft used by the Vice President of the United States, Cabinet Members, and other high ranking dignitaries, temporary use of an EC-135K aircraft (FY 1997) only) during C-137 depot maintenance, and new VC-X aircraft (large VC-X's are designated C-32A's while small VC-X's are designated C-37A's) which begin replacing the aging and costly C-137 fleet in FY 1998. More specifically, this budget request provides resources for the operation of: 4 C-137's, 2 C-32A's, and 2 C-37A's in FY 1998; and 1 C-137, 4 C-32A's, and 2 C-37A's in FY 1999. Funding for Aircrew Training Systems (ATS) is also included in this activity group. Funds support 21 Weapon System Trainers, 22 Operational Flight Trainers, and 14 Cockpit Procedures Trainers. Other Airlift Operations funded programs include Combat Aircrew Training at Nellis AFB, NV; the Air Transportation Training Center at Travis AFB, CA; the Air Mobility Warfare Center at McGuire AFB, NJ; and the Queen Bee Jet Engine Intermediate Maintenance Facility for helicopters at Kirtland AFB, NM.

Airlift Operations C3I activities support the core of Mobility Operations through the provision of AMC Command and Control systems. These systems provide the capability to direct and control airlift and aircrew forces for worldwide deployment. They provide in-transit visibility of cargo and passengers; generate billing data; provide for deliberate planning, analysis and modeling; and provide for software maintenance and personnel to operate current systems including the Global Decision Support System (GDSS). These resources also replace out-of-date manual command and control methods with the AMC Command and Control Information Processing System (C2IPS), and integrated automated multi-level secure systems reaching from the airfield through AMC to USTRANSCOM and the National Command Authority. Resources also support engineering and installation support activities, as well as various military airlift intelligence system activities.

Mobilization Preparedness supports Mobility Operations with the capability to sustain crisis situations through the provision and prepositioning of War Readiness Materiel (WRM), Theater Nuclear Weapon Storage and Security Systems (WS3), Industrial Preparedness, Inactive Aircraft Storage and Disposal, and Station Hospitals and Clinics. The WRM program includes manpower authorizations, peculiar support equipment, necessary facilities, and the associated costs specifically identified and measurable for the procurement and maintenance of equipment/secondary items within the war reserve stockpile. Bare base equipment, better known as Harvest Eagle and Harvest Falcon, is prepositioned in the Pacific Air Forces, United States Air Forces Europe, Central Air Force, and within the continental United States. Prepositioned material afloat (AF munitions) is carried on three ships, two in the Indian Ocean and one in the Mediterranean Sea. Prepositioned material ashore includes munitions and Air Force bare base equipment. The WS3 is an underground nuclear weapon storage vault system that provides security, safety and enhanced survivability for tactical nuclear weapons. It provides for the supervision and inspection of storage vaults and associated intrusion detection equipment. Inspections are performed in underground theater shelters in both Europe and the Pacific. The industrial preparedness program funds common operations at Air Force Plant #42 in Palmdale, California, and the Defense Production Act Title III Program Office at Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. At Air Force Industrial Plant #42, the resources cover services to support the common-use facilities and airfield operations. This plant assembles/modifies critical portions of the B- 2, F-117, the Space Shuttle, as well as other classified programs. Funds are provided for staffing and support operations of the program office that administers the Defense Production Act Title III program for all DoD services and agencies. Inactive Aircraft Storage and Disposal operations, better known as the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC), function as the single point of operations for the Department of Defense's processing and maintenance of inactive aerospace vehicles. Operations financed also include AMARC administrative and headquarters personnel at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ. Aerospace maintenance and regeneration costs are financed in the maintenance business area of the Working Capital Fund. The Station Hospitals and Clinics program supports the medical wartime mission through contingency hospitals

located throughout Europe and the Pacific. Each Contingency Hospital has 500 beds and four operating rooms providing general and specialized surgical care, post operative stabilization, medical and dental care, and rehabilitation for patients. During peacetime, the hospitals are supported by a small caretaker force to maintain the ability to insure a turn-key operation, should the need arise.

<u>Payment to the Transportation Business Area</u> represents the Air Force customer contribution to the USTRANSCOM Transportation Working Capital Fund expense base; this payment is required to ensure sufficient wartime airlift capability. Since costs incurred on behalf of the airlift business area (primarily associated with channel and SAAM airlift missions) cannot be fully recovered through the rate structure, the difference in funding required to meet USTRANSCOM airlift expenses is provided by an Air Force O&M subsidy. Specific programmatic and pricing details are contained in justification materials, as submitted by USTRANSCOM.

<u>Depot Maintenance</u> provides non-contract logistics support (CLS) funding for depot level aircraft repair through organic and contract efforts. Funding also supports software maintenance efforts.

<u>Base Support</u> provides funding for base support functions and engineering/environmental programs. The main objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity, and infrastructure support. Categories include audiovisual information, base communications, base operating support, child development centers, family support centers, real property services, environmental conservation and compliance, and pollution prevention programs.

Real Property Maintenance activities include maintenance, repair, and minor construction accomplished by contract and by an in-house workforce. Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. Objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity, and preservation of the physical plant. The most significant categories receiving this support are maintenance, repair and minor construction of real property, aircraft maintenance complexes, aircraft runways, roads, and dormitories.

II. Force Structure Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Manpower	48,095	48,888	48,912
Military End-Strength	41,175	42,206	42,294
Civilian End-Strength	6,920	6,682	6,618
	• • • • • •		• • • • • •
Flying Hours	266,078	271,035	268,074
Total Aircraft Inventory (TAI)	691	674	673
Primary Authorized Aircraft (PAA)	624	608	607
Bases Supported	12	12	12
Plants Supported	1	1	1

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1998			
			Budget		Current	FY 1999
A.	Subactivity Groups:	FY 1997 Actual	Request	Appropriation	Request	Estimate
	Airlift Operations	\$1,466,987	\$1,793,506	\$1,908,106	\$1,361,902	\$1,326,774
	Airlift Operations C3I	15,555	16,267	16,267	11,661	21,676
	Mobilization Preparedness	271,895	145,868	140,763	111,101	134,807
	Payment to Transportation Business Area	400,886	514,000	514,000	514,000	470,000
	Depot Maintenance (1)	200,965	0	0	292,345	316,485
	Real Property Maintenance	141,600	151,842	151,842	147,857	148,331
	Base Support	402,001	427,865	427,865	401,723	<u>390,876</u>
	Total	\$2,899,889	\$3,049,348	\$3,158,843	\$2,840,589	\$2,808,949

B. Reconciliation Summary:	Change <u>FY1998/1998</u>	Change <u>FY1998/1999</u>
Baseline Funding	\$3,049,348	\$2,840,589
Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	109,495	0
Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)	-37,754	0
FY98 Budget Amendment	-784	0
Reprogramming/Transfer	-59,970	0
Price Change	0	-15,054
Functional Transfers	25,349	20,204
Program Changes	<u>-245,095</u>	<u>-36,790</u>
Current Estimate	\$2,840,589	\$2,808,949

⁽¹⁾ IAW FY 1998 DoD Appropriations Act, Depot Maintenance is separately displayed as an O-1 line item

C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request	\$3,049,348
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)\$+109,495	
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount	\$3,158,843
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment\$-784	
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed) \$-37,754 1) Real Property Maintenance. \$+4,000 2) Revised Economic Assumptions \$-10,864 3) Other Contracts Program Growth \$-8,543 4) TDY Expenses \$-6,771 5) QDR Civilian Personnel Reductions \$-5,680 6) Section 8105 - Excess Inventory \$-4,530 7) High Risk Automation Systems \$-3,192 8) Civilian Personnel Understrength \$-1,732 9) Foreign Currency \$-440 10) Section 8041 - CAAS Savings \$-2	
3.	Reprogramming Transfer	\$-59,970
	a. Transfers Out\$-59,970	
	1) Flying Hour Program AVDLR\$-46,015	

All Funding contained in the FY98 Appropriation by the Congress for the Air Force flying hour program AVDLR shortfall was provided to the Active component. This action transfers funding to the Guard and Reserve components to fund their portion of the shortfall.

	2)	KC-135 Depot Maintenance	\$-13,800		
	3)	Defense Integrated Military Human Resource System	\$-155		
4.	Function	nal Program Transfers			\$+25,349
		sfers In		\$+30,202	
	1)	C-130 Command Realignment	\$+26,044		
	2)	EC-135Y Transfer to MacDill AFB/Operational Support Airlift	\$+4,158		

EC-135Y flying hours and resources transfer from Sub Activity Group Management/Operational Headquarters, to Sub Activity Group Airlift Operations, to provide a more rapid response to CINCCENT taskings.

		C-130 Depot Maintenance (DPEM)	\$-4,596	\$-4,853	
	2)	Information Management (IM) and Communications	\$-257		
5.	Program Increases				\$+36,955
	Ind co he 13 an	rlift Operations (FY 1998 Base \$1,793,506)		\$+27,326	
	b. Ba	se Operating Support (FY 1998 Base \$427,865)		\$+6,100	

This increase represents revised civilian pay funding requirements based on updated assessment of actual workyear costs to reflect the impact of changes such as FY 1997 locality pay, or the redistribution of funding associated with the impact of DoDI 4000.19.

c. Mobilization Preparedness/Industrial Preparedness (FY 1998 Base \$12,370)..... Resources increase due to adjustments for wage and inflation increases on support contracts at AF Plant 42 and the Defense Production Act Title III Program Office at Wright Patterson AFB, OH.

\$+3,529

6. Program Decreases.....

\$-282,050

Airlift Operations (FY 1998 Base \$1,793,506)..... Decreases are due to: a) the FY 1998 Appropriation transfer of the Services Contingency funding from SubActivity Group Airlift Operations to a central OSD account. This decrease is due to the realignment of a portion of the reduction from Activity Group Air Operations to Activity Group Airlift Operations where tanker and airlift is actually executed (\$-139.7 million); b) training due to C-141 retirements, partial completion of ongoing simulator upgrades, and reduced supply and equipment purchases (\$-36.0 million); c) based on emerging requirements, the Air Force redistributed the Congressional adjustments for training infrastructure, and HQ and administrative activity reductions across multiple activity groups to ensure balance between readiness and quality of life (\$-7.4 million); d) reduced supplies and materials for C-130's (\$-3.3 million), as well as depot maintenance due to implementation of an engine regional repair center (\$-13.3 million); e) deferred KC-135 supply purchases (\$-6.0 million); f) revised KC-10 fuel consumption costs (\$-1.6 million) and revised engine overhaul schedules (\$-2.0 million); and g) revised Operational Support Airlift supply purchases (\$-1.0 million).

\$-210,361

b.	Base Operating Support (FY 1998 Base \$248,171)	\$-36,660
c.	Mobilization Preparedness/WRM Equipment and Ammunition (FY 1998 Base \$127,863) Resources decrease due to the FY 98 Appropriation transfer of the Services Contingency funding from Activity Group Airlift Operations to a central OSD account. This decrease is due to the realignment of a portion of the reduction from Air Operations to Airlift Operations where requirements are actually executed (\$-17.5 million). Program decreases are also driven by a reduction in equipment and ammunition supply requirements due to rotation of stock and an increase in time intervals between item inspections (\$-3.5 million). Remaining resource changes are driven by emerging requirements, wherein Air Force redistributed the Congressional adjustments across multiple activity groups to ensure a balance between readiness and quality of life (\$-2.6 million).	\$-23,600
d.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base \$151,842)	\$-9,547
e.	Air Mobility Command - Command & Control System (FY 1998 Base \$16,267)	\$-1,882

This decrease represents revised civilian pay funding requirements based on updated assessment of actual workyear costs, and a reduction due to a one-time purchase by the Tanker Airlift Control Center of laptop computers for the cockpit supporting mission essential command and control.

7.	FY 1998 Current Estimate	\$2,840,589
8.	Price Growth	\$-15,054
9.	Functional Program Transfers a. Transfers In	\$+20,204 \$+21,049
	2) Combat Controllers	
	3) Depot Maintenance Decentralization	

Transfer provides weapon systems owners flexibility to determine and control content of DPEM requirements and funding.

4)	Realign Tech Orders to Weapon Systems	\$+1,816	
5)	Competitive Sourcing A-76 Military Actions	\$+887	
b. Trans	sfers Out		\$-845
	1) Centralize Civilian PCS	\$-743	
	2) Centralize Calibration Contracts	\$-102	

(PMEL) items, for which AF does not have organic capability, under the Air Force Metrology and Calibration Program (AFMETCAL). Funding transfers to Activity Group Logistic Operations.

10.). Program Increases				
	a.	Airlift Operations (FY 1998 Base \$1,361,902)	\$+38,630		
	b.	Mobilization Preparedness (FY 1998 Base \$111,101)	\$+20,640		
	c.	Depot Maintenance (FY 1998 Base \$292,345)	\$+17,403		

models of the KC-135. Added hours address stress corrosion cracking on Body Station 620/820 beams and Body Station 880/890 floor beams, as well as corrosion throughout the fuselage. Additional hours support the Phase II rewire support replacement of 30-40 year old wiring due to age, toxicity, and corrosive properties of the PVC insulation which have resulted in several near mishaps and system malfunctions (a Congressional add of \$54.6 million was received for FY 1998 KC-135 PDM shortfalls); and b) C-130's (\$+2.7 million) for five additional PDM's over FY 1998. The C-130 PDM requirement in FY 1998 was temporarily reduced when a nine month interval extension was implemented (the C-130 interval is now 69 months).

e. Air Mobility Command - Command and Control System (FY 1998 Base \$11,496) Increases are due to the Command-wide installation and Operations and Maintenance for wing level Local Area Net (LAN) systems, and contract maintenance for deployed Command and Control Systems.

+2,804

\$+1,300

\$-72,094

additional C-137 aircraft), flying hour restructuring among all weapon systems, and reduced executive airlift travel; b) KC-135 flying hour reductions due to PACER CRAG efficiencies and classified program adjustments (\$-13.3 million); and c) school-house (non-C-17) and proficiency training flying hours driven by C-141 retirements and student workload changes (\$-12.6 million). Other miscellaneous reductions include decreases among travel, supplies, civilian workforce, and equipment purchases in operational and headquarters programs (\$-8.3 million).

12.

b.	Base Operating Support (FY 1998 Base \$401,723)	\$-27,587	
c.	Payments to USTRANSCOM Transportation Working Capital Fund (FY 1998 Base \$514,000) Resources decrease due to the effect of recovering FY 1997 revenue and expense imbalances, and revised revenue and expense projections for FY 1998.	\$-15,174	
d.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base \$147,857)	\$-2,712	
FY	1999 Budget Request		\$2,808,949

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA)	624	608	607
Average Primary Aircraft Inventory (APAI)	623	604	607
Flying Hours Per Average Primary Aircraft Inventory Flying Hours	8,757 266,078	11,184 271,035	10,904 268,074
Total Aircraft Inventory (TAI)	691	674	673
Base Support			
Total End Strength	16,462	16,697	16,729

Military	12,487	12,992	13,076
Civilian	3,975	3,705	3,653
Total Major Installations	12	12	12
CONUS	12	12	12
Overseas	0	0	0
Total Number of Quarters	34,717	34,071	34,174
Number of Officer Quarters	4,059	3,968	4,002
Number of Enlisted Quarters	30,658	30,103	30,172
Total Number of Vehicles.	9,244	9,245	9,247
Owned	8,794	8,786	8,759
Leased	450	459	488
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	38	38	38
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Spaces	8,459	8,459	8,459
Appropriated Fund Support to MWR (\$ Thousands)	36,846	38,948	40,355
Appropriated Fund Support to Bachelor Housing (\$ Thousands)	4,723	4,832	5,054

V. <u>Personnel Summary</u>:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total) Officer	41,175 5,911	42,206 6,081	42,294 6,003	88 - 78
Enlisted	35,264	36,125	36,291	166
Civilian End Strength (Total)	6,920	6,682	6,618	- 264
U.S. Direct Hire	6,794	6,553	6,489	- 264
Foreign National Direct Hire	48	47	47	0
Total Direct Hire	6,842	6,600	6,536	- 264

Foreign National Indirect Hire	78	82	82	0
Military Workyears (Total)	42,136	42,995	42,721	- 274
Officer	6,062	6,249	6,100	- 149
Enlisted	36,074	36,746	36,621	- 125
Civilian Workyears (Total)	6,933	6,959	6,766	- 193
U.S. Direct Hire	6,832	6,829	6,637	- 192
Foreign National Direct Hire	47	48	47	- 1
Total Direct Hire	6,879	6,877	6,684	- 193
Foreign National Indirect Hire	54	82	82	0

- I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: This budget activity encompasses three broad mission areas -- Accession Training, Basic Skills and Advance Training, and Recruiting & Other Training and Education.
 - A. Accession Training operations produce the enlisted and officer personnel needed to meet total force requirements. The Basic Military Training Group at Lackland AFB, TX conducts basic training for newly enlisted Non-Prior Service (NPS), Air National Guard (ANG), and Air Force Reserve (AFRES) personnel. This training provides an effective, efficient military indoctrination program that facilitates a smooth transition from civilian life to the military environment.
 - 1. Officer accessions receive indoctrination training through the United States Air Force Academy (USAFA), Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps (AFROTC), Officer Training Squadron (OTS), and Airmen Education and Commissioning Program (AECP). The USAFA conducts a four year curriculum combining both military and academic education/training. AFROTC, the largest source of Air Force officers, supplements academic education with military education and training at colleges across the country. OTS provides Air Force pre-commissioning training for both prior service and non-prior service individuals. Finally, AECP allows selected active duty airmen to earn academic degrees, and upon completion attend OTS to earn a commission.
 - B. Basic skills and advanced training operations provide Air Force personnel (and individuals of other services) training and education essential to operate, maintain, and manage complex Air Force weapon systems and associated support structure. Programs cover initial and follow-on technical skill progression training, undergraduate flying training, professional military education, specialized professional development, and related training support.
 - 1. Initial skills training provided to basic military training graduates include courses ranging from administration specialist to precision measurement equipment repair. Members requiring a higher degree of skill or familiarization receive follow-on skill progression training. Most training is conducted at four technical training centers located at Keesler AFB, MS; Goodfellow AFB, TX; Sheppard AFB, TX; and Lackland AFB, TX. However, some technical training is conducted at civilian educational institutions and contractor facilities.
 - 2. Flying training programs include flight screening, undergraduate pilot training, specialized undergraduate pilot training (SUPT), specialized undergraduate and advanced navigator training, pilot instructor training (PIT), and undergraduate

- helicopter training. Units at three bases, Vance AFB, OK; Columbus AFB, MS; and Laughlin AFB, TX conduct our SUPT programs. Sheppard AFB hosts the EURO-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training (ENJJPT) mission which produces pilots for participating NATO countries. Randolph AFB, TX provides both instructor pilot and navigator training.
- 3. Professional military education (PME) programs enhance and develop the critical leadership skills of officers, enlisted, and civilians at each stage of their career. PME resident and correspondence programs include Air War College, Air Command and Staff College, Squadron Officer School, and the Senior Non-Commissioned Officer Academy. Airmen Leadership Schools and Non-Commissioned Officer Academies are also available for junior and mid-level enlisted personnel.
- 4. Professional development programs include a broad range of continuing education and graduate education programs offered through resident and civilian institutions.
- C. Recruiting and Other Training and Education missions include personnel acquisition operations, voluntary education programs for active duty, civilian professional development programs, and the Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps.
 - 1. Personnel acquisition includes recruiting, advertising, processing and classification operations needed to fulfill Air Force end-strength and force structure manpower requirements.
 - 2. The Voluntary Off-Duty Education Program provides active duty personnel the opportunity for professional development and advancement through tuition assistance for post secondary education.
 - 3. Civilian professional development programs provide technical, professional, and specialized skill training, supervisory and management development, and administrative and clerical instruction to over 90,000 Air Force O&M civilian employees.
 - 4. Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC) is designed to motivate young Americans to be better citizens, with emphasis on self-discipline, personal responsibility, values, and graduation from high school.

II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Basic Military Training Groups	1	1	1
United States Air Force Academy	1	1	1
AFROTC Detachments	144	140	134
Officer Training School	1	1	1
Technical Training Centers	5	5	5
Flying Training Wings/Bases	6	5	5
Field Training Detachments	32	32	32
Field Operating Locations	12	12	12
Senior NCO Academy	1	1	1
NCO Academies	10	9	9
Development Centers	2	2	2
Graduate Schools (In-House)	2	2	2
Recruiting Regions	4	4	4
Recruiting Squadrons	28	28	28
Recruiting Offices (CONUS & OCONUS)	1,159	1,159	1,159
MEPCOM Facilities (All CONUS)	67	67	67
Personnel Processing Squadron	1	1	1
JROTC Units	609	609	609

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

	FY 1998				
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. Activity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	Request A	<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Request</u>	Estimate
,	ф 2 00 000	Φ Ω 11 111	¢000 711	¢204.007	ф 2 05 044
Accession Training	\$200,899	\$211,111	\$209,711	\$204,987	\$205,044
Basic Skills & Advance Training	1,151,473	1,200,541	1,153,541	1,221,279	1,264,253
Recruiting & Other Training Education	<u>234,597</u>	<u>236,095</u>	238,295	<u>225,554</u>	229,246
Total	\$1,586,969	\$1,647,747	\$1,601,547	\$1,651,820	\$1,698,543
B. Reconciliation Summary:			Change		Change
		<u>F</u>	<u>Y 1998/1998</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Y 1998/1999</u>
Baseline Funding			\$1,647,747		\$1,651,820
Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)			-46,200		0
			ŕ		•
FY 1998 Budget Amendment			-43		0
Congressional Adjustments			-17,878		0
(Undistributed)					
Reprogram/Transfer			-124		0
Price Change			0		24,474
Functional Transfers			8,210		15,223
Program Changes			60,108		<u>7,026</u>
Current Estimate			\$1,651,820		\$1,698,543

C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request	\$1,647,747
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	-46,200
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount	\$1,601,547
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment	\$-43
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)	-17,878
	1.) Real Property Maintenance\$+3,3842.) Other Contracts Program Growth\$-8,8403.) Revised Economic Assumption\$-4,0994.) High Risk Automation Systems\$-3,7515.) Section 8041CAAS Reduction\$-2,8486.) Civilian Personnel Understrength\$-1,7007.) Foreign Currency\$-24	
3.	FY 1998 Reprogramming/Transfer	\$-124
	a. Defense Integrated Military Human Resource System (DIMHRS)	\$-124
4.	Functional Program Transfers	\$+8,210
	a. Transfers In	5+8,210

5.	Program Increases		\$+67,190
	a. Basic Skills and Advanced Training	\$+31,930	
	b. Pilot Production	\$+15,028	
	c. Real Property Maintenance Programs	\$+11,263	
	d. General Skills Training	\$+2,649	
	e. Base Support	\$+2,593	
	f. Environmental Compliance	\$+1,893	
	g. Other Professional Education	\$+1,534	
	h. Civilian Pay Adjustment	\$+294	
	i. Recruit Training Individual Equipment	\$+6	
6.	Program Decreases		\$-7,082
	a. Recruiting and Other Training and Education	\$-3,371	
	b. Accession Training	\$-2,652	
	c. Off-Duty Voluntary Education Assistance	\$-1,059	
7.	FY 1998 Current Estimate		\$1,651,820
8.	Price Growth		\$+24,474
9.	Functional Program Transfers		\$+15,223
	a. Transfers In	\$+17,963	
	1.) Competitive Sourcing\$+15,650		
	2.) Information Infrastructure Realignment\$+1,538		
	3.) Military-to-Civilian Conversion, USAFA Faculty \$+443		
	4.) Marine Corps BOS Transfer\$332		

	a.	Transfers Out	\$-2,740	
		1.) Centralize Civilian Career Program PCS \$-2	2,360	
			5-300	
			\$-80	
10.	Pro	gram Increases		\$+40,616
	a.	Pilot Production	\$+12,667	
	b.	AF Reserve/Active Duty Instructor Pilot Augment	\$+4,700	
	c.	Undergraduate Navigator Training	\$+4,430	
	d.	Professional Military Education	\$+3,061	
	e.	Service Academies	\$+2,741	
	f.	Officer Candidate/Training Schools	\$+2,683	
	g.	Other Flight Training.	\$+1,760	
	h.	Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps	\$+1,493	
	i.	Off Duty and Voluntary Education	\$+1,375	
	j.	Acquisition Training	\$+1,353	
	k.	Training Support	\$+1,109	
	k.	Base Communications	\$+843	
	1.	Real Property Services	\$+625	
	m.	Reserve Officer Training Corps	\$+596	
	n.	Examining Activities	\$+525	
	ο.	Recruit Training Accessions	\$+405	
	p.	Civilian Separation Incentives	\$+250	
11.	Pro	gram Decreases		\$-33,590
	a.	Civilian Pay Adjustment	\$-14,970	

	b.	Real Property Maintenance Programs	\$-10,973	
	c.	Base Operating Support	\$-7,647	
12.	FY	1999 Budget Request		\$1,698,543

IV. Personnel Summary:

	FY 1997	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	44,483	43,591	44,223	632
Officer	9,576	9,738	9,900	162
Enlisted	30,878	29,853	30,323	470
Cadets	4,029	4,000	4,000	0
Civilian End Strength (Total)	12,877	12,301	11,989	- 312
U.S. Direct Hire	12,865	12,289	11,977	- 312
Foreign National Direct Hire	4	4	4	0
Total Direct Hire	12,869	12,293	11,981	- 312
Foreign National Indirect Hire	8	8	8	0
Military Workyears (Total)	45,357	44,320	44,603	283
Officer	9,820	10,013	10,063	50
Enlisted	31,586	30,359	30,359	0
Cadets	3,951	3,948	3,947	- 1
Civilian Workyears (Total)	13,378	12,795	12,283	- 512
U.S. Direct Hire	13,370	12,782	12,271	- 511
Foreign National Direct Hire	4	5	4	- 1
Total Direct Hire	13,374	12,787	12,275	- 512
Foreign National Indirect Hire	4	8	8	0

- I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: Air Force accession training produces Air Force enlisted and officer personnel in the quantity, quality, and specific professional skills to meet total force requirements. Categories for financing include five subactivity groups.
 - A. <u>Officer Acquisition:</u> This subactivity group encompasses three separate commissioning programs designed to meet officer force structure requirements.
 - 1. The United States Air Force Academy (USAFA), Colorado Springs, CO, conducts a rigorous four-year curriculum--both military and academic education/training--which provides cadets the knowledge and character-building tools essential to be effective military leaders. Funding provides direct mission support for cadet, preparatory school students and faculty members.
 - 2. The Officer Training School (OTS) located at Maxwell AFB, AL, provides Air Force pre-commissioning training for both prior service and non-prior service individuals. Officer candidates receive intensive military indoctrination over a three month period. This program permits the Air Force to rapidly respond to short term fluctuations in officer requirements. OTS also supports the Air Force Officer Orientation Course for chaplains, lawyers, and other officers who receive direct commissions.
 - 3. The Airman Education and Commissioning Program (AECP) allows selected active duty airmen to earn academic degrees in specific fields based on Air Force needs and to attend OTS to earn a commission.
 - B. <u>Recruit Training:</u> This subactivity group finances the Basic Military Training Group at Lackland AFB, Texas, the initial indoctrination vehicle for newly acquired Non-Prior Service (NPS), Air National Guard (ANG), and Air Force Reserve (AFRES) enlisted recruits. This basic training provides an effective, efficient military indoctrination program that facilitates a smooth transition from civilian life to the military environment. Training lasts six weeks and tests new recruits physically, emotionally, and mentally to prepare them to meet standards of the military profession. The Basic Military Training Group

includes seven basic military training squadrons, a Military Training Instruction (MTI) school, a confidence course, drill and ceremonies function, and a drum and bugle corps.

- C. <u>Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps (AFROTC)</u>: The largest source of new officer accessions, AFROTC supplements academic education with military education and training. AFROTC allows the Air Force to meet accession requirements in specific hard-to-recruit scientific, engineering, and other technical specialties. Financing includes college scholarship tuition, textbooks, summer field training programs, and other logistical costs associated with operating AFROTC detachments at 144 colleges across the country.
- D. <u>Base Support</u> Base support maintains base infrastructure and personnel support functions at USAFA. It fulfills a broad range of critical needs -- from child care for member dependents to highly skilled and specialized security forces that constantly guard our facilities. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, work force productivity, and preserve USAFA's physical plant. The Air Force Academy's physical plant covers: 19,304 acres of land (27 acres of architectural pavement; 143 acres of athletic fields); over 359 structures; encompassing over 8 million square feet of floor space; 160 miles of roads; and 500 miles of utility lines. Also included are personnel support functions such as food and housing services for unaccompanied personnel; child care and family support; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services for Air Force personnel and their family members.
- E. <u>Real Property Maintenance</u> USAFA infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below.

Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction of:

Real Property

Aircraft Maintenance Complexes

Roads

Dormitories

II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1997	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999
Basic Military Training Groups	1	1	1
United States Air Force Academy	1	1	1
AFROTC Detachments	144	140	134
Officer Training School	1	1	1

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

			FY 1998		
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. Subactivity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	Request A	<u>ppropriation</u>	<u>Request</u>	Estimate
Officer Acquisition	\$48,718	\$51,605	\$50,205	\$50,029	\$57,679
Recruit Training	4,578	3,971	3,971	3,815	4,360
Reserve Officer Training Corps	49,509	47,611	47,611	45,162	46,522
Real Property Maintenance	44,280	50,662	50,662	50,157	37,655
Base Support	<u>53,814</u>	57,262	57,262	55,824	58,828
Total	\$200,899	\$211,111	\$209,711	\$204,987	\$205,044

B. Reconciliation Summary:	Change <u>FY 1998/1998</u>	Change <u>FY 1998/1999</u>
Baseline Funding	\$211,111	\$204,987
Congressional Funding (Distributed)	-1,400	0
Congressional Adjustment	-1,545	0
(Undistributed)		
Price Change	0	4,426
Functional Transfers	0	443
Program Changes	<u>-3,179</u>	<u>-4,812</u>
Current Estimate	\$204,987	\$205,044

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

C.

and repair.

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request			\$211,111
	a. Congressional Adjustment (Distributed)		\$-1,400	
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount	•••••		\$209,711
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)		\$-1,545	
	1) Repair and Maintenance	\$+2,184 \$-1,798 \$-614 \$-609 \$-448 \$-260		
3.	Program Increases			\$+221
	a. Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base, \$50,662)		\$+215	

accomplish day-to-day recurring maintenance required to continue the existing life cycle of real property facilities and infrastructure. Any funding less than PML will severely impact mission execution and quality of life. This funding level reflects the Air Force's decision of how best to meet increased operational mission requirements while sustaining an austere level of facility maintenance

	b.	Recruit Training Individual Equipment (FY 1998 Base, \$3,971)	\$+6	
4.	Pro	ogram Decreases		\$-3,400
	a.	Accession Training (FY 1998 Base, \$211,111)	\$-2,652	
	b.	Civilian Pay Adjustment (FY 1998 Base, \$76,025)	\$-748	
5.	FY	1998 Current Estimate		\$204,987
6.	Pri	ce Growth		\$+4,426
7.	Fur	nctional Program Transfers		\$+443
	a.	Transfers In	\$+443	
		1) Military-to-Civilian Conversion, USAFA Faculty \$+443		

The 1993 Defense Authorization act directed the United States Air Force Academy to increase the ratio of civilians on the Academy Faculty. Accordingly, officer instructor manning is being reduced and offset with civilian instructors. FY 1998 funding converts six officer positions to permanent civilian faculty members and communications-computer positions.

8.	Prog	gram Increases		\$+7,893
	a.	Service Academies (FY 1998 Base, \$50,029)	\$+2,741	
	b.	Officer Candidate/Training Schools (FY 1998 Base, \$50,029)	\$+2,683	
	c.	Base Communications (FY 1998 Base, \$55,824)	\$+843	

telecommunications services at the USAF Academy's Auxiliary Airfield (Bullseye).

	d.	Reserve Officer Training Corps (FY 1998 Base, \$45,162)	\$+596	
	e.	Real Property Services (FY 1998 Base, \$50,157)	\$+625	
	f.	Recruit Training Accessions (FY 1998 Base, \$3,815)	\$+405	
9.	Pro	gram Decreases		\$-12,705
	a.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base, \$50,157)	\$-12,705	

recognized facilities at this highly visible installation. This funding reduction does not represent a decrease in the backlog of maintenance and repair work.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Officer Accessions			
Air Force Academy	955	973	976
AFROTC	1,940	1,950	1,900
Officer Training School	212	192	404
Enlisted Accessions - Recruit Training			
USAF- Non-Prior Service	30,200	31,300	32,800
AFRES	1,300	1,300	1,300
ANG	8,350	8,812	9,457
TOTAL	39,850	41,412	43,557
Air Force Academy			
Carryover Strength (As of 31 May)	4,230	4,198	4,208
Entries	1,118	1,243	1,272
Attrition	215	216	221
Graduates	955	973	976
Cadet End Strength	4,208	4,217	4,204
Average Cadet Work Load	4,109	4,115	4,104

ROTC

<u>NOTO</u>	FY 1997	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999
Average Student Enrollment	14,288	14,279	14,343
Graduates Commissioned (Finish ROTC)	1,940	1,950	1,900
Number of Scholarships	5,832	5,580	5,661
Number of Detachments	144	140	134
BASE SUPPORT AND REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE			
Total Major Installations	1	1	1
Facilities Supported (000 sq ft)	5,574	5,618	5,618
Plant Replacement Value (\$000)	\$1,403,500	\$1,433,000	\$1,463,100
Total Number of Quarters	1,407	1,474	1,484
Number of Officer Quarters	272	263	263
Number of Enlisted Quarters	1,135	1,211	1,221
Total Number of Vehicles	484	525	516
Owned	444	486	479
Leased	40	39	37
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	5	4	4
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Spaces	591	696	696
Appropriated Fund Support to MWR (\$ Thousands)	3,800	4,113	4,397
Appropriated Fund Support to Bachelor Housing (\$ Thousands)	44	45	47

V. Personnel Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	FY 1998	<u>FY 1999</u> <u>FY</u>	7 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	11,306	10,616	11,044	428
Officer	1,430	1,369	1,369	0
Enlisted	5,847	5,247	5,675	428
Cadets	4,029	4,000	4,000	0
Civilian End Strength (Total)	1,722	1,822	1,826	4
U.S. Direct Hire	1,722	1,822	1,826	4
Foreign National Direct Hire	0	0	0	0
Total Direct Hire	1,722	1,822	1,826	4
Foreign National Indirect Hire	0	0	0	0
Military Workyears (Total)	11,397	10,690	11,067	377
Officer	1,466	1,406	1,392	- 14
Enlisted	5,980	5,336	5,728	392
Cadets	3,951	3,948	3,947	- 1
Civilian Workyears (Total)	1,836	1,817	1,828	11
U.S. Direct Hire	1,836	1,817	1,828	11
Foreign National Direct Hire	0	0	0	11
Total Direct Hire	1,836	1,817	1,828	0
Foreign National Indirect Hire	0	0	0	11

- I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: The basic skills and advanced training mission is to educate and train our nation's brightest people, build and maintain a rigorous education and training architecture to meet skill requirements into the next century realizing the special training needs driven by a smaller force, and to produce ready and capable aircrews.
 - A. Basic skills and advanced training operations provide Air Force and appropriate personnel of other services individual training and education essential to effectively and efficiently operate, maintain, and manage complex Air Force weapon systems and associated support structure. This training provides the technical know how and leadership skills they need to function as an integral part of the Air Force's overall combat capability and readiness. Programs cover a broad spectrum of requirements for initial and follow-on technical skill progression training, undergraduate flying training, professional military education, specialized professional development, and related training support.
 - 1. Specialized skill training provided to recruit training graduates, active duty military personnel, reservist, national guard personnel, DoD civilians and foreign military members includes technical courses ranging in length from 5 to 50 weeks, and covers a broad spectrum of courses from administration specialist to precision measurement equipment repair. Those members with initial training and job experience, but who now require a higher degree of skill or familiarization with new equipment and operating techniques, receive follow-on skill progression training.
 - 2. Four technical training centers located at Keesler AFB, MS; Goodfellow AFB, TX; Sheppard AFB, TX; and Lackland AFB, TX currently conduct most of our basic and advanced technical training. However, some technical training is also conducted at Vandenberg, Kirtland, Fairchild, and Maxwell AFBs, and at civilian educational institutions and contractor facilities when it is more cost effective, such as in the case of unique systems/procedures.

- B. Primary flying training programs include flight screening, specialized undergraduate pilot training (SUPT), specialized undergraduate and advanced navigator training, pilot instructor training (PIT), and undergraduate helicopter training.
 - 1. Air Education and Training Command (AETC) conducts flight screening operations at both the Air Force Academy and Lackland AFB to identify individuals who have the basic aptitude to become pilots. Units at three bases, Vance AFB, OK; Columbus AFB, MS and Laughlin AFB, TX conduct our SUPT programs. Sheppard AFB hosts the EURO-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training (ENJJPT) mission which produces pilots for participating NATO countries. Randolph AFB, TX provides both instructor pilot and navigator training.
- C. Professional military education (PME) programs enhance and develop the critical leadership skills of junior, mid-career, senior commissioned officers and civilians, and senior noncommissioned officers, to prepare them for progressively more responsible positions. Officer PME contributes to development of warfighting leaders capable of strategic thinking, cultivates expertise in employment of air power, and provides an understanding of joint and combined operations. Enlisted PME strengthens leadership and management capability and broadens knowledge of the military profession. Our PME resident programs include Air War College, Air Command and Staff College, Squadron Officer School, School for Advanced Air Power Studies, Senior Non-Commissioned Officer Academy, the Non-Commissioned Officer Academy, and Airman Leadership Schools. All except the Non-Commissioned Officer Academy and Airman Leadership Schools are also available by correspondence.
- D. Professional development programs for civilian and military personnel provide specialized education to meet needs in specific functional areas. A broad range of continuing education and graduate education programs are offered through the Center for Professional Development; the Education Development Center; the Center for Aerospace Doctrine, Research, and Education; and the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT). Courses are conducted at resident facilities and at civilian colleges or universities throughout the country.
- E. Training support activities that fulfill other essential training functions include Headquarters Air Education and Training Command -- provides positive command, control, and guidance to the training establishment; Field Training Detachments --

conduct on-site training at Active, Guard, and Reserve installations on weapon systems identified to specific commands; and the Air Force Extension Course Institute (ECI) -- offers correspondence course programs covering mandatory career development courses, upgrading in most airman skills, and other training directed toward total force military education requirements.

- F. Base Support maintains personnel support functions and base infrastructure at Air Education and Training Command installations. It fulfills a broad range of critical needs -- from child care for family members to highly skilled and specialized security forces that constantly guard our facilities and systems. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity, and preserve our physical plant. The myriad of functions Base Support encompasses can be categorized as infrastructure or personnel support.
 - 1. Personnel support includes food and housing services for unaccompanied and deployed forces; child care and family support; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services to Air Force personnel and their family members.

G. Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below:

Utility Systems Operation

Installation Equipment Maintenance

Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction

of Real Property

Aircraft Runways

Aircraft Maintenance Complexes

Roads

Dormitories

Environmental Compliance

Engineering Services

Fire Protection

Crash Rescue

Custodial

Refuse Collection

Snow Removal

Security Forces of Protection

Aircraft

Personnel

Buildings

Equipment

Air Base Operability

Explosive Ordinance Disposal

Ground Transportation

Operational Readiness

Other Support

Base Communication Services

Essential Data Processing Services

Lease of Real Property

II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Specialized Skill Training			
Technical Training Centers	5	5	5
Flight Training			
Flying Training Wings/Bases	6	5	5
Aircraft Types Supported	14	13	13
Aircrew Training Devices	7	7	7
Total Aircraft Inventory	1,245	1,257	1,260
Officer Professional Military Education			
Senior Service Schools	1	1	1
Intermediate Service Schools	1	1	1
Junior Service Schools	1	1	1
Enlisted Professional Military Education			
Senior NCO Academy	1	1	1
NCO Academies	10	9	9
Development Centers	2	2	2
Graduate Schools (In-House)	2	2	2

II. Force Structure Summary (cont.):

	FY 1997	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Other Training Support Field Training Detachments (FTD) Field Training Operating Locations	32 12	32 12	32 12
Real Property Maintenance Bases	10	10	10

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

	FY 1998				
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. Subactivity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	Request A	<u>ppropriation</u>	Request	Estimate
Specialized Skill Training	\$205,916	\$196,980	\$175,980	\$198,273	\$215,477
Flight Training	318,456	394,075	394,075	384,395	406,940
Professional Development Education	75,531	88,682	75,682	88,041	90,709
Training Support	66,754	63,296	50,296	62,861	65,742
Depot Maintenance	13,363	0	0	16,560	12,186
Real Property Maintenance	101,469	87,072	87,072	98,120	102,238
Base Support	<u>369,984</u>	<u>370,436</u>	<u>370,436</u>	373,029	<u>370,961</u>
Total	\$1,151,473	\$1,200,541	\$1,153,541	\$1,221,279	\$1,264,253

B. Reconciliation Summary:	Change	Change
·	FY 1998/1998	FY 1998/1999

Baseline Funding	\$1,200,541	\$1,221,279
Congressional Adjustment (Distributed)	-47,000	0
FY 1998 Budget Amendment	-10	0
Congressional Adjustment (Undistributed)	-12,226	0
Reprogram/Transfer	-124	0
Price Change	0	15,103
Functional Transfers	8,210	17,110
Program Changes	<u>71,888</u>	<u>10,761</u>
Current Estimate	\$1,221,279	\$1,264,253

C.	Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):		
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request		\$1,200,541
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	\$-47,000	
2.	FY 1998 Budget Appropriation		\$1,153,541
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment	\$-10	
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)	\$-12,226	
	1.) Real Property Maintenance\$+1,2.) Other Contracts Program Growth\$-5,3.) Revised Economic Assumptions\$-2,4.) High Risk Automation Systems\$-2,5.) Section 8041 CAAS Reduction\$-1,6.) Civilian Personnel Understrength\$-1,7.) Foreign Currency\$-1,	072 799 487 907	
3.	FY 1998 Reprogramming/Transfer		\$-124
	a. Defense Integrated Military Human Resource System (DIMHRS)	\$-124	

4.	Functional Program Transfers	\$+8,210
	 a. Transfers In	\$+8,210
	2.) Information Management/Communications Merger	
	3.) C-130 Command Realignment	
5.	Program Increases	\$+73,888

a.	Basic Skills and Advanced Training (FY 1998 Base, \$1,200,541)	\$+33,930
b.	Pilot Production (FY 1998 Base, \$394,075)	\$+15,028
c.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base, \$87,072)	\$+11,048
d.	Civilian Pay Adjustment (FY 1998 Base, \$411,084)	\$+5,213

	e.	General Skills Training (FY 1998 Base, \$196,980)	\$+2,649	
	f.	Base Support (FY 1998 Base, \$370,436)	\$+2,593	
	g.	Environmental Compliance (FY 1998 Base, \$370,436)	\$+1,893	
	h.	Other Professional Education (FY 1998 Base, \$88,682)	\$+1,534	
6.	Pro	gram Decreases		\$-2,000

	FY O _l the Sk	sic Skills and Advanced Training (FY 1998 Base, \$1,200,541)		\$-2,000	
7.	FY 199	8 Current Estimate			\$1,221,279
8.	Price C	rowth			\$+15,103
9.	Function	nal Program Transfers			\$+17,110
	a. Tr	ansfers In		\$+17,190	
	1.7	Competitive Sourcing	\$+15,320		
	2.)	Information Infrastructure Realignment	\$+1,538		

		3.) Marine Corps BOS Transfer Transfers school courses from the Marines to the Air supports civilian pay and base operating support cosmotor vehicle, combat engineer, food service, calibra welding and HVAC/refrigeration courses.	Force. Funding ts associated with the	
	b.	Transfers Out		\$-80
		1.) Centralize Calibration Contract	For calibration and ent Laboratory capability, under the	
10.	Pro	gram Increases		\$+32,062
	a.	Pilot Production (FY 1998 Base, \$384,395)	Specialized students per year of 1,100 pilots per year smulators, contract separables (DLR),	2,667
	b.	AF Reserve/Active Duty Instructor Pilot Augment.(FY 1 Air Force is expanding the Air Force Reserve (AFR) Inst FY 1999. This expansion establishes Reserve squadrons and Randolph AFBs and supports a Reserve Headquarter AFB. Increased funding supports additional flying hours	ructor Pilot program in at Laughlin, Sheppard, s element at Randolph	4,700

support activities. This expansion enables the Air Force to meet its pilot production goals.

c.	Undergraduate Navigator Training (FY 1998 Base, \$384,395)	\$+4,430
d.	Professional Military Education (FY 1998 Base, \$88,041)	\$+3,061
e.	Other Flight Training (FY 1998 Base, \$384,395)	\$+1,760
f.	Real Property Maintenance (FY 1998 Base, \$98,120)	\$+1,732

accomplish day-to-day recurring maintenance required to continue the existing life cycle of real property facilities and infrastructure. Any funding less than PML will severely impact mission execution and quality of life. This funding level reflects the Air Force's decision of how best to meet increased operational mission requirements while sustaining an austere level of facility maintenance and repair.

g.	Acquisition Training (FY 1998 Base, \$198,273)	\$+1,353
h.	Civilian Separation Incentives (FY 1998 Base, \$416,297)	\$+1,250
i.	Training Support (FY 1998 Base, \$62,861)	\$+1,109

11.	Pro	gram Decreases		\$-21,301
	a.	Civilian Pay Reduction (FY 1998 Base, \$416,297)	\$-13,654	
	b.	Base Operating Support (FY 1998 Base, \$373,029)	\$-7,647	
12.	FY	1999 Budget Request		\$1,264,253

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

See Atch 6 For: Specialized Skills Training Data, Senior Service College, Intermediate Service School, Other Professional Education

Flying Training - Training Loads	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Specialized Undergraduate Pilot Training (JET)	599	1,048	1,147
Undergraduate Navigator Training	160	206	206
Other Flying Training	110	126	132
Euro NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training	222	254	256
Pilot Instructor Training (UPT & ENJJPT)	122	155	160
Graduate Navigator Training	22	30	31
Total	1,235	1,819	1,932
Primary Authorized Aircraft (PAA)			
T-6	0	0	2
T-37	316	347	359
T-38	249	265	277
AT-38	56	66	67
T-43	10	9	9
T-1	86	96	118
TG-3A	2	2	2
TG-4A	10	10	10
TG-7A	8	8	8
TG-9A	4	4	4
TG-10A	1	0	0
TG-11A	2	2	2
T-41D	3	3	3
T-3A	103	103	111
UV-18	2	2	2
Total	852	917	974
Average Primary Aircraft Inventory (APAI)	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999

T-6	0	0	1
T-37	316	347	359
T-38	249	265	277
AT-38	56	66	67
T-43	10	9	9
T-1	86	86	108
T-1A			10
TG-3A	2	2	2
TG-4A	10	10	10
TG-7A	8	8	8
TG-9A	4	4	4
TG-10A	1	0	0
TG-11A	2	2	2
T-41D	3	3	3
T-3A	103	103	111
UV-18	2	2	2
Total	852	917	973
Flying Hours			
T-6	0	0	1,500
T-37	159,848	191,970	197,488
T-38	101,330	110,261	116,619
AT-38	17,071	19,041	20,293
T-43	4,680	5,616	5,616
T-1	50,682	63,942	82,726
T-1A	7,738	7,367	7,292
TG-3A	354	400	400
Flying Hours (Cont.)	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
TG-4A	5,447	5,950	5,950

TG-7A	2,794	2,750	2,750
TG-9A	720	750	750
TG-10A	0	0	0
TG-11A	294	560	560
T-41D	621	750	750
T-3A	27,045	53,817	50,532
UV-18	1,974	1,950	1,950
Total	380,598	465,124	495,176
Average Flying Hours Per (APAI)			
T-6	0	0	1,500
T-37	1,119	2,015	1,998
T-38	1,374	1,246	1,247
AT-38	305	289	303
T-43	468	624	624
T-1	589	744	766
T-1A	0	737	729
TG-3A	177	200	200
TG-4A	545	595	595
TG-7A	349	344	344
TG-9A	180	188	188
TG-10A	0	0	0
TG-11A	147	280	280
T-41D	207	250	250
T-3A	263	522	455
UV-18	987	975	975

V. Personnel Summary:

•	<u>FY 1997</u>	FY 1998	<u>FY 1999</u>	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	30,030	29,825	30,047	222
•	,	,	,	
Officer	7,906	8,127	8,310	183
Enlisted	22,124	21,698	21,737	39
Civilian End Strength (Total)	9,194	8,700	8,385	- 315
U.S. Direct Hire	9,194	8,699	8,384	- 315
Foreign National Direct Hire	0	1	1	0
Total Direct Hire	9,194	8,699	8,384	- 315
Foreign National Indirect Hire	0	0	0	0
Military Workyears (Total)	30,739	30,424	30,375	- 49
Officer	8,107	8,358	8,446	88
Enlisted	22,632	22,066	21,929	- 137
Civilian Work Years (Total)	9,717	9,164	8,675	- 489
U.S. Direct Hire	9,717	9,162	8,674	- 488
Foreign National Direct Hire	0	2	1	- 1
Total Direct Hire	9,717	9,162	8,674	- 488
Foreign National Indirect Hire	0	0	0	0

- I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: Missions include personnel acquisition operations, voluntary education programs for active duty, civilian professional development programs, and the Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps.
 - A. Recruiting, processing and classification operations provide personnel in the required quantity, quality and skills, both non-prior and prior service (officer and enlisted), to fulfill Air Force end-strength and force structure manpower requirements. We seek qualified applicants who meet stringent standards to satisfy the immediate and long-term strength needs of the active force. Advertising efforts support the following personnel procurement programs: Enlisted, Career Motivation, Air Force Reserve, Air Force Academy (AFA), Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC), Officer Training, Health Professional and Specialized Recruiting (hard-to-fill skills). The thrust in advertising is to achieve a balance between lead generation which supports current objectives and awareness programs which support long range efforts aimed at future prospects. Examining activities provide for test and development of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (enlistment exam) in support of all Services processes and military manning at the Military Entrance Processing Command (MEPCOM). MEPCOM processes applicants for all Services and ships them to basic training.
 - B. The Voluntary and Off-Duty Education Program, a major recruiting, retention, and training incentive, provides active duty personnel the opportunity for professional development and advancement through tuition assistance for post-secondary education. Specific off-duty programs financed include the Veterans Education Assistance Program (VEAP), the Educational Assistance Test Programs, and the Tuition Assistance program. Both VEAP (Public Law 94-502) and the Tuition Assistance program are contributory programs in which military personnel may receive matching funds from the Air Force when the individual enters a qualified training/education program. Educational Assistance Test Program (EATP) is a non-contributory program authorized by Congress to test the effect of certain education incentives on recruiting and retention of selected Air Force Specialty Codes.
 - C. Civilian education and training programs provide technical, professional, and specialized skill training, supervisory and management development, and administrative and clerical instruction to over 90,000 Air Force O&M civilian employees. Training requirements are driven by Office of Personnel Management, DoD, and Air Force directives, as well as needs identified by major commands and centralized career programs.

D. Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC) units are located in high schools throughout the nation, and at selected dependent schools in Europe and Guam. This program is primarily designed to motivate young Americans to be better citizens with emphasis on self-discipline, personal responsibility, values, and graduation from high school.

II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Recruiting Regions	4	4	4
Recruiting Squadrons	28	28	28
Recruiting Offices	1,159	1,159	1,159
Overseas Locations	11	11	11
MEPCOM Facilities (All CONUS)	67	67	67
Base Education Offices	85	85	81
JROTC Units	609	609	609
CONUS	596	596	596
OVERSEAS	13	13	13

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

	FY 1998				
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. <u>Subactivity Groups</u> :	<u>Actual</u>	Request A	<u>appropriation</u>	Request	Estimate
Recruiting & Advertising	\$61,881	\$55,039	\$57,239	\$55,000	\$54,775
Examining	1,431	2,212	2,212	2,084	2,668
Off Duty & Voluntary Education	80,596	85,609	85,609	81,095	84,122
Civilian Education & Training	65,652	67,183	67,183	62,714	61,124
JROTC	<u>25,037</u>	26,052	<u>26,052</u>	<u>24,661</u>	26,557
Total	\$234,597	\$236,095	\$238,295	\$225,554	\$229,246
B. Reconciliation Summary			Change		Change
•		<u>FY</u>	7 1998/1998	<u>FY</u>	1998/1999
Baseline Funding			\$236,095		\$225,554
Congressional Funding (Distributed)			2,200		0
Congressional Funding (Undistributed)			-4,107		0
FY 1998 Budget Amendment			-33		0
Price Change			0		4,945
Functional Transfers			0		-2,330
Program Changes			<u>-8,601</u>		<u>1,077</u>
Current Estimate			\$225,554		\$229,246

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands): FY 1998 President's Budget Request \$236,095 Congressional Adjustment (Distributed) +2,200FY 1998 Appropriated Amount \$238,295 FY 1998 Budget Amendment.... \$-33 Congressional Adjustment (Undistributed) \$-4,107 1.) Other Contracts Program Growth \$-1,970 2.) Revised Economic Assumptions..... \$-686 3.) High Risk Automation Systems \$-655 4.) Section 8041 CAAS Savings..... \$-493 5.) Civilian Personnel Understrength..... \$-285 \$-18 6.) Foreign Currency Fluctuation..... Program Decreases.... \$-8,601 Civilian Pay Adjustment (FY 1998 Base, \$90,823)..... \$-4,171 The decrease represents revised civilian pay funding requirements based on updated assessment of actual workyear costs.

\$-3,371

Recruiting and Other Training and Education (FY 1998 Base, \$236,095).......

Based on emerging requirements, the Air Force redistributed the Congressional adjustment for training infrastructure and HQ and administrative activity groups to ensure balance between readiness and quality of life.

	c. Off-Duty Voluntary Education Assistance (FY 1998 Base, \$85,609)	\$-1,059
4.	FY 1998 Current Estimate	\$225,554
5.	Price Growth	\$+4,945
6.	Functional Program Transfers	\$-2,330
	a. Transfers In	\$+330
	1.) Competitive Sourcing (A-76 Military Actions)	
	b. Transfers Out	\$-2,660
	1.) Centralize Civilian Career Program PCS\$-2,360	

Realign all Air Force Civilian Career program and Senior Executive Service Permanent Change of Station (PCS) funding into one central account managed by the Air Force Personnel Operations Agency (AFPOA). Realignment is necessary so that the Air Force can more efficiently manage vital civilian PCS resources. Funding was transferred to Subactivity Group Personnel Programs.

		2.) Joint Recruiting Information Support System (JRISS)	\$-300	
7.	Pro	gram Increases		\$+3,393
	a.	Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (FY 1998 Base, \$24,661)	\$+1,493	
	b.	Off Duty and Voluntary Education (FY 1998 Base, \$81,095)	\$+1,375	
	c.	Examining Activities (FY 1998 Base, \$2,084)	\$+525	

Increase is for the Air Force Officer Qualifying Test (AFOQT) review cycle. These tests are reviewed periodically in order to prevent obsolescence. The next review cycle will begin in FY 1999 and end in FY 2002. The AFOQT is a critical tool for selection into Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps, Officer Training School, Undergraduate Pilot Training, and Air National Guard pilot training. It consists of 16 sub-tests that are combined into composites for pilot, navigator/technical, and non-rated officer selection.

8.	Program Decreases			\$-2,316
	a.	Civilian Pay Adjustment (FY 1998 Base, \$86,105)	\$-1,316	
	b.	Civilian Voluntary Separation Incentives (FY 1998 Base, \$86,105)	\$-1,000	
9.	FY	1999 Budget Request		\$229,246

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

•	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Non-prior Service Accessions	30,200	31,300	32,800
Prior Service Accessions	110	150	150
Line Officer Accessions			
Air Force Academy	1,118	1,243	1,272
Reserve Officer Training Corps	3,120	5,398	5,438
Officer Training School	503	462	1,159
Airman Education and Commissioning Program	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>35</u>
Total Line Officer	4,776	7,138	7,904
Non-Line Officer Recruiting Objectives			
Physician	36	20	10
Nurses	396	457	450
Judge Advocate/Chaplain	148	153	153
All Others	<u>799</u>	<u>826</u>	<u>763</u>
Total Non-Line Officer	1,379	1,456	1,376
Off-Duty & Voluntary Education			
Enrollments	243,296	252,000	245,000
Voluntary Education Assistance Program			
(VEAP) Matching Payments	\$1,237	\$900	\$669
Education Assistance Test Programs			
Cash Payouts - Section 901	112	81	40
Loan Forgiveness - Section 902	3	3	3
Non-Contributory VEAP - Section 903	11	10	18
Funding	\$1,435	\$978	\$736
IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary (Cont.):			

Training Programs Supported:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Other Professional Training			
Input	12,908	13,769	13,242
Dollars	\$10,164	\$10,842	\$10,427
JROTC Enrollments	91,284	95,849	100,642

V. Personnel Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	3,147	3,150	3,132	- 18
Officer	240	242	221	- 21
Enlisted	2,907	2,908	2,911	3
Civilian End Strength (Total)	1,961	1,779	1,778	- 1
U.S. Direct Hire	1,949	1,768	1,767	- 1
Foreign National Direct Hire	4	3	3	0
Total Direct Hire	4	3	3	0
Foreign National Indirect Hire	8	8	8	0
Military Workyears (Total)	3,221	3,206	3,161	- 45
Officer	247	249	225	- 24
Enlisted	2,974	2,957	2,936	- 21
Civilian Workyears (Total)	1,825	1,814	1,780	- 34
U.S. Direct Hire	1,817	1,803	1,769	- 34
Foreign National Direct Hire	4	3	3	0
Total Direct Hire	4	3	3	0
Foreign National Indirect Hire	4	8	8	0

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: This budget activity encompasses four broad mission areas -- Logistics Operations, Servicewide Support, Security Programs, and Support to Other Nations.

Logistics Operations This activity group includes Air Force Logistics Operations, Technical Support Activities, Servicewide Transportation, and Base Support. It primarily funds the operation of Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC), which provides Air Force-wide cradle-to-grave acquisition and logistics support and comprises 90 percent of the resources in this activity group. Fully half the resources provide for the civilian pay for O&M activities at the air logistics centers, product centers, administrative headquarters, and base support personnel; remaining resources fund the associated cost of travel, transportation, supplies, equipment, purchased equipment maintenance, contractual services, and operations of AFMC Headquarters, Product Centers, and Acquisition Program Executive Offices. Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center headquarters management and testing programs are also funded in this activity group.

<u>Servicewide Activities</u> These activities cut across the entire Air Force to ensure combat capability and maintain readiness, effective leadership, efficient management, and adequate support to Air Force units and personnel in diverse geographic locations. Much of this is accomplished via a number of highly specialized and unique Air Force organizations. Some support only Air Force operations, others support all services. Operations encompass a broad spectrum of essential servicewide activities that include Air Staff and departmental level administration; communications; personnel programs; search, rescue and recovery services; arms control; Commissary Operations and base support.

<u>Security Programs</u> This activity group includes the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) and a series of classified programs. Classified programs are not discussed in this document. The AFOSI is a Field Operating Agency (FOA) with headquarters at Bolling AFB, DC. AFOSI's mission is to protect Air Force resources through specialized investigative support.

<u>Support to Other Nations</u> This activity group provides support to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO); Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE); North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Airborne Early Warning and Control (NATO AEW&C) program; and other international headquarters. Also included is the Technology Transfer Program which controls the transfer of critical Air Force technologies to foreign governments.

II. Force Structure Summary:

n. Totee Structure Summary.	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Military Personnel Flights*	84	84	84
Civilian Personnel Flights*	98	96	96
Squadrons	17	16	15
Primary Aircraft Authorization	77	78	78
Total Aircraft Authorization (TAI)	80	91	97
Flying Hours	17,080	21,437	19,249
Number of Direct Reporting Units	3	3	3
Number of Field Operating Agencies	37	37	37
CAP National Headquarters	1	1	1
CAP Regions	8	8	8
CAP Wings	52	52	52
CAP Groups, Squadrons, Flights	1,800	1,800	1,800
CAP Aircraft	5,200	5,200	5,200
Bases	10	13	13
Number of Air Logistics Centers Supported	5	5	5
Number of Product Centers Supported	4	4	4
Number of Labs Supported	4	4	4
Number of OSI Detachments/Operating Locations - CONUS	116	114	114
Number of OSI Detachments/Operating Locations - Overseas	44	44	44
International Activities	8	8	8
International Headquarters	6	6	6
NATO Aircraft	17	17	17

^{*} This force structure is financed across all activities, however, AFPC (financed in this activity) provides Air Force-wide support for these programs.

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

		FY 1998				
		FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A.	Activity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	Request	Appropriation	Request	Estimate
	Logistics Operations	\$2,260,069	\$2,363,385	\$2,330,385	\$2,353,294	\$2,535,950
	Servicewide Activities	1,674,118	1,352,361	1,274,161	1,332,284	1,653,027
	Security Programs	492,974	510,046	510,046	513,466	557,256
	Support to Other Nations	13,275	13,260	13,260	<u>15,514</u>	13,608
	Total	\$4,440,436	\$4,239,052	\$4,127,852	\$4,214,558	\$4,759,841
В.	Reconciliation Summary:			Change <u>FY</u> 1998/1998		Change <u>FY</u> 1998/1999
	Baseline Funding			\$4,239,052		\$4,214,558
	FY 98 Budget Amendment			-1,288		0
	Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)			-111,200		0
	Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)			73,489		0
	Reprogramming			1,454		
	Price Change			0		100,037
	Functional Transfers			-4,017		533,196
	Program Changes			17,068		<u>-87,950</u>
	Current Estimate			\$4,214,558		\$4,759,841

C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

1.	FY	1998 President's Budget Request			\$4,239,052
	a.	Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)		\$-111,200	
2.	FY	1998 Appropriated Amount			\$4,127,852
	a.	FY 1998 Budget Amendment		\$-1,288	
	b.	Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)		\$+73,489	
		1) Real Property Maintenance	\$+70,000		
		2) REMIS	+8,900		
		3) Classified Undistributed Programs	+4,000		
		4) Revised Economic Assumptions	-2,595		
		5) TDY Expenses	-2,500		
		6) High Risk Automation Systems	-2,382		
		7) Section 8041 CAAS Savings	-1,206		
		8) Foreign Currency Fluctuation	-728		
3.	Rep	orogramming/Transfers			\$+1,454
	a.	Transfers In		\$+1,563	
		1) International Cooperative Administrative Support Services (ICASS)	\$+1,563		
	b.	Transfers Out		\$-109	
		1) Defense Integrated Military Human Resource System (DIMHRS)	\$-109		

4.	Fur	actional Program Transfers			\$-4,017
	a.	Transfers In	\$+2,215 \$+54	\$+2,269	
	b.	Transfers Out		\$-6,286	
		AETC Standard Systems Group to BOS Transfer	\$-6,286		
5.	Pro	gram Increases			\$+94,356
	a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m.	Congressional Mark Adjustment - Admin and Support BA-4 Acquisition and Command Support Flying Hour Consumption Changes Logistics Operations (Non-DWCF) Civilian Pay Adjustment High Frequency Radio Systems Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center. Manpower Realignment Modernized Air Force Military Personnel Data Systems (MILMOD) Air Force Personnel Center Workstation Upgrades Long-Haul Communications International Activities Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Program		\$+49,300 +13,378 +7,776 +7,100 +6,840 +2,614 +1,828 +1,450 +1,187 +949 +849 +721 +364	
6.	Pro	gram Decreases	•••••		\$-77,288

	a.	Servicewide Transportation		\$-30,648	
	b.	Base Support		-24,350	
	c.	Air Force Communications		-6,103	
		Real Property Maintenance Programs		-4,563	
	e.	Civil Air Patrol Corporation		-3,972	
	f.	Field Operating Agencies and Other Infrastructure Reductions		-2,640	
	g.	Defense Message System		-1,418	
	h.	Congressional Mark Adjustment - Contingency Operations		-1,000	
	i.	Logistics Operations		-842	
	j.	USAF Civil Air Patrol Support		-697	
	k.	Air Force New Agency (AFNEWS) Service Contracts		-678	
	1.	Defense Standardization Program		-191	
	m.	Classified Programs.		-186	
7.	FY	1998 Current Estimate			\$4,214,558
8.	Pric	ee Growth			\$+100,037
9.	Fun	ctional Program Transfers			\$+533,196
	a.	Transfers In		\$+602,569	
		1) Commissary Operations	\$+302,070		
		2) RDT&E Base Operations Infrastructure Transfer	\$+210,258		
		3) Pentagon Renovation	\$+28,000		
		4) Defense Investigative Service Working Capital Fund	\$+30,300		
		5) Competitive Sourcing	\$+16,275		
		6) Centralize Civilian Career Program PCS	\$+10,309		
		7) Long Haul Communications Consolidation	\$+3,488		
		8) Centralize Calibration Contract	\$+995		

		9)	High Frequency Contractor Logistics Support (HF CLS)	\$+874		
	b.	Tra	nsfers Out		\$-69,373	
		1) 2)	Information Infrastructure Realignment Decentralize Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM)	\$-20,739 \$-10,525		
		3) 4) 5)	Air Force Studies and Analysis Agency Realignment	\$-9,744 \$-8,513 \$-6,880		
		6) 7) 8)	Air Force Pentagon Communications Agency (AFPCA) Realign Technical Orders to Weapons Systems Global Combat Support System CDA Transfer to RDT&E Contract Acquisition Logistics Support	\$-5,397 \$-3,909 \$-3,666		
10.	Pro	gram	ı Increases			\$+33,901
	a. b. c. d.	De _l Cla	ancial Information Resource System (FIRST) pot Maintenance ssified Programs ductivity Programs.		\$+16,330 +7,183 +6,612 +3,776	
11.	Pro	gram	Decreases			\$-121,851
	a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h.	Log Tec Info Ma DF Log	al Property Maintenance Programs gistics Support Activities chnical Support Activities rastructure Streamlining/Workforce Adjustments nagement Headquarters Reductions AS Customer Funding gistics Operations se Operating Support		\$-26,420 -20,983 -14,038 -10,692 -7,392 -6,972 -6,737 -4,485	

	i.	Defense Standardization Program	-4,456	
	j.	Information Management Automation Program	-4,039	
	k.	Command Flying Hour Alignment	-3,742	
	1.	Servicewide Transportation	-3,110	
	m.	Security/Investigative Activities	-2,261	
	n.	International Support	-2,188	
	0.	Defense Courier Service	-1,750	
	p.	Personnel Administration	-1,252	
	q.	Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance	-895	
	r.	USAF Civil Air Patrol Support	-389	
	s.	Civil Air Patrol Corporation	-50	
12.	FY	1999 Budget Request		\$4,759,841

IV. Personnel Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	41,268	39,666	40,700	1,034
Officer	12,360	12,575	12,365	- 210
Enlisted	28,908	27,091	28,335	1,244
Civilian End Strength (Total)	38,762	37,491	38,370	879
U.S. Direct Hire	38,528	37,262	38,141	879
Foreign National Direct Hire	128	95	95	0
Total Direct Hire	38,656	37,357	38,236	879
Foreign National Indirect Hire	106	134	134	0
Military Workyears (Total)	42,231	40,462	41,140	678
Officer	12,670	13,937	16,590	2,653
Enlisted	29,561	27,541	28,578	1,037
Civilian Workyears (Total)	37,896	38,191	38,920	729
U.S. Direct Hire	37,638	37,943	38,691	748
Foreign National Direct Hire	94	102	95	- 7
Total Direct Hire	37,732	38,045	38,786	741
Foreign National Indirect Hire	164	146	134	- 12

FY 1999 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: This activity group includes Air Force Logistics Operations, Technical Support Activities, Servicewide Transportation, Real Property Maintenance, and Base Support. It primarily funds the operation of Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC), which provides Air Force-wide cradle-to-grave acquisition and logistics support and comprises 90 percent of the resources in this activity group. Fully half the resources provide for the civilian pay for O&M activities at the air logistics centers, product centers, administrative, headquarters, and base support personnel; remaining resources fund the associated cost of travel, transportation, supplies, equipment, purchased equipment maintenance, contractual services, and operations of AFMC Headquarters, Product Centers, and Acquisition Program Executive Offices. Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center headquarters management and testing programs are also funded in this activity group.

The Logistics Operations subactivity group provides funding for Depot Maintenance, Logistics Administration Support, Management Headquarters, Logistics Support Activities, Engineering and Installation Support, Logistics Operations, and Support Systems Development.

Depot Maintenance resources provide for purchases of the working capital funded programs for support of the repair of engine support equipment; the repair of other major equipment items; the repair of non-working capital funded exchangeable components; support of embedded software programs; area base manufacturing activities; and the storage of retired weapon systems. In FY98, the Tactical Missile maintenance and in FY 1999 exchangeable maintenance on conventional munitions work transferred to Air Combat Command completing the decentralization effort. Programming, budgeting, and execution decisions are made for each weapon system by the operating commands, resulting in better weapon system management and increased cost visibility. Only those items that cannot be effectively allocated to the appropriate mission area or are AFMC specific requirements have been retained in this activity group.

Logistics Support Activities provides cradle to grave support for AF logistics management information systems (MIS). This includes general purpose computer hardware and operational support, sustainment of existing software, and limited systems modernization. Funding provides payments to Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) for computer processing support and to the Information Systems Activity Group (ISAG) for Central Design Activity (CDA) organic and contracted software support.

FY 1999 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: LOGISTICS OPERATIONS

Logistics Administrative Support provides for the day to day operations of the five AFMC Air Logistics Centers (San Antonio ALC, Sacramento ALC, Oklahoma ALC, Warner Robins ALC, and Ogden ALC). Logistics Administrative Support provides an efficient, cost effective administrative capability for policy formulation, planning, programming, budgeting, resource distribution, and review and evaluation of program performance. Principle to these operations are the center commanders and their staff, including the functions of the inspector general, history, small and disadvantaged business, and plans and program offices.

Management Headquarters provides for the day to day operations of AFMC headquarters at Wright-Patterson AFB, four Product Centers (Aeronautical Systems Center, Electronics Systems Center, Human Systems Center, and Space and Missile Systems Center), and the Air Force's Acquisition Program Executive Offices (PEOs). Management Headquarters fulfills the leadership, planning, policy formulation, and administrative functions essential to ensure optimum combat capability, readiness and morale of the combat support forces assigned to Air Force Materiel Command, providing administrative command and control capability for the Air Force logistics and acquisition community. The workload is accomplished by organic manpower.

Logistics Engineering and Installation (E&I) requirements include programming, engineering, installation, testing and acceptance of communications, command and control, meteorological and air traffic control systems and facilities for the Air Force. These functions are performed on a world-wide basis.

Logistics Operations funds logistics activities not included in the Supply Management Activity Group (SMAG) of the Defense Working Capital Fund (DWCF). These include retail supply management and inventory control points and procurement operations for non-stock funded material and weapon system management. Specific functions and organizations include:

Product Management
Vehicle Management
Special Weapons
Air Force Logistics Management Agency
Contractor Logistics Support Management
Common Support Equipment

System Program Management
Rail Operations
Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center
Wright Patterson Contract Center
Center Supportability and Technology Insertions
Life Sciences Equipment Lab

Services provided by the above organizations include: item requirements computation; commodity management; standardization; cataloguing; systems and data management; procurement and contract administration; requisition processing; inventory accounting and supply management; receipt, storage, preservation, issue and distribution of materiel. This activity group does not provide support for items or materiel included in the SMAG cost of operations.

The Technical Support Activities subactivity group funds Acquisition and Command Support, the Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center, and the Defense Standardization Program, which is planned for completion in FY 1998.

Acquisition and Command Support (ACS) includes functions at AFMC product centers (Aeronautical Systems Center (ASC), Wright Patterson AFB, OH; Electronic Systems Center (ESC), Hanscom AFB, MA; Space and Missile Systems Center (SMC), Los Angeles AFB, CA; and Human Systems Center (HSC), Brooks AFB, TX). It does not fund Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) activities which are funded in the RDT&E appropriation. The product centers conceive, design, develop, integrate, and acquire Air Force systems, subsystems, and equipment. ASC is responsible for management of aeronautical systems acquisition. ESC is responsible for command, control, communications, and intelligence systems acquisition. SMC plans, programs, and manages space systems. HSC manages aerospace medicine studies, analysis, and technology. Acquisition and Command Support funds staff functions, technical mission, and support activities at Air Force Materiel Command acquisition organizations.

The Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center (AFOTEC) funds specific operational test and evaluation (OT&E) projects assigned to the AFOTEC. Costs include range costs, transportation, travel, and per diem for the OT&E teams conducting OT&E projects, modification of test items used to obtain test data, special test equipment, special data collection, reduction and analysis, contractual services in support of specific projects, and any other unique test costs incurred in conducting a specific OT&E project.

Resources in the Servicewide Transportation subactivity group fund Second Destination Transportation and the Defense Courier Service. These programs supply the Air Force with worldwide transportation services.

The Second Destination Transportation (SDT) program moves cargo for all Air Force activities and Air Post Office (APO) mail for all overseas Air Force activities. SDT provides CONUS-OCONUS movement of non-Defense Working Capital Fund Air Force materiel (vehicles, munitions, aircraft engines, support equipment, etc.) from depot to depot or base to base as directed by the item manager. SDT funds maintenance to maintenance shipments entering the Defense Transportation System (DTS). The DTS includes airlift and over ocean movement by Air Mobility Command (AMC) and Military Sealift Command (MSC). Most costs incurred support overseas warfighting commands. Also included is support for distribution of APO mail destined to, from, and between overseas installations. The Air Force continues to implement cost avoidance/efficiency measures to decrease transportation costs. These include diverting general cargo, previously air eligible, to surface movement; moving assets resulting from overseas force structure reductions and basing changes by surface; and moving Readiness Spares and Peacetime Training Operations munitions by surface.

Defense Courier Service (DCS) is a joint activity under the Commander-in-Chief, USTRANSCOM, exercising operational command as executive agent for the Department of Defense (DoD). DCS is the single DoD agency responsible for secure/rapid worldwide distribution of highly classified, time-sensitive national security material and is an integral part of the National Command Authority's command, control, and communications system. The service is responsible for transporting primarily top secret, sensitive compartmented information, cryptographic keying material, and other sensitive materials. DCS services over 7,000 customers, including DoD components, federal agencies, NATO and U.S. allies, and government contractors. Air Force customers total 1,150 and account for 30 percent of the DoD portion of the workload. DCS utilizes Air Mobility Command and commercial airlift, overnight express carriers, small charter aircraft, and ground vehicles to transport distributions. It is composed of a headquarters staff, three regional commanders (CONUS/North America, European, and Pacific regions), and 32 Defense Courier Stations located in 11 nations.

Finally, the Base Support and Real Property Maintenance subactivity groups maintain infrastructure and personnel support functions essential to AFMC main operating installations. They fulfill a broad range of critical needs from child care for family members to highly skilled and specialized security forces that constantly guard our facilities. The objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity, infrastructure support, and to preserve AFMC's physical plant.

Infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below:

Engineering Services

Utility Systems Operation

Fire Protection Crash Rescue

Custodial

Refuse Collection

Snow Removal

Explosive Ordinance Disposal

Security Forces for Protection of:

Aircraft

Buildings

Equipment

Personnel

Real Property

Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction of:

Aircraft Maintenance Complexes

Roads

Dormitories

Ground Transportation

Environmental Programs

Other Support

Base Communication Services

Essential Data Processing Services

Also included are personnel support functions such as food and housing services for unaccompanied personnel; child care and family support; religious services and programs; payroll; personnel management; and morale, welfare and recreation (MWR) services for military personnel and their family members.

The physical plant maintained by AFMC supports a total of 83.7 billion square feet of structure with a current replacement value in excess of \$25.3 billion; 1.04 million acres of land; 3.4 million square yards of aprons; 743 miles of roads, 850 miles of water lines; and 2,769 miles of electrical distribution lines.

II. <u>Force Structure Summary</u>:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	FY 1998	FY 1999
Bases	10	10	13
Number of Air Logistics Centers Supported	5	5	5
Number of Product Centers Supported	4	4	4
Labs Supported	4	4	4

The Air Force Materiel Command's five Air Logistics Centers, four Product Centers, and four Labs continue to provide "cradle-to-grave" acquisition and logistics support Air Force-wide. The declining command population is in response to force structure reductions, streamlining management initiatives, and the goals and objectives of the National Performance Review and the Federal Workforce Restructuring Act of 1995.

III. <u>Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands)</u>:

			FY 1998		
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. Subactivity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	Request	<u>Appropriation</u>	Request	Estimate
Laciatica Operations	\$740.47 <i>5</i>	\$700 COO	\$700 / 00	\$705.22Q	\$70 <i>C</i> 902
Logistics Operations	\$740,475	\$788,680	\$788,680	\$725,328	\$706,893
Technical Support Activities	363,275	390,267	390,267	396,868	389,685
Servicewide Transportation	211,188	236,372	236,372	205,152	196,178
Depot Maintenance (1)	57,566	0	0	82,781	69,344
Real Property Maintenance	206,967	194,617	194,617	216,421	257,685
Base Support	<u>680,598</u>	753,449	720,449	726,744	916,165
Total	\$2,260,069	\$2,363,385	\$2,330,385	\$2,353,294	\$2,535,950
B. Reconciliation Summary			Change		Change
		F	<u>Y 1998/1998</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>7 1998/1999</u>
Baseline Funding			\$2,363,385		\$2,353,294
Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)			-33,000		0
FY98 Budget Amendment			0		0
Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)			65,707		0
Reprogramming/Transfers			-109		0
Price Change			0		49,642
Functional Transfers			7,079		213,366
Program Changes			<u>-49,768</u>		<u>-80,352</u>
Current Estimate			\$2,353,294		\$2,535,950

⁽¹⁾ IAW FY 1998 DoD Appropriations Act, Depot Maintenance is separately displayed as an O-1 line item.

C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

1. FY 1998 President's Budget	•••••		\$2,363,385
a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)		\$-33,000	
2. FY 1998 Appropriated Amount	•••••		\$2,330,385
a. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed) 1) Real Property Maintenance 2) REMIS 3) TDY Expenses 4) High Risk Automation Systems 5) Revised Economic Assumptions 6) Section 8041 CAAS Savings	\$+62,794 \$+8,900 \$-2,500 \$-1,399 \$-1,365 \$-723	\$+65,707	
3. Reprogramming/Transfers			\$-109
a. Decrease		\$-109 \$-109	

4. Functional Program Transfers			\$+7,079
a. Transfers In		\$+13,235	
1) Depot Maintenance Budget Structure Change	\$+11,994		
2) Information Management (IM)/Communications Merger	\$+1,241		
b. Transfers Out		\$-6,156	
1) AETC Standard Systems Group to BOS Transfer	\$-3,608		
2) Central Design Activities (CDA) Customer Funding Transfer	\$-2,548		

realignment provides better visibility of software design costs and provides the customer with flexibility to determine and control CDA requirements.

5. Program Increases		\$+24,914
a. Acquisition and Command Support (FY 1998 Base \$351,672)	\$+13,378	
b. Logistics Operations (Non-DWCF)(FY 1998 Base \$ 386,948)	\$+7,100	
c. Logistics Operations (FY 1998 Base \$2,363,385)	\$+2,608	
d. Air Force Operational Test and Evaluation Center (FY 1998 Base \$33,999) Details of this increase are classified.	\$+1,828	

6. Program Decreases		\$-74,682
a. Servicewide Transportation (FY 1998 Base \$236,372)	\$-30,648	
b. Base Support (FY 1998 Base \$344,420)	\$-29,308	
c. Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base \$194,617)	\$-11,085	

d. Logistics Operations (FY 1998 Base \$ 386,948)	\$-3,450
e. Defense Standardization Program (FY 1998 Base \$4,596)	\$-191
7. FY 1998 Current Estimate	\$2,353,294
8. Price Growth	\$+49,642
9. Functional Program Transfers	\$+213,366
a. Transfers In	\$+253,155
1) AFMC Base Operations Infrastructure Transfer	210,258
2) Information Infrastructure Realignment	+25,459

3) Competitive Sourcing (A-76 Military Action)	\$+16,443	
4) Centralize Calibration Contract	\$+995	
b. Transfers Out		\$-39,789
1) Decentralize Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (DPEM)	\$-10,525	
Ammunition Management Standard System Decrease reflects transfer of program to RDT&E.	\$-8,513	
3) Centralize Civilian Permanent Change of Station (PCS)	\$-7,631	

Realigns all AF Civilian Career program and Senior Executive Service PCS funding into one central account managed by the Air Force Personnel Operations Agency (AFPOA). Realignment is necessary so that the AF can more efficiently manage vital civilian PCS resources.

10.

4) Realign Technical Orders to Weapons Systems	\$-5,545		
5) Global Combat Support System(GCSS) CDA Transfer to RDT&E	\$-3,909		
6) Contract Acquisition Logistics Support	\$-3,666		
). Program Increases			\$+15,673
a. Servicewide Transportation (FY 1998 Base \$236,372)		\$+8,490	

required is paid directly to the provider. These reimbursements will be paid at the beginning of the year.

b.	Depot Maintenance (Non-IF) (FY 1998 Base \$ 104,452)	\$+7,183	
11. P	Program Decreases		\$-96,025
a.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base, \$216,421)	\$-29,538	
b.	Logistics Support Activities (FY 1998 Base \$188,425)	\$-20,983	
c.	Technical Support Activities (FY 1998 Base \$390,267)	\$-14,038	

d. Servicewide Transportation (FY 1998 Base \$236,372)	\$-11,600
e. Logistics Operations (FY 1998 Base \$389,950)	\$-6,737
f. Base Operating Support (FY 1998 Base, \$475,609)	\$-4,485
g. Defense Standardization Program (FY 1998 Base \$4,456)	\$-4,456
h. Defense Courier Service (FY 1998 Base \$12,617)	\$-1,750

This decrease represents programmed efficiencies realigned to more critical Air Force requirements.

 Management Headquarters (Logistics)(FY 1998 Base \$70,480) This decrease is due to a reduction in civilian workyears and associated miscellaneous costs. 	\$-1,543	
j. Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance (FY 1998 Base \$82,781)	\$-895	
12. FY 1999 Budget Request		\$2,535,950

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES			
Number of Product Centers Supported	4	4	4
REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE			
Facilities Supported (000 Sq ft)	58,127	58,004	82,348
Plant Replacement Value (\$000)	\$19,112,000	\$19,399,000	\$25,533,000
BASE SUPPORT	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
Total End Strength	13,622	13,496	16,232
Military	4,951	5,153	7,073
Civilian	8,671	8,343	9,159
Total Major Installations	13	13	13
CONUS	13	13	13
Overseas	0	0	0
Total Number of Quarters	25,381	24,520	24,630
Number of Officer Quarters	44,536	4,300	4,291
Number of Enlisted Quarters	20,845	20,220	20,339
Total Number of Vehicles	11,782	11,735	10,178
Owned	10,200	10,140	8,510
Leased	1,582	1,595	1,668
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	40	40	40
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Spaces	7,776	8,014	8,014
Appropriated Fund Support to MWR (\$ Thousands)	34,485	37,287	38,947
Appropriated Fund Support to Bachelor Housing (\$ Thousands)	5,775	5,775	6,041

V. Personnel Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	12,382	12,460	13,937	1,477
Officer	4,936	4,998	4,953	- 45
Enlisted	7,446	7,462	8,984	1,522
Civilian End Strength (Total)	24,929	24,209	25,303	1,094
U.S. Direct Hire	24,929	24,200	25,294	1,094
Foreign National Direct Hire	0	6	6	0
Total Direct Hire	24,929	24,206	25,300	1,094
Foreign National Indirect Hire	0	3	3	0
Military Workyears (Total)	12,680	12,730	14,100	1,477
Officer	5,063	5,140	5,036	- 45
Enlisted	7,617	7,590	9,064	1,522
Civilian Workyears (Total)	24,740	24,043	25,700	1,657
U.S. Direct Hire	24,740	24,034	25,691	1,657
Foreign National Direct Hire	0	6	6	0
Total Direct Hire	24,740	24,040	25,697	1,657
Foreign National Indirect Hire	0	3	3	0

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: To ensure combat capability and to maintain readiness, we must effectively lead, efficiently manage, and adequately support Air Force units and personnel in diverse geographic locations. Much of this is accomplished via highly specialized and unique Air Force organizations financed in this activity group. Support may apply only to Air Force operations or to all Military Departments. Operations encompass a broad spectrum of essential servicewide activities.

Servicewide Activities consists of the following subactivity groups:

Administration Programs This subactivity funds for operations of the Air Force Secretariat and the Air Staff, which formulate plans and policies for subordinate offices, agencies and commands. Also includes financing for the portion of the 11th Wing which provides direct support to these executive offices. Also included is the Air Force Pentagon Communications Agency (AFPCA) whose wide range of support includes voice and data communications operations, maintenance, and security; computer hardware operations and maintenance; software applications development and security; and communications-computer requirements, acquisition, installation, and integration for the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of the Air Force, and Headquarters USAF.

<u>Servicewide Communications</u> These Air Force communications systems provide a vital network link of support ranging from telephone service to data communications at all Air Force locations. Programs include: basic worldwide voice capability such as the Defense Switched Network (DSN); electronic mail and basic message service across the Air Force through the Defense Message System (DMS). Programs ensure the interoperability and integration of systems for the entire Command, Control, Communications, and Computer (C4) community and provide information systems security through computer security, TEMPEST testing, and Communications Security (COMSEC) in order to protect Air Force C2, weapon systems, and overall force management systems.

<u>Personnel Programs</u> Personnel Administration funds Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC) operations associated with management of personnel life cycle activities -- accessions, training, assignments, and sustainment programs such as promotions, recognition, retention, separation and retirement. Funds the civilian salaries and benefits for the Air Force Personnel Operations Agency (AFPOA). Funds for the beddown of the Palace Compass - Civilian Regionalization program and the modernization effort of the military Personnel Data System (PDS). AFPC supports the Air Staff and field commanders worldwide, including the Air National Guard and Air Force

Reserves. Also provides funding and central management of Permanent Change-of-Station (PCS) requirements for the Civilian Career Programs. Civilian Compensation Programs provide reimbursements to DoL for both unemployment and disability compensation. Unemployment compensation is paid to former Air Force employees by 53 State and Territory Employment Offices. Disability compensation provides for claims resulting from duty-related injury or death of civilian employees of the Air Force. Additionally, this program finances civilian personnel support to administer these programs.

Rescue and Recovery Services This subactivity covers civil as well as combat rescue and recovery activities. In the civil arena, funds support the Air Force Rescue Coordination Center (AFRCC) and Search and Rescue Satellite Aided Tracking (SARSAT) operations. These programs use satellites to facilitate the detection and tracking of distress signals world wide. SARSAT is a joint international project with Canada, France and Russia. The AFRCC is designated the DoD representative for SARSAT and coordinates with the Department of Transportation, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and similar agencies from participating countries. Funds also support the operation of Headquarters, Civil Air Patrol-USAF. This activity provides staff supervision over 8 regions and 52 wings, administers day-to-day programs, issues necessary policy guidance and directives, and functions as liaison with the Air Force and other DoD agencies. In the combat arena, funds support operation of the Combat Rescue and Tactics School, the Rescue Coordination Center, aircraft squadrons and detachments, field maintenance, organizational maintenance, and NASA space activity support. By demonstrating the capability to search for, locate, and recover personnel anywhere in the world, this mission saves resources, increases survivability of fighting forces, and promotes aircrew confidence and morale.

<u>Arms Control</u> Arms Control encompasses all efforts directly associated with implementing arms control treaties and agreements such as the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START), Conventional Forces Europe (CFE), Open Skies, Intermediate Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, and Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC). Funding supports: on-site inspections; monitoring and compliance with arms control agreements, planning and preparation activities; and relocation, modification, retirement or destruction of equipment. This subactivity group also funds destruction of Minuteman II and Peacekeeper silos, B-52 elimination at the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC), and flying hours for the Open Skies aircraft.

Other Servicewide Activities This subactivity group funds some minor communications programs and various other programs which support Air Force units around the world. These include Field Operating Agencies (FOAs), the Air Force's realignment of mission support activities; Air Force Elements, comprised of joint and non-joint billets assigned to OSD, JCS, unified, specified, and combined commands; Operational Capability and Air Power Assessment (OCAPA) program, which provides senior leadership with decision making tools for contingency operations; the Productivity Investment Program, a self-sustaining means of providing capital investment funding; and Public Affairs.

Other Personnel Support Includes the Air Force Broadcasting Service (AFBS) which is responsible for managing, controlling, and supporting all US Air Force Armed Forces Radio and Television Service (AFRTS) stations around the world; Social Actions; USAF Honor Guard; USAF Band; the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute (DEOMI); the Air Force Library and Information System (AFLIS); Small Disadvantaged Businesses and Historically Black Colleges and Universities program; Air Force Mortuary Affairs; the Air Force Security Police Agency, (AFSPA); and the Air Force's share of the Committee for Women in NATO Forces (FY 1998 is the last year).

<u>Civil Air Patrol (CAP)</u> A nonprofit corporation of private citizens who assist in local and national emergencies by performing inland search and rescue missions, emergency air transport in light aircraft, aerial surveillance, and humanitarian airlift missions. CAP also provides surveillance support to the US Customs Service, Drug Enforcement Agency, and the US Forestry Service. The Air Force (under the Rescue and Recovery subactivity group) provides active duty military and civilians who aid, advise, and assist CAP in its day-to-day operations and reimburses CAP for authorized expenditures.

<u>Commissary Operations</u> Funding will be used to finance the cost of operations for all Air Force Commissaries worldwide, including the personnel, overseas transportation of Commissary goods, rewarehousing, shelf stocking, janitorial services, and base support as a tenant organization.

<u>Real Property Maintenance Activities</u> Include real property services, maintenance and repair projects and minor construction accomplished by contract and by an in-house workforce at Bolling AFB. Our objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity, and to preserve Bolling's physical plant.

Bolling's infrastructure support encompasses a variety of systems, services, and operations. The most significant categories receiving this support are listed below:

Maintenance, Repair, and Minor Construction of:

Real Property Roads Dormitories

The physical plant maintained by the 11th Wing covers 604 acres of land and more than 130 facility structures occupying over 3 million square feet.

Base Support This subactivity group provides funding for base support functions, and engineering and environmental programs in support of the 11th Wing and several field operating agencies. The main objectives are to sustain mission capability, quality of life, workforce productivity and infrastructure support. Significant categories of support include audiovisual services, base communications, base operating support, child development centers, and pollution prevention.

II. Force Structure Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Military Personnel Flights*	84	84	84
Consolidated Civilian Personnel Offices (CCPOs)*	98	96	96
Squadrons	9	9	8
Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA)	46	48	48
Total Aircraft Inventory (TAI)	80	91	97
Flying Hours	14,529	18,987	17,543
Number of Direct Reporting Units	3	3	3
Number of Field Operating Agencies	37	37	37
CAP National Headquarters	1	1	1
CAP Regions	8	8	8
CAP Wings	52	52	52
CAP Groups, Squadrons, Flights	1,800	1,800	1,800
CAP Aircraft	5,200	5,200	5,200
Bases	1	1	1

^{*} This force structure is financed across all activities, however, AFPC (financed in this activity) provides Air Force-wide support for these programs.

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

		FY 1998			
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. Subactivity Groups:	<u>Actual</u>	Request	Appropriation	Request	Estimate
Administration	\$133,621	\$126,642	\$73,942	\$125,984	\$140,879
Servicewide Communications	277,636	297,316	297,316	288,452	234,065
Personnel Programs	84,874	100,343	100,343	104,563	127,718
Rescue & Recovery Services	46,075	55,881	55,881	52,390	48,466
Arms Control	25,947	29,565	29,565	28,363	30,005
Other Servicewide Activities	877,460	524,545	495,445	524,779	517,780
Other Personnel Support	29,292	33,623	33,623	31,045	31,828
Civil Air Patrol	19,785	17,927	21,527	17,520	13,927
Commissary Operations	0	0	0	0	302,071
Real Property Maintenance	24,326	10,728	10,728	22,461	26,067
Base Support *	<u>155,102</u>	155,791	155,791	136,727	180,221
Total	\$1,674,118	\$1,352,361	\$1,274,161	\$1,332,284	\$1,653,027

B. Reconciliation Summary:	Change	Change
	FY 1998/1998	FY 1998/1999
Baseline Funding	\$1,352,361	\$1,332,284
Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	-78,200	0
Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)	4,092	0
FY 98 Budget Amendment	-997	0
Price Change	0	41,003
Functional Transfers	-11,096	289,501
Program Changes	<u>1,418,485</u>	<u>-9,761</u>
Current Estimate	\$1,332,284	\$1,653,027

^{*}Pentagon Renovation Amount for FY 1999 is \$64,088

C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request	\$1,352,361
	a. Congressional Adjustments (Distributed)	78,200
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount	\$1,274,161
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment	\$-997
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)	+4,092
	1) Real Property Maintenance	
3.	Functional Program Transfers	\$-11,096
	a. Transfers In\$	+4,817
	1) Central Design Activities Customer Funding Transfer	

design costs and provides the customer with flexibility to determine and control CDA requirements.

	2)	C-130 Command Realignment	\$+54	
b.	Tra	nsfers Out		\$-15,913
	1)	DPEM Realignment This transfer reflects the establishment of a separate and distinct subactivity group to identify depot maintenance funding as required in the Statement of the Managers accompanying the FY1998 DoD Appropriations Act.	\$-11,994	
	2)	Air Education and Training Command BOS Standard Systems Group In accordance with revision of DoDI 4000.19, Interservice, Intragovernmental Base Support Reimbursement, the host command can only charge for the incremental costs generated as a result of providing base support type services to tenants. This transfer reflects the realignment of customer funding from the central design activity to the host base support accounts.	\$-2,678	

		3) Information Management (IM)/Communications Merger	
4.	Pro	ogram Increases	\$+82,632
	a.	Congressional Mark Adjustment - Admin and Support BA-4 (FY 1998 Base, \$1,352,361) Based on emerging requirements, the Air Force redistributed the Congressional adjustments for training infrastructure, FEMP, and HQ and administrative activity across multiple activity groups to ensure balance between readiness and quality of life.	\$+49,300
	b.	Flying Hour Consumption Changes (FY 1998 Base, \$55,881)	\$+7,776
	c.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base, \$10,728)	\$+6,522

life cycle of real property facilities and infrastructure. Any funding less than PML will severely impact mission execution and quality of life. This funding level reflects the Air Force's decision of how to best meet increased operational mission requirements while sustaining an austere level of facility maintenance and repair. Increase also supports the fielding of the Automated Civil Engineering System (ACES)—part of the DoD's Global Combat Support System (GCSS). ACES replaces the aging Work Information Management System (WIMS). This system represents a concentrated effort to improve workforce efficiency and promote cross-functional interoperability using state-of-the-art industry standards and commercial off-the-shelf technology.

d.	Civilian Pay Adjustment (FY 1998 Base, \$495,709)	\$+6,663
e.	Base Support (FY 1998 Base, \$155,791)	\$+4,958
f.	High Frequency Radio Systems (FY 1998 Base, \$297,316)	\$+2,614

g.	11 th Wing Manpower Realignment (FY 1998 Base, \$126,642)	\$+1,450
h.	Modernized Air Force Military Personnel Data Systems (MILMOD)	\$+1,187
i.	Air Force Personnel Center Workstation Upgrades (FY 1998 Base, \$100,343) Renovation of the Air Force Personnel Center for the Palace Compass - Civilian Regionalization program beddown will be complete in FY 1998. This increase funds the pre-wired workstations for the remaining 119 authorizations.	\$+949
j.	Long-Haul Communications (FY 1998 Base, \$297,316)	\$+849

	k.	Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Program (FY 1998 Base, \$126,642) The ADR program was established in accordance with the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The Air Force employs ADR to resolve contract disputes, environmental disputes and tort claims. As a result of the Air Force Competitive Sourcing initiative, proper procedures to resolve contract disputes is imperative. This increase provides additional training and one-time supplies and equipment to prepare the Air Force for an expanding Air Force/contractor working relationship.	\$+364	
5.	Pro	gram Decreases		\$-16,508
	a.	Air Force Communications (FY 1998 Base, \$297,316)	\$-6,103	
	b.	Civil Air Patrol Corporation (FY 1998 Base, \$17,927)	\$-3,972	
	c.	Field Operating Agencies and Other Infrastructure Reductions (FY 1998 Base, \$524,545) Decrease is the result of fewer purchases of equipment and ground fuels as the Air Force follows the SecDef Defense Program Guidance to reduce infrastructure and overhead expenditures.	\$-2,640	
	d.	Defense Message System (FY 1998 Base, \$297,316)	\$-1,418	

minor adjustments in miscellaneous contract services, purchase maintenance for equipment, and data processing needs.

	e.	Congressional Mark Adjustment - Contingency Operations (FY 1998 Base, \$1,352,361) FY 98 Appropriation transfers the Services Contingency Funding from Air Operations Activity Group to a central OSD account. This decrease is due to the realignment of a portion of the reduction from Air Operations to Servicewide Activities where contingencies are actually executed.	\$-1,000
	f.	USAF Civil Air Patrol Support (FY 1998 Base, \$55,881)	\$-697
	g.	Air Force News Agency (AFNEWS) Service Contracts (FY 1998 Base, \$33,623) Savings realized as the Air Force European Broadcasting Squadron consolidates and contract costs which provide satellite television to U.S. personnel in Turkey are being reduced.	\$-678
6.	FY	1998 Current Estimate	\$1,332,284
7.	Pri	ce Growth	\$+41,003
8.	Fur	nctional Program Transfers	\$+289,501
	a.	Transfers In	\$+352,520

1)	Commissary Operations	\$+302,070
2)	Pentagon Reservation Maintenance Revolving Fund	\$+28,000
3)	Centralize Civilian Career Program	\$+17,940

4)	Long Haul Communications Consolidation	\$+3,488	
5)	High Frequency Contractor Logistics Support (HF CLS)	\$+874	
6)	Transfers funding to decentralize technical order requirements to the appropriate weapon system program element. This realignment provides better visibility of weapons systems costs and provides owner with flexibility to determine and control technical order requirements.	\$+148	
Tran	sfers Out		\$-63,019
1)	Information Infrastructure Realignment	\$-46,209	
2)	Air Force Studies and Analysis Agency Realignment	\$-9,744	

b.

3)	The Army assumed operational control of the AFPCA under the Single Agency Manager concept. The Air Force had previously budgeted for this program and is transferring the operational funds to the Army.	φ-0,000	
4)	Competitive Sourcing This decrease reflects transfer out to the Military Personnel Appropriation as a result from the delay of in competitive sourcing efforts.	\$-186	

a. Financial Information Resource System (FIRST) (FY 1998 Base, \$125,984).....
The FIRST initiative involves four different, interrelated programs which will serve as a corporate basis for the Air Force Planning, Programming and Budgeting System (PPBS) and provide crucial "cradle to grave" tracking of Air Force Manpower, Flying and Financial programs. Automated Business Services System (ABSS) will automate the business process from document to electronic feed of commitment to accounting and contracting systems.

Manpower Data System (MDS) will be used to disseminate changes to manpower resources to the major commands for use in revising command endstrengths as a result of HQ Air Force corporate decision process. Programming Data System (PDS) provides programmed aircraft, missile and flying hour data to the Air Force budget system. Lastly, FIRST is a modernization effort sponsored by the Air Force to replace the current Automated Budget Interactive Data Environment System (ABIDES) with a modernized Air Force program-budget information system.

3) Air Force Pentagon Communications Agency (AFPCA)

\$+16,330

\$-6.880

b.	Productivity Programs (FY 1998 Base, \$524,779)	\$+3,776	
c.	Real Property Maintenance Programs (FY 1998 Base, \$22,461)	\$+3,118	
Program Decreases			\$-32,985

a. Infrastructure Streamlining/Workforce Adjustments (FY 1998 Base, \$524,545) Continuation of SecDef Defense Planning Guidance (DPG) for FY 1995 - 1999 to realize at least a three percent reduction annually in infrastructure and overhead expenditures. To comply with the DPG, Air Force programmed infrastructure manpower reductions against activities above wing level. Functions affected include the Joint Staff, OSD Staff, defense agencies, HQ USAF, AF Field Operating Agencies, 11th Wing, Major Command headquarters and combat operations staffs.

10.

\$-10,692

b.	DFAS Customer Funding (FY 1998 Base, \$524,779)	\$-6,972
c.	Management Headquarters - Departmental (FY 1998 Base, \$125,984)	\$-5,584
d.	Information Management Automation Program (FY 1998 Base, \$524,779) Decrease to this program includes a reduction to Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) customer funding based on anticipated savings from planned efficiencies.	\$-4,039
e.	Command Flying Hour Alignment (FY 1998 Base, \$52,390)	\$-3,742
f.	Personnel Administration (FY 1998 Base, \$104,563)	\$-1,252
g.	USAF Civil Air Patrol Support (FY 1998 Base, \$17,520)	\$-389

	h.	Management Headquarters - Administration (FY 1998 Base, \$125,984)	\$-265	
	i.	Civil Air Patrol Corporation (FY 1998 Base, \$17,520)	\$-50	
11.	FY	1999 Budget Request		\$1,653,027

FY 1999 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Facilities Supported (000 sq. ft.)	3,615	3,428	3,391
Plant Replacement Value (\$Millions)	776	788	880
Base Support			
Total End Strength	1,860	1,904	1,890
Military	1,093	1,119	1,120
Civilian	767	785	770
Total Major Installations	1	1	1
CONUS	1	1	1
Overseas	0	0	0
Total Number of Quarters	1,529	1,520	1,520
Number of Officer Quarters	259	255	255
Number of Enlisted Quarters	1,270	1,265	1,265
Total Number of Vehicles	815	806	806
Owned	690	684	683
Leased	125	122	123
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Centers	3	3	3
Number of Child Care/School Age Program Spaces	564	699	699
Appropriated Fund Support to MWR (\$ Thousands)	64,376	67,110	69,540
Appropriated Fund Support to Bachelor Housing (\$ Thousands))	429	439	449

FY 1999 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BUDGET ACTIVITY: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITY GROUP: SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES

V. Personnel Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	15,255	13,087	12,852	- 235
Officer	4,348	4,094	3,986	- 108
Enlisted	10,907	8,993	8,866	- 127
Civilian End Strength (Total)	9,490	8,919	8,818	- 101
U.S. Direct Hire	9,377	8,800	8,699	- 101
Foreign National Direct Hire	42	28	28	0
Total Direct Hire	9,419	8,828	8,727	- 101
Foreign National Indirect Hire	71	91	91	0
Military Workyears (Total)	15,610	13,338	12,988	- 350
Officer	4,456	4,204	4,046	- 158
Enlisted	11,154	9,134	8,942	- 192
Civilian End Strength (Total)	8,929	9,628	8,872	- 756
U.S. Direct Hire	8,774	9,490	8,753	- 737
Foreign National Direct Hire	33	35	28	- 7
Total Direct Hire	8,807	9,525	8,781	- 744
Foreign National Indirect Hire	122	103	91	- 12

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: This Activity Group includes a series of classified programs and the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI). Information on classified programs is not provided in this unclassified document. The following narrative and data will focus on the AFOSI (Security Programs, Other) which is unclassified.

The Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) is a Field Operating Agency (FOA) under the direction and guidance of the Air Force Inspector General (SAF/IG). It performs as a federal law enforcement agency with responsibility for conducting criminal investigations, counterintelligence activities and force protection support for the Air Force. AFOSI, with its headquarters at Bolling AFB, DC, also conducts specialized investigative support in such disciplines as forensics, behavioral sciences, and hypnosis. As the executive agency for the Air Force Psychophysiological Detection of Deception (Polygraph) and Technical Surveillance Countermeasures (TSCM) programs, it offers a wide variety of services to a diverse customer base. AFOSI also plays a key role in computer intrusion investigations and DoD counterdrug programs. This exhibit does not discuss AFOSI's mission in support of Foreign Counterintelligence Activities because it is classified.

- 1. The AFOSI has 158 offices worldwide. In addition to the headquarters, national level operations include the Defense Computer Forensics Laboratory and the US Air Force Special Investigations Academy. Field operations consist of seven field investigations regions aligned with Major Commands (MAJCOM), and field detachments located at every major Air Force installation. AFOSI also operates out of several unique locations such as US embassies, foreign and US cities, and defense contractor facilities.
- 2. The Security and Investigative Activities program encompasses the majority of AFOSI's investigative operations. The program covers major felony crimes such as crimes against persons (homicides, sexual assaults, and physical assaults); crimes against U.S. Government property (thefts and intentional damage to aircraft); counterdrug activities (trafficking, sale and abuse); and central systems ("Top 100" contractors) procurement fraud. In addition, AFOSI provides technical security inspections of government and contractor facilities to detect electronic surveillance operations. AFOSI is the sole provider of psychophysiological detection of deception (polygraph) examinations, forensic science consultations, and computer crime investigative assistance in support of Air Force and DoD investigations. AFOSI also operates the USAF Special Investigations Academy to train AFOSI special investigators. AFOSI's military (officer and enlisted) and civilian investigators work closely with other federal, state, local, and foreign investigative agencies to conduct joint interest investigations.

II.	Force Structure	Summary:

11. Torce structure summary.		<u>FY</u>	1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Number of OSI Detachments/Operating Locations - CONUS		•••••	116	114	114
Number of OSI Detachments/Operating Locations - Overseas.			44	44	44
III. <u>Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands)</u> :					
			FY 1998		_
	FY 1997	Budget		Curren	t FY 1999
A. <u>Program Elements</u> :	<u>Actual</u>	Request A	<u>appropriation</u>	n Reques	<u>t</u> <u>Estimate</u>
Security Programs	\$492,070	<u>\$510,046</u>	\$510,046	\$513,466	\$557,256
Total	\$492,070	\$510,046	\$510,046	\$513,466	
B. Reconciliation Summary:			Change		Change
		FY	1998/1998	<u>]</u>	FY 1998/1999
Baseline Funding			\$510,046		\$513,466
Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)			3,802		0
FY 98 Budget Amendment			-196		0
Price Change			0		9,110
Functional Transfers			0		30,329
Program Changes			<u>- 186</u>		<u>4,351</u>
Current Estimate			\$513,466		\$557,256

C.	Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):		
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request		\$510,046
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount		\$510,046
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment	\$-196	
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)	\$+3,802	
	1) Classified Undistributed Programs \$+4,000 2) Foreign Currency Fluctuation \$-114 3) Revised Economic Assumptions \$-40 4) High Risk Automation Systems \$-31 5) Sec 8041CAAS Savings \$-13		
3.	Program Decreases		\$-186
	a. Classified Programs (FY 1998 Base \$465,911)	\$-186	
4.	FY 1998 Current Estimate		\$513,466
5.	Price Growth		\$+9,110
6.	Functional Program Transfers		\$+30,329
	a. Transfers In	\$+30,329	

	1)	Customer Funding to Establish Defense Investigative Service Working Capital Fund This transfer provides customer funding for the Defense Investigative Service which was incorporated into the Defense Working Capital Fund in FY 1999 as recommended by the Defense Quadrennial Review.	\$+30,300	
	2)	Competitive Sourcing\$+13 This is a transfer into O&M from the Military Personnel Appropriation. After careful review, the Air Force has designated these activities/functions as not military essential. A cost comparison study is underway to determine whether the workload will be contracted or remain in-house in accordance with the guidelines in OMB Circular A-76.	8	
	3)	Information Infrastructure Realignment	1	
7.	Progran	n Increases		\$+6,612
		assified Programs (FY 1998 Base \$470,012)tails are classified. Please contact HQ USAF/XOIIR for details.	\$+6,612	
8.	Program	n Decreases		\$-2,261
	a. See	curity/Investigative Activities (FY 1998 Base \$43,454)	\$-2,261	

Continuation of Air Force infrastructure manpower and support cost reductions against activities above wing level. Functions affected include the Joint Staff, defense agencies, HQ USAF, AF Field Operating Agencies, major command headquarters, and combat operations staffs.

9.	FY 1999 Budget Request	\$55	57.	2	5	6
<i>)</i> .	1 1 1/// Duuget Request	$\Psi \mathcal{L}$	<i>,,</i> ,	, ~ .	J	١

IV. Personnel Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	10,515	10,758	10,696	- 62
Officer	2,055	2,309	2,311	2
Enlisted	8,460	8,449	8,385	- 64
Civilian End Strength (Total)	2,670	2,855	2,827	- 28
U.S. Direct Hire	2,624	2,802	2,774	- 28
Foreign National Direct Hire	21	18	18	0
Total Direct Hire	21	18	18	0
Foreign National Indirect Hire	25	35	35	0
Military Workyears (Total)	10,755	10,962	10,801	- 161
Officer	2,106	2,369	2,347	- 22
Enlisted	8,649	8,593	8,454	- 139
Civilian Workyears (Total)	2,560	2,862	2,833	- 29
U.S. Direct Hire	2,517	2,809	2,780	- 29
Foreign National Direct Hire	17	18	18	0
Total Direct Hire	17	18	18	0
Foreign National Indirect Hire	26	35	35	0

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>: These operations support the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE), North Atlantic Treaty Organization Airborne Early Warning and Control (NATO AEW&C) program, and other international headquarters. Also included is the Technology Transfer Program which controls the transfer of critical Air Force technologies to foreign governments.

The two phases of the NATO AEW&C program consist of: (1) support for the aircraft acquisition, modification, and delivery phase; and (2) assigned Air Force personnel located at Geilenkirchen, Germany, where O&M resources support U.S. activities stipulated in the Multilateral Memorandum of Understanding.

The Technology Transfer Program identifies and controls the acquisition of advanced and sensitive military technologies by foreign governments. Activities supported include Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) counterintelligence, U.S. Customs export control enforcement, identification of AF critical technologies for inclusion on the Military Critical Technologies List, and technical support to the U.S. State Department for the Coordinating Committee for Multilateral Export Control.

II. Force Structure Summary:

	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
International Activities	8	8	8
International Headquarters	6	6	6
Main Operating Base (MOB)	1	1	1
NATO Aircraft	17	17	17

III. Financial Summary (O&M \$ in Thousands):

	FY 1998				
	FY 1997	Budget		Current	FY 1999
A. <u>Program Elements</u> :	<u>Actual</u>	Request A	ppropriation	Request	Estimate
International Support	<u>\$13,275</u>	\$13,260	\$13,260	<u>\$15,514</u>	\$13,608
Total	\$13,275	\$13,260	\$13,260	\$15,514	\$13,608
B. Reconciliation Summary:			Change 1998/1998		Change 1998/1999
Baseline Funding			\$13,260		\$15,514
Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)			-112		0
FY 98 Budget Amendment			-95		0
Reprogramming/Transfer			1,563		0
Price Change			0		282
Program Changes			898		<u>-2,188</u>
Current Estimate			\$15,514		\$13,608

C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (\$ in Thousands):

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget Request	\$13,260
2.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount	\$13,260
	a. FY 1998 Budget Amendment\$-95	
	b. Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed)\$-112	
	1) Foreign Currency Fluctuation	
3.	Reprogramming Transfer	\$+1,563
	 a. Increases	
4.	Program Increases	\$+898
	a. International Activities (FY 1998 Base \$1,273)	

	b. Civilian Pay Adjustment (FY 1998 Base \$1,293)	\$+177
5.	FY 1998 Current Estimate	\$15,514
6.	Price Growth	\$+282
7.	Program Decreases	\$-2,188
	a. International Support (FY 1998 \$15,514)	\$-2,188
8.	FY 1999 Budget Request	\$13,608

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Technology Transfer/Export Criteria			
Export License Application Requests from U.S. Industry	10,000	10,000	10,000
Evaluation and Analysis of Technology			
Application to U.S. and Foreign Interests	2,000	2,000	2,000
Coordination Reports	40,000	40,000	40,000
Cases Requiring Major Resolution	4,000	4,000	4,000
Meetings to Negotiate Details			
with Industry Representatives	500	500	600
Latin American Cooperation/Mil-to-Mil Contact			
Latin American Countries that Participate			
in U.S. Military Cooperative Initiatives	18	18	18
Central European Eurasia and Former			
Soviet Union Countries Participating			
in Mil-to-Mil Contact Program	14	14	14

V. Personnel Summary:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 1998/1999
Active Military End Strength (Total)	3,116	3,361	3,215	- 146
Officer	1,021	1,174	1,115	- 59
Enlisted	2,095	2,187	2,100	- 87
Civilian End Strength (Total)	1,673	1,508	1,422	- 86
U.S. Direct Hire	1,598	1,460	1,374	- 86
Foreign National Direct Hire	65	43	43	0
Total Direct Hire	65	43	43	0
Foreign National Indirect Hire	10	5	5	0
Military Workyears (Total)	3,186	3,432	3,251	- 181
Officer	1,045	1,208	1,133	- 75
Enlisted	2,141	2,224	2,118	- 106
Civilian End Workyears (Total)	1,667	1,658	1,515	- 143
U.S. Direct Hire	1,607	1,610	1,467	- 143
Foreign National Direct Hire	44	43	43	0
Total Direct Hire	44	43	43	0
Foreign National Indirect Hire	16	5	5	0