#### NARRATIVE SUMMARY

This Military Family Housing request supports the Congressional emphasis on providing excellent housing for all military members and their families and that continual improvement in quality is the measure of excellence. We depend first on the local community to meet our housing needs. When local community housing is not available, we will construct military family housing which meets contemporary community living standards. This budget requests funds to operate and maintain our inventory at a standard that protects from asset deterioration, and maintains the quality level established by Congressional appropriations and guidance. Our goal is to provide quality homes that meet contemporary whole-house standards.

Family housing is one of the most important quality of life issues in the Air Force. Improving or replacing our aging housing inventory is our top facility priority. Our military members and their families expect and deserve homes which meet current standards of livability. In the era of downsized forces, we cannot risk losing highly-trained, experienced Air Force members because of poor housing. Small investments in quality family housing pay great dividends in retaining trained, responsible, ready Air Force members. We cannot afford to let our existing military family housing inventory deteriorate or fail to modernize it to contemporary standards to achieve quality of life incentives, so that we retain highly trained, motivated members.

This budget provides a balanced program between construction, operations, maintenance, and lease funding. The construction funding level indicates the Air Force's commitment to replace or revitalize our existing inventory to meet contemporary standards. We are concentrating on our oldest homes and improving or replacing where economically justifiable. We continue to propose projects that provide new support facilities at installations with the greatest need.

The operations, day-to-day maintenance and leasing accounts predominately support "must pay" requirements such as service contracts, lease contracts, utilities, and required maintenance for the cost of ownership to keep existing homes open and occupied. The maintenance account also supports our goal to arrest, then eliminate, deferred maintenance and repair (DMAR) growth as much as possible within our fiscal constraints. Unfortunately to date we have not eliminated DMAR. The Air Force is committed to the development of private sector-funded housing revitalization where it makes economic sense.

Current funding levels do not support the required revitalization schedule projected by the Air Force, directly impacting quality of life, retention, and ultimately readiness. Private sector investments will speed the revitalization of family housing and provide safe, comfortable housing for service members without government investment above current Military Family Housing funding. It may be necessary to use many different approaches to meet family housing needs.

The business climate at some locations may not support establishment of privatized housing areas. To help provide the most reliable information to decisionmakers, the Air Force has initiated a Family Housing Master Plan. The Master Plan will define the most effective housing strategy and associated costs. It will integrate construction, operations and maintenance, and privatization efforts to build new, revitalize, continue to maintain, or privatize each asset to achieve optimal life cycle costs.

Lackland AFB, Texas and Robins AFB, Georgia are in the forefront of the Air Force's housing privatization process:

At Lackland AFB, a project appears feasible to privatize 272 enlisted housing units on base. The contractor will be charged with revitalizing, maintaining, and providing services for the 272 families eventually housed in this development. Members who choose to accept housing in the privatized neighborhood will forfeit their entitlements as they currently do to live in housing on base. The contractor will receive the equivalent of each family's entitlements as "rent". The Air Force will pay for utilities for the privatized units outside the deal.

The Air Force is developing a privatization project for 670 units on a geographically separated off-base site at Robins AFB. Member's forfeited entitlements will make up the contractor's income stream. In this proposed agreement, the contractor will provide utilities to the occupants. In keeping with Office of Management and Budget guidance, the contractor will not be reimbursed for utilities for privatized units outside the agreement in future projects, so each future privatization project will follow the Robins model, not the Lackland model.

While austere, we believe this funding profile represents a well balanced, fiscally constrained program that achieves quality of life goals for military families within the budget request. We respectfully request full support for the Air Force family housing needs presented herein.

### INDEX

SUBJECT	PAGE
FAMILY HOUSING NARRATIVE	274
INDEX	276
FINANCIAL SUMMARY	279
LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE	
Authorization Appropriation	280 281
PROGRAM AND FINANCING SCHEDULES	282
NEW CONSTRUCTION	
New/Current Mission Activities	285
Construction Purpose and Scope	287
Maxwell AFB AL Eielson AFB AK Edwards AFB CA Vandenberg AFB CA Dover AFB DE MacDill AFB FL Patrick AFB FL Tyndall AFB FL Offutt AFB NE Kirtland AFB NM Wright-Patterson AFB OH Dyess AFB TX	289 294 299 304 309 314 319 324 329 340 345
Fairchild AFR WA	355

February 1998 Page No. 276

### INDEX

SUBJECT	PAGE
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	
Purpose and Scope	363
Delaware Hawaii Illinois Maryland New Jersey New Mexico North Carolina North Dakota South Carolina Washington Germany Guam United Kingdom	366 367 367 368 368 369 369 370 370 371 372
Post Acquisition Over \$50,000 per Unit	375
Hickam AFB HI Andrews AFB MD McGuire AFB NJ Seymour Johnson AFB NC Minot AFB ND	376 378 380 382 384
Overseas Ramstein AB GE Andersen AFB GU RAF Lakenheath UK RAF Mildenhall UK RAF Molesworth UK	386 388 390 392 394
ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN	396

### INDEX

SUBJECT	PAGE
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY	200
Narrative (Purpose and Scope)	398
Inventory and Funding Summary FH-2	401
Historic Housing Cost FH-5	402
OPERATIONS	403
Management OP-5	404
Services OP-5	406
Furnishings OP-5	408
Miscellaneous OP-5	410
UTILITIES OP-5	412
MAINTENANCE	
OP-5	414
DMAR Chart	416
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OVER \$15,000 PER UNIT	418
GENERAL OFFICER QUARTERS OVER \$25,000 PER UNIT	427
REIMBURSABLE PROGRAM OP-5	431
LEASING	
Purpose and Scope	432
OP-5	434
Exhibit FH-4, Leasing (Other than Section 801 & 802)	435
Exhibit FH-4A, High Cost Foreign Leased Units 503	436
Exhibit FH-4B, Section 801 Leases	437
DEBT PAYMENT	438
PB-18 EXHIBIT, Foreign Currency Exchange Data	439

February 1998 Page No. 278

### MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 1999 BUDGET REQUEST

### FY 1999 FINANCIAL SUMMARY

AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION REQUESTED FOR FY 1999:

FUNDING PROGRAM FY 1999		(\$000)
Construction		132,915
Post-Acquisition Construction		81,778
Advance Planning and Design		11,342
Appropriation Request: Construction		226,035
Operations, Utilities and Maintenance Operating Expenses Utilities Maintenance	131,019 152,214 388,659	671,892
Leasing - Worldwide		118,071
Debt Payment Premiums for Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Coverage		32
Appropriation Request: O&M Leasing, and Debt Payment		789,995
Appropriation Request		1,016,030
Reimbursement Program		9,400
FY 1999 FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAM		1,025,430

### FY 1999 Authorization Language

### SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the installations, for the purposes, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

STATE	INSTALLATION	PURPOSE	AMOUNT
Alabama	Maxwell AFB	143 Units	\$16,300,000
Alaska	Eielson AFB	46 Units	\$12,932,000
California	Edwards AFB	48 Units	\$12,580,000
	Vandenberg AFB	95 Units	\$18,499,000
Delaware	Dover AFB	55 Units	\$ 8,998,000
Florida	MacDill AFB	48 Units	\$ 7,609,000
	Patrick AFB	46 Units	\$ 9,692,000
	Tyndall AFB	122 Units	\$14,500,000
Nebraska	Offutt AFB	90 Units	\$12,212,000
	Offutt AFB	Housing Ofc	\$ 870,000
	Offutt AFB	Housing Maint Facility	\$ 900,000
New Mexico	Kirtland AFB	37 Units	\$ 6,400,000
Ohio	Wright-Patterson	AFB 40 Units	\$ 5,600,000
Texas	Dyess AFB	64 Units	\$9,415,000
Washington	Fairchild AFB	14 Units	\$ 2,300,000
	Fairchild AFB	Housing Ofc and Maintenance Fac	\$ 1,692,000

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$11,342,000

#### SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENT TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

Subject to section 2825 of Title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$81,778,000.

#### SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE

- (a) IN GENERAL
  - (5) for Military Family Housing functions -
    - (A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvement of military family housing and facilities, \$226,035,000.
    - (B) For support of military family housing (including functions described in section 2833 of Title 10, United States Code), \$789,995,000.

### FY 1999 Appropriation Language

For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension and alteration and for operations and maintenance, including debt payment, leasing, minor construction, and insurance premiums, as authorized by law as follows: for [FY98] FY99 Construction, [\$293,709,000) \$226,035,000, for Operation and Maintenance, and Debt Payment[\$817,534,000] \$789,995,000; in all [\$1,111,243,000] \$1,016,030,000: Provided: That the amount for construction shall remain available until September 30, [2003] 2004.

### FY 1999 NEW/CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES

In compliance with the Senate Appropriations Committee Report (100-380) on the FY 1989 Military Construction Appropriation Act, the Air Force has included the following exhibit that displays construction projects requested in two separate categories: new mission and current mission. "New Mission" projects are projects that support deployment and beddown of new weapon systems, new program initiatives, and major mission expansions. "Current Mission" projects are projects that either replace inadequate existing facilities or construct new facilities which are not available to meet current requirements.

LOCATION	MISSION		REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT (\$000)
NEW CONSTRUCTION			
Dyess AFB TX	Current	64	9,415
REPLACEMENT HOUSING			
Maxwell AFB AL	Current	143	16,300
Eielson AFB AK	Current	46	12,932
Edwards AFB CA	Current	48	12,580
Vandenberg AFB CA	Current	95	18,499
Dover AFB DE	Current	55	8,998
MacDill AFB FL	Current	48	7,609
Patrick AFB FL	Current	46	9,692
Tyndall AFB FL	Current	122	14,500
Offutt AFB NE	Current	90	12,212
Kirtland AFB NM	Current	37	6,400
Wright-Patterson AFB OH	Current	40	5,600
Fairchild AFB WA	Current	14	2,300
SUPPORT FACILITIES			
Offutt AFB NE	Current	HSG Office	870
Offutt AFB NE Fairchild AFB WA	Current Current	HSG Maint Facili HSG Office and	ty 900
		Maint Facility	1,692

	REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT (\$000)
CURRENT MISSION TOTAL	140,449
IMPROVEMENTS	81,778
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,342
GRAND TOTAL	233,619

### FY 1999 NEW CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$140,499
FY 1998 Program \$159,943

### Purpose and Scope

This program provides for the construction of new homes where the local community cannot provide adequate housing and replacement of existing homes, where improvements for Air Force personnel are not economically feasible, and support facilities where existing facilities are inadequate. Costs reflect all amounts necessary to provide complete and usable facilities.

### Program Summary

Authorization of \$140,499,000 is requested for: Construction of 64 new units, replacement of 784 units and 3 support facilities.

A summary of the funding program for FY 1999 is as follows:

AUTHORIZATION Type/Locations	Mission	Number of <u>Units</u>	Requested Amount (\$000)
New Housing			
Dyess AFB TX	Current	64	9,415
Replacement Housing			
Maxwell AFB AL	Current	143	16,300
Eielson AFB AK	Current	46	12,932
Edwards AFB CA	Current	48	12,580
Vandenberg AFB CA	Current	95	18,499
Dover AFB DE	Current	55	8,998
MacDill AFB FL	Current	48	7,609
Patrick AFB FL	Current	46	9,692
Tyndall AFB FL	Current	122	14,500
Offutt AFB NE	Current	90	12,212
Kirtland AFB NM	Current	37	6,400
Wright-Patterson AFB OH	Current	40	5,600
Fairchild AFB WA	Current	14	2,300

### Support Facilities

Offutt AFB NE Offutt AFB NE Fairchild AFB WA	_	Office Maint Facility Office & Maint	870 900 Ofc <u>1,692</u>
CURRENT MISSION NEW	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	140,449
IMPROVEMENTS			81,778
PLANNING AND DESIGN			11,342
GRAND TOTAL			233,619

Appropriation of \$132,915,000 is requested to partially fund the FY1999 New Construction Program. The remaining \$7,584,000 is derived from prior year savings.

1 001/00/17/17/17										D 3 //	יכור
1. COMPONENT	ΕV	1000	MILIT	ARY COI	יו מתבוני	יידיר∧ו זי	מפתמנ	D TA MA	4	. DAI	L
AIR FORCE	FI	1999		outer o			ROGI	CALI			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	CATIO		<u>,                                    </u>		MMAND			5	. ARE	EA CONST
						DUCAT	ON		i i		T INDEX
MAXWELL AIR F	ORCE BASI	E, AL	BAMA			RAINI		DIMAMIN	i	0.	84
6. PERSONNEL			ERMANI	ENT	ST	UDENTS	3	SUP	PORTE	D	
STRENGTH	-	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 S	EP 97	1009	1671	1580	438	2		1092	46	112	5,950
b. End FY 200	3	989	1687	1551	438	2		1092	46	112	5,917
		7	. INVI	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)					
a. Total Acre	age: (	3,4	97)								
b. Inventory									2	35,58	39
c. Authorizat				-							0
d. Authorizat	_									16,30	0
e. Authorizat				_	_	am:	(FY 2	2000)			0
f. Planned In			ogram	Years	:					10,60	0
g. Remaining		cy:									0
h. Grand Tota									2	62,48	39
8. PROJECTS R	EQUESTED.	IN TH	IIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	.999					
CATEGORY								COST	===		STATUS
CODE	PROJE	ECT TI	TLE		<u>s</u>	COPE		(\$000	<u>) s</u>	TART	<u>CMPL</u>
711-142 REPI HOU	ACE MILIT					143	_	16,30		RN KE	EY
O		Y 1			n-11-	TOTAL		16,30		0\ >70	
9a. Future F									¥ 200	O) NC	ONE
	rojects: ACE MILI			Lanned	Next		UN	.s: 5,00	0		
	SING (PH					7.4	OIN	3,00	O		
711-142 REPL						44	UN	5,60	n		
	SING (PAI						011	3,00	Ü		
9c. Real Pro				acklog	This	Instal	llati	on	5	1,600	)
	or Major										
College; Air	_				_						
Training Scho					_						
AF Quality In				_							
Doctrine Cent	er; Air 1	Force	Histor	cical I	Resear	ch Age	ency	Head	quart	ers A	AF
Reserve Offic	er Train:	ing Co	rps; I	łeadqua	arters	Civil	l Air	Patr	ol; C	ommun	nity
College of th	e Air Fo	rce; a	ın Air	base v	wing w	ith C	-21 a	ircra	ft; a	nd an	a Air
Force Reserve	airlift	wing	with o	one C-I	L30 sq	<sub>[uadror</sub>	ı.				

1. COMPONENT						2.	DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
AIR FORCE		(computer	generate	ed)			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	N	4.	PROJ	JECT TITLE	3	
MAXWELL AIR F	FORCE BASE, GUN	ITER ANNEX,	RE	PLACE	E MILITARY	FAMILY	
ALABAMA			HO	USINC	G (PHASE 1	.)	
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT   6 . CATEO	ORY CODE 7	. PROJEC	r nun	MBER  8. F	ROJECT (	COST(\$000)
8.87.41	711-	142	JUBJ98	4049			16,300
<u> </u>		9. COST	ESTIMATE:	S			1
1						UNIT	COST
	ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE MILIT	TARY FAMILY HOU	JSING		UN	143	69,664	9,962
SUPPORTING FA	ACILITIES						4,753
SITE PREPAR	NOITAS			LS	[		( 1,011)
ROADS AND E	PAVING			LS			( 1,284)
UTILITIES				LS			( 978)
LANDSCAPING	3			LS			( 265)
RECREATION				LS			( 399)
DEMOLITION	& ASBESTOS/LBE	REMOVAL		LS			(816)
SUBTOTAL							14,715

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	<u>NSM</u>	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	88	.82	797	50	2,875,576
JNCO 3BR	111	. 82	797	63	4,570,205
JNCO 4BR	125	.82	797	6	490,155
SNCO 3BR	125	. 82	797	14	1,143,695
SNCO 4BR	135	. 82	<u>797</u>	10_	882,279
				143	9,961,910

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 4,428 UN ADEQUATE: 2,902 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,526 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 1). (Current Mission) | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Maxwell AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are | programmed in accordance with Housing Community Plan phases C and D. | Replacement will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living | environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the | first of multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. | Of the 327 housing units to be replaced in this multi-phase initiative, | 186 will follow in subsequent phases. The replacement housing will

736

850

15,451

16,300

CONTINGENCY (5%)

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 1)	JUBJ984049

provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior/exterior storage and a carport or garage. Exterior parking will provide for a second vehicle and guests. Neighborhood improvements include landscaping and playgrounds. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 143 housing units which were constructed in 1941. These 58-year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The units are not energy efficient and housing density is overcrowded. Play areas for children are either too small, not appropriate for toddlers, or nonexistent; presently the youngsters use the streets as playgrounds. Following normal rainfall, numerous sunken areas near house porches and neighborhood walkways accumulate water which becomes stagnant, breeding insects and unhealthful bacteria. Roof structures, walls, foundations, and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement owing to the effects of age and the environment. Off-street parking does not meet minimum requirement of 2.5 parking spaces per unit nor one covered space. Foundations and pavements are showing signs of failure due to settlement. Housing interiors are inadequate by any modern criteria. Bedrooms lack adequate closet space. 95% of 3 and 4 bedrooms units have one bathroom per unit, and all bathroom fixtures are outdated and energy-inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space, cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the houses is worn out, and contains evidence of asbestos. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet modern building codes, nor current standards for efficiency and safety. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement. Units are not compatible to reconfiguration. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this replacement initiative is not supported. Some families will continue to live in unsuitable housing while others are in improved or new, replaced units. The housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes totally uninhabitable because adequate, affordable off-base housing is not available. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing deficit of 875 units. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue, with no improvement in the living quality. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the

| ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the | alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo | operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective | alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient | over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 75% of | the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no | increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local | school district to support base dependents. This project meets the | criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility | Planning and Design Guide". Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Gregory Coker, | (334) 953-6944.

NT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	Z. DATE
	PROJECT NUMBER
111111	ROOBET NOMBER
LITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 1)	JUBJ984049
EMENTAL DATA:	1
mated Design Data:	
Project to be accomplished by one step turn key p	procedures
Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	NO   N/A
Design Allowance	220
Construction Start	99 APR
	from
	(computer generated)  LATION AND LOCATION  IR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA  TITLE   5.  LLITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 1)  LEMENTAL DATA:  .mated Design Data:  Project to be accomplished by one step turn key published:  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	1. DATE OF REPORT	F REPORT 2.			2. FISCAL YEAR 1999		REPORT CONTROL SYMBO					
. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	TALLATION					DD-HGE (A	(K) 17 10				
AIR FORCE	a. NAME						b. LOCATION					
5. DATA AS OF 1994	Maxwell AFB						Alabama					
ANALY			URRENT				PROJEC	CTED				
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA			
REQUIREMENTS  TOTAL PERSONNEL		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(⊕)	(1)	(9)	(h)			
. IOIAL PERSONNEL	SINENGIN	2,414	3,182	570	6,156	2.413	3,160	566	6,1:			
PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL			1	- 1,100		3,,,00	- 000	0,11			
		2,414	3,182	570	6,166	2,413	3,160	566	6,13			
B. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	1,978	2,336	133	4,447	1,978	2 240	400	4.4			
. TOTAL UNACCEPTAE	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c		2,330	133	4,447	1,876	2,318	132	4,42			
		550	483	23	1,056							
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	0	0	0	0							
b. IN MILITARY HO	USING TO BE				— <b>–</b>							
DISPOSED/REPI		0	143	0	143							
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNI	TY 550	340	23	913							
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS											
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	1,978	2,336	133	4,447	1,978	2,318	132	4,42			
2. HOUSING ASSETS (	+ b)											
a. UNDER MILITAR	V CONTROL	1,428	1,853	110	3,391	1,443	1,856	111	3,41			
a. UNDER MILITAR	TCONTROL	373	441	٥	814	373	441	اه	81			
(1) HOUSED IN			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	771		<u> </u>			
OWNED/CO		373	441	0	814	373	441	0	- 81			
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					0	اه	0				
(3) VACANT			-									
(4) INACTIVE			0	0								
(4) 114801146			0	0	0							
b. PRIVATE HOUSE	NG			<u>~</u> _					_			
		1,055	1,412	110	2,577	1,070	1,415	111	2,59			
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED	1.055	1,412	110	2,577							
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	1,033	1,712	110	2,311							
, ,			0	0	0							
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	550	483	23	1,056	535	462	21	1.04			
4. PROPOSED PROJEC	T	- 330		23	1,050	935	702	41	1,01			
						0	143	0	14			

AIR FORCE		9 MILIT. (com)	puter o					i		
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND LOCAT				MMAND	-		1 !	5. ARE	EA CO
				ĺ				i	COS	ST IN
EIELSON AIR FO	RCE BASE, A	LASKA		PACIF	IC AI	R FOI	RCES	į	1.	.73
6. PERSONNEL		PERMAN	ENT	SI	UDENT	S	SUP	PORT	ΞD	
STRENGTH	OF	F ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOT
a. As of 30 SE	P 97   25	4 2617	661				54	113	3   574	4,
b. End FY 2003	24	9 2587	658				54	113	3   574	4,
		7. INV	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000	)				
a. Total Acrea		,790)								
b. Inventory T									593,84	
c. Authorizati			_						10.00	0
d. Authorizati	_		_	-		(1337 )	2000)		12,93	
<ul><li>e. Authorizati</li><li>f. Planned In</li></ul>			_	-	am:	(FY 2	2000)		22 20	0
g. Remaining D		riogram	TCGIR	•					33,20	0
h. Grand Total	-							4	539,97	•
8. PROJECTS RE		THIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	.999					
CATEGORY	~						COST	DI	ESIGN	STAT
CODE	PROJECT	TITLE		S	COPE		(\$000	_	START	CM
				-						
711-142 REPLA	CE FAMILY H	OUSING			46	UN	12,93	2 A	JG 97	JUN
PHAS	E 3					-		_		
					TOTAL	:	12,93	2		
9a. Future Pr	ojects: In	cluded	in the	Follo	wing	Progr	cam (F	Y 200	00) NC	ONE
9b. Future Pr	ojects: Ty	pical P	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:			
711-142 FY70	APPROPRIATE		Y HSG		60	UN	•			
711-142 FY70						UN				
9c. Real Prop	erty Mainte	nance B	acklog		Insta	llat	ion	1:	26,500	
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o	erty Mainte r Major Fun	nance B	acklog The l	nost f	Insta ighte	llat: r wir	ion ng sup	12 ports	s an E	7-16
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A	erty Mainte r Major Fun /OA-10 squa	nance B ctions: dron, a	acklog The l nd a t	nost f rainir	Insta ighte ng squ	llat: r wir adror	ion ng supp n which	1: ports	s an I	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 E COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 E COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 E COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 E COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	nost f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte g squ sts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which natio	ports n com nal	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 COP

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
1	FY 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITL	E
		REPLACE FAMILY	HOUSING
EIELSON AIR FORC	E BASE, ALASKA	PHASE 3	
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER  8.	PROJECT COST(\$000)
	1	1	
8.87.41	711-142	FTQW984002	12,932

9. COST ESTIMATE	ES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	46	162,716	7,485
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				4,189
DEMOLITION	LS			( 425)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			( 290)
UTILITIES	LS	<b>†</b>		( 351)
LANDSCAPING	LS			( 142)
PLAYGROUNDS	LS	[		( 141)
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION/GARAGES	LS			( 1,405)
ASBESTOS/LEAD-BASED PAINT REMOVAL	LS		]	( <u>1,435</u> )
SUBTOTAL				11,674
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1			584
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			1	12,258
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)	1			674
TOTAL REQUEST	i			12,932
	1	[	[ ]	
	1		[ ]	
		1	-	
AREA COST FACTOR 1.73	Ì	1	ĺ	

|10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 46 housing units. |Includes demolition, site work, replacement of utility systems, roads and |asbestos/lead-based paint removal. Provides amenities including parking, appliances, patios, privacy fencing, and playgrounds/landscaping. | Includes 28 net square meters of arctic recreation space for harsh climate area. Foundations will be salvaged. 72 units will be demolished.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	<u>NSM</u>	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	116	1.76	<u>797</u>	46_	7,484,914
				46	7,484,914

1,106 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,948 UN ADEQUATE: 842 UN REQUIREMENT: PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 3). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at |Eielson AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with phase four of the Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the third of mutiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. Of the 932 housing units to be replaced/improved in this multi-phased initiative, 321 are completed or included in prior programs, and 611 will follow in subsequent phases.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 72 units which were constructed in 1953 with 46 units. These 43 year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 3	FTQW984002

construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they |provide a modern home environment. Roofs, wall, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement owing to the effects of age and the environment. Roof structures show signs of rot; leaks have made insulation (already inadequate by todays standards) less effective. |Foundation and pavements are showing signs of failure owing to settlement. |Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. |Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small, and fixtures are outdated and energy-inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counterspace, cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is worn out, and contains evidence of asbestos. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. There is no ground fault interrupter circuit protection, and many electrical outlets lack grounding protection. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this | replacement initiative is not supported. Some families will continue to | live in unsuitable housing while others are in new, replaced units. The | housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes totally | uninhabitable because adequate affordable off-base housing is not | available. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing | deficit of 32 units. Without this and subsequent phases of this | initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue, with no improvement in | the living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 87% of the replacement cost. Since this is a replacement project, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David Barnes, (907) 377-5213

la governoven		12 DAME
1. COMPONE	TT   FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	2. DATE
  AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
	ATION AND LOCATION	
İ		
	R FORCE BASE, ALASKA	
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
  DEDIACE EAT	ILY HOUSING PHASE 3	FTQW984002
	IZZI NOODING LIMBE 3	119,1501002
12. SUPPLI	EMENTAL DATA:	į
a. Estin	nated Design Data:	Ì
(1)	Status:	1
	(a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop o	costs N
•	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
•	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 23
1	(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUN 30
(2)	Basis:	1
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO I
•	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
1	•	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
•	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	400
,	(b) All Other Design Costs	
•	(c) Total	400
•	(d) Contract (e) In-house	400
	(e) In-nouse	! 
(4)	Construction Start	99 APR
		İ
İ	·	
  b. Equipme	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appro	opriations: N/A	ļ
		į
<u>!</u>		
1		i
İ		Ì
1		
		1
 		1
i		
į		
1		
ļ		
1		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT			2. FISCA	L YEAR	REPORT (	CONTROL	SYMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	TALLATION			<u>''</u>	-	DD-NGE (N		
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
5. DATA AS OF 1997	Elelson AFB						Alaska		
ANALY	ANALYSIS		JRRENT				PROJEC	TED	
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER	1	E3 - E1	TOTAL
REQUIREMENTS		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1)	(9)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL		244	2,061	503	2,808	259	2,027	617	2,90
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	244	2,061	503	2,808	259	2.027	617	2,90
8. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	181	1,592	158	1,931	189	1,532	227	1,94
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAR	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c	0	142	15	157				
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HO DISPOSED/REPI		0	72	0	72				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUN	1TY 0	70	15	85				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	G REQUIREMENTS	181	1,592	158	1,931	189	1,532	227	1,948
12. HOUSING ASSETS (	a + b)	185	1,450	143	1,778	194	1,464	187	1.84
a. UNDER MILITAR	Y CONTROL	102	996	120	1,218	151	1,281	152	1,58
(1) HOUSED IN OWNED/CO		102	996	120	1,218	102	996	120	1,21
	TRACT/APPROVED					49	285	32	36
(3) VACANT		٥	0		0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSE	NG	83	454	23	560	43	183	35	26
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED	79	454	23	556				
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	4	0	0	4				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G DEFICIT	(4)	142	15		(5)	68	40	10
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	et .					0		0	4

Item 14: This project will demolish 72 units and build 46 units.

FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM   AIR FORCE   (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION   4. COMMAND   5. AREA COMMAND   AIR FORCE   COST IN EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA   MATERIEL COMMAND   1.21	
AIR FORCE   COST IN   EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA   MATERIEL COMMAND   1.21	
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA MATERIEL COMMAND 1.21  6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED  STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOT  a. As of 30 SEP 97 651 3438 3095 242 390 749 8,  b. End FY 2003 612 3085 3051 242 390 749 8,  7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)  a. Total Acreage: ( 300,723)  b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374  c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0  d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580  e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100  f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800  g. Remaining Deficiency: 0  h. Grand Total: 844,854	·
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED  STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOT  a. As of 30 SEP 97   651   3438   3095   242   390   749   8,  b. End FY 2003   612   3085   3051   242   390   749   8,  7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)  a. Total Acreage: ( 300,723)  b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374  c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0  d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580  e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100  f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800  g. Remaining Deficiency: 0  h. Grand Total: 844,854	יםעו
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOT  a. As of 30 SEP 97   651   3438   3095   242   390   749   8,  b. End FY 2003   612   3085   3051   242   390   749   8,  7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)  a. Total Acreage: ( 300,723)  b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)   805,374  c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:   0  d. Authorization Requested In This Program:   12,580  e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000)   7,100  f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:   19,800  g. Remaining Deficiency:   0  h. Grand Total:   844,854	
a. As of 30 SEP 97   651   3438   3095     242   390   749   8, b. End FY 2003   612   3085   3051     242   390   749   8, 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)  a. Total Acreage: ( 300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)   805,374   C. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:   0   d. Authorization Requested In This Program:   12,580   e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000)   7,100   f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:   19,800   g. Remaining Deficiency:   0   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6   6	
b. End FY 2003   612   3085   3051   242   390   749   8,  7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)  a. Total Acreage: ( 300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)   805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:   0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program:   12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000)   7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:   19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency:   0 h. Grand Total:   844,854	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)  a. Total Acreage: ( 300,723)  b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374  c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0  d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580  e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100  f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800  g. Remaining Deficiency: 0  h. Grand Total: 844,854	
a. Total Acreage: ( 300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	129
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:  d. Authorization Requested In This Program:  e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000)  f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:  g. Remaining Deficiency:  h. Grand Total:  0  844,854	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
h. Grand Total: 844,854	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999	
o, inquest negotian in the income! It was	
CATEGORY COST DESIGN STAT	US
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CM	IPL
711-142 REPLACE AREA B HOUSING PHASE 4 48 UN <u>12,580</u> MAY 97 AUG	. 9
TOTAL: 12,580	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000)	
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG 38 UN	
TOTAL: 7,100	
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:	
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG 64 UN 11,000	
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG 51 UN 8,800	
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation 140,500	
10. Mission or Major Functions: Air Force Flight Test Center for	
Research and Development which is responsible for flight test activities	
for all USAF aircraft and related avionics, flight control, and weapons	
systems; a test wing; an air base wing; Air Force Test Pilot School; and	
Propulsion Directorate of Phillips Laboratory. Also, a landing site for	
the space shuttle.	

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE	
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUC	TION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer gene	rated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	REPLACE AREA B HOUSING PHASE 4	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT   6. CATEGORY CODE   7. PRO	JECT NUMBER  8. PROJECT COST (\$00	0)

8.87.41	711-142	FSPM994501		1	.2,580
	9. COST	ESTIMATES			
				UNIT	COST
	ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE MILITARY	FAMILY HOUSING	UN	48	109,837	5,272
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES			]	6,084
SITE PREPARATION	ON	LS			( 445)
ROADS AND PAVI	NG	LS	1		( 618)
UTILITIES		LS	1		( 670)
LANDSCAPING		LS	1	[	( 442)
RECREATION		LS			( 438)
SPECIAL CONSTR	UCTION FEATURES	LS			( 1,162)
DEMOLITION AND	ENVIRONMENTAL	LS		1	(2,309)
SUBTOTAL				1	11,356
CONTINGENCY (5%)					568
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST			[	11,924
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTION AND OVERHEAD	(5.5%)			<u>656</u>
TOTAL REQUEST				1	12,580
		1			
AREA COST FACTOR		1.21	1	1	

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 48 housing units. | Includes demolition of 186 units, site clearing, upgrade of utilities and | roads, and construction of 48 new units. Provides normal amenities to | include appliances, parking, air conditioning, exterior patios and privacy | fencing, neighborhood playground, and recreation areas. Includes | demolition, asbestos and lead-based paint removal.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	88	1.25	797	4	350,680
JNCO 3BR	111	1.25	797	40	4,423,350
JNCO 4BR	125	1.25	<u>797</u>	4_	498,125
			-	48	5,272,155

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,410 UN ADEQUATE: 988 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,422 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 4). (Current Mission) | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Edwards AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are | programmed in accordance with Phase 4 of the Housing Community Plan. | Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living | environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. The | replacement housing will provide modern kitchen, living room, family room, | bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage | and a single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for a second | loccupant vehicle and guests. The basic neighborhood support | infra-structure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE AREA B HOUSING PHASE 4	FSPM994501

Neighborhood improvements will include landscaping and playgrounds. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replace 48 housing units which were constructed in the 1950s. These 40+ year old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have not had any major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Plumbing systems, electrical systems, heating and air conditioning system are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency and safety. Systems are in such poor repair that constant maintenance is required to maintain operability. The harsh environment has taken its toll and the units have deteriorated beyond economical repair. Asbestos-containing |building materials contribute significantly to the high repair cost. The exteriors of these facilities have deteriorated to the point that all wooden surfaces need to be replaced. This housing area is very congested and presents a traffic flow safety hazard when cars park on the streets because the units lack driveways and adequate garages.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Asbestos will continue to limit maintainabilty, and future repair costs will be exorbitant due to the environmental abatement requirements. Mechanical and electrical systems will fail, adding to the already heavy workload and high cost to maintain. The units will continue to be occupied until they become uninhabitable because adequate, affordable housing is not available for junior enlisted families.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The initial cost to improve the housing is 92% of the replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col Steven D. Kukuk (805) 277-2910.

1. COMPONE	· ·	2. DATE
110 DODGE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	TA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
J. INSTALL	ATION AND HOCATION	
EDWARDS A	R FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE AF	EA B HOUSING PHASE 4	FSPM994501
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	٦
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
• - •	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAY 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	100%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JUN 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	97 AUG 01
(2)	Basis:	
, - ,	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	EDWARDS
(3)		(\$000
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	30
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	30
	(d) Contract	30
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
	•	
	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appi	opriations: N/A	

302

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION 1.	DATE OF REPORT			2. FISCA		REPORT ( DD-A&L(A	CONTROL R)1716	SYMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT 4. REPORTING INSTALLA	TION				1000	00	,	
AIR FORCE a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
5. DATA AS OF Edwards AFB				California				
34394								
ANALYSIS		URRENT				PROJEC		
OF	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTAL
REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(0)	(1)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH	738	3,206	811	4,755	742	2,770	701	4,213
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL	738	3,206	811	4,755	742	2,770	701	4,213
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	424	2,311	179	2,914	426	1,997	155	2,578
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)	0	186	0	186				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED	0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED	0	186	0	186				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS	24	156	10	190	23	136	9	168
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	400	2,155	169	2,724	403	1,861	146	2,410
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	437	2,146	374	2,957	434	1,735	193	2,362
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL	391	1,372	40	1,803	391	1,372	40	1,803
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED	391	1,372	40	1,803	391	1,372	40	1,803
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED					0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT	o	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE	o	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING	46	774	334	1,154	43	363	153	559
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED	9	597	129	735				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL	37	177	205	419				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT	(37)	9	(205	(233)	(31)	126	(47)	48
14. PROPOSED PROJECT				•	0	48	0	48

DD\_FORM 1523, NOV 90

15. REMARKS
Item 14: This project will demolish 186 units and re-build 48 units.

	D1 100	^ MTT TMB:	D	0mp110		DD 0 0 D	216		2. DAT	ΓE
AIR FORCE		9 MILITAI				PROGR	AM			
3. INSTALLATION		(comp			MMAND				5. ARI	ZA CON
VANDENBERG AIR					ORCE					EA CON
	FORCE DASE	•	!			A 3.TT				
CALIFORNIA		DEDMANE			COMM		CIT	DOD!		25
6. PERSONNEL	1 05	PERMANEI F  ENL			UDENTS			PORT		L Moma
STRENGTH a. As of 30 SE					ENL	ICIAI	OFF	ENI	CIV	
	•	•		!			ļ			4,2
b. End FY 2003	620	6 2171			/#000	<u> </u>				3,7
	/ 00	7. INVE	NTORY .	DATA	(\$000)	)				
a. Total Acrea	_	,256)						_		
b. Inventory To								Ι,	146,52	
c. Authorizati			_							0
d. Authorizati	_		_						18,49	
e. Authorizati			_	_	am:	(FY 2	(000		17,70	
f. Planned In 1		Program `	Years:						63,60	
g. Remaining D	_									0
h. Grand Total								1,	246,32	23
8. PROJECTS RE	QUESTED IN '	THIS PRO	GRAM:	FY 1	.999					
CATEGORY							COST	_	ESIGN	
CODE	PROJECT '	<u> TITLE</u>		<u>s</u>	COPE		(\$000	)	START	CMP
711-142 REPLA		FAMILY			95	UN	18,49	9 1	.UG 97	JUN
HOUS	ING PHASE 6					_		_		
					TOTAL		18,49			
9a. Future Pro	-				_	_			100}	
711-142 FY70 Z	APPROPRIATE	D FAMILY	HSG		102	_		_		
					TOTAL		17,70	0		
	ojects: Ty		anned 1	Next						
711-142 REPLA					119	UN	20,60	0		
	ING, PHASE									
711-142 REPLA					133	UN	22,90	0		
HOUS	ING, PHASE	9								
711-142 REPLA	CE MILITARY	FAMILY			119	UN	20,10	0		
	ING, PHASE									
9c. Real Prop	erty Mainte	nance Ba	cklog '	This	Insta	llati	on	1	78,100	)
10. Mission of	r Major Fun	ctions:	Headq	uarte	rs Fo	urtee	nth A	ir F	orce;	a
space wing with	h UH-1 airc	raft; We:	st Coa	st sp	ace la	aunch	and	miss	ile te	est
operations; an	Air Force I	Materiel	Comma	nd de	tachme	ent o	f the	Spa	ce and	i
Missile Systems	s Center; a	nd an Ai:	r Educ	ation	and !	Train	ing C	omma	ind spa	ice
and missile tra	aining group	ρ.								
		-								

9. COST ESTIMATE	s			
	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	95	112,052	10,645
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				6,055
SITE PREPARATION	LS	1		( 383)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			( 542)
UTILITIES	LS			( 1,264)
LANDSCAPING	LS			( 605)
RECREATION, WALKS, PARKS/LIGHTS, FENCE	LS			( 940)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS/LBP/UG TNKS REMOVE	LS			(2,321)
SUBTOTAL	1		]	16,700
CONTINGENCY (5%)				835
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			]	17,535
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				964
TOTAL REQUEST				18,499
	1			
	1			
	1	[		
AREA COST FACTOR 1.25		<u> </u>		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 95 housing units to | include demolition, site work, replacement/upgrade of utilities & | pavements, and construct masonry wall. Includes amenities such as | appliances, parking, single-car garages, storage, patios, fences, tot | lots, recreation, parks, lights, & trails. Includes demolition & disposal | of asbestos, lead-based paints, and undergound storage tanks.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JRENL 3BR	111	1.25	797	85	9,399,619
JRENL 4BR	125	1.25	797	10_	1,245,313
				95	10,644,932

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,245 UN ADEQUATE: 731 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,514 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 6) (Current Mission). | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern, efficient, and safe housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Vandenberg AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are | programmed in accordance with Phase 6 of the Housing Community Plan (HCP). | Replacement housing will provide a living environment comparable to the | off-base civilian community. This is the sixth of thirteen phases to | provide adequate housing for base personnel. Of the 2076 units to be | replaced in this multi-phase initiative, 657 are completed or included in | prior programs, and 1324 will follow in subsequent phases. New housing | will provide a modern kitchen, family room, bedroom, bathroom, ample | storage, single-car garage, and parking for guests. Basic neighborhood | support infrastructure will be upgraded to modern standards. Landscaping,

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	,
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
4. PROJECT TITLE   5	. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 6	XUMU994000

playgrounds, walks, handicap access, signs, lights, irrigation, recreation areas, fitness course, and utility upgrades will be provided. |CURRENT SITUATION: Units are over 37 years old and have deteriorated to the point where replacement is the most economical alternative. Wiring and fixtures have been identified by the Fire Department and Base Safety as a fire hazard; wiring is brittle and exposed. There are no ground fault interrupters (a life safety hazard). Fixtures are energy inefficient. Plumbing systems have succumbed to the effects of hard water and corrosion, resulting in severe flow constriction and pipe leakage. Overhead pipes in the attics leak, causing ceiling and property damage. Corroded sewer lines leak in and under the floor slab. Roof structures are sagging. There is no family room and insufficient bulk storage. Kitchens have inefficient work space/circulation and worn out/insufficient cabinets. Bathroom fixtures, vanities, and appointments are worn and Plumbing fixtures are worn and failing. Baths are deteriorated and outdated; shower enclosures and medicine cabinets are corroded, discolored, and pitted. The present configuration of units is inefficient and provides no privacy for residents. These houses have had no major upgrades since construction, do not meet the needs of today's families, nor provide a modern home environment. Roofs, walls, foundations, and sidewalks require replacement due to the effects of age and the environment. Housing interiors are inadequate by any modern criteria. Utility wires and poles clutter the streetscape. There is a lack of trees on streets, lawns, and open spaces.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will | continue to be housed with minimal water and electrical services. The | occupants of these housing units will suffer continual water leaks in | their ceilings damaging light fixtures and interior finishes. A living | environment that promotes pride, professionalism, and individual dignity | will not be provided. Without this and subsequent phases of this | initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue out of necessity, with | no improvement in the living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic lanalysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values land benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 96% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col William R. Quinn (805)734-6855.

306

1. COMPONE	T			2. DATE
ĺ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PROJECT DATA	Α.	Ì
AIR FORCE		generated)		
3. INSTALL	TION AND LOCATION			
<del></del>	AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			TEGE MENDED
4. PROJECT	TITLE	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	o. PRC	DJECT NUMBER
  REPLACE MI	ITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE	6	XUN	TU994000
l		-		
12. SUPPL	MENTAL DATA:			;   
a. Esti	ated Design Data:			1
(1)	Status:			İ
1	a) Date Design Started			97 AUG 05
	b) Parametric Cost Estimat		osts	N
	c) Percent Complete as of	Jan 1998		35%
	d) Date 35% Designed.			97 SEP 24
1	e) Date Design Complete			98 JUN 01
(2)	Basis:			
(2)	a) Standard or Definitive	Design -		YES
1	b) Where Design Was Most I			VANDENBE
	_,			,
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$	or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
Ì	a) Production of Plans and	l Specifications		300
Ì	b) All Other Design Costs			125
	c) Total			425
1	d) Contract			425
]	e) In-house			
(4)	Construction Start			99 JAN
ļ				
ļ				ļ
·	nt associated with this propriations: N/A	oject will be provided	d from	1   
1				
i				j
				ĺ
Ì				
1				
				]
ļ				ļ
				!
				ļ
1				l
]				
				; 
<u> </u>				

		ATE OF REPORT			2. FISCA 1999		REPORT DD-A&L(A	CONTROL	SYMB
OD COMPONENT R FORCE	4. REPORTING INSTALLATI	ION			b. LOCA				
ATA AS OF	Vandenberg AFB	,			California	HON			
ANALYS	S		JRRENT				PROJEC	CTED	
OF	1 ND 400570	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOT
REQUIREMENTS OTAL PERSONNEL S		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u>(f)</u>	(9)	(h)
STAL PERSONNEL S	IKENGTH	748	2.057	707	3,512	846	2,046	936	3,
ERMANENT PARTY P	ERSONNEL	2.0							
ROSS FAMILY HOUS	ING REQUIREMENTS	748	2,057	707	3,512	846	2,046	936	3,
OTAL UNACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED (a + b + c)	487	1,526	167	2,180	517	1,514	214	2,2
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	0	0	95	95				
		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOU		0	0	0.5					
DISPOSED/REPLA C LINACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	95	95				
		0	0	0	0				
OLUNTARY SEPARA	TIONS	0	0	0	0	0			
FFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS						0	0	_
ેં iNG ASSETS (a	+ b)	487	1,526	167	2,180	517	1,514	214	2,
a. UNDER MILITARY	CONTROL	487	1,536	72	2,095	518	1,525	106	2,
(1) HOUSED IN E	KISTING DOD	487	1,428	66	1,981	496	1,423	62	1,
OWNED/CON	TROLLED	487	1,428	66	1,981	496	1,423	62	1.5
(2) UNDER CONT	RACT/APPROVED					0	0	0	
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0		U		
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUSIN	G		108		0		400		
(1) ACCEPTABLY	HOUSED	0		6	114	22	102	44	ı
(2) ACCEPTABLE	VACANT RENTAL	0	98	6	104				
FFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	0	10	0	10				
ROPOSED PROJECT		0	(10)	95	86	(1)	(11)	108	
				_		o	0	95	
ROPOSED PROJECT EMARKS			(10)						

DD FORM 1523, NOV 90

. COMPONENT									2	. DAT	E
	FY	1999		ARY COM			PROGR	MA			
IR FORCE				outer o							
. INSTALLATI	ON AND LO	OCATIO	N			MMAND			5		EA CONST
						OBILI	J. X		ļ		T INDEX
OVER AIR FOR	CE BASE,				COMMA		<u> </u>				03
. PERSONNEL	-		PERMANE			UDENT		·	PORTE		
STRENGTH		· · · · ·		CIV		ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	
. As of 30 S				1101	:			66	227	: :	•
. End FY 200	02			1071			<u> </u>	66	227	15	5,03
				ENTORY	DATA	(\$000	<del>)</del>				
. Total Acre			357)	^-\					_		
. Inventory										13,93	
. Authorizat				_						43,20	
. Authorizat	_			_						8,99	
. Authorizat				_	_	am:	(FY 2	(000			0
. Planned Ir			rogram	Years	:						0
. Remaining		cy:								17,00	
. Grand Tota									2	83,13	35
. PROJECTS F	EQUESTED	IN TH	HIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	.999					
ATEGORY								COST	_		STATUS
CODE	PROJ	ECT T	TLE		<u>s</u>	COPE		(\$000	<u>)</u> <u>s</u>	TART	CMPL
11-142 REPI	ACE FAMI	га ног	JSING			55	UN _	8,99	<u>8</u> AU	G 97	JUN 9
						TOTAL	:	8,99	8		
a. Future F	rojects:	Incl	luded i	in the	Follo	wing	Progr	am (F	Y 200	0) NC	NE
b. Future P	rojects:	Турі	cal Pl	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:			
c. Real Pro	perty Ma	intena	ance Ba	acklog	This	Insta	llati	.on	11	2,600	)

1. COMPONENT						2	. DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION	N PRO	DJECT	DATA	A	
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generate	ed)			į	
3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT 1	TITLI	Ξ	· · · · -
DOVER AIR FORCE BAS	SE, DELAWARE	RE	PLACE	E FAMI	LLY I	HOUSING	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	r nur	MBER	8. 1	PROJECT	COST (\$000)
8.87.41	711-142	FJXT99		₹			8,998
	9. COST	r estimate:	<u>s</u>				
			ļ ,			UNIT	COST
	ITEM			QUANT		COST	(\$000)
REPLACE FAMILY HOUS			UN		55	100,55	
SUPPORTING FACILIT							2,592
SITE PREPARATION			LS				( 975)
DEMO/ENVIR/COMMU	NITY		LS	1		 	(1,617)
SUBTOTAL			1	1		 	8,122
CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COS'	T		1	<u> </u> 		 	406
SUPERVISION, INSPE		n /5 5%\	{ !	] 		 	8,528
TOTAL REQUEST	CIION AND OVERHEAD	2 (3.3%)	!	} 		1	$\frac{469}{8,998}$
TOTAL REQUEST			1	i I		 	0,990
			1	} 		1	1
			1	! }		ł 	1
			<u> </u>	1		! 	
				! [			Ì
				} ]		1	
			! 	, 		! !	
			1	! 		i 1	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 55 housing units. |Includes demolition, site clearing, replacement/upgrade of utility systems |and roads, and construction of new single and multiplex units. Provides |normal amenities to include appliances, parking, air conditioning, exterior patios and privacy fencing. Includes demolition, asbestos and lead-based paint removal.

1.03

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	111	1.02	797	8	721,891
SNCO 3BR	125	1.02	797	43	4,369,553
SNCO 4BR	135	1.02	<u> 797</u>	4_	438,988
				55	5,530,432

REOUIREMENT: 2,771 UN ADEQUATE: 1,135 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents at Dover AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan, Phase A. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the first of multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. The replacement housing will provde a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and a single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for a 7 1 second occupant vehicle and guests. The basic neighborhood support

| AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A7
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING	FJXT994012R

infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing standards. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 55 housing units which were built in 1958. These 39-year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families nor do they |provide a modern home environment. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. |Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small, and fixtures are outdated and energy inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space. Flooring throughout the houses is outdated and contains asbestos. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Outdoor living space, community areas, and indiviual patios are either very limited or nonexistent. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this initiative is not supported. The housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes totally uninhabitable because adequate, affordable off-base housing is not available. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing deficit of 87 units. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue with no improvement in the quality of life. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of |Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Plannirg and Design Guide." An economic |analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net |present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the |project. The cost to improve this project is 74% of replacement cost.

Since this is replacement, there will be no increase in student

population. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Willie Dean, (302) 677-6766.

AIR FORCE	: 1	(computer generated)	i		
3. INSTAI	LATIC	ON AND LOCATION			
DOVER AIR		CE BASE, DELAWARE	le ppo-	TECT NUME	
4. PROJEC	.1 111	LDE	5. PROC	5. PROJECT NUMI	
REPLACE I	AMILY	HOUSING	FJXT	FJXT994012R	
12. SUPI	LEMEN	VTAL DATA:			
a. Est	imate	ed Design Data:			
(1)	Sta	atus:			
	(a)	Date Design Started		97 AUG	
1		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs		
		Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		;	
		Date 35% Designed.		97 SEP	
	(e)	Date Design Complete		98 JUN	
(2)	Bas				
	(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		NO	
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A	
   (3)	Tot	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$)	
	(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications			
		All Other Design Costs			
		Total			
[ 		Contract			
	(e)	In-house			
(4)	Cor	nstruction Start		99 1	
  b. Equip	ment	associated with this project will be provide	ded from		
		iations: N/A			
] 					
I 					
1					

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPOR	т		2. FISCA 1999	L YEAR	REPORT	CONTROL	SYMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	ALLATION			1		DO-1001	(K)1710	
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCATION				
5. DATA AS OF 1995	Dover AFB				Delaware				
ANALYS	ils		URRENT				PROJEC	TED	
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA
REQUIREMENTS 6. TOTAL PERSONNEL S		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(⊕)	(1)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNELS	PIRENGIA	541	3,088	977	4,606	379	2.510	801	3.69
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL								
		541	3,088	977	4,606	379	2.510	801	3,60
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	SING REQUIREMENTS	425	2,649	361	3,435	309	2,160	302	2,77
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAB	LY HOUSED (a + b + c)		-,,,,,,,,		0,400	503	2,100	302	4,71
		2	88	0	90				
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED		0						
b. IN MILITARY HOL	ISING TO BE		Ť	-	<del> </del>				
DISPOSED/REPL		0	55	0	55				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNIT	TY   2	33		35				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARA	TIONS			<u>~</u>					
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	425	2,649	361	3,435	309	2,160	302	2,7
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a	+ b)								
		423	2,561	361	3,345	309	2,050	270	2,62
a. UNDER MILITARY	CONTROL	108	1,030	361	1,499	108	1,279	107	1,49
(1) HOUSED IN E	XISTING DOD				,,,,,,,,	,,,,	1,2,0	107	1,44
OWNED/CON		108	1,030	361	1,499	108	1,279	107	1,49
(2) UNDER CONT	RACT/APPROVED					0		0	
(3) VACANT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					U	U	0	
		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE			0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSIN	ig								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	315	1,531	0	1,846	201	771	163	1,13
(1) ACCEPTABLY	HOUSED	215	4.534	_					
(2) ACCEPTABLE	VACANT RENTAL	315	1,531	0	1,846				
(=, ::====: // // // /		0	0	o	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT								
4. PROPOSED PROJECT		2	68	0	90	0	110	32	14
						0	55	٥	5

Item 12.a.(1)(h): An economic evaluation performed in 1994 indicated that five MFH units had exceeded their economic life and were subsequently demolished.

L. COMPONENT	EV	1000	MTTTT	ARY CON	ומייםני	י זא רדיים	ם ריים מ	ħΜ	4	. DAT	E
AIR FORCE	r x	エフプブ		puter c			ROUNT	ייייו	ļ		
B. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATIO				MMAND			15	. ARF	EA CON
						OBILI	ΓY		i		ST IND
MACDILL AIR FOR	CE BASE	, FLO	RIDA		COMMA	AND			i		84
. PERSONNEL			ERMAN	ENT	sı	TUDENTS	5	SUF	PORTE	ED	
STRENGTH	Ī	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTA
a. As of 30 SEP	97	663	2746	986				868	1037	109	6,4
o. End FY 2003		630						868	1037	109	6,3
		7	. INV	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)	)				
a. Total Acreag		5,7							0		
o. Inventory To									2	218,15	52
c. Authorization											0
d. Authorization										7,60	9
e. Authorization				_	_	cam:	(FY 2	(000			0
E. Planned In No			ogram	Years	:						0
g. Remaining De	ficienc	у:							_		0
n. Grand Total:	TECHED.	T) T MI	TO DD	OCDAN	1737. 1	000				25,76	) T
B. PROJECTS REQU	UESTED	IN TH	IS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	1999		COST	י חם	CTON	STATU
CATEGORY	חח חחת	OT TO T	יים דיים			CODE		(\$000			
CODE	PROJE	<u>CI 11</u>	TUE		=	SCOPE		13000	<u> </u>	TART	CME
	E FAMIL					TOTAL		7,60 7,60	9	JG 97	
a. Future Pro	iects:	T 1					Dwa-	/ 12			\\TT7
									Y 200	00) NO	INE
9b. Future Pro	jects:	Турі	cal P	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:			
9c. Real Prope 10. Mission or squadron with K	jects: rty Mai Major C-135R	Typi ntena Funct and E	cal P nce B ions: C-135	lanned acklog An a aircra	Next This ir ref	Three Instalueling The w	Year llati g wir ing a	cs: on ng wit ilso p	h one	77,200 KC-1	) L35R upport
9c. Real Prope 10. Mission or	jects: rty Mai Major C-135R United	Typi ntena Funct and E	cal Pince Baions: C-135	lanned acklog An a: aircra ecial (	Next This ir ref aft. Operat	Three Instalueling The wi	Year llati g wir ing a	s: on g wit ilso p ind, H	h one rović	77,200 KC-1 les su	) L35R upport

					1 -	
1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	ISTRUCTION	PRO	DJECT DATA	<b>.</b>	
AIR FORCE	(compute:	generate	d)			
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT TITLE	3	
		1				
MACDILL AIR FO	DRCE BASE, FLORIDA	REP	LACI	E FAMILY H	OUSING E	PHASE 3
	EMENT   6 . CATEGORY CODE	. PROJECT	NUI	MBER 8. F	ROJECT C	COST (\$000)
	i			į		i
8.87.41	711-142	NVZR993	702	ĺ		7,609
	9. COST	ESTIMATES		·	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				1	UNIT	COST
	ITEM	i	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE FAMIL	/ HSG	1	UN	48	76,881	3,690
SUPPORTING FAC	CILITIES	İ			İ	3,146
SITE WORK		İ	LS	i i		(1,263)
ROADS AND PA	AVING	Ì	LS	İ		( 150)
UTILITIES		İ	LS	į į		( 100)
LANDSCAPING		Ì	LS	i i		( 20)
SPECIAL CON	STRUCTION FEATURES	i	LŞ	i i	,	(1,402)
DEMO/ENVIRO	MENTAL HAZARD REMEDIAT	ON i	LS	į i		( 211)
SUBTOTAL		i		i i		6,836
CONTINGENCY (	5%)	i		i i		342

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 48 housing units. | Includes site preparation, replacement/upgrade of utility systems, roads, | landscaping, and recreation areas. Amenities include appliances, | carports, air conditioning, heating, carpeting, patios, privacy fencing, | and neighborhood playgrounds and recreational areas. Includes demolition | of existing units and removal of asbestos and lead-based paint.

. 84

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	111	. 86	797	44	3,347,591
JNCO 4BR	125	86	<u>797</u>	4_	342,710
				48	3,690,301

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,268 UN ADEQUATE: 1,576 UN SUBSTANDARD: 692 UN PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing, Phase 3 (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families assigned to MacDill AFB.

All units will meet "whole house" standards and provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Project is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. This is the third of multiple phases to upgrade or replace 804 housing units--114 of which are included in prior programs and 642 remain following this phase. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, dining room, and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage and carports. Off-street parking will be provided for a second vehicle. The basic neighborhood support will be upgraded to meet modern housing standards. Landscaping, playgrounds, and

7,178

7,609

431

|TOTAL CONTRACT COST

TOTAL REQUEST

AREA COST FACTOR

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)

REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 3

recreational areas are included. Climatic considerations require special construction measures to withstand hurricanes and tidal surges. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces housing which is over 45 years old and is showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They've had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families. Existing houses are well below the authorized net area. Roofs, walls, foundations, and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Lack of adequate parking spaces for occupants has created excessive congestion and safety hazards. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small and fixtures are outdated and energy inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space; cabinets are old and unsightly; and |counter tops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is outdated and contains evidence of asbestos. Utility systems require excessive maintenance and repair. Dining rooms are nonexistent, so living room space is sacrificed for family dining. Housing density is excessive, creating an undesirable living environment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will continue to live in extremely small, outdated, and unsatisfactory housing. The housing will continue to deteriorate, resulting in escalating and unacceptable maintenance and repair costs as well as extreme inconvenience to the occupants. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, repairs will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in occupant quality of life. These deficiencies will continue to adversely effect the moral of all personnel and their family members assigned to the base. The current Housing Market Analysis shows a projected deficit of 16 units.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support dependents. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve these units is 88% of the replacement cost. The design/construction agent for this project is the Corps of Engineers resulting in Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead costs of 6 percent. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col William R. Floyd, (813)828-3677.

NVZR993702

1. COMPON	JENT		2. DATE
	i	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	i
AIR FORCE	<u> </u>	(computer generated)	
3. INSTAI	LATIO	N AND LOCATION	
		RCE BASE, FLORIDA	ROJECT NUMBER
4. PROJEC	JI TII	5. F	ROUECI NUMBER
  REPLACE	FAMILY	HOUSING PHASE 3	VZR993702
12. SUPI	PLEMEN	TAL DATA:	ļ
a. Est	rimate	ed Design Data:	
a. 150	Imacc	a besign baca.	;
(1)		tus:	ļ
 	(a)	Date Design Started	97 AUG 01
i I		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	;
 		Percent Complete as of Jan 1998  Date 35% Designed.	35%   97 SEP 24
 		Date Design Complete	98 JUN 01
	(6)	Date Design complete	30 501 01
(2)	Bas	sis:	i
	(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(2)	. m-+		(6000)
(3) 		al Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)   228
		Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs	226
		Total	228
		Contract	228
		In-house	
			j
(4)	Con	struction Start	99 MAR
			ļ
h Fouris	omont	associated with this project will be provided fr	rom
		associated with this project will be provided in ations: N/A	.Oiii j
Other app	or opr i	actons. N/A	]
			ļ
İ			ĺ
			1
			!
			ļ
]			
l I			]
			i
ĺ			j
!			
			1
<b> </b>  -			

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION 1. DA	ATE OF REPORT			2. FISCAI 1999	L YEAR	REPORT (	CONTROL R)1716	SYMBOI
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INSTALLAT	ION					1		
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
5. DATA AS OF 1994	MacDIII AFB				Florida				
ANALYS	is	Ci	RRENT				PROJEC	TED	
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER	E9 -E4	E3 - E1	TOTA
REQUIREMENTS	AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
. TOTAL PERSONNEL									
		995	2,235	346	3,576	1,005	2,161	319	3,48
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	995	2,235	346	3,576	1,005	2,161	319	3,48
8. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	681	1,525	110	2,316	688	1,479	101	2.26
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAE	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c)						1,415	101	2,20
IN COLUMN TO THE	CERABATER	4	59	5	68				
a. INVOLUNTARILY		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOU DISPOSED/REPI		0	48	0	48				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	4	11	5	20				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	0	0	0		0	0	0	
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	681	1,525	110	2,316	688	1,479	101	2,26
2. HOUSING ASSETS (	a + b)								
		677	1,466	105	2,248	683	1,369	97	2,14
a. UNDER MILITAR	Y CONTROL	130	613	13	756	130	559	13	71
(1) HOUSED IN I									ĺ
OWNED/COM		130	613	13	756	130	559	13	70
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					0	0	o	
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	NG	547	853	92		553	810	84	1,4
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED						010		, 4
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	547	853	92					
		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	G DEFICIT	4	59	5	68	5	110	4	1
4. PROPOSED PROJEC	T								

Item 12.a.(1)(h): 54 MFH units are being demolished as part of the FY98 project.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	
	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
	AIR FORCE	COST INDEX
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	SPACE COMMAND	0.96
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS S	UPPORTED
	OFF ENL CIV OF	F ENL CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 96   450   1760   10	39	3,299
b. End FY 2001   372   1303   10	70	2,745
	Y DATA (\$000)	
a. Total Acreage: ( 2,341)		
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 9		161,744
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventor		7,700
d. Authorization Requested In This P		9,692
e. Authorization Included In Followi		) 0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Yea	s:	29,100
g. Remaining Deficiency:		19,743
h. Grand Total:		227,979
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRA	I: FY 1999	
CATEGORY	CO	ST <u>DESIGN STATUS</u>
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$0	00) START CMPL
		1
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HS	46 UN _ 9,	692 AUG 97 JUN 98
		692
9a. Future Projects: Included in t		(FY 2000) NONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Plann		
711-142 REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HSG	80 UN 9,	900
(PHASE 2)		
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HS	•	1
711-142 REPLACE SOUTH HOUSING PHASE		300
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlo		119,500
10. Mission or Major Functions: A		
Applications Center; an Air Combat Co		
HC-130 rescue squadron; and an Air Fo	rce Reserve HH-60/HC	-130 rescue
squadron.		ļ
		ļ
		Į.
1		
 		ļ
		1
		1
		-
		1
		1
		·
		j
		İ
		j
		i
		·

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PROJECT DATA	ĺ
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
PATRICK AIR FORCE	BASE, FLORIDA	REPLACE SOUTH HOU	JSING, PHASE 1
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	T 6. CATEGORY CODE   7.	. PROJECT NUMBER   8. PR	ROJECT COST(\$000)
		ļ	
8.87.41	711-142	SXHT9940051	9,692
	9. COST E	ESTIMATES	
			INTT   COST

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	46	87,582	4,029
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			1	4,721
SITE PREPARATION	LS			( 431)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS		!	(1,799)
UTILITIES	LS			(1,287)
LANDSCAPING	LS		İ	( 150)
RECREATION	LS			( 150)
DEMOLITION AND ASBESTOS	LS		[	(904)
SUBTOTAL				8,750
CONTINGENCY (5%)				438
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			Į	9,188
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				505
TOTAL REQUEST	1			9,692
	1	1		1
		ţ		1
	-	[		1
AREA COST FACTOR .96	<u> </u>			Ĺ

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 46 housing units. | Includes the demolition of 307 units, site clearing, asbestos and lead | basepaint removal, replacement/upgrade of utility systems and roads. | Provides 3 bedroom units with attached garages. Normal amenities to | include appliances, parking, air conditioning, exterior patios, | recreational areas, and whole neighborhood improvements.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	111	.99	797	46	4,028,787
				46	4,028,787

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,136 UN ADEQUATE: 1,129 UN SUBSTANDARD: 999 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 1) (Current Mission). | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Patrick AFB. This is the first phase of a multi-phased initiative. This | housing replacement will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living | environment comparable to off-base civiliah communities. The replacement | housing will provide a modern kitchen, living/dining room, bedrooms and | baths, with adequate interior and exterior storage, and a single garage. | Exterior parking will be provided for a second occupant vehicle and guest. | The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be replaced to meet | modern housing needs. Neighborhood enhancements will include landscaping | and recreational areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: Project replaces 46 housing units that were constructed in 1958. The existing units are one story, concrete block

1. COMPONENT	2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJE	CT NUMBER
DEDIACE COUTH MOUSTING DHASE 1	I SXHT9	1940051

with built up roofs. These houses are showing the effects of age, continuous heavy use, and the degradation due to the corrosive environment on Florida's coast. The built up gravel flat roofs have deteriorated to the point of replacement. Exterior walls have cracks that allow water and moisture to deteriorate housing interiors. The infrastructure (sewer, water, electrical) has deteriorated beyond economic repair. The plumbing and heating/air conditioning systems inside the units have also deteriorated beyond economic repair. The bathrooms are small. Fixtures are outdated and are energy inefficient. Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and are in need of replacement. The units have asbestos in roofs, floor tiles, walls. Lead based paint is present on walls and ceilings.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families would continue to be housed in unsatisfactory conditions affecting morale and the retention of quality personnel. Without this project, various costly repairs will be required for these units with no improvement in the quality of life.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 78% of the replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Bryan L. Kuhlmann, (407) 494-4041.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE						
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLAT	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							
PATRICK AIR	FORCE BASE, FLORIDA							
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER						
REPLACE SOUT	H HOUSING, PHASE 1	SXHT9940051						
 	ENTAL DATA:							
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:							
a. Estima	ted Design Data:							
]								
	tatus:							
	Date Design Started	97 AUG 04						
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of Percent Complete as of Jan 1998							
•	Date 35% Designed.	35% 97 SEP 24						
,	Date Design Complete	98 JUN 01						
	, bace besign complete	JO 00N 01						
(2) E	asis:							
(a	) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES						
į (b	) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	PATRICK						
<u> </u>								
•	Cotal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)						
•	Production of Plans and Specifications	200						
•	) All Other Design Costs :) Total	125						
•	Ontract	325 325						
1	i) In-house	323						
,	,, 11 110420							
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN						
ĺ								
	h	. 1 . 5						
	t associated with this project will be provide	ed from						
other approp	riations: N/A							
1								
! 								
ì								
<b>i</b>								
1								
リフ								

## DD Form 1523 Patrick Official HMA

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT			2. FISCAI	L YEAR	REPORT	CONTROL	SYMBOL		
					1999		DD-A&L(A	R)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INS	TALLATION									
AIR FORCE	a. NAME		b.				b. LOCATION				
5. DATA AS OF	Patrick AFB				Florida						
1994	1				1						
ANALYS	SIS	С	URRENT				PROJEC	TED			
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER	E9 -E4	E3 - E1	TOTA		
REQUIREMENTS	AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(0)	(1)	(g)	(h)		
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL S	TRENGTH										
		718	1,902	245	2,865	721	1,878	272	2,87		
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL										
		718	1,902	245	2,865	721	1,878	272	2,87		
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	ING REQUIREMENTS										
		569	1,489	92	2,150	570	1,465	101	2,13		
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAB	LY HOUSED (a + b + c	)				.4					
		0	160	0	160						
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	اه	0	٥							
b. IN MILITARY HOL	JSING TO BE	<del>-</del>									
DISPOSED/REPL		0	160	0	160						
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNI										
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARA	TIONS	0	0	0	0				,		
IU. VOLUNIARI SEPARA	TIONS	اه	0	0	٥	٥	٥	0.			
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS										
		569	1,489	92	2,150	570	1,465	101	2,13		
12. HOUSING ASSETS (	+ b)	575	1,470	92	2,137	569	1,412	108	2,08		
a. UNDER MILITARY	CONTROL		1,470	-	2,107		1,712	100	2,00		
		139	1,203	54	1,396	139	1,056	54	1,24		
(1) HOUSED IN E			4.000	۱							
OWNED/CON	TRACT/APPROVED	133	1,062	54	1,249	139	1,056	54	1,24		
(2) 0140211 0014	MACIMINITIONES					0	0	o			
(3) VACANT											
		6	141	0	147						
(4) INACTIVE		اه	0	١ ,	٥						
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	NG			<u> </u>							
		436	267	38	741	430	356	54	84		
(1) ACCEPTABLY	HOUSED	,,,	267	38	741						
(2) ACCEPTABLE	VACANT RENTAL	436	267	38	/41						
(Z) ACCEPTABLE	- TOOMITI NEITHE	0	0	0	0						
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	<u> </u>									
	-	(6)	19	0	13	1	53	(7)	4		
<ol><li>PROPOSED PROJEC</li></ol>	T						Į.				

Item 14: This project will demolish a total of 307 units (147 vacant plus 160 occupied) and build 46 units.

1. COMPONENT				2. DATE	<b>E</b> [
<u> </u>	1999 MILITARY CO		RAM		
AIR FORCE   3. INSTALLATION AND L		generated)  4. COMMAND			CONCE
3. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION	AIR EDUCATION		!	INDEX
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BAS	ב דו.ספודט	AND TRAINING C	CINAMMO'	0.8	
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENTS	SUPPOR	<del></del>	1
STRENGTH		OFF ENL CIV	<del></del>	<del></del>	TOTAL
	823 3878 922		<del></del>	20	5,761
b. End FY 2002	630 3449 847	7 38	84	20	5,068
	7. INVENTORY	Z DATA (\$000)			
a. Total Acreage: (	28,906)				
b. Inventory Total As				241,692	2
c. Authorization Not	<del>-</del>			2,600	
d. Authorization Requ				14,500	
e. Authorization Incl	-	_	2000)	6,900	•
f. Planned In Next Th	_	3:		17,900	
g. Remaining Deficien	cy:			17,000	
h. Grand Total: 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	TH TUTO DDOGDAY	. EV 1000		300,592	ا ا
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED CATEGORY	IN THIS PROGRAM:	F1 1999	COST	DESIGN S	   פוזיית אייני
	ECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START	CMPL
<u>CODE</u> <u>FROO</u>	ECT TITED	<u> 5COFE</u>	(\$0007	DIAKI	CHED
711-142 REPLACE MILI		122 UN	14,500	AUG 97	MAY 98
HOUSING (PH	ASE 5)	moma r	14 500		
	T	TOTAL:	14,500	0001	
9a. Future Projects: 711-142 REPLACE MILI			6,900	000)	1
HOUSING (PH		32 UN	0,900		 
110051113 (111	ADD O	TOTAL:	6,900		
9b. Future Projects:	Typical Planned		•		
711-142 REPLACE MILI	<del></del>	40 UN	5,800		į
HOUSING (PH	ASE 7)				-
711-142 REPLACE MILI	TARY FAMILY	50 UN	7,100		,
HOUSING (PH	,				ļ
711-142 REPLACE MILI		36 UN	5,000		İ
HOUSING (PH	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. mbd - * 33 ·		06 555	
9c. Real Property Ma				86,700	dwa
10. Mission or Major responsible for train	Functions: A fi	_		_	irons
responsible for train Headquarters First Ai	_				
Air Defense Sector; t	<del>-</del>	_	_		
Air National Guard ai				circy, ai	10 un
AII Mational Gaala al	1 derembe decación	icite (i io diloi	u20/.		
					i 
					ľ
					i
					Ì
					Ì
					j
					į
					Ì

| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT | 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) | | | | |

8.87.41 711-142 XLWU960101 14,500

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG	UN	122	72,739	8,874
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			j	4,215
SITE PREPARATION	LS	1		( 865)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			( 843)
UTILITIES	LS			( 1,022)
OTHER (SPECIFY) GARAGE/DEMO/ENVIRON	LS			( <u>1,485</u> )
SUBTOTAL	1		İ	13,089
CONTINGENCY (5%)		1 1		654
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				13,743
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				756
TOTAL REQUEST		]		14,500
	1			
		]		
İ		j j		
	Ì			
	İ			
AREA COST FACTOR .85	<u> </u>			

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 122 housing units. | Includes demolition, site clearing, replacement/upgrade of utility systems | and roads, and construction of housing units. Provides normal amenities | to include parking, air conditioning, appliances, exterior patios and | privacy fencing, neighborhood playground and recreation areas. Includes | demolition, asbestos, and lead-based paint removal.

		NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT	TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO	2BR	88	.83	797	43	2,503,154
JNCO	3BR	111	.83	797	26	1,909,118
JNCO	4BR	125	.83	797	17	1,405,709
SNCO	3BR	125	.83	797	24	1,984,530
SNCO	4BR	135	83	<u>797</u>	12_	1,071,646
					122	8,874,157

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,846 UN ADEQUATE: 502 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,344 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 6). (Current Mission). | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Tyndall AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are | programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. Replacement | housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment | comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the fifth of | multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. Of the | 337 housing units to be replaced in this multi-phase initiative, 111 will | follow in subsequent phases. The replacement housing will provide a

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	Ì
IDEDIACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 5)	XLWU960101

modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and a single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for a second occupant vehicle and quests. The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs. Neighborhood improvement will include landscaping and playgrounds.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 122 housing units which were constructed in the 1950's. These 41-year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Roofs, walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement owing to the effects of age and the environment. Roof structure show signs of rot; leaks have made already inadequate (by todays standards) insulation even less effective. Walls systems are failing due to extensive termite |damage. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small, and fixtures are outdated and energy inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counterspace, cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is worn out, and contains evidence of asbestos. |Plumbing and electrical systems do not meet modern building codes. is no ground fault interruptor circuit protection, and many electrical outlets lack grounding protection. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this replacement initiative is not supported. Some families will continue to live in unsuitable housing while others are in new, replaced units. The housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes totally uninhabitable because adequate, affordable off-base housing is not available. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing |deficit of 174 units. Without this and subsequent phases of this |initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue, with no improvement in the living quality.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the |alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 78% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Base Civil Engineer: Maj James Holland, 37/4904) 283-3283.

1. COMPONE	NT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
	ATION AND LOCATION	
		į
TYNDALL A	R FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
		Į.
REPLACE MI	LITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 5)	XLWU960101
  12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	į
	(a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 03
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 24
1	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 01
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(2)	mate 3 (mate /m) /m) /m) /m) /m) /m) /m) /m) /m) /m)	   (\$000)
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications	465
1	(b) All Other Design Costs	403
; 	(c) Total	465
<u> </u>	(d) Contract	465
 	(e) In-house	403
<u> </u>	(e) In-nouse	
(4)	Construction Start	99 APR
, ( <del>-</del> /		
	•	
İ		
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other app	ropriations: N/A	
		1
		1
		-
1		İ
ļ		į
ļ		İ
1		
•		ļ
		ļ
1		
!		
		ļ

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT	Ī		2. FISCAI	L YEAR 999	REPORT	CONTROL R)1716	SYMBO
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	ALLATION							
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
5. DATA AS OF 1994	Tyndall AFB						Florida		
ANALYS	SIS	C	URRENT		1		PROJEC	TED	
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA
REQUIREMENTS	AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL	STRENGTH	866	2.997	753	4,616	860	2.011	611	3,4
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL		2,001	7.55	4,010	500	2,011	011	3,40
		866	2,997	753	4,616	860	2,011	611	3,48
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	SING REQUIREMENTS	530	1,865	97	2,482	535	1,230	81	1.84
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAE	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c	85	435	23	543			1	
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED		0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HO	JSING TO BE			-					
DISPOSED/REPL		0	122	0	122				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNI	TY 85	313	23	421				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	0	0			0	. 0	0	
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	530	1.855	97	2,482	535	1,230	81	1,8
12. HOUSING ASSETS (	s + b)		,			****			
	V 00117001	445	1,420	74	1,939	453	1,031	66	1,5
a. UNDER MILITAR	YCONTROL	137	774	36	947	137	774	36	9
(1) HOUSED IN I									
OWNED/COM	NTROLLED TRACT/APPROVED	137	774	36	947	137	774	36	9
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					0	0	o	
(3) VACANT			0						
(4) INACTIVE		"		t	<b>-</b>				
(1)		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	NG	308	646	38	992	316	257	30	6
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED			1					
		308	646	38	992				
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	0	0	0	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	G DEFICIT	85	435	23	543	82	199	15	2
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	т	00	+30		, 5-10				
15. REMARKS						0	122	0	1

1. COMPONENT					<del></del>			2	DAT	Έ	Ī
	FY	1999 MILIT.				PROGI	MAS	ļ			ļ
AIR FORCE			puter o						ND E	13 GON	rom
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LO	CATION		14. CC	DINAMM			5		A CON	
COURTE ATD TO	DOE DAGE	MEDDACKA		  atd c	OMBAT	COMB	47 NTO			97	ואמי
OFFUTT AIR FO	KCE BASE,	PERMAN		:	UDENT			ORTEI		<i>31</i>	— <u>-</u>
6. PERSONNEL	_		CIV	•		CIV				TOTA	.T.
STRENGTH	-	1832 6726	A		ENL	CIV	324			1018	
a. As OI 30 Si   b. End FY 2003		1577 6418	•			] ]	324				
D. Elid F1 200.	3	7. INV	•		(\$000	<u> </u>	324	107	J / I	10,3	101
a. Total Acre	age: (	1,923)	Divioni	DAIA	ίρουυ	<u>,                                     </u>					
b. Inventory		•	EP 97)					4 (	3,87	1	
c. Authorizat:								-	,,,,,,	0	i
d. Authorizat				gram:				-	13,98	-	i
e. Authorizat:					am:	(FY 2	(000		10,10		i
f. Planned In			_	-		,	,		22,50		i
J. Remaining		_		•					L7,65		i
h. Grand Total									8,10		ì
8. PROJECTS R		IN THIS PR	OGRAM:	FY 1	.999						<u>-</u>
CATEGORY							COST	DES	SIGN	STATU	ıs İ
CODE	PROJE	CT TITLE		S	COPE		(\$000)		CART	CMF	- :
				_			<del></del>				- i
219-944 HOUS	ING MAINT	ENANCE FAC	ILITY		6,300	SF	900	AUG	3 97	MAY	98
610-119 HOUS	ING MANAC	SEMENT FACI	LITY		5,000	SF	870	AUG	3 97	MAY	98
711-142 REPL	ACE MILIT	TARY FAMILY			90	UN	12,212	AUG	3 97	MAY	98
HOU	SING (PH	4)				_					ĺ
					TOTAL	:	13,982				ĺ
9a. Future P	rojects:	Included	in the	Follo	wing	Prog	am (FY	2000	))		- 1
711-142 REPL	ACE MILIT	TARY FAMILY			70	UN	10,100				1
HOU	SING F	PH 2				_					
		·			TOTAL	:	10,100				
9b. Future P	rojects:	Typical P	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:				1
711-142 REPL	ACE WHERE	RY HOUSING	(PH3)			UN	•				ļ
711-142 REPL							12,000				
9c. Real Pro		_					<del> </del>		7,600		
10. Mission										.c	ļ
Command; a fl											ļ
reconnaissance	_	•									!
squadrons, the											
intelligence	squadrons	s; a space	operat:	ion so	ruadro:	n; ar	nd Air	Force	e Wea	ther	!
Agency.											!
!											
											- 1
											ļ
											ļ
											ļ
•											
1											ļ
											ļ

						<del></del>	
1. COMPONENT						2.	DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONS			OJECT DA	TA		
AIR FORCE	(computer	gener				1	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	!		JECT TIT			
		!		E MILITA	RY FAM	ILY	
	RCE BASE, NEBRASKA			IG (PH 4)			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT   6 . CATEGORY CODE   7 .	PROJ	ECT NU	MBER 8.	PROJE	CT (	COST (\$000)
	ļ						
8.87.41	711-142		990004	·			2,212
	9. COST E	STIMA	TES	1			
				!	UNI	-	COST
	ITEM		<del></del>	QUANTIT			(\$000)
	ARY FAMILY HOUSING		UN	90	69,	435	•
SUPPORTING FA							4,723
COMMON NEIG	HBORHOOD IMPROVEMENTS		LS	ļ			( 1,531)
PAVEMENTS			LS	!			( 458)
GARAGES, ST	ORAGE, CIRCULATION SPACE		LS	ļ			( 1,054)
UTILITIES			LS	ļ			( 656)
LANDSCAPING			LS		1		( 298)
•	& ENVIRONMENTAL (ASB/LBP)						( 452)
SPECIAL CON	IST FEATURES (EXCV/FOUND)			ļ	į		(274)
SUBTOTAL			ļ	ļ			10,972
CONTINGENCY (	,		ļ	ļ	!		549
TOTAL CONTRAC		• •	ļ		!		11,521
	INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (	(6%)	ļ	1	ļ		691
TOTAL REQUEST			Į		ļ		12,212
			ļ	ļ	ļ		
			ļ		!		
				1			
AREA COST FAC	TOR .	97					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 90 housing units.

Includes demolition, site clearing, replacement/upgrade of utility systems and roads, and design and construction of quadriplex family units.

Includes excavation and basements. Provides normal amenities to include appliances, garages, parking, air conditioning, patios, privacy fences, neighborhood playgrounds and disposal of asbestos and lead paint.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	<u>nsm</u>	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	88	99	<u> 797</u>	90	6,249,118
-				90	6,249,118

REQUIREMENT: 2,694 UN ADEQUATE: 366 UN SUBSTANDARD: 2,230 UN PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 4). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Offutt AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with Phase 1 of the Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the first of multiple phases to replace 545 Wherry housing units. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, dining room and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage, and a garage. The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs. Neighborhood enhancements will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas. Climatic and site conditions require special consideration be given to foundation design and will require

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PF	OJECT NUMBER
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 4)	BP990004

extensive excavation and soil stabilization, and may require basements. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces housing units that were constructed in the 1950s and are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. Foundations are failing and several units have been demolished for safety of the personnel. Roofs, floors, and exterior pavements require major repairs or replacement. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated, require frequent maintenance and repair, and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by modern standards. Bedrooms are small and lack closet space. Bathrooms are small and fixtures are outdated. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space. Cabinets, countertops and |sinks are badly worn. Heating for each eight-plex is provided by a central boiler resulting in significant problems regulating temperatures for the various needs of personnel in adjacent units. There are no garages, and existing parking is insufficient and inconvenient. Housing density is excessive with mostly eight-plex units, creating an undesirable living environment. Replacement units will be spread out over adjacent vacant space to reduce density. This project demolishes and replaces 48 existing units, and replaces an additional 34 units which became uninhabitable and were demolished for safety reasons in FY93 (roof and foundation failures).

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will | continue to live in extremely unsuitable housing. The housing will | continue to deteriorate with age, resulting in increased maintenance and | repair costs, and extreme inconvienence to the occupants. Units will fail | structurally and endager the lives of the occupants. Piecemeal repairs | will continue to be accomplished with little or no substantive improvement | in occupant quality of life. These deficiencies will continue to | adversely affect the morale of all personnel assigned to the base. The | current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base deficit of 98 housing | units.

ADDITIONAL: his project meets the c riteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The improvement cost option is 90% of the replacement cost. The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design/construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael Patrick, (402) 294-5500.

AIR FO	RCE		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY (computer generated)	IA	
		ATIO	ON AND LOCATION	<u> </u>	
			RCE BASE, NEBRASKA	LE DRO	TECH NIMPE
4. PRO	JECT	TIT	.PE	5. PRO 	JECT NUMBE
REPLAC	E MI	LITA	ARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 4)	SGB	P990004
  12. S	UPPL	EMEN	VTAL DATA:		9
İ					
a.   	Esti	mate	ed Design Data:		
ĺ	(1)	Sta	atus:		
			Date Design Started		97 AUG 0
			Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs	
			Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		35
			Date 35% Designed.		97 SEP 2
-		(e)	Date Design Complete		98 MAY 2
	(2)	Bas			
}		(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
		(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
1	(3)	Tot	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$00
İ		(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		4.5
Ì		(b)	All Other Design Costs		
İ		(c)	Total		4.5
		(d)	Contract		4.5
İ		(e)	In-house		
   	(4)	Con	nstruction Start		99 AF
 			,	- a   e	
	_		associated with this project will be providations: N/A	ed Iron	l
1			·		
1					
1					
†					
†       					
†         					
†         					

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	NG JUSTIFICATION 1. I	DATE OF REPORT			2. FISCA		REPORT	CONTROL R)1716	SYMBO	
. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INSTALLA	TION								
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCATION					
DATA AS OF	Offutt AFB				Nebraska					
1996			URRENT		<u> </u>		PROJEC	TED		
ANALYS	IS	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTAL	
REQUIREMENTS	AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	
B. TOTAL PERSONNEL		<del></del>	12/	(4)	\-\-	15/	- 17	197	1.7	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2,152	5,618	1,306	9,076	2,013	5,482	1,252	8,74	
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL						·			
		2,152	5,618	1,306	9,076	2,013	5,482	1,252	8,74	
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	SING REQUIREMENTS		4 4 4 5			4.000	4.050			
	VUOLOED (a.b.a)	1,702	4,147	396	6,245	1,603	4,052	380	6,03	
. TOTAL UNACCEPTAB	LY HOUSED (a + b + c)	38	163	31	232					
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	<del></del>		<u> </u>						
		0	0	0	0					
b. IN MILITARY HOU										
DISPOSED/REPL		0	90	0	90					
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	38	73	31	142					
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARA	TIONS	38	/3	31	144		Į			
IU. VOLUNIARI SEFARI	TIONS	اه ا	0	٥	0	0	0	اه		
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS									
		1,702	4,147	396	6,245	1,603	4.052	380	6,03	
2. HOUSING ASSETS (	+ b)									
	( CONTROL	1,664	3,984	365	6,013	1,572	3,893	354	5,81	
a UNDER MILITAR	CONTROL	337	2,185	٥	2.522	335	2,179	ا ه	2,51	
(1) HOUSED IN E	XISTING DOD	331	2,100	<del>-</del>		730	2,110		4,01	
OWNED/CON		337	2,185	0	2,522	335	2,179	0	2,61	
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED									
				ī		0	0	0		
(3) VACANT		اه	0	٥	1					
(4) INACTIVE		<del></del>		- 0	0					
(4) INACTIVE		اها	0	١٥	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	vG				<u> </u>					
		1,327	1,799	365	3,491	1,237	1,714	354	3,30	
(1) ACCEPTABLY	HOUSED		. = -							
		1,327	1,799	365	3,491					
(2) ACCEPTABLE	VACANT RENTAL	اه	0	١ ,	0					
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	<del></del>		ļ <del>-</del> -	<del>                                     </del>					
J. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DELIGIT	38	163	31	232	31	159	26	21	
4. PROPOSED PROJEC	T									
						0	90	0	9	

Item 12.a.(1)(h): An evaluation was performed indicating eight MFH units had exceeded their economic life and are scheduled to be demolished.

1. COMPONENT										2.	DATE
j	F	7 1999 MILIT	ARY CO	ONSTRUCT	OII	PRO	JECT :	DATA	4		
AIR FORCE		(c	ompute	er gener	rate	ed)				ĺ	
3. INSTALLATI	INA NO	LOCATION			4.	PRO	JECT T	ITLE	3		
OFFUTT AIR FO	RCE B	ASE, NEBRASK	A		JOH	JSING	G MANA	GEME	ENT F	ACIL	ITY
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJ	JECI	וטא יו	MBER	8. E	PROJE	CT C	COST (\$000)
8.87.41		610-119	<u> </u>	SGBI	2970	0004					870
		9	. COS	r estim	ATES	3			<del> </del>		
									UNI	- !	COST
		ITEM				U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	r	(\$000)
REPLACE HOUSI	NG MAI	NAGEMENT OFF	ICE			SM	4	65	1,:	183	550
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES									232
SEWER & WAT	ER LI	NES				LS				ļ	( 20)
PAVEMENTS						LS					(100
LANDSCAPING	;					LS				ļ	(54
DEMOLITION						LS	ļ		1	ļ	( 15
SYSTEMS FUR	NITUR	Ξ				LS	ļ				(_43
SUBTOTAL						<u> </u>			ļ	ļ	782
CONTINGENCY (						ļ	1				_39
TOTAL CONTRAC						ļ	!		<u> </u>	ļ	821
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					!			!		49	
TOTAL REQUEST						1			ļ		870
									ļ		
						[			!		
						ļ			!		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace housing management | office. Includes site preparation, slab on grade, splitface concrete | masonry walls, sloped standing seam metal roof, and decorative interior | finishings. Provides offices, restrooms, counseling/meeting rooms, | customer waiting area, computer equipment room, and interior/exterior | child play areas. Includes utilities, parking, landscaping, & demolition. | Air Conditioning: 15 KW.

0.97

REQUIREMENT: 465 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11. PROJECT: Replace Housing Management Office. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required for managing base owned and operated accompanied and unaccompanied housing assets, for assisting all arriving personnel in finding adequate on or off-base housing, and for managing furnishings for authorized base personnel. The facility must be located for convenient access by all personnel. It must be handicapped accessible and have adequate parking for vehicles pulling trailers, and small trucks which may be used by arriving personnel. The facility must provide office space, a conference room, private counseling rooms, administrative space, a reception and customer waiting area, a customer referral area with multiple telephones, a computer room, and storage space for equipment and publications, a kitchen area for use by families, and interior and exterior play areas for children of customers. Exterior play areas must be provided with recreation equipment and be fenced for |security. The facility exterior requires landscaping to enhance customer appeal. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Housing Management facility is located on

the main base, approximately four miles from the base housing area and 79

|AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ON AND LOCATION	
OFFUTT AIR FO	DRCE BASE, NEBRASKA	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
ĺ		
HOUSING MANAG	SEMENT FACILITY	SGBP970004

percent of managed housing units. The facility is located in a very crowded and congested industrial area with no expansion capability. Parking is inadequate and a continuous problem as customers compete with the heavy traffic, including major truck traffic in this industrial complex. It is poorly located for serving accompanied or unaccompanied customers and for effective conduct of normal housing management activities. Considerable extra time is spent each time housing inspectors travel between the office and area of greatest work. The housing management office provides a vital service to over 10,500 permanent party personnel and manages 2,632 family housing units. In addition, the office serves all base unaccompanied personnel and manages 846 dormitory rooms. The existing facility will be demolished upon completion of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The ability to service customers will be degraded by the poor accessibility of the current location. The majority of customers and the housing inspection staff will spend an extra half-hour per trip transiting the base and traveling to and from the primary housing area. Facilities will not be located as recommended in the Housing Community Plan.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria and scope specified in the "Air Force Housing Support Facilities Guide." The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design.construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael Patrick, (402) 294-5500.

	ENT   FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJEC	2. DATE
IR FORCE	(computer generated)	J DAIR
	ATION AND LOCATION	
	R FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA	5. PROJECT NUMBER
. PROJEC	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
OUSING M	ANAGEMENT FACILITY	SGBP970004
2. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to deve	<u>-</u>
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	355
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 24
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 0
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	ио
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$00)
, - ,	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	9
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	9
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAI
. Equip	ment associated with this project will be pr	covided from
	ropriations: N/A	

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
F	7 1999 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
Ì			
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BA	ASE, NEBRASKA	HOUSING MAINTENAN	CE FACILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE   7.	. PROJECT NUMBER  8. PR	OJECT COST(\$000)
8.87.41	219-944	SGBP970019	900
1	9. COST I	ESTIMATES	

J. COST ESTIMAT	EQ			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY	LS			710
HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SM	585	1,034	(605)
COVERED STORAGE	SM	278	378	(105)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			99
DEMOLITION & ENVIRONEMENTAL (ASB/LBP)	LS			( 28)
PARKING LOT/SIDEWALKS/DRIVES	LS			(_71)
SUBTOTAL		{		809
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1			40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	- 1			849
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1			_51
TOTAL REQUEST	1			900
	1			
	1			
				<b> </b>
	- 1	1	!	
	1			1
AREA COST FACTOR 0.97		<u> </u>		

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct housing maintenance facility. Includes site preparation, and exterior appearance compatible with the surrounding housing area. Project will include off-street customer and employee parking, sidewalks, exterior lighting, exterior covered storage, landscaping, and demolition of three existing facilities. Also includes asbestos and lead based paint removal.

  [Air Conditioning: 15 KW.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 863 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 464 SF

  | PROJECT: Replace Housing Maintenance Facility. (Current Mission)
  | REQUIREMENT: Construct a new Housing Maintenance Facility designed in accordance with the Housing Support Facilities Guide for a Large Housing Maintenance Facility. Consolidate two separate working stock storage locations into one and increase the square footage by 885 sf. Demolish the existing maintenance facility and restore the site to green space. | Vacate and demolish two unoccupiable housing units currently used for working stock storage.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Housing Maintenance Facility is an uninsulated metal building constructed in 1966. The facility has deteriorated electrical and sewer systems. The roof structure has failed causing extensive damage to the interiors. There is inadequate parking to support U-Fix-It Store customers, maintenance contractor vehicles, and delivery trucks. There is no automated fire suppression system or fire alarm system. Wing Safety has evaluated the existing maintenance facility and determined that "Storage and working space is inadequate for items stored and job tasks performed." Working stock for housing maintenance is stored in two unoccupiable housing units located five miles from the main

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
  HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY	   SGBP970019

housing area. These facilities are severely deteriorated and are no longer useable.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing building will continue to deteriorate until it becomes unsafe for housing maintenance personnel to work in. The maintenance operation will continue to be severely constrained by the lack of adequate facilities. Timeliness of maintenance operations will continue to be constrained by the remote location of stock inventory. The ability to place the parts where and when they are needed will continue to be confusing. The existing building will continue to detract from the community and present an unprofessional appearance.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria and scope specified in the Department of the Air Force, "Air Force Housing Support Facilities Guide". The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design/construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael Patrick, (402) 294-5500.

1. COMPON	ENT		1:	2. DATE
		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)	i	į
		ON AND LOCATION		
OFFUTT AI	R FO	RCE BASE, NEBRASKA		
4. PROJEC	T TI	rle 5	. PRO	JECT NUMBER
		į.		
HOUSING M	AINT	ENANCE FACILITY	SGB	P970019
  12. SUPP	LEMEI	NTAL DATA:		
a. Est	imate	ed Design Data:		
(1)	Sta	atus:		i
, ,	(a)	Date Design Started		97 AUG 03
	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	sts	N
	(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		35%
		Date 35% Designed.		97 SEP 20
	(e)	Date Design Complete		98 MAY 14
(2)		sis:		***
		Standard or Definitive Design -		NO   N/A
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
i   (3)	TO	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
1 (37		Production of Plans and Specifications		90
		All Other Design Costs		
	(c)			90
	(d)	Contract		ì
		In-house		90
				į
(4)	Co	nstruction Start		99 JAN
  b. Equip	ment	associated with this project will be provided	from	
,		iations: N/A		ĺ
i	_			
				1
]				
1				
] 				
[ [				1
 				1
) 				
				<u> </u>
1				

  AIR FORCE	FY	. 1999 М	ILITARY C (computer)			KUGK.	HILI		
<del></del>	ATION AND L	OCATION			MMAND			15.	ARE
	111011 1110 1			AIR F					COS
ן ארוא אוזיים דען '	IR FORCE BA	SE NEW	MEXICO		RIEL CO	MM Z NT	n	l I	0.
6. PERSONNI			RMANENT		UDENTS	111.15.27.47		PORTED	
STRENGTI		<del></del>	ENL   CIV	<del> </del>		CIV	OFF	-	CIV
a. As of 30		1393	<del></del>	<del>-                                    </del>	LINE I	UIV	190		821
!		: :	:	: :	-		190	:	
b. End FY	2003	1342	INVENTOR		(\$000)		190	390]	821
a. Total Ad	creage: (	44,02		I DAIA	(\$000)				
	ry Total As	•		)				51	.3,49
	zation Not							<b>-</b>	,
	zation Requ		-						6,40
	zation Requ zation Incl			_	ram· (	FV 2	000)		5,00
	In Next Th					2	,		.2,00
	ng Deficien		gram ledi	J.					,
h. Grand To	_	icy:						52	86,89
	S REQUESTED	דאז ייטי	S DDUGDYM	l: FY 1	999			53	,0,03
	S KEQUESTEL	Y IN IMI	S PROGRAM	ı. FI l	. , , , ,		COST	חשר	TON
CATEGORY	. ממת	ECT TIT	ים. זי	_	CODE				SIGN
CODE	PROD	ECI III	TE	2	<u>SCOPE</u>	-	(\$000	) 51	TART
   711	EPLACE LOOP	мен он	מאפור ה		37 1	TNT	6 40	O AUG	1 97
/11-142 R	EPLACE LOOP	MITH PH	MSE 5		TOTAL:		6,40		3 9 1
9a Futur	e Projects:	Inclu	ded in th	A Follo		roar	•		1)
	Y70 APPROPR				30 1	-	5,00		, ,
/11-142 F.	170 APPROPR	CIALED F	AMILI NSC	ı	TOTAL:		5,00		
Oh Futur	e Projects:	Timic	nal Dlanne	d Novt				<u> </u>	
	e Projects: Y70 APPROPR				44		5: 7,70	0	
1	170 APPROPE Y70 APPROPE				22		4,30		
	Property Ma						<u>-</u>		),200
	on or Major								
	l Test and								
	ecial opera								
	MH-53, TH-5								
	Air Force								
	ng with F-1		y rorces	center,	and a	II AI.	I Nac	IOHAI	Guai
IIIGHUUU WI	ng with F-1	.05.							
i									

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
F	1 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT	<b>FITLE</b>
		REPLACE LOOI	P MILITARY FAMILY
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE	BASE, NEW MEXICO	HOUSING PHAS	SE 5
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
8.87.41	711-142	MHMV994002	6,400

-	1	TDITTO 1	
	1	UNIT	COST
U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
UN	37	96,636	3,576
	1	1	2,202
LS	]	ĺ	( 321)
LS	1		( 401)
LS	1	ł	( 127)
LS	1		( 83)
LS	1		( <u>1,270</u> )
	1		5,778
	1		289
	1		6,067
			334
	.		6,400
	1	1	
	[		
			-
ĺĺ	1		
l İ	İ		
	İ		
	UN   LS   LS   LS   LS	UN   37	UN   37   96,636

Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 27 CGO and 10 SNCO family housing units. Project consists of demolition of existing housing, asbestos and lead-based paint removal, and construction of replacement units with associated single car garages. Provides appliances, patios with privacy fences, storage areas, and trash can enclosures. Site preparation support includes utility repair and landscaping.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
SNCO 3BR	125	.97	797	10	966,363
CGO 3BR	125	. 97	<u>797</u>	27_	2,609,179
		<del>-</del>	<del></del>	37	3,575,542

REQUIREMENT: 3,747 UN ADEQUATE: 1,852 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Replace 37 CGO/SNCO MFH units, Phase 5. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents assigned to Kirtland AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, appealing living environment comparable to that found in the civilian community. This is the fifth of multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. Of the 356 units to be replaced in the multi-phase initiative, 230 are included in prior programs, and 89 will follow in subsequent phases. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and a single car garage. The basic neighborhood infrastructure

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A7
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO	!
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	!
REPLACE LOOP MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 5	MHMV994002

|will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 37 housing units that were constructed in 1947-48. These 50-year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrade since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The units lack common features found in homes off-base such as family rooms and master baths. The flat roofs require frequent emergency stop-gap maintenance. Asbestos is present in the flooring, insulation, interior walls, and roofing of each of these units. The plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. These units have outlived their useful life; replacement is the most logical method to provide acceptable housing for these members and their families.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this | replacement initiative is not supported. Some people will continue to | occupy unsuitable housing while neighbors are in new, replaced units. | Asbestos and lead-based paint will remain in the units, possibly exposing | people to a known hazardous material. The housing will continue to be | occupied until it becomes uninhabitable because adequate, affordable | housing is not available. Maintenance of these units will be costly due | to the deteriorating building systems and inadequate energy conservation | design.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of replacement, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. The cost to improve this housing is 82% of the replacement cost. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael Cuddihee (505) 846-7916.

. COMPONE	NT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A
IR FORCE	(computer generated)	
. INSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION	
	IR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO	
. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE LO	OP MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 5	MHMV994002
2. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 20
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 23
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 20
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)		(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	220
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	220
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	220
(4)	Construction Start	99 APR
	ment associated with this project will be provide copriations: N/A	ed from

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION 1. DA		1. DATE OF REPORT			2. FISCAI	L YEAR	REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716				
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	ALLATION					100.000	.,,			
AIR FORCE	a. NAME b. LOC					ATION					
5. DATA AS OF 1996	Kirtland AFB						New Mexico				
ANALYS	RIS	C	CURRENT				PROJECTED				
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTAL		
REQUIREMENTS		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(⊕)	(f)	(g)	(h)		
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL		1,687	3,106	824	5,617	1,636	2,739	731	5,10		
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	1,687	3,106	824	5,617	1,636	2,739	731	5,10		
8. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	1,370	2,487	269	4,126	1,312	2,195	240	3,74		
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAR	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		46	12	100	.,					
a. INVOLUNTĀRILY	SEPARATED	0	0	0	0						
b. IN MILITARY HO DISPOSED/REP		27	10	0	37						
c. UNACCEPTABLE		36	12	63							
10. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	0	0		0	0	0	0			
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G REQUIREMENTS	1,370	2.487	269	4,126	1,312	2,195	240	3,74		
12. HOUSING ASSETS (	a + b)	1.328	2,441	257	4,026	1,279	2,170	228	3,67		
a. UNDER MILITAR	Y CONTROL	289	1,568	141	1,998	289	1,648	141	2,07		
(1) HOUSED IN OWNED/CO		289	1,568	141	1,998	289	1,568	141	1,99		
(2) UNDER CON	ITRACT/APPROVED					0	80	0			
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0						
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0						
b. PRIVATE HOUS	ING	1,039	873	116	2,028	990	522	87	1,59		
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED	1.039	873	116	2,028						
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	0	0	0	0						
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G DEFICIT	42	46	12	100	33	25	12			
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	CT					27		0	3		

									2	. DAT	re
	F'Y	1999		ARY CON			PROGR	(AM	į i		
AIR FORCE				puter o						3.0.1	a conc
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION						MMAND	)		5		EA CONS
WRIGHT-PATTERSON					AIR F			_	ļ		ST INDE
AIR FORCE BAS	SE, OHIO				MATER						. 96
6. PERSONNEL	_	<del></del>	PERMAN			UDENT			PORTE		
STRENGTH	_	OFF	ENL	CIV		ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	
a. As of 30 S	SEP 97	3344	3076	12549				81	138	169	23,35
o. End FY 200	3	3039	2947	11010	L			81	138	169	21,38
		7	7. INV	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000	)				
a. Total Acre	eage: (	8,1	L45)								
o. Inventory	Total As	Of:	(30 S	EP 97)					9	34,65	55
c. Authorizat	ion Not	Yet Ir	n Inve	ntory:							0
d. Authorizat					ıram:					5,60	00
e. Authorizat	_					am:	(FY 2	(000		•	0
f. Planned In				_	_		,				0
g. Remaining			-09-4	10415	•						ำ
h. Grand Tota		cy.							٥	40,25	-
B. PROJECTS I		TNI IDI	ITC DD	OCD AM -	FY 1	000				40,21	
	KEQUESTED	IN II	115 PR	OGRAM:	rı ı	. フフフ		COCT		CTON	CM3 MIIC
CATEGORY	220 7		-m- n		_			COST			STATUS
CODE	PROJ	ECT T	LTLE		2	COPE		(\$000	<u>)</u> 5	TART	CMPL
711-142 REP	LACE PAGE	MANO	R MFH				UN _	5,60		G 97	JUN 9
						TOTAL		5,60			
	Projects:								Y 200	0) NO	ONE
	Projects:										
9c. Real Pro	perty Ma:	intena	ance B	acklog	This	Insta	llati	on	10	0,400	)
										£	
10. Mission	or Major	Funct	cions:	AFMC	Headq	quarte	rs re	espons	sible	LOL	
10. Mission management, o											pport
	command,	contro	ol and	direct	tion o	f wor	ldwid	le log	jistic	s sur	_
management, d for aircraft	command, o	contro system	ol and	direct ssiles	tion of	of wor	ldwid	de log mponen	jistic nts; A	s sup ir Fo	orce
management, o for aircraft Wright Aerona	command, o weapons a autical La	contro systema aborat	ol and ms, mi tories	direct ssiles inclu	tion of and r ding M	of wor celate Materi	ldwid d com als,	de log mponer Avior	ristic nts; A nics,	s sup ir Fo Fligh	orce nt
management, o for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and	command, o weapons a autical La Aeroprop	contro syster aborat ulsion	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri	direct ssiles includ ght Lal	tion of and ring Moorato	of wor celate Materi ory; t	ldwid d com als, he Ai	de log mponer Avior ir For	ristic nts; A nics, ce In	s sup ir Fo Fligh	orce nt ute of
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 ai	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 ai	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, o for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 two C-141 ai:	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 ai	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith
management, of For aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (2 Two C-141 ai	command, of weapons sautical La Aeropropi	contro syster aborat ulsion e Air	ol and ms, mi tories n; Wri Force	direct ssiles includ ght Lal Museur	tion of and raing Mooraton, an	of wor relate Materi ory; t Air F	cldwided contact also also also also also also also also	de log mponer Avior Er For Reser	ristic nts; A nics, cce In rve wi	s sup ir Fo Fligh stitu ng w	orce nt ute of ith

		<u> </u>						
1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							<b>.</b>	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							<u> </u>	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4.					PRO	JECT TITLE	2	!
Ì				}				!
WRIGHT-PATTER	WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO REP						OR MFH	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	ODE   7. PI	ROJEC	r nui	MBER  8. F	ROJECT	COST (\$000)
			İ			1		j
8.87.41		711-142	Z1	HTV82	00161	₹		5,600
		9. (	COST EST	IMATES	S			
							UNIT	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE FAMIL	Y HOUS	SING			UN	40	94,977	3,799
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES						1,256
SITE PREPAR	SITE PREPARATION							( 49)
ROADS AND P	AVING				LS			( 127)
UTILITIES					LS			( 142)
LANDSCAPING					LS			( 39)
RECREATION					LS			( 31)
SPECIAL CON	STRUC'	rion features			LS			( 136)
DEMOLITION,	ASBE	STOS, LB PAINT			LS	l İ		(733)

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish 90 family housing | units and replace 40 units. Project consists of demolition, | asbestos/lead-based paint removal, and construction of housing units with | associated single car garages. Provides appliances, patios with privacy | fences, storage areas, and trash can enclosures. Site preparation support | includes utility repair and landscaping.

. 96

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
SNCO 2BR	88	.98	797	8	549,866
SNCO 3BR	125	.98	797	16	1,562,120
SNCO 4BR	135	98	<u>797</u>	<u> 16</u>	1,687,090
				40	3,799,076

11. REQUIREMENT: 5,422 UN ADEQUATE: 4,083 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,339 UN PROJECT: Demolish 90 and replace 40 Military Family Housing Units. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents assigned to Wright-Patterson AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, appealing living environment comparable to that found in the civilian community. This is the twelfth of multiple phases but the first phase of replacement construction to provide adequate housing for base personnel. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and single car

5,055

5,308

253

292 5,600

SUBTOTAL

CONTINGENCY (5%)

AREA COST FACTOR

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	IA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER

garage. The basic neighborhood infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 40 Wherry housing units constructed in the 1950s. These old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The units lack common features found in homes off-base such as family rooms and master baths. The flat roofs require frequent emergency maintenance. Asbestos is present in the flooring, insulation, interior walls, and roofing of each of these units. Lead-based paint is present on both the interior and exterior of the units. The plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. These units are at the end of their useful life; replacement is the most economical method to provide acceptable housing for these members and their families. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this replacement initiative is not supported. Some people will continue to occupy unsuitable housing while neighbors and friends are in new, replaced units. Asbestos and lead-based paint will remain in the units. housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes uninhabitable because adequate, affordable housing is not available. Maintenance and operation of these units will be costly due to the deteriorating building systems and non-existent energy efficient construction. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 81.4% of the replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scop specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local

school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col

Louis F. Hauck (937) 257-6214.

<del> </del>		1
1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	.TA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION	N AND LOCATION	
<del></del>	ON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO	
4. PROJECT TIT	LE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE PAGE M	ANOR MFH	ZHTV820016R
  12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA:	
a. Estimate	d Design Data:	
, (1) Sta	tus:	ĺ
(a)	Date Design Started	97 AUG 02
•	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs N
(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
· ·	Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 22
į.	Date Design Complete	98 JUN 15
	•	İ
(2) Bas	is:	
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
	•	
(3) Tot	al Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	200
(b)	All Other Design Costs	
	Total	200
•	Contract	200
	In-house	
(3,		
(4) Con	struction Start	99 MAY
İ		I
		I
		I
b. Equipment	associated with this project will be provid	led from
other appropri		1
	·	İ
		i
		İ
1		
1		
}		
<u> </u>		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1		
İ		
118		

WILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT	•		2. FISCAL Y		REPORT (	CONTROL S	SYMBO
. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	FALLATION		<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	טט אפבןא		
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCATIO				
. DATA AS OF	Wright Patterson AFI	3				Ohio			
1995			JRRENT		<u> </u>	-	PROJEC	TED	
ANALYS OF	SIS	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA
REQUIREMENTS	S AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
. TOTAL PERSONNEL									
		5,854	4,497	724	11,075	4,524	3,562	613	8,69
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	5,854	4,497	724	11,075	4,524	3,562	613	8,69
B. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	3,951	2,509	494	6.954	3.076	1.928	418	5.42
. TOTAL UNACCEPTAL	RIVHOUSED (a + b + c		2,500	707	0,504	0,0,0	1,020	4.0	0,72
S. IDIAL DRACCEI IA	5E1 11000E5 (E - 5 - 6	155	90	0	245				
a. INVOLUNTARILY	0	0	0	0					
b. IN MILITARY HO									
DISPOSED/REP	LACED E HOUSED IN COMMUN	0	90	0	90				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	(4)	70	15	81					
IO. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		0	0	0		0	0		
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G REQUIREMENTS	3,951	2,509	494	8,954	3,076	1,928	418	5,42
2. HOUSING ASSETS (	a + b)	3,796	2.548	763	7,107	3,058	1,800	710	5.50
a. UNDER MILITAR	RY CONTROL		2,0 10	<del></del>	1,1.0		1,000		
		1,211	822	236	2,269	1,211	822	236	2,2
(1) HOUSED IN			978	120	1,200	# 102	978	120	1,20
OWNED/CO	NTROLLED ITRACT/APPROVED	102	9/8	120	1,200	# 102	9/8	120	1,21
(2) GNDER COI	TINACIJA I NOTES					0	0	0	
(3) VACANT			_						
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		اه	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUS	ING	2.585	1.676	527	4,788	1,847	978	474	3,2
(1) ACCEPTABL	YHOUSED	2,000	1,010		1,,,,,,	1,041	0.0	** *	
(1) 110021 11102	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2,585	1,597	258	4,440				
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	0	79	269	348				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G DEFICIT	155				18	128	(292)	(1
14. PROPOSED PROJE	CT	155	(39)	1208	[ (103)			!	1.
						0	40	0	

Item 14: This project will demolish 90 units and build 40 units.

_									
	1. COMPONENT							2. DAT	'E
	FY 1999 MILITARY	CONSTR	UCTIO	N P	ROGR	MA			
_	AIR FORCE (compute	r gene	rated	.)					<u></u>
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4.	COMMA	ND				5. ARE	A CONST
								cos	T INDEX
	DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	AIR	COMB	AT	COMM	IAND		0.	86
	6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT		STUDE	NTS	- 1	SUE	POR	red	_
	STRENGTH OFF ENL CI	V OF	F  EN	L	CIV	OFF	ENI	CIV	TOTAL
	a. As of 30 SEP 97   693   4119   3	82		1	1				5,194
_	b. End FY 2003 720 4265 3	82				l			5,367
_	7. INVENTO	RY DAT	A (\$0	00)					
	a. Total Acreage: ( 6,367)						•		.
	b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 9	7)						268,26	8
	c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventor	у:						26,10	0
	d. Authorization Requested In This P	rogram	:					9,41	.5
	e. Authorization Included In Followin	ng Pro	gram:	(	FY 2	(000			0
	f. Planned In Next Three Program Yea	rs:						9,75	0
	g. Remaining Deficiency:							66,05	0
_	h. Grand Total:							379,58	3
	8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRA	M: FY	1999						
	CATEGORY					COST	Ţ	DESIGN	STATUS
	CODE PROJECT TITLE		SCOP	E		(\$000	))	START	CMPL
									i
	711-142 CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY			64	UN	9,41	.5 2	AUG 97	MAY 98
	HOUSING (PH 2)				_		_		ĺ
_			TOT	AL:		9,41	. 5		
_	9a. Future Projects: Included in t	he Fol	lowin	g P	rogr	am (F	Y 20	000) NC	NE
	9b. Future Projects: Typical Plann	ed Nex	t Thr	ee	Year	s:			
	711-142 CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY			64	UN	9,75	0		J
_	HOUSING (PH 3)								
_	9c. Real Property Maintenance Backle	og Thi	s Ins	tal	lati	.on		94,900	)
	10. Mission or Major Functions: A	_					_		•
	of which is responsible for training	all B	-1 ai	rcr	ews,	and	an a	airlift	:
	groupwith two C-130.								
									ļ
									ł
									j
									Ì
									ĺ
									Ì
									ļ
									ļ

1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE
FY 1999 MIL	ITARY CONSTRUC	CTION PRO	DJECT DATA	4	
AIR FORCE	(computer gene	erated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PRO	JECT TITLE	Ē	
		CONSTR	JCT MILITA	ARY FAMII	LY
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			G (PH 2)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGO	RY CODE 7. PRO	OJECT NU	MBER  8. I	PROJECT (	COST (\$000)
	1				
8.87.41 711-1		9,415			
	9. COST ESTI	MATES			
			  OUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST   (\$000)
ITEM	ITEM				
CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY HO	USING	UN	64	61,720	3,950
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		ļ	ļ		4,509
SITE PREPARATION		LS	<u> </u>		( 951)
ROADS AND PAVING		LS	<u> </u>		( 875)
UTILITIES		LS	!		(1,160)
NDSCAPING		LS	1		( 263)
RECREATION		LS	!		( 181)
OTHER (SPECIFY) ROAD BRIDG	E	LS			(1,079)
SUBTOTAL			ļ		8,459
CONTINGENCY (5%)					423
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			]		8,882
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND	OVERHEAD (6%)		ļ		533
TOTAL REQUEST					9,415

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 64 family housing units with all necessary support facilities. Includes site development, utilities, roads and access bridge, off-street parking, sidewalks, street lighting, garages, storage, patios, privacy fencing, air conditioning, appliances, recreation areas, landscaping, fire protection, energy conservation features, and neighborhood improvements.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR_	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	88	.88	797	64	3,950,060
		<del>-</del>		64	3,950,060

.86

2,788 UN ADEQUATE: 965 UN SUBSTANDARD: REQUIREMENT: PROJECT: Construct Military Family Housing (Ph 2). (Current Mission) REOUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families stationed at Dyess AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards. This is the second of multiple phases to provide adequate housing and eliminate a serious housing deficit. This housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base community. units will include a modern kitchen, living room, dining room, and bathroom configuration, with sufficient interior and exterior storage. |Single car garages and additional parking for a second car and visitors will be provided. Neighborhood support facilities will include access roads, infrastructure, landscaping, playgrounds, and recreational areas. This project is programmed in accordance with Phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Site access roads need significant upgrades to ensure

AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 2)	FNW7.990002

safety of the occupants, including construction of a bridge over a storm drainage creek.

CURRENT SITUATION: The community surrounding the base does not have sufficient housing to adequately support base personnel. The current Housing Market Analysis indicates a deficit of 592 housing units (after execution of 70 units in the FY98 program). The largest deficiency is in the 2-bedroom junior NCO category. These families can least afford to live off base.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Families will continue to live in expensive and substandard off-base housing, or be forced to endure involuntary separations pending assignment into military family housing. Mission execution will suffer from the affects of low morale and increased stress due to poor living conditions and financial strains on families. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria and scope specifications in |Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." |Siting is in compliance with the Housing Community Plan and the Base Comprehensive Plan. The local school authority has been contacted and |indicated it has the capability to accept the increase in student |population generated by this project. An economic analysis has been |prepared comparing the alternatives of construction, leasing, and status |quo. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective |alternatives, construction was found to be the most cost effective. |supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of |Engineer is the design/construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David Sweat, (915) 696-2250.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE					
COMPONENT	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	! - '					
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLAT	ON AND LOCATION						
  DYESS AIR FO	RCE BASE, TEXAS						
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER					
		FNT:17.000000					
CONSTRUCT MII	LITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 2)	FNWZ990002					
12. SUPPLEMI	ENTAL DATA:						
a. Estimat	ed Design Data:						
(1) St	catus:	j					
(a)	<u> </u>	97 AUG 01					
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop o	osts N					
(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%					
(d)	Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 24					
(e)	Date Design Complete	98 MAY 25					
   (2) Ba	asis:	]					
, , , , ,		NO I					
(a)	_	N/A					
(b)	where Design was Most Recently Osed -	N/A					
(3) To	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):						
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	330					
(b)	All Other Design Costs						
(c)	Total	330					
i (d	Contract	330					
(e	In-house						
(4) Co	onstruction Start	   YAM 99					
1		]					
  b. Equipment  other approp	t associated with this project will be provide riations: N/A	ed from					
other approp.	riacions: N/A	1					
İ							
ļ							
İ							
1							
ĺ		j					
1							
]							

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION 1. U	ATE OF REPORT			2. FISCAI		DD-A&L(A	ONTROL S R)1716	3 1 M D U I
B. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INSTALLAT	ON							
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
5. DATA AS OF 1995	Dyess AFB		et 1190e		Texas				
ANALYS	SIS		JRRENT				PROJEC		
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER	E9 -E4	E3 - E1	TOTA
REQUIREMENTS		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(⊕)	(1)	(g)	(h)
B. TOTAL PERSONNEL	STRENGTH	667	3,024	970	4,661	664	3,001	960	4,62
PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	667	3,024	970	4,661	664	3,001	960	4,62
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	SING REQUIREMENTS	512	2.020	272	2,804	509	2,009	270	2,78
. TOTAL UNACCEPTAE	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c)	78	553	52	683				
a INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED		0	0	000				
b. IN MILITARY HO	JSING TO BE	0							
DISPOSED/REPL		0	64	0	64				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY			52	619				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS		0	0	0	0	0	0	C
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	512	2,020	272	2,804	509	2,009	270	2,78
2. HOUSING ASSETS (	a + b)	434	1,467	220	2,121	424	1,429	209	2,0
a. UNDER MILITAR	Y CONTROL	121	703	100	924	121	703	100	9:
(1) HOUSED IN		121	703	100		121	703	100	9:
OWNED/COI (2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED	121	703	100	924		,,,,	.00	
(3) VACANT		0	0		0		Ū	J	
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0		-			
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	NG	313	764	120			726	109	1,1
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED	313	764	120	<del></del>				
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	0	704	0	1				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G DEFICIT	78	553	52		86	580	61	7
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	T	/8	903	92	483	0	64	0	

1. COMPONENT									2	. DA	TE	<u> </u>
	FY	1999	MILITA				PROGE	MAS	1			- [
AIR FORCE				outer o	genera	ited)						
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LO	CATIC	N		•	DINAMM			5	5. AREA CONST		
					AIR N	OBILIT	ΓY		i	CO	ST IND	EX
FAIRCHILD AIR	FORCE B	ASE, W	VASHING	STON	COMM	ND_				1	.05	
6. PERSONNEL	_	E	PERMANE	ENT	នា	TUDENTS	S	SUP	PORTE	D	l	1
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTA	L L
a. As of 30 S	SEP 96	512	3304	458		35	]	228	412	102	5,0	51
b. End FY 200	)2	452	3202	424	ĺ	35		228	412	102	4,8	55
		-	7. INVE	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)	)	•				ī
a. Total Acre	eage: (	5,6	591)									ī
b. Inventory	-	-		EP 96)					3	29,3	75	i
c. Authorizat										24,3		i
d. Authorizat				_	ram.					3,9		i
e. Authorizat	_			_		-am·	(EV 1	2000)		٥,٥.	0	; 1
f. Planned Ir				_	_	. u		,			0	1
			ogram	icals	•						0	1
g. Remaining		-y:							~	<b>.</b>	J	
h. Grand Tota		T 5 T CO T	TTO PP 2	20025		000			3	57,7	# 4	<del></del>
8. PROJECTS F	REQUESTED	IN TH	HIS PRO	GRAM:	FY 1	.999						
CATEGORY								COST			STATU	:
CODE	PROJ	ECT TI	TLE		<u> </u>	COPE		(\$000	<u>) s</u>	TART	CME	<u>, r</u>
610-119 HOUS		GEMENT	rniam\r	renanci	<b>Ξ</b>	900	SM	1,69	2 AU	G 97	JUN	98
	CILITY		TOTAG			1.4	TTNT	2 20	0 211	~ ^~	77137	00
711-142 REPI						TOTAL	<u> </u>	2,30 3,99	2			98
9a. Future I									Y 200	0) N	ONE	ļ
9b. Future I												
9c. Real Pro										5,00		
10. Mission  squadrons; ar  squadron; and  conducts surv	the Air	ional Educa	Guard ation a	air re and Tra	efueli aining	ng wir g Comma	ng wi	ith a	KC-13	5		       
												İ
												İ
												İ

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA |AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING |5. PROGRAM ELEMENT|6. CATEGORY CODE|7. PROJECT NUMBER |8. PROJECT COST(\$000) GJKZ990030 8.87.41 711-142 2,300 9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST U/M QUANTITY COST 9 (\$000) REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING 14 | 130,046 | 1,821 SUPPORTING FACILITIES 255 SITE WORK LS ( 229) DEMO/ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD REMEDIATION LS 28) SUBTOTAL 2,076 CONTINGENCY (5%) 104 |TOTAL CONTRACT COST 2,180 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)

AREA COST FACTOR Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 14 housing units. |Includes site preparation, utilities, roads, landscaping. Amenities include heating, air-conditioning, garages, appliances, patios, and privacy fencing. Includes demolition of existing units and removal of asbestos and lead-based paint.

		NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT '	TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
FGO	4BR	144	1.11	797	11	1,401,317
SGO	4BR	158	1.11	<u>797</u>	3_	419,334
					14	1,820,651

1.05

REQUIREMENT: 2,401 UN ADEQUATE: 1,748 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: Project will provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families assigned to Fairchild AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Project is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces houses constructed in 1952. These 45-year old units are showing the effects of age and continuous |heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families. Roofs, walls and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement resulting from the effects of age and the environment. Roof structures are rotting and leaks have made already inadequate insulation even less effective. Foundations and pavements are

|TOTAL REQUEST

120 2,300

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	ļ
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT TITLE   5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING GJ	KZ990030

showing signs of failure from settlement. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern standards. Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small, and fixtures are outdated and energy-inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counterspace, cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are hadly worn. Flooring throughout the house is worn out and contains asbestos. Plumbing and electrical systems do not meet modern building codes. There is no Ground Fault Interruptor Circuit protection, and many electrical outlets lack grounding protection. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Heating systems require upgrade and replacement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and families will continue to be inadequately housed. Low morale and retention problems can be expected. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base deficit of 22 housing units. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 90% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. The net square meter cost to replace this housing is based on actual bids. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Waylon Patterson, (509) 247-2291.

AIR F			FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	_i
3. IN	STALI	LATIO	ON AND LOCATION	
FATDO	מזזע	מדמ	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PR				ROJECT NUMBER
REPLA	CE F	MILY	HOUSING G	JKZ990030
12.	SUPPI	LEMEN	ITAL DATA:	
а.	Est:	imate	ed Design Data:	
	(1)	Sta	itus:	
	(-)		Date Design Started	97 AUG 04
		(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	1
		(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	359
			Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 25
		(e)	Date Design Complete	98 JUN 0:
	(2)	Bas	sis:	
		(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
		(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
	(3)	Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$00
		(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	5
			All Other Design Costs	
			Total	5!
		• •	Contract	5!
		(e)	In-house	
	(4)	Con	nstruction Start	99 MAI
_				
			associated with this project will be provided frations: N/A	om
otner	app:	ropri	lations: N/A	
			· ·	
			·	
58				

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION 1. DAT				2. FISCA 1999	L YEAR	REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716		
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	ALLATION			1333		DD-Maria	ARJ 17 10	
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
5. DATA AS OF 1995	Fairchild AFB				Washingto				
ANALYS	SIS		CURRENT			r	PROJEC	TED	
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTAL
REQUIREMENTS	S AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(0)	(1)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL	STRENGTH		<del>  ``</del>		1	<del> </del>	1 11	19/	1/
		714	3.065	937	4,716	527	2,410	737	3,674
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	714	3,065	937	4,716	527	2,410	737	3,674
8. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	503		318	3,084	372			
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAE	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c					3/2	1,779	250	2,401
	050404750	23	22		50				
a. INVOLUNTARILY			0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOL DISPOSED/REPL		14	0	0	14				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	DISPOSED/REPLACED  c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY  VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS			5	36				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	503		318	3,084	372	1,779		
12. HOUSING ASSETS (	a + h)	303	2,203	318	3,004	3/2	1,779	250	2,401
<u> </u>	·	480	2,241	313	3,034	352	1,766	247	2,366
a. UNDER MILITAR		166	1,094	149	1,409	156	1,094	149	1,409
(1) HOUSED IN 8	XISTING DOD							1.0	
OWNED/CON		166	1,094	149	1,409	168	1,094	149	1,409
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					0		0	
(3) VACANT			0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE				0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	NG								_
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED	314	1,147	164	1,625	186	672	98	956
		314	1,147	164	1,625				
(2) ACCEPTABLE	E VACANT RENTAL	0	0		0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	23		5	50	20	13	3	3.0
4. PROPOSED PROJEC	Ť				30				36
						14	0	0	14

1. COMPONENT					!	DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY C			OJECT DAT	A.	
AIR FORCE		er gener				
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	[.	4. PRO	JECT TITL	E	
1		[:	HOUSIN	ig managem	ENT/MAIN'	renance
FAIRCHILD AIR FORC			FACILI			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ: 	ECT NU	MBER  8.	PROJECT (	COST(\$000)
8.87.41	610-119	GJKZ	970030	) j		1,692
<u> </u>	9. COS	T ESTIMA	TES	• •		
	<u></u>		1		UNIT	COST
İ	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
HOUSING MANAGEMENT	/MAINTENANCE FACI	LITY	SM	900	1,333	1,200
SUPPORTING FACILIT	IES		ĺ	İ	į	328
UTILITIES			LS	1		( 115)
SITE IMPROVEMENT	S		LS	1	İ	( 85)
PAVEMENTS			LS	1		( 120)
ENVIRONMENTAL			LS		1	(8)
SUBTOTAL			-		1	1,528
CONTINGENCY (5%)			1			76
TOTAL CONTRACT COS	T		1		1	1,604
SUPERVISION, INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	D (5.5%)	1	1		88
TOTAL REQUEST				1		1,692
İ						
					ļ	1
İ			1	1		1
			- 1	1		1
İ			1	1	1	
					1	
AREA COST FACTOR		1.05		1	1	
110 Description o	f Proposed Constr	uction:	Repla	ce housin	g manage	ment and

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace housing management and | maintenance facilities. Includes concrete foundation, masonry exterior | walls with brick veneer, and metal roof. Provides offices, restrooms, | customer waiting/counseling area, computer equipment room, indoor/outdoor | child play areas, workshop, self-help area, breakroom, and storage. | Includes all utilities, parking, landscaping, and fire protection.
- |11. REQUIREMENT: 915 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 664 SM | PROJECT: Replace Housing Management and Maintenance Facility (Current | Mission).

REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required for managing base owned and operated family housing assets, for assisting arriving personnel in finding on- or off-base housing, and for managing furnishings for authorized base personnel. It must be located for convenient access by personnel, be handicapped accessible, and have adequate parking for vehicles pulling trailers or small trucks utilized by inbound personnel. CURRENT SITUATION: Existing housing management office and maintenance functions are housed in a World War II wooden facility located in the base |industrial area. The management office is 40% undersized and there is no space for expansion. The maintenance and self-help functions are in separate locations and floor areas are half the recommended sizes. |Handicapped access is impractical since the facility is built on a 4 ft high concrete foundation. Access is difficult given the inconvenient location and vehicle congestion in this industrial area. It would be impractical and unsafe to provide a children's outdoor play area at this site. This facility is one of the first stops for inbound families and it leaves a poor initial impression of the installation. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Customers will continue to be served in an

1. COMPONENT		2 .	. DATE
FY 1	.999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJEC	CT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND I			
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE E	BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJE	ECT NUMBER
HOUSING MANAGEMENT/MA	INTENANCE FACILITY	GJKZS	970030
HOUSING MANAGEMENT/MA	INTENANCE FACILITY	i GJKZS	970030

extremely cramped, unappealing, and poorly located facility. Optimum efficiency and effectiveness of base support functions will not be achieved and will continue to have a negative effect on family members' equality of life and morale.

ADDITIONAL: Project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Housing Support Facilities Guide. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Waylon Patterson, (509) 247-2291.

	ENT		2. DATE
TE FORGE	4	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A
AIR FORCE		(computer generated) ND LOCATION	
INSTAL	ATION A	ND LOCATION	
רו דשרשדו.ח	ATP FOR	CE BASE, WASHINGTON	
PROJECT			5. PROJECT NUMBER
			J. PRODUCT NOMBER
HOUSING M	NAGEMEN'	T/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	GJKZ970030
L2. SUPPI	LEMENTAL	DATA:	
a. Est:	.mated De	esign Data:	
(1)	Status	•	
(2)		te Design Started	97 AUG 01
		rametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	
		rcent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
		te 35% Designed.	97 SEP 24
		te Design Complete	98 JUN 01
		enger en grande en en en en en en en en en en en en en	20 001, 01
(2)	Basis:		
	(a) Sta	andard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Whe	ere Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total (	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
(3)		oduction of Plans and Specifications	140
		1 Other Design Costs	140
	(c) To		140
	(d) Co		140
	(e) In		140
	(0, 2		
(4)	Constr	uction Start	99 MAR
		·	
		ociated with this project will be provide	d from
ther app	opriation	ons: N/A	

## DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 1999 BUDGET REQUEST

#### FY 1999 POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$ 81,778
FY 1998 Program \$121,795

#### Purpose and Scope

The Air Force operates approximately 110,000 family housing units for FY 1999. The average age of housing units in the Air Force inventory is about 35 years. About 61,000 of these units now require improvement or renovation to meet contemporary living standards during the next decade. Many of these units require major expenditures to repair or replace deteriorated mechanical, electrical, or structural components, and to provide some of the modern amenities found in comparable community housing. The Post Acquisition Construction Program provides this needed revitalization. Each project also includes a significant amount of concurrent maintenance and repair to maximize the project cost effectiveness (average per project is 60%).

The Air Force is the acknowledged DoD leader in developing the "whole house" revitalization concept. Whole house is the combination of needed maintenance and repair together with improvements to bring the unit to contemporary standards. In addition, we are looking beyond the house to the entire housing area in our requirements plan. Our "whole neighborhood" concept is being developed and includes the development of neighborhood vehicular and pedestrian circulation concepts to consider siting, density, landscaping, parking, playgrounds, recreation areas and utilities, in addition to the housing unit itself.

Consistent with Authorization and Appropriation Committees' language in FY 1990, the Air Force is seeking to maintain funding in this account to continue revitalizing our aging homes. Consistent with Appropriation Committees' language in FY 1985, the Air Force has gathered data on the post acquisition construction projects to detail past projects on these units and any future work being programmed within a three year period. This information is provided as a part of this submittal.

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 1999 BUDGET REQUEST

### Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

- (1) Various improvements to existing public quarters, as described on DD Form 1391.
- (2) Appropriation of \$81,778,000 to fund projects in FY 1999.

NOTE: Projects within the program are within the statutory limitation of \$50,000 per unit adjusted by area cost factor, except as identified by separate DD Form 1391.

1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE
	Y 1999 MILITARY CONSTI	RUCTIO	N PR	OJECT DAT	Α	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT TITL	Ε	
		1				
VARIOUS AIR FORCE	BASES	POS	A TE	CQUISITIO	N CONSTRU	JCTION
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE   7. 1	PROJEC'	וטא ז	MBER 8.	PROJECT (	COST (\$000)
				[		1
8.87.42	711-000	XXXX97	OPA	IP		31,778
	9. COST EST	TIMATES	5			
					UNIT	COST
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
POST ACQUISITION C						81,778
	OVE FAMILY HOUSING		UN	625	111,315	(69,572)
!	OVE SUPPORT FACILITIES	5	LS		İ	(12,206)
SUBTOTAL					ļ	81,778
TOTAL CONTRACT COS	T					81,778
TOTAL REQUEST					1	81,778 j
					i ·	
					•	
					1	
						·
		i	İ		į.	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Includes all work necessary to revitalize military family housing by providing: air conditioning, where authorized; modern functional layouts; soundproofing; and utility and site improvements. Energy conservation actions include new and additional insulation, storm windows, solar screens, and more efficient heating and cooling systems. (Continued on next pages.)
- |11. PROJECT: This request is for appropriation of \$81.778 million to accomplish improvements in family housing units.

REQUIREMENT: To revitalize and improve the livability of older, obsolete family housing units, to conserve energy in these older housing units, and to bring utility systems up to current safety standards. Whole-house improvements includes but are not limited to: kitchen upgrades, bathroom additions/upgrades; repair/replacement of roofs, upgrade of mechanical & electrical systems, replacement of windows, doors, floors and exterior improvements (patios, fences, etc.)

CURRENT SITUATION: The majority of these housing units were constructed since the late 1940's using various design and construction criteria, with different types of material, installed equipment, appliances, livability, and appearance. Many utility and structural systems were designed and constructed during years of plentiful, inexpensive energy resources. Insulation, storm windows, etc., not previously cost effective, are now wise investments. This program will prolong the useful life of many of lour older, less modern units by enhancing livability, reducing operation costs and improving safety aspects.

ADDITIONAL: These projects meet the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" unless noted on the individual DD Form 1391s.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	ATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
	j	
POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION		N/A
1 10 Paraulatian of work to be accomplished		
10. Description of work to be accomplished	Current	Working
Location and Project		e (\$000)
		<u> </u>
UNITED STATES		
DELAWARE		
DOVER AFB COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS		3,467
FJXT994011		3,40/
- Improve Housing Community. Replace sanitary		
sewage laterals; provide underground storm		
drainage; alter/widen streets and build new		
sidewalks; install street lighting; construct		
additional parking; privacy screening and		
community parks; and plant trees and install underground drip irrigation.		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		
HAWAII   HICKAM AFB		
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 4		7,008
KNMD994401		7,008
- Improves 36 housing units. Provides general		
interior and exterior modernization and		
renovation of housing units. Includes utility		
upgrade and additions to meet current standards.		
Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, improves floor		
plans, provides increased energy efficiency, patios, playgrounds, and recreation areas.		
Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.		
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.		
1 		
, 		

		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT 1	DATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
JARIOUS AIR 1	OPCE BASES	
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER
POST AQUISIT	ON CONSTRUCTION	N/A
10. Descrip	otion of work to be accomplished	
1	ocation and Project	Current Working
=	deation and Froject	Estimate (\$000)
ILLINOIS		
SCOTT A	"B	
COMMUNITY	'IMPROVEMENTS	3,350
VDYD99400		•
	housing neighborhood. Bury telephone,	
	elevision, and electrical service lines.	
	sewer and water laterals. Provide	
	ion, parking, streetscape, open space,	
	ck-wide improvements. COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None COGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
	Tone.	
	•	
MARYLAND		
ANDREWS	AFR	
	AMILY HOUSING	4,860
AJXF99400		4,000
- Improve	47 units including one General Officer	
Quarter	(GOQ). Renovate kitchens and bathrooms,	
	ovate living space, replace windows,	
mechani	cal, electrical systems, improve exterior	
finish,	provide patios, privacy fences, and	
	s. Replace utility lines to domestic	
	water main, improve drainage,	
	ping, signage and environmental hazard	
remedia		
	te DD Form 1391 attached)	
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY96	
	eplace furnace, \$1.7K; replace carpet,	
	FY97 (GOQ) upgrade bathrooms, \$6.0K;	
	r doors, \$2.9K; patio carpet, \$1.1K; door, \$1.0K; and routine maintenance and	
repair.		
_	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	
HORR PR	COLUMN TOR NUMBER TEARS: NOME	

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	! " '
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	···
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	İ
POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A
10. Description of work to be accomplished	
	Current Working
Location and Project	Estimate (\$000)
NEW JERSEY	
MCGUIRE AFB	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	212
PTFL974037 - Interior and exterior modernization of two	
housing units. Upgrades floor coverings,	
improves floorplans, increases energy	
efficiency, and provides new landscaping.	
Includes demolition and asbestos/lead-based	
paint removal. Grade Mix: 2 E5-E9.	
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:	
2756: FY96 Repair HVAC, \$10K. FY 97 Repair	
kitchen and miscellaneous repairs, \$12K. FY98	
Repair two bathrooms and miscellaneous repairs,	
\$12k. 2757: FY97 Repair bathroom, repair carpet	
in selected rooms, \$12k. FY98 Repair Kitchen,	
miscellaneous repairs, \$12k.	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: 2756:	
None. 2757: None.	
NEW MEXICO	
CANNON AFB	
IMPROVE NEIGHBORHOOD	1,000
CZQZ920037  - Improve housing neighborhood. All materials and	
labor required to replace 105 existing street	
lights/poles and install an additional 98 new	
street lights. Provide landscaping, and	
recreation (tot-lots) needed throughout the	
housing area. Work includes demolition of	
existing lighting, poles/fixtures, wiring, and	
playground sets.	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	
<u></u> ጟ፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟	

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
j	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	1 - 1
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	
VARIOUS AIR E	FORCE BASES	
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	TON GOVERNMENT ON	10.00
POST AQUISITI	ON CONSTRUCTION	N/A
10. Descrip	otion of work to be accomplished	
_		Current Working
] !	ocation and Project	Estimate (\$000)
NORTH CAROL	ANI	
·	TOHNSON AFB	
	ILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 4)	9,682
VKAG99600		
	e 100 and demolish 8 housing units. es utilities and required storage space.	
	es bathrooms and kitchens. Improves	
	finishes, layouts, and energy	
	ncy. Provides playgrounds, patios, and	
	fencing. Installs double paned windows	
	ding doors. Includes appliances, ion, and asbestos/lead based paint	
abateme		
	te DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK AC	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:	
None.		
- WORK PR	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
NORTH DAKOT	<u>'A</u>	
MINOT AF	-	
IMPROVE M   OJVF99920	ILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH5)	13,829
	110 housing units. Includes renovating	
	and baths, replacing interior lights and	
wiring,	redesigning floor plans, improving	
	r and exterior finishes, repairing	
	ts, and upgrading an additional 28 SM.	
	s air conditioning, appliances, ping, playgrounds and recreation areas.	
	s asbestos and lead paint removal.	
	s privacy fences.	
(Separa	te DD Form 1391 attached)	
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:	
None.	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
- WORK PR	OGGG-WIED FOR MEAT THREE TEAKS; NONE.	

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE	
İ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA			
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	ĺ		
3. INSTALLAT	ON AND LOCATION			
VARIOUS AIR I		<del></del>		
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PRO	JECT NUMBER	
DOOM NOTIFICE	CON GONGERMANTON		/-	
POST AQUISITI	ON CONSTRUCTION		N/A	
l 10. Descrir	otion of work to be accomplished			
i	· -	Current	Working	
<u> </u>			e (\$000)	
SOUTH CAROL				
SHAW AFE				
:	LECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		1,620	
VLSB94002	housing infrastructure. Replace			
	d electrical distribution system in the			
· ·	mor area with an underground distribution			
'	Provide concrete encased primary			
	ductbanks, pad-mounted transformers,			
pedesta	ls, sectionalizing switches and conduit			
	l secondary conductors. Replace street			
	Includes demolition of existing			
	ent and connections.			
1	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None			
- WORK PR	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None			
WASHINGTON				
FAIRCHILD	AFB ·			
COMMUNITY	IMPROVEMENTS		1,139	
GJKZ99003				
	housing neighborhood. Install privacy			
	screening; sidewalks and paths; parking;			
	oint signage; landscaping; construct			
	ty parks with open space and recreational ies; benches and trash recepticals; and			
•	d light pedestrian walkways.			
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None			
•	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None			
1				
1				
			i	
771				

1. COMPONENT	2 5500
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	2. DATE   DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES	 
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
  POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/2
FOST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A
10. Description of work to be accomplished	
Location and Project	Current Working   Estimate (\$000)
OVERSEAS	
GERMANY	
RAMSTEIN AB	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A) VANB974580	3,870
- Improve 32 housing units. Constructs bathroom	]
and laundry tower additions.	
Modernizes/renovates interior/exterior;	
increases energy efficiency. Upgrades kitchens, bath rooms, floor coverings, stairwells,	
entryways; corrects fire deficiencies; replaces	! 
balconies. Provides parking, playground, and	
recreation areas. Includes demolition and	ļ
asbestos/lead-base paint removal. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	į
IMPROVE COMMON NEIGHBORHOOD (PHASE A)	1,630
YANB994524	ļ
<ul> <li>Provides general open space and streetscape improvements for common neighborhood areas at</li> </ul>	
the Vogelweh MFH community, Ramstein AB.	
Includes renovation of existing play areas,	j
picnic areas, new walking trails, trees, roads, crosswalks, and an upgrade to two of the main	1
entrances to the community. Includes all	
related work necessary to provide a complete and	}
usable community/neighborhood.	j
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	1
	!
	ĺ

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
j	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	
  VARIOUS AIR F	ORCE RASES	
4. PROJECT TI		5. PROJECT NUMBER
İ		
POST AQUISITI	ON CONSTRUCTION	N/A
   10 Descrip	tion of work to be accomplished	
10. 200112	eron or work to be abbomprished	Current Working
<u>I</u>	ocation and Project	Estimate (\$000)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	CONT)	
RAMSTEIN	AB LAUNDRY/BATH TOWERS )	4 001
YANB99452	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,081
	ct concrete foundation and erect precast	
concret	e towers (Wet Cells) for 90 units.	
	s installation of bathroom fixtures,	
•	g, carpentry, electrical, mechanical, and	
•	er work necessary to provide a second	
	m and interior laundry. COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	
GUAM		
ANDERSEN	AFB AMILY HOUSING PHASE 9	15 000
AJJY99440	•	15,099
	s 102 housing units. Provides interior	
	erior modernization and renovation.	
	s utility upgrade and additions to meet	
-	standards. Upgrades kitchens,	
	ms, improves floorplans, and increases	
	efficiency. Provides patios, unds, recreation areas and utilities	
	ment. Includes asbestos/lead-based paint	
removal	•	
(Separa	te DD Form 1391 attached)	
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:	
None.	OGRANMED DOD NEVER WINES WELLS	
- WORK PR	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
1		
1		
!		
1		
372		

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D AIR FORCE (computer generated)	ATA
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A
	3-7, 3-
	j
10. Description of work to be accomplished	Current Working
Location and Project	Current Working   Estimate (\$000)
	<u> </u>
UNITED KINGDOM	
RAF LAKENHEATH IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A)	6.506
GPLS984015	6,786
- Improves 60 housing units. Provides interior	
and exterior modernization and renovation of	
units. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor	J
coverings. Improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing and	
patios. Includes utility upgrades and additions	
to meet current standards. Provides	! 
landscaping, parks, and recreation areas.	
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: NONE	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: NONE	
RAF MILDENHALL	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE B)	2,153
QFQE984013   - Improves 22 housing units. Modernizes/renovates	
interior/exterior of units. Upgrades kitchens	1
bath rooms, floor coverings, improves	1
floorplans, provides increased energy	
efficiency, patios, playgrounds, recreation	Ì
areas, and adds parking where deficient.	
Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Includes demolition &	
asbestos/lead-base paint removal.	<u> </u>
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	!
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	<u> </u>
] 	
] 	
	1
	İ
	1

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
1370 00000	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATI	ION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS AIR E	FORCE BASES		
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5. PF	OJECT NUMBER
		j	
POST AQUISITI	ON CONSTRUCTION		N/A
1			1
   10. Descrip	otion of work to be accomplished		
		Curren	t Working
<u>I</u>	ocation and Project	Estima	te (\$000)
UNITED KING	DOM (CONT)		
RAF MOLE	SWORTH		
IMPROVE F	'AMILY HOUSING		1,992
AEDY98970			
	s 24 housing units. Modernizes/renovates		
	r and exterior of housing units.		
Constru	cts entrance foyer; repairs roofs and		
gutters	; upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, heating,		l
covers	g and electrical systems. Provides patio privacy fencing, walkways, and parking.		ļ
Include	s demolition & asbestos/lead base paint		ļ
removal			1
	te DD Form 1391 attached)		
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: NONE		ļ 1
	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: NONE		1
			i
Ì			
			Ì
			1
			1
			1
			1
			ļ
			1
			j I
			!
			1
			i
			į
			ĺ
			1

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 1999 BUDGET REQUEST

### POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (OVER \$50,000 PER UNIT)

A separate DD Form 1391 follows for each Post Acquisition Construction project which is over \$50,000 per unit (multiplied by the Area Cost Factor).

1. COMPONENT			-								2.	DATE	
	FY	1999 MILITA	ARY CO	NSTRU	ICT:	ION P	RO	JECT	DAT	A	ĺ		
AIR FORCE		(00	mpute	er ger	era	ated)					Ì		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND	LOCATION	_		_ ·	4. PR	IJ	ECT 3	ritli	E			
					1:	IMPRO	VΕ	FAM	LY I	HOUSI	NG,		
HICKAM AIR FO	RCE BA	SE, HAWAII				PHASE	4						
5. PROGRAM EI	. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJ								PROJE	CT (	COST (	\$000)	
8.87.42		711-111				99440	1					7,00	8
		9.	COST	EST	MA'	TES							
										UNI	_	CO	
	ITEM						<b>VI</b>	QUAN"		COS'		(\$0	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 4 SUPPORTING FACILITIES					UN	1		36	156,	777	5	,644	
SUPPORTING FF	CILITI	25				l T C	1			1		1 .	836
SITE IMPROV	TEMENTE					LS	1			] ·		1 (	298)
PAVEMENTS	EMENIS					LS	1			1		(   (	237) 115)
	ופמק-חמי	ED PAINT REN	10372 T.			LS	1			I 1		1 (	102)
OTHER SUPPO			OVAL			LS	1			1			84)
SUBTOTAL							i			1		. –	,480
CONTINGENCY (	(5%)						i			i i		1	324
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COST					i	i			<u>.</u>		6	,804
SUPERVISION,	INSPECT	TION AND OVE	RHEAL	(3%)		i	ĺ			i			204
TOTAL REQUEST					i	i			i		7	,008	
-						i	i			į			,
						į	İ			1		1	
							1			1		1	
MOOM DYDDNOT	, IB/700		636	673			-			[			
MOST EXPENSIV			\$264	,671		[						1	
AREA COST FAC		D		1.43			$\perp$		. ,	L		L	

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 36 housing units. | Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of housing units. Includes utility upgrade and additions to meet current | standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, improves floor plans, provides | increased energy efficiency, patios, playgrounds, and recreation areas. | Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.

REQUIREMENT: 3,195 UN ADEQUATE: 884 UN SUBSTANDARD: | PROJECT: Improve Military Family Housing (Phase 4). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Hickam AFB. |Housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the fourth of multiple phases to upgrade housing units. Three hundred one units have been upgraded or are approved in previous phases and 2,188 units remain to be accomplished. All units |will meet whole house standards and are programmed in accordance with phase two of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will provide modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom, and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage. Carports will be provided where deficient. Units will be air conditioned. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas. CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes houses which were constructed in 1959 and in 1964. These 38-year-old Capehart and 33-year-old Earhart housing units require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no |major upgrades since construction, do not meet the needs of today's families, and do not provide a modern home environment. Kitchens do not

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATE	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	]
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	1
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 4	KNMD994401

provide adequate storage, cabinet space or countertop area, and are not functionally arranged. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated. The electrical and smoke alarm systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring, windows, and roofing require replacement. The units have inadequate living space and storage. Playgrounds, parking areas, and landscaping are inadequate to nonexistent.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, | resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the | Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention | problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. | The most recent Housing Market Analysis shows a housing deficit of 123 | units.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 67 percent of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Linden Torchia, (808) 449-1660.

1. COMPONENT	ry 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRIICTI	ON DR	OUTERCIT I	יייערו	•	DATE			
AIR FORCE		er genera		JOECI .	DWIN	•				
3. INSTALLATION AN				JECT T	TTLE	<u>_</u>				
		i								
ANDREWS AIR FORCE	BASE, MARYLAND	İı	IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING							
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJE	JECT NUMBER   8. PROJECT COST (\$000)							
j				Ì			•			
8.87.42	711-143	AJXF9	94003				4,860			
	9. COS'	T ESTIMAT	ES							
					UNIT		COST			
	ITEM		U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	(\$000)			
IMPROVE FAMILY HOU	JSING		SM		47	71,553	3,363			
SUPPORTING FACILIT	TIES		1	1			1,004			
SITE WORK			LS		}		( 642)			
1	ZARD REMEDIATION		LS				( 100)			
ASSOCIATED NEIGH	IBORHOOD		LS	1			(262)			
SUBTOTAL			1				4,367			
CONTINGENCY (5%)							218			
TOTAL CONTRACT COS			1	)			4,585			
SUPERVISION, INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	D (6%)		İ			275			
TOTAL REQUEST			1				4,860			
1			1							
			1				[			
			1							
MOST EXPENSIVE UNI	T \$128	8,000	1							
AREA COST FACTOR		0.96					<u></u>			
	of Proposed Constru						ding one			
General Officer Qu	arter (GOQ). Rend	ovate kit	chens	and b	athi	cooms,				
add/renovate livin										
	_	-	atios, privacy fences, and							
carports. Replace	utility lines to	domestic	c potable water main, improve							
drainage, landscap	oing, signage and e	environme	ntal 1	nazard	ren	nediation	<u>n.</u>			
11. REQUIREMENT:	4,680 UN ADEQUA	<b>TE:</b> 2,69	3 UN	SUBST	ANDA	ARD: 1,	717 UN			
PROJECT: Improve	Family Housing (P)	nase A, p	art 2	). (Cu	rrer	nt Missid	on)			
REQUIREMENT: To p	rovide a comfortal	ole and a	ppeal	ing li	ving	g environ	nment			
comparable to the	off-base civilian	communit	y for	milit	ary	members	and			
their families at	Andrews AFB. This	s project	is p	rogram	med	to meet	"whole			
house" standards i	n accordance with	the Hous	ing Co	ommuni	ty E	Plan.				
CURRENT SITUATION:	These wood-frame	e, concre	te sla	ab on g	grad	de units	were			
constructed in 196	6. They have rece	eived no	major	upgra	des	since				
construction and d			-							
dishwashers, have	insufficient count	tertop an	d cab:	inet a	rea,	and woo	od			
cabinets are dated					-					
Gas-fired water he	ater, furnace, ran	nge, plum	bing :	Eixtur	es,	and air	-			
conditioning are nearing the end of their useful life and are energy						ЗУ				
inefficient. The bathroom and outdoor outlets have no ground-fault						:				
circuit interrupte										
circuit breakers a	need (	to be :	repl	aced wit	:h					
vinyl-clad wood an					_					
siding, fascia and	<del>-</del>									
ceramic tile, tub,		_								
requires roof repl				_			-			
window and exterio						•	j			
		···								

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATE	A7
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	AJXF994003

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and families will continue to be inadequately housed. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government.

| WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY96 (GOQ) replace furnace, | \$1.7K; replace carpet, \$5.4K; FY97 (GOQ) upgrade bathrooms, \$6.0K; | interior doors, \$2.9K; patio carpet, \$1.1K; garage door, \$1.0K; and | routine maintenance and repair.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost to improve these units is 60% of the replacement cost. Unit costs are based on an actual bid from a contractor on FY95 Improve Family Housing project (AJXF904000R). The construction agent for this project is the Naval Facilities Engineering Command resulting in Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead costs of 6 percent.

Base Civil Engineer: Col Gus G. Elliott (301) 981-7281.

1. COMPONENT								2	. DAT	Ξ
	FY 1	999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TION	J PR	OJECT D	ATA	ĺ		
AIR FORCE		(comput	er gene	rate	ed)			i		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND L	OCATION		4.	PRO	JECT TI	TLE			
				1						
MCGUIRE AIR F	ORCE BAS	E, NEW JERSEY		IME	ROV	E FAMIL	Y HC	DUSING	<u> </u>	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT   6.	CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECI	NU	MBER  8	. PR	ROJECI	COST	(\$000
						1				
8.87.42		711-111	PTF	L974	037				2	12
		9. COS	T ESTIM	ATES	<u>.                                    </u>					
				1			,	UNIT	0	DST
		rem				QUANTI	ΓY	COST	(\$	000)
		G BLDGS 2756 &	2757		UN		2	83,00	00	166
SUPPORTING FA										30
		PAINT REMOVAL	1		LS					( 15
LANDSCAPING	/PATIO/F	ENCING		)	LS	]	ļ		]	(_15
SUBTOTAL							Į		ļ	196
CONTINGENCY (				ļ			ļ		!	_10
TOTAL CONTRAC			D (20)	}					Ì	206
	INSPECTI	ON AND OVERHEA	D (3%)	- 1					ļ	6
TOTAL REQUEST										212
				}			ļ		1	
						1				
						 			!	
				ļ		[ 	l I		l I	
				[		 				
				 		 	1		ļ	
MOST EXPENSIV	e initr	¢12	0,000			[ ]			[ 	
AREA COST FAC		ŞIJ	1.14	l I		 	1		1	
		roposed Constr	<del></del>	<u>-</u>						

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior and exterior | modernization of two housing units. Upgrades floor coverings, improves | floorplans, increases energy efficiency, and provides new landscaping. | Includes demolition and asbestos/lead-based paint removal. Grade Mix: 2 | E5-E9.
- REQUIREMENT: 2,991 UN ADEQUATE: 1,353 UN SUBSTANDARD: 11. PROJECT: To improve Senior Enlisted Advisors' (SEA) quarters. REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient quarters for SNCOs and their dependents at McGuire AFB; to ensure that quarters meet life, safety, NEC and BOCA codes; and to provide a |comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This project provides new lighting fixtures, replacement of flooring, interior doors, finishes throughout, landscaping, and site improvements. Project is programmed to meet "whole house" standards IAW the McGuire AFB Housing Community Plan. CURRENT SITUATION: These quarters do not meet AMC's "whole house" standards. The quarters do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern, comfortable home environment. The walls, floors, ceilings in the quarters are old, badly worn and deteriorated. The plumbing and lighting fixtures are old and deteriorated. Cable and telephone wiring are exposed. The electrical system does not meet current safety codes. Units have inadequate storage and backyard privacy. floor in the living room is warped, cracked, seperating, and has made one unit uninhabitable. Both of these units meet the Level I criteria |relative to the need to accomplish this work within the next two years and these quarters significantly impact the morale occupants living in them. Building 2756 is in such poor condition that it is vacant and closed to

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	Ì
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	ĺ
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT TITLE   5. PR	ROJECT NUMBER
į į	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING PT	FL974037

occupants--forcing one SEA to live in a JNCO unit.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increased operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government and inconveniences to the residents. The floor will continue to warp and crack thus becoming a greater safety hazard. SNCOs and their families will continue to live in quarters that do not meet AMC's "whole house" standards and are not comparable to off-base civilian homes.

| WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: 2756: FY96 Repair HVAC, \$10K. |
| FY 97 Repair kitchen and miscellaneous repairs, \$12K. FY98 Repair two bathrooms and miscellaneous repairs, \$12k. 2757: FY97 Repair bathroom, repair carpet in selected rooms, \$12k. FY98 Repair Kitchen, miscellaneous repairs, \$12k.

| WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: 2756: None. 2757: None. | ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the | alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo | operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective | alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the | life of the project. The cost to improve these units is 67% of the | replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Scott Borges, | (609) 724-2642.

1. COMPONENT			411.4	2.	DATE				
FY 1999 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTI	ON PRO	JECT DATA	1					
	uter genera			-					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE							
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE,			E MILITARY						
NORTH CAROLINA	!		G (PH 4)						
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CO				ROJECT C	COST (\$000				
	İ								
8.87.42 711-111	VKAG9	96001	i		9,682				
	OST ESTIMAT		·						
				UNIT	COST				
ĮTEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)				
IMPROVE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (	PH 4)	UN	100	71,100	7,110				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		j		i	1,589				
COMMON NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENTS	S	LS	İ	·	( 789				
ASSOC NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVE PA	LS		ĺ	( 225					
UTILITY SERVICE LATERALS		LS			( 250				
LANDSCAPING		LS			( 155				
CARPORTS, STORAGE, CIRCULATION	N SPACE	LS			( 115				
DEMOLITION (8 UN) & ENVIRONME	NTAL	LS			(5				
SUBTOTAL					8,699				
CONTINGENCY (5%)				1	435				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				ļ	9,134				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERH	EAD (6%)	1			548				
TOTAL REQUEST				1	9,682				
				1					
		. [	İ						
	108,300			l					
AREA COST FACTOR_	0.82		l						
10. Description of Proposed Const									
housing units. Includes utilities					rades				
	floors, fir								
efficiency. Provides playgrounds					stalls				
double paned windows and sliding		ludes	appliance	s, demol	ition,				
and asbestos/lead based paint aba		·n							
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,710 UN ADEQU				-					
PROJECT: Improve Military Family									
REQUIREMENT: This project is requ	_								
	- <b>1</b>								
housing for military members and t Johnson AFB. All units must be up	_			-					

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,710 UN ADEQUATE: 200 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,498 UN | PROJECT: Improve Military Family Housing (Ph 4). (Current Mission). | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Seymour Johnson AFB. All units must be upgraded to whole house standards to provide a safe, comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base living community. This project is the fourth phase of a multi-phase program to upgrade 1,498 substandard family housing units. All units are programmed in accordance with Phase 2 of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, dining room, bedroom and bath configuration, with sufficient interior and exterior storage areas. Neighborhood improvements will provide playgrounds and landscaping. Existing overhead utility lines will be buried, deteriorated sewer lines will be replaced, and street layouts will be adjusted to improve neighborhood identity and reduce traffic safety problems.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project improves units built in 1958, which are showing the affects of age and heavy use. Livability and energy efficiency are at unacceptable standards. Doors and frames are extremely warped. Hot water heaters and HVAC systems have reached the end of their useful life, are extremely inefficient, and are producing serious

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	<u> </u>
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 4)	AG996001

condensate problems resulting in peeling paint, deteriorating plaster walls, and mold and mildew problems. Patio doors and windows are poorly fitted, single pane units. Bathrooms are exceptionally small and in poor condition. They have undersized sinks and vanities and cracked and deteriorated gel-coated tubs and showers. Additionally, weatherbeaten exterior trim, combined with limited insulation and poor roofs is resulting in increased maintenance costs and reduced energy efficiency. Overhead primary electrical distribution systems need to replaced. Sanitary sewer lines are deteriorating and in some cases have failed completely.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will | continue to live in outdated and unsatisfactory housing conditions. | Without improvements, these houses will continue to deteriorate resulting | in increased maintenance and repair costs, increased inconvenience to the | occupants, and will ultimately become uninhabitable facilities. These | conditions will have an adverse affect on morale and degrade mission | execution.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: Eight units will be demolished in this project to reduce the density of the housing area and improve neighborhood conditions. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." The cost to improve these units is 68% of the replacement cost. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design/construction agent. BCE: Lt Col Quincy Purvis, (919) 736-5511.

1. COMPONENT					1 = .	DATE			
	FY 1999 MILITARY CO			DJECT DA	TA	ļ			
3. INSTALLATION A	(compute	t dener		TPCT TT					
3. INSTALLATION F	ND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE   IMPROVE MILITARY FAMILY						
MINOT AIR FORCE F	BASE, NORTH DAKOTA	1	HOUSING		MI PARILLI	\ !			
	T 6. CATEGORY CODE				PROJECT (	COST (\$000)			
	(1) (1)				1100201	(\$000) 			
8.87.42	711-143	QJVE	999200	i	13,829				
	9. COST	ESTIMA	TES						
					UNIT	COST			
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTII	Y COST	(\$000)			
IMPROVE MILITARY	FAMILY HOUSING (PH	5)	UN	110	89,460	9,841			
SUPPORTING FACILI	TIES		,	}	1	2,584			
COMMON NEIGHBOR	COMMON NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPORT				1	( 800)			
ASSOC NEIGHBORHOOD IMPPAVEMENTS			LS		1	( 190)			
SERVICE LATERALS			LS		1	( 170)			
LANDSCAPNG					1	( 180)			
ASBESTOS/LEAD	BASE PAINT REMOVAL	_	LS		1	( 216)			
SPECIAL CONST	FEATURE (ARCTC REC	C RM)	LS		1	(_1,028)			
SUBTOTAL						12,425			
CONTINGENCY (5%)					į	621			
TOTAL CONTRACT CO					1	13,046			
	PECTION AND OVERHEAD	(6%)				783			
TOTAL REQUEST						13,829			
						1			
					}				
MOST EXPENSIVE UN	IIT \$142	2,600			ļ				
AREA COST FACTOR		1.08							
	of Proposed Constru		_		ousing un	,			
	ng kitchen and bath								
	ng floor plans, imp								
	its, and upgrading a								
	oliances, landscapir								
	and lead paint rem								
	2,604 UN ADEQUAT			JBSTANDA	•				
	Military Family Ho	-							
	s project is requir	_							
_	ary members and the "whole house" impr	_							
	ring environment com		_						
	_	-							
	project is programm								
-	Plan. This is the					_			
	s for base personne								
	ese improvements wil	_							
room, and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage plus									
upgrading 28 square meters per unit to provide an arctic recreation room.  Parking will be provided for a second vehicle. The neighborhood support									
				_					
	.ll be upgraded to m			rarna ne	eus, to 11	reinae			
	grounds and recreat			,,ni+- 1-	il+ i- 20	) 164			
	I: This project imp		_						
_	the affects of age			-		ey have			
	rades since construc								
	nor do they provide					chens			
•	irk, and do not prov		-						
space. The bathi	cooms are very small	and in	poor o	onaitio	n. Bathro	JOM			

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH5)	QJVF999200

fixtures are outdated and inefficient. Lighting in hallways, bathrooms, and bedrooms is inadequate. The exteriors lack landscaping and have no covered patio for protection from the sun. Off street parking is severely limited, and traffic flow in and around the housing areas is inefficient and dangerous to pedestrians.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will continue to live in extremely outdated, unsuitable, and unsatisfactory housing. The housing will continue to deteriorate with age, resulting in increasing and unacceptable maintenance and repair costs, and extreme inconvenience to the occupants. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, repairs of these units will continue at a costly, piecemeal fashion, with little or no improvement in living quality. Low morale and retention problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: An ecomonic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Improvement costs represent 67% of replacement costs. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning Design Guide". The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design/construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Mike Dronen, (701) 723-2434.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUC	CTION PROJECT DATA
AF (USAFE) (computer gene	erated)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
	IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GERMANY (VOGELWEH)	(PHASE A)
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT   6. CATEGORY CODE   7. PRO	DJECT NUMBER   8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
	1
8.87.42 711-161 YAN	NB974580 3,870
9. COST ESTIM	MATES
	UNIT   COST
<u>ITEM</u>	U/MQUANTITY COST (\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A)	UN   32  111,812   3,578
SUBTOTAL	3,578
CONTINGENCY (5%)	179
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	3,757
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)	113
TOTAL REQUEST	3,870
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT \$120,200	

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 32 housing units. |Constructs bathroom and laundry tower additions. Modernizes/renovates |interior/exterior; increases energy efficiency. Upgrades kitchens, bath |rooms, floor coverings, stairwells, entryways; corrects fire deficiencies; |replaces balconies. Provides parking, playground, and recreation areas. |Includes demolition and asbestos/lead-base paint removal. |Grade Mix: 32 E1-E4.

1.54

REQUIREMENT: 9,703 UN ADEQUATE: 5,949 UN SUBSTANDARD: | PROJECT: Improve Military Family Housing (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Ramstein AB. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the second of multiple phases to upgrade 5138 houses. Two-hundred sixty-eight units have been upgraded or are approved in previous phases, this completes Phase A of the HCP to upgrade 300 homes. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are |programmed in accordance with Phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated homes will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bathroom configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to provide a laundry and second |bath for 3 and 4 bedroom units. Street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements will include refuse and recycling enclosures for containers, landscaping, community, and recreation areas. CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in 1950. These 47 year old houses require major renovation

AREA COST FACTOR

1.	COMPONENT						2. D	ATE	
		FY 1999	MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	N PROJECT	DATA	-		
AF	(USAFE)		(compi	uter generat	ed)		1		
3.	INSTALLATION	AND LOCAT	rion						
RAI	MSTEIN AIR BAS	E, GERMAN	Y (VOGEL	WEH)					
4.	PROJECT TITLE	1				5.	PROJECT	NUMBER	ł
						ĺ			
IM	PROVE FAMILY H	OUSING (	PHASE A)			i	VANR974	580	

and repair resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrade since construction and do not meet the need of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Air Force homes in Germany are constructed in 3 and 4 story stairwell type buildings. Laundry rooms are community use located in basements. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets are obsolete and deteriorated. Wall and floor tiles are old, cracked, and worn. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated. Electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Existing balconies are corroded and breaking away from structures. Refuse and recycling containers do not have enclosures to retain materials, resulting in overflows in front of buildings. Parking is deficient -- one space per unit. Landscaping and recreation areas are deficient. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Families will be forced to take children up and down two to four flights of stairs to wash laundry in the basement. Balconies will further deteriorate posing a hazard to families in the unit and those living below. Refuse and recycling material will continue to litter the community areas as overflows occur. Parking will continue to be a problem. Low morale and retention problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue.

| WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None | WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 57% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Col Steve Smith 011-49-6371-47-6228.

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE	2
Ì	FY 1999	MILITARY	CONSTRU	CTIO	N PRO	DJECT	DATA	Α.	ĺ		
AIR FORCE		(comp	outer gen	erat	ed)				<u> </u>		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCAT	CION		4.	PRO	JECT I	TTL	E			
				IM	PROVI	E FAMI	LY	HOUSI	NG		
ANDERSEN AIR	<del></del>				ASE S						
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT   6. CAT	EGORY CO	DE 7. PR	OJEC	ד אטו	MBER	8. 1	PROJE	CT (	COST (	(\$000)
			1								
8.87.42	71	1-111	AJ	JY99	4401				1	5,09	9
		9. (	COST ESTI	MATE	S						
						!		UNI'	- 1		ST
	ITEM					QUANT					000)
IMPROVE FAMIL		LASE 9			UN	] ]	.02	128,	550	13	1,112
SUPPORTING FA						ļ		ļ			849
	EMENTS/PAVEM	IENTS			LS	•				(	203)
LANDSCAPING					LS			!		(	99)
	AD-BASED PAI	.NT REMOV	/AL		LS	!		1		(	219)
UTILITIES					LS	1			ļ	(	328)
SUBTOTAL	r e. \					<u> </u>		† 1		13	6,961
CONTINGENCY (						 		<u> </u>		7.4	698
SUPERVISION,		ND OVER	ואסר/ רוגשנ		1	 		1		. 14	440 440
TOTAL REQUEST		MD OVERI	1EAD (3%)		<u> </u>	l i		 	į	1.5	099
TOTAL REQUEST					1	1 1		[ ]		13	, 033
						! !		! !	!		
					}	i i		! 1	1	l	
						l I		! 	l	] 	
					1	! }		}			
MOST EXPENSIV	E UNIT	5	167,000			! !		}	ļ		
AREA COST FAC		`	2.01		1	<u> </u>		1	, 1		

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 102 housing units. | Provides interior and exterior modernization and renovation. Includes | utility upgrade and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades | kitchens, bathrooms, improves floorplans, and increases energy efficiency. | Provides patios, playgrounds, recreation areas and utilities replacement. | Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.
- | 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,735 UN ADEQUATE: 518 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,294 UN | PROJECT: Improve Family Housing (Phase 9). (Current Mission) | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Andersen | AFB. Housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to | provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the | off-base civilian community. This is the ninth of multiple phases to | upgrade housing units. Four hundred sixty-three units have been upgraded | or approved in previous phases and 1,294 units remain to be accomplished. | All units will meet whole house standards and are programmed in accordance | with phase seven of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will | provide modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath | configuration with ample interior and exterior storage. Units will be air | conditioned. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include | landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in 1960. These 36 year-old housing units require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Kitchens do not provide adequate storage, cabinet space or

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	j
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	ĺ
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM	
4. PROJECT TITLE  5.	PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 9	AJJY994401

| countertop area, and are not functionally arranged. Plumbing and lighting | fixtures are deteriorated. The electrical systems do not meet modern | construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not | provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring, | windows, and roofing require replacement. The units have inadequate | living space and storage. Playgrounds, parking areas, and landscaping are | inadequate or nonexistent.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue, since suitable, affordable off-base housing is not avialable.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 56% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Stewart Nelson, (671) 366-7101

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTR	UCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer ge	nerated)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH,	IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING
UNITED KINGDOM	(PHASE A)
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT   6. CATEGORY CODE   7. F	ROJECT NUMBER   8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

8.87.42 6,786

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
	1	1	UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A)	UN	60	64,733	3,884
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			!	2,390
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 822)
LIGHTING	LS		1	( 239)
LANDSCAPING	LS		İ	( 791)
RECREATION	LS	[		(538)
SUBTOTAL	1		\	6,274
CONTINGENCY (5%)	}			314
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			ì	6,588
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)	1		}	198
TOTAL REQUEST			1	6,786
			j	
	ĺ	[ [	ļ	
	Ì		ĺ	İ
			Ì	Ì
	ĺ	ĺ		j
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT \$93,000	i		j	Ì
AREA COST FACTOR 1.37				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 60 housing units. Provides interior and exterior modernization and renovation of units. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings. Improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing and patios. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Provides landscaping, parks, and recreation areas. |Grade Mix: 60 E1-E4.

REQUIREMENT: 5,400 UN ADEQUATE: 3,020 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Improve Family Housing (Phase A) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at RAF |Lakenheath. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the first of multiple phases to upgrade 815 houses. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with Phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living |room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Single car garages and off street parking will be provided, where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and include landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas. CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was |constructed in 1940. These 57 year old houses require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	j
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	i i
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH, UNITED KINGDOM	ļ
4. PROJECT TITLE   5. P	ROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A)	PLS984015

needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. |Fault Circuit Interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens and exterior circuits. Flooring is worn, stained, loose, and mismatched due to nonavailability of original materials for replacement The units have inadequate living space, storage, nor patio or backyard privacy. There is little landscaping and no developed public neighborhood areas.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance, and repair costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. Affordable off-base housing is not available. The most recent Housing Market Analysis shows a housing deficit of 1882 units.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: NONE

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: NONE

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvment was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 62% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Andy Scrafford 011-44-1-638-52-2100.

1. COMPONENT							<del></del>		12.	DATE	
j	F	Y 1999 MILITARY	z co	NSTRUC'	TION	PR	OJECT DAT	Ά	Ì		
AIR FORCE		(comp	oute	r gene:	rate	d)			i		
3. INSTALLATIO	INA NO	LOCATION			4.	PRO	JECT TITL	E			
ROYAL AIR FOR	CE MII	LDENHALL,			IMP	ROVI	E FAMILY	HOUSI	NG		
UNITED KINGDOM	М				(PH	ASE	B)				
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DE	7. PRO	JECT	יטא י	MBER  8.	PROJE	CT (	COST(\$0	00)
0.07.40		711 101	ļ	0.00	7004	013					
8.87.42		711-181		QFQI						2,153	
		9. (	OST	ESTIM	ATES	<u> </u>	<del>,</del>	1 7777			
		TOTAL			- 1	/	 	UNI	- !	COST	
IMPROVE FAMILY	/ HOH	ITEM			-		QUANTITY	<del></del>		(\$000	
		•			!	UN	22	64,	227	•	
SUPPORTING FAC	111111	LES			]		) 1	]	j	_	77
LANDSCAPING						LS LS	 				.86)
RECREATION						LS	 	1			.98
DEMOLITION						LS	 	1	j		85 6
COMMON NEIGH	HAOBH	OOD			1	LS	 	1		1 7	.02
SUBTOTAL		302			1		1	1		1,9	
CONTINGENCY (S	5%)				i		! 			•	.00
TOTAL CONTRACT		r			ì		( 	1	1	2,0	
		TION AND OVER	EAD	(3%)	1		; 	Ì		•	63
TOTAL REQUEST				( /	i		; i	i		2,1	
							İ			_,_	
					i			i			
					İ			i			
					i			j			
MOST EXPENSIVE	CINU E	r	\$90	,200	1						

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 22 housing units. |Modernizes/renovates interior/exterior of units. Upgrades kitchens, bath rooms, floor coverings, improves floorplans, provides increased energy efficiency, patios, playgrounds, recreation areas, and adds parking where deficient. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Includes demolition & asbestos/lead-base paint removal. Grade Mix: 22 E1-E4.

1.38

REQUIREMENT: 5,400 UN ADEQUATE: 3,378 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Improve Family Housing (Phase B) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient |housing for military members and their dependents stationed at RAF Mildenhall. The housing units must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment |comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the second of |multiple phases to upgrade 268 houses. Thirty-five units were approved in previous phases, and 233 remain to be accomplished in this and subsequent phases. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with Phase B of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing | will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom, and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage. Units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Single car garages and off street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas. | CURRENT SITUATION: The project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in 1935. These 62 year old houses require major renovation

AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	-
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
j i	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE B)	QFQE984013

and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrade since construction, do not meet the needs of todays families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Plumbing and light fixtures are inefficient. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring is old, worn and mismatched due to non-availability of original materials for replacement. The plaster on the walls is old and cracking. The units have inadequate living space, storage, and lack patios. Landscaping and recreation areas for housing residents are deficient. Pavement and parking areas need renovation.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, | resulting in increasing operations, maintenance, and repair costs to the | Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention | problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. | Suitable, affordable off-base housing is not available. The most recent | Housing Market Analysis shows a housing deficit of 1882 units for RAF | Mildenhall and RAF Lakenheath.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 56% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Seb Romano 011-44-1-638-54-2205.

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	ATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT TI	rle
ROYAL AIR FORCE MO	LESWORTH,		
UNITED KINGDOM		IMPROVE FAMILY	Y HOUSING
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER   8	. PROJECT COST(\$000)
		1	1
8.87.42	711-181	AEDY989701	1,992
1	9. COS'	T ESTIMATES	·

J. COST ESTINA	1110			
	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	UN	24	68,750	1,650
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				192
SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS			( 33)
UTILITIES	LS		1	( 84)
PAVEMENTS	LS		i	( 54)
DEMOLITION	LS			(21)
SUBTOTAL				1,842
CONTINGENCY (5%)				92
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		1		1,934
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)				58
TOTAL REQUEST			j	1,992
	1		1	
	1			
•	ĺ			
	Ì	l İ		
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT \$89,600	ĺ	i i		
AREA COST FACTOR 1.36	İ			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 24 housing units. | Modernizes/renovates interior and exterior of housing units. Constructs | entrance foyer; repairs roofs and gutters; upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, | heating, plumbing and electrical systems. Provides patio covers, privacy | fencing, walkways, and parking. Includes demolition & asbestos/lead base | paint removal.

Grade Mix: 10 E1-E4; 14 E5-E9.

REQUIREMENT: 743 UN ADEQUATE: 338 UN SUBSTANDARD: | PROJECT: Improve Family Housing. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at RAF |Molesworth. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety |codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment |comparable to the off-base civilian community. This project continues a multi-phased initiative to upgrade 429 houses. All units will meet "whole |house" standards. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Single car garages and off street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas. |CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in 1957. These 40 year old houses require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ROYAL AIR FORCE MOLESWORTH, UNITED KINGDOM	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	AEDY989701

|Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. |The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground |Fault Circuit Interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, |kitchens and exterior circuits. Flooring is worn, stained, loose, and |mismatched due to nonavailability of original materials for replacement |The units have inadequate living and storage space, and lack patio/ |backyard privacy. There is little landscaping and no developed public |neighborhood areas.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, | resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the | Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention | problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. | Suitable, affordable off-base housing is not available.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: NONE

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: NONE

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvment was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 50% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Maj Tony Foti, 44-1-638-54-3216

#### FY 1999 ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$11,342
FY 1998 Program \$11,971

#### Purpose and Scope

This program provides for preliminary studies to develop additional family housing facilities, one time multi-phase design, and housing community plan developments; studies for site adaptation and determination of type and design of units; and working drawings, specifications, estimates, project planning reports and final design drawings of family housing construction projects. This includes the use of architectural and engineering services in connection with any family housing new or post acquisition construction program.

#### Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

- (1) Advance planning and design for future year housing programs;
- (2) FY 1999 appropriation of \$11,342 to fund this effort as outlined in the following exhibit:

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE	
FY 1999 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	ļ
AIR FORCE (compu	ter generated)	. 1
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
	FAMILY HOUSING ADVANCE	1
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES	PLANNING AND DESIGN	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT   6. CATEGORY CODE	E 7. PROJECT NUMBER  8. PROJECT COST(\$00)	) (C
8.87.42 711-000	XXXX97000PAD 11,342	
9. CO	ST ESTIMATES	
	UNIT   COST	
ITEM	U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000)	
FAMILY HOUSING ADVANCE PLANNING AND	, ,	}
DESIGN	LS   11,34	- :
SUBTOTAL	11,34	•
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	11,34	2
TOTAL REQUEST	11,34	2
		l
		-
		1
		- 1
		- 1
		Ì
		-
		- 1
110 Personintian of Ducas de Consti		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Architect-engineer services, surveys, fees, etc., in connection with advance planning and design of family housing dwelling units and properties included in or proposed for the Air Force Family Housing Account.

#### 11. PROJECT:

REQUIREMENT: The funds requested are necessary to procure architectlengineer services to make site and utility investigations; one time multi-phase design, and housing community plan (HCP) developments; for the preparation of design and specifications of advance plans for future year housing programs in connection with any family housing new or post acquisition construction programs.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The funds requested are neccessary to support the development of the Housing Community Plans and to support the new construction and post acquisition construction programs.

### OPERATIONS, UTILITIES AND MAINTENANCE (Excluding Leasing and Debt)

Program (\$ in Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$671,892
FY 1998 Program \$699,332

<u>Purpose and Scope:</u> Provides operations and maintenance resources to pay for the cost of ownership in terms of property management and day-to-day maintenance.

- a. <u>Operations</u>. This portion of the program provides for operating expenses in the following sub-accounts:
- management such as housing office operations, quality assurance evaluators, administrative support, community liaison, and annual service fees paid to the Corporation-Trust Company. Provides the required corporate presence in Delaware for the United States Air Force Housing, Inc., which continues as the entity holding title to Capehart and Wherry real property. The housing referral program assists the two-thirds of Air Force families that live in local communities to find quarters in the private sector and implements the Fair Housing Act of 1968. Services include counseling on housing decision-making, providing advance information on new base of assignment, and assisting through settling-in and home-finding services.
- (2) Services. Provides basic support services including refuse collection and disposal; fire and police protection; entomology and pest control; and snow removal and street cleaning.
- (3) Furnishings. Procures household equipment (primarily stoves and refrigerators) and, in limited circumstances, furniture; controls furnishings inventories; and, maintains and repairs furniture and appliances.
- (4) Miscellaneous. Includes mobile home hookups, leased office and warehouse space supporting family housing, payments to other federal agencies or foreign governments to operate permit housing units occupied by Air Force personnel, and similar costs.
- b. <u>Utilities</u>. Includes all heat, electricity, water, sewer, and gas utilities serving family housing, purchased and base produced, except occupant purchased utilities such as telephone and cable TV.

- c. <u>Maintenance</u>. Provides upkeep of family housing real property, as follows:
- (1) Maintenance/Repair of Dwellings. Service calls, routine maintenance, repairs, and replacement of deteriorated facility components.
- (2) Exterior Utilities. Maintenance and repair of water, sewer, electric, steam and gas lines supporting family housing areas.
- (3) Other Real Property. Upkeep of grounds, common areas, roads, parking areas, and other property for the exclusive use of family housing occupants not discussed above.
- (4) Alterations and Additions. Minor alterations to housing units or housing support facilities. Large scope and high dollar value projects are included in the construction program.

The Air Force family housing budget requests essential resources to provide military families with housing either in the private market through assistance from a housing referral office, or in government housing. Increased emphasis has been placed on the proper funding of the family housing operations and maintenance program. The Air Force's FY 1999 Operation and Maintenance program emphasizes the following goals:

- \* Identify affordable housing for military members. Where shortages exist, accomplish housing surveys and identify project proposals to request new construction or leasing of housing for military families.
- \* Invest wisely in maintenance and repairs to preserve and restore the existing required housing inventory worldwide.
- \* Reduce utility consumption through increased management emphasis on energy conservation and whole-house improvements.
- \* Reduce furnishings inventories in accordance with transfers and realignments. Redistribute excess furnishings from realigned bases.
- \* Fund government appliances and furniture consistent with cost/benefit studies and the delivery of new housing units which need government-supplied appliances.
- \* Continue the Quarters Cleaning Initiative (QCI) which helps limit expensive overseas temporary housing allowances (TLAs) to

approximately three days in lieu of the 10-day maximum. QCI program costs are offset by known savings in TLA accounts.

- \* Schedule maintenance and repair activities along with whole-house improvements to obtain the greatest enhancement in livability while increasing the useful life of housing units with the minimum capital investment and minimum impact on occupants.
- \* Pursue privatization ventures that will transfer operation and maintenance responsibility to the private sector where cost effective. Accelerated revitalization of housing assets is the biggest benefit of privatization.
- \* Continue efforts to decrease operations and maintenance costs in certain high-cost quarters.
- \* Continue installation, operation, maintenance, and improvement of the Automated Civil Engineer System-Housing Module (ACES-HM, formerly identified as Housing Information Management System (HIMS)), an Air Force-wide computer system designed to assist in all phases of housing management. Ongoing initiatives include beta-testing of software needed to fulfill daily assignment, scheduling, maintenance, and inspection of units. Improved customer service and reduced operations costs are anticipated through the fielding of this system.

This budget request is for funds needed to meet must-pay operations and utilities expenses, as well as the maintenance and repair of existing housing inventory. The Air Force shares the concerns of Congress to improve support to military families and to properly maintain the required existing housing inventory. This budget supports a long-range program responsive to Congressional desires while considering the current environment of budget restraint.

Operation and Maintenance FY 1999 Program Summary - Highlights Authorization/Appropriation is requested in FY 1999 for \$671,892,000. This amount, together with estimated reimbursements of \$9,400,000, will fund the FY 1999 Operation and Maintenance program of \$681,292,000.

A summary of the funding program for FY 1999 is as follows (\$ in thousands):

Operations	Util	Maint	Total Direct	Reimburse-	Total
Request	Request	Request	Request	<u>ment</u>	Program
\$131,019	\$152,214	\$388,659	\$671,892	\$9,400	\$681,292

# Air Force Military Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Summary (Excludes Leased Units and Costs) FY 1999

	EXHIBIT FH-2 WORLDWIDE								
INVENTORY DATA	IVENTORY DATA FY 97 WORLDWIDE FY 98 WORLDWIDE				FY 99 WORLDWIDE				
UNITS IN BEGINNING of YEAR	110,7	766	109,831		109,476				
UNITS AT END of YEAR	109,8	331	109,4	176	110,	181			
AVERAGE INVENTORY FOR YEAR	110,2	299	109,6	554	109,	829			
FUNDING REQUIREMENTS (\$000)	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST			
OPERATIONS (DIRECT)									
MANAGEMENT	53,213	\$482	52,665	\$480	52,495	\$478			
SERVICES	32,824	\$298	35,819	\$327	36,066	\$328			
FURNISHINGS	39,149	\$355	39,448	\$360	37,218	\$339			
MISCELLANEOUS	<u>4,715</u>	<u>\$43</u>	<u>5,204</u>	<u>\$47</u>	<u>5,240</u>	<u>\$48</u>			
SUBTOTAL - DIRECT OBLIGATIONS	\$129,901	\$1,178	\$133,136	\$1,214	\$131,019	\$1,193			
Anticipated Reimbursements	<u>\$1,475</u>	<u>\$13</u>	<u>\$1,605</u>	<u>\$15</u>	<u>\$1,642</u>	<u>\$15</u>			
GROSS OBLIGATIONS - OPERATIONS	\$131,376	\$1,191	\$134,741	\$1,229	\$132,661	\$1,208			
UTILITY OPERATIONS	163,841	\$1,485	156,511	\$1,427	152,214	\$1,386			
Anticipated Reimbursements	<u>6,864</u>	<u>\$62</u>	<u>6,924</u>	<u>\$63</u>	<u>7,062</u>	<u>\$64</u>			
GROSS OBLIGATIONS - UTILITIES	\$170,705	\$1,548	\$163,435	\$1,490	\$159,276	\$1,450			
MAINTENANCE (DIRECT)									
M&R DWELLINGS	285,773	\$2,591	288,423	\$2,630	272,294	\$2,479			
M&R EXT. UTILITIES	44,617	\$405	44,697	\$408	42,697	\$389			
M&R OTH REAL PROP	38,477	\$349	38,670	\$353	37,251	\$339			
ALTER & ADDITIONS	<u>37,793</u>	<u>\$343</u>	<u>37,895</u>	<u>\$346</u>	<u>36,417</u>	<u>\$332</u>			
SUBTOTAL - DIRECT OBLIGATIONS	\$406,660	\$3,687	\$409,685	\$3,736	\$388,659	\$3,539			
Anticipated Reimbursements	<u>\$661</u>	<u>\$6</u>	<u>\$669</u>	<u>\$6</u>	<u>\$696</u>	<u>\$6</u>			
GROSS OBLIGATIONS - MAINTENANCE	\$407,321	\$3,693	\$410,354	\$3,742	\$389,355	\$3,545			
TOTAL - DIRECT OPS & MAINTENANCE	\$700,402	\$6,350	\$699,332	\$6,340	\$671,892	\$6,092			
Anticipated Reimbursements	<u>\$9,000</u>	<u>\$82</u>	<u>\$9,198</u>	<u>\$84</u>	<u>\$9,400</u>	<u>\$86</u>			
TOTAL GROSS OPS & MAINTENANCE	\$709,402	\$6,432	\$708,530	\$6,462	\$681,292	\$6,203			

	EXHIBIT FH-5	(\$000)	7 FY98 FY99	5,567 0 0	2,945 2,559 2,522	8,512 2,559 2,522
REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COSTS Real Property Maintenance and Minor Construction Projects (HISTORIC HOUSING COSTS)	FY99 BUDGET REQUEST		HISTORIC HOUSING COSTS FY97	A. No. of Units: 1044  B. Improvements: 5	C. Maintenance and Repair:	Grand Total:

#### RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

#### EXHIBIT OP-5

#### **OPERATIONS**

Program In Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$131,019
FY 1998 Program \$133,136

The FY 1999 program represents Air Force family housing requirements and was developed using OSD/OMB approved inflation and foreign currency fluctuation rates. Adjustments have been made for force mission realignments. All program sub-accounts are described in detail in the following analyses:

Management. The Management account includes installation-level management functions such as housing office operations, quality assurance evaluators, administrative support, community liaison, and annual service fees paid to the Corporate-Trust Company to provide the required corporate presence in Delaware. The housing referral program assists members to find quarters in the private sector and implements the Fair Housing Act of 1968.

)

\$52,495

	(\$ in	Thousands
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):	\$48,712
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriation Amount:	\$48,712
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers	None
7.	Program Increases: Housing Privatization Feasibility studies, investment in Automated Civil Engineer System-Housing Module (ACES-HM) computer development.	\$3,953
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:	\$52,665
10.	Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation Rate adjustment	\$ 790 \$-238
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	None
12.	Program Increases: One-time computer-assisted training development and computer based procedures to serve customers	\$202
13.	Program Decreases: Non-recurring investment for Automated Civil Engineer System-Housing Module (ACES-HM)computer system development.	\$-924
1.4	TT 1000 D 1 + D + +	+50 405

February 1998 Page No. 404

14. FY 1999 Budget Request:

#### Analysis of Change in Management

The Management sub-account is a relatively stable program and is predominately fixed costs such as salaries and required administrative support supplies and equipment. As part of our management activity, we are continuing to develop new computer-based work tools to improve customer service and management of resources. This effort includes further refinement and operational implementation of the Automated Civil Engineer System-Housing Module (ACES-HM). This system improves customer services and data sharing for overall program management, and provides interactive training to ensure field acceptance and use.

As part of the continuing effort to develop alternatives for more cost effective activities, the Management sub-account provides funds for studies of privatization projects at selected installations. The management sub-account also provides funds for Housing Market Analyses at each base to determine the proper amount of housing needed to support the assigned population.

The Management sub-account is not per-unit specific since there is a basic level of support and manning for the base housing office regardless of the number of units. Minor adjustments were included in the budget request based on small changes in the inventory as well as increases for inflation.

<u>Services.</u> Provides basic support services such as refuse collection and disposal; fire and police protection; entomology and pest control; snow removal; and street cleaning.

Military family housing activities are affected by many new environmental standards. The environmental legislative changes in states and foreign countries continue to evolve leading to an uncertain ability to predict program growth. Initiatives to remove lead based paint and asbestos, install leak detection on underground heating fuel storage tanks, and provide spill/overflow protection and corrosion control are also covered within this account. Increases in landfill costs are programmed and we anticipate these to continue in the future.

(\$ in Thousands) 1. FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended): \$35,849 2. Congressional Adjustments: None 3. FY 1998 Appropriated Amount: \$35,849 4. Supplementals: None 5. Price Growth: None 6. Functional Program Transfers: None 7. Program Increases: None 8. \$-30 Program Decreases: Adjustments to recycling programs 9. FY 1998 Current Estimate: \$35,819 10. Price Growth: Inflation \$537 a. Foreign Currency Fluctuation rate adjustment \$-724 11. Functional Program Transfers: None 12. Program Increases: Additional tipping fees and environmental \$434 protection costs, inventory increase (175 units)

13. Program Decreases:

None

14. FY 1999 Budget Request:

\$36,066

#### Analysis of Changes in Services

The Services budget request has been increased to meet the cost growth for service contracts. The most significant cost increases are for refuse removal contracts which are being modified to accommodate more costly environmental standards. This cost growth is primarily for increased tipping fees (landfill dumping costs) due to additional environmental requirements for safer containment of landfill runoff. In FY 1996 and FY 1997, new mandatory and voluntary recycling programs were implemented. Following initial recycling start-up costs, these programs have leveled off for FY 1998 and 1999.

Furnishings. Includes the procurement for initial issue and replacement of household equipment (primarily stoves and refrigerators) and in limited circumstances, furniture; the control, moving, and handling of furnishings inventories; and the maintenance and repair of such items.

This Fiscal Year 1999 Budget reflects the "Sense of Congress" for increased burden sharing with foreign governments. Force structure reductions overseas have allowed the Air Force to reduce overseas furnishings inventories. However, overseas realignments are still occurring which increases operating costs for moving furnishings, as well as making it necessary to maintain adequate backup stock of appliances and furnishings for our overseas dependent families.

Loaner sets of furniture are issued to military families overseas so they may occupy permanent quarters prior to the arrival of personally owned furniture. Loaner sets are very cost effective because they reduce the cost of temporary quarters. Other items of household furnishings normally built into CONUS houses which are limited or not available in foreign countries, such as wardrobes (clothes closets), kitchen cabinets and appliances, are also issued to military families.

Leases in Europe also require closets and cabinets to be issued along with appliances since leased units overseas do not have the same accommodations available as in the United States.

The furnishings account funds essential furnishings at levels consistent with cost/benefit studies and the needs of the Air Force. Much of the funding requested in the furnishings account results from an analysis of the most economical use of funds for the government and avoids higher costs in other accounts such as military allowances and other support appropriations.

(\$ in Thousands)

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):	\$36,427
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:	\$36,427
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None

- 7. Program Increases: Italian Appliance Law, 3,021 unanticipated furniture requirements in PACAF and USAFE.
- 8. Program Decreases: None
- 9. FY 1998 Current Estimate: \$39,448
- 10. Price Growth:
  - a. Inflation \$592b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation rate adjustment \$-760
- 11. Functional Program Transfers: None
- 12. Program Increases:
  One-time transformer buy, inventory
  increase (175 units)
  \$457
- 13. Program Decreases: \$-2,519
  Stabilized investment in Italian appliances,
  PACAF and USAFE unanticipated requirements satisfied
- 14. FY 1999 Budget Request: \$37,218

#### Analysis of Changes in Furnishings

Furnishings costs are trending downward from over \$50 million per year in the late 1980's to \$37.2M in FY 1999. Base closures and realignments from overseas have been the primary cause of these reductions. Also, the Air Force reduced the number of locations with limited Joint Travel Regulation status which alleviated some of the requirement for furnishings support. During realignments in Europe furniture was moved to new locations to support continued operations. This FY 1999 budget request takes into consideration force structure drawdowns and closures and related shifts of furnishings. Even so, this request addresses the needs of newly constructed and leased housing units being added to the CONUS Air Force inventory to compensate for housing deficits. Also, mission requirements and realignments have resulted in build-up of activities at several locations in Europe, to include increases in concurrent family travel at Lakenheath AB England and Aviano AB Italy. With more families at these locations to support, the furnishings requirements have increased. Changes to Italian Law drive purchases of non-US manufactured gas appliances for use at Italian locations.

Miscellaneous. Includes mobile home hookups, leased office and warehouse space supporting family housing, payments to other Federal agencies or foreign governments (i.e. United Kingdom and Australia) to operate Permit Housing units occupied by Air Force personnel, and similar costs.

Perb	omici, and similar coses.	(\$ in Thousands)
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget:	\$5,661
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:	\$5,661
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases: Increased accommodation fees for RAF housing at Lakenheath and increased administrative supposts in USAFE	\$31 port
8.	Program Decreases: Anticipated savings in country-to-country agree in Australia and Japan	-488 ements
9.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:	\$5,204
10.	Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation	\$78 \$-2
11.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
12.	Program Increases: Shared unit fees, inventory increase (175 units	\$43
13.	Program Decreases: Anticipated savings in country-to-country agreement with Australia from currency gain	\$-83
14.	FY 1999 Budget Request:	\$5,240

#### Analysis of Changes in Miscellaneous

Minor adjustments are made to a stable program which covers incidental costs in support of the family housing accounts. The decrease results from costs of units supported in Australia are subject to foreign currency gains or losses which are not covered in the FCF account. These accommodation costs are incurred in accordance with requirements in host country agreements and are budgeted as "must pay" expenses. In addition, costs have increased due to the implementation of the International Cooperative Administrative Support Services (ICASS) Program which is a new system for managing and sharing the administrative support costs of overseas operations of US Foreign Affairs agencies and other US Government agencies that operate as part of the country team at US Embassies.

#### RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

#### EXHIBIT OP-5

<u>Utilities.</u> This program provides for all utilities consumed in government-owned family housing. Electricity, purchased heating, water, sewage and waste systems are included. Military Family Housing facilities consume approximately one-fifth of Air Force facility energy usage; therefore, Military Family Housing residents and management share a significant role in the achievement of Air Force energy reduction goals. Since Military Family Housing occupants are not billed for their energy consumption, conservation motivation is rooted in other than individual financial incentives. The single most effective motivator is command emphasis. Energy projects to install set back thermostats, water heater jacket insulation, insulation in crawl and attic spaces, and thermal doors and windows are also achieving good results toward the attainment of Air Force energy conservation goals.

(\$ in Thousands) 1. FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended): \$154,556 2. Congressional Adjustments: None \$154,556 FY 1998 Appropriated Amount: 4. Supplementals: None 5. Price Growth: None 6. Functional Program Transfers: None 7. Program Increases: Unstable country-to-\$1,955 country agreements 8. Program Decreases: None 9. FY 1998 Current Estimate: \$156,511 10. Price Growth: a. Inflation \$2,348 Foreign Currency Fluctuation Rate Adjustment \$-1,186

February 1998

11. Functional Program Transfer:

None

12. Program Increases:

Inventory increase (175 units)

\$255

13. Program Decreases:

Savings from commander's emphasis on energy \$-5,714 conservation

14. FY 1999 Budget Request:

\$152,214

#### Analysis of Changes in Utilities

The requirement for FY 1999 is based on historical obligation trends which continue to be influenced by weather and energy conservation savings resulting from whole-house improvements and energy conservation projects. In addition, conversion of Military Family Housing units in Germany from base-produced heat to heat purchased from a local plant helped reduce overall utility costs. In general, the continuing trend for utilities is cost growth below normal inflation as a result of on-going programs and initiatives to conserve energy. The consumption usage stream shown in the following table is consistent with the Air Force goals of reducing energy consumption and costs through conversion to natural gas and installation of energy saving materials in housing units.

#### UTILITIES (000)

PROJECTED ENERGY CONSUMPTION	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Electricity (KWH)	1,740	1,687	1,636
Fuel Oil (Bbls)	388	380	372
Natural Gas (KCF)	6,290	6,227	6,164
Coal (MBTUs)	352	348	345
Purchased Steam (MBTUs)	576	564	552

Overall, utility rates are stable. Continued conservation efforts are reducing consumption and costs. The primary reason for cost growth is due to inflation which is offset by continued emphasis on conservation of utilities and investment in energy savings housing materials.

#### RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

#### EXHIBIT OP-5

<u>Maintenance</u>. Provides upkeep of family housing real property through service calls, change of occupancy rehabilitation, routine maintenance, preventive maintenance, interior and exterior painting, and major repairs.

exte.	rior parmerng, and major repairs.	(\$	in 5	Thousan	ds)
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):		\$43	2,282	
2.	Congressional Adjustments:		\$-1	2,700	
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:		\$41	9,582	
4.	Supplementals:			None	
5.	Price Growth:			None	
6.	Functional Program Transfers:			None	
7.	Program Increases:			None	
8.	Program Decreases: Increased "must pay" costs in other accounts have caused a decrease in available funds for maintenance: to Management for privatization studies, to Furnishings to meet requirement of Italian appliance laws, to Leasing to meet increased costs and additional overseas requirement, to Utilities to meet additional costs on unstable country-to-country agreement.	or on et		9,897	
9.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:		\$40	9,685	
10.	Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation			6,145 4,449	
11.	Functional Program Transfer:			None	
12.	Program Increases: Inventory increase (175 units)			\$436	

13. Program Decreases:
Non-emergency maintenance deferred due to budget constraints

\$-23,158

14. FY 1999 Budget Request:

\$388,659

#### Analysis of Changes in Maintenance Program

Previously limited maintenance funding and a high occupant turnover have accelerated deterioration of the Air Force's aging housing inventory. Constrained funding has resulted in a greater reliance on temporary fixes which in the long run only exacerbates the deterioration of our housing units. In addition, the infrastructure which supports the units is now beyond its projected economic life at most of our installations. Several systems have failed and many are near failure.

The family housing assets maintained by the Air Force are valued at over \$16.5 billion in replacement costs. Sound property management must be applied to preserve and protect this major investment to ensure that these facilities can be occupied continuously. Budget constraints have had an adverse impact on the Air Force's program to contain the growth of deferred maintenance.

#### SUMMARY OF BACKLOG OF DEFERRED MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR (DMAR) (\$ in Millions)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Beginning of Year DMAR	928	971	1,086
Revitalization Reduction BRAC IV reduction Per-Year Asset Degradation (Inflation and Agast Deterioration)	-76 -1 70	-73 0 72	-49 0 80
(Inflation and Asset Deterioration) Revised Beginning of Year DMAR	921	970	1,117
Annual Maintenance Requirement	457	526	530
Total Requirement Annual Maintenance Funding	1378 407	1,496 410	1,647 388
End of Year Backlog Backlog Reduction (Growth)	971 (43)	1,086 (115)	1,259 (173)
DMAR per Dwelling Unit (\$000)	8.8	9.9	11.5

Deterioration of the Air Force's aging housing inventory is accelerating. The total maintenance requirement reflected on this chart portrays only those projects which are required to meet and sustain approved standards. This chart reflects the decision to fund maintenance at the highest possible level to arrest DMAR growth. However, with current funding constraints DMAR continues to grow.

In a 20 June 1995 DoD Inspector General Quality of Life Survey, 73% of DoD-wide Installation Commanders expressed concern about Family Housing and its impact on personnel performing the mission on their installations. Family Housing received the highest ranked response at 73%, far outpacing the next highest concern which was 34% for Health Care. Within the Air Force, 91% of the Installation Commanders expressed concern for Family Housing and 82% placed Family Housing in their top three priorities for needing additional funding--above areas such as base facilities, recreation and services, income/cost of living adjustments, and even health care.

Consistent with Congressional concerns, the Air Force is actively pursuing means to reduce the Deferred Maintenance and Repair backlog. The Air Force's goal is to reduce end of year backlog to one year's normal recurring maintenance and repair of our dwellings to ensure availability of quarters which meet Air Force standards. The method we use to measure our effectiveness against these standards is to track the impact of the funded program against Deferred Maintenance and When funding is lower than maintenance requirements, asset deterioration accelerates. This current growth of maintenance costs is above inflation rates and increases the scope of future programmed work. Another impact from underfunded maintenance is an increase in the number of emergency repairs which are disruptive to occupants, costly, and manpower intensive. The backlog of unrepaired systems also generates other work (i.e., delayed roof projects require additional work to fix leaks, patch and paint ceilings, etc.) Current funding levels do not achieve the goal of reducing Deferred Maintenance and Repair.

The Air Force has initiated a whole-house/whole-neighborhood concept to determine total funding required to bring existing facilities up to new construction standards. This concept combines all improvements with required maintenance and repairs into one project, minimizing quarters downtime and disruption to residents for piece-meal work. The dollars in the revitalization program contribute to the reduction in Deferred Maintenance and Repair. However, if whole-house renovations are delayed for too long, emergency projects to fix specific systems (e.g. roof leaks) must be accomplished in the interim, driving up life-cycle costs.

Quality family housing has a great impact on the lives of our members and the readiness of our forces. It is for this reason that we believe the maintenance dollars the Air Force has programmed in this budget will have a payback far greater than that which can be measured in terms of average unit costs. Future budget increases to this account can only improve the quality of life for our airmen and their families.

This request reflects the decision to fund maintenance at a level which partially arrests Deferred Maintenance and Repair growth within funding constraints. Emphasis on timely maintenance and repairs is essential to ensure quarters are available for occupancy. Continually deferring such work increases the rate of deterioration, compounding the additional unfunded requirements in future years.

#### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit Cost	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Improvements Non-Routine
			( <u>\$000</u> )				(\$000 FY94-98)

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language requiring the Services to report any expenditures for major maintenance and repair projected to exceed \$15,000 per unit.

The number of maintenance projects over this threshold have increased significantly over previous years which reflects a growing deterioration of the inventory and growing inflationary pressure on the threshold. This is primarily due to the growing number of units that are waiting for improvement and renovation with investment funding. Many have deteriorated to the point that they must be repaired to continue occupancy. Since over 60 percent of the average investment project includes major maintenance and repair actions, we can mitigate some of these problems through the O&M program. While these projects are shown as line items here, the maintenance budget estimate includes these problems among overall requirements for the entire inventory.

Inflation plays a role in driving repair costs beyond the \$15,000 threshold. Eventually relatively routine repairs will exceed the threshold if no upward adjustment to the threshold is made to account for inflation.

#### CONUS

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year Built	High Unit <u>Cost</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	Total Cost ( <u>\$000</u> )	Improvements Non-Routine
							( <u>\$000 FY94-98</u> )
ALABAMA							
Maxwell	2.0	1934	42	2.624	52.480	740	2.062

Narrative: Repair clay tile roofs on houses and garages. Project includes replacing rotted decking and structural members, installing new clay tiles to meet historic criteria, replacing fascia boards, gutters, window frames and windows.

#### CALIFORNIA

Travis 56 1957 99 1,350 75,600 5,087 0

Narrative: Replace cracked asbestos cement siding with new stucco; replace low-slope roof system with new trusses and shingles; replace doors and windows. Repair finishes, floors, and tile in bathrooms and kitchens. Replace bathroom fixtures, kitchen cabinets, sinks, dishwashers, and disposal units. Replace exterior and interior wiring and electrical components; replace patio slabs; repair carports.

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit Cost (\$000)	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	Total Cost (\$000)	Improvements Non-Routine (\$000 FY94-98)	
<u>Travis</u>	68	1957	49	1,293	85,204	2,797	0	
Narrative: Replace roofs, carport support structures, patio slabs, doors, evaporative coolers, and furnaces; replace exterior electrical wiring and components; replace siding and insulation.								
Travis	30	1957	95	1,293	38,790	2,370	0	
Narrative: Replace roofs, carport support structures, patio slabs, doors, evaporative coolers, and furnaces; replace exterior electrical wiring and components; replace siding and insulation; perform complete interior renovation.								
<u>Vandenberg</u>	172	1959	24	1,064	183,008	3,352	0	
Narrative: Replace corroded and leaking overhead water pipes. Mineral deposits in pipes severely restrict water flow resulting in minimal water pressure at faucets. Pipes leak above ceilings, destroying ceilings. Replace existing two-conductor wiring with three-conductor system that meets electrical codes. Repair existing 50-amp electrical service to meet Air Force standards and handle the increased load of numerous appliances not available in the 1960's.  FLORIDA								
<u>Patrick</u>	60	1957	46	1,046	62,760	2,250	0	
Narrative: Replace ridge vents, soffits, and windows. Repair fascia and deteriorated wood siding, patch and paint exterior stucco walls, repair and paint interior walls and ceilings, repair floors and interior wood trim, replace light fixtures and wiring, renovate bathrooms.  GEORGIA								
Moody	1	1953	29	2,607	2,607		0	
	2 1	1965 1965	25 25	1,665 2,189	3,330 2,189		0 0	
Total	6	1972	25	2,069	4,138 12,264	129	0	
Narrative: Replace kitchen cabinets, windows, door bell system, fire detectors and ceiling fans. Repair bathrooms, replace fixtures and repair finishes.								

water supply and sewer piping. Existing HVAC system is over 15 years old; existing electrical system more than 50 years old. Upgrade electrical system to support modern occupant electrical appliance load.

Narrative: Replace existing HVAC system, electrical wiring, panel boards, outlets,

1,517

6,068

144

43

1942

Robins

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

(NSF)

Proj

(NSF)

Total Cost

(\$000)

1,659

Improvements

Non-Routine

Year High Unit Unit

Cost

Location

NEW MEXICO

Kirtland

No

Units Built

	0111 00	Daire	( 1000	(1101 )	(IVBI )	( <u>\$000</u> )	HOII HOUGHIE
			( <u>\$000</u> )				(\$000 FY94-98)
Robins	3	1942	25	1619	4857	63	0
Narrative: Repand sewer pipedeteriorating	ing. Ex	isting 50	-year-old	electric	al wiring is	brittle and	
ILLINOIS							
Scott	122	1972	29	1,724	210,328	2,904	0
Narrative: Rep deteriorated v existing trim	windows v	vith ener	gy conserv	_		-	-
McConnell	1	1959	106	2,313	2,313	106	17
Narrative: Red						bule, kitche	n, bedroom,
MISSISSIPPI							
<u>Keesler</u>	40	1955	45	898	53,880	1,680	724
Narrative: Replayed board walls and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and conterior and contenio	nd ceilir	ngs, air	conditioni	lng syste	m, doors and	windows. R	epair
NEBRASKA							
Offutt	13	1896	25	1,030	13,390	221	156
Narrative: Repand chimney,					ons, tuckpoi	nt exterior	brick walls
Offutt	10	1896	45	3,320	33,200	380	180
Narrative: Repand chimney,							brick walls
Offutt	61	1952	28	1,309	79,849	1,397	0
Narrative: Rep							

February 1998 Page No. 420

79 1959 25 1,700 134,300

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit Cost	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Improvements Non-Routine
			( <u>\$000</u> )				(\$000 FY94-98)

Narrative: Remove existing roof system, repair structural deterioration, replace roof and underlayment with new sloped asphalt shingle roof.

#### NORTH CAROLINA

Narrative: Replace deteriorated asphalt shingle roof. Remove lead based paint from interior and exterior doors; repaint doors. Refinish doors to meet historic criteria.

#### OHIO

Narrative: Repair windows, siding, roof flashing, gutters, and downspouts. Replace exterior light fixtures, door bell switches, and exterior exhaust vents. Repair sidewalks, curbs, and entry steps. Replace rear service door on garages. Construct new gables and dormers. Repair eaves and construct new patio door overhangs.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

Charleston	5	1959	./0	957	4,785		
	11	1959	70	1,100	12,100		
	8	1959	70	1,085	8,680		
	1	1959	70	1,080	1,080		
Total	25			_	26,645	1,449	68

Narrative: Repair plumbing and electrical systems, replace floor & wall coverings; replace cabinets; replace doors and windows; paint interior walls and ceilings.

Narrative: Repair plumbing and electrical systems; relocate water heaters from attics; remove flat roofs and replace with sloped roofs; replace floor & wall covering; replace kitchen cabinets, paint interior walls and ceilings; replace doors, windows, and siding.

Narrative: Replace deteriorating single-pane windows and blinds with energy conserving windows and blinds. Replace wood siding with low-maintenance vinyl

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Unit

(NSF)

Proj

(NSF)

Total Cost

(\$000)

Improvements

Non-Routine

(\$000 FY94-98)

High Unit

Cost (\$000)

Location

No

Units

Year

Built

siding; replace e	xteri	or doors with	ı ener	gy-conser	ving insulat	ted doors.	
TENNESSEE							
Arnold	28	1964	35	1,424	39,884	812	0
Narrative: Replace covering; renovat			s, win	dows, win	dow frames,	and vinyl floor	
TEXAS							
Brooks	34	1962	37	1,070	36,380	1,043	0
wood doors and sh eliminate the nee	ingle d to ineff	roofs, clear paint wood si icient and co	and ding, ated	repair HV and enca with lead	AC ducts. V psulate lead paint. HV	d paint. Exterior v AC ducts are rusty,	
Brooks	1	1962	19	1,381	1,381	16	0
Narrative: Repair level foundation						or and exterior wal	ls;
VIRGINIA							
Langley	2	1931	27	2,787	5,574	54	0
Narrative: Remove criteria.	lead	l-based paint	and r	epaint un	its, repair	trim to meet histor	ric
WYOMING							
<u>Warren</u>	1	1967	25	1,242	1,242	25	0
kitchen floor and	cabi , ins	nets, replace tall ceiling	bath	room fixt	ures, upgrad	ed Advisor. Replace de light fixtures, rings and paint the	
OVERSEAS							
ALASKA							
Elmendorf	124	1942	22	1,144	14,514	2,232	0
covering. Replac	e ele	ctrical servi	.ce en	trance, p	anel, and o	nts, floor and wall utlets with safety or, alter wall and	

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit <u>Cost</u>	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Improvements Non-Routine
			( <u>\$000</u> )				(\$000 FY94-98)

extend countertops.

#### **GERMANY**

Ramstein 48 1951 110 1,145 54,960 4,937 105

Narrative: Replace kitchen fixtures, sinks, cabinets, and counters; bath fixtures, sinks, and tubs; water, heat, and sewage lines; entrance, exit, fire, and basement doors. Replace 2-wire electrical system with 3-wire system. Replace electrical fixtures, outlets, switches, panel boxes, doorbells, and intercom systems. Repair floor and wall tiles. Plaster and paint surfaces. Repair common areas and correct fire deficiencies. Replace deteriorated balconies.

Ramstein 42 1956 154 1,060 44,520 5,113 45

Narrative: Replace kitchen fixtures, sinks, cabinets, and counters; bath fixtures, sinks, and tubs; water, heat, and sewage lines; entrance, exit, fire, and basement doors. Replace 2-wire electrical system with 3-wire system. Replace electrical fixtures, outlets, switches, panel boxes, doorbells, and intercom systems. Repair floor and wall tiles. Plaster and paint surfaces. Repair common areas and correct fire deficiencies. Replace deteriorated balconies.

Ramstein 16 1953 147 1,337 21,392 2,320 154

Narrative: Replace kitchen fixtures, sinks, cabinets, and counters; bath fixtures, sinks, and tubs; water, heat, and sewage lines; entrance, exit, fire, and basement doors. Replace 2-wire electrical system with 3-wire system. Replace electrical fixtures, outlets, switches, panel boxes, doorbells, and intercom systems. Repair floor and wall tiles. Plaster and paint surfaces. Repair common areas and correct fire deficiencies. Replace deteriorated balconies.

Spangdahlem 18 1955 143 1,220 21,960 2,232 0

Narrative: Repair ceilings, windows, and doors as required in kitchens, halls, stairwells, baths, bedrooms, living rooms, laundries, and balconies. Repair electrical conduit, HVAC, water, lighting, sewage, and lightning protection. Repair wood floors and baseboards. Replace floors in baths, kitchens, laundry and halls. Repair building entrances, gutters, mailboxes, doorbells, storage areas, intercom systems, and landscaping. Provide environmental abatement, energy and water meters, water filters, smoke detection, fire-reporting systems, ground fault interrupters, and television and telephone connections where appropriate. Repair roof.

Spangdahlem 18 1955 143 1,220 21,960 2,232 0

Narrative: Repair ceilings, windows, and doors as required in kitchens, halls, stairwells, baths, bedrooms, living rooms, laundries, and balconies. Repair electrical conduit, HVAC, water, lighting, sewage, and lightning protection. Repair wood floors and baseboards. Replace floors in baths, kitchens, laundry and halls. Repair building entrances, gutters, mailboxes, doorbells, storage areas, intercom systems, and landscaping. Provide environmental abatement, energy and water meters, water filters, smoke detection, fire-reporting systems, ground fault inter-

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	No Units	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit <u>Cost</u>	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Improvements Non-Routine			
			( <u>\$000</u> )				(\$000 FY94-98)			
rupters, and t	elevisi	on and t	celephone co	nnection	s where app	propriate. Rep	pair roof.			
Spangdahlem	18	1955	143	1,220	21,960	2,232	0			
Narrative: Repair ceilings, windows, and doors as required in kitchens, halls, stairwells, baths, bedrooms, living rooms, laundries, and balconies. Repair electrical conduit, HVAC, water, lighting, sewage, and lightning protection. Repair wood floors and baseboards. Replace floors in baths, kitchens, laundry and halls. Repair building entrances, gutters, mailboxes, doorbells, storage areas, intercom systems, and landscaping. Provide environmental abatement, energy and water meters, water filters, smoke detection, fire-reporting systems, ground fault interrupters, and television and telephone connections where appropriate. Repair roof.										
Spangdahlem	18	1955	143	1,220	21,960	2,232	0			
Narrative: Repair ceilings, windows, and doors as required in kitchens, halls, stairwells, baths, bedrooms, living rooms, laundries, and balconies. Repair electrical conduit, HVAC, water, lighting, sewage, and lightning protection. Repair wood floors and baseboards. Replace floors in baths, kitchens, laundry and halls. Repair building entrances, gutters, mailboxes, doorbells, storage areas, intercom systems, and landscaping. Provide environmental abatement, energy and water meters, water filters, smoke detection, fire-reporting systems, ground fault interrupters, and television and telephone connections where appropriate. Repair roof.										
GUAM										
Andersen	76	1959	34	1,108	84,208	2,052	0			
Narrative: Rep Provide screen							dels.			
Andersen Narrative: Rep appliances, pl										
Andersen Narrative: Rep plumbing, mech							0 , fixtures,			
Andersen Narrative: Rep fixtures, plum										
JAPAN										
<u>Kadena</u>	52 44 135	1985 1985 1983	41 41 41	916 916 1,152	47,632 40,304 155,520		0 0 0			
<u>Total</u>	231	1703	11	-,	243,456	8,547	v			

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit Cost (\$000)	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Improvements Non-Routine (\$000 FY94-98)
Narrative: Rep hardware with connections to	reverse	-cycle h	neat pumps.				
<u>Kadena</u>	132	1976	29	1,000	132,000	3,432	0
Narrative: Phacurrent codes fixtures, and	. Repla	ce all	interior ele	ectrical	wiring, sw	itches, outlet	
<u>Kadena</u>	24	1965	60	1,616	38,784	1,392	0
Narrative: Phacurrent codes fixtures, and exterior doors bedroom closet	. Repla circuit with n	ce all i breaker	interior ele s with thre	ectrical ee-conduc	wiring, sw tor system	itches, outlet s. Replace w	ts, light indows and
<u>Kadena</u>	76	1982	28	1,149	87,324	1,672	0
Narrative: Pha countertops, i							cabinets,
<u>Kadena</u>	76	1982	27	1,149	87,324	1,596	0
Narrative: Pha countertops, i							cabinets,
<u>Kadena</u>	76	1982	27	1,149	87,324	1,596	0
Narrative: Pha countertops, i							cabinets,
<u>Misawa</u>	10	1987	48	1,810	18,100	380	0
Narrative: Rer					tructural	deterioration	, replace
UNITED KINGDOM	<u>N</u>						
Lakenheath	30	1960	73	1,183	35,490	1,740	100
Narrative: Rep	pair str	uctural	deteriorati	on and d	amage; rep	air interior	finishes in

February 1998 Page No. 425

systems. Replace 110 volt electrical system, letter boxes, windows, blinds, doors,

kitchens, bedrooms, bathrooms, living rooms, hallways, and foyers. Replace electrical distribution, mechanical, ventilation, heating, water, and sewage

and front stoops.

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	No	Year	High Unit	Unit	Proj	Total Cost	Improvements
	Units	Built	Cost	(NSF)	(NSF)	(\$000)	Non-Routine
			(\$000)				(\$000 FY94-98)

Molesworth 31 1958 67 1,293 40,083 1,665 100 Narrative: Repair kitchens, bathrooms, bedrooms, living rooms, balconies, hallways and foyers. Replace electrical distribution, mechanical, ventilation, heating, water, and sewage systems. Replace 110 volt electrical system, letter boxes, windows, blinds, doors, and front stoops.

The following projects were submitted or notified as above-threshold for 1997:

#### Offutt AFB, Nebraska

Narrative: Emergency structural repairs to five non-GOQ quarters to correct crumbling foundations and leaky basements forced the units above-threshold to approximately \$34,000 per unit.

Eielson AFB, Alaska
Kadena AB, Japan
Misawa AB, Japan
Langley AFB, Virginia
Seymour-Johnson AFB, North Carolina
Fairchild AFB, Washington

Narrative: The Air Force submitted a consolidated notification for units on these bases because of restorations due to damage from fire and steam leaks. The total cost of all projects was \$409,000.

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$25,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	Qtrs	Size	Year	0per	Util	Maint	Total	Unit	Improvements
	<u>ID</u>	NSF	Built	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>M&amp;O</u>	Maint	Non-Routine
			Bullt	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	<u>Limit</u>	FY1994-1998
				(1111)	(1111)	(11111)	\ <u>1</u> ,	(\$000)	( <u>\$000)</u>

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language requiring the Services to report any expenditures from the maintenance account for General or Flag Officer housing projected to exceed \$25,000 per unit.

The number of maintenance projects over this threshold have increased significantly over previous years which reflects a growing deterioration of the inventory and growing inflationary pressure on the threshold. This is primarily due to the growing number of units that are waiting for improvement and renovation with investment funding. Since over 60 percent of the average investment project includes major maintenance and repair actions, we can mitigate some of these problems through the O&M program. While these projects are shown as line items, the maintenance budget estimate includes these problems among overall requirements for the entire inventory.

As with the non-GOQ units exceeding the \$15,000 threshold, inflation plays a role in driving repair costs beyond the \$25,000 threshold. Eventually relatively routine repairs will exceed the specified thresholds if no upward adjustment to the threshold is made to account for inflation.

Each project described below includes all maintenance and repair, alterations, asbestos and lead based paint management/abatement and operations costs anticipated for FY99 to present a complete picture of the spending projected for the quarters.

#### CONUS

Location	Qtrs <u>ID</u>	Size <u>NSF</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	Oper <u>Total</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Util <u>Total</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Maint <u>Total</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Total <u>O&amp;M</u> (\$000 )	Unit Maint Limit (\$000)	Improvements Non-Routine <u>FY1994-1998</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )
COLORADO									
Peterson	216 Otis Circle	2,887	1980	2	4	55	61	55	0
Narrative: Re	eplace le	aky win	idows wi	th energ	gy consei	cving wi	ndows, r	eplace	roof.
Peterson	218, 220 Otis Circle	2,084	1965	2	6	70	78	35	0
Narrative: Reexisting leak	_			_			conditi	oning,	replace
<u>Peterson</u>	465–487 Selfridge Circle	2,090	1967	8	24	280	312	39	0
Narrative: Repair heat and ventilation system, install air conditioning, replace existing leaky windows with energy conserving windows. (8 units)									
USAF Academy	6776	5,328	1935	1	2	321	324	321	29

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$25,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	Qtrs	Size	Year	Oper	Util	Maint	Total	Unit	Improvements
	<u>ID</u>	NSF	Built	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>M&amp;O</u>	Maint	Non-Routine
			Dulle	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	<u>Limit</u>	FY1994-1998
				\ <u>11-1-1</u> /	(1111)	\ <u>1</u> /	\ <u>1</u> ,	(\$000)	( <u>\$000)</u>

Narrative: Repair Carlton House, home of the Air Force Academy Superintendent. House is on the National Register of Historic Places and must be repaired in a manner which preserves its historic character. Project includes removing existing failing tile roof and underlayment, repairing structure as needed, reapplying roof materials; sandblasting existing paint and stucco wall coating, reapplying stucco and paint; restoring upstairs windows, refinishing verandah woodwork.

#### **GEORGIA**

<u>Moody</u> 253 2,607 1953 5 2 79 86 79 0

Narrative: Replace roof to include shingles, underlayment, decking and deteriorated structural members; install insulation to promote energy savings; repair main entry to meet Air Force standards, replace windows with energy efficient models, replace deteriorated doors, smoke detectors and ceiling fans as needed; replace wallcovering in the bathrooms, living and dining rooms; repair driveway and sidewalks.

<u>Robins</u> 405 2,080 1942 10 3 50 63 50 0

Narrative: Replace existing heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC), existing electrical system (wiring, panel boards, outlets) and plumbing (waste and water lines). HVAC system is over 15 years old and the electrical system is over 50 years old. Wire insulation is brittle and deteriorating. Plumbing is clogged with deposits and sediment.

#### MISSISSIPPI

Keesler 7801 2,277 1962 1 2 70 73 70 0

Narrative: Replace badly deteriorated, leaking roof to include removing existing roof structure, replacing deteriorated structural members, decking, underlayment, and shingles, and rebuilding roof structure over the rear portion of the house, changing slope and orientation to correct drainage problems.

#### NORTH CAROLINA

Pope 218 3,192 1933 5 3 69 77 69 61

Narrative: Replace deteriorated asphalt shingle roof on this historic unit with clay tile roof to return the home to its historic appearance. Remove lead based paint from interior and exterior doors; repaint doors. Refinish doors to meet historic criteria.

#### TEXAS

Randolph 300 4,442 1931 1 4 105 110 105 0

Narrative: Replace original 65 year-old clay tile roof to include removing existing roof structure, replacing deteriorated structural members, decking, underlayment, and tiles; repair portions of existing built-up roof area, gutters, and downspouts.

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$25,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	Qtrs <u>ID</u>	Size <u>NSF</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	Oper <u>Total</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Util <u>Total</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Maint <u>Total</u> (\$000)	Total <u>O&amp;M</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Unit Maint <u>Limit</u> ( <u>\$000</u> )	Improvements Non-Routine  FY1994-1998 (\$000)
VIRGINIA									
Langley	414 415 419 429A 429B	3,021 3,021 3,968 2,787 2,787	1934 1934 1934 1931 1931	21 21 21 21 21	4 4 4 -	\$180 \$180 \$180 \$180 \$180 \$180	\$205 \$205 \$205 \$205 \$205 \$205	\$180 \$180 \$180 \$180 \$180	0 0 0

Narrative: Replace slate roofs; repair damaged wood exteriors; repoint brick veneer; repair and seal walls to protect against infiltration on five units located in a harsh marine environment. Replace as required single-pane wood frame windows that were installed at construction with energy efficient double-pane windows meeting the National Historic Preservation Act and environmental requirements. Units are eligible for Historic Register listing.

#### WASHINGTON DC

Bolling 75-89 1,794 1975 150 30 600 780 43 0

Narrative: Replace deteriorated, leaking windows with energy-conserving windows. Repair water damaged interior walls and surfaces, insulation, wiring, and trim. Replace facade siding.

#### WYOMING

Warren 92 5,328 1910 8 4 68 80 68 0

Narrative: Replace roof tiles, felt and wood decking on historic unit. Repair/replace deteriorating antique wooden entrance columns. Repair heating system and replace boiler. Paint exterior wood trim and porch.

#### **OVERSEAS**

#### IIAWAH

Hickam 517 3,241 1939 4 6 70 80 70 49

Narrative: Replace deteriorated original single-pane windows with new energy efficient, sound suppressing wood windows in a historic quarters, taking care to match architectural features required by preservation regulations. Abate lead paint on original window frames. Patch and paint wall surfaces as necessary.

#### UNITED KINGDOM

Mildenhall 257 2,789 1933 6 4 87 97 87 26

Narrative: Provide major maintenance and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. House has received piecemeal projects to repair kitchens and bathrooms, but has had no major repairs since it was built. Heating system is severely deteriorated and requires repairs. Project provides general interior and exterior maintenance as well as repairing electrical and plumbing systems. Project includes

### FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$25,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	Qtrs <u>ID</u>	Size <u>NSF</u>	Year	Oper Total	Util Total	Maint Total	Total O&M	Unit Maint	Improvements Non-Routine
			<u>Built</u>	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	<u>Limit</u> (\$000)	<u>FY1994-1998</u> ( <u>\$000)</u>

repairs to kitchen and master bathroom floors and plumbing, repairs to two small bathrooms, interior and exterior wall surfaces, patio, and entry areas.

The following projects were submitted or notified as above-threshold for 1997:

#### Travis AFB, California

Narrative: Maintenance and repair on one GOQ totaled \$34,095 due to lack of program oversight. AMC administered training and disciplinary action to prevent recurrence.

#### Peterson AFB, Colorado

Narrative: Make-ready costs to assure handicapped access for one GOQ forced the unit above-threshold to \$25,985.

#### MacDill AFB, Florida

Narrative: Change of occupancy work on a deteriorated historic GOQ forced the unit above-threshold to \$70,045. Project included in Air Force's out-of-cycle submission.

#### Offutt AFB, Nebraska

Narrative: Repairs due to high radon levels forced a GOQ above-threshold to \$27,100. Project included in Air Force's out-of-cycle submission.

### RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES Exhibit OP-5

Reimbursement. Includes collections received from rental of Air Force family housing to foreign nationals, civilians and others. Included in the estimate is the anticipated reimbursements due to members who separate voluntarily that are authorized to live in government quarters for up to six months after separation.

(\$ in Thousands)

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):	\$9,198
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:	\$9,198
4.	Proposed Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Decreases:	None
8.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:	\$9,198
9.	Price Growth: Inflation	\$138
10.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
11.	Program Increases: Inventory increase (175 units);	\$64
12.	Program Decreases:	None
13.	FY 1999 Budget Request:	\$9,400

#### Analysis of Changes in Reimbursements

The FY 1999 Budget Request differs from the FY 1998 Appropriated Amount due to a small increase in inventory and higher trailer park fees.

#### LEASING

Program (\$ in Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$118,072
FY 1998 Program \$118,171

#### Purpose and Scope

Provides leasing of privately-owned housing for assignment as government quarters at both domestic and foreign locations when the local economy and on-base housing cannot satisfy requirements. The leasing program is authorized by 10 U.S.C. 2828 and provides for payment of rent, operations, and maintenance costs of privately-owned quarters for assignment as government quarters to military families. This program also includes funds needed to pay for services such as utilities and refuse collection when these services are not part of the contract agreement.

The Air Force continues to rely on the private sector to meet the majority of housing needs. Where the private sector rental markets and on-base housing cannot meet requirements and cost effective alternatives do not exist, short and long-term leases are used. The Air Force must use the leasing program in high cost areas and overseas to obtain adequate housing to meet critical needs.

#### Program Summary - Highlights

Authorization is requested for appropriation of \$118,071,000 to fund leases and related expenses in FY 1999. FY 1999 request for family housing leasing points is summarized as follows:

- (1) 9,201 Foreign lease points
- (2) 5,800 Section 801 lease points
- (3) 3,333 Domestic lease points

#### Foreign Leasing

Leasing in foreign countries is controlled by Congress. First by the number of lease points authorized, then by the review and approval of contract proposals, and finally by the funds appropriated. As overseas bases close, foreign leases are terminated as soon as economically possible. Air Force strategy during the drawdown in overseas areas is to maximize the use of government-controlled assets, thereby providing more affordable housing for our personnel and avoiding expensive off-base housing entitlements. The Air Force has been able to retain some housing areas from closing bases for use by families at nearby bases that are remaining. In fact, the percentage of

personnel able to reside in government-controlled quarters has increased. As the Air Force has drawn down in Europe, the order of the release of housing assets has been, where possible, (1) private rentals (which are usually the most expensive), (2) Government Rental Housing Program and build-to-lease units, and (3) government owned. The exact mix of types of housing has depended upon available assets in each locality. Where possible the Air Force has made renewals of leases on a year-to-year basis to reduce costs by limiting termination liability. Full authorization is required to allow for sufficient flexibility during mission realignments to maximize cost effective

#### Section 801 Leasing

solutions.

This program is helping to reduce our CONUS family housing deficit at bases where Air Force families are seriously affected by housing shortages and high housing costs.

In FY 1984, Congress authorized the testing of a new leasing program for U.S. installations in P.L. 98-115, Section 801. Subsequently, nine housing communities were constructed:

Eielson AFB, AK, 300 units and 366 units
Hanscom AFB, MA, 163 units
Goodfellow AFB, TX, 200 units
March AFB, CA, 200 units (base closed in FY 1996)
Summerfield Housing, MD 1242 units (828 Air Force funded,
414 Navy funded)
Travis AFB, CA 300 units
Ellsworth AFB, SD, 200 units and 828 units
Hurlburt AFB, FL, 300 units
Cannon AFB, NM, 350 units

#### Domestic Leasing

Domestic leasing provides temporary housing for Air Force families pending availability of permanent housing. For example, Domestic leasing near Shaw AFB and Moody AFB provided interim relief for military families after a hurricane destroyed Homestead AFB. Missions moved temporarily and families were in need of shelter. Also, affordable housing in high cost locations for recruiters is giving vital support. Congress has authorized leasing of domestic units (10 U.S.C. 2828) on a temporary basis to satisfy critical requirements until a permanent solution can be found or if more economical than construction.

#### RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

#### EXHIBIT OP-5

#### Leasing

FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):	\$116,716
Congressional Adjustments:	None
FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:	\$116,716
Supplementals:	None
Price Growth:	None
Functional Program Transfers:	None
Program Increases: Extended termination date of March AFB lease; increased requirements for Singapore, Eielson, Aviano, ROTC/Recruiters, Los Angeles, and Summerfield leases	\$1,455
Program Decreases:	None
FY 1998 Current Estimate:	\$118,171
Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation Rate Adjustment	\$1,773 \$-2,895
Functional Program Transfer:	None
Program Increases: Aviano/Lakenheath(810 units)	\$1,023
Program Decreases:	None
FY 1999 Budget Request:	\$118,071
	Congressional Adjustments:  FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:  Supplementals:  Price Growth:  Functional Program Transfers:  Program Increases: Extended termination date of March AFB lease; increased requirements for Singapore, Eielson, Aviano, ROTC/Recruiters, Los Angeles, and Summerfield leases  Program Decreases:  FY 1998 Current Estimate:  Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation Rate Adjustment  Functional Program Transfer:  Program Increases: Aviano/Lakenheath(810 units)  Program Decreases:

#### Analysis of Change in Leasing

The attached leasing charts reflect changes to the program by locations and type of lease. These requirements are a direct result of changes to mission beddowns and other housing needs.

## ANALYSIS OF LEASED UNITS (Other than Section 801) FY 1999

LOCATION	Li iaaa									
WINTS   MONTHS   (\$000)   WUNTS   MONTHS   (\$000)   WUNTS   MONTHS   (\$000)			FY 97			FY 98	ı		FY 99	1
DOMESTIC LEASES   Los Angeles, CA   S469   35   420   \$469   48   \$469   48   \$54   48   \$54   48   \$54   48   \$54   48   \$54   48   \$554   48   \$554   48   \$554   48   \$554   48   \$554   48   \$555   40   \$268   20   240   240	LOCATION									
Los Angeles, CA (Det 4)   4		# UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)	# UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)	# UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)
Los Angeles, CA (Det 4)         4         48         \$54         4         48         \$54         4         48         \$54         4         48         \$54         4         48         \$56         Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS)         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         \$279         \$34         \$84         \$36         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$7         84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84         \$84	DOMESTIC LEASES									
Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS)         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         20         240         \$268         520         240         \$486         \$536         40         480         \$536         40         \$44         \$84         \$83         7         84         \$84	Los Angeles, CA	35	420	\$469	35	420	\$469	35	420	\$469
Los Angeles, CA (DFAS)         0         0         \$0         \$0         40         480         \$536         40         480         \$536           Pinedale, WY         7         84         \$81         7         84         \$83         7         84         \$84           Shaw AFE, SC         5         60         \$60         \$7         84         \$84         7         84         \$84           Scerulter/RO.T.C.         153         1.836         \$1,744         183         2.084         \$2,203         216         2.592         \$2,770           Unassigned         3.104         0         \$0         3.033         3.440         \$3.697         3.333         3.948         \$4.265           COREIGN.LEASES         Aman, Jordan         3         36         \$59         3         36         \$60         3         36         \$47         3         36         \$40           Asimotich, Kenya         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         4         1         12         \$25         4         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$2	Los Angeles, CA (Det 4)	4	48	\$54	4	48	\$54	4	48	\$54
Pinedale, WY	Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS)	20	240	\$268	20	240	\$268	20	240	\$268
Pinedale, WY         7         84         \$81         7         84         \$83         7         84         \$84           Yakima, WA         5         60         \$60         \$60         7         84         \$84         7         84         \$84           Shaw AFB, SC         5         40         \$44         0         0         50         0         0         \$0	Los Angeles, CA (DFAS)	0	0	\$0	40	480	\$536	40	480	\$536
Shaw AFB, SC         5         40         \$44         0         0         50         0         0         \$0           Recruiter/R.O.T.C.         153         1,836         \$1,744         183         2,084         \$2,203         216         2,592         \$2,770           Unassigned         3,104         0         \$0         3,037         0         \$0         3,004         0         \$0           TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES         3,333         2,728         \$2,719         3,333         3,440         \$3,697         3,333         3,948         \$4,265           FOREIGN LEASES         3         36         \$559         3         36         \$60         3         36         \$60           Cairo, Egypt         3         36         \$46         3         36         \$67         3         36         \$47         3         36         \$47           Nairobi, Kenya         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$22         1         12         \$22           Asmara, Eritea         1         12         \$23         1         12         \$24         1         12         \$24           Bangkok, Thailand         7         8	Pinedale, WY	7	84	\$81	7	84	\$83	7	84	\$84
Recruiter/R.O.T.C.	Yakima, WA	5	60	\$60	7	84	\$84	7	84	\$84
Unassigned	Shaw AFB, SC	5	40	\$44	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0
TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES         3,333         2,728         \$2,719         3,333         3,440         \$3,697         3,333         3,948         \$4,265           FOREIGN LEASES         Aman, Jordan         3         36         \$59         3         36         \$60         3         36         \$60           Cairo, Egypt         3         36         \$46         3         36         \$47         3         36         \$47           Nairobi, Kenya         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25           Asmara, Eritea         1         12         \$23         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25           Bangkok, Thailand         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152           Classified Location         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$1	Recruiter/R.O.T.C.	153	1,836	\$1,744	183	2,084	\$2,203	216	2,592	\$2,770
Aman, Jordan   3   36   \$59   3   36   \$60   3   36   \$60	Unassigned	3,104	0	\$0	3,037	0	\$0	3,004	0	\$0
Aman, Jordan         3         36         \$56         3         36         \$60           Cairo, Egypt         3         36         \$46         3         36         \$47         3         36         \$47           Nairobi, Kenya         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         4         11         12         \$25         4         11         12         \$25         4         1         12         \$25         4         1         12         \$25         4         11         12         \$25         4         11         12         \$25         3         \$15         \$15         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152         2537         3312         \$2537         3312 <t< td=""><td>TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES</td><td>3,333</td><td>2,728</td><td>\$2,719</td><td>3,333</td><td>3,440</td><td>\$3,697</td><td>3,333</td><td>3,948</td><td>\$4,265</td></t<>	TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES	3,333	2,728	\$2,719	3,333	3,440	\$3,697	3,333	3,948	\$4,265
Cairo, Egypt         3         36         \$46         3         36         \$47         3         36         \$47           Nairobi, Kenya         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$25           Asmara, Eritea         1         12         \$25         1         12         \$24         1         12         \$25           Bangkok, Thailand         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152         7         84         \$152           Classified Location         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110           Osan, Korea         276         3,312         \$4,080         276         3,312         \$3,940         276         3,312         \$2,537           Sembawang, Singapore         117         1,440         \$4,890         117         1,440         \$1,269         120         1,440         \$4,476           Alconbury, UK         250         3,000         \$5,651         975         \$11,700         \$11,240         915         \$10,385           Bentwaters, UK         293         3,516         \$4,115         293	FOREIGN LEASES									
Nairobi, Kenya 1 1 12 \$25 1 1 12 \$25 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Erit	Aman, Jordan	3	36	\$59	3	36	\$60	3	36	\$60
Nairobi, Kenya 1 1 12 \$25 1 1 12 \$25 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Cairo, Egypt	3	36	\$46	3	36	\$47	3	36	\$47
Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 8angkok, Thailand 7 84 \$152 8 \$152		1	12		1	12	\$25	1	12	\$25
Classified Location Osan, Korea         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3         36         \$110         3312         \$2,537           Sembawang, Singapore         117         1,404         \$4,890         117         1,404         \$4,982         120         1,440         \$4,476           Alconbury, UK         250         3,000         \$2,741         120         1,440         \$1,269         120         1,440         \$1,278           Ankara, Turkey         32         384         \$426         32         384         \$436         32         384         \$441           Aviano, Italy         500         6,000         \$5,651         975         11,700         \$11,240         915         10,980         \$10,385           Bentwaters, UK         293         3,516         \$4,115         293         3,516         \$4,175         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$41,75         \$4	Asmara, Eritea	1	12	\$23	1	12	\$24	1	12	\$24
Osan, Korea         276         3,312         \$4,080         276         3,312         \$3,940         276         3,312         \$2,537           Sembawang, Singapore         117         1,404         \$4,890         117         1,404         \$4,982         120         1,440         \$4,476           Alconbury, UK         250         3,000         \$2,741         120         1,440         \$1,269         120         1,440         \$1,278           Ankara, Turkey         32         384         \$426         32         384         \$441           Aviano, Italy         500         6,000         \$5,651         975         11,700         \$11,240         915         10,980         \$10,385           Bentwaters, UK         293         3,516         \$4,115         293         3,516         \$4,138         293         3,516         \$4,175           Comiso, Italy         460         5,520         \$4,796         0         0         \$0         0         0         0         0         \$0           Izmir, Turkey         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8	Bangkok, Thailand	7	84	\$152	7	84	\$152	7	84	\$152
Sembawang, Singapore         117         1,404         \$4,890         117         1,404         \$4,982         120         1,440         \$4,476           Alconbury, UK         250         3,000         \$2,741         120         1,440         \$1,269         120         1,440         \$1,278           Ankara, Turkey         32         384         \$426         32         384         \$436         32         384         \$441           Aviano, Italy         500         6,000         \$5,651         975         \$11,700         \$11,240         915         \$10,980         \$10,385           Bentwaters, UK         293         3,516         \$4,115         293         3,516         \$4,138         293         3,516         \$4,175           Comiso, Italy         460         5,520         \$4,796         0         0         \$0         0         0         0         \$0           Geilenkirchen, Germany         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$22         1         1         12         \$20           Incirlik, Turkey         67         804         \$1,158         25         297         \$435         0         0         \$0           Lak	Classified Location	3	36	\$110	3	36	\$110	3	36	\$110
Alconbury, UK	Osan, Korea	276	3,312	\$4,080	276	3,312	\$3,940	276	3,312	\$2,537
Alconbury, UK	Sembawang, Singapore	117	1,404	\$4,890	117	1,404	\$4,982	120	1,440	\$4,476
Ankara, Turkey         32         384         \$426         32         384         \$436         32         384         \$441           Aviano, Italy         500         6,000         \$5,651         975         11,700         \$11,240         915         10,980         \$10,385           Bentwaters, UK         293         3,516         \$4,115         293         3,516         \$4,118         293         3,516         \$4,175           Comiso, Italy         460         5,520         \$4,796         0         0         \$0         0         0         0         \$0           Geilenkirchen, Germany         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$20         Incirlik, Turkey         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96 <t< td=""><td></td><td>250</td><td>3,000</td><td>\$2,741</td><td>120</td><td>1,440</td><td>\$1,269</td><td>120</td><td>1,440</td><td>\$1,278</td></t<>		250	3,000	\$2,741	120	1,440	\$1,269	120	1,440	\$1,278
Bentwaters, UK         293         3,516         \$4,115         293         3,516         \$4,138         293         3,516         \$4,175           Comiso, Italy         460         5,520         \$4,796         0         0         \$0         0         0         \$0           Geilenkirchen, Germany         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$20           Incirlik, Turkey         67         804         \$1,158         25         297         \$435         0         0         \$0           Izmir, Turkey         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233	-	32	384	\$426	32	384	\$436	32	384	\$441
Comiso, Italy         460         5,520         \$4,796         0         0         \$0         0         \$0           Geilenkirchen, Germany         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$20           Incirlik, Turkey         67         804         \$1,158         25         297         \$435         0         0         \$0           Izmir, Turkey         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233           Kalkar, Germany         27         324         \$619         27         324         \$630         26         312         \$578           Lakenheath, UK         1,030         12,360         \$11,655         1,367         16,404         \$16,020         1,567         18,804         \$18,497           Stavanger, Norway         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99           Paris, France         9         108         \$348         9         108         \$354         9         108         \$347           Ramstein, Germany         105         1,260         \$2,076         36         432         \$750         36	Aviano, Italy	500	6,000	\$5,651	975	11,700	\$11,240	915	10,980	\$10,385
Comiso, Italy         460         5,520         \$4,796         0         0         \$0         0         \$0           Geilenkirchen, Germany         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$20           Incirlik, Turkey         67         804         \$1,158         25         297         \$435         0         0         \$0           Izmir, Turkey         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233           Kalkar, Germany         27         324         \$619         27         324         \$630         26         312         \$578           Lakenheath, UK         1,030         12,360         \$11,655         1,367         16,404         \$16,020         1,567         18,804         \$18,497           Stavanger, Norway         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99           Paris, France         9         108         \$348         9         108         \$354         9         108         \$347           Ramstein, Germany         105         1,260         \$2,076         36         432         \$750         36	- I	293	3,516	\$4,115	293	3,516	\$4,138	293	3,516	
Geilenkirchen, Germany         1         12         \$21         1         12         \$20           Incirlik, Turkey         67         804         \$1,158         25         297         \$435         0         0         \$0           Izmir, Turkey         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233         8         96         \$233           Kalkar, Germany         27         324         \$619         27         324         \$630         26         312         \$578           Lakenheath, UK         1,030         12,360         \$11,655         1,367         16,404         \$16,020         1,567         18,804         \$18,497           Stavanger, Norway         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$95         108         \$342         \$750         36         432         \$720         \$3434         \$720	ll '				0			0		
Incirlik, Turkey	Geilenkirchen, Germany	1	12		1	12	\$21	1	12	\$20
Izmir, Turkey	1	67	804		25	297		0	0	
Kalkar, Germany         27         324         \$619         27         324         \$630         26         312         \$578           Lakenheath, UK         1,030         12,360         \$11,655         1,367         16,404         \$16,020         1,567         18,804         \$18,497           Stavanger, Norway         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$95           Paris, France         9         108         \$348         9         108         \$354         9         108         \$347           Ramstein, Germany         105         1,260         \$2,076         36         432         \$750         36         432         \$720           San Vito, Italy         150         1,800         \$2,544         150         1,800         \$2,570         150         1,800         \$2,503           Spangdahlem, Germany         500         6,000         \$7,346         500         6,000         \$7,578         500         6,000         \$7,268           Upper Heyford, UK         50 <td>II - I</td> <td>8</td> <td>96</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>96</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>96</td> <td></td>	II - I	8	96			96		8	96	
Lakenheath, UK         1,030         12,360         \$11,655         1,367         16,404         \$16,020         1,567         18,804         \$18,497           Stavanger, Norway         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$99         1         12         \$95           Paris, France         9         108         \$348         9         108         \$354         9         108         \$347           Ramstein, Germany         105         1,260         \$2,076         36         432         \$750         36         432         \$720           San Vito, Italy         150         1,800         \$2,544         150         1,800         \$2,570         150         1,800         \$2,503           Spangdahlem, Germany         500         6,000         \$7,346         500         6,000         \$7,578         500         6,000         \$7,268           Vienna, Austria         0         0         \$0         \$1         12         \$65         1         12         \$65           Upper Heyford, UK         50         600         \$895         50         600         \$906         50         600         \$90		27	324		27	324		26	312	
Paris, France         9         108         \$348         9         108         \$354         9         108         \$347           Ramstein, Germany         105         1,260         \$2,076         36         432         \$750         36         432         \$720           San Vito, Italy         150         1,800         \$2,544         150         1,800         \$2,570         150         1,800         \$2,503           Spangdahlem, Germany         500         6,000         \$7,346         500         6,000         \$7,578         500         6,000         \$7,268           Vienna, Austria         0         0         \$0         1         12         \$65         1         12         \$65           Upper Heyford, UK         50         600         \$895         50         600         \$906         50         600         \$909           Ascension Island         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18           Copenhagen, Denmark         4         48         \$105         4         48         \$106         4         48         \$103           Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         <	Lakenheath, UK	1,030	12,360	\$11,655	1,367	16,404	\$16,020	1,567	18,804	\$18,497
Paris, France         9         108         \$348         9         108         \$354         9         108         \$347           Ramstein, Germany         105         1,260         \$2,076         36         432         \$750         36         432         \$720           San Vito, Italy         150         1,800         \$2,544         150         1,800         \$2,570         150         1,800         \$2,503           Spangdahlem, Germany         500         6,000         \$7,346         500         6,000         \$7,578         500         6,000         \$7,268           Vienna, Austria         0         0         \$0         1         12         \$65         1         12         \$65           Upper Heyford, UK         50         600         \$895         50         600         \$906         50         600         \$909           Ascension Island         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18           Copenhagen, Denmark         4         48         \$105         4         48         \$106         4         48         \$103           Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         <	Stavanger, Norway	1	12	\$99	1	12	\$99	1	12	\$95
San Vito, Italy         150         1,800         \$2,544         150         1,800         \$2,570         150         1,800         \$2,503           Spangdahlem, Germany         500         6,000         \$7,346         500         6,000         \$7,578         500         6,000         \$7,268           Vienna, Austria         0         0         \$0         1         12         \$65         1         12         \$65           Upper Heyford, UK         50         600         \$895         50         600         \$906         50         600         \$909           Ascension Island         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18           Copenhagen, Denmark         4         48         \$105         4         48         \$106         4         48         \$103           Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         \$40         0         0         \$0         0         0         \$0           Unassigned         5,300         N/A         \$1,236         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           Estimated Termation         \$160         \$160         \$0         \$0         \$0		9	108	\$348	9	108	\$354	9	108	\$347
San Vito, Italy         150         1,800         \$2,544         150         1,800         \$2,570         150         1,800         \$2,503           Spangdahlem, Germany         500         6,000         \$7,346         500         6,000         \$7,578         500         6,000         \$7,268           Vienna, Austria         0         0         \$0         1         12         \$65         1         12         \$65           Upper Heyford, UK         50         600         \$895         50         600         \$906         50         600         \$909           Ascension Island         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18           Copenhagen, Denmark         4         48         \$105         4         48         \$106         4         48         \$103           Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         \$40         0         0         \$0         0         0         \$0           Unassigned         5,300         N/A         \$1,236         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           Estimated Termation         \$160         \$160         \$0         \$0         \$0		105	1,260		36	432		36	432	
Spangdahlem, Germany         500         6,000         \$7,346         500         6,000         \$7,578         500         6,000         \$7,268           Vienna, Austria         0         0         \$0         1         12         \$65         1         12         \$65           Upper Heyford, UK         50         600         \$895         50         600         \$906         50         600         \$909           Ascension Island         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18           Copenhagen, Denmark         4         48         \$105         4         48         \$106         4         48         \$103           Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         \$40         0         0         \$0         0         0         \$0           Unassigned         5,300         N/A         5,190         N/A         5,073         N/A         \$0           Estimated Termation Costs         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           Incirlik Termation (Partial)Termination         \$434         \$434         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0				-						
Vienna, Austria         0         0         \$0         1         12         \$65         1         12         \$65           Upper Heyford, UK         50         600         \$895         50         600         \$906         50         600         \$909           Ascension Island         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18           Copenhagen, Denmark         4         48         \$105         4         48         \$106         4         48         \$103           Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         \$40         0         0         \$0         0         0         \$0           Unassigned         5,300         N/A         5,190         N/A         5,073         N/A         5,073         N/A           Estimated Termation Costs         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           Incirlik Termation (Partial)Termination         \$160         \$434         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES         9,201         46,813         \$56,101         9,201         48,129         \$56,208         9,201										
Upper Heyford, UK         50         600         \$895         50         600         \$906         50         600         \$909           Ascension Island         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18         1         12         \$18           Copenhagen, Denmark         4         48         \$105         4         48         \$106         4         48         \$103           Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         \$40         0         0         \$0         0         0         \$0           Unassigned         5,300         N/A         5,190         N/A         5,073         N/A         5,073         N/A           Estimated Termation Costs         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           Incirlik Termation (Partial) Termination         \$160         \$434         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES         9,201         46,813         \$56,101         9,201         48,129         \$56,208         9,201         49,536         \$55,066	1									
Ascension Island  1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark  4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island  2 24 \$40 0 0 0 \$0 0 0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$		50	600		50			50	600	\$909
Copenhagen, Denmark         4         48         \$105         4         48         \$106         4         48         \$103           Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         \$40         0         0         \$0         0         0         \$0           Unassigned         5,300         N/A         5,190         N/A         5,073         N/A         N/A         N/A         5,073         N/A         N/A         S0         N/A         S0										
Mahe, Seychelles Island         2         24         \$40         0         0         \$0         0         0         \$0           Unassigned         5,300         N/A         5,190         N/A         5,073         N/A         \$0           Estimated Termation Costs         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           Comiso Termation         \$1,236         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           Incirlik Termation         \$160         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           Ramstein (Partial)Termination         \$434         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES         9,201         46,813         \$56,101         9,201         48,129         \$56,208         9,201         49,536         \$55,066		4	48		4	48		4	48	
Unassigned         5,300         N/A         5,190         N/A         5,073         N/A           Estimated Termation Costs         \$1,236         \$0         \$0         \$0           Comiso Termation Incirlik Termation Ramstein (Partial)Termination         \$160         \$0         \$0         \$0           TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES         9,201         46,813         \$56,101         9,201         48,129         \$56,208         9,201         49,536         \$55,066	_	2	24		0	0		0	0	
Estimated Termation Costs  Comiso Termation Incirlik Termation Ramstein (Partial)Termination  TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES  9,201  46,813  \$1,236 \$1,236 \$1,236 \$51,236 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$55,066		5,300	N/A	•	5,190	N/A		5,073	N/A	
Comiso Termation         \$1,236         \$0         \$0           Incirlik Termation         \$160         \$160         \$0         \$0           Ramstein (Partial)Termination         \$434         \$0         \$0         \$0           TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES         9,201         46,813         \$56,101         9,201         48,129         \$56,208         9,201         49,536         \$55,066										
Incirlik Termation	Estimated Termation Costs									
Incirlik Termation	Comiso Termation			\$1,236			\$0			\$0
Ramstein (Partial)Termination         \$434         \$0         \$0         \$0           TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES         9,201         46,813         \$56,101         9,201         48,129         \$56,208         9,201         49,536         \$55,066										
TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$56,101 9,201 48,129 \$56,208 9,201 49,536 \$55,066	Ramstein (Partial)Termination									
		9,201	46,813		9,201	48,129		9,201	49,536	
	GRAND TOTAL FH-4	12,534	49,541	\$58,820	12,534	51,569	\$59,905	12,534	53,484	\$59,331

DD Form 2458-2, JUN 86 Exhibit FH-4

#### ANALYSIS OF HIGH COST LEASED UNITS (Other than Section 801) FY 1999

	FY 1999									
	TOTAL	FY97		FY98			FY99			
LOCATION	LEASES	HIGH	HIGH		HIGH	HIGH		HIGH	HIGH	
	Per	COST	COST	EST	COST	COST	EST	COST	COST	EST
	Country	UNITS	Defined	COST	UNITS	Defined	COST	UNITS	Defined	COST
DOMESTIC LEASES										
Los Angeles, CA		35	\$12,000	\$469,000	35	\$12,000	\$469,000	35	\$12,000	\$469,000
Los Angeles, CA (Det 4)		4	to	\$54,000	4	to	\$54,000	4	to	\$54,000
Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS)		20	\$14,000	\$268,000	20	\$14,000	\$268,000	20	\$14,000	\$268,000
Los Angeles, CA (DFAS)		0		\$0	40		\$536,000	40		\$536,000
Recruiter/ROTC		27	Special	\$348,000	40	Special	\$589,000	57	Special	\$815,000
Sub-Total Domestic	156	86		\$1,139,000	139		\$1,916,000	156		\$2,142,000
FOREIGN LEASES										
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1321		1	\$248	\$35,500	1	\$248	\$35,500	1	\$248	\$35,500
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 762		1	\$248 \$248	\$35,500 \$47,800	1	\$248 \$248	\$35,500 \$47,800	1	\$248 \$248	\$35,500 \$47,800
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 805		1	\$248	\$47,800 \$53.300	•	\$248 \$248	\$47,800 \$53,300	1	\$248 \$248	\$47,800 \$53.300
			\$248	\$53,300 \$16.800	1	\$248 \$248	\$53,300 \$16.800	1	\$248 \$248	\$53,300 \$16.800
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1488		1			1		,			,
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1489		1	\$248	\$16,400	1	\$248	\$16,400	1	\$248	\$16,400
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1490		1	\$248	\$24,300	1	\$248	\$24,300	1	\$248	\$24,300
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1506		1	\$248	\$20,700	1	\$248	\$20,700	1	\$248	\$20,700
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1522		1	\$248	\$18,200	1	\$248	\$18,200	1	\$248	\$18,200
Total Turkey		8	<b>***</b>	233,000	8	***	233,000	8	***	\$233,000
*Stavanger, Norway	1	1	\$23,500	\$99,000	1	\$23,500	\$99,000	1	\$22,600	\$95,000
*Sembawang, Singapore	117	117	\$2,418,382	\$4,890,000	117	\$2,418,382	\$4,982,000	117	\$2,417,868	\$4,476,000
*Aviano, Italy	1	1	\$22,349	\$26,100	1	\$22,349	\$26,918	1	\$21,558	\$23,571
**Paris, France	9	N/A	N/A	\$348,000	N/A	N/A	\$354,000	N/A	N/A	\$347,000
**Copenhagen, Denmark	4	N/A	N/A	\$105,000	N/A	N/A	\$106,000	N/A	N/A	\$103,000
**Aman, Jordan	3	N/A	N/A	\$59,000	N/A	N/A	\$60,000	N/A	N/A	\$60,000
**Asmara, Eritea	1	N/A	N/A	\$23,000	N/A	N/A	\$24,000	N/A	N/A	\$24,000
**Cairo, Egypt	3	N/A	N/A	\$46,000	N/A	N/A	\$47,000	N/A	N/A	\$47,000
**Nairobi, Kenya	1	N/A	N/A	\$25,000	N/A	N/A	\$25,000	N/A	N/A	\$25,000
**Bangkok, Thailand	7	N/A	N/A	\$152,000	N/A	N/A	\$152,000	N/A	N/A	\$152,000
**Classified Location	3	N/A	N/A	\$110,000	N/A	N/A	\$110,000	N/A	N/A	\$110,000
Sub-Total Foreign		135		\$6,349,100	135		\$6,451,918	135		\$5,928,571
GRAND TOTAL FH-4A		221	N/A	\$7,488,100	274	N/A	\$8,367,918	291	N/A	\$8,070,571

Exhibit FH-4A

HIGH COST domestic leases range between \$12k and \$14k per year.

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted cost cap for overseas leases is determined by multiplying \$20k times the FY 88 exchange rate divided by the FY 99 exchange rate. Leases exceeding this cap are defined as HIGH COST and are part of the number of high cost leases allowed.

<sup>\*\*</sup> State Department pool leases do not count against the total number of high cost leases allowed.

#### FAMILY HOUSING, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE SECTION 801 FAMILY HOUSING SUMMARY (Dollars In Thousands)

#### FY 1999

		DATE	DATE OF					
	NO. OF	OF	FULL	FY97	FY98	FY98	FY99	FY99
LOCATION	UNITS	AWARD	OCCUP	COSTS	UNITS	COSTS	UNITS	COSTS
Hanscom AFB, MA	163	SEP 85	OCT 87	\$2,889	163	\$2,937	163	\$2,967
Goodfellow AFB, TX	200	SEP 86	JAN 88	\$1,905	200	\$1,935	200	\$1,980
Andrews AFB, MD	828	AUG 91	OCT 95	\$10,301	828	\$12,338	828	\$12,465
Hurlburt AFB, FL	300	JAN 91	MAY 92	\$3,420	300	\$3,501	300	\$3,552
March AFB, CA	200	NOV 87	NOV 88	\$61	0	\$0	0	\$0
Travis AFB, CA	300	SEP 89	AUG 91	\$3,865	300	\$3,920	300	\$3,945
Eielson AFB, AK	300	JAN 85	JULY 86	\$5,585	300	\$5,699	300	\$5,736
Eielson AFB, AK	366	SEP 91	DEC 95	\$9,871	366	\$9,907	366	\$9,958
Ellsworth AFB, SD	828	AUG 89	JUN 91	\$11,273	828	\$11,347	828	\$11,402
Ellsworth AFB, SD	200	JUN 89	JULY 90	\$2,688	200	\$2,739	200	\$2,756
Cannon AFB, NM	350	JUN 91	AUG 93	\$3,901	343	\$3,943	343	\$3,980
ANNUAL REQUIREMENT	4,035	N/A	N/A	\$55,759	3,828	\$58,266	3,828	\$58,741
Unused Lease Points	1,765			\$0	1,972		1,972	\$0
GRAND TOTAL FH-4B	5,800	N/A	N/A	\$55,759	5,800	\$58,266	5,800	\$58,741

#### FY 1999 DEBT PAYMENT

Program (in Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$32
FY 1998 Program \$31

#### Purpose and Scope

The Debt Payment program continues in name only, as the last of the Capehart and Wherry mortgages were liquidated in FY 1989. This program includes payment of Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums to FHA for mortgages assumed by active military personnel prior to FY 1980.

#### Program Summary - Highlights

Request authorization for the appropriation of \$32,000 for FY 1999. No additional budget authority is required for mortgages as noted above.

#### Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums

Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums, Section 124, Public Law 560, 83rd Congress, The Housing Act of 1954, aids in providing homes for members of the Armed Forces of the United States and their families through a system of FHA mortgage insurance, specially designed to assist such members in financing the construction or purchase of homes.

This program was discontinued through Public Law 93-130 (Military Construction Appropriation Act, 1980) which allowed coverage only on existing mortgages covered prior to FY 1980. The amount needed to continue funding premiums on mortgages existing prior to FY 1980 continues to slowly decrease, adjusted for inflation. The program for FY 1999 is as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Number	Average Payment/Yr	Amount(\$000)
1999	165	\$182	\$32

# FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE DATA FY 1999 President Budget Submission Military Family Housing O&M (\$ in Thousands)

	FY 1997		FY 1	998	FY 1999		
	U.S. \$	Approved	U.S. \$	Approved	U.S. \$	Approved	
	Requiring	Execution	Requiring	Execution	Requiring	Execution	
Country	Conversion	<u>Rates</u>	Conversion	<u>Rates</u>	Conversion	<u>Rates</u>	
Denmark	\$69	5.610	\$92	6.868	\$103	6.796	
France	\$90	4.950	N/A	6.076	\$118	5.986	
Germany	\$96,867	1.450	\$60,253	1.807	\$57,541	1.789	
Italy	\$21,573	1,582.030	\$11,824	1,759.000	\$12,962	1,752.000	
Japan	\$83,439	105.850	\$72,667	121.170	\$53,318	130.450	
Norway	\$92	6.400	\$91	7.418	\$147	7.243	
Portugal	\$6,297	150.790	\$1,036	183.250	\$1,097	182.580	
Singapore	\$0	1.430	\$4,625	1.503	\$4,003	1.614	
South Korea	\$4,731	787.090	\$4,422	907.600	\$2,839	1,342.400	
Spain	\$475	122.390	\$106	152.330	\$101	151.000	
United Kingdom	\$42,101	0.650	\$40,317	0.632	\$33,796	0.619	
	\$255,734		\$195,432		\$166,025		