

Department of the Air Force

Base Realignment and Closure Part III (BRAC 93)

FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates

Justification Data Submitted to Congress: February 1998

1993 Commission

I.	Base	Realignment and Closure	1
П.	Finan	ncial Summary	7
III.	Base	Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package	
	1.	Griffiss AFB, New York	9
	2.	KI Sawyer AFB, Michigan	13
	3.	March AFB, California	17
	4.	Plattsburgh AFB, New York	21
	5.	Homestead AFB, Florida	25
	6.	O'Hare IAP ARS, Illinois	29
	7.	Gentile AFS, Ohio	33
	8.	Newark AFB, Ohio	37
	9.	Chanute AFB, Illinois	41
	10.	Mather AFB, California	45
	11.	Carswell AFB, Texas	49
	12.	Castle AFB, California	53
	13.	Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio	57
	14.	Program Management	61

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FY 1999 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA 1993 COMMISSION

U.S. Air Force Overview

Schedule:

FY 1994: Base Closure Account requirement: \$273.5 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 1994 consisted of preliminary planning, designing and initiating military construction projects to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Funding was also necessary for: environmental compliance and restoration actions to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at each closure/realignment location; family housing; operation and maintenance; other procurement; and military personnel PCS.

<u>Griffiss AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$29.0 Million The funding was due to environmental, operation and maintenance, procurement, and military construction.

<u>KI Sawyer AFB, MI</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$43.6 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration requirements, military and family housing construction, operation and maintenance, procurement, and military personnel PCS.

<u>March AFB, CA</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$125.9 Million The funding was due to military and family housing construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance, and procurement.

<u>Plattsburgh AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.9 Million The funding consisted of operation and maintenance requirements, environmental compliance and restoration, and military personnel PCS.

<u>Homestead AFB, FL</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$18.4 Million The base realigned 31 March 1994. The funding was a result of military construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Gentile AFS, OH:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million The funding was for operation and maintenance of the operating location established by AFBCA.

<u>Newark AFB, OH:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.1 Million The funding was for operation and maintenance, as well as environmental requirements.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Carswell AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Castle AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Rickenbacker AGB, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$42.4 Million

Funding was for planning and design for military construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance.

FY 1995: Base Closure Account requirement: \$259.8 Million. Griffiss, KI Sawyer, and Plattsburgh AFBs closed in FY 1995. Active duty force structure at these bases were relocated or retired, and active duty military and civilian personnel relocated or were eliminated from Air Force end strength. Disposition of Reserve forces is specified in individual closure packages. Numerous units were relocated or inactivated. Funding was primarily related to construction projects required at gaining locations and work around projects for units to operate until their receiving facilities are completed. Environmental studies were completed or continued, environmental restoration was initiated and other procurement actions undertaken.

Griffiss AFB, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$40.0 Million

The base realigned 30 September 1995. The funding was related to construction at receiving units, civilian personnel costs, transportation of equipment, and environmental restoration costs. The engineering facility at Westover AFRB Massachusetts was expanded to accommodate the 933 CES currently at Griffiss. A building was expanded at Westover to accommodate the aeromedical unit being relocated from Griffiss.

KI Sawyer AFB, MI: Base Closure Account requirement: \$120.3 Million

The base closed 30 September 1995. The funding was primarily related to construction, civilian personnel costs, military personnel PCS, transportation of equipment and environmental. The closure of KI Sawyer AFB ultimately results in the movement of KC-10 aircraft to McGuire AFB New Jersey, necessitating extensive construction costs.

March AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$65.6 Million

The funding was due to military construction, environmental, military personnel PCS, procurement and operation and maintenance.

Plattsburgh AFB, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.6 Million

The base closed 30 September 1995. Funding was due to operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Homestead AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.1 Million

The funding consists of military construction, operation and maintenance, and environmental requirements.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Gentile AFS, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Newark AFB, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.9 Million

The funding was due to environmental, procurement, and operation and maintenance requirements.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Carswell AFB, TX</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Castle AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Rickenbacker AGB, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.3 Million Funding was for one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base. These costs include operation and maintenance, planning and design for military construction and environmental studies.

FY 1996: Base Closure Account requirement: \$181.6 Million. The 1993 Commission recommendations for Newark and March AFB's were completed in FY 1996. Funding was due to military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and other procurement.

<u>Griffiss AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$31.3 Million Realignment actions are completed. Caretaker and disposal management team requirements continue. Funding was also provided for military construction and environmental.

<u>KI Sawyer AFB, MI</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$41.6 Million Funding was due to environmental, operation and maintenance, other procurement, as well as military construction.

<u>March AFB, CA</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$21.5 Million The base realigned 31 March 1996. Funding was due to environmental, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and military construction.

<u>Plattsburgh AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.1 Million Funding was due to the installation restoration program requirements and caretaker requirements.

<u>Homestead AFB, FL</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$19.4 Million Funding was due to military construction at receiving bases, environmental compliance and restoration, and caretaker contracts.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Gentile AFS, OH:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.4 Million Funding provides for an operating location established by AFBCA and environmental.

<u>Newark AFB, OH:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$37.1 Million The base closed 30 September 1996. Funding was due to environmental, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and other procurement requirements.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Carswell AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Castle AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Rickenbacker AGB, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Program Management:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$12.2 Million Funding was for one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base. These costs include environmental studies, planning and design for military construction and headquarters management requirements.

FY 1997: Base Closure Account requirement: \$116.4 Million. All of the closure and realignment recommendations of the 1993 Commission were completed this fiscal year. Environmental restoration will continue until completion. Funding was for military construction, family housing construction, military personnel PCS, other procurement, and operation and maintenance.

<u>Griffiss AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$23.6 Million Funding was for military construction, caretaker and environmental compliance and installation restoration requirements.

<u>KI Sawyer AFB, MI:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$31.1 Million Funding was for military and family housing construction, other procurement, caretaker and environmental compliance and installation restoration requirements.

<u>March AFB, CA</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.4 Million Funding is due to military construction, caretaker, and environmental compliance and installation restoration requirements.

<u>Plattsburgh AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.8 Million Funding was for caretaker and environmental requirements.

<u>Homestead AFB, FL</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.9 Million Funding was for military construction, environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Gentile AFS, OH</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.6 Million The base was closed on 31 December 1996. Funding was for to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

<u>Newark AFB, OH</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.1 Million Funding was for to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Carswell AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Castle AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Rickenbacker AGB, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.8 Million Funding was for one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, namely Headquarters Air Force Base Conversion Agency. Funding was for to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

<u>FY 1998</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$117.7 Million. Realigned and closed bases continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred. Environmental restoration will continue until completion. Funding is for military construction, family housing construction, and operation and maintenance.

<u>Griffiss AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$23.2 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

4

<u>KI Sawyer AFB, MI</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$10.5 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

March AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$51.8 Million

Funding is due to environmental requirements, military and family housing construction, and caretaker contracts.

<u>Plattsburgh AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.3 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

<u>Homestead AFB, FL</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.2 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Gentile AFS, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million

Funding is due to environmental requirements and funding the Air Force Base Conversion Agency operating location.

Newark AFB, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million

Funding is due to environmental and caretaker contracts.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.2 Million

Funding is due to training and recruiting costs for the 940th Air Refueling Group redirected action.

Carswell AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Castle AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Rickenbacker AGB, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.9 Million

Funding is due to one time operation costs which are not categorized by closure base. Funding is due to environmental and caretaker contracts.

<u>FY 1999</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$57.7 Million. Environmental restoration and maintenance of the bases continue.

<u>Griffiss AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$23.5 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

<u>KI Sawyer AFB, MI</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.6 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

<u>March AFB, CA</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.3 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

<u>Plattsburgh AFB, NY</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.7 Million Funding is due to caretaker contracts.

<u>Homestead AFB, FL</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.0 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements and caretaker contracts.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Gentile AFS, OH</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million Funding is due to caretaker contracts at the operating location established by Air Force Base Conversion Agency.

<u>Newark AFB, OH</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.2 Million Funding is due to environmental requirements.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Carswell AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Castle AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Rickenbacker AGB, OH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$18.3 Million Funding is due to environmental and operation and maintenance requirements.

Mission Impact: The preceding schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability, while placing priority on closing or realigning the bases as recommended by the 1993 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close and realign the recommended bases at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of: savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength; reductions to base operating support costs; and, where applicable, proceeds from sale of real property at closure bases.

Environmental Considerations: By the end of FY 1999, five BRAC 93 installations will have the last remedial actions installed, in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). These actions (which include landfill closures, groundwater treatments, bioventing, air sparging, underground storage tank removal and free product removal) comply with the requirements of CERCLA 120(h)(3) which governs deed transfers of property, and protects human health and the environment. Two installations, March AFB, CA, and Newark AFB, OH will continue with long term monitoring and operation activities associated with previous cleanup actions. In addition, by FY 1999, it is anticipated that two National Priority List installations (March AFB, CA and Newark AFB, OH) will have all remedies in place and operational, and be positioned for de-listing. There are currently 19,000 acres to be transferred at BRAC 93 installations. 11,500 acres are now environmentally ready for transfer. Through interim leases and deed transfers, 340 acres are now in reuse. Completion of the environmental actions are crucial for the reuse and economic development of the affected communities.

<u>Other:</u> Beginning in FY 1998, funding requirements for continuing actions at BRAC 88/91 bases are included in BRAC 93 as an add to the BRAC 93 program.

The savings associated with these closure actions are for display purposes only and will accrue in other Air Force accounts. The funding profiles associated with the schedule have been programmed to accomplish this objective.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	ES7 1004	ES7 1005	FW 1007	ES7 1007	FW 1000	EX. 1000	TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94-99
Military Construction	119,335	130,922	52,571	25,700	2,055	0	330,583
Family Housing - Construction	692	0	0	15,884	46,010	0	62,586
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	86,428	107,400	33,775	30,187	173,405	84,480	515,675
Operation & Maintenance	64,068	12,868	93,687	44,010	34,057	41,199	289,889
Military Personnel - PCS	1,746	8,285	1,002	0	0	0	11,033
Other	1,198	305	596	571	0	0	2,670
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	273,467	259,780	181,631	116,352	255,527	125,679	1,212,436
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	273,467	259,780	181,631	116,352	255,527	125,679	1,212,436
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	5,079	71,650	0	0	0	0	76,729
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	5,079	71,650	0	0	0	0	76,729
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	3,850	14,850	5,890	26,400	12,600	25,430	89,020
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	8,640	19,630	52,700	99,510	111,420	113,860	405,760
Military Personnel	0	24,840	113,380	153,370	156,620	160,140	608,350
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(770)	(1,030)	(1,030)	(1,030)	(1,030)	(1,030)
Military ES	(913)	(2,072)	(3,842)	(3,934)	(3,934)	(3,934)	(3,934)
TOTAL SAVINGS	12,490	59,320	171,970	279,280	280,640	299,430	1,103,130
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	115,485	116,072	46,681	-700	-10,545	-25,430	241,563
Family Housing - Construction	692	0	0	15,884	46,010	0	62,586
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	86,428	107,400	33,775	30,187	173,405	84,480	515,675
Operation & Maintenance	55,428	-6,762	40,987	-55,500	-77,363	-72,661	-115,871
Military Personnel - PCS	1,746	-16,555	-112,378	-153,370	-156,620	-160,140	-597,317
Other	1,198	305	596	571	0	0	2,670
Homeowners Assistance Program	5,079	71,650	0	0	0	0	76,729
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	266,056	272,110	9,661	-162,928	-25,113	-173,751	186,035

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION Add USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTSI	FY 1998 I	FY 1999
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	35,748	13,240
Operation & Maintenance	3,747	3,901
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	39,495	17,141
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	39,495	17,141

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION Add USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	FY 1998 I	FY 1999
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	82,679	32,674
Operation & Maintenance	15,616	18,187
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	98,295	50,861
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	98,295	50,861

BRAC 88/91 Requirements (Add) Total 137,790 68,002

 \mathbf{BR}

Griffiss AFB, New York Package

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	3,401	7,676	10,379
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	11,238	31,250	8,264
Operation & Maintenance	14,300	1,089	12,667
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	75	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	29,014	40,015	31,310
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	29,014	40,015	31,310
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	38	37,445	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	38	37,445	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	1,000	760
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	7,480
Military Personnel	0	5,030	22,980
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(523)	(523)
Military ES	0	(483)	(483)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	6,030	31,220
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	3,401	6,676	9,619
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	11,238	31,250	8,264
Operation & Maintenance	14,300	1,089	5,187
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-5,030	-22,980
Other	75	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	38	37,445	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	29,052	71,430	90

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	F I 1///	F I 1770	F 1 1///	11/4-//
Military Construction	2,810	0	0	24,266
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	13,824	21,450	22,450	108,476
Operation & Maintenance	6,979	1,795	1,077	37,907
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	75
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	23,613	23,245	23,527	170,724
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	23,613	23,245	23,527	170,724
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	37,483
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	37,483
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	5,170	5,300	9,130	21,360
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	14,900	15,220	15,550	53,150
Military Personnel	31,080	31,740	32,450	123,280
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(523)	(523)	(523)	(523)
Military ES	(483)	(483)	(483)	(483)
TOTAL SAVINGS	51,150	52,260	57,130	197,790
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-2,360	-5,300	-9,130	2,906
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	13,824	21,450	22,450	108,476
Operation & Maintenance	-7,921	-13,425	-14,473	-15,243
Military Personnel - PCS	-31,080	-31,740	-32,450	-123,280
Other	0	0	0	75
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	37,483
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-27,537	-29,015	-33,603	10,417

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Griffiss Air Force Base, New York:

Closure Package: Griffiss AFB realigned in FY 1995. The 416th Bomb Wing was inactivated. The B-52H aircraft transferred to Minot AFB, North Dakota, and Barksdale AFB, Louisiana. The KC-135 aircraft from Griffiss AFB transferred to Grand Forks AFB, North Dakota. The 485th Engineering Installation Group at Griffiss AFB was to relocate to Hill AFB, Utah. The Northeast Air Defense Sector remains at Griffiss AFB in a retained area. It was transferred to the Air National Guard (ANG). Rome Laboratory remains at Griffiss AFB in its existing facilities as a stand-alone Air Force laboratory. A minimum essential airfield is maintained and operated by a contractor on an "as needed, on call" basis. The ANG maintains and operates necessary facilities to support mobility/contingency/training of the 10th Infantry (Light) Division located at Ft Drum, New York, and operates them when needed. Only the stand-alone laboratory and the ANG remain.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.1 Million. Funding includes costs associated with operation of the Air Force Base Conversion Agency Operating Location. Costs include utilities and rents, travel, communications, caretaker costs, and civilian pay.

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$22.4 Million. Inspection/assessment of Griffiss AFB identified 54 sites requiring restoration. Site types include landfills, underground storage tanks, fire training areas, disposal pits, and spill areas. Releases of Polychlorinated Biphenyis (PCBs), volatile organic compounds, pesticides, metals, and petroleum products have occurred from those sites and have contaminated the soil, groundwater, and surface water. Possible off-base groundwater contamination has also been identified. An Environmental Baseline Survey resulted in concurrence on 45 of the 1,150 acres proposed as clean according to the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act. Funding is required for continuation of environmental restoration efforts including, but not limited to, underground storage tank removals, replacement of PCB transformers and closing oil/water separator sites. In FY 99, a realignment Record of Decision will be issued for the associated BRAC 95 actions.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

KI Sawyer Air Force Base, Michigan

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/K.I. SAWYER AFB, MI (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	17,971	90,859	24,422
Family Housing - Construction	500	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	7,564	23,047	5,143
Operation & Maintenance	16,129	4,422	11,476
Military Personnel - PCS	799	2,001	0
Other	589	0	550
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	43,552	120,329	41,591
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	43,552	120,329	41,591
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	4,248	12,542	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	4,248	12,542	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	1,200	3,000	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	19,940
Military Personnel	0	4,620	21,080
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(247)	(247)
Military ES	0	(676)	(676)
TOTAL SAVINGS	1,200	7,620	41,020
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	16,771	87,859	24,422
Family Housing - Construction	500	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	7,564	23,047	5,143
Operation & Maintenance	16,129	4,422	-8,464
Military Personnel - PCS	799	-2,619	
Other	589	0	550
Homeowners Assistance Program	4,248	12,542	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	46,600	125,251	571

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/K.I. SAWYER AFB, MI (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	7,359	0	0	140,611
Family Housing - Construction	15,884	0	0	16,384
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,878	9,667	3,807	51,106
Operation & Maintenance	5,443	823	2,805	41,098
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	2,800
Other	571	0	0	1,710
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	31,135	10,490	6,612	253,709
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	31,135	10,490	6,612	253,709
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	16,790
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	16,790
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	1,400	7,300	16,300	29,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	27,280	35,570	36,350	119,140
Military Personnel	28,520	29,120	29,780	113,120
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(247)	(247)	(247)	-247
Military ES	(676)	(676)	(676)	-676
TOTAL SAVINGS	57,200	71,990	82,430	261,460
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	5,959	-7,300	-16,300	111,411
Family Housing - Construction	15,884	0	0	16,384
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,878	9,667	3,807	51,106
Operation & Maintenance	-21,837	-34,747	-33,545	-78,042
Military Personnel - PCS	-28,520	-29,120	-29,780	-110,320
Other	571	0	0	1,710
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	16,790
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-26,065	-61,500	-75,818	9,039

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/KI Sawyer Air Force Base, Michigan:

<u>Closure Package</u>: KI Sawyer AFB closed in FY 1995. The 410th Wing was inactivated. B-52H aircraft transferred to Barksdale AFB, Louisiana. The Air Force retired its B-52G aircraft instead of implementing the previous Base Closure Commission recommendation to transfer those aircraft from Castle AFB, California, to KI Sawyer AFB.

One-Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$2.8 Million. Funding includes costs associated with operation of the Air Force Base Conversion Agency Operating Location. Costs include utilities and rents, travel, communications, caretaker costs, and civilian pay.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$3.8 Million. Environmental studies have identified sites at KI Sawyer AFB requiring restoration. Site types include landfills, fire training areas, underground storage tanks, above ground storage tanks, spill sites, drainage pits, and a drainage pond. Petroleum hydrocarbons, trichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, vinyl chloride, 4-methyl phenol, and heavy metals are the primary contaminants affecting soil and groundwater. The installation identified approximately 393 acres as clean according to the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act and has received regulatory concurrence on the designations. Funding is required to facilitate continued environmental restoration efforts including, but not limited to, a base-wide groundwater monitoring plan and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended (RCRA) closure plans for the Explosive Ordnance Disposal Range and the Hazardous Waste Interim Storage Facility.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

March Air Force Base, California Package

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/MARCH AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	68,164	30,741	6,743
Family Housing - Construction	192	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	44,629	25,952	3,552
Operation & Maintenance	12,389	2,474	10,167
Military Personnel - PCS	0	6,284	1,000
Other	534	105	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	125,908	65,556	21,462
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	125,908	65,556	21,462
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	30	21,434	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	30	21,434	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	2,650	7,700	5,130
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	4,580
Military Personnel	0	4,670	21,310
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	(933)
TOTAL SAVINGS	2,650	12,370	31,020
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	65,514	23,041	1,613
Family Housing - Construction	192	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	44,629	25,952	3,552
Operation & Maintenance	12,389	2,474	5,587
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,614	-20,310
Other	534	105	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	30	21,434	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	123,288	74,620	-9,558
THE INTELLIGITATION COSTS	123,200	74,020	7,550

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/MARCH AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	3,500	2,055	0	111,203
Family Housing - Construction	0	46,010	0	46,202
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	3,844	3,562	1,575	83,114
Operation & Maintenance	9,039	165	715	34,949
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	7,284
Other	0	0	0	639
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	16,383	51,792	2,290	283,391
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	16,383	51,792	2,290	283,391
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	21,464
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	21,464
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	14,850	0	0	30,330
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	15,300	15,630	15,970	51,480
Military Personnel	28,830	29,440	30,100	114,350
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	(933)	(933)	(933)	(933)
TOTAL SAVINGS	58,980	45,070	46,070	196,160
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-11,350	2,055	0	80,873
Family Housing - Construction	0	46,010	0	46,202
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	3,844	3,562	1,575	83,114
Operation & Maintenance	-6,261	-15,465	-15,255	-16,531
Military Personnel - PCS	-28,830	-29,440	-30,100	-107,066
Other	0	0	0	639
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	21,464
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-42,597	6,722	-43,780	108,695

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/March Air Force Base, California:

Closure Package: March AFB realigned in FY 1996. The 22nd Air Refueling Wing was inactivated. The KC-10 (Active and Associate Reserve) aircraft relocated to Travis AFB, California. The Southwest Air Defense Sector Consolidation Study was completed and the mission moved to McChord AFB, Washington. The 445th Airlift Wing Air Force Reserve (AFRES), 452nd Air Refueling Wing (AFRES), 163rd Reconnaissance Group (ANG) (became an Air Refueling Group), the Air Force Audit Agency, the Media Center, and the ANG Air Defense Alert mission remain. The base converted to a reserve base. Additionally, the Army Corps of Engineers Unit, the US Customs Aviation Operation Center West, and the Drug Enforcement Agency aviation unit remain at March AFB.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.7 Million. Funding includes costs associated with operation of the Air Force Base Conversion Agency Operating Location. Costs include utilities and rents, travel, communications, caretaker costs, and civilian pay.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$1.6 Million. Preliminary inspection/assessment of March AFB identified 28 sites requiring restoration. Site types identified include three fire training areas, seven inactive landfills, several underground storage tanks, an engine test cell, sewage treatment plant sludge drying beds, and various spill sites. A total of 43 sites have been identified to date. Funding is required for continued environmental restoration activities including, but not limited to, installation of a groundwater extraction and treatment system and soil removal. All Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Records of Decision are expected by FY 1999.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the facility. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Plattsburgh Air Force Base, New York Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PLATTSBURGH AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	4,423	14,696	5,173
Operation & Maintenance	4,582	1,876	10,931
Military Personnel - PCS	947	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	9,952	16,572	16,104
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	9,952	16,572	16,104
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	11	11	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	11	11	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	3,150	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	5,490	25,060
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	(260)
Military ES	0	0	(837)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	8,640	25,060
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	-3,150	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	4,423	14,696	5,173
Operation & Maintenance	4,582	1,876	10,931
Military Personnel - PCS	947	-5,490	-25,060
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	11	11	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	9,963	7,943	-8,956
	7,703	,,,, 13	0,250

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PLATTSBURGH AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,885	11,416	0	38,593
Operation & Maintenance	6,903	2,935	5,741	32,968
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	947
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	9,788	14,351	5,741	72,508
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	9,788	14,351	5,741	72,508
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	22
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	22
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	3,150
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	18,750	19,150	19,570	57,470
Military Personnel	33,890	34,610	35,390	134,440
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(260)	(260)	(260)	(260)
Military ES	(837)	(837)	(837)	(837)
TOTAL SAVINGS	52,640	53,760	54,960	195,060
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	-3,150
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,885	11,416	0	38,593
Operation & Maintenance	-11,847	-16,215	-13,829	-24,502
Military Personnel - PCS	-33,890	-34,610	-35,390	-133,493
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	22
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-42,852	-39,409	-49,219	-122,530

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Plattsburgh Air Force Base, New York:

Closure Package: Plattsburgh AFB closed in FY 1995. Funding in 1999 is for caretaker requirements.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$5.7 Million. Funding includes costs associated with operation of the Air Force Base Conversion Agency Operating Location. Costs include utilities and rents, travel, communications, caretaker costs, and civilian pay.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenue from Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Homestead Air Force Base, Florida

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, FL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	1,600	1,400	10,967
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	12,426	497	6,764
Operation & Maintenance	4,343	200	1,742
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	18,369	2,097	19,473
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	18,369	2,097	19,473
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	752	218	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	752	218	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	8,640	19,630	20,090
Military Personnel	0	4,570	20,850
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	(913)	(913)	(913)
TOTAL SAVINGS	8,640	24,200	40,940
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	1,600	1,400	10,967
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	12,426	497	6,764
Operation & Maintenance	-4,297	-19,430	-18,348
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-4,570	-20,850
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	752	218	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	10,481	-21,885	-21,467

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, FL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	12,031	0	0	25,998
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	842	1,392	800	22,721
Operation & Maintenance	1,072	846	152	8,355
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	13,945	2,238	952	57,074
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	13,945	2,238	952	57,074
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	970
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	970
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	20,530	20,970	21,430	111,290
Military Personnel	28,210	28,810	29,450	111,890
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	(913)	(913)	(913)	(913)
TOTAL SAVINGS	48,740	49,780	50,880	223,180
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	12,031	0	0	25,998
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	842	1,392	800	22,721
Operation & Maintenance	-19,458	-20,124	-21,278	-102,935
Military Personnel - PCS	-28,210	-28,810	-29,450	-111,890
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	970
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-34,795	-47,542	-49,928	-165,136

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Homestead Air Force Base, Florida:

<u>Closure Package</u>: Homestead AFB was realigned in FY 1994. Requirements are due to operation and maintenance and environmental cleanup at Homestead.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.2 Million. Funding includes costs associated with operation of the Air Force Base Conversion Agency Operating Location. Costs include utilities and rents, travel, communications, caretaker costs, and civilian pay.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$0.8 Million. Inspection/assessment of Homestead AFB identified 26 sites in three major areas of concern requiring environmental restoration: the fire training area, the residual pesticide disposal area, and the electroplating waste disposal area. Previously identified sites include the JP-4 jet fuel leak area, a landfill, a Polychlorinated Biphenyis (PCB) spill area, underground storage tanks, aboveground storage tanks, and oil-water separators. Primary contaminants at the installation include heavy metals, volatile organic compounds, cyanide, pesticides, PCBs, and solvents which have affected area groundwater and soils. Funding is required for continued environmental restoration actions including, but not limited to, completion of 13 interim remedial actions using hot-spot removal techniques, remediation of all solid waste management units, and continued long-term monitoring of tanks.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

O'Hare International Airport Air Force Reserve Station, Illinois Package

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE INTERNATIONAL ARS, IL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
	•		
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE INTERNATIONAL ARS, IL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/O'Hare International Airport Air Force Reserve Station, Illinois:

Closure Package: The Commission recommended closing O'Hare ARS, as proposed by the City of Chicago, and relocating the assigned Air Reserve Component units to the Greater Rockford Airport, or another location acceptable to the Secretary of the Air Force (in consultation and agreement with the receiving location). The relocation is contingent upon the City of Chicago demonstrating that it has the financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities (except for FAA grants for airport planning and development that would otherwise be eligible for federal financial assistance to serve the needs of civil aviation at the receiving location), environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards or a faster schedule than DOD would be obliged to meet if the base did not close. Furthermore, there must be no cost to the federal government, and the closure/realignment process must be completed by July 1999. Chicago would also have to fund the cost of relocating the Army Reserve activity, or leave it in place. If these conditions are not met, the units should remain at O'Hare International Airport.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GENTILE AFS, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	50
Operation & Maintenance	97	0	2,340
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	97	0	2,390
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	97	0	2,390
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	50
Operation & Maintenance	97	0	2,340
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	97	0	2,390
MET IMI DEMENTATION COSTS	91	U	2,390

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GENTILE AFS, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	155	55	0	260
Operation & Maintenance	1,457	205	65	4,164
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,612	260	65	4,424
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,612	260	65	4,424
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	155	55	0	260
Operation & Maintenance	1,457	205	65	4,164
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,612	260	65	4,424

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Gentile Air Force Station, Ohio:

<u>Closure Package</u>: Gentile Air Force Station closed on 31 December 1996, except for space required to operate the Defense Switching Network. The Defense Electronics Supply Center at Gentile Air Force Station will close and relocate to Columbus, Ohio.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.1 Million. Funding includes costs associated with operation of the Air Force Base Conversion Agency Operating Location. Costs include utilities and rents, travel, communications, caretaker costs, and civilian pay.

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Newark Air Force Base, Ohio

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/NEWARK AFB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	152	1,622	530
Operation & Maintenance	3,986	2,080	36,554
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2
Other	0	200	46
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	4,138	3,902	37,132
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	4,138	3,902	37,132
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	610
Military Personnel	0	460	2,100
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	460	2,710
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	152	1,622	530
Operation & Maintenance	3,986	2,080	35,944
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-460	-2,098
Other	0	200	46
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	4,138	3,442	34,422

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/NEWARK AFB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	600	200	200	3,304
Operation & Maintenance	4,452	54	0	47,126
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	2
Other	0	0	0	246
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	5,052	254	200	50,678
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	5,052	254	200	50,678
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	4,980	0	0	4,980
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,750	4,880	4,990	13,230
Military Personnel	2,840	2,900	2,970	11,270
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	(92)	(92)	(92)	(92)
TOTAL SAVINGS	10,570	7,780	7,960	29,480
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-4,980	0	0	-4,980
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	600	200	200	3,304
Operation & Maintenance	1,702	-4,826	-4,990	33,896
Military Personnel - PCS	-2,840	-2,900	-2,970	-11,268
Other	0	0	0	246
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-5,518	-7,526	-7,760	21,198

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force Depot/Newark Air Force Base, Ohio:

<u>Closure Package</u>: The Commission recommended Newark AFB for closure. The Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center depot closed in September 1996; some workload moved to other depot maintenance activities, including the private sector.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$0.2 Million. Environmental investigations have identified 12 sites requiring restoration. Site types include a fire training area, landfills and spill sites. Solvents used to repair inertial guidance systems and past waste management activities have affected groundwater and soil at the installation. Funding is required to facilitate continued environmental restoration actions including, but not limited to, long-term monitoring of existing systems. The last remedial action was completed in FY 1996.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Chanute Air Force Base, Illinois

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/CHANUTE AFB, IL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
	•		_
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/CHANUTE AFB, IL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Chanute Air Force Base, Illinois:

<u>Closure Package</u>: Chanute AFB closed in FY 1993. The 1993 Base Closure Commission recommended consolidating the Air Force's 16 Metals Technology, Non-Destructive Inspection, and Aircraft Structural Maintenance training courses with the Navy at Naval Air Station (NAS) Memphis, Tennessee, and then move them with the Navy to NAS Pensacola, Florida.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Mather Air Force Base, California

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/MATHER AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0
	3	3	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/MATHER AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,198	0	1,198
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	1,198	0	1,198
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	1,198	0	1,198
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,198	0	1,198
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	1,198	0	1,198

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Mather Air Force Base, California:

<u>Closure Package</u>: Mather AFB closed in FY 1993. The 1993 Base Closure Commission changed the 1991 Commission's directed beddown of the 940th Air Refueling Group. Instead, 940 ARG was directed to temporarily relocate to McClellan AFB, California awaiting permanent beddown at Beale AFB, California. Other costs and savings associated with the closure of Mather AFB are contained in the Base Closure Account (Part I) Justification Book.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Carswell Air Force Base, Texas

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/CARSWELL AFB, TX (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0
	U	U	U

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/CARSWELL AFB, TX (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Carswell Air Force Base, Texas:

<u>Closure Package</u>: Carswell AFB closed in FY 1993. The 301st Tactical Fighter Wing (AFR), 457th Tactical Fighter Squadron (AFR), 73rd Aerial Port Squadron (AFR), and 20th Medical Services Squadron (AFR) remain at Carswell AFB, in a cantonment area. Carswell AFB transferred to the Navy in 1995. The reserve units will remain as tenants.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Castle Air Force Base, California

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/CASTLE AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0
THE INTERMEDITATION COSTS	U	U	U

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/CASTLE AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Castle Air Force Base, California:

<u>Closure Package</u>: Castle AFB closed in 1995. The 93rd Bombardment Wing inactivated. Per the 1993 Commission, the bomber and tanker Combat Crew Training missions were redirected to Barksdale AFB, Louisiana (B-52) and Altus AFB, Oklahoma (KC-135).

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

56

Rickenbacker Air Guard Base, Ohio

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/RICKENBACKER AFB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0
MET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	U	U	U

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/RICKENBACKER AFB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 -99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Rickenbacker Air Guard Base, Ohio:

Closure Package: Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base (AGB), closed in the fourth quarter of FY 1994. The 1993 Commission directed that the 121st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) and the 160th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) move into a cantonment area on the present Rickenbacker AGB, and operate as a tenant of the Rickenbacker Port Authority (RPA) on RPA's airport. The 907th Airlift Group (AFRES) will realign to Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio as originally recommended. The 4950th Test Wing relocated from Wright-Patterson AFB to Edwards AFB, California.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

U. S. Air Force Program Management Summary

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	28,199	246	60
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,996	10,336	4,299
Operation & Maintenance	8,242	727	7,810
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	42,437	11,309	12,169
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	42,437	11,309	12,169
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	28,199	246	60
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,996	10,336	4,299
Operation & Maintenance	8,242	727	7,810
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	42,437	11,309	12,169

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	28,505
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	6,159	7,236	9,734	43,760
Operation & Maintenance	8,665	6,673	8,556	40,673
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	14,824	13,909	18,290	112,938
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	14,824	13,909	18,290	112,938
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	28,505
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	6,159	7,236	9,734	43,760
Operation & Maintenance	8,665	6,673	8,556	40,673
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,824	13,909	18,290	112,938

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1993 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Program Management:

Closure Package: This exhibit displays overall one-time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, and combines the costs of program management as a result of the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. These costs generally include military construction, planning and design, environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements. In FY 1999, program management requirements are limited to salaries, TDY, and overhead expenses.

One Time Implementations Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$ 8.6 Million. Funding for operations and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with Air Force Base Conversion Agency at Rosslyn, Virginia. O&M costs include utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$9.7 Million Supports environmental requirements that pertain to all BRAC 93 installations. These requirements include funding associated with civilian pay for some employees at the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence and funds transferred to the Army as executive agent for programs such as Defense State Memorandum of Agreement, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Defense Environmental Restoration Task Force and Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, these funds cover the costs of Environmental Baseline Surveys, Environmental Assessments, relative risk analyses and data management.

Savings: Savings are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.



Department of the Air Force

Base Realignment and Closure Part IV (BRAC 95)

FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates

Justification Data Submitted to Congress: February 1998

1995 Commission

II.	Financ	cial Summary	9
III.	Base F	Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package	
	1.	Bergstrom Air Reserve Base, Texas	11
	2.	Eglin Air Force Base, Florida	15
	3.	Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota	19
	4.	Griffiss Air Force Base 485th Engineering Instl, New York	23
	5.	Griffiss Air Force Base Airfield Supt 10th Inf Light Div, New York	27
	6.	Hill Air Force Base Test and Training Range, Utah	31
	7.	Homestead Air Force Base 301st Rescue Squadron, Florida	35
	8.	Homestead Air Force Base 726th Air Control Squadron, Florida	39
	9.	Kelly Air Force Base, Texas	43
	10.	Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado	73
	11.	Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana	77
	12.	McClellan Air Force Base, California	81
	13.	O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois	93
	14.	Onizuka Air Station, California	99
	15.	Ontario IAP Air Guard Station, California	103
	16.	REDCAP, New York	107
	17.	Reese Air Force Base, Texas	111
	18.	Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York	115
	19.	Program Management	123
IV.	Milita	ry Construction Project List by State	129

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FY 1999 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA 1995 COMMISSION

U.S. Air Force Overview

Schedule:

FY 1996: Base Closure Account requirement: \$116.3 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 1996 consisted of preliminary planning, designing, and initiating military construction projects associated with the realignments of Malmstrom AFB, Montana, and O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Funding was also necessary for: environmental compliance and restoration actions to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at each closure/realignment location (especially Kelly AFB, Texas, McClellan AFB, California and Reese AFB, Texas), for family housing, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS (mainly at Malmstrom and Reese AFBs).

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.6 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.0 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance and procurement costs.

Kelly AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$24.7 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance and environmental compliance and restoration.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.7 Million

The funding supported military construction, other procurement, environmental requirements,

Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) and operation and maintenance costs.

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.0 Million

The funding was for military construction, environmental compliance and restoration and operations and maintenance costs.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.6 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance and military construction.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.5 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance, environmental and other procurement costs.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million

The funding was for environmental and operation and maintenance requirements.

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.6 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance, environmental, and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS).

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.6 Million

Funding was for planning and design for military construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance requirements.

FY 1997: Base Closure Account requirement: \$237.5 Million. 1995 Commission recommendations for Ontario IAP Air Guard Station, Bergstrom AFB, the 485th portion of Griffiss AFB's redirect, Hill AFB, and Homestead AFB (301st and 726th) were completed this year. Funding supported environmental requirements, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and military construction.

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.9 Million

Funding supported environmental restoration, military construction and operation and maintenance.

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.6 Million The funding was for operation and maintenance requirements.

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.2 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance requirements.

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$44.4 Million

The funding was for military construction supporting the move of the 10th Mountain Division to Ft. Drum as well as a small amount for operation and maintenance costs.

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Homestead AFB (301st), FL:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.2 Million The funding supported military construction and operation and maintenance costs.

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

Kelly AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.7 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance and environmental compliance and restoration.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.4 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance requirements.

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.4 Million

The funding was for military construction, operation and maintenance, other procurement costs.

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$52.5 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance and environmental compliance and restoration.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.9 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.2 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance and other procurement costs

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.8 Million

The funding supported military construction and operation and maintenance costs.

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.0 Million

The funding was for military construction and operation and maintenance costs.

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$22.7 Million

The funding was for military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental requirements and

Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) relocations.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.9 Million

The funding supported military construction and operation and maintenance costs.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$19.4 Million

Funding was for planning and design for military and family housing construction, operation and maintenance and environmental actions.

<u>FY 1998:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$339.7 Million. The 1995 Commission recommendations for Eglin and Lowry AFBs are scheduled for completion in this year. Funding is required for military construction and family housing, environmental and operation and maintenance requirements.

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.2 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.1 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Kelly AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$98.8 Million

The funding is for military and family housing construction, operation and maintenance, and environmental requirements.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$145.8 Million

The funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$62.9 Million

The funding is for military construction and operation and maintenance requirements.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.0 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$20.2 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance, environmental and military construction requirements.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.6 Million

Funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

<u>FY 1999:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$412.9 Million. The 1995 Commission recommendations for O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station are scheduled to be completed in this year. Funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) relocations.

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Kelly AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$146.9 Million

The funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance and environmental requirements and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) relocations.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$211.1 Million

The funding is for military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, procurement and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) relocations.

<u>O'Hare IAP ARS, IL:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million Funding supports operation and maintenance and environmental costs.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$26.6 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance costs.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.1 Million The funding supports environmental and operation and maintenance costs.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.6 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance, Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) and military construction requirements.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.5 Million

Funding is for planning and design associated with military construction, operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

<u>FY 2000:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$337.4 Million. The 1995 commission recommendation for Onizuka Air Station is scheduled to be completed in this year. Funding is due to military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS).

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Kelly AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$130.4 Million

The funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance, Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) and environmental requirements.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$170.6 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance, environmental and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements.

<u>O'Hare IAP ARS, IL:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million Funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$12.4 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.2 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$22.7 Million Funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

FY 2001: Base Closure Account requirement: \$353.5 Million. All the closure and realignment recommendations of the 1995 Commission are scheduled to be completed. Environmental restoration will continue until completion. Funding is for operation and maintenance, military construction and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS).

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Kelly AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$234.3 Million

The funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$104.0 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance, environmental, and Military Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements.

<u>O'Hare IAP ARS, IL:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million Funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.3 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.7 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.1 Million Funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Mission Impact: The preceding schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability, while placing priority on closing or realigning the bases as recommended by the 1995 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close and realign the recommended bases at the earliest opportunity, and to take advantage of savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength, reductions to base operating support costs, and where applicable, proceeds from the sale of real property at closure bases. The Air Force topline has been reduced by the savings associated with this closure round. While we expect the actions at McClellan and Kelly AFBs to produce savings, it is not clear how soon these savings will materialize. As a result, no savings are identified specifically to the depots until uncertainties are resolved and reasonable estimates are possible.

Environmental Considerations: BRAC 95 installations will continue to implement remedial actions in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). These actions include landfill closures, groundwater treatments, bioventing, air sparging, underground storage tank removals and free product removal. The BRAC 95 installations will also continue long term monitoring and operations associated with previous cleanup actions. Through FY 1999, approximately 70% of the funding in the environmental program will support the implementation of cleanup systems and long term monitoring and operations. Completion of the environmental actions are crucial for the reuse and economic development of the affected communities. There are 9.000 acres to be transferred.

Other: Savings are included in Exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL FY 96-01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	111//0	111///	111//0	111///	1 1 2000	1 1 2001	117001
Military Construction	18,070	77,453	75,707	34,100	5,277	12,900	223,507
Family Housing - Construction	0	80	790	0	0	0	870
- Operations	ő	0	0	ő	ő	ő	0
Environmental	63,808	60,968	83,071	67,576	172,809	118,931	567,163
Operation & Maintenance	31,293	96,384	188,096	301,256	266,060	276,774	1,159,863
Military Personnel - PCS	2,091	1,834	0	8,043	1,554	14,495	28,017
Other	1,007	826	Õ	1,967	0	0	3,800
City of Chicago	0	9,703	Õ	0	0	0	9,703
Homeowners Assistance Program	Õ	0	Õ	Ö	Ö	Ő	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	116,269	247,248	347,664	412,942	445,700	423,100	1,992,923
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from the City of Chicago	0	(9,703)	0	0	0	0	(9.703)
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	116,269	237,545	347,664	412,942	445,700	423,100	1,983,220
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	21,362	0	0	0	0	0	21,362
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	05.052
City of Chicago Funds TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	O .	0	74,100	10,952 10,952	0	0	85,052
	21,362	U	74,100	10,932	U	U	106,414
SAVINGS:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	7 204
Family Housing - Construction	0	770 0	1,676 0	1,676 0	1,541 0	1,541 0	7,204
- Operations Operation & Maintenance	9,890	33,374	46,966	80.979	113,735	74.069	359,013
Military Personnel	9,890	14,400	14,700	18,900	24,800	27,100	99,900
Other	0	0	0	0	24,000	0	0
Civilian ES	ŏ	(263)	(263)	(263)	(263)	(263)	(263)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	9,890	48,544	63,342	101,555	140,076	102,710	466,117
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	18,070	77,453	75,707	34,100	5,277	12,900	223,507
Family Housing - Construction	0	-690	-886	-1,676	-1,541	-1,541	-6,334
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	63,808	60,968	83,071	67,576	172,809	118,931	567,163
Operation & Maintenance	42,765	63,010	141,130	220,277	152,325	202,705	822,212
Military Personnel - PCS	2,091	-12,566	-14,700	-10,857	-23,246	-12,605	-71,883
Other	1,007	10,529	0	1,967	0	0	13,503
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	127,741	189,001	358,422	322,339	305,624	320,390	1,623,517

SASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION Add USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 2000	FY 2001
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	13,192	11,887
Operation & Maintenance	2,802	2,072
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	15,994	13,959
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	15,994	13,959

SASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION Add USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 2000	FY 2001
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	30,871	21,698
Operation & Maintenance	7,715	5,730
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	38,586	27,428
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	38,586	27,428

SASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION Add USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 2000	FY 2001
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	44,646	21,967
Operation & Maintenance	9,073	6,213
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	53,719	28,180
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	53,719	28,180
AC 88/91/93 Requirements (Non Add) Total	######	69,567

Bergstrom Air Reserve Base, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/BERGSTROM AIR RESERVE BASE, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	5,020	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	636	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	7,567	232	220	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	7,567	5,888	220	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	7,567	5,888	220	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	7,324	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	7,324	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,973	6,099	6,099	6,099
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(263)	(263)	(263)	(263)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	2,973	6,099	6,099	6,099
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	5,020	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	636	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	14,891	-2,741	-5,879	-6,099	-6,099
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,891	2,915	-5,879	-6,099	-6,099

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/BERGSTROM AIR RESERVE BASE, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLAR	S IN THOU		
	FY 2001		OTAL 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	F 1 2001		70 - 01
Military Construction	()	5,020
Family Housing - Construction)	0,020
- Operations)	0
Environmental)	636
Operation & Maintenance)	8,019
Military Personnel - PCS)	0,019
Other)	0
Homeowners Assistance Program)	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS)	13,675
Revenues from Land Sales (-))	0
		`	12 675
BUDGET REQUEST	,)	13,675
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		_	
Military Construction)	0
Family Housing - Operations)	0
Environmental)	0
Operation & Maintenance)	7,324
Other)	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	()	7,324
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	()	0
Family Housing - Construction	()	0
- Operations	()	0
Operation & Maintenance	6,099)	27,369
Military Personnel	()	0
Other	()	0
Civilian ES	(263)	(263)
Military ES	()	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	6,099)	27,369
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	()	5,020
Family Housing - Construction)	0
- Operations)	0
Environmental)	636
Operation & Maintenance	-6,099		-12,026
Military Personnel - PCS)	0
Other)	0
Homeowners Assistance Program)	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-))	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-6,099	-	-6,370
THE THE DEVIENTATION COSTS	-0,07	•	-0,570

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Bergstrom Air Reserve Base, Texas

Closure Package: Bergstrom Air Reserve Base closed on 30 Sep 1997.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Eglin Air Force Base, Florida Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/EGLIN AFB, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,666	3,083	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	2,666	3,083	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	2,666	3,083	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	42	157	59	303	450
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	(263)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	42	157	59	303	450
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-42	2,509	3,024	-303	-450
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-42	2,509	3,024	-303	-450

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/EGLIN AFB, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLAR	RS IN THOUSANDS) TOTAL		
	FY 2001		-
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	T 1 2001	1.1	70 - 01
Military Construction	0		0
Family Housing - Construction	0		0
- Operations	0		0
Environmental	0		0
Operation & Maintenance	0		5,749
Military Personnel - PCS	0		0
Other	0		0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0		0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0		5,749
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0		0
	_		
BUDGET REQUEST	0		5,749
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0		0
Family Housing - Operations	0		0
Environmental	0		0
Operation & Maintenance	0		0
Other	0		0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0		0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0		0
Family Housing - Construction	0		0
- Operations	0		0
Operation & Maintenance	254		1,265
Military Personnel	0		0
Other	0		0
Civilian ES	0		(263)
Military ES	0		Ó
TOTAL SAVINGS	254		1,265
	234		1,203
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			_
Military Construction	0		0
Family Housing - Construction	0		0
- Operations	0		0
Environmental	0		0
Operation & Maintenance	-254		4,484
Military Personnel - PCS	0		0
Other	0		0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0		0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0		0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-254		4,484

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Eglin Air Force Base, Florida

<u>Closure Package</u>: Eglin AFB will realign by Apr 1998. The Electromagnetic Test Environment (EMTE), consisting of eight Electronic Combat (EC) threat simulator systems, and two EC pod systems, relocated to the Nellis AFB Complex, Nevada.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRAND FORKS AFB, NORTH DAKOTA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,047	0	3,302	7,304	10,830
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	(263)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	1,047	0	3,302	7,304	10,830
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-1,047	0	-3,302	-7,304	-10,830
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-1,047	0	-3,302	-7,304	-10,830

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRAND FORKS AFB, NORTH DAKOTA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL FY 2001 FY 96 - 01

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0
Other	0	
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	6,112	28,595
Military Personnel	0,112	
Other	0	
Civilian ES	0	
Military ES	0	()
·		•
TOTAL SAVINGS	6,112	28,595
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		_
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	-
- Operations	0	-
Environmental	0	-
Operation & Maintenance	-6,112	-28,595
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-6,112	-28,595

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota

<u>Closure Package</u>: Grand Forks Air Force Base will realign by 30 Sep 1998. The 321st Missile Group will inactive and Minuteman III missiles will relocate to Malmstrom AFB, Montana, be maintained at depot facilities, or be retired. A small number of silo launchers at Grand Forks AFB may be retained, if required. Necessary funds and manpower authorizations, as previously programmed outside BRAC, will support the inactivation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the facility. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Griffiss Air Force Base 485th Engineering Installation, New York Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, 485TH ENGINEERING INSTALLATION GROUP, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,000	266	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,000	266	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,000	266	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,746	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2,746	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	21	183	69	109	161
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	21	183	69	109	161
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	3,725	83	-69	-109	-161
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3,725	83	-69	-109	-161

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, 485TH ENGINEERING INSTALLATION GROUP, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,266
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	1,266
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	1,266
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,746
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	2,746
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	90	633
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	90	633
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-90	3,379
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-90	_
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-90	3,379

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Griffiss Air Force Base 485th Engineering Installation, New York

<u>Closure Package</u>: Griffiss Air Force Base 485th Engineering Installation was inactivated in FY 1995 and transferred its engineering and installation functions.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenue from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Griffiss Airfield Support 10th Inf Light Division, New York Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, AIRFIELD SPT FOR 10TH INF LIGHT DIV, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

`		,			
	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	44,067	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	3	312	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3	44,379	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3	44,379	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	9,225	9,225
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	(15)	(15)	(15)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	9,225	9,225
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	44,067	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5	312	0	-9,225	-9,225
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5	44,379	0	-9,225	-9,225

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, AIRFIELD SPT FOR 10TH INF LIGHT DIV, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL FY 2001 FY 96 - 01

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	44,067
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	315
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	44,382
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	44,382
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	2
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	9,225	27,675
Military Personnel	0,223	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	(15)	(15)
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	9,225	27,675
	9,223	21,013
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	44,067
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-9,225	-27,358
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-9,225	16,709

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Griffiss Airfield Support 10th Inf Light Division, New York

<u>Closure Package</u>: Griffiss Airfield Support 10th Inf Light Division will close the minimum essential airfield and provide mobility/contingency/training support to the 10th Infantry (Light) Division from the Fort Drum, NY Airfield through FY 1998.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Hill Air Force Base Test and Training Range, Utah Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HILL AFB, UTAH TEST AND TRAINING RANGE, UTAH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	37	21	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	37	21	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	37	21	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	13	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	13	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	15	102	49	78	116
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	15	102	49	78	116
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	35	-81	-49	-78	-116
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	35	-81	-49	-78	-116

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HILL AFB, UTAH TEST AND TRAINING RANGE, UTAH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLAR	S IN THOUSANDS)			
	TOTAL FY 2001 FY 96 -			
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	F 1 2001	FT 70 - 01		
Military Construction	0	0		
Family Housing - Construction	0	0		
- Operations	0	0		
Environmental	0	0		
Operation & Maintenance	0	58		
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0		
Other	0	0		
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0		
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0			
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0			
	0	5 0		
BUDGET REQUEST	0	58		
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	-		
Family Housing - Operations	0	-		
Environmental	0	0		
Operation & Maintenance	0			
Other	0			
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	13		
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0		
Family Housing - Construction	0	0		
- Operations	0	0		
Operation & Maintenance	66	426		
Military Personnel	0	0		
Other	0	0		
Civilian ES	(6)	(6)		
Military ES	0	0		
TOTAL SAVINGS	66	426		
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0		
Family Housing - Construction	0	0		
- Operations	0	0		
Environmental	0	0		
	-66			
Operation & Maintenance Military Personnel - PCS	0			
Other				
	0			
Homeowners Assistance Program Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0		
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-66	-355		

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Hill Air Force Base Test and Training Range, Utah

<u>Closure Package</u>: Hill Air Force Base Test and Training Range realigned on 30 Sep 1997. The Utah Test and Training Range was disestablished. Some armament/weapons Test and Evaluation workload will be transferred to the Air Force Development Test Center, Eglin AFB, Florida, and the Air Force Flight Test Center, Edwards AFB, California.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Homestead Air Force Base 301st Rescue Squadron, Florida Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, 301ST RESCUE SQUADRON, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	5,900	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	280	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	6,180	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	6,180	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	38	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	38	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	83	83	83	83
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	83	83	83	83
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	5,900	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	38	197	-83	-83	-83
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	38	6,097	-83	-83	-83

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, 301ST RESCUE SQUADRON, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLAR	S IN THOUSANDS) TOTAL		
	FY 2001		_
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	1 1 2001		70 01
Military Construction	0)	5,900
Family Housing - Construction	0		0
- Operations	0)	0
Environmental	0)	0
Operation & Maintenance	0)	280
Military Personnel - PCS	0)	0
Other	0)	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0)	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0)	6,180
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0)	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0)	6,180
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0)	0
Family Housing - Operations	0)	0
Environmental	0)	0
Operation & Maintenance	0)	38
Other	0)	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0)	38
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0)	0
Family Housing - Construction	0)	0
- Operations	0)	0
Operation & Maintenance	83		415
Military Personnel	0)	0
Other	0)	0
Civilian ES	(6)		(6)
Military ES	0)	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	83		415
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0)	5,900
Family Housing - Construction	0)	0
- Operations	0)	0
Environmental	0)	0
Operation & Maintenance	-83		-97
Military Personnel - PCS	0)	0
Other	0)	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0)	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0)	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-83		5,803

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Homestead Air Force Base 301st Rescue Squadron, Florida

<u>Closure Package</u>: Homestead Air Force Base 301st Rescue Squadron was redirected to Patrick AFB, Florida in FY 1997.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Homestead Air Force Base 726th Air Control Squadron, Florida Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, 726th AIR CONTROL SQUADRON, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	988	305	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	374	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,362	305	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,362	305	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	112	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	112	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	44	0	180	285	425
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(6)	(6)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	44	0	180	285	425
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,056	305	-180	-285	-425
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	374	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,430	305	-180	-285	-425

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, 726th AIR CONTROL SQUADRON, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) TOTAL			
	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01	
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	112001	1170 01	
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0		
- Operations	0		
Environmental	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,293	
Military Personnel - PCS	0		
Other	0	374	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	1,667	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
BUDGET REQUEST	0	1,667	
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	0	112	
Other	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	112	
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	241	1,175	
Military Personnel	0	0	
Other	0	0	
Civilian ES	(6)	(6)	
Military ES	0	0	
TOTAL SAVINGS	241	1,175	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	-241	230	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	
Other	0	374	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-241	604	

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Homestead Air Force Base 726th Air Control Squadron, Florida

<u>Closure Package</u>: Homestead Air Force Base 726th Air Control Squadron was redirected to Mt. Home AFB, Idaho, in FY 1997.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Kelly Air Force Base, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/KELLY AFB, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	13,580	21,000	5,277
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	790	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	18,611	23,513	26,572	14,419	27,231
Operation & Maintenance	6,049	23,164	57,804	110,035	97,589
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	1,497	313
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	24,660	46,677	98,746	146,951	130,410
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	24,660	46,677	98,746	146,951	130,410
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,067	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2,067	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(705)	(2,820)	(3,628)	(3,628)
Military ES	0	(45)	(180)	(232)	(232)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	13,580	21,000	5,277
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	790	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	18,611	23,513	26,572	14,419	27,231
Operation & Maintenance	8,116	23,164	57,804	110,035	97,589
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	1,497	313
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	26,727	46,677	98,746	146,951	130,410

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/KELLY AFB, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

		IOIAL
	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	12,900	52,757
Family Housing - Construction	0	790
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	23,389	133,735
Operation & Maintenance	194,831	489,472
Military Personnel - PCS	3,138	4,948
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	234,258	681,702
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	234,258	681,702
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,067
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	2,067
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	(3,628)	(3,628)
Military ES	(232)	(232)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	12,900	52,757
Family Housing - Construction	0	790
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	23,389	133,735
Operation & Maintenance	194,831	491,539
Military Personnel - PCS	3,138	4,948
Other	0,130	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
		•
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	234,258	683,769

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force Depot/Kelly Air Force Base, Texas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Kelly Air Force Base will realign by 13 Jul 2001. Consolidate workload to other DoD depots or to private sector commercial activities, as determined by the Defense Depot Maintenance Council.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

		Fiscal Year	Amount
State/Installation	Project Title/#	of Award	<u>(\$000)</u>
Texas/Kelly AFB	Security Fence/Gates/MBPB993205R1	1999	400
Texas/Kelly AFB	Veh Ops & Maint Com/MBPB993213R1	1999	6,200
Texas/Kelly AFB	Fuel Operations Fac/MBPB993214R1	1999	1,200
Texas/Kelly AFB	Consolidated Fire Station/MBPB993216	1999	1,200
Texas/Kelly AFB	Reconfigure Utility Systems/MBPB993230	1999	2,500
Oklahoma/Tinker AFB	Alter Prod Mgmt Wkload/WWYK990032	1999	2,300
Oklahoma/Tinker AFB	Alter Engine Test Cell/WWYK993200	1999	3,800
Oklahoma/Tinker AFB	ADAL Fuel Air Facility/WWYK993201A	1999	1,300
Utah/Hill AFB	GTE Test Cell/KRSM993009	1999	2,100
Total 1999			21,000

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction/ Operations: N/A.

<u>Military Personnel - PCS</u>: \$1.5 Million. Funding supports military personnel permanent change of station costs associated with closing the Air Logistics Center (ALC).

Operation and Maintenance: \$110.0 Million. Funding for operations and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with closing the ALC and the associated Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) offices. These civilian costs include annual leave, separation pay, severance pay, civilian unemployment and civilian PCS. The realignment and closure also requires moving costs and the "transportation of things" for Air Force Materiel Command, DLA and other tenants. Air Force Base Conversion Agency has O&M costs associated with maintaining an operating location including utilities & rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

<u>Environmental</u>: \$14.4 Million. Environmental investigations have identified 52 sites and three Areas of Concern at the installation. Site types include landfills, former fire training areas, radioactive waste disposal sites, Underground Storage Tanks, aircraft maintenance areas, sludge lagoons, and sludge drying beds. Metals, volatile organic compounds, and semi-volatile organic compounds have affected groundwater and soil at the installation. Low-level radioactive waste has contaminated soil. Environmental funds will be used for the installation of cleanup systems, studies, and the operation, maintenance and monitoring of existing systems for soil and groundwater.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Kelly AFB, Texas Package

FY 1999 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					A.	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)				_		
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION		4. PRC	JECT TITL	E	
			BASE C	LOSURE - :	SECURITY	FENCE/
KELLY AIR FORCE BAS	SE, TEXAS		GATES			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	JECT NU	MBER 8.	PROJECT (COST (\$000)
				į		
8.57.96	730-000	MBPI	3993205	R1		400
1	9. COST	ESTIM	ATES			
1					UNIT	COST
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE - SECU	RITY FENCE/GATES		LS			318
GATE HOUSE/SECURI	TY GATES		SM	19	8,421	(160)
BOUNDARY FENCE			LM	1,400	84	(118)
ACCESS ROAD			LM	210	190	(40)
SUPPORTING FACILITI	ES					45
UTILITIES			LS		İ	(10)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS			LS			(10)
PAVEMENTS			LS			(20)
COMMUNICATIONS SU	PPORT		LS	ļ ļ		(<u>5</u>)
SUBTOTAL				!		363
CONTINGENCY (5%)			ļ	ļ ļ		18
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						381
SUPERVISION, INSPEC	TION AND OVERHEAD	(6%)	ļ	!		
TOTAL REQUEST]			404

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete floor slab, concrete | block and brick, roof system, utilities, security gates and road | reconfiguration as required. Also, chain link security fence and asphalt | access road.

Air Conditioning: 2 KW.

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

| PROJECT: Provides security for Lackland AFB and Kelly AFB Military Family Housing by constructing security fencing at the SW corner of Kelly AFB and a gate house and fence around the Billy Mitchell Village housing area.
| REQUIREMENT: Because of the realignment of Kelly AFB, the Billy Mitchell | Village housing area will be left as a stand-alone cantonment area. The | fence and gate house are required to provide security for the residents of | the area while providing easy access to work areas and other Air Force | retained community facilities. A new access road to the youth center from | inside the housing area is also required. Also, when portions of Kelly | AFB are excessed, access to the west side of the air field, including the | Air Intelligence Agency compound, the Guard and Reserve areas, and | Lackland AFB will be unrestricted. A security fence is required at the SW | corner of Kelly AFB to restrict public access to these otherwise secure | areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: Portions of Kelly AFB will be excessed IAW the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. This will result in unrestricted access to the retained west side of the airfield, which is an otherwise secure area. Also, Billy Mitchell Village will be left as a stand-alone cantonment physically separated from the other retained areas of the base.

400

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	j
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
 BASE CLOSURE - SECURITY FENCE/ GATES	 MBBBQQ32A5B1

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The public will be afforded unrestricted access to otherwise secure areas on the west side of the airfield, thus compromising security of the flying operations, the Air Intelligence Agency area, and Lackland AFB. Also, because the Billy Mitchell Village housing area borders on a high crime area of San Antonio, residents will be unnecessarily exposed to a high risk of theft, vandalism or bodily harm.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military

Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". BASE CIVIL ENGINEER:

Lt Col Larry Brittenham (601) 671-2877.

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE			
ļ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION						
 KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS						
4. PROJECT TI	****	15 220	TECT 1470000			
4. PROUBCI II	105	5. PRO	JECT NUMBER			
BASE CLOSURE	- SECURITY FENCE/ GATES	l MAD	B993205R1			
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:						
j						
a. Estimat	ed Design Data:					
 (1) St	a.ha					
•	Date Design Started		96 NOV 01			
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs	N NOV OI			
	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	COSCS	35%			
	Date 35% Designed.		98 JAN 01			
	Date Design Complete		98 AUG 01			
(2) Ba			ļ			
_	Standard or Definitive Design -		!			
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		ļ			
 (3) TO	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)			
	Production of Plans and Specifications		32			
	All Other Design Costs		32 			
	Total		32			
(d)	Contract		32			
(e)	In-house					
(4) Co	nstruction Start		99 MAR			
1						
b. Equipment	associated with this project will be provide	ed from				
other appropr	iations: N/A					
			i			
			İ			
ļ			ļ			
1			ļ			
1			ļ			
i [ł			
Ì			1			
İ			i			
]			İ			
ļ			j			
ļ			1			
			ļ			
			ļ			
<u> </u>			ļ			
!						
,			!			

FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	1. COMPONENT					······································	 1.	2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE- VEHICLE OPS AND KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS MAINTENANCE COMPLEX 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 8.57.96 214-425 MEPB993213R1 6,200 9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST ITEM U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000) BASE CLOSURE- VEHICLE OPS AND	I. COMPONENT	יים	עם אדד.דיים מממו ע	CONCUDITOUTO	אםם זא	יייי איני איני	1.	Z. DAIE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	ן אדם פספטים						1	
BASE CLOSURE- VEHICLE OPS AND								
MAINTENANCE COMPLEX S. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 8.57.96 214-425 MBPB993213R1 6,200	J. INSTAULATI	ON AIN	DECENTION					ODG AND
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 8.57.96 214-425 MBPB993213R1 6,200 9. COST ESTIMATES	VETTY ATE EOF	יעם האי	co movac					OFS AND
								T COST (\$000)
9. COST ESTIMATES	5. PROGRAM EL	EMEN I	6. CATEGORI CC	DE 7. PRODEC	1 1401	HER (O. 1	FROUEC	1 (031(\$000)
9. COST ESTIMATES	9 57 96		 214-425	 MRDR99	32131	P1		6 200
UNIT COST	0.37.30							0,200
### BASE CLOSURE - VEHICLE OPS AND MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u>-</u> 1	 I	UNIT	COST
MAINTENANCE COMPLEX LS 4,254 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY SM 3,400 1,000 (3,400) VEHICLE OPERATIONS FACILITY SM 275 920 (253) VEHICLE OPS/MAINT PARKING SM 6,500 60 (390) MOGAS DIESEL TANKS EA 2 105,500 (211) SUPPORTING FACILITIES LS (490) UTILITIES LS (490) SUPPORT PAVEMENTS/FENCING LS (490) SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL 5,594 280 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226			ITEM		и/м	OUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY SM 3,400 1,000 (3,400) VEHICLE OPERATIONS FACILITY SM 275 920 (253) VEHICLE OPS/MAINT PARKING SM 6,500 60 (390) MOGAS DIESEL TANKS EA 2 105,500 (211) SUPPORTING FACILITIES LS (490) SUPPORT PAVEMENTS/FENCING LS (370) SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL 5,594 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226	BASE CLOSURE-	VEHI	CLE OPS AND		i i	1		Ì
VEHICLE OPERATIONS FACILITY SM 275 920 (253) VEHICLE OPS/MAINT PARKING SM 6,500 60 (390) MOGAS DIESEL TANKS EA 2 105,500 (211) SUPPORTING FACILITIES LS (490) UTILITIES LS (490) SUPPORT PAVEMENTS/FENCING LS (480) SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL (5,594) (280) TOTAL CONTRACT COST (5,874) SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) (352) TOTAL REQUEST (6,226)	MAINTENANCE C	OMPLE	X		LS	İ	j	4,254
VEHICLE OPS/MAINT PARKING SM 6,500 60 (390) MOGAS DIESEL TANKS EA 2 105,500 (211) SUPPORTING FACILITIES I,340 UTILITIES LS (490) SUPPORT PAVEMENTS/FENCING LS (370) SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL 5,594 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226	VEHICLE MAI	NTENA	NCE FACILITY		SM	3,400	1,00	00 (3,400)
MOGAS DIESEL TANKS EA 2 105,500 (211) SUPPORTING FACILITIES 1,340 UTILITIES LS (490) SUPPORT PAVEMENTS/FENCING LS (370) SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL 5,594 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226	VEHICLE OPE	RATIO	NS FACILITY		SM	275	9:	20 (253)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES 1,340 UTILITIES LS (490) SUPPORT PAVEMENTS/FENCING LS (370) SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL 5,594 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226	VEHICLE OPS	/MAIN	r parking		SM	6,500		60 (390)
UTILITIES LS (490) SUPPORT PAVEMENTS/FENCING LS (370) SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL 5,594 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226	MOGAS DIESE	L TAN	KS		EA	2	105,5	00 (211)
SUPPORT PAVEMENTS/FENCING LS (370) SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL 5,594 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226	SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES]		! '
SITE IMP/COMM SUPPORT LS (480) SUBTOTAL 5,594 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226					!			(490)
SUBTOTAL 5,594 CONTINGENCY (5%) 280 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						(370)	
CONTINGENCY (5%)	·			LS			! ''	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST 5,874 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 352 TOTAL REQUEST 6,226							! '	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST 352 6,226							·	
TOTAL REQUEST 6,226							!	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)			men)					
	TOTAL REQUEST	(ROUI	אספט)					1 6,200

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab, walls, roof system, utilities, HVAC systems, personnel parking. Includes administrative space for vehicle operations; shop space for vehicle maintenance and repair, to include high maintenance bays, painting, and welding. Also includes tool parts, locker rooms, secured paved yard for vehicle parking, wash rack, and mogas/diesel fuel storage.

11. REQUIREMENT: 3,675 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct special purpose vehicle maintenance facility, plus |mogas/diesel fuel storage, on Kelly AFB. Construct vehicle operations and general purpose vehicle maintenance facility on Lackland AFB. REQUIREMENT: Because of the realignment of Kelly AFB, vehicle support for all of the functions realigning to Lackland AFB will become the responsibility of the Lackland AFB Transportation Squadron. vehicle operations and maintenance facilities on Kelly AFB will be excessed, so beddown construction is required to provide adequate facilities for the expanding vehicle operations and maintenance mission of |Lackland AFB. This project provides additional space for the vehicle operations function, and will construct additional general purpose maintenance space for Lackland AFB, as well as additional general purpose and special purpose maintenance space on the west side of Kelly AFB on land to be retained under Air Force control. The project will also provide for increased mogas and diesel fuel storage on the west side of |Kelly AFB to replace tanks on land that will be excessed. This project also provides secure parking for vehicle operations and maintenance functions. CURRENT SITUATION: The current vehicle operations and maintenance complex

at Kelly AFB is located on land that will be excessed IAW the

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE	Ī
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA		1
AIR FORCE (computer generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			
4. PROJECT TITLE	5.	PROJECT NUMBER	
			ļ
BASE CLOSIDE WEHICLE ODS AND MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	i	MBPB993213R1	1

recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. Because many of the functions currently on Kelly AFB will remain in place and become the support responsibility of Lackland AFB, the mission of the Lackland AFB Transportation Squadron will increase significantly. No suitable facilities exist on retained portions of Kelly AFB or on Lackland AFB to support the increased mission requirements of the Lackland AFB Transportation Squadron.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Lackland AFB Transportation Squadron will be unable to provide adequate vehicle operations or maintenance support to the missions remaining on Kelly AFB, thus jeopardizing their mission accomplishment.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military |Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. No existing facilities are available to support this requirement, making new construction the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not prepared. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Larry Brittenham, (601) 671-2977.

1. COMPONEN	Tr l	2. DATE				
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	•				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	1				
		'				
	KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS					
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER				
BASE CLOSUR	E- VEHICLE OPS AND MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	MBPB993213R1				
 12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:					
a. Estim	ated Design Data:					
(1)	Status:	İ				
•	a) Date Design Started	96 SEP 30				
	b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	•				
	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%				
	d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JAN 15 98 AUG 01				
1	e) Date Design Complete	98 AUG UI				
(2)	Basis:					
	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO				
	b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A				
1		į.				
•	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)				
,	a) Production of Plans and Specifications	525				
,	b) All Other Design Costs c) Total	525 İ				
1	d) Contract	525				
	e) In-house					
	-,					
(4)	Construction Start	98 OCT				
b. Equipme	nt accomiated with this project will be provide	ad from				
	nt associated with this project will be provide priations: N/A	ed from				
	p114010110. 11/11	i				
İ		j				
İ						
ĺ		ļ				
ļ						
		}				
)				
		1				
İ						
İ						
ļ						
1						

1. COMPONENT	-		2. DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	ATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	1
3. INSTALLATION AND) LOCATION	4. PROJECT TI	TLE
		BASE CLOSURE-	FUEL OPERATIONS
KELLY AIR FORCE BAS	SE, TEXAS	FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	. PROJECT COST(\$000)
1		1	i
8.57.96	121-111	MBPB993214R1	1,200
	9. COST	r estimates	

J. COUL BETTIME	<u> </u>				
	1		UNIT	CO:	ST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$0	00)
BASE CLOSURE- FUEL OPERATIONS FACILITY	LS				852
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	SM	250	1,168	(292)
OPERATIONS VEHICLE PARKING	SM	10,000	56	(560)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1				224
UTILITIES	LS]		(59)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(59)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(59)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(12)
FENCE	LM	450	78	(35)
SUBTOTAL	-			1	,076
CONTINGENCY (5%)		į			54
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1	,130
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)			į		68
TOTAL REQUEST				1	,198
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1	,200
		į			j
		ĺ			
1	i i	i	į		i

|10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab, masonry walls, roof system, and utilities. Space includes dispatch office, conference room, classroom, operator maintenance area, laboratory, restrooms, and locker rooms. Includes parking area for refueling vehicles and a compressed natural gas station.

|Air Conditioning: 20 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 250 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

PROJECT: Construct Fuel Operations Facility, refueling vehicle parking yard, and a compressed natural gas(CNG)station.

REQUIREMENT: Because of the realignment of Kelly AFB, beddown construction is required to support the relocation of the fuel operations facility from excessed property on Kelly AFB to property that will be annexed by Lackland AFB. An adequate facility to provide administrative space, classrooms, laboratory, maintenance area, locker rooms and restrooms is required. A secure refueling vehicle parking area and a CNG fill station are also required.

| CURRENT SITUATION: The fuel operations facility and CNG station are | currently located on a portion of Kelly AFB that will be excessed IAW the | recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. There are | no suitable facilities, at Lackland AFB or the portions of Kelly AFB to be | annexed by Lackland AFB, available for this relocation.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will be unable to ensure the delivery of safe, clean fuel necessary for flight operations, and will unnecessarily expose valuable refueling vehicles to vandalism or other damage due to a lack of a secure parking area.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ΓA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		į į
	ON AND LOCATION		
 KELLY AIR FOR	CE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TI	TLE	5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
 BASE CLOSURE-	FUEL OPERATIONS FACILITY	MB:	PB993214R1
Handbook 1190 project does 32-1084 , "St	riteria/scope for this project in Part II of , "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Howe meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Ford andard Facility Requirements Handbook". BASI Brittenham, (601)671-2977.	ever, ce Hand	this dbook

FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA IR FORCE (computer generated) . INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	1. COMPONE	ATTT	2. DATE
IR FORCE (computer generated) . INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	I. COMPONE.	· ·	
ELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS PROJECT TITLE ASE CLOSURE- FUEL OPERATIONS FACILITY 2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - NO (b) Where Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT Equipment associated with this project will be provided from	AIR FORCE	· ·	
PROJECT TITLE ASE CLOSURE- FUEL OPERATIONS FACILITY 2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (e) Date Design Complete (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (2) Most Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start PROJECT NUMBER MBPB993214R1 MBPB993214R1 SEQUIPMENT AS A COST OF SECULO			
PROJECT TITLE ASE CLOSURE- FUEL OPERATIONS FACILITY 2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (e) Date Design Complete (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (2) Most Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start PROJECT NUMBER MBPB993214R1 MBPB993214R1 SEQUIPMENT AS A COST OF SECULO			
ASE CLOSURE- FUEL OPERATIONS FACILITY MBPB993214R1 2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 96 SEP 30 (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 35% (d) Date 35% Designed. 97 JAN 15 (e) Date Design Complete 98 AUG 10 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract 102 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT	KELLY AIR		·
2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete 98 AUG 10 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT	4. PROJECT	TITLE 5. P	ROJECT NUMBER
2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete 98 AUG 10 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT	BACE CLOSI	DE ETEL ODERATIONS FACILITY M	RDR993214R1
a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete 98 AUG 10 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT			<u> </u>
(1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (5) Or (d) + (e): (\$000 (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Standard or Definitive Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house	L2. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
(a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (e) Date Design Complete (f) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 96 SEP 30 N N (Some in the second of the	a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (5) OCT (c) Equipment associated with this project will be provided from	(1)	Status:	
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete 98 AUG 10 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT			96 SEP 30
(d) Date 35% Designed. 97 JAN 15 (e) Date Design Complete 98 AUG 10 (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs 102 (c) Total 102 (d) Contract 102 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT			
(e) Date Design Complete (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs 102 (c) Total 102 (d) Contract 102 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT		(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs 102 (c) Total 102 (d) Contract 102 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT		(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JAN 15
(a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT		(e) Date Design Complete	98 AUG 10
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT	(2)	Basis:	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs 102 (c) Total 102 (d) Contract 102 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT		(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT	(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
(b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT 2. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from			
(c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT 2. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from			102
(d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT 2. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from			102
(e) In-house (4) Construction Start 98 OCT 2. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from		• •	102
. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from		· ·	
. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from	(4)	Construction Start	98 OCT
	(4)	Construction start	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			om

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
	F	Y 1999 MILIT	ARY CONST	ruci	10I	1 PR	DJECT DAT	A	1	
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer c	gener	ate	ed)				
3. INSTALLAT	ION ANI	D LOCATION			4.	PRO	TECT TITL	E		[
					BAS	SE CI	LOSURE: C	ONSOL	IDA:	red
KELLY AIR FOR							RASH-RESC			
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJ	ECI	יטעו	1BER 8.	PROJE	CT (COST(\$000)
										Ţ
7.28.06		130-142		MBPB	993	3216				1,200
<u> </u>		9	. COST ES	TIMA	TES	3				
					ĺ			LIND	ר	COST
<u> </u>		ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	cosi	Γ	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE								ļ		
FIRE-CRASH-RE	ESCUE S	STATION				SM	720	1,4	197	:
SUBTOTAL								<u> </u>		1,078
CONTINGENCY	• •							1		54
TOTAL CONTRAC								!		1,132
SUPERVISION,		CTION AND OV	ERHEAD (6	58)						68
TOTAL REQUEST								!		1,200
TOTAL REQUEST	r (ROUI	NDED)								1,200
								ļ		Į į
								1		
1								 		l i
1								[[
							l I	 		[
lao Baradan		F D						<u> </u>		. 63

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel framing, masonry walls, and metal roof. Areas include apparatus room, training, living quarters, recreation/dining, administrative, storage, and maintenance spaces.

Air Conditioning: 246 KW.

2,805 SM ADEQUATE: 555 SM SUBSTANDARD: REQUIREMENT:

PROJECT: Consolidated Fire-Crash-Rescue Station

REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured fire station on the west side of the Kelly AFB runway is required to provide crash-rescue and fire protection services for Air Force assets that will remain after Kelly AFB realignment. The station will house firefighting equipment and crews and will include living space. This project provides conjunctive funding to project MBPB963610 which resolves existing deficiency issues in the crash-rescue arena. This project provides for structural firefighting requirements and will provide for command and control, parking bays, as |well as administrative and operations space.

CURRENT SITUATION: The structural fire station is currently located on a portion of Kelly AFB that will be excessed and relocated to real estate that will be annexed by Lackland AFB IAW the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. There are no suitable facilities at Lackland AFB or the portions of Kelly AFB to be annexed by Lackland AFB available for relocation of the structural firefighting capability. Existing crash-rescue facilities are malpositioned, inefficient, |undersized, and inadequate. The Command has programmed a project to replace and consolidate the crash-rescue facilities; this project serves to supplement that initiative by conjunctively funding structural

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE: CONSOLIDATED FIRE-CRASH-RESCUE STATION	MBPB993216

firefighting capability displaced by base realignment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Lackland AFB fire department will be unable to provide the necessary structural fire support to facilities located east of the runway at Kelly AFB and which are to be annexed by Lackland AFB. Response times will not be minimized, jeopardizing the protection of life and Air Force assets.

ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided from the Base Closure Account; project conjunctively funds programmed project MBPB963610. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." This project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Larry Brittenham, (601) 671-2977.

1. COMPON	ENT		2. DATE				
		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	!	ļ			
AIR FORCE	<u>i</u> _	(computer generated)		į			
3. INSTAL	LATIC	ON AND LOCATION					
				!			
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER							
4. PROJEC	I TI	LE	5. PROJECT NUME	X46			
BASE CLOS	URE:	CONSOLIDATED FIRE-CRASH-RESCUE STATION	MBPB993216				
12. SUPP	LEMEN	TTAL DATA:		1			
 a. Est: 	imate	ed Design Data:		1			
(1)	Sta	itus:		i			
i	(a)	Date Design Started	96 NOV	15			
İ		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	osts	N			
	(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	3	58			
		Date 35% Designed.	98 JAN	30			
		Date Design Complete	98 JUN	01			
(2)	Bas	ia.					
(2)			NO	l I			
į.		Standard or Definitive Design -	NO N/A	 			
1	(D)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	ŀ			
(3)	Tot	(a) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$0	00)			
1	(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	1	.02			
	(b)	All Other Design Costs		ĺ			
İ		Total	1	.02			
i	(d)	Contract	1	.02			
	(e)	In-house		į			
(4)	Con	struction Start	98 0	CT			
				İ			
 b. Equip	ment	associated with this project will be provided	d from				
		ations: N/A		ļ			
}				i			
<u> </u>				1			
!) 			
1				} 			
1							
1				!			
1				i 1			
1				1			
				- !			
1							
1				1			
				1			
1				1			
1				1			
1				ļ			
1							
1							

		2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	ON PROJECT DATA	4	
AIR FORCE (computer general	1		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4	. PROJECT TITLE	2	
B	ASE CLOSURE - F	RECONFIGU	RE
	TILITY SYSTEMS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJEC	CT NUMBER 8. F	ROJECT C	OST (\$000
8.57.96 842-245 MBPB99	93230		2,500
9. COST ESTIMATI	ES		
		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
RECONFIGURE UTILITY		1	
SYSTEMS	LS		1,910
WATER DISTRIBUTION/METERING	LS		(76
ELETRIC DISTRIBUTION/METERING	LS	ļ	(76
GAS DISTRIBUTION/METERING	LS		(76
SANITARY SEWER/METERING	LS	ļ	(76
EMCS FIELD EQUIPMENT	LS	ļ	(1,606
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		1	232
STEEL WATER STORAGE TANK, 250 GAL	LS		(155
CONTAMINATED SOIL REMOVAL	LS		(77
UBTOTAL			2,142
ONTINGENCY (10%)		1	214
OTAL CONTRACT COST	[ļ	2,356
UPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	! ! !	ļ	141
OTAL REQUEST		ļ	2,497
OTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)			2,500

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reconfigure electric distribution system, water distribution mains, gas mains, sanitary sewer mains and EMCS field equipment as required to provide utilities to and metering capability for the cantonment area, resulting from the realignment of Kelly AFB. Includes installation of water storage tank. Removes contaminated soil as required.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Reconfigures utility and Energy Monitoring and Control Systems (EMCS) as required to establish a cantonment area at Kelly AFB annexed to Lackland AFB.

REQUIREMENT: Although the realignment of Kelly AFB closes/privatizes the Air Force Logistics Center and Defense Distribution Depot, much of the land area and many facilities will remain under Air Force control and become the support responsibility of Lackland AFB. This project reconfigures utility systems as required to provide adequate utilities to the facilities remaining under Air Force control. The project also provides for sufficient meter points to permit accurate billing by utility companies and verification of bills by the Air Force. The project alters EMCS field equipment in facilities remaining under Air Force control to provide for temperature control and implementation of energy conservation measures through the Lackland AFB EMCS system. The planned alteration will allow the Air Force to recieve the best long term utility rate structure.

CURRENT SITUATION: All of the utility systems at Kelly AFB are configured to serve the base as it currently exists. Based on the projected boundaries of the cantonment area, none of the utility systems permit isolation of the retained areas to provide for accurate billing of utility

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
l i	ĺ
BASE CLOSURE - RECONFIGURE UTILITY SYSTEMS	MBPB993230

consumption. Also, several major facilities have air conditioning and heating systems which cannot operate in a stand-alone mode, and require the EMCS front-end equipment to provide temperature control. Some of these facilities will remain under Air Force control, and some will be excessed. The Kelly AFB EMCS field equipment is not compatible with the Lackland AFB EMCS system, so buildings cannot be transferred from one system to another without modifying the field equipment. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Utilities to the Air Force retained areas of Kelly AFB cannot be isolated, thus preventing the accurate billing of utility charges. Although most utility systems can be turned over to the lutility companies intact, and each individual facility metered to determine utility consumption, this situation would result in significant increases in the utility rates being charged to the Air Force. increased cost is estimated to be 2 to 5 times of current rates. The result is unacceptably high long term operating costs for the facilities remaining under Air Force control. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military |Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". The construction identified is the result of a preliminary analysis of alternatives for accomplishing this project. It indicates alteration of the existing systems is the only feasible alternative. Therefore, a full economic analysis was not prepared. A certificate of exemption has been prepared. The Air Force is seeking alternative long-term water sources to lower its dependence on the Edwards Aquifer. The water portion of this project may |be altered to permit use of an alternate source of water. A comprehensive study was undertaken starting in Jun 96 which resulted in an approximate

35% design and a starting point for final design development. BASE CIVIL

ENGINEER: Lt Col Larry Brittenham, (601) 671-2977.

		lo Dimp
1. COMPONE	,	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
i.	MITON AND LOCATION	
KELLY ATR	FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT		PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOST	JRE - RECONFIGURE UTILITY SYSTEMS	MBPB993230
12. SUPPI	LEMENTAL DATA:	
l a Rati	mated Dogian Data.	
l a. ESC.	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
,	(a) Date Design Started	96 JUL 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cost	ts N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	65%
i	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JUN 01
I	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 01
(2)	Basis:	270
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
, (3,	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	,,,
	(b) All Other Design Costs	225
	(c) Total	225
]	(d) Contract	225
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
1		
 b. Equipr	ment associated with this project will be provided	from
other app	ropriations: N/A	
1		
! !		
! !		
! 		
i İ		
i		
i		
į		

1. COMPONENT							12	. DATE
T. COMPONENT	E7	Y 1999 MILITARY C	OMETTO LICT	יד ה	מת ז	OTECT DATE	!	. DAIE
AIR FORCE	F.		er gener			OUECI DAIR	•	
3. INSTALLATI	· ONT - NAT		er dener			JECT TITLE	<u></u>	
js. INSTALLATI	ON AM	DECERTION	 			LOSURE- AI	-	ODITOT!
 mtxmpp atd bo	ים הא	ASE, OKLAHOMA	!			MENT WORKI		ODOCI
		6. CATEGORY CODE						COST (SOCO)
is. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CALEGORI CODE	/. PROC	1501	L MOI	MDER O. E	PROJECT	COS1 (\$000)
8.57.96		 610-281	WWYK	(990	032			2,300
	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T ESTIMA	TES	3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						[UNIT	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-	ALTE	R PRODUCT MANAGEM	ENT					
WORKLOAD				- 1	LS			1,350
CENTER HEAL	QUARTI	ERS			SM	9,000	15	0 (1,350)
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES		1		[625
UTILITIES					LS			(350)
COMM SUPPOR	T				LS			(225)
ASBESTOS/LE	P ABAT	TEMENT		1	LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL				1]]		1,975
CONTINGENCY	10%)			1				198
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COST	r						2,173
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				- 1				130
TOTAL REQUEST				- 1				2,303
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)						[2,300
EQUIPMENT FRO	M OTHE	ER APPROPRIATIONS	(NON-AD	(Q				(3,250)
				1				ļ
				- [ļ
						1 1		1

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing office and computer room spaces to beddown Product Management workload. Work to include interior alterations and reconfigurations, electrical distribution system modifications, HVAC system and control modifications, overhead light realignment/repair, and communications support. Air Conditioning: 45 KW.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 11,689 SM ADEQUATE: 2,689 SM SUBSTANDARD: | PROJECT: Alter Product Management Workload REQUIREMENT: Because of the realignment of Kelly AFB and closure of McClellan AFB, alteration of existing buildings 3001 and 201 at Tinker AFB is required to beddown the Product Management workload. The relocation to Tinker Air Force Base requires existing personnel to consolidate and relocate to make room. The Product Management function requires adequate administrative space for the beddown of all relocating personnel. CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, the Product Management functions are |located on Kelly AFB that will be excessed and at McClellan AFB that will be closed, IAW the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. Tinker AFB has sufficient space to house all the incoming workload, but the space requires reconfiguration and alteration to adequately bed them down. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Tinker AFB will not be able to fully accept transferred workload as directed by the Base Realignment and Closure |Commisssion as suitable and appropriately configured facilities are not

63

effective management of product workloads throughout the depot. ADDITIONAL: Funding for this project will be provided from the Base

available. Failure to fully and smoothly transfer workload will degrade

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	1
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE- ALTER PRODUCT MANAGEMENT WORKLOAD	WWYK990032

|Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in part | II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". | Criteria not met in Military Handbook 1190 is met by the critical scope | specified in AFI 32-1084, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary | analysis of alternatives indicates that this is the only feasible | alternative. A certificate of exemption in lieu of a full economic | analysis has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Michael Cuddihee, | (405) 734-3451.

			· · · ·				
1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE	computer generated		i				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA 4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER							
J. PRODUCT TITLE							
BASE CLOSURE - ALTER PRODUCT MANAGEMENT WORKLOAD WWYK990032							
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			 				
a. Estimated Design Data	a :						
(1) Project to be a	ccomplished by one st	ep turn key proce	dures				
(2) Basis:			j				
	Definitive Design -		NO				
(b) Where Design	n Was Most Recently U	sed -	N/A				
(3) Design Allowance	2		196				
(4) Construction Sta	art		99 MAR				
b. Equipment associated worker appropriations: EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE SYSTEMS FURNITURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION 3400	be provided from FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED 2000	COST (\$000) 3250				

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROJECT	!
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	<u> </u>
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
		BASE CLOSUR	E: ALTER ENGINE
TINKER AIR FORCE BA	SE, OKLAHOMA	TEST CELLS	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
7.28.06	211-183	WWYK993200	3,800
	9. COST	ESTIMATES	
)		1 1	

9. COST ESTIMA	TES_			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	<u> </u> (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE: ALTER ENGINE TEST CELLS	LS			3,259
SUBTOTAL				3,259
CONTINGENCY (10%)				326
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,585
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				215
TOTAL REQUEST		[3,800
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		[3,800
				[
				1
				-
	1 !			1
	1 !	[
	[]	1		
	1 1	Ì		
	i i	į		į

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing test cells. Includes removing thrust frame and utility hookups, altering air inlet and exhaust ductwork, installing a concrete inertia block, piping and pumps, installing a relocated cooling tower and instrumentation panels (from Kelly AFB), installing a monorail, and reconnecting utilities.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Alter Engine Test Cells

REQUIREMENT: Kelly AFB will be realigned IAW the BRAC 95 Base Closure |Commission recommendations. This depot function must be retained by the Air Force and relocated from Kelly AFB to Tinker AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: The depot function at Kelly AFB may be privatized, but this function will be retained and relocated to Tinker AFB. The existing test cells at Tinker must be altered in order to accept the new workload. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Tinker AFB will not be able to accept transferred workload as directed by the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. If workload is not transferred smoothly, engine testing will be greatly impacted resulting ultimately in engines not being available to power aircraft. Aircraft would become grounded as time progressed impacting overall Air Force mission. If not provided, workload would remain at Kelly AFB in violation of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission recommendation or work would be contracted at an anticipated higher cost. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options indicates that this is the only alternative. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Michael Cuddihee, (405) 734-3451.

1. COMPONE	ent	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATE (computer generated)	A
	ATION AND LOCATION	
TINKER AII 4. PROJECT	R FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA	5. PROJECT NUMBER
4. PROJEC.		J. PRODUCT NOTEDIX
BASE CLOST	JRE: ALTER ENGINE TEST CELLS	WWYK993200
12. SUPPI	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est:	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 (d) Para 35% Pagigned	35% 98 JAN 30
	(d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete	98 AUG 15
	/e/ Date pearant combiners	70 A00 13
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	196
	(c) Total	196
	(d) Contract	196
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	99 MAR
	ment associated with this project will be provide copriations: N/A	ed from

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CON	STRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
		BASE CLOSURE- ADD	/ALTER FUEL-
TINKER AIR FORCE	BASE, OKLAHOMA	AIR FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PR	OJECT COST(\$000)
	i		
7.28.06	211-256	WWYK993201A	1,300
	9. COST	ESTIMATES	
		1 1 1	INITE COCE

9. COST ESTIMAT	£5			
	-		UNIT	COST
ITEM	[U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
ADD/ALTER FUEL- AIR FACILITY	LS]	1	682
ADD SIX HAZARDOUS TEST CELLS	SM	220	2,245	(494)
ALTER TEST AREA	SM	275	684	(188)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1	1		485
UTILITIES	LS			(167)
FUEL TANKS	LS	[[(<u>318</u>)
SUBTOTAL				1,167
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1]		58
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1	1		1,225
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1			74
TOTAL REQUEST	1			1,299
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		1		1,300
	1		ļ	
	l		ļ	i İ
			}	
	1			!
i I	Ì	İ	ļ	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry walls, concrete slab, ceiling and roof systems, and underground fuel storage tanks. Includes space for a control room. Alteration includes extending pneumatic piping from existing system to the new addition.

|Air Conditioning: 10 KW.

REOUIREMENT: 495 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD:

PROJECT: Add to and Alter Fuel/Air Facility

REQUIREMENT: Kelly AFB will be realigned IAW the 1995 Base Realignment and Closure Commission recommendations. This depot function must be retained by the Air Force and be relocated from Kelly AFB to Tinker AFB. CURRENT SITUATION: The depot function at Kelly AFB may not be retained by the Air Force; however, this particular function must be retained and relocated to Tinker AFB. The existing function must be modified in order to accept the new workload.

|IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Tinker AFB will not be able to fully accept, or adequately support, transferred functions without suitable and appropriately configured facilities. Without an appropriate test facility, various components can not be tested and returned for service, thereby degrading the operational readiness of our weapons systems. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of Military | Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Michael Cuddihee, (405) 734-3451.

1. COMPON	ENT	2. DATE
75 505.65	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
IR FORCE	(computer generated) LATION AND LOCATION	<u></u>
3. INSTAL.	LATION AND LOCATION	
	R FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA	
4. PROJEC	[TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOS	URE- ADD/ALTER FUEL- AIR FACILITY	WWYK993201A
12. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	98 JAN 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cos	sts N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	98 JAN 17
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 OCT 30
(2)	Paris	
(2)	Basis:	***
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	106
	(c) Total	106
	(d) Contract	106
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	99 MA R
	ment associated with this project will be provided copriations: N/A	from
other appi	opriacions: N/A	

1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE	
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION]	4. PRO	JECT TITL	E		
	1	BASE C	LOSURE-GAS	S TURBIN	E	
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH		ENGINE	TEST CEL	LS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CO	ODE 7. PROJ	ECT NU	MBER 8. 1	PROJECT	COST(\$000)	
			1			
1.12.34 211-251	KRSM	993009			2,100	
9. (COST ESTIMA	TES	- 5			
		ļ		UNIT	COST	
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-GAS TURBINE ENGINE	TEST]				
CELLS		M2	2,454	734	1,801	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		ļ			84	
UTILITIES		LS			(64)	
PAVEMENTS		LS	[(5)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(15)	
SUBTOTAL		ļ			1,885	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					94	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,979	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVER	HEAD (6%)				119	
TOTAL REQUEST					2,098	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,100	
					[[
					! !	
					[
			[[
		ļ]	
					1	

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a facility to house | the engine test work from Kelly AFB. The facility consists of concrete | foundation and floor, pre-cast concrete panels, built-up roofing. Test | cells have blast resistant doors, windows, and roof panels. Includes all | utilities pavements and site applicable work.
- | 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,454 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 | PROJECT: Construct 19 seperate Power Systems Test Cells

REQUIREMENT: The Power Systems workload will relocate to Hill AFB from Kelly AFB as a result of Base Realignment and Closure (95 BRAC) decision. Afacility for this workload is required to serve overhaul and testing of small engines used to power support systems for aircraft both on the ground and in the aircraft. The power systems include certain jet engine components such as starters and accessory drive gear boxes.

| CURRENT SITUATION: Power Systems depot repair is currently performed at | Kelly AFB and is scheduled to transfer to Hill AFB where no test | facilitiescurrently exist.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the proposed new facility, OO-ALC would | beable to overhaul power system end items, but would not be able to test | power systems on site. The lack of on-site test capability would | seriously degrade critical engine support to world wide inventories of | F-15,F-16, C-130, and other aircraft. Off-site test cell support is not | feasible.

ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER:Col Michael Cook, (801)777-3071.

1. COMPONE	'	2. DATE					
 AIR FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	ļ					
	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION						
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	! 					
HILL AIR	FORCE BASE, UTAH	i					
4. PROJECT		JECT NUMBER					
į		į					
BASE CLOST	RE-GAS TURBINE ENGINE TEST CELLS KRS	M993009					
 12. SUPPI	 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:						
 a. Esti 	mated Design Data:						
(1)	Status:	ļ					
1	(a) Date Design Started	97 NOV 01					
i	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	N					
1	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%					
1	(d) Date 35% Designed.	98 JAN 05					
!	(e) Date Design Complete	98 OCT 15					
		1					
(2)	Basis:						
 	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	1					
 	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	i					
(3)	Total Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)					
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(4,					
j	(b) All Other Design Costs	175					
į	(c) Total	175					
1	(d) Contract	175					
!	(e) In-house						
[(4)	Construction Start	99 FEB					
l I							
b. Equipm	ent associated with this project will be provided from						
	opriations: N/A	j					
		İ					
ĺ							
Ī		!					
ļ							
1							
1							
l 							
1							
1							
1		j					
ĺ		j					
ĺ		j					
1							
İ		!					
1		1					
<u>L</u>		<u> </u>					

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/LOWRY AFB, COLORADO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	271	381	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	271	381	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	271	381	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	950	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	950	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	363	2,003	1,249	2,078	3,081
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(6)	(6)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	363	2,003	1,249	2,078	3,081
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	858	-1,622	-1,249	-2,078	-3,081
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	858	-1,622	-1,249	-2,078	-3,081

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/LOWRY AFB, COLORADO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	652
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	652
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	652
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	950
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	950
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,739	10,513
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	(6)	(6)
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	1,739	10,513
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-1,739	-8,911
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-1,739	-8,911

U. S. Air Force/Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado

<u>Closure Package</u>: Lowry Air Force Base's 1001st Space Systems Squadron was inactivated and all related facilities were closed in FY 1997.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/MALMSTROM AFB, MONTANA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	4,895	12,738	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	210	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	293	2,313	108	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	1,991	0	0	0	0
Other	283	326	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	7,672	15,377	108	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	7,672	15,377	108	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	134	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	134	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	562	646	2,235	3,547	5,259
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	562	646	2,235	3,547	5,259
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	4,895	12,738	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	210	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-135	1,667	-2,127	-3,547	-5,259
Military Personnel - PCS	1,991	0	0	0	0
Other	283	326	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	7,244	14,731	-2,127	-3,547	-5,259

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/MALMSTROM AFB, MONTANA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL FY 2001 FY 96 - 01

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	17,633
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	210
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,714
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,991
Other	0	609
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	23,157
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	23,157
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	134
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	134
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,968	15,217
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	2,968	15,217
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	17,633
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	210
Operation & Maintenance	-2,968	-12,369
Military Personnel - PCS	0	
Other	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-2,968	8,074
TELL IN LEMENT MILLION COSTS	2,700	0,074

U. S. Air Force/Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana

<u>Closure Package</u>: Malmstrom Air Force Base will be realigned by 13 Jul 2001. The 43rd Air Refueling Group has relocated to MacDill AFB, Florida, and the Malmstrom airfield has been closed.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

McClellan Air Force Base, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/McCLELLAN AFB, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	753	0	29,530	6,400	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	27,814	25,694	39,474	37,309	43,164
Operation & Maintenance	7,434	26,819	76,747	159,206	126,198
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	6,207	1,241
Other	0	0	0	1,967	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	36,001	52,513	145,751	211,089	170,603
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	36,001	52,513	145,751	211,089	170,603
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,059	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	1,059	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(705)	(1,410)	(1,810)	(2,210)
Military ES	0	(45)	(90)	(116)	(142)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	753	0	29,530	6,400	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	27,814	25,694	39,474	37,309	43,164
Operation & Maintenance	8,493	26,819	76,747	159,206	126,198
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	6,207	1,241
Other	0	0	0	1,967	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	37,060	52,513	145,751	211,089	170,603

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/McCLELLAN AFB, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	36,683
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	32,139	205,594
Operation & Maintenance	60,561	456,965
Military Personnel - PCS	11,357	18,805
Other	0	1,967
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	104,057	720,014
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	104,057	720,014
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,059
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	1,059
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	(2,210)	(2,210)
Military ES	(142)	(142)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	36,683
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	32,139	205,594
Operation & Maintenance	60,561	458,024
Military Personnel - PCS	11,357	18,805
Other	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	104,057	721,073
	101,007	, 21,073

U. S. Air Force Depot/McClellan Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: McClellan Air Force Base will close by FY 2001.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

		Fiscal Year	Amount
State/Installation	Project Title/#	of Award	<u>(\$000)</u>
Utah/Hill AFB	Alter Product Mgmt/KRSM983102	1999	5,300
Utah/Hill AFB	F-117 Radar Fac/KRSM983002	1999	1,100
Total 1999			6,400

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

<u>Military Personnel - PCS</u>: \$6.2 Million. Funding supports military personnel permanent change of station (PCS) costs associated with closing the Air Logistics Center (ALC).

Operation and Maintenance: \$159.2 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance supports civilian personnel costs associated with closing the ALC and the associated Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) office. These civilian costs include annual leave, separation pay, severance pay, civilian unemployment and civilian PCS. The realignment and closure also requires moving costs and the "transportation of things" for Air Force Materiel Command, DLA and other tenants. Air Force Base Conversion Agency has O&M costs associated with maintaining an operating location including utilities & rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: \$1.9 Million. Funding supports procurement costs associated with closing the ALC.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$37.3 Million. Environmental contamination at the installation has resulted from Underground Storage Tanks, fire training areas, sumps near industrial operations, landfills, leaks near industrial waste lines, and surface spills. A study in FY 1979 detected groundwater contamination that led to the closure of two on-base and three off-base drinking water wells. In addition to 18 acres of soil contamination in the vadose zone, three large plumes of contaminated groundwater have been identified.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

McClellan AFB, California Package

FY 1999 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILI	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				,
AIR FORCE	computer generat	ed)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT TITLE	3	
Ì	BA	SE C	LOSURE- AL	TER PRO	DUCT
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH	MA	NAGE	MENT/COMPO	SITES E	EDDOWN
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGOR	RY CODE 7. PROJEC	T NU	MBER 8. P	ROJECT	COST(\$000)
8.57.96 610-28	KRSM98	3102			5,300
	9. COST ESTIMATE	S			
1				UNIT	COST
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BC-ALTER PRODUCT MANAGEMT/COM	IP BEDDOWN				3,288
ALTER MULTIPLE ADMIN AREA	\S	SM	13,000	220	(2,860)
GTACS (40% CONCRETE PAD)		LS			(143)
ALTER BUILDING 238 (COMPO	SITES)	SM	950	300	(285)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,270
UTILITIES (GTACS & B238)		LS			(45)
ASBESTOS/LBP ABATEMENT		SM	5,500	150	(825)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT		LS			(300)
BREATHING AIR SYSTEM		LS			(35)
RELOCATION COSTS		LS			(65)
SUBTOTAL					4,558
CONTINGENCY (10%)			1		456
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,014
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND C	OVERHEAD (6%)				301
TOTAL REQUEST					5,315
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,300
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRI	ATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,431)
		1	1 1		1

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing admin and | industrial spaces to beddown the Product Management/Composite missions | being relocated to Hill AFB. Work to include interior/exterior | alterations and reconfigurations and electrical/mechanical/HVAC systems | alterations.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Alter Various Buildings

REQUIREMENT: The Product Management and Composite workloads will relocate to Hill AFB displacing personnel and forcing consolidation of existing functions. The consolidation requires reconfiguration of office areas, and wall relocations and/or painting. Remodeling of entire spaces is necessary in some instances. Project is made necessary due to the closure of McClellan AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: Currently the base has space to house all the incoming workload but the space in some cases is not used as efficiently as it could be. The gross space is available, but requires reconfiguration, alterations, and/or remodeling to be used optimally.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Hill AFB will not be able to fully accept or adequately support transferred workloads without suitable and appropriately configured workspace. Without a complete stand-up of management functions, workload throughout the entire depot will be adversely impacted resulting in inefficiencies of operation, delayed customer support, and untimely service of weapons systems.

| ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This | project meets the criteria scope specified in Part II of the Military | Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Criteria not met in | Military Handbook 1190 is met by the criteria scope specified in AFH

	1
1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	1
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH	1
	OJECT NUMBER
	ĺ
BASE CLOSURE- ALTER PRODUCT MANAGEMENT/COMPOSITES BEDDOWN KR	SM983102
	ernative
	Ì
	1
	<u> </u>
 	i
	Ì
	İ
	!
	į
	ļ
	}
	į
	İ
	!
	ļ
	1
	İ
	1
	ì
	j
!	ļ
	1
1	
	į
į	į

1. COMPONENT			<u>'</u>	. DATE
AIR FORCE		TARY CONSTRUCTION computer generated		
3. INSTALLATION			·	
HILL AIR FORCE	BASE, UTAH			
4. PROJECT TITE			5. PROC	ECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE- A	ALTER PRODUCT MA	ANAGEMENT/COMPOSIT	ES BEDDOWN KRSM	1983102
12. SUPPLEMENT	TAL DATA:			
a. Estimated	d Design Data:			
(b) (c) (d)	Date Design Sta Parametric Cost Percent Complet Date 35% Design	Estimates used t ce as of Jan 1998 ned.	o develop costs	97 AUG 01 N 35% 98 JAN 01 98 JUL 15
	Standard or Def	finitive Design - as Most Recently U	sed -	
(a) (b) (c) (d)	Production of I	a) + (b) or (d) + Plans and Specific yn Costs		(\$000) 375 375 375
(4) Cons	struction Start			99 MAR
b. Equipment a other appropria		this project will		
EQUIF NOMENO	PMENT CLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
SYSTEMS FURNITU	JRE	3400	2000	1431

1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE		ī
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			ĺ			İ				
AIR FORCE	(compute	er genei	cated)				<u> </u>			ĺ
3. INSTALLATION AND	O LOCATION		4. PRO	JECT T	ITLE	2				
			BASE C	LOSURE	: F	-117	RAI	DAR		1
HILL AIR FORCE BASI	E, UTAH		FACILI	TY						1
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	FCT NU	MBER	8. P	ROJE	CT (COST (\$000)	
1				-						
8.57.96	131-118	KRSN	1983002	1				1,10	0	
	9. COST	r estima	TES							
			1		ŀ	UNI	r	CO	ST	1
ļ	ITEM		U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	r	(\$0	00)	
BASE CLOSURE: F-11			SM	6	50	8	332		541	-
SUPPORTING FACILITY	(ES		ļ		1				448	
UTILITIES			LS		1			(146)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	3		LS		-			(146)	
PAVEMENTS			LS		1			(146)	-
COMMUNICATION SU	PPORT		LS		- 1			(_	<u>10</u>)	
SUBTOTAL			ĺ	ł	1				989	1
CONTINGENCY (5%)					1		i		49	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								1	,038	
SUPERVISION, INSPEC	TION AND OVERHEAD	0 (6%)							62	1
TOTAL REQUEST				ļ				1	,100	1
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUN	IDED)			1	1			1	,100	-
			ļ	ļ	1		ļ			-
			ļ	!						1
I			1	1	- 1		1			- 1

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a radar tower with supporting foundation, utilities and fencing. The project includes finishing the interior of the facility for training purposes. The actual tower and exterior skin is going to be relocated from an FAA location.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 650 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD:

<u>PROJECT</u>: Provide all the necessary sitework, utilities, pavement, fencing and interior finishes to house the FPS 117 radar and associated operations and training.

REQUIREMENT: The FPS 117 will relocate to Hill AFB from McClellan AFB as a result of Base Realignment and Closure Commission decisions. This relocation requires extensive site and utility work to prepare for a relocated superstructure from the FAA in Louisiana. The interior of the structure must be finished and restrooms installed to accommodate training on the systems housed in the structure.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current radar systems need to be operational as long as possible, with down-time kept to a minimum for the move. The relocation of the structure from Louisiana will allow all the construction to be done prior to dismantling the existing radar. This construction schedule will save the government money not only in reduced down-time, but also in re-using existing equipment. The construction must occur in a timely fashion to keep the weathering of the exposed tower to a minimum.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Hill AFB will not be able to fully accept or adequately support this transferred workload without a suitable site and structure. If the structure currently located in Louisiana is not re-used there will be costly down-time while dismantling and relocating the structure located at McClellan AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding for this project will be provided by the Base Closure

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	L
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE: F-117 RADAR FACILITY KF	RSM983002

Account. This project meets the criteria and scope specified in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". |Criteria not met in Military Handbook 1190 is met by the criteria and scope specified in AFH 32-1084 "Standard Facility Requirements". BASE |CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Michael Cook, (801) 777-3071.

1	73777	la pame
1. COMPON	·	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	Α
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION	
!		
	FORCE BASE, UTAH	
4. PROJEC	T TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
Ī		
BASE CLOS	JRE: F-117 RADAR FACILITY	KRSM983002
 12. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	
 a . Est	imated Design Data:	
ĺ		
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 NOV 01
1	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	·
l	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
ļ	(d) Date 35% Designed.	98 JAN 20
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUL 15
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	ио
!	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(2)	m.h.l. 6 (-) (-) (-) (-) (-)	(2000)
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	100
	(b) All Other Design Costs	20
	(c) Total	120
	(d) Contract	120
	(e) In-house	
1 /41	Construction Start	 99 MAR
(42) 	Constitution start	אאויו ככ
1		
! !		
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provide	d from
	copriations: N/A	
 		1
İ		İ
! 		
I 		
l 		
 		1
 		l I
!		l I
[[J I
<u> </u>		ļ
 		l I
 		ļ
1		ļ
1	•	
1		
1		
1		ļ
1	-]

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE IAP ARS, ILLINOIS (AFRES) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	1,882	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,691	7,912	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,573	7,912	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3,573	7,912	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	3,597	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	3,597	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,930	5,948	5,948	5,948
Military Personnel	0	204	210	217	220
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(206)	(206)	(206)	(206)
Military ES	0	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	3,134	6,158	6,165	6,168
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	1,882	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5,288	4,982	-5,948	-5,948	-5,948
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-204	-210	-217	-220
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	7,170	4,778	-6,158	-6,165	-6,168

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE IAP ARS, ILLINOIS (AFRES) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	1,882
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	-
Environmental	0	
Operation & Maintenance	0	9,603
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	,
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	11,485
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,597
Other	0	
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	3,597
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5,948	26,722
Military Personnel	226	
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	(206)	(206)
Military ES	(6)	
TOTAL SAVINGS	6,174	27,799
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	1,882
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-5,948	-13,522
Military Personnel - PCS	-226	-1,077
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-6,174	-12,717

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE IAP ARS, ILLINOIS (ANG) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	100	53
Operation & Maintenance	0	30	27	37	35
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other - City of Chicago	0	9,703	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	9,733	27	137	88
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from City of Chicago	0	(9,703)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	30	27	137	88
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
City of Chicago Funds	0	0	74,100	10,952	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	74,100	10,952	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0
	U	U	U	U	U
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	Ō	ō	ō		0
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	100	53
Operation & Maintenance	0	30		37	35
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	9,703	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
City of Chicago	0	-9,703	74,100	10,952	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	30	74,127	11,089	88

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE IAP ARS, ILLINOIS (ANG) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	53	206
Operation & Maintenance	35	164
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other - City of Chicago	0	9,703
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	88	10,073
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
Revenues from City of Chicago	0	(9,703)
BUDGET REQUEST	88	370
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0
Other	0	0
City of Chicago Funds	0	85,052
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	85,052
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		_
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	53	206
Operation & Maintenance	35	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	9,703
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0,703
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
City of Chicago	0	75,349
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	88	85,422

U. S. Air Force/O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois

Closure Package: O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station will close by 30 Jun 1999. The 928th Airlift Wing (AFRES) will deactivate and redistribute aircraft to Dobbins ARB, Georgia and Peterson AFB, Colorado. O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station will close as proposed by the City of Chicago; the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will relocate to Scott AFB, Illinois provided the City of Chicago demonstrates that it has financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities, environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards, or a faster schedule than DoD would be obligated to meet if the base did not close.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0.1 Million. Funds required for on-going remediation in place.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Onizuka Air Station, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ONIZUKA AIR STATION, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	25,160	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	100	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,017	8,665	37,695	26,585	12,399
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	350	500	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,467	9,165	62,855	26,585	12,399
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,467	9,165	62,855	26,585	12,399
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	13	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	13	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	758	0	1,228	3,881	9,743
Military Personnel	0	0	0	3,704	9,311
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	758	0	1,228	7,585	19,054
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	0	25,160	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	100	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,272	8,665	36,467	22,704	2,656
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	-3,704	-9,311
Other	350	500	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,722	9,165	61,627	19,000	-6,655

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ONIZUKA AIR STATION, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	25,160
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	100
Operation & Maintenance	3,313	90,674
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	850
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,313	116,784
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3,313	116,784
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	13
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	13
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	6,057	21,667
Military Personnel	11,297	24,312
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	17,354	45,979
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	25,160
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	100
Operation & Maintenance	-2,744	69,020
Military Personnel - PCS	-11,297	,
Other	0	850
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0.50
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
	· ·	-
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-14,041	70,818

U. S. Air Force/Onizuka Air Station, California

<u>Closure Package</u>: Onizuka Air Station will realign by FY 2000. The 750th Space Group will inactivate and its functions will relocate to Falcon AFB, Colorado. Detachment 2, Space and Missile Systems Center will relocate to Falcon AFB, Colorado. Some tenants will remain in existing facilities. All activities and facilities associated with the 750th Space Group, including family housing and the clinic, will close.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$26.6 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance supports civilian personnel costs associated with the realignment, and communication equipment required in new facilities. These civilian costs include annual leave, separation pay, severance pay, civilian unemployment and civilian PCS.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Ontario IAP Air Guard Station, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ONTARIO IAP, AIR GUARD STATION, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	640	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	322	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	4	147	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	326	787	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	326	787	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	59	217	220	349	517
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(1)	(1)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	59	217	220	349	517
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	640	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	322	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-53	-70	-220	-349	-517
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	269	570	-220	-349	-517

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ONTARIO IAP, AIR GUARD STATION, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	640
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	322
Operation & Maintenance	0	151
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	1,113
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	1,113
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	2
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	292	1,654
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	(1)	0
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	292	1,654
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	640
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	322
Operation & Maintenance	-292	-1,501
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-292	-539

U. S. Air Force/Ontario IAP Air Guard Station, California

<u>Closure Package</u>: Ontario IAP Air Guard Station closed in 1997. The Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center depot closed; some workload moved to other depot maintenance activities, including the private sector.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

REDCAP, New York Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION REAL TIME DIGITALLY CONTROLLER ANALYZER PROCESSOR ACTIVITY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	709	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,300	2,000	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	2,009	2,000	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	2,009	2,000	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	19	0	45	137	202
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	(1)	(1)	(1)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	19	0	45	137	202
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	709	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-19	1,300	1,955	-137	-202
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-19	2,009	1,955	-137	-202

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION REAL TIME DIGITALLY CONTROLLER ANALYZER PROCESSOR ACTIVITY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLAR	RS IN THOUSANDS) TOTAL		
	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01	
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	1 1 2001	1170 01	
Military Construction	0	709	
Family Housing - Construction	0		
- Operations	0	-	
Environmental	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,300	
Military Personnel - PCS	0		
Other	0	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	4,009	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
BUDGET REQUEST	0	4,009	
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		,	
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Operations	0		
Environmental	0	-	
Operation & Maintenance	0	_	
Other	0	-	
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0		
SAVINCS.			
SAVINGS: Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0		
- Operations	0	_	
Operation & Maintenance	114	_	
Military Personnel	0		
Other	0	_	
Civilian ES	(1)	-	
Military ES	0		
	_	_	
TOTAL SAVINGS	114	517	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	700	
Military Construction	0		
Family Housing - Construction	0	_	
- Operations	0		
Environmental	114		
Operation & Maintenance	-114		
Military Personnel - PCS	0		
Other	0		
Homeowners Assistance Program Povenues from Land Sales ()	0		
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	_		
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-114	3,492	

U. S. Air Force/REDCAP, New York

<u>Closure Package</u>: The Real Time Digitally Controller Analyzer Processor Activity closed 30 Sep 1997. The Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center depot closed; some workload was moved to other depot maintenance activities, including the private sector.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Reese Air Force Base, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/REESE AFB, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	1,450	3,280	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,639	6,925	12,864	10,008	758
Operation & Maintenance	1,894	12,493	4,096	3,099	394
Military Personnel - PCS	100	1,834	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	16,633	22,702	20,240	13,107	1,152
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	16,633	22,702	20,240	13,107	1,152
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,273	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	1,273	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	770	1,676	1,676	1,541
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	6,960	24,078	26,160	41,513	61,556
Military Personnel	0	14,196	14,490	14,979	15,269
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(259)	(259)	(259)	(259)
Military ES	0	(339)	(339)	(339)	(339)
TOTAL SAVINGS	6,960	39,044	42,326	58,168	78,366
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	1,450	3,280	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	-770	-1,676	-1,676	-1,541
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,639	6,925	12,864	10,008	758
Operation & Maintenance	-3,793	-11,585		-38,414	-61,162
Military Personnel - PCS	100	-12,362	-14,490	-14,979	-15,269
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	10,946	-16,342	-22,086	-45,061	-77,214

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/REESE AFB, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	4,730
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	663	45,857
Operation & Maintenance	87	22,063
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,934
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	750	74,584
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	750	74,584
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,273
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	1,273
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	1,541	7,204
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	34,741	195,008
Military Personnel	15,577	74,511
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	(259)	0
Military ES	(339)	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	51,859	276,723
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	4,730
Family Housing - Construction	-1,541	-7,204
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	663	45,857
Operation & Maintenance	-34,654	-171,672
Military Personnel - PCS	-15,577	-72,577
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-51,109	-200,866

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Reese Air Force Base, Texas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Reese Air Force Base closed 30 Sep 1997. All training was divided among the remaining Undergraduate Pilot Training bases.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.1 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) at Air Force Base Conversion Agency. The O&M costs are associated with maintaining an operating location including caretaker costs, utilities and rents, civilian pay, travel, equipment, and supplies.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

<u>Environmental:</u> \$10.0 Million. Assessments and inspections have identified 13 sites with the following concerns: landfills, surface impoundments, Underground Storage Tanks, sludge spreading areas, industrial drain lines, and fire training areas at the installation. Past waste management practices have resulted in groundwater and soil contamination with volatile organic compounds, fuels, heavy metals, pesticides, and herbicides being the primary contaminants of concern.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ROSLYN AIR GUARD STATION, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	890	0	6,000	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	51	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2	5	1,226	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	339	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	51	892	5	7,565	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	51	892	5	7,565	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2	40	40	40
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	2	40	40	40
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	0	890	0	6,000	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	51	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	-35	1,186	-40
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	339	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	51	890	-35	7,525	-40

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ROSLYN AIR GUARD STATION, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL FV 2001 FV 96 - 01

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	6,890
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	51
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,233
Military Personnel - PCS	0	339
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	8,513
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	8,513
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	40	162
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	(4)	(4)
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	40	162
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	6,890
Family Housing - Construction	0	
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	51
Operation & Maintenance	-40	1,071
Military Personnel - PCS	0	
Other	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-40	8,351

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York

Closure Package: Roslyn Air Guard Station will close 30 Sep 2000.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

State/Installation Project Title/# Fiscal Year Amount of Award (\$000)

New York/Stewart Int AP Comm Training Complex/WHAY959635 1999 6,000

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

<u>Military Personnel - PCS</u>: \$0.4 Million. Military personnel funding supports movement of officer and enlisted military personnel to Stewart International AGS, NY.

<u>Operation and Maintenance:</u> \$1.2 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance supports civilian personnel costs associated with the PCS to Stewart AGS, NY, installation of a local area network at Stewart, and severance and unemployment costs for civilians that do not relocate.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Roslyn, New York Package

FY 1999 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE	
	FY	1999 MILIT	ARY CON	STRUCT	OIT	1 PRO	JECT DAT	'A	ĺ		
ANG (computer generated)											
3. INSTALLATION	I AND				4. PROJECT TITLE						
					BAS	SE CI	LOSURE-CC	MMUNI	CAT	IONS	
STEWART INTERNA	MOITA	AL AIRPORT,	NEW YO	RK	TRA	INI	NG COMPLE	X			
5. PROGRAM ELEN					JEC:	וטא ז	MBER 8.	PROJE	CT (COST(\$0	00)
	i		į				İ				
55296F	į	171-447	ĺ	WHA	7959	9635	<u> </u>			6,000	
		9	. COST	ESTIM	ATES	3					
								UNI'	T	COST	ı
		ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	Cos'	T	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-CO	NUMMC	ICATIONS TR	AINING								
COMPLEX						LS	İ			4,6	96
COMM/ELECT TE	RNG/A	SE SHOP ARE	A.			SM	1,750	1	700		75)
VEHICLE MAIN	r sho	P/PARKING SI	HED			SM	750	1,	000	(7	50)
ASE SHOP/ADM	IN/CO	VERED STORAG	GE			SM	325	1,	000	(3	25)
BASE SUPPLY V	VAREH	OUSE/EQUIPM	ENT SHE	D		SM	950		680	(6	46)
SUPPORTING FACT	ILITI	ES					1	ļ		!	00
UTILITIES/COM			ING			LS	1	ļ			65)
PAVEMENTS/SIT	re im	PROVEMENTS				LS		ļ		! '	<u>35</u>)
SUBTOTAL										5,3	
CONTINGENCY (59	t)										70
TOTAL CONTRACT							ļ			5,6	
SUPERVISION, IN	NSPEC	TION AND OV	ERHEAD	(6%)		!	<u> </u>				40
TOTAL REQUEST						<u> </u>		İ		6,0	
TOTAL REQUEST	(ROUN	DED)						Ţ		6,0	00
							<u> </u>	1		-	
						ļ	ļ	1			
							i .	i		1	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation, structural system, wall sections, and roof structure. Exterior to match base architectural style. Provide site development and pavements for |vehicles and equipment. Utilities and communications systems shall tie into existing base mains. Mechanical and electrical systems provided as required.

Air Conditioning: 141 KW.

REOUIREMENT: 3,775 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD:

PROJECT: Communications/Electronics Training Complex

REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure 1995 process requires the relocation of the 213th Electronics Installation Squadron(EIS) and the 274th Combat Communications Squadron to Stewart ANGB. The units require facilities that are properly sized and configured to support the missions. Large outdoor training areas are also required to set up antennas and other communication equipment.

|CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey in Apr 95 determined that there are no adequate facilities available at Stewart ANGB that can be upgraded or modified to meet the needs of the units. Operational requirements dictate that command and control of the units be collocated with the technical shops and mission equipment. Storage of war readiness support kits must be accessable to technical shops for continual maintenance and repair of highly sensitive electronic equipment. Powered support equipment must be maintained and stored in a protected environment. Pavement for vehicle parking and equipment supply and storage is required in the immediate vicinity. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The squadrons will be unable to relocate as

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE						
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
ANG 3. INSTALLATI	(computer generated) TON AND LOCATION	<u>-</u>						
İ								
STEWART INTER	RNATIONAL AIRPORT, NEW YORK	PROJECT NUMBER						
	13.							
BASE CLOSURE-	-COMMUNICATIONS TRAINING COMPLEX	WHAY959635						
required and will not meet training requirements. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria and scope specified in NGR (AF) 86-2, "Air National Guard Planning Factors". An economic analysis proves this is the most economical approach. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER REPRESENTATIVE: Major Tom Stanley, (914) 563-2701.								
1 - -								

1. COMPONE	!			2. DATE
ANG	FY 1999 I	ILITARY CONSTRUCTION (computer generated		1
	ATION AND LOCAT			
). 11101ABI	illon ALD LOCAL			
TEWART I	ERNATIONAL AIR	PORT, NEW YORK		
PROJECT	TITLE		5.	PROJECT NUMBER
	DE COMMINICATION	IC TOSTNING COMPLEY		WHAY959635
ASE CLOSE	E-COMMUNICATION	NS TRAINING COMPLEX		WHAI959635
2. SUPPI	MENTAL DATA:			
a. Est:	nated Design Dat	ca:		
(1)	Status:			
	a) Date Design	n Started		96 JAN 15
		Cost Estimates used t	o develop cos	
		mplete as of Jan 1998		359
	d) Date 35% De	-		98 JAN 13
	e) Date Design	1 Complete		98 APR 01
(2)	Basis:			
\-/		Definitive Design -		NO
		n Was Most Recently U	Ised -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c)	= (a) + (b) or (d) +	(e):	(\$000
		of Plans and Specific		388
	b) All Other I	Design Costs		
	c) Total			388
	d) Contract			388
	e) In-house			
(4)	Construction St	cart		99 MAF
		with this project will	be provided	from
ther appr	priations: N/A	A		

U. S. Air Force Program Management Summary

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	10,540	6,039	4,157	700	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	80	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,061	4,200	4,161	5,740	12,894
Operation & Maintenance	2,045	9,076	6,311	1,068	9,855
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	14,646	19,395	14,629	7,508	22,749
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	14,646	19,395	14,629	7,508	22,749
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,032	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2,032	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:					
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:					
Military Construction	10,540	6,039	4,157	700	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	80	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,061	4,200	4,161	5,740	12,894
Operation & Maintenance	4,077	9,076	6,311	1,068	9,855
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	16,678	19,395	14,629	7,508	22,749

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	21,436
Family Housing - Construction	0	80
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	7,135	36,191
Operation & Maintenance	3,932	32,287
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	11,067	89,994
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	11,067	89,994
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0
Environmental	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,032
Other	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	2,032
SAVINGS:		
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0
Other	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0
Military ES	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		
Military Construction	0	21,436
Family Housing - Construction	0	
- Operations	0	
Environmental	7,135	36,191
Operation & Maintenance	3,932	34,319
Military Personnel - PCS	0	
Other	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	11,067	92,026
MET INH LEMENTATION COSTS	11,007	92,020

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 1999 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Program Management

<u>Closure Package</u>: This exhibit displays overall one-time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, and combines the costs of program management as a result of the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. These costs generally include military construction, planning and design, environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements.

One Time Implementations Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u> <u>Project Title/#</u> <u>of Award</u> (\$000)
Various Locations Planning and Design/BCL99RD4 1999 700

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.1 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with Air Force Base Conversion Agency at Roslyn, Virginia. O&M costs including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$5.7 Million. Supports environmental requirements that pertain to all BRAC 95 installations. These requirements include funding associated with civilian pay for some employees at the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence and funds transferred to the Army as executive agent for programs such as Defense State Memorandum of Agreement, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Defense Environmental Restoration Task Force and Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, these funds cover the cost of Environmental Baseline Surveys, Environmental Assessments, relative risk analyses and data management.

Savings: Savings are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Program Management Package

FY 1999 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

	1. COMPONENT					2	. DATE	1
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUC	CTIO	N PR	OJECT	DATA	4		
_	AIR FORCE (computer gene	erate	ed)					1
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TI					ITLE	Ξ		
BASE CLOSURE:					: PI	ANNING	AND	1
	VARIOUS LOCATIONS		SIGN					
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PRO	JEC'	וטא ז	MBER	8. F	PROJECT	COST (\$000)	
				ļ				-
		199RI					700	1
	9. COST ESTIM	ATE	5				1	Ļ
	TOOM		/		T 673.5	UNIT	COST	ļ
	ITEM BASE CLOSURE: PLANNING AND DESIGN			QUANT	TIA	COST	(\$000)	ᅷ
	SUBTOTAL		LS		1		700	
	TOTAL CONTRACT COST		 	 	i		700	
	TOTAL REQUEST		1	! 	!		700	i
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		! 	l İ	i		700	
	TOTAL MERCENT (MODILES)		! 	l I	- 1		1 ,00	
			İ		i		i	
			İ		i		i	i
					i		i	i
ĺ					i		j	i
I					ì		į	i
ĺ					i		i	i
I					i		İ	İ
-					Ì		İ	Ì
i					İ		İ	İ
1					ĺ		İ	İ
1					ĺ			
J					l		1	Ţ
1	10. Description of Proposed Construction:	F۱	ınds	reque	sted	l will f	inance	-

- |10. Description of Proposed Construction: Funds requested will finance | architectural and engineering services and construction design for Air | Force Base Realignment and Closure Military Construction Program.
- | 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Planning and Design

Realignment and Closure Commission, funds are necessary to develop plans and designs supporting the functions to be realigned. These funds would be used for planning projects to be executed through FY 00 and 01.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If funds are not provided, actions determined by the 1995 Base Realignment and Closure Commission will not happen.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION U.S. AIR FORCE (\$ In Thousands)

NEW YORK:	AMOUNT (\$000)	PAGE#
Stewart International Airport		
Communications Training Complex (WHAY 959635)	6,000	120
<u>OKLAHOMA</u> :		
Tinker AFB		
Alter Product Management(WWYK990032)	2,300	63
Alter Engine Test Cell (WWYK 993200)	3,800	66
ADAL Fuel Air Facility (WWYK993201A)	1,300	68
Total for Tinker AFB	7,400	
TEXAS:		
Kelly AFB		
Security Fence/Gates (MBPB 993205R1)	400	48
Vehicle OPS/Maintenance Complex (MBPB 993213R1)	6,200	51
Fuel Operations Facility (MBPB 993214R1)	1,200	54
Consolidated Fire Station (MBPB 993216)	1,200	57
Reconfigure Utility Systems (MBPB993230)	2,500	60
Total for Kelly AFB	11,500	
<u>UTAH</u> :		
Hill AFB		
GTE Test Cell (KRSM 993009)	2,100	70
Alter Product Management/Composites (KRSM 983102)	5,300	86
F-117 Radar Fac (KRSM 983002)	1,100	89
Total for Hill AFB	8,500	
VARIOUS:		
Planning and Design (BCL 99RD4)	700	128
TOTAL:	34,100	