

### Department of the Air Force

# **Military Construction Program**

# Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 Budget Estimates

Justification Data Submitted to Congress April 2013

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2014 TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>General</u>	PAGE NUMBER
Table of Contents	1
Program Summary	3
Military Construction	
State Summary (List of Projects)	5
New Mission / Current Mission Exhibit	9
Installation Index	
Special Program Considerations	
Statements	
Congressional Reporting Requirement	ents14
Research and Development	15
Authorization Sought for Project fo Appropriated in FY2012 and Pa in FY2013	
Appropriation Language	21
Projects Inside the United States	23
Projects Outside the United States	
Projects at Unspecified Locations	
Unspecified Minor Construction	171
Planning and Design	

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2014 TABLE OF CONTENTS

Family Housing	PAGE NUMBER
Table of Contents	
Narrative Summary	
Summary	
Legislative Language	189
New Construction	191
Construction Improvements	193
Advanced Planning & Design	
O&M Summary	
Operations	219
Utilities	
Maintenance	
Maintenance & Repair Over \$20K	
GFOQ O&M Costs	
Reimbursable Program	
Leasing	
Housing Privatization	
Foreign Currency Exchange Data	

### Department of the Air Force Military Construction and Military Family Housing Program Summary Fiscal Year 2014

	Authorization Request <u>(\$000s)</u>	-
Military Construction		
Inside the United States	722,630	585,630
<b>Outside the United States</b>	283,481	283,481
Unspecified Locations	255,700	255,700
Planning and Design (10 USC 2807)		11,314
<b>Unspecified Minor Construction (10 USC</b>	C 2805)	20,448
Total Military Construction	1,261,811	1,156,573
Military Family Housing		
New Construction	0	0
Improvements	72,093	72,093
Planning and Design	4,267	4,267
Subtotal	76,360	76,360
<b>Operations, Utilities and Maintenance</b>	111,330	111,330
Utilities	70,532	70,532
Maintenance	110,786	110,786
Privatization	41,436	41,436
Leasing	54,514	54,514
Subtotal	388,598	388,598
Total Military Family Housing	464,958	464,958
Grand Total Air Force	1,726,769	1,621,531

In the FY2014 President's Budget, the Department is requesting an amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act for FY2013 (H.R. 4310) to increase the authorization for the Guam Strike Fuel Systems Hangar, Joint Region Marianas, Guam project from \$58 million to \$128 million. Amend Section 2301(b) of the FY13 NDAA by striking out the \$58.0 million for Andersen AFB, Guam in the table "AIR FORCE OUTSIDE THE US" and inserting \$128.0 million. The Continuing Appropriations Act for FY2012 (P.L. 112-74) appropriated \$64 million for Increment 1 of this project. Once authorized, the Department will request Increment 2 (\$64 million) in the FY2015 President's Budget. This book includes the project justification DD Form 1391 for this project.

## **Page Intentionally Left Blank**

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE INDEX MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2014 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) INSIDE THE US

STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION	PROJECT	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	APPROPRIATION REQUEST	PAGE
ARIZONA	Luke	F-35 Field Training Detachment	5,500	5,500	THOL
		F-35 Sq Ops/Aircraft Maintenance Unit #3	21,400	21,400	
		Luke TOTAL	26,900	26,900	
		ARIZONA TOTAL	26,900	26,900	
CALIFORNIA	Beale	Distributed Common Ground Station Ops Bldg	62,000	62,000	
CALLI OK ALI	Dun	Beale TOTAL	62,000	62,000	
		CALIFORNIA TOTAL	62,000	62,000	
FLORIDA	Tyndall	F-22 Munitions Storage Complex	9,100	9,100	
TLORIDA	Tynuan	Tyndall TOTAL	9,100	9,100	
		FLORIDA TOTAL	9,100	9,100	
HAWAII	JB Pearl Harbor-Hickam	C-17 Modernize Hgr 35, Docks 1&2	4,800	4,800	
nawan	JD I Carl Harbor-Inckam	JB Pearl Harbor-Hickam TOTAL	4,800	4,800	
		HAWAII TOTAL	4,800	4,800	
KENTUCKY	Fort Campbell	19th Air Support Operations Sqdrn Expansion	8,000	8,000 8,000	
		Ft Campbell TOTAL: KENTUCKY TOTAL:	<u> </u>	8,000	
			-,	-,	
MARYLAND	Fort Meade	CYBERCOM Joint Operations Center, Increment 1	358,000	85,000	
		Ft Meade TOTAL	358,000	85,000	
	JB Andrews	Helicopter Operations Facility	30,000	30,000	
		JB Andrews TOTAL	30,000	30,000	
		MARYLAND TOTAL	388,000	115,000	
MISSOURI	Whiteman	WSA MOP Igloos and Assembly Facility	5,900	5,900	
MISSOURI	wintenian	Whiteman TOTAL:	5,900	5,900	
		MISSOURI TOTAL:	5,900	5,900	
NEDDACKA	Offutt	USSTRATCOM Replacement Facility - Incr 3	0	136,000	
NEBRASKA	onut	Offutt TOTAL:	0	136,000	
		NEBRASKA TOTAL:	0	136,000	
NEVADA	Nellis	Dormitory (240 RM)	35,000	35,000	
		F-35 Alt Mission Equip (AME) Storage F-35 Parts Store	5,000	5,000 9,100	
		F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar	9,100 9,400	9,100 9,400	
		Add RPA Weapons School Facility	20,000	20,000	
		Nellis TOTAL:	78,500	78,500	
		NEVADA TOTAL:	78,500	78,500	
NEW MEXICO	Cannon	Dormitory (144 RM)	22,000	22,000	
NEW MEMICO	Camion	Airmen and Family Readiness Center	5,500	5,500	
		Satellite Dining Facility	6,600	6,600	
		Cannon TOTAL:	34,100	34,100	
	<b>T</b> _B	F-16 Aircraft Covered Washrack and Pad	2.250	2 250	
	Holloman	F-16 Aircraft Covered washrack and Pad Holloman TOTAL:	2,250	2,250 2,250	
			250	250	
	Kirtland	Nuclear Systems Wg & Sustainment Center, Ph2	30,500	30,500	
		Kirtland TOTAL:	30,500	30,500	
		NEW MEXICO TOTAL:	66,850	66,850	
NORTH DAKOTA	Minot	B-52 ADAL Aircraft Maintenance Unit	15,530	15,530	
		B-52 Munitions Storage Igloos	8,300	8,300	
		Minot TOTAL:	23,830	23,830	
		NORTH DAKOTA TOTAL:	23,830	23,830	
OKLAHOMA	Tinker	KC-46A Land Acquisition	8,600	8,600	
		. Tinker TOTAL:	8,600	8,600	
		OKLAHOMA TOTAL:	8,600	8,600	
TEXAS	Fort Bliss	F-16 BAK 12/14 Aircraft Arresting System	3,350	3,350	
12440	FULL DH55	F-10 BAK 12/14 Aircraft Arresting System Ft Bliss TOTAL:	3,350	3,350	
		TEXAS TOTAL:	3,350	3,350	
UTAH	Hill	Fire Crash Rescue Station	18,500	18,500	
		F-35 Aircraft Mx Unit Hangar 45E Ops #1 Hill TOTAL:	<u>13,500</u> 32,000	13,500 32,000	
		UTAH TOTAL:	32,000	32,000	
			-,		

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE INDEX MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2014 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) INSIDE THE US

STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION	PROJECT	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	APPROPRIATION REQUEST	PAGE
VIRGINIA	JB Langley-Eustis	4-Bay Conventional Munitions Inspection Bldg	4,800	4,800	
		JB Langley-Eustis TOTAL:	4,800	4,800	
		VIRGINIA TOTAL:	4,800	4,800	
		INSIDE THE US TOTAL:	722,630	585,630	

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE INDEX MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2014 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) OUTSIDE THE U.S.

STATE/COUNTRY CNMI	INSTALLATION Saipan	PROJECT PAR - Airport POL/Bulk Storage AST PAR - Hazardous Cargo Pad PAR - Maintenance Facility	Saipan TOTAL: CNMI Total:	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST 18,500 8,000 2,800 29,300 29,300	APPROPRIATION REQUEST 18,500 8,000 2,800 29,300 29,300	PAGE
GREENLAND	Thule	Thule Consolidation, Phase 2	Thule TOTAL: GREENLAND TOTAL:	43,904 43,904 43,904	43,904 43,904 43,904	
GUAM	JRM-Andersen	PAR - Tanker GP Mx Hangar/AMU/Sqd Ops PAR - Fuel Sys Hardened Bldgs PRTC RED HORSE Airfield Operations Facility PAR - Tactical Missile Mxs Facility PRTC SF Fire Rescue & Emergency Mgt	JRM-Andersen TOTAL: GUAM TOTAL:	132,600 20,000 8,500 10,530 4,600 176,230 176,230	132,600 20,000 8,500 10,530 4,600 176,230 176,230	
UNITED KINGDOM	<b>RAF</b> Croughton	Main Gate Complex	RAF Croughton TOTAL:	12,000 12,000	12,000 12,000	
	RAF Lakenheath	Guardian Angel Operations Facility UN	RAF Lakenheath TOTAL: ITED KINGDOM TOTAL:	22,047 22,047 34,047	22,047 22,047 34,047	
		C	OUTSIDE THE US TOTAL:	283,481	283,481	

WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED Unspecified	KC-46A MOB #1 Facility Projects	192,700	192,700
Unspecified	KC-46A FTU Facility Projects	63,000	63,000
Various	P-341 Unspecified Minor Military Construction		20,448
Various	P&D - Planning & Design		11,314
	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED TOTAL	255,700	287,462

INSIDE THE US TOTAL:	722,630	585,630
OUTSIDE THE US TOTAL:	283,481	283,481
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED TOTAL:	255,700	287,462
FY 2014 TOTAL:	1,261,811	1,156,573

## **Page Intentionally Left Blank**

#### **DEFINITIONS OF NEW AND CURRENT MISSION**

<u>NEW MISSION PROJECTS</u> – New mission projects all support new and additional programs or initiatives that do not revitalize the existing physical plant. These projects support the deployment and bed-down of new weapons systems: new or additional aircraft, missile and space projects; new equipment, e.g. radar, communication, computer satellite tracking and electronic security.

<u>CURRENT MISSION PROJECTS</u> – These projects revitalize the existing facility plant by replacing or upgrading existing facilities and alleviating long-standing deficiencies not generated by new missions or equipment. Included are projects to improve the quality of life, upgrade the workplace, enhance productivity and achieve compliance with environmental, health and safety standards.

	Authorization Request	Appropriation Request
<u>FY14</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
NEW MISSION	1,124,907	851,907
CURRENT MISSION	136,904	272,904
PLANNING & DESIGN		11,314
MINOR CONSTRUCTION		<u>20,448</u>
TOTAL:	1,261,811	1,156,573

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE INDEX MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2014 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) CURRENT MISSION/NEW MISSION BREAKOUT

			AUTH FOR	APPROPRIATION	
STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION	PROJECT	APPROPRIATION	REQUEST	TYPE
GREENLAND	THULE	Thule Consolidation, Phase 2	\$43,904	\$43,904	CM
NEBRASKA	OFFUTT	USSTRATCOM Replacement Facility - Incr 3	\$0 \$25.000	\$136,000	CM
NEVADA	NELLIS	Dormitory (240 RM)	\$35,000	\$35,000	CM CM
NEWMEXICO	CANNON	Dormitory (144 RM)	\$22,000	\$22,000	CM
NEWMEXICO	CANNON	Airmen and Family Readiness Center	\$5,500	\$5,500	CM
UNITEDKINGDOM	RAF CROUGHTON	Main Gate Complex	\$12,000	\$12,000	CM
UTAH	HILL	Fire Crash Rescue Station	\$18,500	\$18,500	СМ
		Current Mission TOTAL:	\$136,904	\$272,904	
ARIZONA	LUKE	F-35 Field Training Detachment	\$5,500	\$5,500	NM
ARIZONA	LUKE	F-35 Sq Ops/Aircraft Maintenance Unit #3	\$21,400	\$21,400	NM
CALIFORNIA	BEALE	Distributed Common Ground Station Ops Bldg	\$62,000	\$62,000	NM
FLORIDA	TYNDALL	F-22 Munitions Storage Complex	\$9,100	\$9,100	NM
GUAM	JRM-ANDERSEN	PAR - Tanker GP Mx Hangar/AMU/Sqd Ops	\$132,600	\$132,600	NM
GUAM	JRM-ANDERSEN	PAR - Fuel Sys Hardened Bldgs	\$20,000	\$20,000	NM
GUAM	JRM-ANDERSEN	PRTC RED HORSE Airfield Operations Facility	\$8,500	\$8,500	NM
GUAM	JRM-ANDERSEN	PAR - Tactical Missile Mx Facility	\$10,530	\$10,530	NM
GUAM	JRM-ANDERSEN	PRTC SF Fire Rescue & Emergency Mgt	\$4,600	\$4,600	NM
HAWAII	JBPH HICKAM	C-17 Modernize Hgr 35, Docks 1&2	\$4,800	\$4,800	NM
KENTUCKY	FORT CAMPBELL	19th Air Support Operations Sqdrn Expansion	\$8,000	\$8,000	NM
MARYLAND	JB ANDREWS	Helicopter Operations Facility	\$30,000	\$30,000	NM
MARYLAND	FORT MEADE	<b>CYBERCOM</b> Joint Operations Center, Increment 1	\$358,000	\$85,000	NM
MISSOURI	WHITEMAN	WSA MOP Igloos and Assembly Facility	\$5,900	\$5,900	NM
NEVADA	NELLIS	F-35 Alt Mission Equip (AME) Storage	\$5,000	\$5,000	NM
NEVADA	NELLIS	F-35 Parts Store	\$9,100	\$9,100	NM
NEVADA	NELLIS	F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar	\$9,400	\$9,400	NM
NEVADA	NELLIS	Add RPA Weapons School Facility	\$20,000	\$20,000	NM
NEWMEXICO	CANNON	Satellite Dining Facility	\$6,600	\$6,600	NM
NEWMEXICO	HOLLOMAN	F-16 Aircraft Covered Washrack and Pad	\$2,250	\$2,250	NM
NEWMEXICO	KIRTLAND	Nuclear Systems Wg & Sustainment Center, Ph2	\$30,500	\$30,500	NM
NORTHDAKOTA	MINOT	B-52 ADAL Aircraft Maintenance Unit	\$15,530	\$15,530	NM
NORTHDAKOTA	MINOT	B-52 Munitions Storage Igloos	\$8,300	\$8,300	NM
OKLAHOMA	TINKER	KC-46A Land Acquisition	\$8,600	\$8,600	NM
MARIANA ISLANDS	SAIPAN	PAR - Airport POL/Bulk Storage AST	\$18,500	\$18,500	NM
MARIANA ISLANDS	SAIPAN	PAR - Hazardous Cargo Pad	\$8,000	\$8,000	NM
MARIANA ISLANDS	SAIPAN	PAR - Maintenance Facility	\$2,800	\$2,800	NM
TEXAS	FORT BLISS	F-16 BAK 12/14 Aircraft Arresting System	\$3,350	\$3,350	NM
UNITEDKINGDOM	RAF LAKENHEATH	<b>Guardian Angel Operations Facility</b>	\$22,047	\$22,047	NM
UTAH	HILL	F-35 Aircraft Mx Unit Hangar 45E Ops #1	\$13,500	\$13,500	NM
VIRGINIA	JB LANGLEY-EUSTIS	4-Bay Conventional Munitions Inspection Bldg	\$4,800	\$4,800	NM
WORLDWIDEUNSPE	C UNSPECIFIED	KC-46A MOB #1 Facility Projects	\$192,700	\$192,700	NM
WORLDWIDEUNSPE	C UNSPECIFIED	KC-46A FTU Facility Projects	\$63,000	\$63,000	NM

New Mission TOTAL:

\$1,124,907

\$851,907

WORLDWIDE WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED UNSPECIFIED	Planning and Design Unspecified Minor Military Construction			P&D P-341
		Central Program TOTAL:	\$0	\$31,762	
		Active AF Program TOTAL:	1,261,811	1,156,573	

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2014 INSTALLATION INDEX

INSTALLATION	COMMAND	STATE/COUNTRY	PAGE
BEALE	ACC	CALIFORNIA	30
CANNON	AFSOC	NEW MEXICO	80
FORT BLISS	AETC	TEXAS	110
FORT CAMPBELL	ACC	KENTUCKY	42
FORT MEADE	STRATCOM	MARYLAND	50
HILL	AFMC	UTAH	114
HOLLOMAN	ACC	NEW MEXICO	90
JB ANDREWS	AFDW	MARYLAND	46
JB LANGLEY-EUSTIS	ACC	VIRGINIA	121
JBPH HICKAM	PACAF	HAWAII	38
JRM-ANDERSEN	PACAF	GUAM	139
KIRTLAND	AFMC	NEW MEXICO	94
LUKE	AETC	ARIZONA	23
MINOT	AFGSC	NORTH DAKOTA	99
NELLIS	ACC	NEVADA	64
OFFUTT	ACC	NEBRASKA	59
RAF CROUGHTON	USAFE	UNITED KINGDOM	155
RAF LAKENHEATH	USAFE	UNITED KINGDOM	160
SAIPAN	PACAF	CNMI	125
THULE	AFSPC	GREENLAND	135
TINKER	AFMC	OKLAHOMA	106
TYNDALL	AETC	FLORIDA	34
WHITEMAN	AFGSC	MISSOURI	55

## **Page Intentionally Left Blank**

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2014

#### **ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS**

An economic evaluation has been accomplished for all projects costing over \$2 million where more than one possible option could be identified. The results are addressed in the individual DD Forms 1391.

#### **DESIGN FOR ACCESSIBILITY OF PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED PERSONNEL**

In accordance with Public Law 90-480 provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT**

In accordance with Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process (EIAP) has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Air Force FY 2014 Military Construction Program.

#### **EVALUATION OF FLOOD PLAINS AND WETLANDS**

All projects in the program have been evaluated for compliance with Executive Orders 11988, Flood Plain Management, and 11990, Protection of Wetlands, and the Flood Plain Management Guidelines of U.S. Water Resources Council. Projects have been sited to avoid or reduce the risk of flood loss, minimize the impact of floods on human safety, health and welfare, preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands and minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands.

#### FY 2014

#### CONGRESSIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

#### 1. STATEMENTS ON NATO ELIGIBILITY

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-200, page 13, and are included in the appropriate project justification.

#### 2. STATEMENTS ON COMPLIANCE WITH CONSTRUCTION MANUAL 4210M

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Conference Report, 100-498, page 1003, and are included in each project justification.

#### 3. <u>NEW AND CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES</u>

The FY 1989 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-380, pages 10 and 11, identified a requirement to include an exhibit in the budget justification books that displayed required projects in two separate categories: New Mission and Current Mission. The CM (current mission) or NM (new mission) designation, which follows the project on the listing at page 9, identifies each project as new or current mission. Additionally, each justification in Block 11 of the DD Form 1391 indicates whether the project supports a new or current mission.

#### 4. <u>REAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION</u>

The FY 1977 House Appropriations Committee Report, 104-591, page 11, requested the Department to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project. Each DD Form 1390 reflects this information in block 12. In addition, the report requested all troop housing requests to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past two years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation. Each DD Form 1391 for troop housing reflects this information in block 11.

#### 5. METRIC CONVERSION

The FY 1999 House Appropriation Committee Report, 105-578, page 11, requested the Department to ensure that any Form 1390/1391, which is presented as justification in metric measurement, shall include parenthetically the English measurement. Each DD Form 1391 reflects the metric and English equivalent in block 11.

### FY 2014

NON-MILCON FUNDING

Research and Development (RDT&E) NONE

#### AUTHORIZATION SOUGHT FOR PROJECT FOR WHICH FUNDS WERE APPROPRIATED IN FY2012 AND PARTIALLY AUTHORIZED IN FY2013

#### FY2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

In the FY2014 President's Budget, the Department is requesting an amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 increasing the amount authorized for the Guam Strike Fuel Systems Hangar, Joint Region Marianas, Guam project from \$58 million to \$128 million. The Continuing Appropriations Act for FY2012 (P.L. 112-74) appropriated \$64 million for Increment 1 of this project. Once full project scope is authorized at \$128 million, the Department will request Increment 2 (\$64 million) in the FY2015 President's Budget. The project justification DD Form 1391 for this project is provided on the next page.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2012 MIL	ТА	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE			(computer ge	enerate	d)				
3. INSTALLATION	, SITI	E AND LOCATION		4. PF	4. PROJECT TITLE				
JRM - ANDERSEN . ANDERSEN AF BAS GUAM				GUAM HANGA		SYSTEMS MAI	NTENANCE		
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
27576		211-179	1366	/AJJY12	3010	AUTH: 128,0	00 APPN: 0		
		9.	COST ESTI	IATES					
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						111,269		
FUEL SYSTEMS MA	INTEN	IANCE HANGARS		SM	5,310	20,544	( 109,087		
SDD & EP ACT 05	5			LS			( 2,182		
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES						3,870		
SITE IMPROVEMEN	ITS			LS			( 631		
UTILITIES				LS			( 1,595)		
PAVEMENT				LS			( 1,001)		
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 206		
INJECTION WELLS	G (ABA	NDON AND REP)		LS			( 237)		
ENVIRONMENTAL H	REMEDI	ATION		LS			( 150		
ARCHEOLOGICAL N	IONITC	RING		LS			( 50)		
SUBTOTAL							115,139		
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)					5,757		
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST						120,896		
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)				7,496		
TOTAL REQUEST							128,391		
TOTAL REQUEST (R	OUNDE	D)					128,000		
FOUTDWENT FROM C	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NO					( 2,000.0		

Hangar. The hangar is to be constructed of cast-in-place reinforced concrete consisting of an arched roof supported on three sides by vertical walls. The height of the side walls is set to 34 feet and the height at the center of the arch is set to 68 feet. The arched roof is strengthened with ribs spaced at approximately 31 feet on center. These ribs extend from the roof to the foundation, acting as buttresses for the walls. The roof and side walls are 3 feet 6 inches thick, and the cross-sectional dimensions of the ribs are 3 feet 6 inches wide by 8 feet deep. The front of the shelter, which is not supported on a wall, is covered by a system of horizontally and vertically sliding steel doors that allow the aircraft to enter and exit the shelter. The horizontally sliding doors are partitioned into four sections that slide independently. The vertically sliding door consists of a single section that, in the closed (down) position, provides lateral support to the horizontal doors. The door system is an assembly of steel plates, channels, and tubes. The supporting foundation requires 90,535 SF and is 8 feet thick . The project will include electrical, mechanical, water, communication, fire suppression/detection, intrusion detection, heating/air conditioning system with temperature and humidity environmental controls, utilities, pavements, breathing-air system, parking, associated site improvements, archeological monitoring and all necessary supporting facilities for a complete and usable facility The facility must be able to withstand 190 mile-per-hour typhoon winds for doors, windows, roofs (170 mile-per-hour for other structural elements) and Seismic Zone 4 earthquake criteria. This project will comply with DoD force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 15 Tons

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 2012 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated) 4. PROJECT TITLE 3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION JRM - ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE GUAM STRIKE FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE ANDERSEN AF BASE SITE # 1 HANGAR GUAM 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 27576 211-179 1366/AJJY123010 AUTH: 128,000 APPN: 0 11. Requirement: 5310 SM Adequate: SM Substandard: SM PROJECT: Construct a fuel systems maintenance hangar. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured facility is required to provide repairs, functionality checks, and inspections on aircraft fuel systems, fuel tanks, hydrazine systems, and related components in support of the Guam Strike mission. The Fuel Systems Maintenance Hangar is required to support a Continuous Bomber Presence (CBP), Tanker Task Force (TTF), Theater Security Packages (TSP), and the Global Hawk beddown. This facility is authorized a single aircraft parking bay and support space for heating, plumbing, latrines, ventilation, compressed air, and fire detection and suppression. The Fuel Systems Hangar includes space for bench stock/special tools storage, HAZMAT storage, and administrative support functions. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Hangar 1 provides limited fuel systems maintenance capability and also provides critical B-2 low observable repair capability. Currently this configuration does not meet the overall fuel systems maintenance requirement. The 36th Wing (WG) has designated and certified two parking spaces on the center parking ramp as fuel systems maintenance areas, which is acceptable for minor repairs during contingency operations. The fuel systems workload requires a full-time, diverse, integrated, fuels system maintenance capability. Hangar One contains the safety and utility functions to provide a limited fuel system repair capability for large frame aircraft; however, to meet unique operational requirements, it cannot be dedicated to the frequent and lengthy repairs associated with home station aircraft. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility, Andersen AFB will be unable to provide adequate maintenance to aircraft fuel systems to support a Continuous Bomber Presence (CBP), Tanker Task Force (TTF), Theater Security Packages (TSP), and the Global Hawk beddown. Lack of this facility would significantly reduce readiness, and could result in degradation of operational capability, and may increase potential for a serious mishap. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/ scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements and PACAF Logistics Facilities Planning Guide. A preliminary analysis has been performed and determined that the only viable option is to construct a new Fuel Systems Maintenance Hangar. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost-effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (671) 366-7101. Hangar 5,310 SM = 57,160 SF. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives. In the FY2014 President's Budget, the Department is requesting an amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 increasing the amount authorized for the Guam Strike Fuel Systems Hangar, Joint Region Marianas, Guam project from \$58 million to \$128 million. The Continuing Appropriations Act for FY2012 (P.L. 112-74) appropriated \$64 million for Increment 1 of this project. Once the full project scope is authorized at \$128 million, the Department will request Increment 2 (\$64 million) in the FY2015 President's Budget. DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2012 MILITARY (	CONSTRUCTION		DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND JRM - ANDERSEN AIR ANDERSEN AF BASE SI GUAM	FORCE BASE	GU	PROJECT I AM STRIKE NGAR	ITLE FUEL SYSTEMS	MAINTENAN
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT	I NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
27576	211-179	1366/AJJ	¥123010	AUTH: 128,0	000 APPN:
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DA	ATA:				
a. Estimated Desi	ign Data:				
(1) Status:					
(a) Date Des	sign Started			10	6-JUN-10
(b) Parameti	ric Cost Estimates us	ed to devel	op costs		YES
	Complete as of 01 JA	N 2011			15%
* (d) Date 359	-				6-MAR-11
	sign Complete		11 he new		0-SEP-11
(I) Energy 2	Study/Life-Cycle anal	ysis was/wi	LI De per	Iormed	YES
(2) Basis:					
	d or Definitive Desig				NO
(b) Where De	esign Was Most Recent	ly Used -			
(3) Total Cost	(c) = (a) + (b)  or  (c)	d) + (e):			(\$000)
	ion of Plans and Spec				7,680
	er Design Costs				3,840
(c) Total					11,520
(d) Contract					9,600
(e) In-house	9				1,920
(4) Constructio	on Contract Award				12 FEB
(5) Constructio	on Start				12 MAR
(6) Constructio	on Completion				14 JUN
	mpletion of Project D parable to traditiona cutability.				
b. Equipment asso	ociated with this pro	ject provid	led from o	ther appropr	iations:
EQUIPMENT NOME		PROCURING PROPRIATION	APPRO	L YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS		3400	2	012	650
SHOP EQUIPMENT		3080	2	012	1,350
FURNISHINGS	NCLATURE AP	PROPRIATION 3400	APPRO OR RE 2	PRIATED QUESTED 012	(\$00) 65)

## **Page Intentionally Left Blank**

#### **APPROPRIATIONS LANGUAGE**

### FY2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

For acquisition, construction, installation and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities and real property of the Air Force as currently authorized by law \$1,156,573,000 to remain available until September 30, 2018: <u>Provided</u> that, of this amount, not to exceed \$11,314,000 shall be available for study, planning, design and architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of the Air Force determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of his determination and the reasons therefor.

## **Page Intentionally Left Blank**

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 201	4 MILI	TARY (	CONST	RUCTIO	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION A LUKE AIR FORCE B ARIZONA		ATION			UCATI	: ON AND MMAND		5. ARE COST IN 1.02		
6. Personnel	PE	RMANENT		S	<b>UDEN</b>	ΓS	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12	502	3966	899		627	_	934			14,186
END FY 2017	314	3416	673	119	627		934			13,222
7. INVENTORY DAT				_	_					- ,
a. Total Acreage:	5,653									
b. Inventory Total as	,	Sep 12)								1,877,776
c. Authorization Not	•	• •								87,720
d. Authorization Req		•	m.		(FY 201	4)				26,500
e. Planned in Next F		-			(1 20					20,300 35,000
f. Remaining Deficie		s Fiograffi.								35,000 86,000
g. Grand Total:	ncy.								-	2,112,996
y. Granu Totai.										2,112,990
8. PROJECTS REQ	IESTED			Λ N <i>I</i> ·			(FY 201	1)		
CATEGORY	JESTED		NOGR/	AIVI.			(F1 201	,	DESIGN	STATUS
		ד דודו ר				SCODE				
CODE	PROJEC	<u>I IIILE</u>				<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000	<u>START</u>	CMPL
141-753	E-35 Sa (	Ops/AMU #	3			4,282	SM	21 400	Design B	uild
	•	d Training I		mont			SM	-	Design B	
171-010	F-35 FIER	u maining i	Jelaci	IIIIeIII		<u>1,012</u> Total	SIVI	26,900	-	ulia
9a. Future Projects:		lannad Na	vt Eou			TUlai		20,900		
		htline Fillsta		i ieais.				15,500		
	-							-		
131-111	Commun	ications Fa	CIIIty			Total		19,500		
		• Deeldee	Thin In	at all at a	··· (ΦΝΑ)	Total		35,000		005
9b. Real Property Ma					, ,				1.4	335
10. Mission or Major						g wing w	hich cor	nducts flig	ght and cre	ew chief
training for the Comb	at Air For	ce and Air	Contro	ol trainin	g.					
		<u> </u>								
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA) D	eficienc	ies:					
a. Air pollution								0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
	1.00									

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer ger	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION, LUKE AIR FORCE F LUKE A F BASE SI ARIZONA	ASE			-	ROJECT TITLE FIELD TRAIN	E IING DETACHME	ENT
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27597		171-618	2517,	/NUEX0	93007		5,500
	·	9. C	OST ESTIM	TES		·	
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						3,200
AIRCRAFT SYS MA	INT TR	AINER CLASSROOM		SM	435	3,228	( 1,404
EGRESS SYS MAIN	T TRAI	INER CLASSROOM		SM	507	3,015	( 1,529
ADMINISTRATIVE	- SUPE	ORT AREA		SM	70	2,908	( 204
SUSTAINABILITY	AND EN	IERGY MEASURES		LS			( 63
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES					ĺ	1,422
UTILITIES				LS			( 203
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 487
SITE IMPROVEMEN	TS			LS			( 122
COMMUNICATION R	EQUIRE	EMENTS		LS			( 110
ENVIRONMENTAL R	EMEDIA	ATION		LS			( 500
UBTOTAL							4,622
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)						231
FOTAL CONTRACT C							4,853
		N AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				277
-		COST (4.0% OF S					185
IOTAL REQUEST		(1000 01 1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				5,314
IOTAL REQUEST (R	OUNDED	))					5,500
		PPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 30,166
to Building 93 construction m should be comp addition, local cover effective	5 and ethods atible L mate e. Th er un: ng:	Proposed Construct repair existing p s to accommodate to e with applicable erials and constru- his project will of ified facilities of 15 Tons 00 SM Adequate	parking lo the missio DoD, Air action tec comply wit	t usi n of Force hniqu h DoD	ng economi the facili a, and base les shall b	cal design ty. The f design st be used whe prism/force	and acility andards. In re cost
-		addition to the 1					ion)
EQUIREMENT: Joint Strike F	A Fiel ighten lition	ld Training facil: r (JSF) F-35 airc: nal space is neede	ity is req raft sched	uired uled	to suppor to arrive	t the bedd beginning	own for the in August
space requirem	ents i	The current field for the F-35 field	d training	, whi	ch will be	occurring	
simultaneously delivery sched		existing F-16 field		ng.	Based on t		

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT.	ARY CONSTRU	CTION PROJECT DAT	ſA	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE .									
LUKE AIR FORCE LUKE A F BASE S ARIZONA		ING DETACHMENT								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT (	5. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)				
27597		171-618 2517/NUEX093007 5,500								

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: The required classroom space for the F-35 will not be in place and airmen maintaining the F-35 will not be functionally qualified when the F-35 arrives. Students would have to be sent to Eglin AFB on a space available basis, adversely affecting F-35 student training at this location and at a significant cost in TDY funds.

ADDITIONAL: The scope of this project is based on the AETC Program Plan (PPlan) for the Potential Beddown of the F-35A Pilot Training Center. The site survey for the PPlan occurred in October 2008 and updated in Sep 2010. As a new weapon system, Air Force Handbook 32-1084 does not yet adequately address the operational, training, and security requirements of the F-35 mission. An economic analysis of reasonable options was prepared comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration and new construction. "Addition" was found to be the most cost effective alternative. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle costeffective practices, will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (623) 856-6135. ASMT Classroom: 435 SM = 4,678 SF; ESMT Classroom: 507 SM = 5,460 SF; Administrative-Support Area: 70 SM = 750 SF

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

		ITARY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated	1)	
3. INSTALLATION	I AND LOCATION	4. PR	OJECT TITLE	
LUKE AIR FORCE		F-35 1	FIELD TRAINING	DETACHMENT
LUKE A F BASE S ARIZONA	;ITE # I		Ι	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	MENT 6. CATEGORY	Y CODE 7. PROJECT	NUMBER 8. PROJ	JECT COST (\$000)
27597	171-61	.8 2517/NUEX	093007	5,500
12. SUPPLEMENTA	AL DATA:			
a. Estimated	Design Data:			
(1) Project	to be accomplished	d by design-build	procedures	
(2) Basis:		_		
	ndard or Definitive re Design Was Most	-		NO
(3) All Oth	ner Design Costs			220
(4) Constru	uction Contract Awa:	rd		14 FEB
(5) Constru	uction Start			14 MAR
(6) Constru	uction Completion			15 SEP
(7) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle a	nalysis was/will b	e performed	YES
	NOMENCLATURE		OR REQUESTED	
EQUIPMENT 1	NOMENCLATURE		OR REQUESTED	(\$000)
	S AND EQUIPMENT	3400	2015	166
SIMULATORS	(ASMT, ESMT)	3080	2013	30,000

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILITA	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		( co	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION, LUKE AIR FORCE E LUKE A F BASE SI ARIZONA	ASE			F-35	ROJECT TITLE SQUADRON OF CENANCE UNIT	PERATIONS/AIR	CRAFT
5. PROGRAM ELEME	INT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27597		141-753	2517/	NUEX0	93011		21,400
	I	9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES		1	
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						13,533
SQUADRON OPERAT	IONS/A	MU FACILITY		SM	3,963	3,295	( 13,058
COVERED OUTDOOR	SPACE			SM	319	595	( 190
SUSTAINABILITY	AND EN	ERGY MEASURES		LS			( 285
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES						5,067
UTILITIES				LS			(772)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	TS			LS			( 376 )
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 666 )
COMMUNICATION R	EQUIRE	MENTS		LS			( 376 )
ELECTRICAL FEED	ER			м	732	2,191	( 1,604)
DEMOLITION, VER	TICAL			SM	1,433	539	(772)
ENVIRONMENTAL R	EMEDIA	TION		LS			( 500 )
SUBTOTAL							18,600
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)						930
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST						19,530
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTIO	N AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				1,113
DESIGN/BUILD - D	ESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	UBTOTAL)				744
TOTAL REQUEST							21,387
TOTAL REQUEST (R	OUNDED	)					21,400
EQUIPMENT FROM O	THER A	PPROPRIATIONS (NON-2	ADD)				( 4,100
and AMU facilit the mission of DoD, Air Force, switchgear/brea addition, local effective. The Squadron Operat three buildings	the f the f and akers mate faci tions s (1,4	Proposed Construc- ing conventional d facility. The face base design stand includes appropri- erials and constru- lity will include area and an Aircr 33 SM) which are comply with DoD A	esign and ility sho ards. Ele ate sizin ction tec both cla aft Maint in the wa	cons uld b ctric g for hniqu ssifi enanc y of	truction m compatibies al feeder future F- nes shall b ed and unc construction	nethods to a ple with app and associa -35 requiren pe used when classified a AU) area. I ton to provi	accommodate blicable ated ments. In te cost areas in the bemolish de a clear

Air Conditioning: 160 Tons

11. Requirement: 24528 SM Adequate: 20565 SM Substandard: 3963 SM <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A consolidated Squadron Operations and Maintenance facility is required to support the beddown of the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) F-35A aircraft. The Operations portion of the facility is required to support the operations

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Page No.

1. COMPONENT FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE LUKE AIR FORCE BASE F-35 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT (#3) LUKE A F BASE SITE # 1 ARIZONA 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 6. CATEGORY CODE 27597 141-753 2517/NUEX093011 21,400 squadron and contains the space for flight planning, secure air crew briefing and debriefing areas, and training and administration of the squadron. Space must be provided for the storage, care and issue of flight crew life support system equipment and personal space is required for changing into and out of flight clothing. Flightline maintenance is semi-autonomous and responsible for the launch, service, on-equipment repair, inspection and recovery of primary mission aircraft. This facility will provide adequate area for maintenance, a tool crib with mezzanine, equipment issue area classified vault storage area, equipment and administrative spaces required to support the aircraft and the mission of the particular squadron. The facility is required to be completed no later than March

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The current AMU and Squadron Operation facilities are inadequate and outdated to conduct maintenance and operations for the F-35A mission. The operational squadrons are required to work, train, deploy, and fight as independent squadrons. The current squadron operation and maintenance facilities are undersized, do not contain enough secure space for pilot briefings and classified parts storage. The electrical feeders serving the 900 area of the base are overloaded and unable take on any more load.

2016 so it can be prepared for the third F-35 squadron arrival in October 2016.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Without this project being funded in FY2014, the required maintenance functions and personnel will not be operationally ready to receive the third F-35A squadron in October of 2016. The operational squadrons are required to work, train, deploy, and fight as independent squadrons. Work-arounds would adversely affect training and would significantly impact the mission required to support the F-35A program.

ADDITIONAL: The scope and dimensions for this project were based on Eglin AFB 95% design analysis and drawings for the JSF Squad Operations/AMU/Hangar facility. As a new weapon system, Air Force Handbook 32-1084 does not yet adequately address the operational, training, and security requirements of the F-35A mission. An economic analysis of reasonable options was prepared comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. New construction was found to be the most economical alternative. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost-effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (623) 856-6135. Squadron Operations/AMU: 3963 SM (42,657 SF); Covered Outdoor Storage: 319 SM (3434 SF).

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2014 MILITA (co	RY CON				DATA	2	. DATE
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND L	OCATION			4. PRC	JECT TI	TLE	1	
LUKE AIR FORCH LUKE A F BASE ARIZONA		1				~	OPERATIONS/A: NIT (#3)	IRC	RAFT
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY C	ODE 7	. PR	OJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST	(\$000)
27597		141-753		2517	/NUEX	093011	21,	,40	0
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:							
a. Estimated	d Design	Data:							
(1) Projec	t to be	accomplished b	oy desi	lgn-b	ouild p	procedur	es		
	andard o	or Definitive D ign Was Most Re	-		d -				NO
(3) All Ot	her Des	ign Costs							856
(4) Constr	ruction	Contract Award						14	FEB
(5) Constr	ruction	Start						14	MAR
(6) Constr	ruction	Completion						16	MAR
(7) Energy	/ Study/	Life-Cycle anal	lysis v	vas/v	vill be	e perfor	med		YES
b. Equipment	t associ	ated with this	proje	ct p	rovide	d from c	other appropri	ati	ons:
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCI	LATURE	PROCUI	RING	APPRC	APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED		COST (\$000)
FURNITURE	, FIXTU	RES, & EQMNT		3400	)	2	015		4,100

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 M	ILITA	RY CON	ISTRUC	TION P	ROGRA	M	2. DATE	
		TION		4 001						
3. INSTALLATION A		ATION		4. CON						
BEALE AIR FORCE	BASE,					COMMA	ND	COST IN 1.28		
	סכו			0.1		·	01			
6. Personnel	OFF	RMANENT ENL	CIV	OFF	UDENT ENL	S CIV	OFF			τοται
Strength AS OF 30 Sep 12	428	1904	982			0				TOTAL
END FY 2017	428 428	1904 2052	962 980		0 0	0	0			3,314 3,460
7. INVENTORY DAT		2032	900	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,400
a. Total Acreage:	23,204									
b. Inventory Total as	,	Son 12)								3,599,816
c. Authorization Not										3,599,610 0
d. Authorization Req		•	m.			(FY2014	n l			62,000
f. Planned in Next Fo		-	uii.			(112014	")			02,000
g. Remaining Deficie		Filograffi.								59,750
h. Grand Total:	ncy.									121,750
										121,750
8. PROJECTS REQU	IESTED			ΔM·			(FY 201	4)		
CATEGORY				<b>, 1</b> 11.			(1 2 0 1	,		STATUS
	PROJEC					SCOPE		\$,000		CMPL
		Derations F	acility			7,900	SM	<u>\$,000</u> 62,000		
141-430	00000		acinty		-	Total	Sivi	62,000	<u>-</u> Jun-12	Sep-15
						rotai		02,000		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	xt Fou	r Years:						
	None									
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog <sup>-</sup>	This Ir	stallatio	n (\$M)					254
10. Mission or Major	Function	s: A reconi	naissa	nce wing	g which	includes	two U-	2 Reconn	aissance	
squadrons, one of wh	ich is res	ponsible fo	r train	ing all th	e U-2 ai	rcrews;	a Contii	ngency Ai	rborne	
Reconnaissance Squ	adron (C	ARS); an A	ir Forc	e Space	Comm	and Miss	sile war	ning squa	dron whic	h
operates the Phased	Array Wa	arning Syste	em (P/	AVE PA	NS) rad	ars; an A	Air Forc	e Reserve	e Wing	
operating KC-135 aire	craft; and	a Global H	awk U	IAV unit.						
11. Outstanding Poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA D	eficienci	es):					
a. Air pollution								0		
b. Water Pollution	n							0		
c. Occupational S	Safety and	d Health						0		
d. Other Environr	mental							0		
DD Form 1390, 9 Jul	02									

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MIL	ITARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITI	E AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITL	E	
BEALE AIR FORCE	BASE			DISTR	RIBUTED COM	MON GROUND STA	TION (DCGS)
BEALE AF BASE S CALIFORNIA	ITE #	1		OPERA	TIONS FACI	LITY	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
35208		141-456	1460/1	BAEY14	3000	63	2,000
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
	. RC						
PRIMARY FACILIT		- T - T - T - T - T - T - T - T - T - T		av	7 000	4 920	39,528
DCGS OPERATION		LLITY ENERGY MEASURES		LS	7,900	4,920	(38,868) (660)
				<sub>С</sub>			
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES						16,289
UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEME	MTC .			LS			( 4,170)
PAVEMENTS	115			LS			( 1,031) ( 839)
FENCING				LS			( 151 )
DEMOLITION				SM	5,500	376	( 2,068)
ENVIRONMENTAL	REMEDI	IATION		LS			(250)
SPECIAL SCIF I	NFRASI	TRUCTURE		LS			( 3,600)
GENERATORS				LS			( 4,180)
SUBTOTAL							55,817
CONTINGENCY	(5	5.0%)					2,791
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST					-	58,608
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				3,341
TOTAL REQUEST						-	61,948
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	D)					62,000
EQUIPMENT FROM (	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NO	N-ADD)				( 3,650.0 )
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Constr	uction: Con	nstru	ct a DCGS	facility uti	lizing
	-	nd construction					
-		lity should be c ds. In addition	-			-	-
-		cost effective.				re detection/	-
suppression sy	stem,	intrusion alarm	s, special a	SCIF	infrastruc	ture (multi-	network,
_		ncy criteria), p			_	_	-
		support and parki ation, and demol					•
	-	lot. This proj				_	_
Protection req	uirem	ents per Unified	Facility C	riter	ia.		
Air Conditioni	ng:	150 Tons					
11. Requiremen		-			ndard: SM		
PROJECT: Cons (New Mission)	truct	a Distributed C	ommon Ground	d Sta	tion (DCGS	3) Operations	; Facility.
REQUIREMENT:	Funct	ional space is r	equired to a	collo	cate AF DC	GS mission o	rews and
	-	mission systems,					
	-	tempo, in-garri				-	
space for work	stati	ons and associat	eu racks and	1 COM	munication	is equipment;	

1. COMPONENT	FY 20	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATIC	ON	4. PROJECT TITL	E				
BEALE AIR FORCE	BASE		DISTRIBUTED COM	MON GROUND STAT	ION (DCGS)			
BEALE AF BASE S	ITE # 1		OPERATIONS FACE	LITY				
CALIFORNIA								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY	CODE 7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)			
35208	141-45	6 1460	/BAEY143000	62	,000			

mechanical space; warehouse space; and command staff offices. Facility must be sized to accommodate crew size based on number, duration, and frequency of worldwide intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) sorties derived from programmed Air Force ISR sensors and detailed in the AF DCGS Master Plan. Facility required for permanent installation of multiple ground sensor platforms and associated control systems.

CURRENT SITUATION: Beginning in early FY06, mission equipment and crews moved from deployable shelters into temporary structures to facilitate a major AF DCGS system upgrade not supportable by the existing shelters. By FY10, a new 85,000 sq. ft. facility was ready for occupancy but due to increased mission since the FY06 design. Since early FY11, the continued expansion of mission requirements and consolidation needs have emerged for an additional 25,000 sq. ft. There are no excess facilities of adequate size or configuration available to support this mission beddown. Finally, this is a total force weapon system operation, consisting of one active duty group, three active duty squadrons, three Air National Guard (ANG) squadrons and one Air Force Reserve squadron.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide additional space for the DCGS and associated missions could result in mission failure as more sensors will be employed around the world than AF DCGS capability to operate them due to the limited space for operators and workstations. AF DCGS mission degradation will ultimately deprive theater forces of critical, real-time data necessary for force protection and mission effectiveness, resulting in the cancelling of in-theater operations.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." An economical analysis was prepared comparing the alternatives of status quo, renovation/addition, and new construction. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle Cost-effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineeer: (530) 634-2942. DCGS Operations Facility; 7,900 SM = 85,000 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and locations are incompatible with use by other components.

. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION	4	. PROJECT	TITLE	
EALE AIR FORCE BASE EALE AF BASE SITE # ALIFORNIA	1		-	COMMON GROUN ATIONS FACILI	
. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
35208	141-456	1460/B	AEY143000	62	,000
2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	.:	•			
a. Estimated Design	Data:				
(1) Status:					
(a) Date Desig	n Started			0	5-JUN-12
(b) Parametric	: Cost Estimates use	ed to dev	elop costs		YES
* (c) Percent Co	mplete as of 01 JAM	<b>V 2013</b>			15%
* (d) Date 35% I	Designed			0.	4-MAR-13
(e) Date Desig	n Complete			3	0-SEP-13
(f) Energy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was/	will be per	formed	YES
(2) Basis:					
(a) Standard o	or Definitive Design	1 <b>-</b>			NO
(b) Where Desi	gn Was Most Recent]	ly Used -			
(3) Total Cost (c	:) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e):			(\$000)
	of Plans and Speci		S		3,720
(b) All Other	Design Costs				1,860
(c) Total	-				5,580
(d) Contract					4,650
(e) In-house					930
(4) Construction	Contract Award				14 FEB
(5) Construction	Start				14 MAR
(6) Construction	Completion				16 APR
which is compar cost and execut		L 35% des	ign to ensu	re valid sco	pe,
b. Equipment associ	ated with this pro	ject prov	ided from c	ther appropr	lations:
	_	BOGINETNO		AL YEAR	COST
EQUIPMENT NOMENC		ROCURING PROPRIATI		PRIATED	(\$000)
COMM EQUIPMENT		3800		2015	2,500
CAMERA EQUIPMENT		3800		015	2,500
FURNITURE		3400		016	1,000

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 20	14 MIL	ITARY CONSTRUCTION PROG				RAM	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. COMMAND:				5. AREA	A CONST	
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE				AIR COMBAT COMMAND				COST INDEX		
FLORIDA								0.81		
6. Personnel	PERMANENT							JPPORTED		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP12	336	2520	429	270	196	0	395			5,236
END FY 2017	350	2667	432	270	196	0	415	922	235	5,487
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage: 29,069 b. Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 12) 1,277,014										
c. Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										26,350
d. Authorization Requested in this Program: (FY 2014)										20,350 9,100
e. Planned in Next Four Years Program: (FY 2014) 9,100										
f. Remaining Deficiency: 38,50										
g. Grand Total:										1,350,964
5										, ,
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2014)										
CATEGORY COST DESIGN										STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE					SCOPE \$,000 START			CMPL	
422-265	<b>5</b> 1 <i>i</i>								Feb 12	Sep 13
Total 9,100										
9a. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years: N/A										
N/A										
9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation (\$M) 205										
10. Mission or Major Functions: A fighter training wing with one F-22A training squadron responsible for										
training F-22A aircrews and one combat-coded F-22A squadron; Air Combat Command's Headquarters First										
Air Force and 53rd Weapons Evaluation Group, and Southeast Air Defense Sector; Air Force Civil Engineering										
Services Agency, and Air Force Research Laboratory.										
11. Outstanding pollution and Safety (OSHA) Deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution								0		
b. Water Pollution 0										
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0										
d. Other Environmental							0			
DD Form 1390 24 Jul 00										

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MIL]	ITARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION,	STTE	E AND LOCATION		4. PF	OJECT TITL	R.	
TYNDALL AIR FORC TYNDALL AFB SITE FLORIDA	E BAS					- STORAGE COMPL	EX
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PI	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27138		422-265	3366/2	LWU10	3007		9,100
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIE	s						4,369
4 BAY CONVENTION	NAL M	X/INSPECTION FACIL	ITY	SM	768	2,484	( 1,908 )
COVERED MUNS AS	SEMBL	Y CONTAINER (MAC) 1	PAD	LS			( 1,150 )
MAC PAD SUPPORT	FACI	LITY		SM	69	2,484	( 171 )
STORAGE IGLOOS				SM	388	2,715	( 1,053 )
SUSTAINABILITY 2	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(86)
SUPPORTING FACILI	TIES					İ İ	3,875
DEMOLITION				SM	265	377	( 100 )
UTILITIES				LS	205	577	( 370 )
PAVEMENTS/ALTER	NATE	MSA ROUTE		LS			(975)
SITE IMPROVEMEN				LS			(375)
COMMUNICATION				LS			( 105 )
FILL/WETLAND MI	TIGAT	ION		LS			( 1,500)
MSA FENCE EXPAN	SION/	REALIGNMENT		LS			( 450)
SUBTOTAL							8,244
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)					412
TOTAL CONTRACT CO	OST					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8,656
SUPERVISION, INSP	PECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				493
TOTAL REQUEST						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9,149
TOTAL REQUEST (RC	UNDE	D)					9,100
EQUIPMENT FROM OT	HER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	-ADD)				( 125.0
munitions maint to accommodate applicable DoD, and constructio demolish one bu	enan the Air n te ildi orce	Proposed Constru- ce facility utili mission of the fa Force, and base chniques shall be ng (265 SM). This protection requi 95 SM Adequate	izing econor acility. Th design star e used where is project w irements per	nical ne fa ndard e cos vill r uni:	design an cility sho s. In add t effectiv comply wit	nd construct puld be comp dition, loca re. This pr th DoD dities crite	ion methods atible with 1 materials oject will
PROJECT: F-22	Muni	tions Storage Con	mplex (New 1	Missi	on)		
munitions maint facility, secur beddown of a 21 munitions workl munitions and o requirements, c	enan ity com oad. ne t oncu	equately sized, o ce and inspection fence and lightni bat coded F-22 Fi Facilities are hird fly away cap rrent explosive o	n facility a ing protecti ighter Squad required to pability, in operations a	and a ion a: iron a b supp icrea; and c	covered p re require aircraft i port incre sed Net Ex onsolidate	ed with sup ed to suppor n FY14 and eased air-to plosive Wei e/reallocate	port t the associate -ground ght (NEW)
storage assets DD FORM 1391, D		ore efficiently u 9 Previo	utilize exis				An Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MIL	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE F-22 MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX										
TYNDALL AFB SIT	E # 1									
FLORIDA										
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PE	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CC	ST (\$000)					
27138	422-265	3366/2	100							

alternate route out of the Munitions Storage Area is required to meet safety and operation criteria in the event the main route is obstructed by natural or manmade causes. The additional air-to-ground munitions significantly alter the explosive quantity distance setbacks, so adjustments must be made. Also, major utility infrastructure upgrades are necessary to accommodate redevelopment of the area. CURRENT SITUATION: Tyndall AFB Munitions Support Area (MSA) predominantly supports air-to-air operations with limited air-to-ground munitions operations for a noncombat coded F-22 training squadron and tenant units. With the approved beddown of a Combat Coded, 21 F-22 Fighter Squadron aircraft in FY13, the existing MSA as configured, cannot support increased munitions, simultaneous operations and training requirements. The base does not have adequate facilities to conduct safe and efficient handling of munitions in support of F-22 operations. The existing condition in the MSA creates a situation that affects personnel safety, security, and reduces operational efficiency. The MSA does not currently have an alternate route for personnel, equipment and mission accomplishment in the event the current route is closed. The MSA is located in a flood-prone area with significant wetlands adjacent to the site. The area drains predominantly by sheet flow to open ditches and a few drop inlets that discharge through pipe culverts. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without adequate MSA facilities, the Air Force will not be able to adequately train personnel, build, store, generate required munitions within critical aircraft generations timelines to meet F-22 Operation Plans and Taskings. Mission readiness and capability will be significantly impacted resulting in degradation in operational capability and increasing potential for a serious mishap. ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design,

development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (850) 283-3283. 4 Bay Conventional Munitions Maintenance Facility: 837 SM = 9,009 SF; Storage Igloos: 388 SM = 4,176 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION		4	. PROJECT	TTTLE	
YNDALL AIR FORCE B					ONS STORAGE	COMPLEY
YNDALL AFB SITE #			F	-ZZ MONIII	ONS STORAGE	COMPLEX
LORIDA	-					
. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27138	422-265			WU103007		9,100
2. SUPPLEMENTAL DA	TA:					
a. Estimated Desi	gn Data:					
(1) Status:						
(a) Date Des	-					01-FEB-11
(b) Parametr	ic Cost Estimat	es use	d to deve	elop costs		YES
* (c) Percent	Complete as of	01 JAN	2013			15%
* (d) Date 35%	Designed					28-MAR-13
	ign Complete					01-SEP-13
(f) Energy S	tudy/Life-Cycle	analy	sis was/w	vill be per	formed	YES
(2) Basis:						
<b>,</b>	or Definitive	Design	_			NO
	sign Was Most R	-				No
(3) Total Cost	(c) = (a) + (b)	or (d	) + (e):			(\$000)
(a) Producti	on of Plans and	Speci	fications	5		546
(b) All Othe	r Design Costs					273
(c) Total						819
(d) Contract						707
(e) In-house						112
(4) Constructio	n Contract Awar	d				14 FEB
(5) Constructio	n Start					14 MAR
(6) Constructio	n Completion					16 APR
* Indicates com	pletion of Proj	ect De:	finition	with Param	etric Cost	Estimate
-	arable to tradi	tional	35% desi	gn to ensu	re valid so	cope,
cost and exec	utability.					
b. Equipment asso	ciated with thi	s proj	ect provi	ded from c	ther approp	priations:
				_		
EQUIPMENT NOME	ICLATURE		OCURING ROPRIATIC	APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS	EQUIPMENT		3400	2	2015	100
FURNISHINGS			3400	2	2015	25

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	IILITAR	YCON	CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DA					2. DATE				
INSTALLATION AND	LOCATIO	ON		COMM	AND:					5. AREA	CONST	
JB PEARL HARBOR	HICKAM			PACIFI	C AIR I	FOF	RCES			COST IN		
HAWAII										2.11		
6. Personnel		PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED										
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL		CIV		OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12	1,157		3,215			0		0	0	0		9,504
END FY 2017	1,126	4,939	3,020	0		0		0	0	C	0 0	9,085
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)											0.000
a. Total Acreage:	. (	40)										3,002
b. Inventory Total as	```	• •										4,722,030
c. Authorization Not Y					(FY 20	1 1)						19,471 4,800
e. Planned in Next Fo			uiii.		(F120	14)						4,800 21,000
f. Remaining Deficier		Filograffi.										247,100
g. Grand Total:	icy.										-	5,014,401
8. PROJECTS REQU	JESTED I	N THIS P	ROGR	AM:					(FY 201-	4)		0,011,101
CATEGORY									(0.	COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC <sup>-</sup>	T TITLE					SCOP	ΡE		\$,000	START	CMPL
		lernize Hg	r 35, D	ocks 18	.2		N/A		LS	4,800	May-12	Sep-13
		0					Total			4,800		
9a. Future Projects:				r Years:								
141-183	F-22 Figh	iter Alert F	acility							<u>21,000</u>		
										21,000		
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	- Backlog	This In	stallatio	n: (\$M)							* 299
10. Mission or Major							-135F	3/C	aircraft	and hostin	a Headaua	
Pacific Air Forces. T												
135 air refueling squa												
intelligence group and												
11. Outstanding pollu					ies.							
a. Air pollution										C	)	
										, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
b. Water Pollutio	n									C	)	
c. Occupational S	Safety and	d Health								C	)	
d. Other Environ	mental									(	)	
	1.00											

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MIL	ITARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ATA	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION, SI	TE AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE						
JOINT BASE PEARL H HICKAM AFB SITE # HAWAII			C-17	MODERNIZE	HANGAR 35, DOCH	S 1 AND 2			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)			
27576	211-111	2345/1	KNMD14	2222	4	,800			
	9.	COST ESTIMA	TES						
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)			
PRIMARY FACILITY						4,297			
	ANGAR MODERNIZATION		LS			( 4,297 )			
SUPPORTING FACILITI						0			
SUBTOTAL						4,297			
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)					215			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					–	4,512			
SUPERVISION, INSPECT	TION AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)				280			
TOTAL REQUEST					-	4,792			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUN	DED)					4,800			
using economical of facility. The fac base design stands shall be used when antiterrorism/for 11. Requirement:	10. Description of Proposed Construction: Modernize Hangar 35, Docks 1 and 2 using economical design and construction methods to accommodate the mission of the facility. The facility should be compatible with applicable DoD, Air Force, and base design standards. In addition, local materials and construction techniques shall be used where cost effective. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria. 11. Requirement: 7329 SM Adequate: 2974 SM Substandard: 0 SM								
11. Requirement: 7329 SM Adequate: 2974 SM Substandard: 0 SM PROJECT: Modernize Hangar 35, docks 1 and 2. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: The C-17 aircraft based at Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam require an enclosed covered aircraft maintenance space in order to keep them fully operational. A Site Action Task Force (SATAF) validated this currently unfunded requirement. This project permits a cost-effective, partial workaround for C-17 to be maintained in Hangar 35 when the docks are not used for higher priority aircraft until a new C-17 hangar can be approved and constructed. It modifies hangar doors to permit complete entry of C-17 aircraft and provides the capability to jack C-17 aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: When weather permits, C-17 maintenance is performed on the flight line and in the existing nose dock hangars; although windy conditions does affect or preclude maintenance approximately 276 days each year is impacted as the wind makes the aircraft unstable when it?s on jack-stands. Even routine maintenance such as a tire change out. The fuel systems maintenance dock and Hangar 35 in its current configuration provide only nose dock capability. Hangar 35 docks are large enough to fully enclose C-17 but the aircraft's tail cannot fit through the hangar door and the concrete floor was not constructed to support jacking of that aircraft. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: This facility is late-to-need. C-17 aircraft in this squadron lose days of operational availability due to delays in routine maintenance caused by the weather. When work is started but weather conditions change from the forecast, airmen working in vicinity of a jacked aircraft are subjected to greater safety risk and man-hours lost while closing systems until maintenance can safely									

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
JOINT BASE PEA	RL HARBOR HICKAM	C-17 MODERNIZE HANGAR 35, DOC	KS 1 AND 2
HICKAM AFB SIT	'E # 1		
HAWAII			

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27576	211-111	2345/KNMD142222	4,800

resume. Quality of life for airmen is adversely affected when maintenance is delayed until weather improves and work must be accomplished outside of scheduled working hours.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. Hangar 35 (building #1055) was built in 1938 and is a historic facility. The current design concepts preserve the historic building aspects and the project has concurrence from the State Historic Preservation Office. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for meeting this requirement (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. This project will enable a partial work around so the squadron can partially meet operational requirements until such time as a new hangar can be constructed. Therefore, an economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been completed. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, wil be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (808) 448-2855.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

		(compute	er gene:	rated)				
3. INSTALLA	TION AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE			
JOINT BASE HICKAM AFB HAWAII		BOR HICKAM		C-17 MODERN AND 2	IZE HANGAR 35,	DOCKS 1		
5. PROGRAM	ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27576		211-111	2345/	KNMD142222	4,8	300		
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA	<b>\:</b>						
a. Estima	ted Desigr	n Data:						
(1) Sta								
	Date Desig				07-	-MAY-12		
		c Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES		
		omplete as of 01 JAM	1 2013			100%		
	Date 35% I	-				-FEB-13		
	-	yn Complete 1dy/Life-Cycle analy	raia waa	/will be not	•••	-SEP-13 YES		
(1)	Energy Sco	dy/life-cycle analy	SIS Was	s/will be per	Tormed	169		
(2) Bas	is:							
(a)	Standard d	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO		
(b)	Where Desi	ign Was Most Recent]	ly Used	-				
(3) Tot	al Cost (c	c) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
		n of Plans and Speci				288		
						144		
<ul><li>(b) All Other Design Costs</li><li>(c) Total</li></ul>								
(d)	Contract					360		
(e)	In-house					72		
(4) Con	struction	Contract Award				14 FEB		
(5) Con	struction	Start				14 MAR		
(6) Con	struction	Completion				15 JUN		
which	_	letion of Project De rable to traditional rability.						
	ent associ	lated with this proj	ject pro	ovided from o	other appropria	ations:		
			_					
b. Equipm N/A			_					
			_					
			_					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 201	4 MIL	ITARY (	CONST	RUCTIO	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION A					MMAND				A CONST		
FORT CAMPBELL, K	ENTUCK	Y		AIR CC	AIR COMBAT COMMAND COST INDEX 0.94						
6. Personnel	PEI	RMANENT		5	TUDEN				IPPORTED		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
AS OF 30 SEP 12	7	118	0		0	0	0	0		125	
END FY 2017	9	176	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	185	
<ol> <li>INVENTORY DAT a. Total Acreage:</li> </ol>	A (\$000)										
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30 \$	Sep 12)									
c. Authorization Not		•								0	
d. Authorization Req		-	am:		(FY 20 <sup>-</sup>	14)				8,000	
e. Planned in Next F f. Remaining Deficie		Program:								0	
g. Grand Total:	ncy.								-	8,000	
0										·	
8. PROJECTS REQ	JESTED	IN THIS PF	ROGR/	AM:			(FY 201	,			
CATEGORY						000055			DESIGN	STATUS	
<u>CODE</u> 141-753	PROJEC			ntor		SCOPE 4,018			START	<u>CMPL</u>	
141-755	All Suppo	ort Operatio	JIS Ce	enter		4,018 Total	SM	8,000	Design B	ulia	
						rotar		0,000			
9a. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	xt Fou	r Years:							
		NI									
		None									
9b. Real Property Ma										25	
10. Mission or Major											
world, the 101st Airbo											
Command units, the Regiment (Airborne).											
Battalion, and sizable		•						•			
numerous Army Natio					-		y and me	Dilleation	oupport		
11. Outstanding Poll											
a. Air pollution								0			
b. Water Pollutio	'n							0			
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						0			
d. Other Environ	mental							0			
	·							0			

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer ger	erate	d)				
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE					
FORT CAMPBELL			19TH AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON						
FT CAMPBELL KY			EXPAN	SION					
KENTUCKY						0			
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJEC	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
27418		141-753	5113	/ACC12	23183		8,000		
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES					
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILIT	IES					5,325			
ADMIN FACILITY				SM	560	2,585	( 1,448 )		
ELECTRONICS MA	INTENA	NCE SHOP		SM	628	2,552	( 1,603 )		
ORGANIZATIONAL	EQUIP	MENT AND STORAGE BUI	LDING	SM	966	1,356	( 1,310 )		
STORAGE SHED C	OVERED			SM	1,864	463	( 863 )		
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 102 )		
SUPPORTING FACIL	LITIES						1,608		
UTILITIES				LS			( 375)		
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 712)		
SITE IMPROVEMEN	NTS			LS			( 521)		
SUBTOTAL							6,933		
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					347		
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST						7,280		
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				415		
DESIGN/BUILD - 1	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)				277		
TOTAL REQUEST							7,972		
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					8,000 )		
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER .	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 365		
maintenance bu accommodate th applicable Don and constructi	10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct an administration and maintenance building utilizing conventional design and construction methods to accommodate the mission of the facility. The facility should be compatible with applicable DoD, Air Force, and base design standards. In addition, local materials and construction techniques shall be used where cost effective. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria.								
11. Requirement	t: 90	08 SM Adequate:	: 4987 SM	Su	bstandard:	: 0 SM			
PROJECT: 19th	Air	Support Operations	s Squadron	Expa	nsion (ASC	DS) (New Mi	ssion)		
REQUIREMENT:	A fac	ility to support t	the expans	ion o	f the 19 $A$	ASOS to supp	port a Chief		
		Force initiative			-		-		
		ive, operational, ain mission ready	-		-	_	-		
		ty equipment to p		_		_			
support.		-, -,							
CURRENT SITUAT	ION:	Current facilitie	es for the	19 A	SOS are su	afficient fo	or the		
		y. No growth is po							
facilities. Ad	lditio	nal space is requi	ired to su	pport	Chief of	Staff dire	cted		

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Page No.

SF; Electronic Maintenance Shop: 628 SM = 6720 SF; Storage Shed: 1,864 SM = 20,064; Organizational Equipment and Storage Building: 966 SM = 10336 SF. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE

initiative. Unit strength to increase by 60 personnel and associated tactical vehicles/ equipment beginning in FY13.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Significant work arounds will be required with daily mission impacts. Adequate facilities will not be available to perform training, operations and maintenance functions. Some personnel will have to be housed in facilities not co-located with current facilities. This will result in a loss of communication and coordination which will result in a significant waste of man hours and degrade mission capabilities.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new

certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include

development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Air Combat Command Department of Engineering: (915) 568-5933. Admin Facility: 560 SM = 5992

construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.

Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design,

Α

. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT				DATA	2	. DATE
IR FORCE		(c	omputer g	generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND LO	DCATION			ECT TIT			
FORT CAMPBELL FT CAMPBELL KY KENTUCKY				19TH AI EXPANSI		ORT OPERATI	IONS SQ	QUADRON
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJECT N	UMBER	8. PROJECT	COST	(\$000)
27418		141-753	5	5113/ACC12	3183		8,000	
12. SUPPLEMENT	TAL DATA	:						
a. Estimated	l Design	Data:						
(1) Projec	t to be	accomplished	by desig	n-build pr	cocedure	25		
	andard o	r Definitive I gn Was Most Re	-	Jsed -				NO
(3) All Ot	her Desi	ign Costs						320
(4) Constr	uction (	Contract Award	l				14	FEB
(5) Constr	uction &	Start					14	MAR
(6) Constr	uction (	Completion					15	SEP
(7) Energy	Study/I	Life-Cycle ana	lysis wa	s/will be	perform	med		YES
EQUIPMENT				NG APPRC	OR RE	PRIATED QUESTED		COST (\$000)
COMMUNICA	TIONS EQ	UIPMENT	3	400	2	015		100
FURNISHIN	3S		3	400	2	015		265

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE			FY 2014 MILITA		2. DATE						
INSTALLATION AND JOINT BASE ANDRE MARYLAND	EWS			WASH	DRCE DIS	STRICT O		COST IND 1.03	5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.03		
6. Personnel		RMANENT			STUDENTS			PORTED			
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
AS OF 30 SEP 12	1597	6894	2178		448		2078			15,054	
End of FY 2017	1758	6894	2846		448		2078	1859		15,883	
<ol> <li>7. INVENTORY DAT</li> <li>a. Total Acreage:</li> <li>b. Inventory (PRV) T</li> <li>c. Authorization Not</li> <li>d. Authorization Req</li> <li>e. Planned in Next F</li> <li>f. Remaining Deficie</li> <li>g. Grand Total:</li> </ol>	otal as of Yet in Inv juested in our Years ency:	6,857 : (30 Sep ventory: this Progr s Program	am:			(FY2014)				3,678,007 9,300 30,000 80,000 174,000 3,971,307	
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGRAM:		(FY 2014	4)					
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS	
<u>CODE</u> 141-753	PROJEC Helicopte	<u>i IIILE</u> er Operatio	ns Facility			<u>SCOPE</u> <u>6,130</u> Total	<u>UNIT</u> SM	<u>\$,000</u> <u>30,000</u> 30,000	<u>START</u> Design Build	<u>CMPL</u>	
	PROJEC	T TITLE	ext four Years: Enclosed Firing F	Range		Total		COST <u>\$,000</u> <u>10,000</u> 10,000			
9c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This Installation \$	5(000)						86	
10. Mission or Major Reaction Rotary-Win Secure Installation A	Function g Airlift Fo nd Robus	s: Provide or The Nat t Infrastruc	e Contingency Re ional Capital Reg cture To Support	esponse ion, Co Andrew	mbat-Rea	ady Airme	n To Air /	And Space		nergency	
11. Outstanding poll a. Air pollution	ution and	Salety (OS	SHA Deliciencies					0			
b. Water Pollution 0											
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health						0			
d. Other Environ	mental							0			

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

) DJECT TITLE DPTER OPERA I NUMBER 33010 QUANTITY 6,130	TIONS FACILI	COST (\$000) 30,000 COST (\$000) 20,359 ( 19,959 ( 400 5,725
OPTER OPERA T NUMBER 33010 QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000) 30,000 COST (\$000) 20,359 ( 19,959 ( 400
T NUMBER 3010 QUANTITY	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000) 30,000 COST (\$000) 20,359 ( 19,959 ( 400
3010 QUANTITY	UNIT	30,000 COST (\$000) 20,359 ( 19,959 ( 400
QUANTITY		COST (\$000) 20,359 ( 19,959 ( 400
-		(\$000) 20,359 ( 19,959 ( 400
-		(\$000) 20,359 ( 19,959 ( 400
6,130	3,256	( 19,959 ( 400
6,130	3,256	( 400
		( 400
		5,725
		-
		( 875
		( 100
		( 878
		( 1,862
		( 400
		( 1,360
		( 250
		26,084
		1,304
		27,388
		1,561
		1,043
		29,993
		30,000
		( 2,286
n		a helicopter opera methods to accommo

Criteria. Facility must comply with ADA Accessibility Guidelines for Buildings and Facilities (ADAAG).

Air Conditioning: 100 Tons

11. Requirement: 6130 SM Adequate: SM Substandard: 372 SM <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a Helicopter Operations Facility. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequately sized and configured facility adjacent to the flightline is required to consolidate the 1st Helicopter Squadron and 811th Operational Support Squadron. This facility shall accommodate all administrative offices for these two organizations, a large TS-capable auditorium, multiple briefing/debriefing rooms, conference rooms, mission control area, classified

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT

ANDREWS SITE # 1

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION

JOINT BASE ANDREWS-NAVAL AIR FACILITY WASHINGTON

AIR FORCE

MARYLAND

MARYLAND							
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)			
91376	141-753	1377	/AJXF103010	30,000			
material storage, r	eadv area, shower.	/locker ro	oms, standardiz	ation/evaluation			
- · ·	-		-	es, general storage			
area, software prep							
	-	-		-			
CURRENT SITUATION: requirements for th							
-							
Operational Support Squadron. The majority of personnel from these organizations are occupying a 60 year old hangar that is ill equipped to accommodate current							
	ace deficit will h						
-			•	s an interim solution,			
-				ed on the hangar floor			
				shop needs. However,			
	-	-		they occupy precious			
aircraft and mainte							
IMPACT IF NOT PROVI	DED: The 1st Hel:	icopter Sq	uadron and 811t	h Operational Support			
Squadron will be un	able to meet thei	r 100% mis	sion increase t	o support 3			
classified, no-fail	, national-level r	missions.	Available spac	e will be completely			
inadequate to perfo	orm the mission. ?	The space	deficit will re	quire these			
organizations to fu	rther disperse/di	lute with	the arrival of	additional personnel			
and aircraft causin	g mission failure	due to th	e immediate res	ponse requirements of			
their contingency r	esponse mission.						
ADDITIONAL: This p	roject meets the s	scope/crit	eria specified	in Air Force Handbook			
32-1084 "Facility R	equirements", DoD	I 5305.5 S	pace Management	Procedures, National			
Capital Region and	the Squadron Opera	ations / M	aintenance Squa	dron (SQ OPS / MXS)			
Facility Design Gui	de. Sustainable p	principles	will be integr	ated into the design,			
development and con	struction of the p	project in	accordance wit	h Executive Order			
13423, 10 USC 2802	(c), and other app	plicable l	aws and Executi	ve Orders. All known			
alternative options		-	-				
economic analysis w		-		-			
	-			he net Present values			
	-	-		was found to be the			
981-7281. Helicopt				Engineer: Comm 301- SF			
JOINT USE CERTIFICA	TION: Mission requ	uirements,	operational co	nsiderations, and			
location are incomp							

4. PROJECT TITLE

HELICOPTER OPERATIONS FACILITY

Page No.

Previous editions are obsolete.

	-	Y 2014 MILITARY C				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE			er generated)				
3. INSTALLATI				JECT TITLE			
JOINT BASE AN WASHINGTON ANDREWS SITE MARYLAND		AL AIR FACILITY	HELICOP	TER OPERATI	ONS FACIL	ITY	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT N	UMBER 8. P	ROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
91376		141-753	1377/AJXF10	03010	30,000		
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA:						
a. Estimate	d Design I	Data:					
(1) Proje	ct to be a	ccomplished by de	esign-build pr	rocedures			
(2) Basis							
		Definitive Desig n Was Most Recent				NO	
(3) All O	ther Desig	n Costs				1,200	
(4) Const	ruction Co	ontract Award				14 FEB	
(5) Construction Start						14 MAR	
(6) Const	ruction Co	mpletion				16 APR	
		fe-Cycle analysis			appropria	YES ations:	
EQUIPMENI	nomencla		CURING APPRC	FISCAL YEA APPROPRIAT OR REQUEST	ED	COST (\$000)	
THINK THEFT							
FURNITURE	3		3400	2015		862	
COMMUNIC			3400 3080	2015 2015		862 1,424	

1. COMPONENT			FY 2014 MILITA	RY CO	NSTRU	CTION PR	ROGRAM		2. DATE	
ARMY INSTALLATION ANE FORT MEADE MARYLAND		-		US ARMY INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT COMMAND			COST INI 1.03	5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.03		
6. Personnel	PEF	RMANENT	-	S	TUDENT		SU	PPORTED		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12 End of FY 2017	273 330	205 262	794 851							1,272 1,443
<ol> <li>INVENTORY DAT         <ol> <li>Total Acreage:</li> <li>Inventory (PRV) T</li> <li>Authorization Not</li> <li>Authorization Req</li> <li>Planned in Next F</li> <li>Remaining Deficie</li> <li>Grand Total:</li> </ol> </li> <li>PROJECTS REQ CATEGORY</li> </ol>	otal as of Yet in Inv juested in our Years ency: UESTED	5,102 5 : (30 Sep entory: this Program IN THIS F	ram:		(FY 201		,	COST \$ 000	DESIGN	2,939,429 0 85,000 257,000 0 3,281,429 STATUS
	PROJEC US Cybe		d Joint Operatio	ns Cent	er, Inc 1	<u>SCOPE</u> 22,410 Total	SM	<u>\$,000</u> <u>85,000</u> 85,000	<u>START</u> Dec-11	<u>CMPL</u> Sep-13
141-454	PROJEC US Cybe	<u>T TITLE</u> r Comman	ext Four Years: d Joint Operation d Joint Operation					COST <u>\$,000</u> 166,000 <u>107,000</u> 273,000	-	
9c. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This Installation	\$(000)						N/A
10. Mission or Major services in support o	Function f Departm	s: Provid nent of Def	e base operating ense activities a	suppo nd Fede			infrastruc	cture, qualit	y of life and pr	otective
<ol> <li>Outstanding poll a. Air pollution</li> </ol>	ution and	Satety (O	SHA Deficiencies	5:				0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health						0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
DD Form 1390_24lu										

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

		FY 2014 MIL:	ITARY CONSTRU	JCTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	nerate	d)			
3. INSTALLATION, FORT GEORGE G MH FORT GEORGE G MH MARYLAND	EADE	E AND LOCATION			OJECT TITL	_	5 CENTER-INC 1	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJEC			T COST (\$000)	
11830		141-454	5004/	PAYZ130011 AUTH: 358,000 APPR: 85,			00 APPR: 85,000	
		9.	COST ESTIM	ATES				
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						274,633	
JOINT OPERATION	IS CEN	ITER		SM	22,408	7,850	( 175,898)	
PARKING STRUCTU	JRE			SM	23,488	671	( 15,758 )	
CHILLER PLANT				SM	3,795	14,174	(53,789)	
GENERATOR YARD				LS			(25,670)	
SUSTAINABLE AND	) ENEF	GY MEASURES		LS			(3,518)	
SUPPORTING FACIL	LITIES	3		1			47,926	
				LS			( 5,886)	
SITEWORK, FENCING, ROADS				LS			(37,867)	
		ACCESS CONTROL		LS			( 4,172)	
SUBTOTAL							322,558	
CONTINGENCY	-	5.0%)					16,128	
TOTAL CONTRACT C							338,686	
	3PECTI	ION AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				19,305	
TOTAL REQUEST							357,991	
TOTAL REQUEST (R							358,000	
	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	I-ADD)				( 70,000.0 )	
EQUIPMENT FROM O								
10. Descriptio		Proposed Constru					-	
10. Descriptic Center (JOC) in	nclud	ing parking struc	cture (800 a	space	s), chille	er plant, ge	enerator	
10. Descriptic Center (JOC) in yard, and suppo	nclud ortin	ing parking struc g facilities. Th	cture (800 s ne JOC will	space: be bu	s), chille uilt on th	er plant, go National	enerator Security	
10. Descriptic Center (JOC) in yard, and suppo Agency (NSA) Ea	nclud ortin ast C	ling parking struc g facilities. Th Campus at Fort Geo	cture (800 a ne JOC will orge G. Mead	space: be bu le, M	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr	er plant, go ne National cimary faci:	enerator Security	
10. Descriptic Center (JOC) in yard, and suppo Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a	nclud ortin ast C mult	ing parking struc g facilities. Th	cture (800 a ne JOC will orge G. Mead e, operation	spaces be bu le, MI ns flo	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle	er plant, ga ne National cimary faci: e bridge,	enerator Security	
10. Descriptic Center (JOC) in yard, and suppo Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner	nclud ortin ast C mult r col	ling parking struct og facilities. Th ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure	cture (800 ; ne JOC will orge G. Meac e, operation , and other	spaces be bu de, Mu ns flo opera	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are	er plant, go ne National rimary faci e bridge, eas. The ma	enerator Security lity will be ission	
10. Descriptic Center (JOC) in yard, and suppor Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo di-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms	cture (800 and JOC will orge G. Meade, operation , and other ffices, exects, and other	spaces be bu de, MD ns flo opers cutivo supp	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct	er plant, ga ne National imary faci e bridge, eas. The ma machine ra ions. Proj	enerator Security lity will be ission coms, ject	
10. Descriptic Center (JOC) in yard, and suppor Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms d shell structure	cture (800 and orge G. Meade, operation , and other ffices, exec , and other e and found	spaces be builde, Mu hs flo opera cutivo r supp ations	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato	er plant, ga ne National cimary faci: e bridge, eas. The main machine ro cions. Prop or conveyance	enerator Security lity will be ission coms, ject ce systems;	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and support Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms and shell structure al service and de	cture (800 and JOC will orge G. Meade, operation, and other ffices, exects, and other and foundation	spaces be builde, Mins flo opera cutivo r supp ations compositions	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato pnents/sys	er plant, ge imary facil bridge, eas. The mi machine re ions. Pro- pr conveyance stems; fire	enerator Security lity will be ission coms, ject ce systems; protection	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and support Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppress	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion;	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms ad shell structure al service and di information techn	cture (800 and JOC will orge G. Meade, operation, and other ffices, exects, and other and foundatistribution hology infra	spaces be builde, Muins flo operations cutive cutive compositions actions	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, com	er plant, ge imary facil bridge, eas. The mi machine re cions. Pro- pr conveyand stems; fire munications	enerator Security lity will be ission coms, ject ce systems; protection s, and	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and support Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppressing security system	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion; ms su	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms d shell structure al service and di information techn poport infrastruct	cture (800 sine JOC will orge G. Mead orge G. Mead orge d. Mead of the sine sine sine sine fices, exect s, and other s, and other s, and founds istribution hology infra- ture. Inter	be builded by the bui	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, com will inclu	er plant, ge imary facility bridge, eas. The minimum index for the formation of conveyant stems; fire munications ade raised a	enerator Security lity will be ission coms, ject ce systems; protection s, and access floor	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and suppor Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppression security system systems, acoust	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion; ms su tical	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms ad shell structure al service and di information techn	ture (800 and JOC will orge G. Meade, operation , and other ffices, exect and other e and foundatistribution hology infra- ture. Inter r partition	be builde, Mains flo operative r suppations competentions competentions competentions astructions astructions astructions	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, com will inclu ceilings,	er plant, ge imary facility bridge, eas. The minimum index for the formation of conveyant stems; fire munications ade raised a	enerator Security lity will be ission coms, ject ce systems; protection s, and access floor ghting,	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and support Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppressis security system systems, acoust environmental of	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion; ms su tical contr	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms d shell structure al service and di information techn apport infrastruct ly-rated interior	ture (800 and JOC will orge G. Meade, operation, and other ffices, exect and foundation istribution hology infra- ture. Inter partitions	be builde, Muis flo operations cutive cutive compositions compositions astruc- rior we and enti:	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, com will inclu ceilings, re structu	er plant, ge e National imary facil e bridge, eas. The mini- machine re- ions. Pro- or conveyand tems; fire munications ide raised a power, light are will be	enerator Security lity will be ission coms, ject ce systems; protection s, and access floor ghting, built to	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and support Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppressis security system systems, acoust environmental of Sensitive Compared redundant prima	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion; ms su tical contr artme	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms ad shell structure al service and di information techn apport infrastruct ly-rated interior for and communicate inted Information power, Uninterrupt	cture (800 and JOC will orge G. Meade, operation, and other ffices, exects, and other and foundation hology infra- ture. Inter- r partitions tions. The facile Power	be built be built be built be floor cutive c	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, com will inclu ceilings, re structu standards	er plant, ge e National imary facil bridge, eas. The mi machine re- cions. Pro- or conveyand stems; fire munications de raised a power, lig ure will be s. Project systems, and	enerator Security lity will be ission poms, ject ce systems; protection s, and access floor ghting, built to includes d full	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and suppor Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppressis security system systems, acoust environmental of Sensitive Compare redundant prima	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion; ms su tical contr artme ary p up ca	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms d shell structure al service and di information techn apport infrastruct ly-rated interior of and communicate onted Information ower, Uninterrupt pacity to ensure	cture (800 s ne JOC will orge G. Mead e, operation , and other ffices, exec s, and other e and founds istribution hology infra- ture. Inter r partitions tions. The Facility (s table Power continuity	be builde, M be builde, M bis flo opera- cutive r supp ation; compo- astruc- cior v s and enti: SCIF) Supp of of	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, con will inclu ceilings, re structu standards ly (UPS) s perations	er plant, ge e National imary facil bridge, eas. The mi machine re- cions. Pro- or conveyand tems; fire munications de raised a power, lig use will be . Project systems, and 24 hours/da	enerator Security lity will be ission ooms, ject ce systems; protection s, and access floor ghting, built to includes d full ay, 365	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and support Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppressis security system systems, acoust environmental of Sensitive Compa redundant prima generator backu days/year. UPS	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion; ms su tical contr artme ary p up ca S and	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms d shell structure al service and di information techn apport infrastruct ly-rated interior fol and communicate anted Information bower, Uninterrupt pacity to ensure generator backup	cture (800 s ne JOC will orge G. Mead e, operation , and other ffices, exec s, and other e and founds istribution hology infra- ture. Inter r partitions tions. The Facility (s table Power continuity p will be find	be built be built be built be floor opera- cutive c	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, con will inclu ceilings, re structu standards ly (UPS) s perations MILCON fur	er plant, ge imary facility bridge, eas. The million machine re- cions. Project stems; fire munications de raised a power, lig re will be s. Project systems, and 24 hours/da aded for builty	enerator Security lity will be ission ooms, ject ce systems; protection s, and access floor ghting, built to includes d full ay, 365 ilding	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and support Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppressis security system systems, acoust environmental of Sensitive Compa redundant prima generator backun days/year. UPS	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion; ms su tical contr artme ary p up ca S and ssion	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms d shell structure al service and di information techn apport infrastruct ly-rated interior of and communicate anted Information pacity to ensure generator backup equipment. This	cture (800 s ne JOC will orge G. Mead a, operation , and other ffices, exec s, and other e and founds istribution hology infra- ture. Inter r partitions table Power continuity o will be fra- s project re-	be built be built be built be floor opera- cutive c	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, com will inclu ceilings, re structu standards ly (UPS) s perations MILCON fur es compret	er plant, ge imary facility bridge, eas. The mini- machine re- cions. Pro- por conveyand tems; fire munications de raised a power, lig are will be s. Project ystems, and 24 hours/da aded for builty ensive inter	enerator Security lity will be ission ooms, ject ce systems; protection s, and access floor ghting, built to includes d full ay, 365 ilding erior	
10. Description Center (JOC) in yard, and support Agency (NSA) Ea comprised of a analyst/planner support areas p storage, labora consists of con electrical/mech alarm/suppressi security system systems, acoust environmental of Sensitive Compa redundant prima generator backu days/year. UPS systems and mis design. Site i	nclud ortin ast C mult r col provi atori re an hanic ion; ms su tical contr artme ary p up ca S and ssion infra	ing parking struct g facilities. The ampus at Fort Geo i-story structure laboration areas, de joint staff of es, meeting rooms d shell structure al service and di information techn apport infrastruct ly-rated interior fol and communicate anted Information bower, Uninterrupt pacity to ensure generator backup	ture (800 and the JOC will orge G. Mead orge	be be be be de, M bis flo opera- cutive suppation: compo- astruc- compo- astruc- sand enti: SCIF) Supp- of op ally for ary elegary	s), chille uilt on th D. The pr por/battle ations are e offices, port funct s; elevato onents/sys cture, com will inclu ceilings, re structu standards ly (UPS) s perations MILCON fur es compreh lectrical	er plant, ge e National imary facil bridge, eas. The mi machine re- ions. Pro- or conveyand tems; fire munications de raised a power, lig re will be s. Project systems, and 24 hours/da ded for bus service to	enerator Security lity will be ission ooms, ject ce systems; protection s, and access floor ghting, built to includes d full ay, 365 ilding erior	

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILI	TARY CONSTRU	JCTION PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	nerated)				
3. INSTALLATION	, SITI	3 AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITL	E			
FORT GEORGE G M				US CYBERCOM JOII	NT OPERATIONS C	ENTER-INC 1		
FORT GEORGE G M MARYLAND	EADE							
5. PROGRAM ELEM		6 01770074 0077			8. PROJECT CC			
5. PROGRAM ELEM	EN I	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECI CC	JSI (\$000)		
11830		141-454		PAYZ130011	AUTH: 358,000			
		eillance capabili						
-		services will be	-	-				
	constructed to LEED Silver. Enhanced building commissioning is required. Project							
will comply with DoD Force Protection UFC.								
Air Conditioni	-			Call at an inval				
11. Requiremen		-	ce: 0 SM	Substandard: (				
		a multi-story Jo	-		ong with suppo	orting		
		a parking structu						
		facility is requi	-					
		ry to support U.S of effort required	-	-	-			
	-	comise the function	-	-	-	e process		
-	-	tifying, and cour	-	-		Process		
collaborative	envir	conment within whi	ich element	s of all cyber	activities ca	an be		
represented, i	nac	collocated manner	while exec	uting passive,	active, and o	lefensive		
network operat	ions.	This facility w	will incorp	orate new techr	ologies and p	processes		
-		beneficial synerg		-				
		k environment that	-		-			
		s will be able to heir functional d						
	-	with required lev	-			-		
-		lependent utility	-	-	-	-		
	-	ritical infrastru		-	-			
redundancy.								
CURRENT SITUAT	ION:	Currently, cyber	r activitie	s in support of	both the Dol	D and the		
nation are con	ducte	ed individually in	n an NSA-ce	ntric structure	<ol> <li>Network op</li> </ol>	perations		
_		realizing the ful	—					
	-	ed for this initi				-		
		ng reconfigured ar		-	-			
		imited by the ava AT/FP profiles,	-					
	-	critical activiti	—	and cooring in	.rastructure (	Japable of		
		DED: If the JOC		wided DeDia a	ritigal gavar	nmont and		
		ssets and infrast	-		-			
_		h limited levels			_	_		
		ive capabilities		-	-			
		v vulnerable to ou			_			
facility suppo	rt ne	ecessary to assist	t in preven	ting potential	ly significant	t		
disruptions an	d int	rusions to DoD's	critical n	etworks.				
ADDITIONAL: N	SA wi	ll serve as the o	design and	construction ma	anager for the	is project		
		s Exclusive Use A						
		ties master plan			_			
	-	cal security and a			_			
	-	al security and ar ssessment has beer		-				
	ui As		. compreted	that reverages	, the comprete			

April 2013

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILI	TARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION	3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
FORT GEORGE G M	EADE	US CYBERCOM J	US CYBERCOM JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER-INC 1				
FORT GEORGE G M	EADE						
MARYLAND							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)				
11830	141-454						

Environmental Impact Study for the NSA campus. Alternative methods of meeting requirements have been explored during the development of this project. The economic analysis determined this project to be the only viable option to satisfy those requirements. Construction estimates include costs associated with construction on a controlled access site, clearances for personnel, labor inefficiencies associated with escort requirements, and other daily processes at NSA. Escorts are required for positive control of access to primary and secondary utilities, which service other critical NSA facilities. Stormwater management to mitigate environmental impact per environmental requirements are included. Facility will be designed to LEED Silver. This project is to be compliant with the current version of NSA's, Facilities Engineering Design Standards (FEDS).

Full authorization of \$358M is requested in FY14 together with an appropriation request of \$85M. Future year appropriation requests are planned for FY15 (\$166M) and FY16 (\$107M). USCYBERCOM POC: Director of Logistics (J4), (443) 654-8124. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use by all services; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force.

. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4	PROJECT 7	ידייד.ד	
FORT GEORGE G MEADE FORT GEORGE G MEADE MARYLAND		ບຮ			RATIONS CENTER
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	T NUMBER	8. PROJECT	r COST (\$000)
11830	141-454	5004/PA	YZ130011	AUTH:358,	000 APPR:85,0
L2. SUPPLEMENTAL DA	<b>FA:</b>				
a. Estimated Desi	gn Data:				
<pre>(1) Status:    (a) Date Des    (b) Presented</pre>	-		1		22-NOV-11
	ic Cost Estimates use Complete as of 01 JAN		lop costs		35%
(d) Date 35%	-	2010			17-SEP-12
(e) Date Des	-				30-AUG-13
(f) Energy S	tudy/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was/w	ill be per	formed	YES
	or Definitive Design sign Was Most Recent:				NO
(3) Total Cost	(c) = (a) + (b) or (c	1) + (e):			(\$000)
	on of Plans and Spec:				11,500
	r Design Costs				3,500
(c) Total					15,000
(d) Contract (e) In-house					13,000 2,000
(4) Construction	n Contract Award				13 DEC
(5) Construction	n Start				14 FEB
(6) Construction	n Completion				17 FEB
b. Equipment asso	ciated with this pro	ject provi	ded from o	ther appro	priations:
EQUIPMENT NOMEN		ROCURING PROPRIATIO	APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT/SECUR	ITY/IT	3080	2	016	64,000
FURNITURE/FURNI	SHINGS	3400	2	016	6,000

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 201	4 MIL	ITARY (	CONST	RUCTIO	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
				4 001					CONCT	
3. INSTALLATION A				4. COMMAND:5. AREA CONSTAIR FORCE GLOBALCOST INDEX						
WHITEMAN AIR FOR	RCE BASE	, MISSOU	JRI						IDEX	
			-			MAND 1.1				
6. Personnel		MANENT			TUDEN			PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12	440	4271	1061		6	0	36	169	60	6,043
END FY 2017	440	4270	1061	0	6	0	36	169	60	6,042
7. INVENTORY DAT	· · · /									
a. Total Acreage:	4,993									
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30 S	Sep 12)								3,994,118
c. Authorization Not	Yet in Inve	entory:								15,300
d. Authorization Req	uested in	this Progra	am:		(FY201	4)				5,900
e. Planned in Next F	our Years	Program:								23,500
f. Remaining Deficie	ncy:	-								76,600
g. Grand Total:	-									4,115,418
-										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2014)										
CATEGORY							,	,	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITLE				SCOPE		\$.000	START	CMPL
	WSA MO		nd Ass	embly F	ac	296	SM	5,900		Sep-13
						Total	•	5,900	•••••	0 0 p . 0
								-,		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical P	anned Ne	xt Fou	r Years:						
	i ypiodi i									
141-753	Stealth O	os and Nu	clear A	lert Fac	ility			23,500		
			oloal /		, inty	Total		23,500		
						Total		20,000		
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenance	Backlog	This In	stallatio	n:					81
10. Mission or Major		_				a of B-2	aircraft.	Air Force	Reserve	-
aircraft.		. A 000	00110	wing co	onoioun	9 01 D-2	anoran,		RCSCIVE	
11. Outstanding Poll	ution and	Safety (OG		eficienci	ec).					
a. Air pollution		Calety (Ut		encienci	63).			0		
								0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
b. water Pollutio	11							0		
o Occupational	Sofoty one	Lagith						0		
c. Occupational	Salety and	riealli						0		
d. Other Environ	montal							0		
a. Other Environ	mental							0		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE			ITARY CONSTRU (computer gen			TA	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION				4 DE	OJECT TITL			
WHITEMAN AIR FO	-					AND ASSEMBLY F	aCTT.TTV	
WHITEMAN SITE #	-			WOA H		ND ASSEMBLI F	ACIDITI	
MISSOURI	—							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)	
27576		422-264	3420/3	WHG11	1010	1010 5,900		
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES				
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITI	IES						2,247	
TWO NEW STORAG	E IGLO	DOS		SM	479	4,600	( 2,203 )	
SUSTAINMENT A	ND ENE	RGY MEASURES		LS			( 44 )	
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES	1		İ			3,036	
SITE IMPROVEME	NTS			LS			( 1,000)	
DEMOLITION				SM	296	1,000	(296)	
SITE UTILITIES				LS			( 500 )	
TEMP FENCES AND	D ROAL	DS		LS			( 600)	
PAVEMENT				LS			( 500)	
COMMUNICATION				LS			( 140)	
SUBTOTAL							5,283	
CONTINGENCY	(5	5.0%)					264	
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST					-	5,548	
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				316	
TOTAL REQUEST						-	5,864	
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	D)					5,900	
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Constru	iction. Co	l	ct two add	litional Haym	en-type	
_		ons storage Iglo				_	ien cype	
		ls to accommodate		-		-	acilities	
should be comp	atibl	e with applicable	e DoD, Air 1	Force	, and base	e design star	dards. In	
addition, loca	l mat	erials and constr	ruction tech	nniqu	es shall k	e used where	e cost	
	_	oject will comply		antit	errorism/f	orce protect	ion	
		ified facilities	criteria.					
11. Requiremen	t: 12	13 SM Adequate	e: 618 SM	Sub	standard:	412 SM		
PROJECT: Cons	truct	: Two New MOP Iglo	oos (New Mi	ssion	)			
		-2A bomber locate				-		
		nance Penetrator		-				
		livered to Whiten			-	-		
		odies will be pla 4 trailer. The MB		-		- · ·		
		sts to move the M						
		to the flightlin		-		-		
assembly (75,0	00 lb	s) and the small	surface are	ea of	the trail	er tires req	uire all	
-		is and convoy rout						
_		support. Two is				-		
		h Haymen igloo (3	-	-	_			
	_	re 400Hz power for are required to n	_		-		project	
					bour		F)	

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MIL	ITARY CONSTRU	CTION PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		(computer gen	erated)				
3. INSTALLATION,	E						
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE WSA MOP IGLOOS AND ASSEMBLY H					CILITY		
WHITEMAN SITE #	1						
MISSOURI							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PF	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CC	ST (\$000)		
27576	422-264						

must include pavement and aprons necessary to connect facilities to munitions haul routes. Demolish Igloo 4019 and construct earth barricade north of Igloo 4018 with retaining wall to eliminate front exposure between 4018 and 4015. Earth barricade required to eliminate front exposure between 4015 and 4018. Roads capable of supporting the loads of the MOP and hauling equipment will need to be constructed to connect the new igloos to existing roads.

CURRENT SITUATION: With current available facilities, factoring the construction in progress, there is insufficient space to store additional MOP weapons. This project will provide the necessary space and facilities to support this weapon. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Whiteman Air Force base will be unable to adequately support the MOP beddown schedule. Therefore, meeting the COCOM urgent operational need for this weapon system is in jeopardy without proper storage facilities. The base will be unable to support the permanent and compliant storage of MOP assets. The size and weight of the MOP make integration into existing munitions storage facilities impossible without risk acceptance; non-standard operating procedures would place personnel and equipment at a much greater physical risk.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A waiver will be prepared. Base Civil Engineer: DSN 975-3205. WSA: Construct Two new MOP Igloos; 479 SM = 5,156 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location and incompatible with other components.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2014 MILITARY C (comput	ONSTRUCI er gener		DATA	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	_	
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE WHITEMAN SITE # 1 MISSOURI				OOS AND ASSEM	BLY FACILIT	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)	
27576	422-264	3420/7	YWHG111010	5,	,900	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL I	DATA:					
a. Estimated Des	sign Data:					
(1) Status:						
	sign Started			10	5-JUL-12	
	ric Cost Estimates use		velop costs		YES	
	Complete as of 01 JAN	N 2013			15%	
* (d) Date 35	-				D-FEB-13	
	sign Complete		/		5-SEP-13	
(I) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was	/will be per	riormea	YES	
(2) Basis:						
. ,	d or Definitive Design	n -			NO	
	Design Was Most Recent		-			
(3) Total Cost	(c) = (a) + (b) or (c)	1) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
	ion of Plans and Spec:				354	
	ner Design Costs				177	
(c) Total	-				531	
(d) Contrac	(d) Contract					
(e) In-hous	se				89	
(4) Constructi	on Contract Award				14 FEB	
(5) Constructi	on Start				14 MAR	
(6) Constructi	on Completion				15 SEP	
	expletion of Project Desparable to traditional ecutability.					
b. Equipment ass N/A	sociated with this pro	ject pro	wided from a	other appropr:	iations:	

1. COMPONENT		FY 20 <sup>-</sup>	14 MIL	ITARY (	CONST	RUCTIC	N PROG	GRAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOC	ATION		4. CON	/MANC	):		5. AREA	A CONST	
OFFUTT AIR FORC	E BASE,			AIR CO	MBAT	COMMA	ND	COST IN	NDEX	
NEBRASKA								0.98		
6. Personnel	PE	RMANENT	-	ST	UDEN	TS	SL	<b>JPPORTE</b>	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12	1838	5627	4038	81	101	68	427	208	453	12,841
END FY 2017	1815	5467	3347	81	101	68	427	208	453	11,967
7. INVENTORY DA	TA (\$000	)								
a. Total Acreage:	3,644									
b. Inventory Total as										4,129,666
c. Authorization Not	•	• •								574,400
d. Authorization Re			ram:		(FY 20	14)				136,000
e. Planned in Next I	•	•			(0	,				200,000
f. Remaining Deficie		orrogian								125,200
g. Grand Total:	choy.									5,165,266
g. Orana rotai.										5,105,200
8. PROJECTS REC			PROCI	RAM			(FY 201	4)		
CATEGORY	XOLOILL		11001				(11201	,	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC					SCOPE		\$,000		CMPL
610-287		TCOM Re				100,866		<u></u> 136,000		Feb-11
010-207	USSINA		place r	ac Inc		Total				Feb-11
						Total		136,000		
9a. Future Projects:	· Typical	Planned N	ovt Fo							
721-312	•••	y (144 RM)			•			20,000		
610-287		TCOM Re		ont Eac	ility - In	or A		180,000		
010-207	0331KA		placen	ient rac	iiity - iri		Total	200,000	-	
							TOLAI	200,000		
9b. Real Property M	laintenan	ce Backlor	1 This I	nstallatio	n.					105
10. Mission or Majo						1: a etra	togic pori	ial reconn	aissance	
5 flying reconnaissa										
and control squadro									•	
a Strategic Intelligen						igency, i			America	Danu anu
a Strategic Intelligen	ice Squar									
11. Outstanding Po	Ilution and	Safety /C	с по	)eficienc						
a. Air pollution								0		
								0		
b. Water Polluti	on							0		
D. Water i Ullut								U		
c. Occupational	Safety ar	nd Health						0		
	Salety al							5		
d. Other Enviror	nmental							0		
	inental							0		
DD Form 1390, 9 Ju	1.00									

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILI	ITARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITI	E AND LOCATION		4. PF	OJECT TITL	E	
OFFUTT AIR FORCE				USSTR	ATCOM REPLA	ACEMENT FACII	ITY - INCR 3
OFFUTTAIRFORCEB:	SE SI	TE # 1					
NEBRASKA		C					GOGT (4000)
5. PROGRAM ELEM	EN.L.	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PP	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		610-287	3100/S	GBP10	0904F	AUTH: 0 AP	PN: 136,000
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES	1		
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						446,892
USSTRATCOM REPI	ACEME	INT FACILITY		SM	100,866	4,344	( 438,130 )
SDD & EPACT 05				LS			( 8,763 )
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES	l		İ			61,172
UTILITIES				LS			( 8,703)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 22,838)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	ITS			LS			(13,583)
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			(7,769)
DEMOLITION-BLDG	s			SM	16,963	195	( 3,314)
BACKUP POWER GE	INERAT	TION		LS			( 4,965)
SUBTOTAL							508,064
CONTINGENCY	(5	5.0%)					25,403
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST						533,467
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				30,408
TOTAL REQUEST							563,875
TOTAL REQUEST (R	OUNDE	:D)					564,000
EQUIPMENT FROM O	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	I-ADD)				( 542,000.0 )
concrete founda membrane roof, road, adequate force protection portions of the Facility (SCIF) backup must be survive an EF-1 protection requires of buildings to Air Conditionin 11. Requirement PROJECT: Unit (Current Mission REQUIREMENT: Mission space operation space, and networks	ation util secu on, l e con ) cri High 5 tor uirem otali ng: t: 10 ed St on) USSTR ns, a work rastr	4,700 Tons	structural ction/protect nications su all other ne cet Secret ( torage. Faci o Magnetic F ect will com Facility Cr ate: SM ommand (USS) with the vit erations in rol (C2) ope rt of this m	stee stion uppor ecess Compa lity Pulse aply riter Subs TRATC our eration	l frame, m , security t, site im ary suppor rtmentaliz Command & (HEMP) Sh with DoD a ia. Projec tandard: 8 OM) Replac oles of st nation's c ons requir on, a 100,	asonry wall provements t. Signific d Informat Control and hielded and antiterroris d includes 6263 SM cement Facil trategic det lefense. Nuc se secure an 866 SM faci	ls, single s, access passive cant tion nd secure must sm/force demolition lity cerrence, clear, nd lity is
-		und & Control Cent			-		
DD FORM 1391, I	DEC 9	9 Previo	ous editions	are	obsolete.		Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILI	ITARY CONSTRUCTION PRO	DJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATION	4. PROJE	4. PROJECT TITLE					
OFFUTT AIR FORC	E BASE	USSTRATC	USSTRATCOM REPLACEMENT FACILITY - INCR 3					
OFFUTTAIRFORCEB	SE SITE # 1							
NEBRASKA								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NU	MBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					
27576	610-287	3100/SGBP100904	4F AUTH: 0 APPN: 136,000					

24/7 mission operation centers, administrative space, storage and maintenance areas, labs/workrooms, distinguished visitor area, theater-type conference room with 400-person capacity, video teleconference, conference center, food service space, training area, adequate parking and access roads, back-up generators, and Uninterruptible Power Source (UPS).

CURRENT SITUATION: As USSTRATCOM has taken on more Unified Command Plan tasks, the need for classified working areas has far outstripped the current facility's ability to support. USSTRATCOM needs a new Command and Control facility/headquarters (HQ) to effectively meet its mission requirements. In addition to the current building infrastructure being unable to consistently and safely support the legacy nuclear mission, the facilities are ill suited to the maturing missions of Space and Cyberspace. These mission areas operate at the highest levels of classification in the DoD. However, the current facilities are short of the SCIF spaces required to effectively plan and execute missions in these domains. Currently available SCIF space in the building complex is scattered, forcing work arounds by the staff to accomplish mission taskings. This problem was evident during the Command's planning for the satellite shoot down in 2008. While the end result was a success, the lack of appropriate SCIF spaces hampered the planning and coordination. Furthermore, in the last two years, the key USSTRATCOM command and control facilities at Offutt AFB have suffered from failure in electrical service and cooling water. Finally, there has been flooding and fires in the HQ complex. These infrastructure shortcomings have put the missions and people at risk, and 24,000 man-hours have been lost as a result of these outages. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Command's ability to successfully plan and execute time critical Space and Cyberspace operations will be limited by the lack of adequate and consolidated SCIF space. The aging infrastructure housing the Nation's nuclear deterrent operations will place the mission in jeopardy due to a lack of or failing security and survivability and place personnel at risk of injury. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Space requirements for operational functions were determined by USSTRATCOM. An economic analysis has been completed. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost-effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (402) 294-5501; (USSTRATCOM Replacement Facility: 100,866 SM = 1,085,748 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is for a Combatant Command and as such is programmed for joint use; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force.

Fiscal Year	Auth Requested	Appn Requested	Appropriation
2012	\$564.0M	\$150.0M	\$120.0M
2013		\$161.0M	
2014		\$136.0M	
2015		\$180.0M	

R FORCE	(com	puter gene	rated)		
INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	
FUTT AIR FORCE BA FUTTAIRFORCEBSE S BRASKA			USSTRATCOM INCR 3	REPLACEMENT	FACILITY -
PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DE 7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576	610-287	3100/	SGBP100904F	AUTH: 0 AN	PPN: 136,000
2. SUPPLEMENTAL DA	ATA:				
a. Estimated Desi	lgn Data:				
(1) Status:					
	sign Started				26-OCT-09
	cic Cost Estimates		evelop costs		YES
	Complete as of 01	JAN 2013			
* (d) Date 35%	2				16-APR-10 28-FEB-11
	sign Complete Study/Life-Cycle am	nalveie wa	s/will be re	rformed	28-FEB-11 NO
(I) Energy L	cudy/lile-cycle a	larybrb wa.	s/will be pe	riormed	NO
(2) Basis:					
	d or Definitive Dea	-			NO
(b) Where De	esign Was Most Rec	ently Used	-		
(3) Total Cost	(c) = (a) + (b) on	r (d) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Producti	on of Plans and S	pecificatio	ons		31,615
(b) All Othe	er Design Costs				3,885
(c) Total					35,500
(d) Contract					33,000
(e) In-house	3				2,500
(4) Constructio	n Contract Award				12 AUG
(5) Constructio	on Start				12 SEP
(6) Constructio	on Completion				16 SEP
which is comp cost and exec	npletion of Project parable to tradition sutability. pociated with this p	onal 35% de	esign to ens	ure valid so	cope,
1.1					
EQUIPMENT NOME	NCLATURE	PROCURIN APPROPRIAT	G APPR	CAL YEAR OPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)
C4I SYSTEMS EN	GINEERING/INTEGR	3400		2012	8,000
C4I SYSTEMS EN	GINEERING/INTEGR	3400		2013	7,000
UPS; SECURITY	COMPONENTS	3080		2013	25,000
		3400		2014	22,000
FURNISHINGS					
FURNISHINGS		3400		2015	77,000
	SYSTEM	3400 3080		2015 2015	77,000 99,000

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99 Previous editions are obsolete.

. COMPONENT IR FORCE		FY 2014 MILITARY Concernments (compute	ONSTRUCTION PROJEC	T DATA	2. DATE
. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CC	ST (\$000)
27576		610-287	3100/SGBP100904F	AUTH: 0 APP	N: 136,000
COMM/COMP	UTER SY	STEM	3080	2016	197,000
COMM/COMP			3080	2017	44,000
COMM/COMP			3080	2018	8,000
FORM 1391, D	EC 99	Previous ed:	itions are obsolet	e. P	age No.

AIR FORCE4. COMMAND: AIR COMBAT COMMAND5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.223. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA4. COMMAND: AIR COMBAT COMMAND5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.226. Personnel StrengthPERMANENTSTUDENTSSUPPORTEDStrengthOFF ENLCIVOFF ENLCIVOFF ENLAS OF 30 SEP 12 END FY 20171053 11036415 6322 26962709 7575 135 20 11 263 10,653 10,5977. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. a. Total Acreage: b. Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 12) c. Authorization Not Yet in Inventory: d. Authorization Requested in this Program: f. Remaining Deficiency: g. Grand Total:(FY 2014)78,500 46,950 178,000 2,521,6228. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: CODE 215-552(FY 2014) F.35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage 2,351(FY 2014) 5,000 2,351SUPP COST SMSUPP COST 2,500 2,500 3,000CODE 215-552PROJECT TITLE F.35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage 2,351SM 3,000 3,000START 2,000 3,000	1. COMPONENT		FY	2014 N			ISTR	UCTION	PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA         AIR COMBAT COMMAND         COST INDEX 1.22           6. Personnel         PERMANENT         STUDENTS         SUPPORTED           Strength         OFF         ENL         CIV         OFF         ENL         CIV         TOTAL           AS OF 30 SEP 12         1063         6415         2709         75         135         2         0         1         263         10.683           END FY 2017         1103         6322         2696         75         135         2         0         1         263         10.683           A total Acreage:         13,321           108,189          30.446         36,9500         36,950         36,950         <												
NEVADA         1.22           6. Personnel         PERMANENT         STUDENTS         SUPPORTED           Strength         OFF         ENL         CIV         OFF         ENL         CIV         TOTAL           AS OF 30 SEP 12         1063         6415         2709         75         135         2         0         1         263         10.697           7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)         a. Total as of: (30 Sep 12)         .         2,109,983         .         2,109,983           c. Authorization Net vet in Inventory:         .         .         108,189         .         .         108,189           d. Authorization Requested in this Program:         (FY 2014)         .	3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION		4. CO	MMANE	):			5. AREA	CONST	
6. Personnel         PERMANENT         STUDENTS         SUPPORTED         TOTAL           Strength         OFF         ENL         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         CIV         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         CIV         DAL         <	NELLIS AIR FORCI	E BASE,			AIR CO	MBAT	COM	IMAND		COST IND	DEX	
Strength         OFF         ENL         CIV         OFF         ENL         CIV         OFF         ENL         CIV         TOTAL           AS OF 30 SEP 12         1053         6415         2709         75         135         2         0         1         263         10.653           AS OF 30 SEP 12         1053         6415         2709         75         135         2         0         1         263         10.653           A Total Acreage:         13,921	NEVADA									1.22		
AS OF 30 SEP 12         103         6415         2709         75         135         2         0         1         263         10,653           END FY 2017         1103         6222         2686         75         135         2         0         1         263         10,653           END FY 2017         1103         6322         2686         75         135         2         0         1         263         10,653           INVENTORY DATA (\$000)         a. Total Acreage:         13,821          0         1         263         10,653           b. Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 12)         2,109,983         .         .         108,169         .         108,189           d. Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:         108,189         .         108,183         .         108,183           e. Planned in Next Four Years Program:         (FY 2014)         78,500         .         .         2,521,622           8. PROJECT SREQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:         (FY 2014)         COST         DESIGN STATUS         .         .         2,521,622           8. PROJECT TITLE         SCOPE         \$0000         Design Build         .         .         .         1,505         .         .	6. Personnel	PE	RMANEN	Т	S	<b>FUDEN</b>	TS		SU	PPORTED	)	
END FY 2017         1103         6322         2696         75         135         2         0         1         263         10,597           7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)         .         .         Total Acreage:         13,921         .	Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL		CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
END FY 2017         1103         6322         2696         75         135         2         0         1         263         10,597           7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)	AS OF 30 SEP 12	1053	6415	2709	75		135	2	0	1	263	10,653
a. Total Acreage: 13.921 b. Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 12) 2,109,983 c. Authorization Net Yet In Inventory: 108,189 d. Authorization Net Four Years Program: (FY 2014) 78,500 e. Planned in Next Four Years Program: (FY 2014) 78,500 g. Grand Total: 2,521,622 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2014) CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATUS CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE S.000 START CMPL 215-552 F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage 2,351 SM 5,000 Design Build 721-312 Dormitory (240 RM) 48,2768 F-35 Parts Storage 2,322 SM 9,100 Design Build 214-179 F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar 1,515 SM 9,400 Design Build 214-1753 Add RPA weapons School Facility 4,465 SM 20,000 Design Build 141-753 Add RPA weapons School Facility 1,655 SM 9,4000 Design Build 141-754 Add RPA weapons School Facility 1,655 SM 2,000 Design Build 141-755 Abtrict MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar 29,000 211-111 F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar 29,000 211-121 F-222 Fight Simulator Facility 11,000 211-152 ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility 4,250 46,950	END FY 2017	1103	6322	2696	75		135		0	1	263	10,597
b. Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 12) 2,109,983 C. Authorization Requested in this Program: (FY 2014) 78,500 Authorization Requested in this Program: (FY 2014) 78,500 E. Planned in Next Four Years Program: (FY 2014) 78,500 G. Grand Total: 2,521,622 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2014) CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATUS CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE S	7. INVENTORY DA	ATA (\$000	))							-		
c. Authorization Not Yet in Inventory: 108,189 d. Authorization Requested in this Program: (FY 2014) 78,500 e. Planned in Next Four Years Program: 46,950 f. Remaining Deficiency: 178,000 g. Grand Total: 2,521,622 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2014) CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATUS CODE PROJECT IITLE SCOPE 0,000 Design Build 721-312 Dormitory (240 RM) 8,640 SM 35,000 Design Build 721-312 Dormitory (240 RM) 8,640 SM 35,000 Design Build 721-312 Dormitory (240 RM) 8,640 SM 35,000 Design Build 721-313 Add RPA weapons School Facility 4,465 SM 20,000 Design Build 141-753 Add RPA weapons School Facility 4,465 SM 20,000 Design Build 141-753 Add RPA weapons School Facility 4,465 SM 20,000 Design Build 70-171-212 F-22 Flight Simulator Facility 11,000 717-212 F-22 Flight Simulator Facility 11,000 717-212 ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility 4,250 46,950 9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M) 56 10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada Taest and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint frepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations G.p.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic wafare, armament and ayionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing, and experimentation.	a. Total Acreage:	13,921										
d. Authorization Requested in this <sup>P</sup> rogram:       (FY 2014)       78,500         e. Planned in Next Four Years Program:       46,950         Remaining Deficiency:       178,000         g. Grand Total:       2,521,622         8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:       (FY 2014)         CATEGORY       COT       DESIGN STATUS         CODE       PROJECT TITLE       SCOPE       \$0,000       Design Build         721-552       F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage       2,321       SM       5,000       Design Build         721-512       Dormitory (240 RM)       8,640       SM       35,000       Design Build         721-712       Dormitory (240 RM)       8,640       SM       35,000       Design Build         721-712       F-35 Farts Storage       2,322       SM       9,100       Design Build         711-712       F-35 Pairt Storage       2,322       SM       9,000       Total       78,500         8a. Future Projects:       Typical Planned Next Four Years:       211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000       2700         717-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000       7700       211-152       ADAL HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bidg       2,700         <	b. Inventory Total a	as of : (30	) Sep 12)									2,109,983
e. Planned in Next Four Years Program:       46,950         f. Remaining Deficiency:       178,000         g. Grand Total:       2,521,622         8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:       (FY 2014)         CATEGORY       COST       DESIGN       START         CODE       PROJECT TITLE       SCOPE       \$,000       START       CMPL         215-552       F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage       2,351       SM       5,000 Design Build         442-768       F-35 Parts Storage       2,322       SM       9,100 Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       20,000       Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000       171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       4,6950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)       56       10.       Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Wafare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada       16         163       Mastion or Major Functions:       USAF Wafare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, Resting, and ta	c. Authorization No	t Yet in In	ventory:									108,189
f. Remaining Deficiency:       178,000         g. Grand Total:       2,521,622         8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:       (FY 2014)         CATEGORY       COST       DESIGN STATUS         CODE       PROJECT TITLE       SCOPE       \$,000       START       CMPL         215-552       F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage       2,351       SM       5,000       Design Build         721-312       Dormitory (240 RM)       8,640       SM       35,000       Design Build         721-312       Dormitory (240 RM)       8,640       SM       35,000       Design Build         721-312       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         711-127       F-35 Aitcraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000       Total       78,500         9a. Future Projects:       Typical Planned Next Four Years:       21,111       F-35 Aitcraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         711-212       F-22 Fight Simulator Facility       11,000       11,000       111-152         211-1152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)       56       10.         10. Mission or Major	d. Authorization Re	quested i	n this Pro	gram:		(FY 20	14)					78,500
g. Grand Total:       2,521,622         8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:       (FY 2014)         CATEGORY       COST       DESIGN       START         CODE       PROJECT TITLE       SCOPE       \$,000       START       CMPL         215-552       F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage       2,351       SM       5,000       Design Build         721-312       Dormitory (240 RM)       8,640       SM       35,000       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400       Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         171-212       F-32 Fight Simulator Facility       11,000       11,000       171-212       HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250       46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)       S6       56       50       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tacids developme	e. Planned in Next	Four Yea	rs Progra	m:								46,950
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:       (FY 2014)         CATEGORY       COST       DESIGN STATUS         CODE       PROJECT TITLE       SCOPE       \$.000       START_CMPL         215-552       F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage       2,351       SM       5,000 Design Build         721-312       Dormitory (240 RM)       8,640       SM       35,000 Design Build         242-768       F-35 Parts Storage       2,322       SM       9,100 Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400 Design Build         211-173       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000         211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000       171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000         711-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000       46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)       56       100. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmlie Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing A+10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A,       HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag	f. Remaining Defici	ency:										178,000
CATEGORY       COST       DESIGN       STATUS         CODE       PROJECT TITLE       SCOPE       \$,000       START       CMPL         215-552       F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage       2,351       SM       5,000       Design Build         215-768       F-35 Parts Storage       2,322       SM       9,100       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Aitcraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000       Total       78,500         9a. Future Projects:       Typical Planned Next Four Years:       211-111       F-32 Aitcraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         211-112       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000       11,000       111-152         211-1152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (SM)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         1152       ADAL	g. Grand Total:											2,521,622
CATEGORY       COST       DESIGN       STATUS         CODE       PROJECT TITLE       SCOPE       \$,000       START       CMPL         215-552       F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage       2,351       SM       5,000       Design Build         215-768       F-35 Parts Storage       2,322       SM       9,100       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Aitcraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000       Total       78,500         9a. Future Projects:       Typical Planned Next Four Years:       211-111       F-32 Aitcraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         211-112       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000       11,000       111-152         211-1152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (SM)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         1152       ADAL												
CODE         PROJECT TITLE         SCOPE         \$.000         START         CMPL           215-552         F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage         2,351         SM         5,000         Design Build           721-312         Dormitory (240 RM)         8,640         SM         35,000         Design Build           721-312         Dormitory (240 RM)         8,640         SM         35,000         Design Build           211-179         F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar         1,515         SM         9,400         Design Build           211-179         F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar         1,515         SM         20,000         Design Build           141-753         Add RPA weapons School Facility         4,465         SM         20,000         Design Build           141-753         Add RPA weapons School Facility         4,465         SM         20,000         Design Build           171-212         F-32 Flight Simulator Facility         11,000         171-121         HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg         2,700           211-152         ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility         4,250         46,950           9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:         (\$M)         56           10. Mission or Major Functions:         USAF W	8. PROJECTS REC	QUESTEI	D IN THIS	PROG	GRAM:				(FY 201	4)		
215-552       F-35 Alter Mission Equipment Storage       2,351       SM       5,000       Design Build         721-312       Dormitory (240 RM)       8,640       SM       35,000       Design Build         442-768       F-35 Parts Storage       2,322       SM       9,100       Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400       Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         701       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000       Total       78,500         9a. Future Projects:       Typical Planned Next Four Years:       211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000       71-212       4,250         46,950       211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         46,950       46,950       46,950       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing overses a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency aiffields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A,       HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, M										COST		STATUS
721-312       Dormitory (240 RM)       8,640       SM       35,000 Design Build         442-768       F-35 Parts Storage       2,322       SM       9,100 Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400 Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         701       Total       78,500       78,500       78,500       78,500         9a. Future Projects:       Typical Planned Next Four Years:       29,000       77,700       78,500         91.112       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000       11,000       74,6950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)       46,950       56         10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency aiffields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A,         HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (549th Combat Training         Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); suppor	<u>CODE</u>											
442-768       F-35 Parts Storage       2,322       SM       9,100 Design Build         211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400 Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         70tal       Total       78,500       78,500       78,500       78,500         9a. Future Projects:       Typical Planned Next Four Years:       29,000       11,000       717,212         71-212       HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700       211,152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)       56       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A,         HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in join	215-552				nent Ste	orage					-	
211-179       F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar       1,515       SM       9,400 Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         9a. Future Projects:       Typical Planned Next Four Years:       29,000       78,500         211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000       11,000         171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000       27,700         211-152       ADAL HH-60 ReCAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700       211         211-152       ADAL HH-60 RecAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700       246,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)       56       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60C, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower proc				,							•	
141-753       Add RPA weapons School Facility       4,465       SM       20,000       Design Build         9a. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:       78,500         211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000         171-212       HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700         211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Warfare are armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th         Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising,	442-768		•					,				
Total       78,500         9a. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:       29,000         211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000         171-212       HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700         211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A,         HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and         USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th C				-							-	
9a. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Four Years:       29,000         211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000         171-212       HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700         211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0	141-753	Add RPA	weapons	Schoo	ol Facilit	У			SM		-	uild
211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000         171-212       HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700         211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th         Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air								Total		78,500		
211-111       F-35 Aircraft MX Unit, 4 Bay Hangar       29,000         171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000         171-212       HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700         211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th         Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air		<del></del> · ·	<u> </u>									
171-212       F-22 Flight Simulator Facility       11,000         171-212       HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700         211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4,250         46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation:       (\$M)         10. Mission or Major Functions:       USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th         Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a	-	•••								00.000		
171-212       HH-60 RECAP Operational Trainer Facility Bldg       2,700         211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4.250         46,950       46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0					•	gar				-		
211-152       ADAL HH-60 General Purpose Maintenance Facility       4.250 46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada       56         Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0						or Eagi		da		-		
46,950         9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0										-		
9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M)       56         10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0	211-152	ADALTI	1-00 Gene	siai ru	ipose iv	annena	ancer	aciiity				
10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0										40,350		
10. Mission or Major Functions: USAF Warfare Center manages advanced pilot training, operation, testing, and tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0	9b. Real Property N	<i>Maintenar</i>	nce Backlo	oa This	Installa	tion: (	\$M)					56
tactics development in air, space, and cyberspace. Its 98th Range Wing oversees a 15,000 sqmile Nevada Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A, HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation. 11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies): a. Air pollution b. Water Pollution c. Occupational Safety and Health 0								advance	ed pilot t	raining, op	eration, te	
Test and Training Range Complex and two emergency airfields. 57th Wing, A-10A, F-15C/E, F-16, F-22A,         HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training         Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training         Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and         USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for         combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew         training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th         Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined         joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0							-		•	• •		-
HH-60G, MQ-1 Predator, MQ-9 Reaper. 57th Wing missions include Red Flag exercises (414th Combat Training Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.							•	•				
Sq.); graduate level pilot training (USAF Weapons School); support for Army exercises (549th Combat Training Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0	•	•	•		•			•				
Sq.); training for international personnel in joint firepower procedures and techniques (57th Operations Gp.); and USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0					-				-			-
USAF Air Demonstration Sq. (Thunderbirds). 53rd Wing, at 17 locations nationwide, serves as focal point for combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation. 11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies): a. Air pollution b. Water Pollution c. Occupational Safety and Health 0	17.0	•	<b>U</b> (		•	,.				•		•
combat air forces in electronic warfare, armament and avionics, chemical defense, reconnaissance, and aircrew training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th         Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation.         11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0			•	-	•	•				•	•	• •
training devices, and operational testing and evaluation of proposed new equipment and systems. 505th Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation. 11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies): a. Air pollution 0 b. Water Pollution 0 c. Occupational Safety and Health 0		-				-					-	
Command and Control Wing builds the predominant air and space command and control ability for combined joint warfighters through training, testing, exercising, and experimentation. 11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies): a. Air pollution 0 b. Water Pollution 0 c. Occupational Safety and Health 0												
11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):       0         a. Air pollution       0         b. Water Pollution       0         c. Occupational Safety and Health       0	-	•		-		•				•		
a. Air pollution0b. Water Pollution0c. Occupational Safety and Health0	joint warfighters thro	ough train	ning, testin	ig, exei	rcising,	and exp	perim	entation.				
a. Air pollution0b. Water Pollution0c. Occupational Safety and Health0												
b. Water Pollution 0 c. Occupational Safety and Health 0	11. Outstanding Po	llution an	d Safety (	OSHA	Deficie	ncies):						
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0	a. Air pollution									0		
	b. Water Polluti	ion								0		
d. Other Environmental 0	c. Occupational	l Safety a	nd Health							0		
	d. Other Enviro	nmental								0		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT.	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITLE	3	•
NELLIS AIR FORC	E BASE	1		ADD R	PA WEAPONS	SCHOOL FACIL	ITY
NELLIS SITE # 1 NEVADA							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
25219		171-211		RKMF1	13005		20,000
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT	ES						16,851
WEAPONS SCHOOL	FACIL	ITY		SM	4,465	3,700	( 16,521 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 330 )
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES						847
UTILITIES				LS			(257)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 240 )
SITE IMPROVEMEN	NTS			LS			( 300 )
COMMUNICATIONS	SUPPO	RT		LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL							17,698
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					885
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						18,583
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				1,059
DESIGN/BUILD - I	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)				708
TOTAL REQUEST		- )					20,350
TOTAL REQUEST (F		D) APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	100)				20,000 )
			-			the second	( 25,530
		Proposed Construc PA) Weapons School					-
		s to accommodate t					
should be comp	atibl	e with applicable	DoD, Air	Force	, and base	e design sta	indards. In
		erials and constru		_			
		ork includes secur ies (SCIF), utili	-	-		-	
		port. This project	-	-	-	-	-
		ents per Unified H					,
Air Conditioni	ng:	60 Tons					
11. Requiremen	t: 12	413 SM Adequate	e: 7948 SM	S	ubstandard	1: 0 SM	
PROJECT: Add	RPA W	Meapons School Faci	ility (Ne	w Mis	sion)		
REQUIREMENT:	Adequ	ately sized and co	onfigured	USAF	Weapon Sch	nool operati	onal
-		are required to s				-	-
_		Nellis AFB. The ctics and weapons				_	
-		AF (Combat Air For	_	_			
_		d sensor operators			_		
environment in	clude	s ranges that prov	vide aircr	aft o	perators o	critically n	needed
		fire combat employ				_	-
_	_	des space for inst					
brier/de-brier	LOOI	s, weapons tactics	s trainers	, KPA	LIXed gro	Juna control	. stations

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION,	3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE ADD RPA WEAPONS SCHOOL FACILITY							
NELLIS SITE # 1							
NEVADA							
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)		
25219	171-211	3056/RKMF113005 20,000					

## and other training devices.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are no excess or adequate facilities available that can be converted to accommodate this new requirement and beddown. Current and future needs for classrooms, instructor pilot offices, weapons school squadron command areas, pilot and maintenance brief/debrief rooms, auditoriums and secure work areas exceed the available space within existing USAF Weapon School facilities. The colocation of assets is required to maximize operational synergism and optimize interaction of students and other weapons system squadrons of the USAF Weapons School.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Lacking adequate training facilities, RPA pilots and sensor operators will not receive critically needed simulated and live fire combat employment training scenarios for their weapon system. Incremental increases in existing USAF Weapons School Division requirements and additional new missions will not be accommodated, thus, severely jeopardizing the quality of training provided to combat aircrews by the USAF Weapons School.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (702) 652-4833. Weapons School Addition: 4465 SM = 48,060 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1		FY 2014 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(comp	uter generated	)		
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND I	OCATION	4. PRO	JECT TIT	LE	
NELLIS AIR FOI NELLIS SITE # NEVADA	-		ADD RP	A WEAPON	S SCHOOL FAC	LITY
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY COD	E 7. PROJECT I	NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
25219		171-211	3056/RKMF1	13005	20	,000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:				
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Projec	ct to be	accomplished by	design-build p	rocedure	s	
	andard o	or Definitive Des: ign Was Most Recen	-			NO
(3) All Ot	ther Des	ign Costs				800
(4) Consti	ruction	Contract Award				14 FEB
(5) Consti	ruction	Start				14 MAR
(6) Consti	ruction	Completion				15 SEP
(7) Energy	y Study/	Life-Cycle analys	is was/will be	perform	led	YES
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCI		OCURING APPRC	APPROE	PRIATED	COST
EQUIPMENT					רושתפידוו	
FURNISHIN		LATORE	3400	OR REQ	UESTED	(\$000)
FURNISHIN COMMUNICA	IGS		3400 3400	OR REG	QUESTED )15 )15	
FURNISHIN COMMUNICA PMAT SIMS	igs Ations e			OR REQ 20 20	)15	(\$000) 250
COMMUNICA	IGS ATIONS E (4)		3400	OR RE( 2( 2( 2(	)15 )15	(\$000) 250 80

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DAT	ГА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITLE	:	
NELLIS AIR FORC	E BASE	2		DORMI	TORY (240 R	M)	
NELLIS SITE # 1							
NEVADA						1	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		721-312	3056/	RKMF0	83002		35,000
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		∪/м	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
					20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-2		(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT	IES						26,962
DORMITORY (240	RM)			SM	8,640	3,060	( 26,434 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(528)
SUPPORTING FACE	LITIES						3,639
UTILITIES				LS			(234)
SITE IMPROVEME	NTS			LS			(720)
PAVEMENTS				LS			(246)
COMMUNICATIONS	SUPPO	RT		LS			(80)
DEMOLITION				SM	4,966	475	( 2,359)
SUBTOTAL						-	30,601
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					1,530
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST					-	32,131
SUPERVISION, IN	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				1,831
DESIGN/BUILD -	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)				1,224
TOTAL REQUEST						-	35,187
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					35,000 )
EQUIPMENT FROM	OTHER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 1,275
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	ction: Co	nstru	ict a dormi	tory utiliz.	ing
	-	nd construction me					
-		lity should be con ds. In addition,	-			-	-
-		cost effective.					_
		ject will comply w					
requirements p	per un	ified facilities o	criteria.				
Air Conditioni	.ng:	660 Tons Grade Mi	x: E1-E4	240			
11. Requiremen	nt: 12	74 RM Adequate:	: 816 RM	Sub	standard:	162 RM	
PROJECT: Dorn	nitory	(240 RM). (Curre	ent Missio	n)			
REQUIREMENT:	A maj	or Air Force objec	ctive prov	ides	unaccompan	ied enliste	d personnel
-		ive to their prope			_		-
	Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual						
	privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complex and important jobs these people perform. The retention of these highly trained						
_	-	to our readiness					
		accordance with th	_		-	_	
CURRENT SITUAT	ION:	Dormitories 727 a	and 729, c	onstr	ucted in 1	.976, are th	ree story
dormitories wi	th 66	and 96 rooms resp	pectively.	The	se Dormito	ories have r	eceived
		tions over the pas					
the bathrooms	occur	ring in 1997. The	e rooms we	re ra	ted as "in	adequate",	and do not
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	us editions	s are	obsolete.		Page No.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION PROJECT DAT	ГА	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE DORMITORY (240 RM)							
NELLIS SITE # 1							
NEVADA							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)	
27576		721-312	3056	/RKMF083002	35	5,000	

meet room size and configuration requirements based upon current Air Force grade allowances. Dormitories 727 and 729 do not meet force protection requirements including progressive collapse, blast protection and standoff distances from major base arterials. Per the 2012 to 2016 Air Force Dormitory Master Plan, the cost to renovate these dormitories exceed 92% of the replacement costs for these buildings, and the plan recommends Dormitories 727 and 729 for replacement and demolition following the completion of a new 240 PN permanent party dormitory. This new dormitory will also address an existing dorm room deficit.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Adequate living quarters, meeting current Air Force living standards, which provide a level of privacy required for today's airmen will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Young airmen will be forced to live off-base in more expensive residences due to the lack of proper on-base quarters.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Economic analysis was conducted during Dorm Master Plan development. FY 2012 unaccompanied housing RPM conducted: \$150K. FY2013 unaccompanied RPM planned: \$150K. Future unaccompanied RPH planned: \$50K per year. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (702) 652-4833. Dormitory: 8,640 SM = 93,000 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION     4. PROJECT TITLE       NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE     DORMITORY (240 RM)       NELLIS SITE # 1     DORMITORY (240 RM)	1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2014 M		ONSTRUCTIO		DATA	2. DATE
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE NELLIS SITE # 1 NEVADA 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27576 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 721-31 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			(compute				
NELLIS SITE # 1 NEVADA 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27576 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 27576 721-312 3056/RKMF083002 35,000 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) All Other Design Costs 1,400 (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Completion (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (6) Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE PROCURING APPRC PROCURING APPRC PROCURING APPRC 0 R REQUESTED (\$000) FURNISHINGS 3400 2015 1,200	3. INSTALLATIC	N AND LOCATION		4. P	ROJECT TI	TLE	
NEVADA 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27576 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 27576 721-312 3056/RKMF083002 35,000 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) All Other Design Costs (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (	NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE				DORMITORY (240 RM)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT       6. CATEGORY CODE       7. PROJECT NUMBER       8. PROJECT COST (\$000)         27576       721-312       3056/RKMF083002       35,000         12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:       a. Estimated Design Data:       (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures       (2) Basis:       NO         (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures       (2) Basis:       NO       NO         (2) Basis:       (a) Standard or Definitive Design -       NO       NO         (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -       (3) All Other Design Costs       1,400         (4) Construction Contract Award       14 FEB       14 MAR         (5) Construction Start       14 MAR       16 MAR         (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed       YES         b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:       FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED COST         EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE       PROCURING APPRC       FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED COST         IFURNISHINGS       3400       2015       1,200	NELLIS SITE #	1					
27576721-3123056/RKMF08300235,00012. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:a. Estimated Design Data:(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures(2) Basis:(a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -(3) All Other Design Costs1,400(4) Construction Contract Award14 FEB(5) Construction Start14 MAR(6) Construction Completion16 MAR(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performedYESb. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTEDCOST (\$000)FURNISHINGS340020151,200	NEVADA						
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) All Other Design Costs 1,400 (4) Construction Contract Award 14 FEB (5) Construction Start 14 MAR (6) Construction Completion 16 MAR (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: PROCURING APPRC FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED COST OR REQUESTED (\$000) FURNISHINGS 3400 2015 1,200	5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT 6. CATEG	ORY CODE	7. PROJEC	T NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) All Other Design Costs 1,400 (4) Construction Contract Award 14 FEB (5) Construction Start 14 MAR (6) Construction Completion 16 MAR (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriated EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE PROCURING APPRC APPROPRIATED (\$000) FURNISHINGS 3400 2015 1,200				3056/RKM	6/RKMF083002 35,00		
(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures         (2) Basis:         (a) Standard or Definitive Design -         (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -         (3) All Other Design Costs       1,400         (4) Construction Contract Award       14 FEB         (5) Construction Start       14 MAR         (6) Construction Completion       16 MAR         (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed       YES         b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:       FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED (\$000)         FURNISHINGS       3400       2015       1,200	12. SUPPLEMEN	FAL DATA:		1			
(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures         (2) Basis:         (a) Standard or Definitive Design -         (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -         (3) All Other Design Costs       1,400         (4) Construction Contract Award       14 FEB         (5) Construction Start       14 MAR         (6) Construction Completion       16 MAR         (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed       YES         b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:       FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED (\$000)         FURNISHINGS       3400       2015       1,200	a. Estimated	l Design Data:					
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -NO(3) All Other Design Costs1,400(4) Construction Contract Award14 FEB(5) Construction Start14 MAR(6) Construction Completion16 MAR(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performedYESb. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriatedYESEquipment nomencLATUREPROCURING APPRCFISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTEDCOST (\$000)FURNISHINGS340020151,200		-	hed by de	sign_build	brogedur		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -NO(3) All Other Design Costs1,400(4) Construction Contract Award14 FEB(5) Construction Start14 MAR(6) Construction Completion16 MAR(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performedYESb. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTEDCOST (\$000)FURNISHINGS340020151,200			med by de	SIGII-DUIIC	i procedui	65	
(3) All Other Design Costs       1,400         (4) Construction Contract Award       14 FEB         (5) Construction Start       14 MAR         (6) Construction Completion       16 MAR         (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed       YES         b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriated (\$000)       FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED (\$000)         FURNISHINGS       3400       2015       1,200	(a) Sta	andard or Definit:	-				NO
(5) Construction Start14 MAR(6) Construction Completion16 MAR(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performedYESb. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:YESEQUIPMENT NOMENCLATUREPROCURING APPRCFISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTEDCOST (\$000)FURNISHINGS340020151,200							1,400
(6) Construction Completion16 MAR(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performedYESb. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:YESEQUIPMENT NOMENCLATUREPROCURING APPRCFISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTEDCOST (\$000)FURNISHINGS340020151,200	(4) Construction Contract Award						14 FEB
(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performedYESb. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTEDCOST (\$000)FURNISHINGS340020151,200	(5) Construction Start						14 MAR
b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: PROCURING APPRC PROCURING APPRC COST OR REQUESTED (\$000) FURNISHINGS 3400 2015 1,200	(6) Construction Completion						16 MAR
FISCAL YEARPROCURING APPRCFISCAL YEARAPPROPRIATEDCOSTOR REQUESTED(\$000)FURNISHINGS340020151,200	(7) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle	analysis	was/will	be perfor	med	YES
	EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLATURE	PROC	URING APPI	RC APPRO	PRIATED	
COMMUNICATIONS-ELECT EQUIPMENT 3400 2015 75	FURNISHIN	GS		3400	:	2015	1,200
	COMMUNICA	TIONS-ELECT EQUIP	MENT	3400	:	2015	75

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer ger	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PR	OJECT TITLE	6	
NELLIS AIR FORC	E BASE	1		F-35	ALT MISSION	I EQUIP (AME)	STORAGE
NELLIS SITE # 1							
NEVADA							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. RPSUID				CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27142 215-552 3056				/RKMF1	03005		5,000
9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT	ES						3,588
F-35 AME STORA	JE FAC	ILITY (ADDITION)		SM	2,241	1,506	( 3,375 )
F-35 AME STORA	GE FAC	ILITY (ALTERATION)		SM	110	1,300	(143)
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		SM	2,341	30	(70)
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES						759
UTILITIES				LS			( 189)
SITE IMPROVEME	ITS			LS			( 320 )
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 160 )
COMMUNICATIONS	SUPPO	RT		LS			(90)
SUBTOTAL							4,347
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					217
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						4,564
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				260
_		COST (4.0% OF S					174
TOTAL REQUEST							4,998
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	D)					5,000)
		-, APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				(70
		Proposed Construc	-	netru	at an addi	tion and a	-
_		orage facility uti					
-		ate the mission of	-		-		
		licable DoD, Air H		-		-	
local material	s and	construction tech	nniques sh	all b	e used whe	ere cost ef:	fective.
This project w	ill c	comply with DoD ant	titerroris	m/for	ce protect	ion require	ements per
unified facili	ties	criteria.					
Air Conditioni	ng:	100 Tons					
11. Requiremen	t: 43	44 SM Adequate:	: 2103 SM	Su	bstandard	: 0 SM	
PROJECT: F-35	Alt	Mission Equip (AMH	E) Storage	. (N	ew Missior	1)	
REQUIREMENT:	Addit	ional Alternate M	ission Equ	ipmen	t (AME) st	corage capa	city is
required to su	pport	the permanent bec	ldown of 3	6 F-3	5 Primary	Development	t/Test
		imately 215 person		-		-	-
_		the permanent bec			_	_	
	-	n 2nd Quarter FY13					
		Nellis AFB. Open CAF) requirement t			-		
		around the world.			-		
_		weapons employment					
_		nd maintainers tra					
_		cessful training o				-	

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILIT	A 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(c					
3. INSTALLATION,	SITE AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE				
NELLIS AIR FORCE	E BASE	F-35 ALT MISSION	EQUIP (AME) STORAGE			
NELLIS SITE # 1						
NEVADA						

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27142	215-552	3056/RKMF103005	5,000

health of the fleet and personnel. With 24 months normally required for construction and 6 months required for security accreditation, the construction period will have to be compressed and temporary O&M work-arounds will be implemented to meet aircraft delivery timelines. This additional time is required to support facility security accreditation process; maintenance computer tracking/maintenance systems, communication instruments/systems; telephones; furniture and other work necessary for a complete and usable facility for the intended purpose. Nellis AFB has been designated as the beddown location for Force Development and Evaluation and the USAF Weapon School for the F-35 Weapon System. CURRENT SITUATION: Nellis AFB does not have excess AME storage capacity to support the addition of 36 F-35 aircraft and associated aircraft maintenance and equipment storage functions. This project is an addition to Bldg 422 (1626 SM), an armament/AME storage facility completed in FY08 under "BRAC-Aircraft Maintenance Shop Facilities". Nellis is one of the most congested airfields in the Air Force from an operational and logistical perspective. The installation supports diversified weapons systems ranging from helicopters to F-22 aircraft; including all supporting operational tests, weapons school and flag exercises. In addition, Nellis AFB employs up to 80% of the live munitions in the CONUS. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide facilities in a timely manner will critically impact the operational capabilities of the installation and incoming aircraft. Adequate AME storage facilities will not be available to support critical aircraft maintenance functions, thus diminishing combat effectiveness. Without adequate AME storage facilities, maintenance personnel will not be able to adequately maintain aircraft to support required sortie generation and combat turns. The aircraft utilization rate will decrease to an unacceptable level. ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (702) 652-4833. AME Storage Facility (Addition): 2,241 SM = 24,124 SF; AME Storage Facility (Alteration): 110 SM = 1,181 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT     FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA     2. DATE       AIR FORCE     (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION NELLIS AIR FORC NELLIS SITE # 1 NEVADA	AND LOCATION	(00x,p0002 3)	4. PROJECT TI	TLE SION EQUIP (AMI	E) STORAGE		
5. PROGRAM ELEM	IENT 6. CATEGORY	Y CODE 7. 1	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	)ST (\$000)		
27142	215-55	52 30	56/RKMF103005	5,	000		
12. SUPPLEMENTA	AL DATA:						
a. Estimated							
(1) Project	to be accomplished	d by design	-build procedur	res			
	ndard or Definitive re Design Was Most		sed -		NO		
(3) All Oth	er Design Costs				200		
(4) Constru	ction Contract Awa:	rd			14 FEB		
(5) Constru	ction Start				14 MAR		
(6) Construction Completion 15 MAR							
(7) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle a	nalysis was	/will be perfor	rmed	YES		
b. Equipment	associated with th	is project	provided from	other appropri	ations:		
EQUIPMENT 1	NOMENCLATURE	PROCURIN	G APPRC APPRC	AL YEAR OPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)		
WAREHOUSE	EQUIPMENT	30	30	2014	60		
COMMUNICAT	IONS EQUIPMENT	34	00	2014	10		

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PF	OJECT TITLE	3	1
NELLIS AIR FORC	E BASE	2		F-35 FUEL CELL HANGAR			
NELLIS SITE # 1							
NEVADA		1					
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27142		211-179	3056/	RKMF1	.03009		9,400
		9. 0	OST ESTIMA	TES			
	ITEM					UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT	IES						5,775
F-35 FUEL CELL	HANGA	R ADDITION		SM	1,115	4,217	( 4,702 )
F-35 FUEL CELL	HANGA	R ALTERATION		SM	400	2,400	( 960 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 113 )
SUPPORTING FACE	LITIES						2,384
UTILITIES				LS			( 370)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 630)
SITE IMPROVEME	NTS			LS			( 484 )
HIGH EXPANSION	FIRE	PROTECTION SYSTEM		LS			( 850)
COMMUNICATIONS	SUPPO	RT		LS			( 50)
SUBTOTAL							8,158
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%	)					408
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST					-	8,566
SUPERVISION, IN	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				488
DESIGN/BUILD - 1	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)				326
TOTAL REQUEST							9,381
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					9,400)
EQUIPMENT FROM (	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 150
addition and a construction m should be comp addition, loca effective. T requirements p Air Conditioni	10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 1-bay fuel cell hangar addition and alter an existing fuel cell hangar utilizing economical design and construction methods to accommodate the mission of the facility. The facility should be compatible with applicable DoD, Air Force, and base design standards. In addition, local materials and construction techniques shall be used where cost effective. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria.						
11. Requirement	t: 46	70 SM Adequates	: 3555 SM	Su	bstandard:	0 SM	
PROJECT: F-35	ADAL	Fuel Cell Hangar	(New Mis	sion)			
		ay fuel cell hanga					•
cell hanger is required to support F-35 aircraft that will start delivery to Nellis AFB in FY13. The addition will be to the FY07 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) funded Fuel Cell Maintenance Hangar completed in FY09. This facility is required to support the permanent beddown of 12 F-35 Primary Development/Test Aircraft that will start in 2nd Quarter FY13 and 24 Primary Training Aircraft beginning in 1st Quarter FY15 at Nellis AFB. Nellis AFB has been designated as the					nd Closure lity is 7/Test craft ted as the		
for the F-35 W		or Force Developmen System.		LUALI		SAF Weapo	

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE	1		
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE F-35 FUEL CELL HANGAR							
NELLIS SITE # 1							
NEVADA							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST				OST (\$000)	
27142		211-179 3056/RKMF103009 9,400					

CURRENT SITUATION: Nellis AFB does not have adequate fuel cell hangar facilities to support an additional 36 F-35 aircraft for Test and Weapons School and associated maintenance functions. Nellis is one of the most congested airfields in the Air Force from an operational and logistics perspective. Nellis AFB proper has had significant growth since 2000 with the F-22 Test and Weapon School Beddown (12 aircraft), the F-15/F-16 Aggressor Beddown (36 aircraft), and expansion of Flag exercises and other force structure actions. Nellis is projected to have over 180 assigned aircraft when all actions are complete. All flightline facilities have been at capacity for the last 5 to 7 years, and additional requirements have been documented through the BRAC 2005 process and previously-approved new weapon system facility projects. The installation is a critical asset for the capabilities and tactics testing of new weapon systems and the training of Combat Forces. The installation supports very diversified weapons systems ranging from HH-60s, A-10s, F-15s, F-16s, F-22, and now F-35, all of which support operational test and weapon school and flag exercises.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Nellis AFB's ability to generate the necessary aircraft sorties to support operational test and weapons school mission requirements will be severely impacted. Without facilities, fuel cell maintenance personnel will be unable to support the maintenance of this new weapon system, impacting fleet health. Additionally, the first beddown locations for new weapon systems of all kinds provide the initial pool of qualified operators and maintainers who will in turn train the next group of personnel for follow on locations. If the Air Force is unable to train adequate numbers of personnel in the early stages the impacts will be felt for follow on locations and may impact/delay initial and/or final operational capability. This project provides critical "real world" training for F-35A maintenance crews, who in future assignments will guide others in learning how to maintain the F-35A.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: constructing a new single bay fuel cell hangar and altering an existing one. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (702) 652-4833. Fuel Cell Hangar Addition: 1,115 SM = 11,997 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

	FY	2014 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION	PROJECT	DATA	2	. DATE
AIR FORCE		(comput	er generated	1)			
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCA	TION	4. PR	OJECT TI	TLE		
NELLIS AIR FOR	RCE BASE		F-35 1	FUEL CEL	L HANGAR		
NELLIS SITE #	1						
NEVADA							
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6	. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST	(\$000)
27142		211-179	3056/RKMF			400	
2/142		211-1/9	30367 RKMF	103009	, <sup>,</sup>	400	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA:						
a. Estimated	d Design Da	ita:					
(1) Projec	ct to be ac	complished by de	sign-build	procedur	es		
(2) Basis:	:						
		Definitive Desig Was Most Recent					NO
(3) All Ot	ther Design	Costs					376
(4) Constr	ruction Con	tract Award				14	FEB
(5) Constr	ruction Sta	rt				14	MAR
(6) Constr	ruction Com	pletion				15	SEP
(7) Energy	v Study/Lif	e-Cycle analysis	s was/will b	e perfor	med		YES
	NOVENCE NO	TDF		APPRO			COST
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	JKE		OR RE	QUESTED		(\$000)
	IGS AND EQU		3400	OR RE	QUESTED		
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)
			3400	OR RE	-		(\$000)

AIR FORCE     (computer generated)       3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION     4. PROJECT TITLE
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE     F-35 PARTS STORE       NELLIS SITE # 1     F-35 PARTS STORE
NEVADA
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27142 442-768 3056/RKMF103006 9,100
9. COST ESTIMATES
ITEM U/M QUANTITY (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES 5,555
F-35 PARTS STORE         SM         2,322         2,346         ( 5,447
SUSTAINABILITY AND ENERGY MEASURES LS (108
SUPPORTING FACILITIES 2,368
UTILITIES LS (147)
PAVEMENTS LS (240)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS LS (240)
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT LS (50)
DEMOLITION SM 3,559 475 (1,691)
SUBTOTAL 7,923
CONTINGENCY (5.0%) 396
TOTAL CONTRACT COST 8,319
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) 474
DESIGN/BUILD - DESIGN COST (4.0% OF SUBTOTAL) 317
TOTAL REQUEST 9,110
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) 9,100
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) (100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a warehouse utilizing economical design and construction methods to accommodate the mission of the facility. The facility should be compatible with applicable DoD, Air Force, and base design standards. In addition, local materials and construction techniques shall be used where cost effective. This project demolishes one facility for 3,559 SM. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria. Air Conditioning: 120 Tons
11. Requirement: 3547 SM Adequate: 1225 SM Substandard: 0 SM
PROJECT: F-35 Parts Store (New Mission)
<b>REQUIREMENT:</b> Additional aircraft parts storage capacity is required to support the
permanent beddown of 12 F-35 Primary Development/Test Aircraft that will start in
2nd Quarter FY13 and 24 Primary Training Aircraft beginning 1st Quarter FY15 at Nellis AFB. The Aircraft Parts Store will be the central point to receive, store,
issue and ship all 18,000 plus line items of peace operating stock (POS) required
to support of the new maintenance requirements of the F-35A aircraft. Nellis AFB
has been designated as the beddown location for Force Development and Evaluation
and the USAF Weapon School for the F-35 Weapon System.
CURRENT SITUATION: Nellis AFB does not have adequate aircraft parts storage
capacity to support the maintenance requirements for an additional 36 F-35 aircraft for Test and Weapons School. Nellis is one of the most congested airfields in the
DD FORM 1391, DEC 99 Previous editions are obsolete. Page No.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION	3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE F-35 PARTS STORE NELLIS SITE # 1 NEVADA							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6.	CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT C			OST (\$000)	
27142		442-768 3056/RKMF103006 9,100					

Air Force from an operational and logistics perspective. Nellis AFB proper has had significant growth since 2000 with the F-22 Test and Weapon School Beddown (16 aircraft), the F-15/F-16 Aggressor Beddown (48 aircraft), and expansion of Flag exercises and other force structure actions. Nellis is projected to have over 180 assigned aircraft when all actions are complete. All excess parts storage facilities have been at capacity for the last 5 to 7 years, and additional requirements have been documented through the BRAC 2005 process and previouslyapproved new weapon system facility projects. The installation is a critical asset for the capabilities and tactics testing of new weapon systems and the training of Combat Forces. The installation supports a very diversified weapons systems ranging from HH-60s, A-10s, F-15s, F-16s, F-22, and now F-35, all of which support operational test and weapon school and flag exercises.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Nellis AFB's ability to generate the necessary aircraft sorties to support operational test and weapons school mission requirements will be severely impacted. Without an adequate inventory of F-35 aircraft parts, maintenance personnel will be unable to support the maintenance of this new weapon system, impacting fleet health. Additionally, the first beddown locations for new weapon systems of all kinds provide the initial pool of qualified operators and maintainers who will in turn train the next group of personnel for follow on locations. If the AF is unable to train adequate numbers of personnel in the early stages the impacts will be felt for follow on locations and may impact/delay initial and/or final operational capability. This project supports critical "real world" training for F-35 maintenance crews, who in future assignments will guide others in learning how to maintain the F-35.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (702) 652-4833. F-35 Parts Store: 2322 SM = 24,985 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

. COMPONENT	FY	2014 MILITARY C (comput	ONSTRUCTION F er generated)		ATA	2	. DATE
. INSTALLATIC NELLIS AIR FOR NELLIS SITE # NEVADA	CE BASE	ATION		IECT TITL			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6	. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT N	UMBER 8	. PROJECT (	COST	(\$000)
27142		442-768	3056/RKMF10	03006	9	,100	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA:			<b>I</b>			
a. Estimated	d Design D	ata:					
(1) Projec	t to be ac	complished by de	sign-build pr	cocedures			
	andard or	Definitive Design Was Most Recent					NO
(3) All Ot	her Design	n Costs					364
(4) Constr	uction Cor	ntract Award				14	FEB
(5) Constr	uction Sta	art				14	MAR
(6) Constr	ruction Con	npletion				15	SEP
(7) Energy	study/Lif	Ee-Cycle analysis	was/will be	performe	d		YES
b. Equipment				FISCAL	YEAR	Iaci	
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED	IaLI	COST (\$000)
	NOMENCLAT	PROC		FISCAL APPROPR	YEAR LIATED JESTED	Iall	COST
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED	.1411	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED	Jacı	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED	1411	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED	Jacı	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED	Jacı	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED	1411	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED	1411	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT	PROC	CURING APPRC	FISCAL APPROPR OR REQU	YEAR LIATED JESTED		COST (\$000)

1. COMPONENT		FY 20	14 MIL	ITARY	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	GRAM	2. DATE	
		0.1		001414					OONOT	
INSTALLATION AND	LOCATI	ON		COMM				5. AREA		
CANNON AFB,					RCE SF			COST INI		
NEW MEXICO						COMM		1.03		
6. Personnel										
Strength	OFF	ENL							CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 Sep 12	233	1500 398 0 0 0						C	) 0	2,131
END FY 2017	549	549 2561 416 0 0 0 0							) 0	3,526
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage:										3,789
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30 S	ep 12)								1,002,731
c. Authorization Not	et in Inve	entory:								68,032
d. Authorization Requ	uested in t	his Progra	am:		(FY 201	4)				34,100
e. Planned in Next Fo		•								0
f. Remaining Deficier		J								217,997
g. Grand Total:	•									1,322,860
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS F	ROGR	AM: (F	Y2014)					
CATEGORY	_			<b>、</b>	,			COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	T TITLE				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
722-351		Dining Fac	∶ilitv			975	-		) Design	
		nd Familly	-	ness Ce	nter	1,115		5,500	•	
721-312	Dormitor	•	rtoddin			144		22,000	0	
						Total		34,100		2 0110
								0 1,1 00		
9a. FUTURE PROJE	CTS: TV	pical Plan	ned Ne	ext Four	Years:					
	,									
	None									
9b. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallatior	n: (\$M)					91
10. MISSION OR MA		-			. ,	/ina with	MC-130	)W. AC-13	0. CV-22.	Non-
Standard Aviation (N										
	,			-,	(====)	-1	-1			
11. OUTSTANDING	POLLUT	ION AND	SAFET	Y (OSH	A)DEFI	CIENCI	ES:			
a. Air pollution								C	)	
b. Water Pollutio	n							C	)	
								L. L.	,	
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						C	h	
	Jaiety all							Ľ	,	
d Other Frankreit	montal							~	h	
d. Other Environ	mental							C	J	

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		( c	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	E AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITLE	S	
CANNON AIR FORC	E BASE	5		AIRMA	N AND FAMIL	Y READINESS (	CENTER
CANNON AFB SITE	# 1						
NEW MEXICO 5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		740.050	1661	00000	1 3004		F F00
27576		9. 0			13004		5,500
		9. 0	OST ESTIMA			UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES					3,384	
AIRMAN AND FAM	LLY RE	ADINESS CENTER		SM	1,115	2,975	( 3,317 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(67)
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES						1,379
UTILITIES				LS			(248)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	ITS			LS			(264)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 325 )
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 225 )
PASSIVE FORCE I	PROTEC	TION MEASURES		LS			(25)
GROSS RECIPT TA	AX (6.	1%)		LS		-	( 292 )
SUBTOTAL							4,763
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%	)				-	238
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						5,001
-			(5.7%)				285
TOTAL REQUEST	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)			-	191 5,477
TOTAL REQUEST (F	OUNDE	נת					5,500)
		APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 270
		Proposed Construc		nstru	ct a facil	litv utilizi	•
-		and construction me				-	-
		ity should be com					
		ds. In addition,					
		e cost effective. landscaping and a					—
-	-	D Antiterrorism/Fo	-				
Facility Crite	ria a	and UFC 4-730-01, 1	Family Ser	vice	Center.		
Air Conditioni	ng:	25 Tons					
11. Requiremen	t: 11	15 SM Adequate	: 0 SM	Subst	andard: 68	38 SM	
PROJECT: Cons	truct	: Airman and Family	y Readines	s Cen	ter. (Cur	rent Missic	n)
		de an adequately a			-		
		s functions of the 11 and family couns				center prov	
		and family life e	-				
volunteer supp	ort a	nd transition ass	istance, e	conom	ic readine	ess and supp	ort,
_		nd crisis interve					
		their families are from installations			-		
-		into civilian li	-	-			-
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	s edition	s are	obsolete.		Page No.

electronic	and	tradit	cional	classrooms,	kitchen	, and	private	office	space	s for
counselors	and	other	staff	conducting	private	consul	ltations	, reduci	ing ef	fectiv

covered by the Readiness center.

counselors and other staff conducting private consultations, reducing effective outreach to the military community at this remote location. These inadequacies limit the ability of the professional staff to provide unique, direct programs and services to meet the needs of service members and their families. These limitations jeopardize the success of the new and existing programs, weakening the military community's ability to aid and assist each other, further reducing self-sufficiency and adaptation to the Air Force way of life in support of mission readiness and retention.

requirement for the Key Spouse program for a total of 17 offices. Additionally, the Casualty Officer requires private seating area for grieving families. Because this is a "remote" location with the closest major city over 100 miles away, there is

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without an adequately sized facility, existing and new programs will lack space for storage, secure areas for sensitive materials,

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope in the AF Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements and the Cannon AFB General Plan. An economic analysis was prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and status quo operation. based on the net Present Values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Sustainable principles, to include life cycle costeffective practices, will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c) and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer phone: (commercial) (575) 784-2008. Family Support Center: 1,115 SM = 12,000 SF.

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

5,500

(computer generated)

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing facility is undersized because it is co-located with several other base functions such as the education center, military personnel and civilian personnel. In addition, existing facility has no dedicated kitchen, classroom or storage space and only four offices for ten personnel. The conference room does not accommodate larger class sizes of 50 personnel currently required for various classes or briefings. The facility does not have a discovery center - a large resource area for customers to check out materials on a wide range of topics

FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER

1551/CZQZ013004

4. PROJECT TITLE

AIRMAN AND FAMILY READINESS CENTER

New manpower requirements include 16 staff and a

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION CANNON AIR FORCE BASE CANNON AFB SITE # 1 NEW MEXICO 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE

740-253

reduced access to off-base counseling and education resources.

27576

1. COMPONENT

AIR FORCE

service.

. COMPONENT	F	(	mputer ge	nersted)			. DATE
		•	mputer ge	-			
. INSTALLATIO		CATION		4. PROJECT			
ANNON AIR FOR	-			AIRMAN AND	FAMILY READ	INESS C	ENTER
EW MEXICO							
. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY C	ODE 7. P	ROJECT NUMBE	R 8. PROJEC	CT COST	(\$000)
27576		740-253	15	51/CZQZ013004		5,500	
				~~~~			
L2. SUPPLEMEN							
a. Estimated	-						
<pre>(1) Projec (2) Basis:</pre>		accomplished b	y design-	·build proced	ures		
(a) St	andard or	Definitive De n Was Most Ree	-	ed -			NO
(3) All Ot	her Desig	gn Costs					220
(4) Constr	ruction Co	ontract Award				14	FEB
(5) Constr	ruction St	art				14	APR
(6) Constr	ruction Co	mpletion				15	OCT
(7) Energy		ife-Cycle anal	veie wae	will be perf	ormed		YES
b. Equipment	t associa	ted with this	-	FI	n other appr SCAL YEAR PROPRIATED	opriati	.ons: COST
b. Equipment	t associa	ted with this	project	FI	SCAL YEAR	opriati	
b. Equipment EQUIPMENT			project	FI: GAPPRC APP	SCAL YEAR PROPRIATED REQUESTED	opriati	
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROPRIATED REQUESTED	opriati	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200
EQUIPMENT FURNITURE	NOMENCLA	TURE R EQUIPMENT	project ( PROCURING 340	FI: G APPRC APP OR 00	SCAL YEAR PROFRIATED REQUESTED 2015	opriati	COST (\$000) 200

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	JCTION	PROJECT DA	ГА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer ger	nerate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PH	ROJECT TITLE	:	
CANNON AIR FORC	E BASE	:		DORMI	ITORY (144 R	M)	
CANNON AFB SITE	# 1						
NEW MEXICO 5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		721-312			073050		22,000
		9. 0	OST ESTIMA	ATES		UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT	IES						16,017
DORMITORY (144	RM)			SM	4,752	3,300	( 15,682 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 335 )
SUPPORTING FACIN	LITIES						3,092
UTILITIES				LS			( 850)
SITE IMPROVEME	NTS			LS			( 560)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 650)
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 250 )
DEMOLITION				SM	2,431	260	( 632)
PASSIVE FORCE	PROTEC	TION MEASURES		LS		-	( 150 )
SUBTOTAL							19,109
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%	)				-	955
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						20,064
SUPERVISION, IN:	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				1,144
	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)			-	764
TOTAL REQUEST							21,972
TOTAL REQUEST (1							22,000 )
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 889
utilizing econ mission of the DoD, Air Force construction t all utilities, required facil project will c Unified Facili	omica faci c, and cechni pave .ity s comply .ties		truction m ty should standards. d where co vements, 1 one exist corism/for	ethod be cc In st ef andsc ing f ce pr	as feasi mpatible w addition, fective. aping, fir facility to rotection r	ble to acco with the app local mater The project re protection staling 2,43	mmodate the licable ials and includes n and all 1 SM. This
Air Conditioni		220 Tons Grade Mi		144			
11. Requiremen		-			standard: 7	/ RM	
		a 144 room dormit	-		-		d haved
for unaccompar that meet the (DMP) and the shortage of ad	nied e new A Cannc lequat	quality-of-life pr mlisted personnel F 'Dorms-4-Airmen n AFB General Plan se single-Airmen li providing individu	(UEP) ass ' Design S h. This p iving quar	igned tanda rojec ters.	l to Cannor ard, the AF t will hel Properly	AFB and do Dorm Maste P alleviate designed a	rmitories r Plan the nd
	-	onal well-being of he Special Operation			s essential	to the suc	cessful

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99 Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA										
AIR FORCE (computer generated)										
3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE DORMITORY (144 RM)										
CANNON AFB SITE # 1										
NEW MEXICO										
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)				
27576		721-312	1551	/CZQZ073050	22	2,000				
<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> All existing dorms are in need of renovation and infrastructure upgrades or replacement. Cannon's existing seven dormitories do not meet the "Dorm-4-Airmen" four-plex configuration standard and the Dorm Master Plan recommends a final disposition of all dorms at Cannon as "Demolition-Replace." Of										
		e the two oldest i			-					

greatest concern are the two ordest inadequate but habitable dorms which are 42 and 50 years old and these facilities are approaching the "uninhabitable" rating of Q3/Q4. These dormitories need to be replaced immediately. This project replaces the 42 year old dormitory. The 50 year old dormitory was just renovated to prolong it's useful life until other housing projects can be completed. Additionally, the special operations missions currently bedding down create an overall deficit of dormitory rooms. Due to limited capacity, double occupancy is required in two dorms and 100+ personnel eligible to live in the dorms are currently living off base. A shortage of 157 dormitory rooms is forecasted through FY15 based on projected manning levels (anticipated mission growth versus currently programmed manning). As a result, the end state requirement will be 713 rooms, a 42-person increase from the programmed 671 capacity.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters, meeting current Air Force living standards, which provide a level of privacy required for today's airmen will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Highly trained and competent Airmen are essential to the Special Operations readiness posture and continuing world-wide presence. Highly technical demanding jobs, coupled with the high-ops tempo of the unique AF Special Operations mission, carries increased stress for young single Airmen and inadequacies in dwellings will have the potential to negatively impact retention.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope in the AF Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements, the Dorm-4-Airmen Design Guide, the AF Dorm Master Plan and the Cannon AFB General Plan. The requirements for this dorm is based on the AF,"FY2012-2016 Dorm Master Plan". Sustainable principles, to include life cycle cost-effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c) and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Phone: (575) 784-2008. Dormitory (144 RM); 4,752 SM = 51,132 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

FY	2014 MILITARY			T DATA	2. DAT
	(comp	outer gene	rated)		
CE BASE	ION				
EMENT 6	CATEGORY COD		TECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$00)
	721-312				2,000
					-
	· <b>.</b> ·				
-		design-bu	ild procedu	res	
andard or De		-	-		NO
		-			880
ruction Cont	ract Award				13 DEC
ruction Star	t				14 MAR
uction Comp	letion				16 MAR
Study/Life	-Cycle analys	sis was/wi	ll be perfo	ormed	YES
		2400		REQUESTED	(\$00
NOMENCLATU					COS (\$00
AND OTHER	EQUIPMENT	3400		2015	86
TIONS EQUIP	MENT	3400		2015	2
	RCE BASE TE # 1 EMENT 6. TAL DATA: d Design Dat d Design Dat d Design Dat to be acc andard or De ere Design V ther Design ruction Cont ruction Star ruction Comp y Study/Life t associated	TE # 1 EMENT 6. CATEGORY COI 721-312 TAL DATA: d Design Data: ct to be accomplished by : andard or Definitive Des ere Design Was Most Rece ther Design Costs ruction Contract Award ruction Start ruction Start ruction Completion y Study/Life-Cycle analys t associated with this p	RCE BASE       D         IE # 1       6. CATEGORY CODE       7. PRO         EMENT       6. CATEGORY CODE       7. PRO         TAL DATA:       721-312       1551/         TAL DATA:       100       100         Indiana Completion       100       100         Y Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/wi       100         Indiana Completion       100       100         PROCURING A       100       100	RCE BASE       DORMITORY (1         TE # 1       DORMITORY (1         EMENT       6. CATEGORY CODE       7. PROJECT NUMBER         TAL DATA:       T21-312       1551/CZQZ073050         TAL DATA:       d Design Data:       1551/CZQZ073050         TAL DATA:       andard or Definitive Design -       1551/CZQZ073050         TAL DATA:       andard or Definitive Design -       1551/CZQZ073050         Tat observed       andard or Definitive Design -       1551/CZQZ073050         Tat observed       andard or Definitive Design -       1551/CZQZ073050         Tat observed       andard or Definitive Design -       1551/CZQZ073050         tassociated was Most Recently Used -       test observed       1551/CZQZ073050         tassociated was Most Recently Used -       test observed       1551/CZQZ073050         tassociated with this project provided from       FISS         PROCURING APPRC       APPH         OR H       OR H       00 H         AND OTHER EQUIPMENT       3400	RCE BASE       DORMITORY (144 RM)         IT # 1       DORMITORY (144 RM)         EMENT       6. CATEGORY CODE       7. PROJECT NUMBER       8. PROJECT         TAL DATA:       1551/CZQZ073050       2         TAL DATA:       d Design Data:       1551/CZQZ073050       2         TAL DATA:       d Design Data:

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITLE	C	ł
CANNON AIR FORC	E BASE	2		SATEI	LITE DINING	FACILITY	
	# 1						
NEW MEXICO		I				1	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/1	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		722-351	1551/0	CZQZ0	73023A		6,600
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES			
				/		UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT:	IES						3,623
SATELLITE DINI	NG FAC	ILITY		SM	975	3,643	( 3,552 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 71 )
SUPPORTING FACIN	LITIES						2,107
UTILITIES				LS			( 976 )
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 336 )
SITE IMPROVEME	NTS			LS			( 212)
PASSIVE FORCE	PROTEC	TION MEASURES		LS			( 16)
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 200 )
GROSS RECIPT T	AX (6.	1%)		LS			( 217)
ACCESS ROAD WI	гн иті	LITIES		LS			( 150)
SUBTOTAL							5,730
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					286
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						6,016
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				343
DESIGN/BUILD - 1	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)				229
TOTAL REQUEST							6,589
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					6,600)
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 1,100
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	ction: Con	nstru	ict a dinir	ng facility	utilizing
	-						
	-	-	_				DoD, Air
		-					ility areas
		_				-	-
kitchen (food	prepa	ration and support	t space ind	cludi	ng the kit	chen proper	, dedicated
-			-		-	-	-
_			-				
				-			•
AIR FORCE       (computer generated)         3. HEFTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION       4. FROMECT TITLE         CANNON AIR FORCE BASE       SATELLITE DINING FACILITY         CANNON AIR FORCE BASE       6. CATEGORY CODE         5. FROGRAM ELEMENT       6. CATEGORY CODE         722-351       1551/C202073023A         6. 600       9. COST ESTIMATES         UNIT         UNIT         UNIT         STRELITE DINING FACILITY         SATELITE DINING FACILITY         SATELITE DINING FACILITY         SATELITE DINING FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY         SUBTIONED FACILITY <td cols<="" td=""></td>							
	-	-			-		
will comply wi	th Do	D antiterrorism/fo	orce prote	ction	n requireme	ents per Uni	ified
Facilities Cri	teria	and UFC 4-722-01,	, Dining Fa	acili	ties.		
Air Conditioni	ng:	55 Tons					
11. Requirement	t: 31	99 SM Adequate:	: 2224 SM	Su	ubstandard:	0 SM	
PROJECT: Sate	llite	dining facility.	(New Mis:	sion)			
REQUIREMENT:	Const	ruct a new satelli	ite dining	faci	lity on th	e southeast	: side of
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	us editions	are	obsolete.		Page No.

1551/CZQZ073023A

Cannon AFB in support of the new mission beddown to serve 900 meals per serving time in support of 1500+ operation and maintenance personnel associated with the C-130 flying mission.

722-351

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The requirement is driven by a large influx of new personnel operating within the C-130 beddown area on the southeast side of the base. The southeast side has no food vendors and no fitness facilities; with the most urgent requirement being the need for a dining facility. Since Cannon is a remote location, 90% of personnel are authorized to be served during the meal period. The current dining facility that supports these additional personnel is located over four miles driving distance from the work areas. This route requires traffic to pass through the munitions storage area and fuels storage area, which slows traffic to 20 miles per hour at two locations. The alternative route is over six miles and passes through the airfield clear zone of the secondary runway. This route may require traffic to stop when aircraft are taking off or landing.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Personnel will have to commute eight miles roundtrip for one meal in order to utilize the existing dining facility. The average round trip commute time for one meal will be 30 minutes. Transport by bus of personnel will add time to the commute pushing it to one hour. This adds stress to Airmen already limited by daily flying and maintenance schedules, and increases the risk of Airmen skipping nutritional meals because of these additional time constraints. The personnel who will be commuting to the existing dining facility will also contribute to more traffic congestion through the munitions storage area, fuels storage area and at the main gate intersection; this will interfere with incoming and outgoing traffic to the base at meal times. All of these factors will contribute to increased stress and lower morale of Airmen already working under high-pressure conditions.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project based on a preliminary economic analysis; no other option could meet the mission requirement. Therefore, a certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: 575-784-2008. Satellite Dining Facility: 975 SM = 10,500 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

27576

6,600

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	F	Y 2014 MILITARY (		JCTION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE				
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOO	<b>_</b>	Je_ je_	4. PROJECT TI	TLE					
CANNON AIR FO CANNON AFB SI NEW MEXICO	RCE BASE			SATELLITE DIN						
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PI	OJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	)ST (\$000)				
27576		722-351	1551	./CZQZ073023A	б,	600				
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:										
a. Estimated Design Data:										
(1) Proje	ct to be a	ccomplished by de	esign-1	build procedur	es					
• •	andard or	Definitive Design Was Most Recent		:d -		NO				
(3) All O	ther Desig	n Costs				264				
(4) Const	ruction Co	ontract Award				14 FEB				
(5) Const	ruction St	art				14 MAR				
(6) Const	ruction Co	mpletion				15 JUL				
(7) Energy	y Study/Li	fe-Cycle analysi:	s was/	will be perfor	rmed	YES				
b. Equipmen	t associat	ted with this pro	ject p	rovided from (	other appropri	ations:				
EQUIPMENI	' NOMENCLA		CURING	APPRC APPRC	AL YEAR DPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)				
KITCHEN H	QUIPMENT		340	o :	2015	950				
DINING/OF	FICE FURN	ISHINGS	340	<b>)</b> :	2015	150				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY	2014	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE							
3. INSTALLATION A HOLLOMAN AIR FO NEW MEXICO					MMAND: MBAT COM	IMAND	COST IND 0.98				
6. Personnel	PE	RMANEN	Т	S	TUDENTS		SU	IPPORTED			
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
AS OF 30 SEP 12	437	3554	1925	8	4	4 0	1	10	86	6,025	
END FY 2017	455	3615	1950	8	4	4 0	1	10	86	6,129	
<ol> <li>7. INVENTORY DA</li> <li>a. Total Acreage:</li> <li>b. Inventory Total a</li> <li>c. Authorization Noi</li> <li>d. Authorization Re</li> <li>e. Planned in Next</li> <li>f. Remaining Deficing. Grand Total:</li> </ol>	57,837 is of : (30 t Yet in Ir quested Four Yea iency:	) Sep 12) nventory: in this Pro irs Progra	m:		(FY 2014)					2,524,621 143,995 2,250 0 44,600 2,715,466	
211-159 9a. Future Projects	<u>PROJEC</u> F-16 Airc	<u>CT TITLE</u> craft Cove	red Wa	ashrack		<u>SCOPE</u> 501 Total	(FY 201 	4) COST <u>\$,000</u> 2,250 2,250	DESIGN <u>START</u> Desig	STATUS <u>CMPL</u> jn Build	
9b. Real Property M 10. Mission or Majo training squadron, a reserve material bar	or Functio major co	ons: Air C ommand t	ombat raining	Comma	nd; a fighter						
11. Outstanding Po a. Air Pollution	Ilution ar	nd Safety (	OSHA	Deficie	ncies):			0			
b. Water Polluti	on							0			
c. Occupational	l Safety a	ind Health						0			
d. Other Enviro	nmental							0			

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT				TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		-	computer gen		-		
3. INSTALLATION					OJECT TITLE		<i>~</i>
HOLLOMAN AIR FOR HOLLOMAN SITE #		72E		F-10	AIRCRAFT CC	VERED WASHRA	CK AND PAD
NEW MEXICO	-						
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27597		211-159	2352/	KWRD1	13008		2,250
		9. 0	COST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						1,324
WASHRACK PAD				SM	2,206	242	( 534 )
WASHRACK COVERI	ED SPA	CE		SM	501	1,468	(735)
WASHRACK STORAG	ΞE			SM	22	1,315	(29)
SUSTAINABILTY A	AND EN	ERGY MEASURES		LS			(26)
SUPPORTING FACII	ITIES						635
UTILITIES				LS		İ	(58)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	ITS			LS		ĺ	(35)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 139)
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 40)
OIL/WATER SEPAR	RATOR	& DEMOLITION		LS			( 364 )
SUBTOTAL							1,960
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					98
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST						2,058
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				117
DESIGN/BUILD - I	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF \$	SUBTOTAL)				78
TOTAL REQUEST							2,254
TOTAL REQUEST (F							2,250 )
EQUIPMENT FROM C	OTHER .	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 40
_		Proposed Construe				-	
	-	ent facility for c tion methods to a		-	_	-	
-		compatible with a					-
		ion, local materia				-	
		fective. This pro	-	-	-	antiterron	rism/force
		ents per the unif	led facili	cy cr	iteria.		
Air Conditioni	-	0 Tons	. E01 GM	dh	at and and .	0	
11. Requiremen		-			standard:		
		new F-16 Aircraft				•	
		ered washrack fac: to protect people	_	-			
		summer months.			-		
the F-16 train	ing m	ission requires a	nother cove	ered	washrack t	o meet requ	ired wash
-		aft. The first so	-				-
•		rter FY14 and the st step in preven	-		-	-	
•		uids. Aircraft a	-				
		n by removing sal		_	_		
DD FORM 1391,	ס שת	9 Previo	us editions	are	obsolete		Page No.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99 Previous editions are obsolete.

AIR FORCE		( (	computer gen	nerated)		
3. INSTALLATION,	, SITE AN	D LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE	1	
HOLLOMAN AIR FOR				F-16 AIRCRAFT CO	VERED WASHRACK	AND PAD
HOLLOMAN SITE #	1					
NEW MEXICO						
5. PROGRAM ELEME	INT 6.	CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
08508		011 150	0.050	(mmm 1 1 2 0 0 0		050
27597		211-159	2352	/KWRD113008	2	,250
electrolytes;	maintain	visibility the	rough cano	pies and window	s; allow a t	horough
inspection for	corrosi	on damage (air	craft wash	ing before Isoc	hronal (ISO)	/ Phase
-	-	-		ate corrosion i		
-		-		ards by the rem		
-				equirements and		
	_			pational Safety onnel fall prot		
capability.		IUJECC WIII IE	durre berg	onnei iaii piot	ección rescr	aine
	TON: Th	ono is on ouis	ting indoo	m washings that		aghag tha
			-	r washrack that the ramp (the	-	
	-	-	-	fore, no other	-	-
_	-	-		ns from the bed		
	-		_	ot be enough to		
sortie and was	h cycles					
IMPACT IF NOT	PROVIDED	: Holloman wi	ll lack th	e proper sized	and configur	ed space
for washing ai:	rcraft.	Additionally,	water eva	porates quickly	leaving res	idue which
detracts from (	corrosic	on control effo	rts. The	existing Washra	ck facility	will not
		_	-	g operations wi		
_		-		ing capabilitie	s, which wil	l hamper
_		sorties, and		-		
	-		-	"Facility Requ		
		_	_	ific requiremen ary analysis of		
-			_	d and cover is		
				ic Analysis has		
Sustainable pr	inciples	, to include 1	ife cycle	cost-effective	practices, w	ill be
integrated inte	o the de	sign, developm	ent, and c	onstruction of	the project	in IAW
				other applicabl		
	-			71. Washrack P		
SF; Washrack Co	overed S	pace: 501 SM =	5319 SF;	Washrack Storag	e: 22 SM = 2	34 SF.
			_	used by other c	—	
	is; howe	ever, the scope	of the pr	oject is based	on Air Force	
requirements.						
DD FORM 1391, 1	)EC 99	Previo	15 edition	s are obsolete.	F	Page No.
D FORM 1391, I	 DEC 99	Previo	15 edition	s are obsolete.	F	Page No.
DD FORM 1391, 1 April 2013	)EC 99	Previo	15 edition	s are obsolete.	F	age No.

FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

2. DATE

1. COMPONENT

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2014 MILITARY C (comput	ONSTRUCTION PR er generated)	ROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE E			ECT TITLE RCRAFT COVERED WASH	IRACK AND PAD
HOLLOMAN SITE # 1 NEW MEXICO	T			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NU	IMBER 8. PROJECT C	COST (\$000)
27597	211-159	2352/KWRD11:	3008 2	,250
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DAY	FA:			
a. Estimated Desig	n Data:			
(1) Project to b	e accomplished by de	sign-build pro	ocedures	
	or Definitive Design sign Was Most Recent			NO
(3) All Other De	sign Costs			90
(4) Construction	Contract Award			14 FEB
(5) Construction	Start			14 MAR
(6) Construction	Completion			15 MAR
(7) Energy Study	/Life-Cycle analysis	was/will be p	performed	YES
b. Equipment asso	ciated with this pro	ject provided	from other appropr	iations:
EQUIPMENT NOMEN		URING APPRC	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
WASHRACK EQUIP		3080	2014	40

1. COMPONENT			FY 2014 MI		ONSTR	UCTION P	ROGRAM	1	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE										
INSTALLATION AND	LOCAT	ON		COMMA	ND:			5. AREA	CONST CO	ST INDEX
KIRTLAND AFB				AIR FOR	RCE MAT	ERIAL CO	MMAND	0.94		-
NEW MEXICO										
6. PERSONNEL	PE	RMANEN	-	ST	UDENTS		SU	PPORTED		
STRENGTH	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12	419	1171	1812			••••	705			6,695
END FY 2017	415	1170	1767				674			6,784
7. INVENTORY DAT			_			_	_			-, -
a. Total Acreage:	(\$000)									52,678
b. Inventory total as o	of: (30 S	ep 12)								2,960,559
c. Authorization Not										49,353
d. Authorization Requ			am (\$000) <sup>.</sup>		(FY 201-	4)				30,500
e. Planned in Next Fo					(11201	')				7,300
f. Remaining Deficier		. iogiaili.								266,000
g. Grand Total:	icy.								-	3,313,712
g. Orana rotai.										0,010,712
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS F	ROGRAM			(F	TY 2014)			
CATEGORY	OLOILD					(1	1 2014)	COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC					SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
			ent Center, F	2h 2		6,928	SM		Design Bui	
010-201	ALINUC	Sustainine	ent Genter, F	11 2		Total		<u>30,500</u> 30,500		iu ii
						Total		30,300		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Planned No	ext Four Vea	irs.						
			mulator Fac					7,300		
				iiity		Total		7,300		
						Total		7,500		
UFC 4-022-01, Entry	Control F	acilities								360.0
10. Mission or Major			7th Air Base	Wing is	the host o	organizatio	n at Kirtla	nd AFB. It	was activate	ed under Air
Force Material Comn										
operates and maintai										
readiness, security a										
AF Research Lab dir										
		,								
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safetv (O	SHA Deficie	ncies):						
a. Air pollution				,.				0		
								-		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
								Ū.		
c. Occupational	Safetv an	d Health						0		
								-		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
								-		
DD Form 1390 24 Ju	1.00									

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)			
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE				
KIRTLAND AIR FO	RCE BA	ASE		NUCLEAR SYSTEMS WING & SUSTAINMENT CENTER				
KIRTLAND SITE #	1			РН 2				
NEW MEXICO								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	. PROJECT COST (\$000)	
72976		610-281	2445/	MHMV1	03105B		30,500	
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES				
		T (111)		TT / 16	0113 3787 7811	UNIT	COST	
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILIT	EES						20,348	
NUCLEAR SYSTEM	5 WING	& SUSTAINMENT CENTE	R	SM	6,928	2,875	( 19,918 )	
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS		•	( 430 )	
SUPPORTING FACII								
							6,197	
UTILITIES				LS			( 618 )	
PAVEMENTS	ma			LS			( 1,034)	
SITE IMPROVEMEN				LS			( 1,347)	
				LS			(585)	
UNINTERRUPTIBL				LS			(967)	
GROSS RECIPT TA	AX (6.	1%)		LS			( 1,086)	
COMMUNICATION				LS			( 560 )	
SUBTOTAL							26,545	
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					1,327	
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						27,872	
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				1,589	
DESIGN/BUILD - I	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF 5	SUBTOTAL)				1,062	
TOTAL REQUEST							30,523	
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	D)					30,500)	
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 8,100	
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	ction: Co	nstru	ct a facil	lity utiliz	ing	
conventional d	lesign	and construction	methods t	o acc	ommodate t	he administ	trative	
requirements.	The	facility should be	ecompatibl	e wit	h applicat	ole DoD, AF	, and base	
-		In addition, local					-	
		effective. Includ						
	-	two elevators, 50 wer supply with ser			-			
_	_	v & communications	-			_		
	-	ons systems and spa				-		
		caping, and parking						
with DoD antit	error	ism/force protect	ion requir	ement	s per unif	ied facili	ties	
criteria.								
Air Conditioni	ng:	100 Tons						
11. Requiremen	t: 17	175 SM Adequate	e: 10247 S	м	Substandar	d: 9000 SM		
PROJECT: Nucl	ear S	ystems Wing & Sust	tainment C	enter	Phase 2.	(Current )	Mission)	
REQUIREMENT :	An ad	equate, highly fle	exible. 6.	928 5	M facility	v is require	ed to	

<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequate, highly flexible, 6,928 SM facility is required to support the 498th Nuclear System Wings (498th NSW) FY14 manpower end-strength of 350 personnel. When complete, this project will allow for the total consolidation of Headquarters Air Force Nuclear Weapons Center (HQ AFNWC) and the 498th Nuclear

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

(computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE KIRTLAND SITE # 1 NEW MEXICO

4. PROJECT TITLE NUCLEAR SYSTEMS WING & SUSTAINMENT CENTER PH 2

NEW MEXICO			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
72976	610-281	2445/MHMV103105B	30,500

Systems Wing (498 NSW) personnel into one location for increased efficiency, effective/timely communication, and optimum coordination. The 498th NSW is responsible for sustainment, modernization, and acquisition support of nuclear weapon system programs. It is the focal point for nuclear and cruise missile program advocacy and management, logistics support, and engineering assessments and analyses. As such, the 498th NSW requires secure facilities and systems to effectively and efficiently handle classified and/or very sensitive material.

CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, the 200+ personnel supporting the 498 NSW are operating from five geographically separated locations that are scattered across the base. 498 NSW personnel are co-located with unaffiliated organizations, occupying whatever space is available throughout the various facilities. The 498 NSW has maximized the limited facility options available at Kirtland; in FY14 the 498 NSW functions at Kirtland AFB will have realized significant mission growth which will drive a staff end-strength increase to approximately 350 personnel. The mission growth includes increased logistics functions and the stand up of three program offices (Weapon Storage and Security Systems, B61 and W78 Life Extension Programs). Support for these critical nuclear stewardship responsibilities requires adequate secure compartmented information facilities (SCIF), secure information technology systems, secure communications devices and their supporting infrastructure to effectively generate, process, discuss and store large volumes of classified and/or very sensitive materials. Temporary facility options cannot satisfy the stringent security requirements without enormous expense. Due to the space deficit that exists at Kirtland, the manpower end-strength required to execute the responsibilities will exceed the capacity of available space on base. As a result, the lack of adequate alternatives will preclude the Wing from reaching final manning requirements of 350 personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The deficiencies noted in the 2003 RAND report & the 2005 AFAA Sustainment of Nuclear Assets will not be fully corrected without this project. These findings concluded that the AF did not properly sustain nuclear weapon & support assets. The mission of the 498th NSW specifically targets this nuclear sustainment deficiency. Without this project, the 498th NSW cannot perform its mission, therefore preserving a fragmented AF nuclear sustainment program. 498th NSW organizations will continue to operate in deficient facilities scattered throughout the base without the high security environment & specialized facilities the nuclear mission requires. Geographically separated nuclear sustainment teams will continue to operate inefficiently, thus increasing the expenditure of resources--requiring additional materiel support and equipment costs to compensate for the lack of a consolidated facility. Decreased communication, collaboration, and synergy from non-adjacencies will result in significant productivity loss. Productivity loss hinders the 498th NSW to plan, participate in, and execute critical life extension programs for two nuclear weapon systems instrumental to the Nation's deterrent posture. The inability to collocate separated nuclear service logistics agency functions and their classified data negatively impacts efforts to manage nuclear stockpile life cycle sustainment efforts and readiness. These effects were documented in the FY09 Nuclear Surety Inspection, where deficient

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ſA	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
KIRTLAND AIR FO	RCE BA	SE		NUCLEAR SYSTEMS WING & SUSTAINMENT CENTER				
KIRTLAND SITE #	1			PH 2				
NEW MEXICO								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)		
72976		610-281	2445/	MHMV103105B	30	,500		

communication negatively impacted AFNWC's ability to provide crucial technical and logistical expertise to preserve the reliability of the Nation's strategic stockpile. Most importantly, the space deficiency on Kirtland and inadequate commercial space off-base due to documented AT/FP deficiencies continues to preclude the 498th NSW from reaching its mandated end-strength by FY14--the manning required to achieve the mission assigned.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." This is phase 2 of a two phased project (phase 1 is MHMV093108 AFNWC Sustainment Center is in the FY12 program). All known alternative options were considered during development of this project. No other option could meet mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been approved. Sustainable principles shall be integrated into the design, development, and construction of this project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(2) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (505) 846-7911. Nuclear Systems Wing & Sustainment Center, phase 2: 6,928 SM = 74,530 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

FY	2014 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	I PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
	(compu	ter generate	ed)		
ON AND LOCA	ATION	4. PI	ROJECT TI	TLE	
FORCE BASE # 1				MS WING & ST	JSTAINMENT
EMENT 6	. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
	610-281	2445/MHMV	7103105B	3	30,500
TAL DATA:					
d Design D	ata:				
ct to be ac	complished by d	lesign-build	procedur	es	
		-			NO
ther Design	n Costs				1,220
ruction Cor	tract Award				14 FEB
ruction Sta	irt				14 MAR
ruction Con	pletion				16 MAR
y Study/Lif	e-Cycle analysi	s was/will	be perfor	med	YES
	URE	CURING APPR	C APPRO	PRIATED	COST (\$000)
		3400		~	4,500
		3080	2	2015	3,600
	ON AND LOCA FORCE BASE # 1 EMENT 6 TAL DATA: d Design Date to be act andard or is andard r is andar or is and	COMPU ON AND LOCATION FORCE BASE # 1 EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 610-281 TAL DATA: d Design Data: ct to be accomplished by d andard or Definitive Designere Design Was Most Recent ther Design Costs ruction Contract Award ruction Start ruction Start ruction Completion y Study/Life-Cycle analysi t associated with this pro-	(computer generate         ON AND LOCATION       4. PI         FORCE BASE       NUCLU         # 1       CENTI         EMENT       6. CATEGORY CODE       7. PROJECT         610-281       2445/MHMV         TTAL DATA:       10-281       2445/MHMV         d Design Data:       -       -         ct to be accomplished by design-build       -         andard or Definitive Design -       -         tere Design Costs       -         ruction Contract Award       -         ruction Start       -         ruction Completion       -         y Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will i       -         t associated with this project provid       -         PROCURING APPR       -         * NOMENCLATURE       3400	(computer generated)         ON AND LOCATION       4. PROJECT TI NUCLEAR SYSTE CENTER PH 2         FORCE BASE       1         # 1       CENTER PH 2         EMENT       6. CATEGORY CODE 610-281       7. PROJECT NUMBER 2445/MHMV103105B         TTAL DATA:       2445/MHMV103105B         TTAL DATA:       4. Design Data:         ct to be accomplished by design-build procedur       .         andard or Definitive Design -       .         ere Design Was Most Recently Used -       .         ther Design Costs       .         ruction Contract Award       .         ruction Start       .         ruction Completion       .         y Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be perfor         t associated with this project provided from completion         Y NOMENCLATURE       .         PROCURING APPRC       .         PROCURING APPRC       .         YEAD       .         YEAD EQUIPMENT       .         3400       .	ON AND LOCATION       4. PROJECT TITLE         FORCE BASE       NUCLEAR SYSTEMS WING & ST         # 1       CENTER PH 2         EMENT       6. CATEGORY CODE       7. PROJECT NUMBER       8. PROJECT         610-281       2445/MHMV103105B       3         TTAL DATA:       6. Contract Compliant of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 20 <sup>-</sup>	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE									
3. INSTALLATION A					MMAND			5. AREA	CONST			
MINOT AIR FORCE								COST IN				
NORTH DAKOTA	DAGE,			AIR FORCE GLOBAL COST IN STRIKE COMMAND 1.16					DLX			
6. Personnel	DEI	RMANENT	-									
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	TUDEN ENL	CIV	OFF	SUPPORTED OFF ENL CIV				
AS OF 30 SEP 12	608	4332	960	011	0	0	0	0		TOTAL 5,961		
END FY 2017	603	4339	942		0	0	0	0		5,945		
7. INVENTORY DAT		-000	372	0	0	0	0	0	01	0,040		
a. Total Acreage:	A (\$000) 5,189											
b. Inventory Total as										1,685,536		
c. Authorization Not										88,751		
d. Authorization Reg			·əm·		(FY 201	4)				23,830		
e. Planned in Next F					(1120)					20,000		
f. Remaining Deficie		si iogiaili	•							85,400		
g. Grand Total:	ncy.								•	1,883,517		
g. Grand Fotal.										1,000,017		
8 PROJECTS REQ	8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2014)											
CATEGORY	OLOILD						(11201	COST	DESIGN	STATUS		
	PROJEC	T TITI F				<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000	START	CMPL		
		L Aircraft	Mainte	enance		6,099	SM	15,530		Sep-13		
422-264		nitions Stor			orm	1,536	SM	8,300		Sep-13		
0 .	2 02					Total	•	23,830		<b>C C P 1 C</b>		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	lanned Ne	ext Fou	ır Years				- /				
	71											
						Total	-	0	-			
9b. Real Property M	aintenanc	e Backlog	This I	nstallatio	on: (\$M	)				118		
10. Mission or Major		-					and an <i>i</i>	AF Global	Strike Com	mand		
missile wing with Min				5		,						
5												
11. Outstanding Poll	lution and	Safety (O	SHA D	eficienc	cies):							
a. Air pollution		., (-	_		,			0				
'												
b. Water Pollutio	n							0				
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health						0				
	-											
d. Other Environ	mental							0				

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	nerate	d)			
3. INSTALLATION, MINOT AIR FORCE MINOT AFB SITE # NORTH DAKOTA	BASE	AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE B-52 ADAL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT (AMU)				
5. PROGRAM ELEME	INT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	COST (\$000)	
11113		211-154	2027 /	0.77770.0	2000	1	5 520	
11113				QJVF09	2009	L	5,530	
		9.	COST ESTIM	ATES		UNIT	COST	
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITI	RS						11,507	
NEW AIRCRAFT MA		ANCE UNTT		SM	3,902	2,690	( 10,496	
ALTER AIRCRAFT				SM	2,197	365	( 802	
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 209	
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES			1			2,484	
UTILITIES				LS			(740)	
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 660 )	
SITE IMPROVEMEN	TS		LS			( 420)		
COMMUNICATION S	UPPOR	г	LS			(55)		
RELOCATE MEZZAN	INE			LS			( 48)	
DEMO			SM	1,854	303	( 561)		
SUBTOTAL							13,991	
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)					700	
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST					-	14,691	
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTIO	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				837	
TOTAL REQUEST							15,528	
TOTAL REQUEST (R	OUNDEI	))					15,530	
EQUIPMENT FROM O	THER A	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	I-ADD)				( 640.0	
maintenance uni and all other r economical desi facility. The base design sta shall be used w antiterrorism/f will be conditi 1,854 SM will h Air Conditionir 11. Requirement	ts (2 increase indare where corce oned be den ing: :: 60 cruct	40 Tons 99 SM Adequate a new and alter	nprovements d alter an methods to ompatible w , local mat This proj irements pe nd cooling.	, pave exist accomm ith age erial ect w r uni Four Subst	ements, con ing AMU fac modate the pplicable 1 s and const ill comply fied facil: substanda: andard: 300	mmunications cility utili mission of DoD, Air For truction teo with DoD ities criter rd facilitie	zing the cce, and chniques cia. Space es totally	
REQUIREMENT: A mission and per Minot AFB. The activities; des rooms, computer	sonn fac: igna sta	n) aft Maintenance T el associated wit ilities provide t ted training clas tions, vehicle de torage and issue,	the the bedd the necessa ssrooms, ad e-icing, ad	own o ry fl minis equate	f the second ight line of trative space e parking :	nd B-52 squa maintenance ace, brief/c for GOVs, eq	ndron at lebrief quipment	

 1. COMPONENT
 FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
 2. DATE

 AIR FORCE
 (computer generated)
 4. PROJECT TITLE

 3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION
 4. PROJECT TITLE
 B-52 ADAL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT (AMU)

 MINOT AFB SITE # 1
 NORTH DAKOTA
 6. CATEGORY CODE
 7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER
 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

2837/QJVF092009

equipment, and personnel locker space.

211-154

11113

CURRENT SITUATION: Current aircraft maintenance unit support and command sections are housed along the flight line in 7 different geographically separated facilities. This separation creates significant obstacles in the training and supervision of personnel and daily maintenance taskings, and would be exasperated by the addition of personnel and mission support. The existing facilities are limited in space and cannot house the additional personnel assigned to support the second B-52 bomber squadron mission requirements. The current facilities are outdated and do not meet today's mission requirements. The ability of the AMU to support the conventional and nuclear deterrence missions of the 5BW is hampered by the conditions of these facilities.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The lack of adequate space to perform required daily maintenance tasks to support the B-52 flying mission will severely hinder mission accomplishment. Training may become compromised leading to a decrease in mission support. If equipment is not stored properly in the harsh weather at this location, it may directly impact aircraft readiness and degrade the critical mission at Minot AFB, ND.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, addition and/or new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; alteration and new construction. Therefore, a certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c) and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Civil Engineer: Phone; (701) 723-2434; (Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit:6099 SM = 65,650 SF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

15,530

. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION		4. PROJECT	TTLE	1				
INOT AIR FORCE BASE INOT AFB SITE # 1 ORTH DAKOTA				IRCRAFT MAIN	FENANCE UNI				
. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT (	COST (\$000)				
11113	211-154	2837/QJVF092009 15,530							
2. SUPPLEMENTAL DAT	'A:								
a. Estimated Desig	n Data:								
(1) Status:	Charachara				1 10				
(a) Date Desi	gn Started .c Cost Estimates us	ad to de	wolon gogta	C	1-JUN-12 YES				
	complete as of 01 JA		everop costs		15%				
* (d) Date 35%	-	N 2013		c	15%) 1-FEB-13				
(e) Date Desi	-				7-SEP-13				
	udy/Life-Cycle anal	ysis was	s/will be per	formed	YES				
(2) Basis:									
	or Definitive Design	n –			NO				
(b) Where Des	ign Was Most Recent	ly Used	-						
(3) Total Cost (	c) = (a) + (b) or (c)	d) + (e)	:		(\$000)				
(a) Productio		932							
(b) All Other		466							
(c) Total									
(d) Contract					1,165				
(e) In-house					233				
(4) Construction	Contract Award				14 FEB				
(5) Construction	Start				14 MAR				
(6) Construction	Completion				15 SEP				
which is compa cost and execu	-	l 35% de	esign to ensu	re valid sco	ope,				
b. Equipment assoc	iated with this pro	ject pro	ovided from c	otner appropr	lations:				
				AL YEAR	<b>-</b>				
EQUIPMENT NOMENO		ROCURIN		PRIATED	COST (\$000)				
-				-					
FURNISHINGS COMMUNICATION E		3400 3400		2014 2014	325 315				
COMMUNICATION E	UTFMEN I	5400	2	.017	313				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE			ITARY CONSTRU			TA	2. DATE		
			(computer gen		-				
3. INSTALLATION	-			4. PROJECT TITLE					
MINOT AIR FORCE				в-52	MUNITIONS S	STORAGE IGLOO	S		
MINOT AFB SITE NORTH DAKOTA	# 1								
						0 550 750	COGT (4000)		
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT.	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
11113		422-264	2837/0	QJVF09	2013		8,300		
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES	1				
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILIT	IES						5,484		
MUNITIONS STOR	AGE IG	GLOOS (4 EA)		SM	1,536	3,500	( 5,376)		
SUSTAINABILITY	AND B	ENERGY MEASURES		LS			( 108 )		
SUPPORTING FACI	LITIES	5		İ			2,018		
UTILITIES				LS			( 560 )		
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 931)		
SITE IMPROVEME	MTTC			LS			( 527 )		
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 0)		
SUBTOTAL							7,502		
CONTINGENCY	(5	5.0%)					375		
TOTAL CONTRACT							7,877		
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				449		
TOTAL REQUEST			(222)				8,326		
TOTAL REQUEST (1		נתי					8,300		
		APPROPRIATIONS (NON	I-ADD)				( 20.0 )		
							-		
-		Proposed Construeinforced concrete							
		th overburden, co	-		-				
		provements, concre			-				
necessary supp	ort.	This project wil	ll comply wi	ith a	ntiterrori	.sm/force pr	rotection		
requirements i	denti	fied in DoD Unif:	ied Faciliti	ies C	riteria.				
Air Conditioni	ng:	0 Tons							
11. Requiremen	t: 25	517 SM Adequate	e: 981 SM	Sub	standard:	0 SM			
PROJECT: Cons	truct	Four Munitions	Storage Iglo	oos.	(New Miss	sion)			
REQUIREMENT:	The b	eddown of an addi	itional B-52	2 squ	adron at M	linot AFB wi	ll result		
		eaponry. Munitio	-	-	-	-	-		
_	_	ect personnel and			m a mass d	letonation.	Igloos are		
		ed concrete with e							
		Current weapons				-			
		loes not have enou ot the wing's year			-	-			
	_	on has increased (	-				—		
-		here is a need fo	_						
facilities.									
IMPACT IF NOT	PROVI	IDED: Current wea	apon storage	e cap	acity is i	nadequate a	and the		
situation will	be e	exasperated by the	e addition o	of a	second bon	nb squadron	at Minot		
AFB. The wing	will	be unable to me	et weapon st	torag	e requirem	ments and be	e incapable		
of fully suppo	orting	g the B-52 mission	n.						
DD FORM 1391, 1	DEC 9	9 Previo	ous editions	are	obsolete.		Page No.		

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILI	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2.						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE B-52 MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS								
MINOT AFB SITE :	# 1							
NORTH DAKOTA								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PRO	OJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)			
11113	422-264	2837/Q	JVF092013	8,	300			

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options (status quo, renovation, new construction) for accomplishing the project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. Therefore, a certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 12423, 10 USC 2802 (c) and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Civil Engineer: (701) 723-2434; (Igloos: 1,536 SM = 16,533 SF).

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2014 MILITAR	Y CONSTR			DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATI							
MINOT AIR FOR MINOT AFB SIT NORTH DAKOTA	CE BASE	ICATION			. PROJECT :	ONS STORAGE I	3LOOS
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DE 7. 1	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)
11113		422-264	28	37/Q	JVF092013	8,	300
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:				1	
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu	s:						
		gn Started				08	-FEB-12
(b) Pa	rametrio	c Cost Estimates	used to	dev	elop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	ercent Co	omplete as of 01	JAN 201	.3			15%
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed				01	-FEB-13
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete				30	-SEP-13
(f) En	ergy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle a	nalysis	was/v	will be per	formed	YES
(2) Basis							
		or Definitive Des ign Was Most Reco	-	ed -			NO
(3) Total	Cost (	c) = (a) + (b) o	r (d) +	(e):			(\$000)
		n of Plans and S			s		498
		Design Costs			-		249
(c) To		20225- 00202					747
. ,	ntract						623
. ,	-house						125
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award					14 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start					14 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion					15 SEP
which i cost an	s compan d execut	letion of Project rable to tradition rability.	onal 35%	des:	ign to ensu	re valid scor	pe,
b. Equipmen	t assoc:	iated with this p	project	prov	ided from c	other appropri	ations:
EQUIPMEN	I NOMENC	LATURE	PROCU APPROPR		APPRO	AL YEAR DPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMM			34	00	2	2014	20

1. COMPONENT											
AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLATION A				4. COM							
TINKER AIR FORCE		ATION			CE MATEI			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
OKLAHOMA	DAGE			COMMAN				0.93	~		
6. Personnel	PF	RMANEN						PPORTED			
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
AS OF 30 Sep 12	313	848	12,387			017	1049	4876		TOTAL	
END FY 2017	313	869	12,007				1040	4194			
7. INVENTORY DAT			•=,•=•								
Total Acreage:	(+)									5479	
Inventory Total as of	: 30 Sep	12								4,455,515,618	
Authorization Not Yet										30,292	
Authorization Reques			า:		(FY2014)					8,600	
Planned in Next Four					· ,					36,300	
Remaining Deficiency	y:	-								120,500,000	
Grand Total:										4,576,090,810	
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS F	PROGRA	M:			(FY 2014	4)			
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS	
	PROJEC					<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>	
911-146	KC-46A I	Land Acqu	uisition			64	HA	<u>8,600</u>	Design Build		
						Total		8,600			
	Taking			Marana							
9b. Future Projects:	•••			Years:				07.000			
		Site Work		Towar		27,000					
149-962	Construc	t Air Traff	ic Contro	Tower		<u>9,300</u> Total 36,300					
9c. Restoration and	Moderniz	ation (R&	M) Linfur	ded Requ	uirement (\$			30,300		386.0	
10. Mission or Major							Sustainm	ant Center th	e Oklahoma C		
Complex, the 72nd A											
Group and the Navy				na or wing	, (////////////////////////////////////	, 007 117 11	rterdening	, wing, the ot	Sin Oyberspace	5 Engineering	
croup and the navy	171071110	nouuquu	11010.								
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safetv (C	SHA) De	eficiencies	:						
a. Air pollution			.,					0			
b. Water Pollutio	n							0			
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health						0			
d. Other Environ	mental							0			

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		( c	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITLE	5	•
TINKER AIR FORC	E BASE	1		KC46A	LAND ACQUI	SITION	
TINKER AFB SITE	# 1						
OKLAHOMA		Γ				1	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
41221		911-146	3342/	WWYK1	43004A		8,600
		9. 0	OST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
							(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT	IES						6,744
LAND PURCHASE				HA	64	105,375	( 6,744 )
SUPPORTING FACIN	LITIES						1,220
SECURITY FENCE				LS			( 350)
PERIMETER ROAD				LS			( 750)
SITE WORK				LS			( 120)
SUBTOTAL							7,964
CONTINGENCY	(2.0%)	)					159
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST						8,123
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(2.0%)				162
DESIGN/BUILD - 1	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF 8	SUBTOTAL)				319
TOTAL REQUEST							8,604
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					8,600
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	ction: Pu	rchas	e a 64 hec	tare land p	arcel
-		thern boundary of			-		
-		ker Aerospace Com	-	-			
-		new property line trees, and shrubs				meter road,	clear site
		97 HA Adequate		_		0 HA	
-		d Acquisition, 64					
		r AFB currently su					le USAF
		g with this missio		-		-	
for the new KC	-46A	aircraft. The depo	ot mainten	ance	complex is	required t	o provide a
	_	sive source for re	_				
	us. Th	is project support	ts the pha	sed d	lepot maint	enance of t	he new KC-
46A Mission.							
CURRENT SITUAT		There is insuffic locks to support th	-				
_		w regulations gove			_	-	-
		ism/Force Protect:	-				-
possibilities	for d	levelopment, forcin	ng the req	uirem	ent for ad	lditional la	nd.
IMPACT IF NOT	PROVI	<u>DED:</u> Failure to a	construct	this	project wo	ould critica	lly impact
		lity to quickly, s	_		_	-	
_	ons sy	stem. Phased depot	t maintena	nce i	s critical.	to the KC-	46A
mission.			ambien of	md 1-			hin the
HISTORY OF BAS		<u>NDARY:</u> The main <u>j</u> imits of Oklahoma.	-			located wit ten miles	
_	-	· AFB is bordered (	—				
							-
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	is editions	s are	obsolete.		Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 201	4 MILITARY CONSTR	UCTION PROJECT DAT	ra -	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer ge	nerated)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATION	1	4. PROJECT TITLE		
TINKER AIR FORC	E BASE		KC46A LAND ACQUI	SITION	
TINKER AFB SITE	# 1				
OKLAHOMA					
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY	CODE 7. RPSUID	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)
41221	911-14	6 3342	/wwyk143004a	8	,600

to the east by Douglas Boulevard, to the south along 74th Street, and to the west by Sooner Road. In March 1941, President Roosevelt signed the appropriations bill including acquisition of 1,440 acres for the new Midwest Air Depot. From 1941 through 1954 an additional 1,282 acres were acquired. On August 11, 1955, the Oklahoma City Chamber of Commerce donated 638 acres of land adjacent to the west of Tinker. Incorporated areas immediately surrounding the Base include Midwest City to the north and Del City to the northwest. 1958 saw acquisition of 103 acres to support beddown of a large communication unit called the Oklahoma Ground Electronics Engineering and Installation Region, now known as the 38th Cyberspace Engineering Group. In 1968, 78 acres was purchased to accommodate construction of 100 units of family housing. In 1992 two parcels totaling 23 acres were purchased to construct new Logistical Systems Operations Center (LSOC) and a Consolidated Fuel system facility.. In 1993 an additional 5 acres adjacent to the LSOC on the northeast corner of the base was added to support construction of a new Child Development Center (CDC). In 1996 two parcels totaling 50 acres, south of the base and adjacent to the Navy area, was acquired to allow construction of a new Hazardous Waste Conforming Storage Facility (CSF) and Aircraft Battle Damage Repair (ABDR) area. Lastly, in 2008, the Tinker Aerospace Complex (former General Motors Assembly Plant), consisting of 12 buildings, nearly 4 million square feet of facilities, 407 acres of land, nearly 330,000 square yards of pavements and miles of utility lines was leased from Oklahoma County for a period of 50 years for nominal lease consideration (\$1 per year).

LONG TERM REAL ESTATE: This land purchase of 64 Hectares is required for the construction of the Maintenance Docks necessary for the support of the KC-46A Depot Maintenance Program. Base Civil Engineer: Commercial (405) 734-345. Land Acquisition: 64 hectare = 158 acre.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILITARY CO			DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			er gei	nerated)		
3. INSTALLATIO				4. PROJECT TI		
TINKER AIR FOR TINKER AFB SI				KC46A LAND AC	QUISITION	
OKLAHOMA	16 # 1					
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PI	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CC	ST (\$000)
41221		911-146	3342	2/WWYK143004A	8,	600
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:			I	
a. Estimate	d Desigr	Data:				
(1) Projec	t to be	accomplished by de	sign-	build procedur	es	
(2) Basis:	:					
		or Definitive Design ign Was Most Recentl		ed -		NO
(3) All Ot	her Des	ign Costs				344
(4) Constr	ruction	Contract Award				14 FEB
(5) Constr	ruction	Start				14 MAR
(6) Constr	ruction	Completion				15 AUG
(7) Energy	/ Study/	Life-Cycle analysis	was/	will be perfor	med	YES

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 201	4 MIL	ITARY (	CONST	RUCTIO	N PROG	GRAM	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION A FORT BLISS, TEXAS		ATION		4. COM AIR CC		: Comma	ND	5. AREA COST IN 0.96		
6. Personnel	PEI	RMANENT		S	UDEN	TS	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12 END FY 2017	7 7	118 118	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0			125 125
<ol> <li>7. INVENTORY DAT</li> <li>a. Total Acreage:</li> <li>b. Inventory Total as</li> </ol>	of: (30 \$	Sep 12)						•		
c. Authorization Not		•								0
d. Authorization Req e. Planned in Next F	our Years	-	am:							3,350 7,600
<ul><li>f. Remaining Deficie</li><li>g. Grand Total:</li></ul>	ncy:								-	10,950
8. PROJECTS REQ	IESTED		ROGR	۵M·			(FY 201	4)		
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u> 116-992	PROJEC				Sys	<u>SCOPE</u> 3,157 Total	·	,	May-12	STATUS <u>CMPL</u> Sep-13
9a. Future Projects: 141-753	•••	lanned Ne ort Operation						7,600 7,600		
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This In	stallatio	n: (\$M)					25
10. Mission or Major is responsible for air home of seven Force Air Defense Artillery I Air Defense Artillery I	defense a s Comma Brigade, 3	rtillery train and warfigh 1st Air Dei	ning of ting ur fense /	U.S. so hits - the Artillery	ldiers a 32d Ar Brigade	nd variou my Air a , the 108	us allied nd Missi 8th Air De	nation sc le Defens efense Ar	oldiers. It is se Comma rtillery Brig	also the nd, 11th
11. Outstanding Poll a. Air pollution	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA Do	eficienci	es):			0		
b. Water Pollutio	'n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MIL]	ITARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(	(computer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITI	E AND LOCATION		4. PR	OJECT TITL	Ξ	
FT BLISS				F-16	BAK 12/14 A	AIRCRAFT ARRE	STING SYSTEM
TEXAS							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PP	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27597		116-922	/AE1	rC1768	70		3,350
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES	I		
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						2,232
BAK 12/14 ACFT	ARRES	TING SYSTEM		EA	2	550,000	( 1,100 )
REPLACE RUNWAY	SHOUL	DER/PAVEMENT, RWY	3/21	SM	3,157	345	( 1,088)
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(44)
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES						786
UTILITIES INST	ALLATI	ON/CONNECTION		LS			( 300)
SITE PREP/IMPR	OVEMEN	ITS		LS			( 240)
AIRFIELD LIGHT	ING			LS			( 12)
NEW ACCESS ROAD	D			LS			( 175)
COMMUNICATIONS	SUPPO	DRT		LS			( 59)
SUBTOTAL							3,018
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)					151
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						3,169
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				181
TOTAL REQUEST							3,349
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	D)					3,350
EQUIPMENT FROM (	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	I-ADD)				( 1,163.0 )
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Constru	uction: Con	stru	ct two rei	nforced con	crete BAK-
12 equipment e	nclos	ures with fairles	ad beam four	ndati	ons and BA	K-14 cable	trough
		y 3-21, 1,500 fee					
		drainage, electri			_		
		ess drives with t lder/pavement. I					
		per the Unified					
11. Requiremen	t: 2	EA Adequate: (	) EA Subs	standa	ard: 0 EA		
PROJECT: Cons	truct	: new F-16 BAK 12,	/14 Aircraft	t Arr	esting Sys	stem (AAS) c	n each end
of Runway 3-21							
REQUIREMENT:	An A	AS for both ends	of Runway 3	3-21	is require	d for the F	-16
_		o the Holloman AF	_			-	
		t field. Due to			-		
-		e runway, a BAK-1 ocation of the AA				_	
-		AAS requires pave					
steel pipe tap	e tub	es capable of har	ndling airc	aft 3	landing lo	ads for air	field
safety criteri	a.						
		At present, Bigg	-				
		alternate airfie stment systems.	-		_		
mave emergency	arre	schence systems.	DIYYS AAF 1	ra hr		e che brilla	± 7

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION FT BLISS

F-16 BAK 12/14 AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM

4. PROJECT TITLE

## TEXAS

IBAAD			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27597	116-922	/AETC176870	3,350

emergency divert airfield when the F-16 FTU mission migrates to Holloman AFB in 2012.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Potential for a major aircraft accident exists without the presence of a divert field containing an AAS, creating a high risk for damage and or loss of fighter aircraft, needless endangerment of pilots and crew. In addition, increased risk of F-16 runway overrun, especially if recovering during inclement weather or when certain aircraft malfunctions exists, and a runway overrun could result in the total loss of a \$20M aircraft. For F-16 FTU operations out of Holloman and with the decommissioning of the barriers at Kirtland AFB, the primary divert for Holloman will not have barriers for at least 2 years. This situation greatly increases the risk when dealing with Basic course students and their limited experience in the aircraft.

ADDITIONAL: The scope of this project was based on AF Handbook 32-1084 and input from AFCESA and AETC functional BAK12/14 requirements. A preliminary economic analysis of reasonable options was conducted comparing alternatives of status quo and new construction. New construction is the only alternative that will meet operational requirements. A certificate of exception was completed. Sustainable principles, to include Life-Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and executive orders. MAJCOM POC: (210) 652-8214. BAK 12/14 Aircraft Arresting System, 2 EA; runway shoulder/pavement: 3157 SM = 33,975 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation utility/infrastructure project, and does not qualify for joint use at this location. However, all tenants on this installation are benefited by this project.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILITAR	RY CO	ONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(con	npute	er gene:	rated)		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION			4. PROJECT	TITLE	
FT BLISS					F-16 BAK 12 SYSTEM	/14 AIRCRAFT A	RRESTING
TEXAS		I				1	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DDE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CC	ST (\$000)
27597		116-922		/AE	TC176870	3,	350
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:					
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu							
		gn Started			<b>.</b> .	07	-MAY-12
		Cost Estimates			evelop costs		YES
		omplete as of 01	JAN	2013			15%
		Designed					-FEB-13
	-	gn Complete		aia wa			-SEP-13 YES
	lergy sc	udy/Life-Cycle a	пату	SIS Was	s/will be per	riormed	IES
(2) Basis							
		or Definitive De	-				NO
(b) Wr	ere Des:	ign Was Most Rec	enti	y Usea	-		
(3) Total	. Cost (d	c) = (a) + (b) o	r (d	) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and S	peci	ficatio	ons		201
(b) Al	l Other	Design Costs					101
(c) To							302
	ntract						251
(e) Ir	1-house						50
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award					14 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start					14 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion					15 MAR
which i	.s compar	letion of Projec rable to traditi rability.					
b. Equipmer	at assoc	iated with this	proj	ect pro	ovided from a	other appropri	ations:
					FISC	AL YEAR	
EQUIPMEN	I NOMENC	LATURE		ROCURIN ROPRIAI	G APPRO	DPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)
BAK-14 ()	NSN: 171	0-01-419-4561)		3080	:	2014	501
BAK-12 (1	NSN: 171	0-01-545-2482)		3080	:	2014	662
DD FORM 1391, 1	DEC 99	Previous	ed:	itions a	are obsolete	. F	age No.

April 2013

1. COMPONENT			FY 201	4 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUC	TION PRC	GRAM		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLATION A				4. COMMAND				5. AREA	CONST	
HILL AIR FORCE BA		ATION		AIR FORCE M				5. AREA		
UTAH				COMMAND:				1.06		
6. Personnel	PF	RMANEN	Г	STUDEN	TS		SU	PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12	372	1,216	10,250			0.1	267	2773		15,499
END FY 2017	361	1,211	9,940				255			15,156
7. INVENTORY DAT		,	- ,							-,
a. Total Acreage:	6,797									
b. Inventory Total as		Sep 12)								4,322,858
c. Authorization Not										51,083
d. Authorization Req			ram:		(FY 2014	4)				32,000
e. Planned in Next F		•								21,000
f. Remaining Deficie		ũ								361,500
g. Grand Total:	-									4,788,441
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS F	ROGRA	M:			(2014)			
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	<b>PROJEC</b>	T TITLE				<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000	<u>START</u>	CMPL
130-142	Fire Cras	sh Rescue	Station			3,553	SM	18,500	Design B	uild
211-111	F35 Aircr	aft MX Un	it Hanga	ar 45E Ops #1		4,057	SM		Design B	uild
						Total		32,000		
9a. Future Projects:										
317-315	388 RAN	S Mission	Control	Center				<u>21,000</u>		
						Total		21,000		
9b. Real Property Ma				. ,						349.0
10. Mission or Major										
Logistics Center (OO	,	•	•		•			•	•	
management for the	-	-			ind Minute	man III inte	ercontine	ental ballis	stic missile	. The base
performs depot main										
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (O	SHA) De	eticiencies:				-		
a. Air pollution								C	)	
h Water Dellette								~		
b. Water Pollutio	011							C	1	
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health						C	)	
d. Other Environ	mental							C	)	

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILII	TARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(0	computer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	CT TT	-			ROJECT TITLE	2	
HILL AIR FORCE I HILL AFB SITE # UTAH	BASE			-		L UNIT HANGAR	R 45E OPS #1
5. PROGRAM ELEMI	INT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27142		211-111	2349	/KRSM1	.03011		13,500
		9. (	COST ESTIM	ATES			
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
	-						0.646
PRIMARY FACILITI		DETITON		01	543	4 460	9,646
MAINTENANCE HAN				SM		4,462	
MAINTENANCE HAN	IGAR A	LTERATION		SM SM	1,035 1,054	2,873	
AMU ADDITION AMU ALTERATION				SM	1,054	2,167 1,247	( 2,284 ) ( 1,777 )
SUSTAINABILITY		NEDGY MEASUDES		LS	1,425	1,24/	( 1,777 )
SUPPORTING FACIL		NERGI MERSURES					
UTILITIES	11110			LS			2,077
PAVEMENTS				LS			(158) (1,227)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	TT C			LS			( 1,227)
COMMUNICATIONS		DΨ		LS			( 300 )
ASBESTOS / LBP				LS			( 286 )
SUBTOTAL	ADAIB	HISN I					
CONTINGENCY	( 5 0%						586
TOTAL CONTRACT C		,					12,310
		ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				702
-		COST (4.0% OF					469
TOTAL REQUEST	BDIGN		SOBIOINE,				13,480
TOTAL REQUEST (R	OUNDE	(ח					13,500 )
		APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	-ADD)				( 1,515
		Proposed Constru			st a sambi	nod hangan	-
and alteration the mission of DoD, Air Force construction t	util the , and echni	izing economical facility. The fa base design stan ques shall be use iterrorism/force	design and cility sho dards. In d where co	cons uld b addi st ef	truction m e compatib tion, loca fective.	nethods to ole with ap al material This proje	accommodate plicable s and ct will
Air Conditioni	ng:	75 Tons					
11. Requiremen	t: 40	57 SM Adequate	: 800 SM	Sub	standard:	1660 SM	
PROJECT: F-35	Airc	raft MX Unit Hang	ar 45E Ops	#1	(New Missi	lon)	
fighter aircra by adding to a portion of bld maintenance re AMU addition t	ft re nd al g 45 quire o the	de sufficiently s pair hangar for a tering the east s thirty feet to th ments unique to t north side of bl Alter the AMU p	squadron ide of bld e north an he F-35 Jo dg 45 in b	of tw g 45. d ins int S etwee	enty-four Extend ex tall new h trike Figh n the west	F-35 fight disting eas hangar door hter. Add a and east	er aircraft t hangar s to meet 1,054 SM hangar
portions of bl	ag 45	. Alter the AMU p	ortion of	cne e	ast side (	DI DIAG 45	to support

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 M	ILITARY CONSTRU	JCTION PROJECT DAT	ГА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer gen	nerated)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE	:	
HILL AIR FORCE	BASE		F-35 AIRCRAFT MX	UNIT HANGAR 4	5E OPS #1
HILL AFB SITE #	1				
UTAH					
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY COD	E 7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
27142	211-111	2349	/KRSM103011	13	,500

the Autonomic Logistics Information System (ALIS) and to ensure necessary security upgrades are in place. Ensure also that all required maintenance brief/de-brief areas are provided.

CURRENT SITUATION: The AF has announced Hill AFB as the preferred site alternative for the first and second squadrons of F-35 fighter aircraft. This requirement supports the second squadron's requirement for an AMU and hangar. The second squadron's aircraft are expected to begin arriving in FY17, but due to facility construction phasing timelines, the project must be in the FY14 program. The final force structure is three 24-aircraft fighter squadrons. There are currently insufficient facilities at Hill AFB to accommodate this new mission bed-down. The east maintenance hangar portion of bldg 45 does not have adequate depth to accomplish the various maintenance requirements on the F-35, specifically engine removal/replacement and overall maintenance functions. This problem already exists for the F-16 squadrons currently using bldg 45. The existing east AMU portion of bldg 45 in not suitable in terms of size, condition, and layout. The floor plan must be reconfigured and expanded to support the required operational efficiencies. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this project, the 388th FW will not be able to receive delivery of the F-35 in any significant numbers. Without the hangar addition, effective engine maintenance for the F-35 cannot be performed, proper security measures cannot be maintained, and support equipment will have to be stored outdoors subject to harsh weather conditions. The AMU must be expanded and renovated so that functions can be performed adequately and efficiently. ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated that adding to and altering bldg 45 was the most cost effective option in order to accomplish the mission. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (801) 777-7505. Hangar Addition : 543 SM = 5,842 SF; Hangar Alteration 1,035 SM = 11,136 SF; AMU Addition: 1,054 SM = 11,345 SF; AMU Alteration: 1,425 SM = 15,338 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

. COMPONENT	FY	2014 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION P	ROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
IR FORCE		(comput	er generated)			
3. INSTALLATIO HILL AIR FORCI HILL AFB SITE JTAH	E BASE	TION		ECT TIT	'LE MX UNIT HANG	AR 45E OPS
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6	. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT N	UMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)
27142		211-111	2349/KRSM10	3011	13,	,500
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA:					
a. Estimate	d Design Da	ata:				
(1) Projec	t to be ac	complished by de	sign-build pr	rocedure	s	
	andard or 1	Definitive Desig Was Most Recent				NO
(3) All Ot	ther Design	Costs				540
(4) Consti	ruction Con	tract Award				14 FEB
(5) Consti	ruction Sta	rt				14 MAR
(6) Consti	ruction Com	pletion				15 SEP
(7) Energy	7 Study/Lif	e-Cycle analysis	was/will be	perform	ned	YES
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLAT		CURING APPRC	APPROI	L YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICA	TIONS EQUI	PMENT	3080	20	015	320
FURNISHIN	iGS		3400	20	015	215
SECURITY			3080	20	015	
5-TON BRI	DOD ODAND					160
			3080		015	500
FOUR POWE			3080 3080		015 015	

					_		
1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT				<b>FA</b>	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		-	omputer gen		-		
3. INSTALLATION HILL AIR FORCE		AND LOCATION			CRASH RESCU		
HILL AFB SITE #				FIRE	CRASH RESCU	E STATION	
UTAH							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT (	COST (\$000)
72976		130-142	2349/	KRSM0	03009	1	8,500
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY	z						12,886
FIRE STATION				SM	3,553	3,556	( 12,634 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(252)
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES			İ		İ	3,177
UTILITIES				LS			(625)
PAVEMENTS				LS			(746)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	TS			LS			(250)
COMMUNICATIONS				LS		İ	(255)
DEMOLITION				SM	2,578	458	( 1,181)
BACKUP GENERAT	OR			LS			( 120)
SUBTOTAL						-	16,063
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					803
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						16,866
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				961
	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	UBTOTAL)			_	643
TOTAL REQUEST							18,470
TOTAL REQUEST (F							18,500 )
		APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	-				( 700
_		Proposed Construction me				-	-
requirements.		facility should be					
design standar		In addition, local	-				
		effective. Includ	_	_			ectrical,
	-	fixtures, interior		-			tostion
		sh 2,578 SM. Comp ified Facilities (	_		ci-ceriori	.sm/iorce pro	Diection
Air Conditioni		100 Tons					
11. Requiremen		70 SM Adequate:	: 1017 SM	Su	bstandard:	2578 SM	
PROJECT: Fire	Cras	h Rescue Station.	(Current	Miss	ion).		
REQUIREMENT:	A pro	perly sized and co	onfigured	conso	lidated fi	re crash res	scue
station is req	uired	to replace the ex	cisting fa	cilit	y resultin	g in improve	ed
		ronment and improv	-	_	_		-
		der fire fighting exhaust. The stat			_	_	ite
		ent and crews, qua				-	rew
quarters with	drive	through stalls fo	or the mode	ern f	ire/crash	vehicles. H	Project
_	-	nalysis of current				_	
with Air Force	Fire	Station Guide and	n National	Fire	Protectio	n Associatio	n
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	s editions	s are	obsolete.		Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILIT.	ARY CONSTRU	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE	(c	omputer ger	erated)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE	l	
HILL AIR FORCE	L AIR FORCE BASE FIRE CRASH RESCUE STATION				
HILL AFB SITE #	1				
UTAH					
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)
72976	130-142	2349,	/KRSM003009	18	,500

## Standards.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current fire station was constructed in 1941 and is badly deteriorated due to age and harsh weather conditions. One of the apparatus stalls (Stall #1), which accesses the flightline has recently been assessed a Risk Assessment Code 1 (RAC 1) because of structural instability and has been placed off limits until repairs can be made. The facility is lacking in space for living quarters, training areas, dispatch center, and vehicle bays. The vehicle bay areas and doors are too small to accommodate the latest generation of wider and heavier T-1500 fire fighting vehicles. This delays response time due to the care required when the vehicles exit the facility. Other deficiencies include: no fire separation between dormitory and apparatus bays causing emissions contamination from fire apparatus exhaust, no fire sprinkler coverage throughout, and no Fire Department Infection Control area. Inadequate interior square footage prevents compliance with isolation requirements for disinfecting Personal Protection Equipment (PPE) from blood borne pathogens. Undersized living quarters for 24 hour shift personnel, substandard electrical, plumbing and heating are also out of compliance with current AF Standards.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Egress from the facility will remain difficult resulting This puts \$4.9 billion in aircraft assets at risk, in in slow response times. addition to, \$4.3 billion in real property assets. Fire Department personnel will continue to be exposed to toxins, quality of life issues will remain substandard, morale and welfare of 24 hour shift personnel will worsen, mission critical support equipment will continue to be parked outdoors, subject to weather related deterioration and which has resulted in \$4,000 of average annual freeze related repairs. Without this project, education and training requirements will not be fully realized and the fire and emergency service delivery program will continue to be about 75% of its maximum potential based on test scores and performance reports. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An economic analysis was prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternative, new construction was found to be cost efficient over the life of the project. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: (801) 777-7505. Fire Crash Rescue Station: 3,553 SM = 38,230 SF

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by any other components.

COMPONENT R FORCE		FY 2014 MILITA	mputer ge		DAIA		. DATE
INSTALLATIO	N AND LO		inputti ge	4. PROJECT TI	TIE		
ILL AIR FORCE				FIRE CRASH RE			
ILL AFB SITE	# 1						
ГАН							
. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY C	ODE 7. P	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST	(\$000)
72976		130-142	234	9/KRSM003009	18	,500	)
2. SUPPLEMENT	AL DATA	.:					
a. Estimated	l Design	Data:					
(1) Project	t to be	accomplished b	y design-	build procedur	es		
(2) Basis:							
		or Definitive Do gn Was Most Red	-	ed -			NO
(3) All Ot	her Des:	ign Costs					740
(4) Constru	uction (	Contract Award				14	FEB
(5) Constru	uction a	Start				14	MAR
(6) Constru	uction (	Completion				16	MAR
(7) Energy	Study/1	Life-Cycle anal	ysis was/	will be perfor	rmed		YES
			PROCURTNO	-	AL YEAR		COST
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCL	ATURE	PROCURING	APPRC APPRC	AL YEAR DPRIATED EQUESTED		COST (\$000
		ATURE PORT EQUIPMENT	PROCURING	APPRC APPRC OR R	PRIATED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000
				APPRC APPRC OR R	DPRIATED EQUESTED		(\$000

1. COMPONENT	1. COMPONENT FY 2014 AIR FORCE			ILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE 26-Jul-12						
3. INSTALLATION A				4 00	MMAND				26-Jul-12	
JB LANGLEY - EUS		ATION				'. COMMAN		COST IN		
VIRGINIA	5115					COMMAN	D	0.94		
	DE	RMANENT	-	0		TO	01	PPORTE		
<ol> <li>Personnel Strength</li> </ol>	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	FUDEN ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 26 JUL 12	1392	6170	3187	0	0	0	0	0	700	11,449
END FY 2017	1356	5921	2961	0	0	0	0		700	10,938
7. INVENTORY DAT		0021	2001	0	Ű	Ű	Ű	Ű		.0,000
a. Total Acreage:	3,674									
b. Inventory Total as		Sep 12)								1,900,000
c. Authorization Not	•	• /								67,592
d. Authorization Req		•	am:			(2014)				4,800
e. Planned in Next F		-				( - )				0
f. Remaining Deficie		U								0
g. Grand Total:									-	1,972,392
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM:			(FY 201	4)		
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	<u>PROJEC</u>	<u>T TITLE</u>				<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000	<u>START</u>	CMPL
422-265	•	onventiona	l Muniti	ons Ins	pection	820	SM	4,800	Design B	uild
00	Facility						•		_ = = = :.g. : _	
						Total		4,800		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Planned Ne	ext Fou	r Years:						
	i jpicai i			i i care.						
	None									
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This Ir	stallatio	n:					205
10. Mission or Major	Function	s: Headqu	arters /	Air Com	bat Con	nmand; a	fighter w	ing with F	-22A figh	ters; an
airlift wing; an intellig										
Reconnaissance Cer	nter (AC2I	SRC), Det	achme	nt of the	USAF	Doctrine (	Center; a	and the A	ir Force R	escue
Coordination Center.										
11. Outstanding Poll	ution and	Safety (O	SHA D	eficienc	ies):					
a. Air pollution								0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety on	d Haalth						0		
c. Occupational	Salety an	u nedilli						0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
	nona							0		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

							I
1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MIL	ITARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SIT	E AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITLE	2	
LANGLEY AIR FOR	CE BA	SE		4-BAY	CONVENTION	NAL MUNITIONS	INSPECTION
LANGLEY AFB SIT	E # 1			FACII	ITY		
VIRGINIA							
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27138		422-265	2479/M	UHJ07	3003в		4,600
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
MUNITIONS INSPEC	CTION	FACILITY					1,726
4 BAY CONV MUN	ITION	5 INSPECTIONS FACIL	ITY	SM	820	2,071	( 1,698 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND I	ENERGY MEASURES		LS			(28)
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES	5					2,431
UTILITIES				LS			( 185)
PAVEMENTS				LS			(930)
SITE IMPROVEME	NT			LS			(350)
DEMOLITION				SM	358	275	( 98)
COMMUNICATION				LS			( 108)
FIRE PROTECTION	N			LS			(225)
SPECIAL FOUNDA	TION			LS			( 450)
ASBESTOS ABATE	MENT			LS			(85)
SUBTOTAL							4,158
CONTINGENCY	(5	5.0%)					208
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						4,365
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				249
TOTAL REQUEST							4,614
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					4,600
EQUIPMENT FROM (	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	I-ADD)				( 45.0 )
		Proposed Constru		l	ct a conve	ntional mur	-
inspection fac accommodate th applicable DoD and constructi demolishes one	ility e mis , Air on te 358 force	y utilizing econor ssion of the facil Force, and base echniques shall be SM facility. This protection require 10 Tons	nical design lity. The s design star used where is project w irements per	n and Eacil ndard e cos will	construct ity should s. In add t effectiv comply wit	ion methods be compati lition, loca e. This pr h DoD	s to ble with 11 materials coject
11. Requiremen	t: 82	20 SM Adequate:	: 0 SM S1	ubsta	ndard: 358	SM	
PROJECT: F-22	4 Ba	ay Conventional 1	Munitions I	nspec	tion (New	Mission)	
to include sec the F-22 aircr	urity aft.	ately sized and o alarms, is requined The AF expanded oon system (air-to	ired to supp the role of	port E the	the operat F-22 to a	ional capak multi-role	oility of ed
bay convention provide capabi support muniti	al mu lity ons c	nired to support to mitions maintenant to build 20 mm ant concurrently during ground munitions a	nce facility mmunitions, ng contingen	y. T airc ncy a	his facili raft count nd trainin	ty it requi ermeasures, g situatior	red to and base ns. The
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1			4

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTR	UCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer ge	nerated)	
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
LANGLEY AIR FOR	CE BASE	4-BAY CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS I	NSPECTION
LANGLEY AFB SIT	'E # 1	FACILITY	
VIRGINIA			

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27138	422-265	2479/MUHJ073003B	4,600

distance setbacks, so adjustments must be made. Also, major utility infrastructure upgrades are necessary to accommodate redevelopment of the area. Force protection complies with minimum DoD standards.

CURRENT SITUATION: The base does not have adequate facilities to conduct safe and efficient handling of munitions in support of F-22 operations. The existing condition in the Munitions Storage Area (MSA) creates a situation that affects personnel safety, security, and greatly reduces operational efficiency. The current maintenance facility is too small to support the mission requirements. The existing electrical system is overhead, the area step-down transformer requires continued maintenance, and there is no emergency power generator to support the MSA. The MSA is located in a flood-prone area and the site drains predominantly by sheet flow to open ditches and a few drop inlets that discharge through pipe culverts. The inlet piping system is undersized to adequately serve the area. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility the base will be unable to meet

critical aircraft generation timelines in support of the F-22 operation mission. The lack of this facility could result in significant degradation in operational capability and increase the potential for a serious mishap.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (757) 764-2025. 4 Bay Conventional Munitions Inspection: 820 SM = 8,823 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

. INSTALLATION	N AND LOCATION		4	. PROJECT	TITLE	
ANGLEY AIR FO ANGLEY AFB SI IRGINIA	-			-BAY CONVE	NTIONAL MUNI FACILITY	TIONS
. PROGRAM ELE	MENT 6. CATEGORY	Y CODE	7. PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27138	422-26	55	2479/MU	нј073003в		4,600
2. SUPPLEMENT	AL DATA:					
a. Estimated	Design Data:					
(1) Status	:					
	e Design Started					08-FEB-12
	ametric Cost Estima			elop costs		YES
	cent Complete as of	01 JAN	2013			15%
	e 35% Designed					01-FEB-13
	e Design Complete	1				30-SEP-13
(I) Ene	rgy Study/Life-Cycl	e analy	SIS Was/	vill be per	riormed	YES
(2) Basis:						
(a) Sta	ndard or Definitive	Design				NO
(b) Whe	re Design Was Most	Recentl	y Used -			
(3) Total	Cost (c) = (a) + (b	) or (d	) + (e):			(\$000)
	duction of Plans an			3		0
	Other Design Costs					192
(c) Tot	al					192
(d) Con						0
(e) In-	house					0
(4) Constru	uction Contract Awa	rd				14 FEB
(5) Constr	uction Start					14 MAR
(6) Constr	uction Completion					15 MAR
which is	s completion of Pro comparable to trad executability.					
b. Equipment	associated with th	is proj	ect prov	ided from a	other approp	riations:
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLATURE		ROCURING ROPRIATIO	APPRO	AL YEAR )PRIATED SQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICAT	IONS EQUIPMENT		3400	2	2015	35
FURNISHING	S		3400	2	2015	10

1. COMPONENT		FY 20	14 MILI	LITARY CONSTRUCTION PR		ON PRO	GRAM	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOC	ATION		4. COI	MMAI	ND:		5. ARE	A CONST	
SAIPAN, COMMONW	VEALTH	OF NOR	THERN	PACIF		R FORCE	S	COST IN	IDEX	
MARIANA ISLANDS	5							2.11		
6. Personnel	PE	RMANEN	IT	S	TUDE	NTS	SU	IPPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	EN	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12	N/A		-							Note 1
END OF FY 2017	N/A									
7. INVENTORY DAT	ΓA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage:						Not DoD	Owned	Installatio	n	Note 2
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30	Sep 12)								n/a
c. Authorization Not	Yet in Inv	entory:								0
d. Authorization Req	uested in	this Prog	ram:			(FY 2014	l)			29,300
f. Planned in Next F	our Years	s Progran	า:							86,000
g. Remaining Deficie	ency:									TBD
h. Grand Total:										115,300
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS	PROGR	AM:			(FY 201	4)		
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC					<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000	<u>START</u>	CMPL
116-662	PAR - Ha					17,659		-	DESIGN	
124-135	PAR - Ap					15,899			DESIGN	
218-123	PAR - Ma	aintenanc	e Facilit	у		2,361	SM	2,800	DESIGN	BUILD
						Total		29,300		
9a. Future Projects:	•••									
121-122	PAR - Pa	• •						50,000		
124-135	PAR - Po							18,000		
124-135	PAR - Po	ort POL S	ystem (8	50K BBL		,		18,000		
						Total		86,000		
9b. Real Property Ma	aintonana	o Bocklo	a Thie Ir	etallatic				n/a		
10. Mission or Major						reico and	woothor		notion for	air forcos
in the Pacific	FUNCTION	s. Saipai	will ser	ve as ai	rexe	cise anu	weather		allon Ior	all lorces
NOTE 1: No personn	ol will bo	normano	ntly acci	anod to	thic I	ocation				
NOTE 2: Not a DoD							al nron	ortv		
		stanation,	litereror	e we ut	100	JWIT ally I	ear prop	erty.		
11 Outstanding Doll	ution and	Sofoty /		oficiono						
<ol> <li>Outstanding Poll a. Air Pollution</li> </ol>	ution and	Salety (C	JOHA D	encienc	185).			N/A		
b. Water Pollutio	n							N/A		
c. Occupational		d Health						N/A		
d. Other Environ	•							N/A		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PR	OJECT TITLE	5	I
SAIPAN				PAR -	AIRPORT PC	L, BULK STOR	AGE AST
COMMONWEALTH O	F THE	NORTHERN MARIANA ISI				1	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/1	PROJEC	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		124-135	/P.	AF1402	200		18,500
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES			
						UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILIT	IES						12,321
ABOVEGROUND BU	ьк ято	RAGE		СМ	15,899	524	( 8,338 )
PUMP/FILTER HO	USE			SM	242	12,529	( 3,032 )
TRUCK FILL STAN	ND			EA	1	424,270	( 424 )
TRANSFER PIPE				LS			( 286 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 242 )
SUPPORTING FACIN	LITIES						3,681
UTILITIES				LS			( 1,664)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 701)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	NTS			LS			(44)
ARCHEOLOGICAL	MONITO	RING		LS			(75)
HABITAT MITIGA	TION C	OSTS		LS			(285)
ENVIRONMENTAL	REMEDI	ATION & UXO REMOVAL		LS			( 912)
SUBTOTAL							16,002
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	1					800
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST						16,802
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)				1,042
		COST (4.0% OF 5					640
TOTAL REQUEST							18,484
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					18,500)
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER .	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				(38
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	rtion. Con	l	ct an airr	ort POL b	-
		facility using ed			-		
accommodate th	e mis	sion of the facil:	ity. The :	facil	ity should	l be compat:	ible with
		Air Force design a					
		ques shall be used					-
		ind loads and seis This project will			-		
		ents per unified :				.10115m/101	5e
11. Requiremen	-	-				CM	
PROJECT: Paci	fic A	irpower Resiliency					und storage
tank. (New Mi			d configure	-d	intonenas	fagilite i	romired
		equately sized and of the Northern 1	-			-	-
		es for aircraft fi					
-		in April 2013, Sa:		-			
		ission capability,	-	-			
(100,000 barre	els) c	f jet fuel storage	e, availab	le to	aircraft	through a l	hydrant
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	us editions	are	obsolete.		Page No.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE

(computer generated)

uter generated)

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION SAIPAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

PAR - AIRPORT POL, BULK STORAGE AST

COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27576	124-135	/PAF140200	18,500

FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

system. This location does not have a fuel tank to meet the requirement, but has a hydrant system which is primarily for commercial aircraft. The pump house and transfer pipe will enable use of the existing hydrant system. The truck fill stand allows for limited aircraft fueling operations in case the hydrant system is not available. This project is part of a proposed action - to achieve and maintain U.S. Air Force readiness by establishing additional exercises, while ensuring the capability to meet mission requirements in the event that access to western Pacific locations is limited or denied.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are no facilities at this location to store fuel for the proposed exercise mission requirements. The aircraft fuel storage and distribution currently at the airport is significantly undersized for the Air Force mission and is for commercial use and not available to the DoD under normal circumstances. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Without this facility, there is not an adequate supply of fuel to conduct exercises from this airport. This precludes use of the airport for the emerging and future exercise missions.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 32-1084, Facility Requirements and UFC 3-460-01 Design: Petroleum Facilities. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, an economic analysis was not performed. A waiver request has been completed. Habitat mitigation costs are included due to the potential impact on endangered species. Unexploded ordinance (UXO) costs are included due to the potential need for recovery, handling, and removal of any unexploded ordinance. Based on analysis of the current real estate market on CNMI, the annual lease cost for 6.78 acres (the amount of land needed for the Airport POL Bulk Storage AST project) is estimated to be \$38,400. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost-effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. While funding for land lease costs will be sought from other appropriations, the Air Force is requesting the authority to acquire the land through lease. Pursuant to Section 806(b) of the 1976 Covenant to Establish a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in Political Union with the United States of America, Congressional authorization is required to acquire any interest in real property in the Marianas Islands (and fee purchase is prohibited). The land to be leased is the minimum area necessary to accomplish the purpose of this project. Base Civil Engineer: (671) 366-7101. Airport POL, Bulk Aboveground Storage Tanks: 15,899 CM = 100,000 BBL = 4,200,000 gallons; pump house 242 SM = 2,605 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

L. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		RY CONSTRUCTION E mputer generated)		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AN SAIPAN	ND LOCATION		JECT TITLE AIRPORT POL, E	BULK STORAGE AST
COMMONWEALTH OF	THE NORTHERN MARIAN	AISLANDS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	T 6. CATEGORY CO	DDE 7. PROJECT N	IUMBER 8. PRO	JECT COST (\$000)
27576	124-135	/PAF1402	00	18,500
12. SUPPLEMENTAL	DATA:			
a. Estimated De	-			
-	be accomplished by	y design-build p	rocedures	
	ard or Definitive De Design Was Most Rec	-		NO
(3) All Other	Design Costs			740
(4) Construct:	ion Contract Award			14 FEB
(5) Construct:	ion Start			14 MAR
(6) Construct:	ion Completion			15 DEC
(7) Energy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was/will be	performed	YES
b. Equipment as	sociated with this	project provided	from other a	ppropriations:
EQUIPMENT NOM		PROCURING APPRC	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATEI OR REQUESTEI	COST
LAND LEASE (A	ANNUAL ESTIMATE)	3400	2014	38

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)				
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITLE	1			
SAIPAN				PAR - HAZARDOUS CARGO PAD					
COMMONWEALTH O	F THE	NORTHERN MARIANA ISI	LANDS						
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
27576		116-662	/P.	AF140	300		8,000		
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES					
		ITEM		U/M	OIDNETEN	UNIT	COST		
		TIEM		0/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITY	z						5,833		
HAZARDOUS CARG	O PAD			SM	17,659	324	( 5,719 )		
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 114 )		
SUPPORTING FACI	LITIES						1,146		
UTILITIES				LS			( 106)		
SITE IMPROVEMEN	NTS			LS			( 198)		
ENVIRONMENTAL	REMEDI	ATION		LS			( 150)		
ARCHEOLOGICAL	MONITO	RING		LS			(75)		
HABITAT MITIGA	TION C	OSTS		LS			(285)		
UNEXPLODED ORD	INANCE	REMOVAL		LS			( 332)		
SUBTOTAL							6,979		
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	1					349		
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST						7,328		
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)				454		
DESIGN/BUILD - 1	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	UBTOTAL)				279		
TOTAL REQUEST							8,061		
TOTAL REQUEST ()	ROUNDE	D)					8,000 )		
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER .	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 25		
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	ction: Co	nstru	ict a Hazar	dous Cargo	Pad using		
		nd construction me							
_		lity should be con	-						
-		In addition, local effective. This p					ques shall		
		protection require					eria.		
11. Requiremen			_		tandard: 0				
PROJECT: Paci	fic A	irpower Resiliency	/ (PAR) Ha	zardo	ous cargo p	ad. (New )	Mission)		
		equately sized and							
		the Northern Mari	_				_		
capabilities f	or ai	rcraft in the Paci	ific theat	er.	Pending co	mpletion o	f the Record		
	_	l 2013, Saipan is	_						
-	_	s enable aircraft					-		
_		e distances from h is sized for open	_		-		The consistent		
-	_	a C-5 or four F-2		-	-	-	-		
_	-	his project is par	-		_				
_		orce readiness by	_	-					
		future exercises,				_			
requirements i	n the	event that access	s to weste:	rn Pa	cific loca	tions is 1	imited or		
L									

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

installation are benefited by this project.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 32-1084, Facility Requirements and Air Force Manual 91-201, Explosives Safety Standards. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, an economic analysis was not performed. A waiver request has been completed. Habitat mitigation costs are included due to the potential impact on endangered species. Unexploded ordinance (UXO) costs are included due to the potential need for recovery, handling, and removal of any unexploded ordinance. Based on analysis of the current real estate market on CNMI, the annual lease cost for 4.5 acres (the amount of land needed for the hazardous cargo pad project) is estimated to be \$25,300. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders.While funding for land lease costs will be sought from other appropriations, the Air Force is requiesting the authority to acquire the land through lease. Pursuant to Section 806 (b) of the 1976 Covenant to Establish a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in political union with the United States of America, Congressional authorization is required to acquire any interest in real property in the Marianas Islands (and fee purchase is prohibited). The land to be leased is the minimum area necessary to accomplish the purpose of theis project. MAJCOM POC:: (671) 366-7101. Hazardous Cargo Pad: 17,659 SM = 190,080 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation utility/infrastructure project, and does not qualify for joint use at this location. However, all tenants on this

pad. While military aircraft in an emergency situation were forced to use this airport as a divert location, existing facilities do not meet the safety distance requirements for hazardous material or explosives. The airport mitigates the risk of the hazardous material by moving the aircraft onto the taxiway. In some cases this does not move the hazardous material to the regulation distance for safety, but it is the best alternative. In all cases it blocks a portion of the only taxiway which adversely impacts commercial traffic at the airport.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: In the event of an aircraft divert, the airport will continue to be limited to its current response capability which limits commercial operations and incurs safety risk. With emerging and future exercises, there will

be more flights in the region and a greater likelihood of a divert scenario.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no airfields in the CNMI that have a hazardous cargo

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27576	116-662	/PAF140300	8,000

denied.

1. COMPONENT

AIR FORCE

4. PROJECT TITLE

PAR - HAZARDOUS CARGO PAD

Previous editions are obsolete.

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION SAIPAN

COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2014 MILITA	ARY CONSTR		' DATA	2. DATE
			Smputer ge			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT TI	TLE	
SAIPAN				PAR - HAZARDO	OUS CARGO PAD	
COMMONWEALTH	OF THE	NORTHERN MARIA	NA ISLANDS			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY (	CODE 7. P	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)
27576		116-662		PAF140300	8,	000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:				
a. Estimate	d Design	Data:				
(1) Projec	ct to be	accomplished 1	by design-	build procedur	res	
(2) Basis		<b>_</b>	-1 ···· 5			
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive I ign Was Most Re	-	ed -		NO
(3) All O	ther Des	ign Costs				320
(4) Const:	ruction	Contract Award				14 FEB
(5) Const:	ruction	Start				14 MAR
(6) Const:	ruction	Completion				15 DEC
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle ana	lysis was/	will be perfor	rmed	YES
b. Equipmen	t associ	ated with this	project r	provided from	other appropri	ations:
EQUIPMENI	NOMENCI	ATURE	PROCURING	APPRC APPRC	AL YEAR OPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)
		ANNUAL COST)	340		2014	25
		Minome copi,	510		2011	23

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PI	ROJECT TITLE		·
SAIPAN				PAR -	MAINTENANC	E FACILITY	
COMMONWEALTH O	F THE	NORTHERN MARIANA ISI	LANDS				
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		218-123	/P	AF140	100	2,800	
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES			
		ITEM		∪/м	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
					~		(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY	r						1,344
MAINTENANCE FA	CILITY			SF	558	2,361	( 1,318 )
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(26)
SUPPORTING FACIN	LITIES						1,060
UTILITIES				LS			( 160)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 131)
SITE IMPROVEME	NTS			LS			( 152)
ENVIRONMENTAL				LS			( 155)
ARCHEOLOGICAL				LS			(78)
HABITAT MITIGA				LS			(285)
UNEXPLODED ORD	INANCE	REMOVAL		LS			( 99)
SUBTOTAL							2,404
CONTINGENCY							120
TOTAL CONTRACT							2,524
		ON AND OVERHEAD					156
	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)				96
TOTAL REQUEST		ח					2,776
-		APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	(חתג				2,800) (5
~ ~ ~ ~	-	Proposed Construct				onongo fog	
		nd construction me					
	-	lity should be con					
-		In addition, local					-
		effective. The fa					
		as prescribed in with DoD antiter					
unified facili				00 p1		equil emerie	5 Por
11. Requirement	it: 55	8 SM Adequate:	0 SM S1	ubsta	ndard: 0 S	м	
PROJECT: Paci	fic A	irpower Resiliency	y (PAR) Ma	inten	ance Facil	ity. (New )	Mission)
REQUIREMENT:	An ad	equately sized and	d configure	ed ma	intenance	facility is	s required
		of the Northern M					ly support
		capabilities for					Pending
		ecord of Decision s project. This fa					
		ing. Covered space				-	
		er of the region; t			-		
-		ptable. The maint	-	-	-		
from this airp	ort.	This project is p	part of a j	propo	sed action	- to achi	eve and
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	s editions	s are	obsolete.		Page No.

Γ

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

2. DATE

(computer generated)

4. PROJECT TITLE PAR - MAINTENANCE FACILITY

COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27576	218-123	/PAF140100	2,800

maintain U.S. Air Force readiness by establishing additional divert capabilities to support current and future exercises, while ensuring the capability to meet mission requirements in the event that access to Andersen AFB or other western Pacific locations is limited or denied.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are no facilities at this location that meet the requirements associated with maintenance and storage in support of divert or exercises. While military aircraft in an emergency situation were forced to use this airport as a divert location, there is a long delay in response as equipment and material are arranged for transport to it.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Without this facility, there is no adequate space for personnel or prepositioned support for the exercise missions. Not having required maintenance operations in place to support safe flight operations precludes use of the airport for the emerging and future exercise missions. Additionally, divert response from other bases will continue to be delayed without the response equipment and material prepositioned at the airport. With emerging and future exercises, there will be more flights in the region and a greater likelihood of a divert scenario.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, an economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was completed. Habitat mitigation costs are included due to the potential impact on endangered species. Unexploded ordinance (UXO) costs are included due to the potential need for recovery, handling, and removal of any unexploded ordinance. Based on analysis of the current real estate market on CNMI, the annual lease cost for 0.8 acres (the amount of land needed for the maintenance facility project) is estimated to be \$5,000. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. While funding for land lease costs will be sought from other appropriations, the Air Force is requesting the authority to acquire the land through lease. Pursuant to Section 806(b) of the 1976 Covenant to Establish a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in Political Union with the United States of America, Congressional authorization is required to acquire any interest in real property in the Marianas Islands (and fee purchase is prohibited). The land to be leased is the minimum area necessary to accomplish the purpose of this project. Base Civil Engineer: (671) 366-7101. MAINTENANCE FACILITY: 558 SM = 6,000 SF

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT

AIR FORCE

SAIPAN

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2014 MILITARY (comm	CONSTRU			DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LO	—	<u> </u>	4. PROJ	JECT TI	ILE ANCE FACILITY	
COMMONWEALTH	OF THE N	ORTHERN MARIANA	ISLANDS				
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY COI	DE 7. PI	ROJECT N	UMBER	8. PROJECT CO	DST (\$000)
27576		218-123		/PAF1401	.00	2,	800
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	:					
a. Estimate	-				_		
<ul><li>(1) Project</li><li>(2) Basis</li></ul>		accomplished by	design-	build p	rocedur	es	
(a) St	andard o	r Definitive Des gn Was Most Rece	-	ed -			NO
(3) All O	ther Desi	gn Costs					112
(4) Const:	ruction C	ontract Award					14 FEB
(5) Const:	ruction S	tart					14 MAR
(6) Const:	ruction C	completion					15 JUN
(7) Energ	y Study/I	ife-Cycle analy:	sis was/	will be	perform	med	YES
b. Equipmen	t associa	ated with this p	roject p	rovided	from o	ther appropri	ations:
					FICO	I VEAD	
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCL		ROCURING	APPRC	APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)
LAND LEAS	E (ANNUA	L ESTIMATE)	340	0	2	014	5

1. COMPONENT			FY 201	4 MILITA	ARY	CONSTR	UCTION		OGRAM		2. DATE		
AIR FORCE			•.			•••••							
INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION			COMM	ΑΝΓ	).				5. AREA (	CONST		
THULE AIR BASE						E SPACE				COST IND			
GREENLAND				COMM						2.77			
6. Personnel	DEDN	IANENT				ENTS			SUDE	PORTED			
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		ENL	CIV		OFF	1	CIV	TOTAL	
AS OF 30 SEP 12		<u>EINL</u> 114			0			0		ENL			
END FY 2017	21 21	114		2	0			0 0				636	
7. INVENTORY DATA		114	2	-	0		·	0	Ū	0	400	000	
Total Acreage:	233,034												
Inventory Total as of :												4,149,542	
Authorization Not Yet in	• •	,										91,500	
Authorization Requeste						(FY 2014)						43,904	
Planned in Next Four Y		•				(1 2014)						+3, <del>3</del> 04 0	
Remaining Deficiency:	ears Progra	ann.										81,700	
Grand Total:												4,366,646	
												4,300,040	
8. PROJECTS REQUE	ESTEDINT	HIS PROG	RAIVI:						(FY 2014)	COST	DESIGN	OTATUO	
CATEGORY							800					STATUS	
	PROJECT Thule Cons						SCO		<u>CM</u>	<u>\$,000</u>	START	<u>CMPL</u>	
442-758	Thuie Cons	olidation 2					4,98 Total	09	SM	<u>43,904</u> 43,904	Mar 12	Sep 13	
9a. Future Projects: T	ypical Plan	ned Next Fo	our Years:										
	None												
	None												
9b. Real Property Main	ntenance Ba	acklog This	Installatio	n (\$M)								12	
10. Mission or Major F	unctions: T	he base ho	osts a Spa	ce Warni	ng S	Squadron t	hat is de	esiar	ned to detec	t and track	Intercontine	ntal Ballistic	
Missiles (ICBMs) laund					-	•		-					
operates a 10,000 foot	•						•		•				
the world.		,				3 F	,,					F	
11. Outstanding pollut	ion and Safe	etv (OSHA)	Deficienc	ies:									
a. Air pollution										0			
										0			
b. Water Pollution										0			
c. Occupational Sa	afety and He	ealth								0			
d. Other Environm	ental									0			

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MIL	TARY CONSTRU	JCTION	PROJECT DAT	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	nerate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION, THULE AIR BASE THULE AIR BASE S GREENLAND					OJECT TITLE CONSOLIDAT	ION PHASE 2	
5. PROGRAM ELEME	INT 6	. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
31476		442-758	3339/	WWCX10	3028	4	3,904
51170		9.	COST ESTIM		5020		
						UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						28,235
VEHICLE MAINT A	ND /PAN	VEMENTS & GROUND	FAC	SM	4,959	5,582	( 27,681
SUSTAINABILITY	AND ENI	ERGY MEASURES		LS		ĺ	( 554
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES						11,037
UTILITIES				LS			( 1,526)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	TS			LS			(800)
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 350)
DEMOLITION				SM	22,384	299	( 6,693)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 886)
ARCTIC FOUNDATI	ON			LS			( 400)
STORM DRAINAGE				LS			( 382)
SUBTOTAL							39,272
CONTINGENCY	(5.0	)%)					1,964
IOTAL CONTRACT C	OST						41,236
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTION	I AND OVERHEAD	(6.5%)				2,680
TOTAL REQUEST							43,916
TOTAL REQUEST (R	OUNDED)						43,904
and Subarctic facility. The base design sta and all other s 630, 836, and 1	design facil: indards upport .090 to force p	Proposed Construct: ity should be co s. Includes all ting facilities otaling 22,384 s protection requi 0 Tons	ion methods ompatible w l utilities . Project SM. This p	to a ith a , sit demol rojec	ccommodate pplicable e improvem ishes five t will com	the mission DoD, Air For ents, commun buildings: ply with Dol	n of the rce, and nications, 563, 580, D
11. Requirement	-		e: 0 SM	Subet	andard: 22	384 SM	
PROJECT: Const Facility. (Cur REQUIREMENT: C directed effici one of two cons	cruct a crent l consol: encies colidat	a Consolidated '	Vehicle Mai functions LAW the Thu MILCON pro	ntena are re le Bas jects	nce and Pa equired to se Consoli required	vements & G support the dation Plan to reduce th	e SECDEF- , this is he overall
Eive existing b CURRENT SITUATI	ouildin	Project consol: ngs. At present, the ered all over th	Vehicle Ma	inten	ance and P	avements & (	Grounds

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)								
				(computer ger						
3. INSTALLATION	, SITH	AND LOCA	LION		4. PROJECT TITLE					
THULE AIR BASE					THULE CONSOLIDATION PHASE 2					
THULE AIR BASE	SITE :	<b>‡</b> 1								
GREENLAND										
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGO	RY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)			

3339/WWCX103028

distribution system and infrastructure. Most buildings lack fire suppression and contain asbestos. Associated operations, maintenance, and energy costs for these facilities and infrastructure are very high. Fuel and Base Operations Support (BOS) contract costs are on track to exceed \$400 million/year by 2020. Existing buildings are located outside of the future Base Consolidation "Thule Triangle" Area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Thule Air Base, an installation uniquely suited geographically to support AFSPC missions of satellite command and control and the Ballistic Missile Early Warning System, will continue to consume Air Force funding and resources at an increasing rate to pay for fuel and BOS while maintaining status quo of inadequate, inefficient facilities and failing to comply with governing rules and regulations for fire safety, energy conservation and standards for working environment. The costs of operation, maintenance and repairs will continue to increase just to keep the 1950s-vintage inefficient facilities. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements. "An economic analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, revitalization, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) was done. Based on the present value and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project IAW Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and executive orders. 21 SW Base Civil Engineer: (719) 556-7631. Consolidated Vehicle Maintenance and Pavements & Grounds facility of 4,959 SM = 53,359 SF.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: DANISH KRONER 5.4074

442-758

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

31476

43,904

. INSTALLATION	AND LOCAT	ION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	
HULE AIR BASE	" -			THULE CONSO	LIDATION PHAS	E 2
HULE AIR BASE : REENLAND	SITE # 1					
. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6.	CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
31476		442-758	3339/	WWCX103028	43	,904
2. SUPPLEMENTA	L DATA:					
a. Estimated	Design Dat	a:				
(1) Status:						
(a) Date	Design St	arted			20	-MAR-12
(b) Para	metric Cos	st Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Perc	ent Comple	ete as of 01 JAM	<b>1</b> 2013			15%
* (d) Date	35% Desig	gned			18	3-JAN-13
(e) Date	Design Co	omplete			30	)-SEP-13
(f) Ener	gy Study/I	Life-Cycle analy	ysis was	/will be per	formed	YES
(2) Basis:						
. ,	dard or De	efinitive Design	1 <b>-</b>			NO
		Nas Most Recent		-		
(3) Total C	ost (c) =	(a) + (b) or (d	1) + (۵)	•		(\$000)
		Plans and Speci				2,640
	Other Desi	-	LICACIC	115		1,320
(c) Tota		Ign Cobcb				3,960
(d) Cont						3,300
(e) In-h						660
(4) Constru	ction Cont	ract Award				14 FEB
(5) Constru	ction Star	t				14 MAR
(6) Constru	ction Com <u>r</u>	oletion				16 SEP
* Indicates	completio	on of Project De	finitic	on with Param	netric Cost Es	stimate
		e to traditional				
cost and	executabil	lity.		_	_	
b. Equipment	associated	l with this proj	iect pro	vided from a	other appropri	ations:
N/A		• • •				

1. COMPONENT		FY 2	014 M	ILITAR		RUCTIO	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE										
INSTALLATION AND	LOCATI	ON		COMM	AND:			5. AREA C	CONST	
JOINT REGION MAP	RIANAS, A	ANDERSE	N	PACIF	IC AIR FO	RCES		COST IND	EX	
GUAM								2.12		
6. Personnel	PE	RMANENT	-	S	TUDENTS		SU	PPORTED		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 12	158	1,595	376		0	0		0	0	2,129
END FY 2017	158	1,643				0	0		0	2,184
7. INVENTORY DAT		,		_	_	-	_		_	, -
a. Total Acreage:	20,270									
b. Inventory Total as	,	ep 12)								6,145,097
c. Authorization Not	•	• •								184,719
d. Authorization Requ		•	im:		(FY 2014)					176,230
e. Planned in Next Fo					(1 1 2011)					288,650
f. Remaining Deficier		r rogram.								775,459
g. Grand Total:	loy.								-	7,570,155
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM			(FY 201	4)		, ,
CATEGORY	OLOILD						(1 1 201	COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITI F				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
141-453		ed Horse A	irfield	Ons Fa	cility	1,205		<u>\$,000</u> 8,500	Design-	
145-453		el System				776		20,000	-	Sep-13
179-511		Fire Res			-	631		4,600		
211-111		K Hgr/AML				7,005		132,600	Jun-12	Sep-13
212-213		ctical Miss				882		10,530		Sep-13
212 213				inty		002	Total	176,230		00p 10
9a. FUTURE PROJE		nical Plann		vt Four	Voare		Total	170,200		
121-115		ick Offload			rears.			5,000		
131-111					ire Facility			3,750		
141-181		P Aircraft S						139,700		
211-159					p Rpr Faci	lity		35,700		
211-179		el System				iity		64,000		
442-758		uipment S		-	1, 1101 2			19,000		
610-127					nmand & C	Control		8,500		
832-266		uth Ramp						-		
032-200	PAR- 30	ип капр	Unities	Fliase	Z		Total	13,000 288,650		
9b. Real Propery Ma	intonono	Pooklog	Thio In	atallatia	م ( <b>ش</b> ۱۸)		TUlai	200,000		129
		<u> </u>								
10. Mission or Major						-	• •	•	•	
employ, deploy, integ										
base in the Pacific.				•			-	•••		
Provides a Continger										
region to quickly oper							anitarian	assistance	missions.	Hosts
AMC air mobility squa	adron and	Navy heli	copter	sea cor	nbat squa	dron.				
11. 0. 1. 1		0-4-1-101	-	- <b>f</b> : - ' - '						
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA D	eficienci	es):					
a. Air pollution								0		
h Water Dellutio								0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety and	dHealth						0		
o. Cocupational								0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
DD Form 1390 24 Ju	1.00									

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MIL	TARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION JRM ANDERSEN ANDERSEN AF BA GUAM					ROJECT TITLI FUEL SYSTI	E EMS HARDENED	BUILDINGS
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		145-921	1366/2	JJY14	3760	:	20,000
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES			
						UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						13,681
HARDENED STRUC	TURES	FOR POL		SM	776	11,569	( 8,978)
FUEL DISTRIBUT	ION SY	STEM		EA	1	2,741,067	( 2,741 )
HYDRANT LOOP E	(PANS)	ON		LM	640	2,647	( 1,694)
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 268 )
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES						4,219
UTILITIES				LS			( 897)
SITE IMPROVEMEN	ITS			LS			( 956)
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 835)
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 245)
ARCHEOLOGICAL 1	IONITC	RING		LS			( 100)
EXPLOSIVE SAFE	TY COM	IPLIANCE		LS			( 597)
ENVIRONMENTAL I	REMEDI	ATION		LS			( 589)
SUBTOTAL							17,900
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)					895
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST						18,795
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)				1,165
TOTAL REQUEST							19,960
TOTAL REQUEST (F	OUNDE	D)					20,000
existing and n redundancy usi: compatible wit In addition, 1 effective. The prescribed in antiterrorism/	ew PC ng ec h app ocal faci appli force	Proposed Constru- D structures, exponential design a conomical design a clicable DoD, Air materials and con- lity must be able cable codes and con- protection require	pand hydrant and construct Force and h Instruction to to withsta design guide irements per	tion base cechn and w es. T	p system a methods. design sta iques shal ind loads his projec fied facil	nd addition The facilit Indards as a l be used w and seismic t will comp ities crite	al system sy should be upplicable. when cost e effects as bly with DoD
11. Requiremen		_			ndard: 0 S		
	fic A	Airpower Resiliend	cy (PAR) Fue	el Sy	stems Hard	lened Buildi	ngs (New
Mission)				. <b>т</b> . – .			t Taint
Region Mariana and pose a ris regional secur	s - A k to ity,	ilient fuel syste ndersen Air Force the system. Due t an Air Force/Navy	e Base. Trop to Guam's re 7 Joint Ward	oical emote light	cyclones location er group d	regularly i and its cri letermined t	mpact Guam ticality to hat
		to protect the m			-		-

resiliency of the fuel systems. In addition, additional infrastructure capabilities are required to ensure critical operations continue.

CURRENT SITUATION: The manifold has limited side protection with a concrete

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION	SITE	E AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE				
JRM ANDERSEN			PAR - FUEL SYSTEMS HARDENED BUILDINGS				
ANDERSEN AF BA	SE SI	FE # 1					
GUAM							
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER		8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
27576		145-921	1366/AJJY143760		20,000		
masonry unit r	etain	ing wall and soil	bermed ag	ainst its side:	s. The pump ho	ouse has	
floors, ceiling	ys an	d walls of rudime	entary conc	rete construct:	ion. The curre	ent	
nydrant loop s	ystem	s are not connect	ed in adeq	uate locations	•		
IMPACT IF NOT	PROVI	DED: Without har	rdened stru	ctures for the	se components	and	
nydrant connec	tion,	the fuel systems	s are more	vulnerable to	temporary loss	s and	
potential miss	ion f	ailure. This proj	ject will a	llow for the re	equired prote	ction and	
redunantcy in	the s	ystem in case of	natural or	man made cont:	ingency operat	tions.	
ADDITIONAL: T							

Manual 32-1084, Facility Requirements and UFC 3-460-01 Design: Petroleum Facilities as applicable. As constructing hardened structures and fuel hydrant system expansion to protect the existing POL systems is the only feasible way to meet this requirement, an economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been completed. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (671) 366-7101. Hardened Structures for POL Systems: 706 SM = 7,600 SF, Hydrant loop expansion: 640 LM = 2,100 LF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation utility/infrastructure project, and does not qualify for joint use at this location. However, all tenants on this installation are benefited by this project.

-			rated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND I JRM ANDERSEN ANDERSEN AF BASE SI GUAM			4. PROJECT PAR - FUEL BUILDINGS	TITLE SYSTEMS HARD	ENED		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
27576	145-921 1366/AJJY143760			2	0,000		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	A:						
a. Estimated Design	n Data:						
(1) Status:							
(a) Date Desig	gn Started			2	24-JUL-12		
(b) Parametri		YES					
	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2013			15%		
* (d) Date 35% 1	-				9-MAR-13		
(e) Date Desig			/	-	0-SEP-13		
(f) Energy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was	/will be per	rformed	YES		
(2) Basis:							
• • • • • • •	or Definitive Design	1 -			NO		
	ign Was Most Recent]		-				
(2) Matal Gast (	-) (a) · (b) and (d)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			(\$000)		
	c) = (a) + (b)  or  (d)				(\$000) 1,200		
(b) All Other	n of Plans and Speci	liicatio	nis		1,200 600		
(c) Total	Design Coscs				1,800		
(d) Contract					1,500		
(e) In-house					300		
(4) Construction	Contract Award				14 FEB		
(5) Construction		14 MAR					
(6) Construction		16 JUN					
-	letion of Project De rable to traditional tability.						
b. Equipment assoc N/A	iated with this pro	ject pro	vided from o	other appropr	riations:		

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MIL:	ITARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DAT	ГА	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	erate	d)			
3. INSTALLATION	, SITI	E AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE				
JRM ANDERSEN				PAR -	TANKER GP	MAINT HANGAR/	AMU/SQD OPS	
ANDERSEN AF BAS	E SITI	E # 1						
5. PROGRAM ELEM								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENI	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PI	SOD EC.I	NUMBER	8. PROJECT (	2051 (\$000)	
27576		211-111	1366/2	JJY13	3027	13	32,600	
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES				
		ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST		
		TIEW		0/11	QUANIIII		(\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILIT	IES						107,305	
MAINTENANCE HA	NGER			SM	3,902	24,526	( 95,700 )	
TANKER SQUADRO	N OPEF	RATIONS		SM	1,960	3,179	( 6,231 )	
AIRCRAFT MAINT	ENANCE	UNIT		SM	1,143	2,797	( 3,197 )	
SUSTAINABLITY	AND EN	IERGY MEASURES		LS			( 2,177 )	
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES						11,641	
UTILITIES			LS			( 1,743)		
SITE IMPROVEME	NTS			LS			( 4,253)	
PAVEMENTS				LS		ĺ	( 1,679)	
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			( 174)	
ENV REMEDIATIO	N/EXPI	OSIVE SAFETY COMPL	IANCE	LS			( 1,795)	
ARCHEOLOGICAL	MONITO	DRING		LS			( 100)	
BUILDING DEMOL	ITION			SM	4,593	413	( 1,897)	
SUBTOTAL							118,946	
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)					5,947	
TOTAL CONTRACT (	COST					-	124,893	
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)				7,743	
TOTAL REQUEST						-	132,637	
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	D)					132,600	
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	N-ADD)				( 1,700.0	
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Constru	uction: The	han	gar is to	be construct	ted of	
		e consisting of a			-			
		ly 75 feet. The s	-					
		ches thick and r the shelter will			-			
-		y will be able to	-					
		- ls for doors, wind			-		-	
		earthquake crite	-	-				
		cations, fire su			-			
		cility will be co Air Force and ins	-			-		

Defense (DoD),U.S. Air Force and installation design standards. Local materials and construction techniques shall be used for this construction where appropriate and cost-effective. This project will comply with DoD Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection (AT/FP) requirements per applicable Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 70 Tons

11. Requirement: 16723 SM Adequate: 9718 SM Substandard: 4593 SM PROJECT: Pacific Airpower Resiliency (PAR) Tanker Maintenance Hangar/AMU/SQD OPS (New Mission)

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MIL	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION,	3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
JRM ANDERSEN PAR - TANKER GP MAINT HANGAR/AMU/SQD OPS										
ANDERSEN AF BASI	E SITE # 1									
GUAM										
5. PROGRAM ELEMI	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)							
27576	211-111	1366/AJJY133027	132,600							

**REQUIREMENT:** A hardened facility adequately sized and configured is required to sustain critical missions such as Continuous Bomber Presence (CBP), Tanker Task Force (TTF), and Theater Security Packages (TSP). The design aircraft for this Hangar is the C-17 and B-52, the hangar will also support the KC-46A. The hangar bay will support aircraft maintenance, repair, and regularly scheduled inspections that require complete protection from the elements, to include landing gear retraction tests, aircraft weighing, airframe repairs, and TCTOs. The AMU supports flightline maintenance activities, including bench stock, test equipment, special tools, Dash 21 equipment, alternate mission equipment, vehicles, mobility equipment, and dedicated supply support production efforts. The hardened Squadron Operations area is required to protect command and administration functions including flight planning, air crew briefing and debriefing, training, and the numerous activities necessary to keep the squadron mission capable during a contingency. Space must also be provided for the storage, care and issue of flight crew life support system equipment.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility does not provide protection from the currently identified threat. Additionally, the existing maintenance facilities have insufficient tanker maintenance capacity; they are required to support low observable repair and other critical repairs to higher priority assets. None of the facilities capable of supporting tanker maintenance can support this requirement. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility, JRM-Andersen is unable to provide timely maintenance to aircraft significantly reducing readiness and degrading operational capability to support the Continuous Bomber Presence (CBP), Tanker Task Force (TTF), and Theater Security Packages (TSP). The lack of this facility also leaves aircrews without required protection in the event of a contingency. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/ scope specified in Air Force Manual 32-1084, Facility Requirements and PACAF Logistics Facilities Planning Guide. A preliminary analysis has been performed and determined that the only viable option is to construct a new Fuel Systems Maintenance Hangar. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been completed. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost-effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (671) 366-7101. Tanker Maintenance Hangar Bay: 3,902 SM = 42,005 SF; Aircraft Maintenance Unit: 1,143 SM = 12,304 SF;

Squadron Operations: 1,960 SM = 21,098 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE					
RM ANDERSEN NDERSEN AF BASE SITE UAM	# 1		PAR - TANKE OPS	R GP MAINT HA	ANGAR/AMU/S				
. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY COD	E 7. PROJ	ECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	COST (\$000)				
27576	211-111	211-111 1366/AJJY133027 132,600							
2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	:								
a. Estimated Design	Data:								
(1) Status:									
(a) Date Desig			<b>.</b> .	0	5-JUN-12				
	Cost Estimates u		velop costs		YES				
* (d) Date 35% I	mplete as of 01 d	JAN 2013		2	15% 8-MAR-13				
(e) Date Desig	-				0-MAR-13 0-SEP-13				
	dy/Life-Cycle and	alvsis was	/will be per		YES				
(_,,			,						
(2) Basis:									
(a) Standard o		NO							
(b) Where Desi	gn Was Most Recer	ntly Used	-						
(3) Total Cost (c	) = (a) + (b) or	(d) + (e)	:		(\$000)				
(a) Production	of Plans and Spe	ecification	ns		8,016				
(b) All Other		4,008							
(c) Total					12,024				
(d) Contract					10,020				
(e) In-house					2,004				
(4) Construction	Contract Award				14 FEB				
(5) Construction	Start				14 MAR				
(6) Construction	Completion				17 JUN				
<ul> <li>* Indicates compl which is compar cost and execut</li> <li>b. Equipment associ</li> </ul>	able to tradition ability.	nal 35% de:	sign to ensu	re valid sco	pe,				
EQUIPMENT NOMENC	LATURE A	PROCURING APPROPRIATI	APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)				
FURNISHINGS		3400	2	016	650				
COMM EQUIPMENT		3080	2	016	300				
OTHER EQUIPMENT	(NON-COMM)	3400	2	2016	750				

1 COMPONENT		EV 2014 MTT					2. DATE		
1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)								
			(computer ger			_			
3. INSTALLATION JRM ANDERSEN ANDERSEN AF BA GUAM	-			-		S (ISSILE MAINT)	ENANCE		
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/P	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
27576		212-213	1366/2	AJJY15	3011	1	.0,530		
		9.	COST ESTIM	ATES					
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITY	r						5,664		
TACTICAL MISSI	LE MAI	NTENANCE FACILITY		SM	882	6,296	(5,553)		
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			( 111 )		
SUPPORTING FACII	ITIES						3,779		
UTILITIES				LS			( 803)		
SITE IMPROVEMEN	NTS			LS			( 1,037)		
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 561)		
LIGHTNING PROT	ECTION	I	LS			( 391)			
ENV REMEDIATION	N/EXPL	OSIVE SAFETY COMPL:	LS			( 911)			
ARCHEOLOGICAL 1	MONITC	DRING	LS			(75)			
SUBTOTAL							9,443		
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)				-	472		
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST						9,915		
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)			-	615		
TOTAL REQUEST		_ \					10,530		
TOTAL REQUEST (F							10,530		
~		APPROPRIATIONS (NON	•				( 150.0 )		
Maintenance Fa the mission of DoD, Air Force construction t	cilit the , and echni	Proposed Constru- y using econmical facility. The fa base design star ques shall be use iterrorism/force	l design an acility sho ndards. In ed where co	d cons uld bo addi st ef:	struction e compatib tion, loca fective.	methods to le with app l materials This projec	accommodate licable and t will		
Air Conditioni:	ng:	52 Tons							
11. Requiremen	t: 88	2 SM Adequate:	: 0 SM S	ubsta	ndard: 0 S	М			
PROJECT: Paci (TMMF) (New M		Airpower Resiliend on)	cy (PAR) Ta	ctica	l Missile	Maintenance	Facility		
maintenance op repair of prec Continuous Bom maintenance fa space, tool an supporting fun CURRENT SITUAT	erati ision ber P cilit d tes ction ION:	equately sized an ons, including as guided munitions resence (CBP) and y consists of dri t equipment room, s, to include ele Existing facilit ance and repair n	ssembly, di s. The TMM d Theater S ive-through , a training ectrical, m ties at And	sasser F fac: ecuri work g read echan: ersen	mbly, insp ility is r ty Package bays, tes dy room, l ical, and AFB canno	ection, tes equired to s (TSP). T t cell room atrines, an janitor's c ot meet nor	ting, and support a he , office d loset. support the		
and fighter ai	rcraf	t.							

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MIL	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITL	4. PROJECT TITLE						
JRM ANDERSEN		PAR - TACTICAL	PAR - TACTICAL MISSILE MAINTENANCE						
ANDERSEN AF BA	SE SITE # 1	FACILITY							
GUAM	GUAM								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)						

1366/AJJY153011

212-213

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility, Andersen AFB will be unable to provide TMMF capabilities to support a Continuous Bomber Presence (CBP) and Theater Security Packages (TSP). Lack of this facility would significantly impact readiness and proficiency, and could result in significant degradation of operational capability, and may increase the potential for a serious mishap. ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements and PACAF Logistics Facilities Planning Guide. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been completed. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (671) 366-7101. Tactical Missile Maintenance Facility: 882 SM = 9,497 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

27576

10,530

SSILE MAINTENANCE
SSILE MAINTENANCE
OJECT COST (\$000)
10,530
01-MAY-12
YES
15%
13-FEB-13
30-SEP-13 d YES
1 165
NO
(\$000)
632
316
948
790
158
14 FEB
14 MAR
15 DEC
Cost Estimate lid scope,
appropriations:
R ED COST ED (\$000)
106
44
I

1. COMPONENT	NENT FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer gen	erate	d)			
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE				
JRM ANDERSEN				PRTC	- RED HORSE	E AIRFIELD OF	ERATIONS	
	AIR FO	RCE BASE SITE # 1		FACIL	ITY			
GUAM		Γ				1		
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)	
27576		141-453	3085,	SAKW1	13003		8,500	
		9. C	OST ESTIMA	TES				
		ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST		
				0/11	QUANIIII		(\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITY	z						5,518	
AIRFIELD OPERA	TIONS	FACILITY		SM	1,205	4,506	( 5,430 )	
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(88)	
SUPPORTING FACI	LITIES			İ			1,797	
UTILITIES				LS			(727)	
SITE PREPARATIO	ON			LS			(476)	
ARCHEOLOGICAL/	EXPLOS	IVE SAFETY COMPLIANC	Έ	LS			( 411 )	
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 183)	
SUBTOTAL							7,315	
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					366	
TOTAL CONTRACT							7,681	
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)				476	
		COST (4.0% OF S					293	
TOTAL REQUEST							8,450	
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					8,500)	
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 286	
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	tion: Co	nstru	ct a RED H	HORSE airfi	eld	
operations fac	ility	using economical	design an	d con	struction	methods to	accommodate	
		facility. The fac	-		-			
		l base design stand ques shall be used						
		vind loads and seis					-	
		This project will			-			
protection req	uirem	ents per unified f	facilities	crit	eria.			
Air Conditioni	ng:	49 Tons						
11. Requirement	it: 12	05 SM Adequate:	: 0 SM	Subst	andard: 0	SM		
PROJECT: RED	HORSE	Airfield Operatio	ons Facili	ty.	(New Missi	ion)		
REQUIREMENT:	Proje	ct is required to	support b	eddow	n of the 5	554 RED HOR	SE Squadron	
	-	al Training Center					This is a	
		n to a location wh E Squadron is to p					The mission	
		onse force to sup						
-	-	RED HORSE is a se		-		-		
own power and	shelt	ers, and can deplo	by to a ba	re-ba	se locatio	on and set w	up within 24	
		ty directly support			by provid	ling space :	for	
operational, m	ainte	nance and training	-					
CURRENT SITUAT		There are no faci						
mission requirement. The 554 RED HORSE currently has all 158 personnel in place on								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE

27576

FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)

3085/SAKW113003

8,500

 3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION
 4. PROJECT TITLE

 JRM - ANDERSEN
 PRTC - RED HORSE AIRFIELD OPERATIONS

 NORTHWEST GUAM AIR FORCE BASE SITE # 1
 FACILITY

 GUAM
 6. CATEGORY CODE
 7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER
 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

Guam. Personnel currently use sea/land containers as shop space in Northwest Field.

141-453

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: This project is critical to maintaining on-time phasing plans for units relocating to Northwest Field of Andersen AFB. Without this facility, the RED HORSE mission to rapidly establish and sustain engineering support to the Air Force and other forces operating within the Pacific theater will be severely limited. This facility will provide the only available on-site airfield operations (pavement and grounds) work center required to support the 554 RED HORSE squadron being beddown at Northwest Field. The Squadron will not be able to prepare equipment/pax UTCs to meet required 12 hour minimum enabler response time. Therefore, 13 AF, PACAF and PACOM will lose capability to employ RED HORSE horizontal construction assets. RED HORSE will lack primary training/ops center for pavement and equipment specialties in addition to RED HORSE specific special capabilities.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been completed. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: (671) 366-7101. RED HORSE Airfield Operations Facility: 1,205 SM = 12,972 SF.

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION</u>: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILITARY	CONSTRUCT	ION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		(compu	uter gener	ated)				
3. INSTALLATIC	N AND L	OCATION	4.	PROJECT TI	TLE			
JRM - ANDERSEN NORTHWEST GUAM GUAM	-	RCE BASE SITE # 1		RTC - RED HO CILITY	RSE AIRFIELD	OPERATIONS		
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT	6. CATEGORY COD	E 7. PROJ	ECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	CT COST (\$000)		
27576		141-453	3085/	SAKW113003	٤	3,500		
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:						
a. Estimated	l Design	Data:						
(1) Projec	t to be	accomplished by	design-bui	ld procedur	es			
	andard d	or Definitive Desi Ign Was Most Recer	-	-		NO		
(3) All Ot	her Des	ign Costs				340		
(4) Constr	uction	Contract Award				14 FEB		
(5) Constr	uction	Start				14 MAR		
(6) Constr		15 DEC						
(7) Energy	Study/	Life-Cycle analys	is was/wil	ll be perfor	med	YES		
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCI		OCURING A		PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)		
FURNISHIN	GS		3080	2	2015	150		
EQUIPMENT			3080	2	2015	86		
COMM EQUI	PMENT		3400	2	2015	50		

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(c	omputer ger	erate	d)		
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PF	ROJECT TITLE	3	
JRM ANDERSEN NORTHWEST GUAM GUAM	AIR FC	DRCE BASE SITE # 1		_	SILVER FLAG EMENT	FIRE RESCUE	& EMERGENCY
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27576		179-511	3085,	/SAKW1	.33005		4,600
		9. C	OST ESTIM	TES	1		
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY	r						2,880
FIRE RESCUE & 1	EMERGE	NCY MANAGEMENT TRAIN	I. FAC	SM	631	4,476	(2,824)
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(56)
SUPPORTING FACI	LITIES						1,083
UTILITIES				LS			( 172 )
PAVEMENTS				LS			( 110 )
SITE IMPROVEMEN	NTS			LS			( 112 )
COMMUNICATIONS	-			LS			(275)
ENV REMEDIATIO	N/EXPL	OSIVE SAFETY COMPLIA	NCE	LS			(339)
ARCHEOLOGICAL	MONITO	RING		LS			(75)
SUBTOTAL							3,963
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)						198
TOTAL CONTRACT (							4,162
		ON AND OVERHEAD	(6.2%)				258
		COST (4.0% OF S					159
TOTAL REQUEST							4,578
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					4,600)
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER .	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 123
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	ction: Co	nstru	ct a Silve	er Flag fire	e rescue and
methods to acc compatible wit local material The facility m in applicable antiterrorism/	commod th app s and nust b codes force	at training facilitate the mission of licable DoD, Air H construction tech a able to withstar and design guides protection requir	f the faci Force, and nniques sh nd wind lo s. This p	lity. base all b ads a rojec	The fact design st e used whe and seismic t will cor	ility should candards. I ere cost eff c effects as mply with Do	d be In addition, Eective. S prescribed DD
Air Conditioni	-	8 Tons	0 GM 3		ndond 0	736	
11. Requiremen		-			indard: 0 S		
REQUIREMENT: Kadena Air Bas (PRTC), which other services expeditionary AFB, Guam [uni Squadron Engin	This se, Ja suppo and comba ts to neers	ue and emergency r facility is requir pan to the Pacific orts training as we nations in the Pac t support units ac relocate: Rapid H (554 RHS), Combat	red to mov c Air Forc ell as obj cific. Th cross the Engineer D Communica	e the es (P ectiv e PRT Pacif eploy tion	A Silver Fi PACAF) Regi res regardi C beddown fic to Nort rable Heavy (644 CBCS)	lag (SF) mis ional Trains ing partners consolidate thwest Field Operationa , Commando	ssion from ing Center ing with es 1, Andersen al Repair Warrior
		er Flag (554 RHS, th its parent squa					
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	is edition	s are	obsolete.		Page No.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE JRM ANDERSEN
NORTHWEST GUAM AIR FORCE BASE SITE # 1
GUAM
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT
 6. CATEGORY CODE
 7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER
 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

 27576
 179-511
 3085/SAKW133005
 4,600

operations. This particular facility supports training of fire rescue and emergency management specialists. Fire rescue functions include structural fire fighting and crash rescue and recovery. Emergency management specialists have a wide-breadth of functions: managing the base-wide chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or high yield explosive detection and response systems; managing response to enemy and natural disaster scenarios; and improving the readiness of all personnel on base.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Ten Silver Flag training classes are held each year, with 100 to 120 students per class. Each course runs for eight days and provides hands-on training to students from Air Force commands, other components, and partner nations across the Pacific as if deployed in a wartime scenario. The course trains civil engineers, personnel specialists, services experts, and contracting personnel to build, maintain, and operate a bare base at a forward-deployed location. The class culminates with a 12-hour exercise where the deployed team performs command and control, and emergency management execution. There are no facilities at the PRTC to support fire rescue and emergency management training. The PACAF Silver Flag mission is currently located at Kadena AB, Japan. Japanese law precludes entry of units from other nations, so training with units from other nations is currently limited to those from Japan. While Silver Flag is located in Japan, there are no facilities to enable proper training of U.S. civil engineering units with units from other partner nations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Silver Flag program will lack facilities for training fire rescue and emergency management in parallel with the rest of their unit at the PRTC location. If this project is not approved, Air Force will have fewer resources to enable partnering with other nations. Due to the complexities of structural firefighting, aircraft crash, and emergency management response, it is invaluable to develop relationships with host nations throughout the Pacific in a training environment. Basic skills for fire rescue, emergency management, and disaster response include coordination of responders from different organizations in addressing an incident, detecting and stopping spread of hazardous materials (nuclear, biological, chemical, and radioactive), and communication of information essential to the safety of military personnel and the public.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, an economic analysis was not performed. A waiver request has been completed. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer phone number: (671) 366-7101. Fire Rescue and Emergency Management Training Facility: 631 SM =6,794 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

IR FORCE		(сог	mputer ge	nerated)				
. INSTALLATIC RM ANDERSEN ORTHWEST GUAN UAM		OCATION RCE BASE SITE #	1	PRTC SI	ECT TIT LVER FL CY MANA(	AG FIRE RE	ESCUE (	ž
. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY C	ODE 7. P	ROJECT N	UMBER 8	3. PROJECI	COST	(\$000)
27576		179-511	308	5/SAKW13	3005		4,600	
L2. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:	I					
a. Estimate	d Design	Data:						
(1) Projec	t to be	accomplished by	y design-	build pr	ocedure	S		
	andard o	or Definitive De .gn Was Most Rec	-	ed -				NO
(3) All Ot	her Des	ign Costs						184
(4) Constr	ruction	Contract Award					14	FEB
(5) Constr	ruction	Start					14	MAR
(6) Constr	ruction	Completion					15	DEC
(7) Energy	/ Study/	Life-Cycle anal	ysis was/	will be	perform	ed		YES
EQUIPMENT			240	0	-	UESTED		(\$000)
COMMUNICA FURNISHIN		QUIPMENT	340 340	-		15 15		98 25

1. COMPONENT	RUCTION		GR	AM	2. DATE						
AIR FORCE					ILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE						
3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION		4. CON	4. COMMAND: 5. AREA CONST						
RAF CROUGHTON					O STATES		RCESI		COST IN		
UNITED KINGDOM	EUROF						1.07				
6. Personnel		RMANENT	-		UDENTS			SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	0		ENL	CIV	TOTAL
As of 30 Sep 12	23		174	0	0		0	0	4		721
END OF FY17	23		172	0	0		0	0	4		719
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: 692											
b. Inventory Total a	as of : (3	0 Sep 12)									\$583,734
c. Authorization No											\$0
d. Authorization Re			aram:		(FY 2014)						\$12,000
e. Planned in Nex					(,						\$272,597
f. Remaining Defic											\$13,550
g. Grand Total:											\$881,881
g. Crana rotan											<i>\\</i>
8. PROJECTS RE	QUESTE	D IN THIS	PROG	RAM:			(FY 2	201	4)		
CATEGORY	002012	2					(		COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	T TITI F				<u>SCOPE</u>			\$,000	START	CMPL
		te Complex				818	SM			Design Build	
111 002		to complex				010	OW		12,000	Doolgii Dalla	
9a. Future Projects	s <sup>.</sup> Typica	l Planned N	Jext Fo	our Year	·c·						
CATEGORY	o. Typiou		10/11/1		0.				COST		
	PROJEC								\$,000		
		ated SATC	OM/Te	ch Con	trol Fac				29,902		
		nsolidation							95,000		
		nsolidation							93,695		
		ocation, Pl							<u>54,000</u>		
		ocation, i i					Total		272,597		
								•	,		
9b. Real Property	Maintena	nce Backlo	a This	Installa	tion (\$M)						91
10. Mission or Maj						n sunnort	servic	201	force pro	tection and w	
communications to											
AFSPC, DoS & Mo		•		•		•	•	•			
Theatre to CONUS				420 00	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		Circuit	5 30	ipporting .		opean
	commun	ications.									
11 Outstanding no		d Cafaty //		Deficien							
11. Outstanding po		id Safety (	JSHA	Deficien	icies):				0		
a. Air pollution									0		
	e								0		
b. Water Pollut	tion								0		
									0		
c. Occupationa	a Safety a	and Health							0		
									~		
d. Other Env									0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE		( (	computer gen	erate	d)				
3. INSTALLATION, RAF CROUGHTON RAF CROUGHTON SI UNITED KINGDOM				4. PROJECT TITLE MAIN GATE COMPLEX					
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
27576		141-454	1638	/EXSW1	43011		12,000		
		9. (	COST ESTIM	TES					
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						4,249		
VISITOR CONTROL	CENT	ER		SM	200	3,182	( 636 )		
LARGE VEHICLE A	ND PO	V INSPECTION		SM	650	3,091	( 2,009 )		
GATE HOUSE/ID C	HECK			SM	30	3,182	( 95 )		
OVERWATCH				SM	6	3,182	( 19 )		
CANOPY				SM	500	1,078	( 539 )		
DENIAL BARRIER	SYSTE	MS		LS			( 860 )		
SUSTAINABILITY	AND E	NERGY MEASURES		LS			(90)		
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES						6,575		
UTILITIES				LS			( 970 )		
SITE IMPROVEMEN	rs		LS			( 550)			
PAVEMENTS, WALK	WAYS,	CURB AND GUTTER		LS			( 3,913)		
VEHICLE PARKING	AND	LIGHTING		LS			( 657)		
EXTERIOR COMMUN	ICATI	ons		LS			( 350)		
LANDSCAPING				LS			( 135)		
SUBTOTAL							10,824		
CONTINGENCY (	5.0%)						541		
TOTAL CONTRACT CO	OST						11,365		
SUPERVISION, INSI	PECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(2.5%)				284		
DESIGN/BUILD - DI	ESIGN	COST (4.0% OF :	SUBTOTAL)				433		
TOTAL REQUEST							12,082		
TOTAL REQUEST (RO	OUNDE	ס)					12,000 )		
EQUIPMENT FROM O	THER 2	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	-ADD)				( 333		
with large vehi construction me	.cle ethod	Proposed Constru- inspection stations s to accommodate	n (LVIS) u the missic	tiliz n of	ing econom the facili	nical design ty. The fa	and acility		
addition, local effective. Thi	. mat .s pr	e with applicable erials and constr oject will comply ified facilities	uction tec with DoD	hniqu antit	es shall h errorism/f	oe used when force protect	re cost ction		
or European Uni						-	-		
Air Conditionin	g:	5 Tons							
11. Requirement	: 13	86 SM Adequate	: 0 SM	Subst	andard: 9	SM			
PROJECT: Main	Gate	Complex. (Curren	t Mission)						
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> I Main Gate Compl	'his .ex a	project is requirend LVIS to suppor	ed to prov t current	ide a missi	on operati	lons. Const	tructs new		
installation en	itran	ce in accordance	with requi	red s	tandards p	providing t	ne required		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
RAF CROUGHTON RAF CROUGHTON S UNITED KINGDOM	MAIN GATE COMPLEX									
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	F     6. CATEGORY CODE     7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER     8. PROJECT COST (\$000)								
27576		141-454 1638/EXSW143011 12,000								

stand-off distances prescribed that are not achievable at existing entrances. Facilitate 422d Security Forces with ability to inspect and search all vehicles and personnel requiring entry and to validate and issue identification documents to visiting personnel.

CURRENT SITUATION: RAF Croughton's existing entry control point is not UFC 4-022-01, Entry Control Facilities compliant. Current entry control has no traffic speed reduction capability and no queuing capacity (less than 50 meters to public roadway), which creates dangerous traffic congestion on public roadway. Additionally, a lack of a large vehicle inspection station means that security forces personnel must close an entry lane to inspect vehicles at the guard shack. If a suspicious vehicle exists, the public road to freeway must be closed and all entry into the installation stops. Lack of a visitor processing center creates distractions for security personnel working entry control since all visitors must be processed at the guard shack.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Current entry control operations are neither efficient nor sufficient for sustaining required physical security control. Currently, there is only one entrance gate servicing the installation for large commercial trucks and contractor vehicles. If suspicious items are identified at the gate, the entire gate must be shut down. This effectively seals off access to the installation, and impacts the installation's ability to conduct its mission. In addition, traffic flows remain unsafe, and accidents will continue to plague our entrance. Current operations will continue to impact the off-base Host Nation population, thus impacting our relationship. This could also impact current and future operations by slowing approval of projects, which require local planning approval, to sustain, restore or modernize mission or mission support operations until off-base impacts are reduced. A new compliant gate will significantly reduce off-base impacts and allow for more complete physical security inspections, thus safeguarding critical mission operations.

ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO funding, and we do not anticipate this becoming eligible in the future. This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in DoD 2000.16, Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 4-022-01 and Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A waiver has been prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders.

Base Civil Engineer: COMM +44-1280-708169. Main Gate Complex: Visitor Control Center 200 SM = 2,152 SF; Large Vehicle and POV Inspection: 650 SM = 7,000 SF; Gate House/ID Check/Overwatch 36 SM = 390 SF; Canopy: 500 SM = 5,380 SF.

BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Webb

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: POUND .6177

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Page No.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA       2. DATE         (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION     4. PROJECT TITLE       RAF CROUGHTON     MAIN GATE COMPLEX       RAF CROUGHTON SITE # 1     UNITED KINGDOM									
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	COGRAM ELEMENT     6. CATEGORY CODE     7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER     8. PROJECT COST (\$000)       27576     141-454     1638/EXSW143011     12,000								

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation utility/infrastructure project, and does not qualify for joint use at this location. However, all tenants on this installation are benefited by this project

L. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT				DATA	2	. DATE		
AIR FORCE		(0	compute	er generat	ed)					
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND L	OCATION		4. P	ROJECT TI	TLE				
RAF CROUGHTON				MAIN	GATE COM	PLEX				
RAF CROUGHTON UNITED KINGDOM		T								
			0000					(\$000)		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY		7. PROJEC		8. PROJEC				
27576		141-454		1638/EXS	W143011		12,000	0		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:										
a. Estimated Design Data:										
(1) Projec	t to be	accomplished	by dea	sign-build	procedur	es				
(2) Basis:										
		or Definitive .gn Was Most F	-			RAFI	Milden	YES		
(3) All Ot		-		, obca				480		
		Contract Awar	a				14	FEB		
. ,			u							
(5) Constr								MAR		
(6) Constr	ruction	Completion					15	DEC		
(7) Energy	/ Study/	Life-Cycle and	alysis	was/will	be perfor	med		YES		
b. Equipmen	t associ	ated with thi			FISC	other appro	opriati			
b. Equipmen EQUIPMENT				ect provid URING APPR	FISC C APPRC		opriati	.ons: COST (\$000)		
	NOMENCI	ATURE			FISC C APPRC OR RE	AL YEAR PRIATED	opriati	COST		
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCI	ATURE R EQUIP		URING APPR	FISC C APPRC OR RE	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	opriati	COST (\$000)		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP		URING APPR 3400	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	priati	COST (\$000) 33		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR OPRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR OPRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR OPRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR OPRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR OPRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR OPRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR OPRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		
EQUIPMENT TELEPHONE INTRUSION	NOMENCI S, OTHER DETECT:	ATURE R EQUIP ION EQUIP		URING APPR 3400 3080	FISC C APPRC OR RE 2	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED 2015 2015	priati	COST (\$000) 33 50		

1. COMPONENT		FY 20	014 MI	LITARY	CONST	RUCTION	I PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		<u></u>								
3. INSTALLATION		CATION			MMAND:					
						S AIR FO	DRCES	COST IN		
UNITED KINGDOM 6. Personnel		RMANEN	-	IN EUF		0	61	JPPORTE	0.99	1
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF			OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
Strength As of 30 Sep 12	510	4078	760	OFF		CIV	6			
END OF FY17	506	4078	753				6			
7. INVENTORY D/			100				0	00	10	0,407
a. Total Acreage:	2,340	0)								
b. Inventory Total a		0 Sep 12)								\$3,143,568
c. Authorization No										\$7,400
d. Authorization Re		•	aram:		(FY2014	.)				\$22,047
e. Planned in Next					(	/				\$0
f. Remaining Defic		<b>J</b>								\$117,500
g. Grand Total:	,									\$3,290,515
g. Crana rotan										<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>
8. PROJECTS RE	QUESTE	D IN THIS	PROG	GRAM:	(F)	Y2014)				
CATEGORY					,	- /		COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	T TITLE				<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000		CMPL
	-	Angel Op	eratior	ns Facili <sup>.</sup>	ty	6450	SM		Design Build	
		<b>.</b>			•	Total		22,047	C C	
9b. Future Projects	s: Typica	I Planned I	Next F	our Yea	rs:					
CATEGORY								COST		
<u>CODE</u>	PROJEC	<u>T TITLE</u>				<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000		
		None								
9c. Real Property I			-		, ,					24
10. Mission Function										
and two F-15E squ	adrons (4	92nd and	494th	FS) toge	ether with	i a squadi	ron of Hł	H-60 helic	opters (56 RC	QS).
11. Outstanding po	ollution ar	nd Safety (	OSHA	Deficier	ncies):					
a. Air pollution								0		
b. Water Pollut	tion							0		
c. Occupationa	al Safety a	and Health						0		
								-		
d. Other Env								0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILIT	ARY CONSTRU	CTION	ТА	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE		( c	omputer gen	erate	d)					
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE	AND LOCATION		4. PF	OJECT TITLE	E	·			
RAF LAKENHEATH				GUARDIAN ANGEL OPERATIONS FACILITY						
RAF LAKENHEATH UNITED KINGDOM	SITE #	: 1								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/	PROJE	T NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)			
		CALIBORI CODE								
27224		141-753	2470/	MSET1	23005		22,047			
		9. 0	OST ESTIMA	TES						
	UNIT	COST (\$000)								
PRIMARY FACILIT							16,616			
SQUADRON OPERA				SM	1,950		(7,375)			
GENERAL PURPOS				SM	4,000		( 6,616 )			
AQUATIC TRAINI				SM	500	4,283	(2,142)			
SUSTAINABILITY		NENGI NEADUCED		611			(484)			
UTILITIES	6911109			LS			3,133 ( 750 )			
				LS			( 883 )			
PAVEMENTS SITE IMPROVEME	MTC			LS			( 750 )			
EXTERIOR COMMU		ON SUPPORT		LS			( 200 )			
LANDSCAPING/PA				LS			( 150 )			
TEMPORARY FACI				LS			( 400 )			
SUBTOTAL						-	19,749			
CONTINGENCY	(5.0%)	)					987			
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST					-	20,737			
SUPERVISION, IN	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(2.5%)				518			
DESIGN/BUILD - 1	DESIGN	COST (4.0% OF S	SUBTOTAL)				790			
TOTAL REQUEST							22,045			
TOTAL REQUEST (1	ROUNDE	D)					22,047 )			
EQUIPMENT FROM (	OTHER .	APPROPRIATIONS (NON-	ADD)				( 1,310			
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Construc	ction: Co	nstru	ct Guardia	an Angel ope	rations,			
	_	tic training faci			-	-	and			
		s to accommodate i				-	cility			
-		e with applicable erials and constru	•		-	-				
		oject will comply		_						
requirements p	per un	ified facilities of	criteria.							
Air Conditioni	ng:	0 Tons								
11. Requiremen	nt: 64	50 M2 Adequate	: 0 M2	Subst	andard: 0	М2				
		Guardian Angel So	quadron Op	erati	ons / Ware	ehouse / Aqu	atic			
Training Facil	_									
		ruct adequately s:				-				
	acilities to provide Operations, Administration, Aquatic Training, Warehouse and equipment storage areas for the assigned Personnel Recovery personnel at RAF									
	-	Kingdom. The Air I	-							
	ersonnel and equipment to support three UTCs and one support UTC starting in FY14.									
Temporary faci	litie	s are required as	an interi	m to	this MILCO	ON project.	Assets			
DD FORM 1391,	DEC 9	9 Previou	is editions	s are	obsolete.		Page No.			

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

enough o	or	availak	ole	that	can	be	moder	nized	or	renovated	to	acc	ept	the	Gua	ırd	ian	L
Angel mi	iss	ion as	par	t of	the	per	rsonne	l rec	over	ry effort.	:	The	curi	rent	GA '	s	are	. (
located	<b>747</b> i	+h +ho	56	POG 1	hourd	ina	748 m	ainto	nanc	a nergonne	1	The	ir d		-n+	CO	14	TAT

141-753

will support both EUCOM and AFRICOM AORs requirements.

Angel mission personnel recovery effort. The current GA's are colocated with the 56 RQS housing 748 maintenance personnel. Their current Cold War era buildings were constructed in 1953 and 1983 for a different function and were temporarily occupied by the 56 RQS on their arrival in 2006. Currently 25% of their critical mission assets are stored in an inadequate, unheated Protective Aircraft Shelter and the remaining 75% remain stored outside exposed to the elements, shortening their life span. The buildings and storage facilities are undersized for their current occupancy making an increase of 38 GA personnel and equipment to 3 Personnel Recovery Teams and one support UTC starting in FY14 inadequate.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are currently no facilities on the installation large

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate facilities will not exist to perform essential Guardian Angels operations, thus forcing operation from temporary facilities. The specialist equipment and multimillion dollar assets will be required to be stored outside resulting in increased replacement costs and the potential for significant degradation of mission performance and capabilities due to equipment nonavailability. The potential for significant degradation of mission performance and capabilities will be continually increased.

ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO funding. This project meets applicable criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) indicated there is only one option that will meet operational requirements: new construction. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A waiver will be prepared. Sustainable principles, to include Life Cycle cost effective practices, will be integrated into the design, development and construction of this project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802(c) and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: DSN (314) 226-2100. Guardian Angel Facility: 6,045 SM = 65,035 SF.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: POUND .6177

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

COMPONENT	

27224

1.

AIR FORCE

FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

2. DATE

22,047

(computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION RAF LAKENHEATH RAF LAKENHEATH SITE # 1 UNITED KINGDOM 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT

4. PROJECT TITLE GUARDIAN ANGEL OPERATIONS FACILITY

7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 6. CATEGORY CODE

2470/MSET123005

		FY 2014 MILIT				DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			omputer g	enerated)			
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LO	OCATION		4. PROC	ECT TI	LE	
RAF LAKENHEAT		_		GUARDI	N ANGEI	OPERATIONS	5 FACILITY
RAF LAKENHEATI UNITED KINGDOI		1					
UNITED KINGDO							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJECT N	UMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
27224		141-753	24	70/MSET12	23005	2	2,047
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	.:					
a. Estimate	d Design	Data:					
(1) Projec	ct to be	accomplished	by design	-build pr	cocedure	25	
(2) Basis	:						
		r Definitive I gn Was Most Re	-	sed -			NO
(3) All Ot	ther Des:	ign Costs					890
(4) Consti	ruction (	Contract Award	L				14 FEB
(5) Consti	ruction \$	Start					14 MAR
(6) Consti	ruction (	Completion					16 JUN
(7) Energy	y Study/1	Life-Cycle ana	lysis was	/will be	perform	ned	YES
			DD O GUD TI		-	L YEAR	
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCL	ATURE	PROCURIN	IG APPRC	APPRO	L YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)
EQUIPMENT SQD OPS F				IG APPRC	APPRO OR RE	PRIATED	
SQD OPS F	URNISHIN		34		APPRO OR RE 2	PRIATED QUESTED	(\$000)
SQD OPS F	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME	igs Int/Shelving	34 34	00	APPRO OR RE 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015	(\$000) 110
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015	(\$000) 110 450
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350
SQD OPS F WAREHOUSE ATC FURNI	TURNISHIN E EQUIPME SHINGS/E	IGS INT/SHELVING IQUIPMENT	34 34 34	00 00 00	APPRO OR RE 2 2 2	PRIATED QUESTED 015 015 015	(\$000) 110 450 350

## **Page Intentionally Left Blank**

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILI	TARY CONSTRU	CTION	PROJECT DA	ТА	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE		1)								
3. INSTALLATION	, SITH	E AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE						
UNSPECIFIED LOC	ATION			KC-46	A FTU FACII	JITY PROJECTS				
UNKNOWN										
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PF	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT (	COST (\$000)			
41221		171-211	/ AM	C14000	)2	6	3,000			
		9.								
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)			
KC-46A FLIGHT TRAINING UNIT FACILITIES 46,026										
KC-46A AIRCRAF	T MAIN	ITENANCE UNIT		EA	1					
KC-46A FLIGHT	TRAINI	NG FACILITY		EA	1					
KC-46A FUSELAG	E TRAI	NER		EA	1					
KC-46A FUEL CE	LL HAN	IGAR		EA	1					
KC-46A SQUADRO	N OPER	ATIONS FACILITY		EA	1					
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES						10,336			
SUPPORTING COS	IS			LS			( 10,336)			
SUBTOTAL							56,362			
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)					2,818			
TOTAL CONTRACT C	COST					-	59,180			
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				3,373			
TOTAL REQUEST						-	62,553			
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	D)					63,000			
EQUIPMENT FROM C	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	I-ADD)				( 84,000 )			
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Constru	action: Rei	nfor	ced concre	te foundatio	ons and			
		ry walls, metal n	-							
		s, site improveme	-			-				
		These projects w lents per Unified				errorism/101	ce			
11. Requiremen			SM Substa							
-		-				ites of the	Flight			
		s various Operat: to support the b								
		ir Force has not		-			-			
		ircraft. This DI	-							
specific DD Fo	rms 1	391 prior to the	Congression	nal M	ILCON comm	ittee marks.	The first			
		ed for delivery d	-		-		-			
		TU is underway wi			-		-			
		nable Alternative g decision annour					-			
		the beddown of 8		-		-				
		trainers (WST),			-	-				
fuselage train	er, S	quadron Operation	ns, aircraft	: main	ntenance u	nit, and har	igar space.			
		for delivery in				-				
-		prior to aircraf d hangar faciliti		-	-					
		ion and initial o	_		PLIOL CO	arreratt dri	IVAIS LOL			
		The KC-46A is a		-	d there ar	e no facilit	ies			

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MIL	ATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE									
3. INSTALLATION UNSPECIFIED LOC	, SITE AND LOCATION ATION		4. PROJECT TITLE KC-46A FTU FACILITY PROJECTS						
UNKNOWN									
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)							

/AMC140002

capable of providing flight simulation, boom operation training, and fuselage training for this weapon system. All facilities that currently accommodate flight simulators and training devices are at capacity supporting existing aircraft. KC-46A aircraft deliveries are scheduled to begin at the FTU in the second quarter of FY2016. WSTs are scheduled for delivery in Fall 2015 and must be ready for aircrew training two months prior to aircraft arrivals.

141-753

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The AF will be unable to provide timely aircrew training necessary to begin operation of the new KC-46A aircraft. The lack of these facilities and equipment greatly increases training costs by requiring the use of aircraft for aircrew training missions for on-the-job training. This would place active KC-46A assets at higher risk of damage due to training accidents. On-the-job training would also result in higher fuel costs to the AF. Aircraft maintenance would need to be perfomed on the ramp subject to the weather elements.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". An analysis of reasonable alternatives to meet this requirement (status quo, renovation, new construction) for each project will be accomplished after the basing decision is final or a certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive orders. MAJCOM POC: 618-229-0765. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This space can be used by other airframes on an as "available basis"; however the scope of the project is based on Air Force Requirements.

41221

63,000

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MILITA	ARY CO	ONSTRUC	TION F	ROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(co	mput	er gene	rated)			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND L	OCATION			4. PR	ROJECT	TITLE	
UNSPECIFIED L	OCATION				KC-46	SA FTU	FACILITY PROJI	CTS
UNKNOWN							1	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY C	CODE	7. PRO	JECT N	UMBER	8. PROJECT CO	)ST (\$000)
41221		141-753		/Al	MC1400	02	63,	000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	.:						
a. Estimated Design Data:								
(1) Statu								
	-	n Started			1		03	-MAY-13
		Cost Estimate			everop	COSTS		YES
		mplete as of 0	I JAN	2013				0%
	te 35% D	-						-JUL-13
	-	n Complete			/			-MAR-14
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle	analy	vsis was	s/will	be per	riormed	YES
(2) Basis	:							
		r Definitive D	esiar	) <i>-</i>				YES
		gn Was Most Re	-		-		Developed for	
(3) Total	Cost (c	(a) = (a) + (b)	or (d	l) + (e)	:			(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	of Plans and	Speci	ficatio	ons			3,780
		Design Costs	-					1,890
(c) To		-						5,670
(d) Co	ntract							4,725
(e) In	-house							945
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award						14 APR
(5) Const	ruction	Start						14 MAY
(6) Const	ruction	Completion						15 NOV
which i	.s compar	etion of Proje able to tradit ability.						
b. Equipmen	it associ	ated with this	proj	ect pro	ovided	from o	other appropri	ations:
EQUIPMENT	I NOMENCI	LATURE		ROCURIN ROPRIAI		APPRO	AL YEAR DPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)
SIMULATO	RS (WSTS)	, BOT, PTTS)		3080			14	81,000
COMMUNICA	ATION			3080			15	1,500
FURNISHI	NGS			3400			15	1,500

1. COMPONENT		FY 2014 MIL	ITARY CONSTRU	TRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DA					
AIR FORCE			(computer gen	erate					
3. INSTALLATION	, SITI	E AND LOCATION		4. PF	OJECT TITL	E	1		
UNSPECIFIED LOC	ATION			KC-46	A MOB#1 FA	CILITY PROJECT	S		
UNKNOWN									
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PI	ROJECI	NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)		
41221		141-753	/AM	C1400	01	19	2,700		
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES					
						UNIT	COST		
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)		
KC-46A MAIN OPER	ATING	BASE (MOB#1)					140,944		
KC-46A SIMULATO	OR FAC	CILITY		EA	1				
KC-46A FUEL CE	л « с	CORROSION CONTROL H	ANGAR	EA	1				
KC-46A PARKING	APRON	I AND HYDRANT FUEL	SYSTEM	EA	1				
KC-46A 2 BAY GI	INERAI	DURPOSE HANGAR		EA	1				
KC-46A SQUADRON	I OPS/	AIRCRAFT MAINTENAN	CE UNIT	EA	1				
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES						32,690		
SUPPORTING COST	rs			LS			( 32,690)		
SUBTOTAL							173,634		
CONTINGENCY	(5	.0%)					8,682		
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST					-	182,316		
SUPERVISION, INS	PECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				10,392		
TOTAL REQUEST						-	192,708		
TOTAL REQUEST (F	OUNDE	D)					192,700		
EQUIPMENT FROM C	THER	APPROPRIATIONS (NON	I-ADD)				(43,700)		
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Constru	uction: Re:	infor	ced concre	te foundatio	ns and		
floor slabs, m	asonr	y walls, metal ro	oof systems	, fir	e detectio	on/suppressio	on,		
-		s, site improveme							
		The construction		-					
		systems to support ment to restore							
	-	tlets, install a	-			-			
remove/replace	all	utility infrastru	ucture as ne	ecess	ary. Thes	se projects w	vill comply		
with DoD antit	error	ism/force protect	tion require	ement	s per Unif	ied Facility	Criteria.		
11. Requiremen	t: S	M Adequate: S	SM Substa	andar	d: SM				
PROJECT: Cons	truct	s various Operat	ions and Ma	inten	ance facil	lites to supp	ort the		
beddown of 36	KC-46	A PAA at Main Op	erating Bas	e (MO	B) #1 (Nev	w Mission)			
		ir Force has not	-						
		er Aircraft. The				-			
		391 prior to the cheduled for dela	-						
		r MOB#1 is underv							
-		Reasonable Alter	-			-			
2013, and the final MOB#1 basing decision announcement in May 2014. Facility									
	construction required to support the beddown of 36 PAA KC-46A includes hangar								
-	paces (Fuel Cell, Corrosion Control with wash capability) and two general purpose maintenance bays with traditional backshops (wheel and tire, avionics, engine parts								
	-	weapon system to	_						
-		sk trainers, cons				-	-		
DD FORM 1391, I	DEC 9	9 Previo	ous editions	are	obsolete.		Page No.		

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MIL:	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE						
3. INSTALLATION	, SITE AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITL	4. PROJECT TITLE			
UNSPECIFIED LOC	ATION	KC-46A MOB#1 FA	KC-46A MOB#1 FACILITY PROJECTS			
UNKNOWN						
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. RPSUID/PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		

/AMC140001

include hydrant fueling at aircraft parking spots and all necessary drainage and apron lighting systems as required. Repair adjacent existing apron pavement to restore life cycle commensurate with new pavement. Demolish buildings with associated utilities and pavements. Install, upgrade, and remove/replace all utility infrastructure as necessary.

141-753

CURRENT SITUATION: KC-46A aircraft deliveries are scheduled to begin at MOB #1 in second quarter FY16. Weapons System Trainer (WST) scheduled for Benneficial Occupancy in the fall 2015 to allow installation of the simulator to be ready for the aircraft arrivals in 2016.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The AF will be unable to provide timely aircrew training necessary to begin operation of the new KC-46A aircraft. The lack of this facility and its equipment greatly increases training costs by requiring the use of aircraft, which would otherwise be assigned to operational missions, for on-the-job training. This would place active KC-46A assets at higher risk of damage due to training accidents. On-the-job training would also result in higher fuel costs to the AF. Aircraft maintenance would need to be perfomed on the ramp subject to the weather elements.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". An analysis of reasonable alternatives to meet this requirement (status quo, renovation, new construction) for each project will be accomplished after the basing decision is final. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423, 10 USC 2802 (c), and other applicable laws and Executive orders. MAJCOM POC: 618-229-0765. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This space can be used by other airframes on an as "available basis"; however the scope of the project is based on Air Force Requirements.

41221

192,700

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							2. DATE		
AIR FORCE	CE (computer generated)									
3. INSTALLATI	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
UNSPECIFIED L	JECTS									
UNKNOWN										
5. PROGRAM EL	)ST (\$000)									
								,700		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:										
a. Estimated Design Data:										
(1) Status:										
(a) Da	te Desig	n Started					03	-MAY-13		
(b) Pa	rametric	Cost Estimates	use	d to de	evelop c	osts		YES		
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	mplete as of 01	. JAN	2013				0%		
* (d) Da	te 35% I	esigned					15	-JUL-13		
	-	n Complete						-MAR-14		
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle a	naly	sis was	s/will b	e per	formed	YES		
(2) Basis	:									
(a) St	andard c	or Definitive De	sign	- 1				YES		
(b) Wh	lere Desi	gn Was Most Rec	entl	y Used	-		Developed for	KC-46A		
(3) Total	Cost (c	) = (a) + (b) o	r (d	.) + (e)	:			(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	of Plans and S	peci	ficatio	ons			11,562		
(b) Al	l Other	Design Costs						5,781		
(c) To	tal							17,343		
(d) Co	(d) Contract							14,453		
(e) In-house 2,891										
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award						14 APR		
(5) Const	ruction	Start						14 MAY		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion						16 MAR		
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.										
b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:										
EQUIPMEN	I NOMENCI	LATURE		ROCURIN ROPRIAI		APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED	COST (\$000)		
SIMULATO	RS (WST,	BOT, PTT)		3080	14		40,500			
COMMUNICA	COMMUNICATION 308			3080			1,200			
FURNISHINGS 340				3400		15 2,00				

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	t FORCE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION	3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				UNSPE	CIFIED MIN	OR MILITARY CON	ISTRUCTION		
UNKNOWN									
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)						
91211		962-000	/PA	YZ1400	03	20,448			
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES	1	1			
ITEM					QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITI	ES						20,448		
UNSPECIFIED MIN	NOR MI	LITARY CONSTRUCTION	N	LS			( 20,448)		
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES	l					0		
SUBTOTAL							20,448		
TOTAL CONTRACT C	OST						20,448		
TOTAL REQUEST							20,448		
TOTAL REQUEST (F	OUNDE	:D )					20,448		
10. Description	on of	Proposed Constru	uction:						
11. Requirement	t:	Adequate:	Substanda	rd:					
PROJECT: As r	equir	red.							
		construction pro							
-		on projects with an \$2,000,000. Th				-	-		
		ot identified but							
Included would	be p	projects to suppor	rt new miss:	ion r	equirement	s, new equip	nent, and		
other essentia	l sup	port to Air Force	e missions a	and f	unctions.				

## **Page Intentionally Left Blank**

1. COMPONENT	FY 2014 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION	3. INSTALLATION, SITE AND LOCATION					E		
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED				PLANN	NING AND DE	SIGN		
UNKNOWN								
5. PROGRAM ELEM	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. RPSUID/P					PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COS		
91211		961-000	/PA	AYZ140002 11			L,314	
		9.	COST ESTIMA	TES				
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITI	PRIMARY FACILITIES						11,314	
PLANNING AND D	ESIGN			LS			( 11,314 )	
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES	l					0	
SUBTOTAL							11,314	
TOTAL CONTRACT C	COST					-	11,314	
TOTAL REQUEST						-	11,314	
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	:D)					11,314	
10. Descripti	on of	Proposed Constru	uction:	•		· · · · · ·		
11. Requiremen	t:	Adequate:	Substanda	rd:				
PROJECT: As r	equir	red.						
REQUIREMENT:	These	planning and des	sign funds a	are r	equired to	complete th	e design	
of facilities in the FY15 Military Construction Program, initiate design of								
facilities in the FY16 Military Construction Program, and accomplish planning and								
design for major and complex technical projects with long lead-times to be included								
in subsequent Military Construction programs. These funds may be used for value								
engineering and for the support of design and construction management of projects								
that are funded by foreign governments and for design of classified and special								
programs. These funds may also be used for developing the Tri-Services Cost Estimating Guide and Unified Facilities Criteria.								
Light and and antited rustificity drifting.								

## **Page Intentionally Left Blank**