



Department of the Air Force

**DoD Base Realignment and Closure
2005 Commission**

**Fiscal Year (FY) 2009
Budget Estimates**

Justification Data Submitted to Congress: February 2008

**Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 Commission
U.S. Air Force Overview**

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| I | BRAC 05 Implementation Funding Obligation Plan..... | 1 |
| II | FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data | 2 |
| III | BRAC 05 Commission Financial Summary..... | 39 |
| IV | 2005 Commission Base Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package..... | 41 |
| | • Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia | 42 |
| | • Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina..... | 50 |
| | • Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma | 55 |
| | • Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas | 60 |
| | • Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming..... | 66 |
| | • Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA..... | 70 |
| | • Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC | 75 |
| | • Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK..... | 94 |
| | • Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ | 133 |
| | • Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI | 138 |
| | • Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA | 146 |
| | • Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA | 151 |
| | • Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD | 155 |
| | • Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA..... | 163 |
| | • Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID | 168 |
| | • Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK..... | 173 |
| | • Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN.. | 181 |
| | • Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA | 192 |
| | • Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX..... | 199 |
| | • Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD | 204 |
| | • Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ | 209 |
| | • Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI..... | 221 |
| | • Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS..... | 229 |
| | • Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT..... | 236 |
| | • Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM..... | 240 |
| | • Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY | 248 |
| | • Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV | 253 |
| | • Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND..... | 272 |

**Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 Commission
U.S. Air Force Overview**

- Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND.....281
- Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH285
- Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH290
- Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR.....295
- Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN.....300
- Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX.....318
- Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX.....326
- Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and
Nellis AFB, NV.....339
- Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA.....351
- Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air
Guard Station, IA355
- Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA.....360
- Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI371
- Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers375
- Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities380
- Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training391
- Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training396
- Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education401
- Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site.....405
- Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training441
- Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters
Leased Locations.....448
- Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities.....459
- Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD
Counterintelligence and Security Agency.....463
- Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department
and the Defense Agencies467
- Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications ..472
- Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components.....476
- Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for
Army and Air Force481
- Commission # 146, Joint Basing.....488
- Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA).....492
- Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX496
- Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA.....513
- Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX517
- Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB).....537
- Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB).....541

**Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 Commission
U.S. Air Force Overview**

- Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB).....545
- Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB).....549
- Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management.....553
- Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation557
- Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration.....561
- Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center565
- Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories569
- Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation577
- Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation582
- Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation586
- Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK590
- Program Management BRAC 05594

V BRAC 05 Construction Project Listing.....599

BRAC 2005 Implementation Funding Obligation Plan

Total FY 2009 Funding Obligation Plan (\$000): \$1,072,925

General Description of FY 2009 Implementation Plan: The account includes funding to continue actions required to implement the BRAC Commission recommendations. Actions include: military construction projects, environmental compliance, restoration and impact studies at receiving locations to fulfill National Environmental Policy Act requirements; minor construction and alteration projects, civilian and Military personnel actions, training, and program management at various levels.

FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

2005 Commission

FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission

U.S. Air Force Overview

Schedule:

FY 2006: Base Closure Account requirement: \$231.428 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 2006 consist primarily of preliminary planning and design for military construction projects associated with the realignments in the recommendations below. The account also includes funding for: environmental compliance, restoration and impact studies at receiving locations to fulfill National Environmental Policy Act requirements; minor construction and alteration projects, civilian personnel actions, training, and program management at various levels to plan and oversee implementation of the recommendations.

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.310 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.001 Million.
The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.260 Million.
The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.368 Million.
The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.343 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.212 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 9.152 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.388 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.849 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.537 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.170 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.257 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.456 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Environmental costs.

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.136 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 9.243 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.816 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 11.508 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.694 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.558 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 5.712 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.291 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.151 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.217 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.693 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.092 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 10.752 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 9.339 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.050 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.675 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.412 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 6.646 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.186 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.359 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.630 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 10.991 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.007 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.944 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.663 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.506 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.688 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.742 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.559 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.180 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 29.986 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.340 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.911 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.050 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 146, Joint Basing:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.526 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.030 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.731 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 51.058 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.024 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.043 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.022 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.878 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.434 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Program Management BRAC 05:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 17.653 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

FY 2007: Base Closure Account requirement: \$906.941 Million. The account includes funding for: military construction projects, environmental compliance, restoration and impact studies at receiving locations to fulfill National Environmental Policy Act requirements; minor construction and alteration projects, civilian personnel actions, training, and program management at various levels to plan and oversee implementation of the recommendations.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.581 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.374 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.277 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.719 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Environmental costs.

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.096 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.550 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 24.471 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 8.213 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.091 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.021 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 46.308 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 17.480 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.954 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.263 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 52.771 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.171 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 31.342 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 15.373 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.180 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 31.247 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.173 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.049 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.372 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 15.272 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.770 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 49.336 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 72.243 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.971 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.579 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.221 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 17.867 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 6.233 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Environmental costs.

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.036 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.493 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 15.467 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.456 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.933 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.166 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 27.172 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 23.859 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.148 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.670 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.700 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.212 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.800 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 70.410 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 58.097 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.645 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.981 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.066 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 86.622 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.953 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 146, Joint Basing:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.274 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 52.592 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 46.334 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB):

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.540 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.033 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.167 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.092 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.368 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.730 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.012 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 10.841 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Program Management BRAC 05:

FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 62.504 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

FY 2008: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1183.812 Million. The account includes funding for: military construction projects, environmental compliance, restoration and impact studies at receiving locations to fulfill National Environmental Policy Act requirements; minor construction and alteration projects, civilian personnel actions, training, and program management at various levels to plan and oversee implementation of the recommendations.

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 15.185 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.442 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.003 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.700 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.915 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 10.799 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 45.432 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.050 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 12.356 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 6.644 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.348 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 5.189 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.219 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.254 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.975 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.987 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.092 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.135 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.592 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 39.233 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.124 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.076 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.750 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.763 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.008 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 26.540 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Family Housing - Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 48.308 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.455 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 6.225 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.722 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 10.617 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.212 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.828 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.249 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.142 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.025 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 5.432 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.615 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.293 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.465 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.115 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 18.900 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 23.400 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.760 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 45.136 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 55.476 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 6.726 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 11.644 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.531 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 9.022 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 146, Joint Basing:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.054 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.178 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 224.177 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.025 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 228.971 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.060 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.045 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 8.531 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.544 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 92.779 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.289 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.266 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Program Management BRAC 05:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 150.784 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

FY 2009: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1072.925 Million. The account includes funding for: military construction projects, environmental compliance, restoration and impact studies at receiving locations to fulfill National Environmental Policy Act requirements; minor construction and alteration projects, civilian personnel actions, training, and program management at various levels to plan and oversee implementation of the recommendations.

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.858 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.177 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.507 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 5.284 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 54.379 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.027 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 8.346 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.377 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 33.531 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 8.489 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.072 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.054 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.783 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 9.346 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.900 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.026 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.272 Million.

The funding supports Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 14.849 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.321 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.161 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.722 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Other costs.

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.076 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.191 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 26.438 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 14.348 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.000 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.065 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.639 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.000 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN:

FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 8.411 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.048 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 8.617 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 8.138 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.747 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 16.705 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.017 Million.
The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 6.602 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.397 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.104 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.767 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 71.280 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 48.701 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 56.502 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.734 Million.

The funding supports Other costs.

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.682 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 25.000 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction costs.

Commission # 146, Joint Basing:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 168.659 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 283.317 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.430 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.020 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 43.829 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 6.976 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.813 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Program Management BRAC 05:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 64.191 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

FY 2010: Base Closure Account requirement: \$390.661 Million. The account includes funding for: military construction projects, environmental compliance, restoration and impact studies at receiving locations to fulfill National Environmental Policy Act requirements; minor construction and alteration projects, civilian personnel actions, training, and program management at various levels to plan and oversee implementation of the recommendations.

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.005 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 22.799 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 5.274 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.006 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.433 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.435 Million.

The funding supports Other costs.

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.248 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 27.459 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.075 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.073 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.270 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.217 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.891 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.062 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.005 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.814 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.050 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.867 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.015 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.057 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.625 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.780 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.000 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.357 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.976 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.620 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.002 Million.

The funding supports Military Construction, Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.110 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.296 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.492 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.109 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 2.778 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.076 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.010 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 25.918 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.010 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.161 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.755 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 11.057 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.645 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.028 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 146, Joint Basing:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 114.286 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 103.546 Million.
The funding supports Military Construction, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB):

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.403 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 18.869 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.002 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.992 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

Program Management BRAC 05:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 22.703 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

FY 2011: Base Closure Account requirement: \$140.443 Million. The account includes funding for: environmental compliance, restoration and impact studies at receiving locations to fulfill National Environmental Policy Act requirements; minor construction and alteration projects, civilian personnel actions, training, and program management at various levels to plan and oversee implementation of the recommendations.

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.010 Million.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.026 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.239 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.491 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.000 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 3.646 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.334 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.105 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.050 Million.
The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.410 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance, and Other costs.

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.362 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.120 Million.
The funding supports Other costs.

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.015 Million.
The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.564 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.017 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.005 Million.
The funding supports Environmental costs.

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.002 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.250 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.000 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.177 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.726 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.020 Million.
The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.573 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, Operation & Maintenance, Military Personnel - PCS, and Other costs.

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.036 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Military Personnel - PCS costs.

Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.107 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.108 Million.
The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.010 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 4.766 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 7.560 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 8.273 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 5.790 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 146, Joint Basing:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.150 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 18.441 Million.

The funding supports Environmental, and Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 5.881 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.090 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB):

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.385 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 43.271 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

**FY 2009 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission**

U.S. Air Force Overview

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 0.000 Million.

Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 1.849 Million.

The funding supports Environmental costs.

Program Management BRAC 05:

Base Closure Account Requirement: \$ 23.584 Million.

The funding supports Operation & Maintenance costs.

Mission Impact: The preceding schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability, while placing priority on closing or realigning the bases as recommended by the 2005 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close and realign the recommended bases at the earliest opportunity consistent with mission requirements and availability of funds to effect the construction projects and movements prior to 15 Sep 2011.

Environmental Considerations: Remedial actions at affected bases will continue in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). These actions include landfill closures, groundwater treatments, bioventing, air sparging, underground storage tank removals and free product removal as required at specific locations. Completing the environmental actions is important for the reuse and economic development of the affected communities.

Other: The savings associated with these closure and realignment actions in the Exhibit BC-02 are for display purposes only and will accrue in other Air Force appropriations. The funding profiles associated with the schedule have been programmed to accomplish this objective.

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 108.942 | 663.002 | 856.969 | 738.155 | 141.570 | 0 | 2,508.638 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 9.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.000 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 15.830 | 5.249 | 6.834 | 11.486 | 12.553 | 5.590 | 57.542 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 85.837 | 190.523 | 260.074 | 253.272 | 217.612 | 130.205 | 1,137.523 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 38.451 | 21.256 | 6.786 | 1.158 | 1.285 | 68.936 |
| Other | 20.819 | 9.716 | 29.679 | 63.226 | 17.768 | 3.363 | 144.571 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 231.428 | 906.941 | 1,183.812 | 1,072.925 | 390.661 | 140.443 | 3,926.210 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 231.428 | 906.941 | 1,183.812 | 1,072.925 | 390.661 | 140.443 | 3,926.210 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 231.428 | 906.941 | 1,183.812 | 1,072.925 | 390.661 | 140.443 | 3,926.210 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.217 | 44.088 | 95.603 | 144.750 | 173.212 | 196.227 | 654.097 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 52.460 | 93.466 | 141.088 | 183.183 | 200.779 | 670.975 |
| Other | 1.055 | 13.123 | 3.548 | 9.634 | 10.096 | 10.298 | 47.756 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 1.272 | 109.671 | 192.617 | 295.473 | 366.491 | 407.304 | 1,372.828 |

Note: 1. The FY06 column reflects a combination of actual obligations and estimated obligations.
2. The FY07 column reflects estimated obligations based on known requirements.

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 9.366 | 5.388 | 3.321 | 1.370 | 3.004 | 22.450 |
| Other | 0 | 0.418 | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 1.007 | 1.430 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 9.784 | 5.393 | 3.321 | 1.370 | 4.011 | 23.879 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 36.678 | 94.028 | 135.041 | 168.838 | 192.405 | 626.991 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 26.474 | 58.949 | 86.490 | 117.582 | 131.084 | 420.578 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 129.222 | 311.253 | 411.406 | 484.663 | 533.728 | 1,870.273 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 36.840 | 56.027 | 82.031 | 111.179 | 112.805 | 398.882 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.709 | 9.663 | 9.915 | 24.287 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 1.053 | 1.098 | 21.593 | 25.518 | 27.879 | 77.142 |
| Recapitalization | 25.065 | 26.359 | 27.113 | 27.803 | 31.288 | 31.944 | 169.573 |
| BOS | 0 | 32.665 | 52.020 | 82.963 | 132.695 | 155.543 | 455.886 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 6.707 | 18.928 | 23.243 | 20.117 | 23.166 | 92.161 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 33.470 | 35.330 | 41.308 | 63.363 | 64.881 | 238.352 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 20.713 | 34.668 | 51.772 | 63.238 | 87.269 | 257.660 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 25.065 | 350.182 | 689.413 | 968.360 | 1,228.145 | 1,370.621 | 4,631.786 |
| Grand Total Savings | 25.065 | 359.966 | 694.807 | 971.680 | 1,229.515 | 1,374.632 | 4,655.665 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (714) | (314) | (144) | (276) | (161) | (1,609) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (2,918) | (1,159) | (654) | (490) | (427) | (5,648) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 206.363 | 546.975 | 489.005 | 101.245 | (838.854) | (1,234.189) | (729.455) |

Note: 1. The FY06 column reflects a combination of actual obligations and estimated obligations.
2. The FY07 column reflects estimated obligations based on known requirements.

Base Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package

2005 Commission

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 1.577 | 15.150 | 2.350 | 0 | 0 | 19.077 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.303 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.303 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.035 | 2.508 | 0.005 | 0 | 2.559 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.310 | 1.581 | 15.185 | 4.858 | 0.005 | 0 | 21.939 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.310 | 1.581 | 15.185 | 4.858 | 0.005 | 0 | 21.939 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.310 | 1.581 | 15.185 | 4.858 | 0.005 | 0 | 21.939 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.310 | 1.581 | 15.185 | 4.858 | 0.005 | 0 | 21.939 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia

Commission Recommendation: Close Fort McPherson, GA. Relocate the Headquarters US Army Forces Command (FORSCOM), and the Headquarters US Army Reserve Command (USARC) to Pope Air Force Base, NC. Relocate the Headquarters 3rd US Army to Shaw Air Force Base, SC. Relocate the Installation Management Agency Southeastern Region Headquarters and the US Army Network Enterprise Technology Command (NETCOM) Southeastern Region Headquarters to Fort Eustis, VA. Relocate the Army Contracting Agency Southern Region Headquarters to Fort Sam Houston, TX.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Shaw AFB, SC | VLSB073007 | BRAC Fitness Center | 3A | 7.100 |
| 2008 | Shaw AFB, SC | VLSB073009 | BRAC Child Development Center | 3A | 2.650 |
| 2008 | Shaw AFB, SC | VLSB073010 | BRAC Transient Lodging Facility | 3A | 2.150 |
| 2008 | Shaw AFB, SC | VLSB073011 | BRAC Visiting Officer Quarters | 3A | 3.250 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 3A | 15.150 |
| | | | | | |
| 2009 | Shaw AFB, SC | VLSB093003 | Dormitory Renovation for HQ 3rd Army | 3A | 2.350 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 3A | 2.350 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 3A | 17.500 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$1.577M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.303M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds base operations support furniture, equipment and communications for installation population increase due to 3rd Army HQ relocation (dormitory, Child Development Center, fitness facility, visiting quarters, transient living facility). Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$2.559M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.508M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 3A, Fort McPherson, Georgia

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC RENOVATE HQ THIRD ARMY DORMITORY 401 | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER VLSB093003 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,350 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 1,841 |
| RENOVATE DORMITORY 401 | | SM | 2,357 | 781 | (1,841) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 268 |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | SM | 279 | 724 | (202) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (66) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 2,109 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 105 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 2,214 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 126 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 2,341 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 2,350 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (256.0) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate Dormitory 401 for use by HQ 3rd US Army. Includes replacement of carpet and wall base; paint exterior; repair roof leaks, sewer lines, water supply and damaged landscaping; replace plumbing fixtures, handrails and windows. Replace all air handler units in the individual rooms and in the common areas. This project also includes all necessary communications support. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 2357 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: 2357 M2 PROJECT: Renovate Dormitory 401 for use by HQ 3rd US Army as a result of the BRAC 2005. REQUIREMENT: A dormitory is required as a result of the BRAC 2005 decision to move Third US Army personnel to Shaw AFB. The facility provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing individual privacy are essential to successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: Adequate dormitory rooms are not available for the incoming Army personnel associated with the Third US Army move to Shaw AFB. Shaw has excess dormitories that are scheduled for demolition. These dormitories are plagued with inadequate heating and air conditioning systems; antiquated system components make it difficult and expensive to locate repair parts. These facilities have been vacant and require this work to make them habitable by incoming HQ 3rd Army personnel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will not be available when Third US Army personnel arrive. This will degrade morale and hamper productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Jacqueline Crum, | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC RENOVATE HQ THIRD ARMY DORMITORY 401 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER VLSB093003 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,350 |
| <p>(803)895-9562. (Dormitory: 2,359 SM = 25,367 SF).</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an as available basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Army requirements.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC RENOVATE HQ THIRD ARMY DORMITORY 401 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER VLSB093003 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,350 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 17 Sep 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 141 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 71 |
| (c) Total | | | 212 |
| (d) Contract | | | 176 |
| (e) In-house | | | 35 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 FEB |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 FEB |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
| FURNISHINGS, FURN AND EQUIP | 3400 | 2009 | 240 |
| ETHER SWITCHES | 3400 | 2009 | 11 |
| CABLE CUTOVER | 3400 | 2009 | 5 |

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.369 | 0 | 22.369 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.001 | 0.374 | 1.432 | 2.167 | 0.420 | 0 | 4.394 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.040 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.001 | 0.374 | 1.442 | 2.177 | 22.799 | 0.010 | 26.803 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.001 | 0.374 | 1.442 | 2.177 | 22.799 | 0.010 | 26.803 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.001 | 0.374 | 1.442 | 2.177 | 22.799 | 0.010 | 26.803 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.001 | 0.374 | 1.442 | 2.177 | 22.799 | 0.010 | 26.803 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina

Commission Recommendation: Realign Fort Bragg, NC, by relocating the 7th Special Forces Group (SFG) to Eglin AFB, FL, and by activating the 4th Brigade Combat Team (BCT), 82d Airborne Division and relocating European-based forces to Fort Bragg, NC.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA083941 | Dental Clinic Replacement | 4B | 9.382 |
| | | | | 125 | 2.018 |
| | | FTFA083941 | | Total | 11.400 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093910 | Fitness Facility | 4B | 2.263 |
| | | | | 125 | 0.487 |
| | | FTFA093910 | | Total | 2.750 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093916 | Child Development Center | 4B | 8.148 |
| | | | | 125 | 1.752 |
| | | FTFA093916 | | Total | 9.900 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093918 | School Age Facility | 4B | 2.140 |
| | | | | 125 | 0.460 |
| | | FTFA093918 | | Total | 2.600 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093919 | Youth Center | 4B | 0.436 |
| | | | | 125 | 0.094 |
| | | FTFA093919 | | Total | 0.530 |
| | Total FY 2010 | | | 4B | 22.369 |
| | Total FY 06-11 | | | 4B | 22.369 |

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funding for Environmental studies to support beddown of Army's 7th SFG(A). Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.394M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.167M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds travel costs in support of beddown of the Army's 7SFG(A). Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.040M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.010M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 4B, Fort Bragg, North Carolina

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.277 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0.277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.277 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0.277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.277 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0.277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.277 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0.277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.277 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Commission Recommendation: Close the Armed Forces Reserve Center (AFRC) Broken Arrow located in Broken Arrow, OK, and relocate the Army Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve and Naval Reserve units into a new Armed Forces Reserve Center and consolidated maintenance facility in Broken Arrow, OK, if the Army is able to acquire suitable land for the construction of the facility. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Oklahoma Army National Guard units from the following Oklahoma Army National Guard Readiness Centers: Broken Arrow, Eufaula, Okmulgee, Tahlequah, Haskell, Cushing, Wagoner and the Field Maintenance Shop (FMS 14) located in Okmulgee if the state of Oklahoma decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Keathley and Burris United States Army Reserve Centers located in Lawton and Chickasha, OK; close the Wichita Falls United States Army Reserve Center in Wichita Falls, TX; close the 1st, 3rd, 5th, and 6th United States Army Reserve Centers and Equipment Concentration Site (ECS) located on Fort Sill, OK, and re-locate units into a new Armed Forces Reserve Center on Fort Sill and a new United States Army Reserve Equipment Concentration Site to be collocated with the Oklahoma Army National Guard Maneuver Area Training Equipment Site on Fort Sill. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Oklahoma Army National Guard units from the following Oklahoma Army National Guard Readiness Centers: Lawton, Frederick, Anadarko, Chickasha, Marlow, Walters, and Healdton; realign B/1-158 Field Artillery (MLRS) from the Oklahoma Army National Guard Readiness Center located in Duncan if the state of Oklahoma decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Floyd Parker United States Army Reserve Center in McAlester, OK, and re-locate units into a new Armed Forces Reserve Center and Consolidated Field Maintenance Shop on the McAlester Army Ammunition Plant, McAlester, OK. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Oklahoma Army National Guard units from the following Oklahoma Army National Guard Readiness Centers: the Field Maintenance Shop in Durant, OK; the Oklahoma Army National Guard Readiness Centers in Atoka, Allen, Hartshorne, Madill, McAlester and Tishomingo, OK; the Oklahoma Army National Guard Readiness Center and Field Maintenance Shop in Edmond, OK, if the state of Oklahoma decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Ashworth United States Army Reserve Center located in Muskogee, OK, and re-locate units into a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in Muskogee, OK, if the Army is able to acquire suitable land for the construction of the facility. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Oklahoma Army National Guard units from the following Oklahoma Army National Guard Readiness Centers: Henryetta, Muskogee, Okemah, Pryor, and Stilwell, OK, if the state of Oklahoma decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Farr United States Army Reserve Center, Antlers, OK, the Roush United States Army Reserve Center, Clinton, OK, the Smalley United States Army Reserve Center, Norman, OK, and relocate units into a new Armed Forces Reserve Center and Consolidated Maintenance Facility on the Norman Military Complex, Norman, OK. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Oklahoma Army National Guard units from the following Oklahoma Army National Guard facilities: Oklahoma Army National Guard Readiness Centers in Tonkawa, OK, Konawa, OK, Wewoka, OK, Oklahoma City (23rd Street), OK, the 23d Street Field Maintenance Shop in Oklahoma City, the Consolidated Maintenance Facility on the Norman Military Complex, Norman, OK, and C CO, 700th Support Battalion from the Readiness Center, Edmond, OK, if the state of Oklahoma decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Manuel Perez and Billy Krowse United States Army Reserve Centers located in Oklahoma City, OK. Relocate units into a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in West Oklahoma City, OK, if the Army is able to acquire suitable land for the construction of the facility. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Oklahoma Army National Guard units from the following Oklahoma Army National Guard facilities: Readiness Centers located in Southwest Oklahoma City (44th Street), El Reno, Minco, and Pawnee, the Oklahoma Army National Guard 1345 Transportation Company and the 345th Quartermaster Water Support Battalion from Midwest City if the state of Oklahoma decides to relocate those National Guard units.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 38, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Close the Robbins United States Army Reserve Center located in Enid, OK, and relocate units into a new Armed Forces Reserve Center and Consolidated Field Maintenance Shop on Vance Air Force Base, OK. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Oklahoma Army National Guard units from the following Oklahoma Army National Guard facilities: Enid, Alva, Woodward, Blackwell, Cherokee, Watonga, and the National Guard Field Maintenance Shop in Enid, OK, if the state of Oklahoma decides to relocate those National Guard units.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.277M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.260 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.260 | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.263 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.260 | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.263 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.260 | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.263 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.260 | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.263 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Commission Recommendation: Close the Tharp United States Army Reserve Center, Amarillo, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in Amarillo, TX, if the Army is able to acquire land suitable for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Amarillo, Pampa, and Hale Co, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the United States Army Reserve Center, Brownsville, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in Brownsville, TX, if the Army is able to acquire suitable land for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the Texas ARNG Readiness Center in Brownsville, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the United States Army Reserve Center, Boswell, TX, and the United States Army Reserve Center, Callaghan, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center on existing Federal property on Camp Bullis, TX. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the Texas ARNG Readiness Center in Hondo, TX, A Company and Headquarters Company, 1st of the 141st Infantry, the Fifth Army ITAAS, the Regional Training Site-Intelligence, and the Texas Army National Guard Area Support Medical Battalion, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Grimes United States Army Reserve Center, Abilene, TX, and relocate B Company of the 413th Civil Affairs Battalion and the Area Maintenance Support Activity 11 Sub-Shop to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center with a Field Maintenance Shop on Dyess Air Force Base, TX. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Abilene, Coleman, and Snyder, TX, and the Texas Army National Guard Field Maintenance Shop, Abilene, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Roque O Segura United States Army Reserve Center, El Paso, Texas, the Benavidez United States Army Reserve Center, El Paso, Texas, the United States Army Reserve Center #3, Fort Bliss, Texas and the McGregor Range United States Army Reserve Center and Equipment Concentration Site, Fort Bliss New Mexico and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center with a Consolidated Equipment Concentration Site and Maintenance Facility on Fort Bliss Texas. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas Army National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness centers: Fort Bliss and Hondo Pass in El Paso, Texas, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Herzog United States Army Reserve Center, Dallas, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center on the existing Grand Prairie Reserve Complex, Grand Prairie, TX. Realign the 490th Civil Affairs Battalion from the Grimes United States Army Reserve Center and relocate the unit into the new AFRC. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Arlington, TX, and California Crossing, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the United States Army Reserve Center, Pasadena, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center with a Field Maintenance Shop in (East) Houston, TX, if the Army is able to acquire land suitable for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Baytown, Pasadena, and Ellington Field, TX, and the Texas Army National Guard Field Maintenance Shop located on Ellington Field, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close United States Army Reserve Center #2, Perimeter Park, TX, and United States Army Reserve Center #3, Houston, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center with a consolidated Field Maintenance Shop

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

in (Northwest) Houston, TX, if the Army is able to acquire land suitable for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Beaumont, Port Arthur, Port Neches, and Orange, TX, and the Texas Army National Guard Field Maintenance Shop located in Port Neches, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Miller United States Army Reserve Center, Huntsville, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in Huntsville, TX, if the Army is able to acquire suitable land for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the Texas ARNG Readiness Center in Huntsville, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Muchert United States Army Reserve Center, Dallas, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center Lewisville, TX, if the Army is able to acquire land suitable for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Denton, Irving, and Denison, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the United States Army Reserve Center, Lufkin, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in Lufkin, TX, if the Army is able to acquire suitable land for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Lufkin and Nacogdoches, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the United States Army Reserve Center, Alice, TX, and the United States Army Reserve Center, NAS Kingsville, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center on NAS Kingsville, TX, if the Army determines the property is suitable for construction. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Alice and Kingsville, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the Watts-Guillot United States Army Reserve Center, Texarkana, TX, and realign the Hooks Army Reserve Center on Red River Army Depot by relocating units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center on or in the vicinity of Red River Army Depot, TX. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Atlanta, and Texarkana, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close Round Rock United States Army Reserve Center (leased) and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center with a consolidated Field Maintenance Shop in Round Rock, TX, if the Army is able to acquire land suitable for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the Texas ARNG Readiness Centers in Austin and Taylor, TX, and the Texas Army National Guard Field Maintenance Shop in Austin, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

Close the United States Army Reserve Center, San Marcos, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center in San Marcos, TX, if the Army is able to acquire land suitable for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: San Marcos, Sequin, and New Braunfels, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units. Close the Hanby-Hayden United States Army Reserve Center, Mesquite, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center with an Organizational Maintenance Shop on United States Army Reserve property in Seagoville, TX. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Dallas #2, Kaufman and Terrell (including the Organizational Maintenance Shop), TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Close the United States Army Reserve Center, Tyler, TX, and the United States Army Reserve Center, Marshall, TX, and relocate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center with a Field Maintenance Shop in Tyler, TX, if the Army is able to acquire suitable land for the construction of the facilities. The new AFRC shall have the capability to accommodate Texas National Guard Units from the following Texas ARNG Readiness Centers: Athens, Tyler, Henderson, Kilgore, Marshall, and Corsicana, TX, and the Field Maintenance Shop in Marshall, TX, if the state decides to relocate those National Guard units.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.260M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.003M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0.240 | 4.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.940 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.368 | 0.479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.847 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.368 | 0.719 | 4.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.787 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.368 | 0.719 | 4.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.787 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.368 | 0.719 | 4.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.787 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.368 | 0.719 | 4.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.787 |

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 49, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming

Commission Recommendation: Close Wyoming Army National Guard (WYARNG) Army Aviation Support Facility (AASF) in Cheyenne, WY (DA leased facility) and relocate Army National Guard units and aviation functions to a new WYARNG AASF, Readiness Center, and Field Maintenance Shop (FMS) on F.E. Warren Air Force Base, WY. The new readiness center/FMS shall have the capability to accommodate Army National Guard units from the Joint Force Headquarters Complex in Cheyenne, WY, if the state decides to relocate those units.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Francis E. Warren AFB, WY | GHLN053034 | Upgrade Missile Operations Gate | 49 | 4.700 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 49 | 4.700 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 49 | 4.700 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.240M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.847M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

**Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport,
Johnstown, PA**

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0.240 | 0.120 | 4.000 | 0 | 4.360 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.310 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.015 | 0.342 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.033 | 0.079 | 0.122 | 0.887 | 1.274 | 0.011 | 2.406 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0.553 | 0.500 | 0 | 0 | 1.053 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.343 | 0.096 | 0.915 | 1.507 | 5.274 | 0.026 | 8.161 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.343 | 0.096 | 0.915 | 1.507 | 5.274 | 0.026 | 8.161 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.343 | 0.096 | 0.915 | 1.507 | 5.274 | 0.026 | 8.161 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.343 | 0.096 | 0.915 | 1.507 | 5.274 | 0.026 | 8.161 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

**Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport,
Johnstown, PA**

Commission Recommendation: Close Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA. Relocate all Navy and Marine Corps squadrons, their aircraft and necessary personnel, equipment and support to McGuire Air Force Base, Cookstown, NJ. Relocate the minimum amount of manpower and equipment to support intermediate maintenance workload and capacity for Tire and Wheel, nondestruction inspections, and Aviation Life Support System equipment to McGuire Air Force Base. Relocate intermediate maintenance workload and capacity for Aircraft Components, Aircraft Engines, Fabrication & Manufacturing, and Support Equipment to Fleet Readiness Center East, Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point, NC. Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG), the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 124th Wing (ANG), Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, Boise, Idaho, the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 175th Wing (ANG), Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, Baltimore, Maryland, and the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan, to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 124th Wing (ANG), Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, Boise, Idaho.

Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 175th Wing (ANG), Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, Baltimore, Maryland.

Establish 24 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan.

If the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Pennsylvania Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth. Relocate Co A/228th Aviation to Fort Dix, Trenton, NJ. Relocate Reserve Intelligence Area 16 to Fort Dix.

Establish a contiguous enclave for the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) and the 270th Engineering Installation Squadron (ANG) sufficient to support operations of those units, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the former Naval Air Station as a civilian airport. The Army Reserve units not relocated from Willow Grove by this recommendation, as amended, and those relocated to Willow Grove by other recommendations, as amended, will be incorporated into the Armed Forces Reserve Center established by Army Recommendation 82. The property retained under Federal title to construct the AFRC shall be limited to the absolute minimum essential to construct that facility, shall be encompassed within the enclave established by the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) and the 270th Engineering Installation Squadron (ANG), and shall be sited to minimize interference with the Air Guard enclave and joint civilian use of the former Naval Air Station as a civilian airport. The Commission defines the authority granted to the Army by the words "retain essential facilities to support activities of the Reserve Components" where they appear in Army Recommendation 82, to be limited to the property necessary to construct AFRC itself. Should the Secretary of the Army determine that access to more property would be beneficial, a joint use agreement should be executed to obtain a tenancy from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 68, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA

Realign Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, PA, by relocating Marine Light Attack Helicopter Squadron Detachment A, to include all required personnel, equipment, and support, to McGuire Air Force Base.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2010 | Willow Grove ARS, NAS Willow Grove JRB, PA | ZAWA059334 | Establish Enclave | 68 | 4.000 |
| | Total FY 2010 | | | 68 | 4.000 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 68 | 4.000 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.360M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.120M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.342M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for civilian personnel and transportation of things. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$2.406M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.887M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: Funds Air National Guard training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.053M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.500M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 1.289 | 7.162 | 10.300 | 5.177 | 0 | 0 | 23.928 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.036 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.036 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 2.887 | 0.388 | 0.499 | 0.107 | 0.006 | 0 | 3.887 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 4.212 | 7.550 | 10.799 | 5.284 | 0.006 | 0 | 27.851 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 4.212 | 7.550 | 10.799 | 5.284 | 0.006 | 0 | 27.851 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 4.212 | 7.550 | 10.799 | 5.284 | 0.006 | 0 | 27.851 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.023 | 1.505 | 1.609 | 1.861 | 1.909 | 1.949 | 8.856 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 2.629 | 2.693 | 2.762 | 2.833 | 2.893 | 13.810 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0.023 | 4.134 | 4.302 | 4.623 | 4.743 | 4.842 | 22.667 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 1.140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.140 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 1.140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.140 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0.374 | 0.579 | 0.594 | 0.610 | 0.622 | 2.779 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0.704 | 1.443 | 1.480 | 1.518 | 1.550 | 6.695 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 5.529 | 11.329 | 11.618 | 11.919 | 12.169 | 52.564 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 1.708 | 1.750 | 1.794 | 1.841 | 1.879 | 8.972 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 3.670 | 3.760 | 3.855 | 3.955 | 4.038 | 19.278 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 11.985 | 18.861 | 19.341 | 19.842 | 20.259 | 90.289 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 13.125 | 18.861 | 19.341 | 19.842 | 20.259 | 91.429 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (5) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (5) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (142) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (142) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 4.212 | (5.575) | (8.062) | (14.057) | (19.836) | (20.259) | (63.578) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC

Commission Recommendation: Realign Eielson Air Force Base, AK. The 354th Fighter Wing's assigned A-10 aircraft will be distributed to the 917th Wing Barksdale Air Force Base, LA (three aircraft); to a new active-duty unit at Moody Air Force Base, GA (12 aircraft); and to backup inventory (three aircraft). The Air National Guard Tanker unit and rescue alert detachment will remain as tenants on Eielson. Realign Moody Air Force Base, by relocating base-level ALQ-184 intermediate maintenance to Shaw Air Force Base, SC, establishing a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) at Shaw Air Force Base, SC for ALQ-184 pods. Realign Shaw Air Force Base, relocating base-level TF-34 engine intermediate maintenance to Moody Air Force Base, establishing a CIRF at Moody Air Force Base for TF-34 engines.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Barksdale AFB, LA | AWUB085003 | BRAC AFR Squad Ops/Life Support | 79 | 0.649 |
| | | | | 91 | 1.301 |
| | | AWUB085003 | | Total | 1.950 |
| 2007 | Barksdale AFB, LA | AWUB085004 | BRAC AFR Aircraft Maintenance Squadron | 79 | 0.583 |
| | | | | 91 | 1.167 |
| | | AWUB085004 | | Total | 1.750 |
| 2007 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU073017 | Weapons Release Shop (A-10 BD) | 79 | 0.963 |
| | | | | 103 | 2.887 |
| | | QSEU073017 | | Total | 3.850 |
| 2007 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU073018 | Fuel Cell Hangar, 2 Bay (A-10 BD) | 79 | 1.925 |
| | | | | 103 | 5.775 |
| | | QSEU073018 | | Total | 7.700 |
| 2007 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU073020 | A-10 Engine Trim Pad | 79 | 0.413 |
| | | | | 103 | 1.237 |
| | | QSEU073020 | | Total | 1.650 |
| 2007 | Shaw AFB, SC | VLSB073006 | Add Alter ECM Pod shop; ALQ-184 CIRF | 79 | 1.850 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 79 | 6.383 |
| 2008 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU083019 | BRAC Dormitory, 120-PN | 79 | 3.500 |
| | | | | 103 | 10.500 |
| | | QSEU083019 | | Total | 14.000 |
| 2008 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093016 | TF-34 Engine CIRF (A-10 BD) | 79 | 6.800 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 79 | 10.300 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC

| | | | | | |
|------|------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093021 | LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm | 79 | 0.637 |
| | | | | 103 | 1.913 |
| | | QSEU093021 | | Total | 2.550 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093022 | BRAC Child Development Center | 79 | 1.316 |
| | | | | 103 | 2.684 |
| | | QSEU093022 | | Total | 4.000 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093026 | BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic | 79 | 0.329 |
| | | | | 103 | 0.671 |
| | | QSEU093026 | | Total | 1.000 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093027 | BRAC Transient Lodging Facility | 79 | 0.592 |
| | | | | 103 | 1.208 |
| | | QSEU093027 | | Total | 1.800 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093028 | BRAC Visiting Quarters | 79 | 0.855 |
| | | | | 103 | 1.745 |
| | | QSEU093028 | | Total | 2.600 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093029 | BRAC Community Activity Center | 79 | 1.448 |
| | | | | 103 | 2.952 |
| | | QSEU093029 | | Total | 4.400 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 79 | 5.177 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 79 | 21.860 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$2.068M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.036M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds A-10 TF-34 CIRF (Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility) equipment, shipping, and travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$3.887M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.107M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 79, Eielson AFB, AK; Moody AFB, GA; and Shaw AFB, SC

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$22.667M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$4.623M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$90.289M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$19.341M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -147. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MOODY - LOLA/RAMP/GUN BERM | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER QSEU093021 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,550 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 944 |
| APRON | | SM | 5,600 | 136 | (762) |
| LATRINE/LOAD CREW SHELTER | | SM | 230 | 225 | (52) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (41) |
| OUT-YEAR COST ESCALATION (4%) | | LS | | | (89) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 1,376 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (608) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (275) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (425) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (68) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 2,320 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 116 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 2,436 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 139 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 2,574 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 2,550 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 2,400 SY of new PCC apron and 1412 SY of PCC shoulders. Remove existing base, subbase and compact subgrade. Install new base and subbase along with new pavement. Paint apron markings. Relocate fire hydrants, electrical and install drainage. Construct 100 feet deep, 60 feet high gun berm the length of the pavement. Construct/realign 1500 LF of asphalt road, 19 feet wide with compacted soil subbase, asphalt concrete base and 3inches of asphalt. Project also include a latrine/shelter type facility and new 140 towers. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard: | | | | | |
| PROJECT: BRAC MOODY - LOLA/RAMP/GUN BERM | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: A Permanent Live Ordnance Loading Area (LOLA) parking ramp and an A-10 Gun Berm adequately sized, configured and sited to meet explosive safety requirements are required to support the BRAC directed beddown of 48 PAA A-10 Combat Coded Fighter Aircraft at Moody AFB . Project provides a safe area for the live loading of munitions on the A-10 aircraft during integrated combat turns. The A-10 Gun Berm is required to safely work on a jammed 30MM A-10 Guns and for the safe containment in the event there is weapons mishap. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: Adequate apron space with the appropriate explosive safety quantity distance clearances for the loading of live ordnance on the A-10 aircraft is not available. Moody AFB does not have an adequately sized and configured Gun Berm to support the operational training requirements of a 48 PAA A-10 aircraft. | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: An adequate LOLA, that meets explosive safety quantity distances, will not be available to conduct loading of live munitions on the A-10 aircraft during integrated combat turns and other training requirements. A Gun Berm | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MOODY - LOLA/RAMP/GUN BERM | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER QSEU093021 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,550 |
| <p>properly sized and configured will not be available for the safe maintenance and clearing of a jammed 30MM Gun on the A-10 weapon system. Without this project aircrews, load crews, base personnel and aircraft will be exposed to high safety risks and will significantly impact the ability of the 347th Rescue Wing and the A-10 flying mission to perform its intended mission at Moody AFB. The overall combat readiness of the unit would be significantly impacted affecting the overall combat effectiveness of the Combat Air Forces.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovations, new construction) was completed. Analysis indicates there is no other option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, an economic analysis was not accomplished. A Certificate of exception is being prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Edwin H. Oshiba (229) 257-3601. LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm: 2,400 SY.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MOODY - LOLA/RAMP/GUN BERM | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER QSEU093021 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,550 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2011 | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 17 Sep 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 153 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 77 |
| (c) Total | | | 230 |
| (d) Contract | | | 191 |
| (e) In-house | | | 38 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 Feb |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 Mar |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 Feb |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 | |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | | 6. Category Code 744-884 | 7. Project Number QSEU093022 | | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 4,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | | |
| Item | | U/M | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost (\$000) | |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 2,488 | |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | SM | 1,257 | 1,970 | (2,476) | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (12) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 1,145 | |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (260) | |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (275) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (460) | |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (150) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 3,633 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | <u>182</u> | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 3,815 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | <u>217</u> | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 4,032 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 4,000 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (1,681.0) | |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, metal stud framed building, insulated maintenance free exterior walls, standing seam metal roof, force protection system, utilities, parking, access road and site improvements. Functional areas include core administration area; staff support area, facility support area, child care areas, external playground and all other support necessary to provide a complete and usable facility. Project will comply with all DoD force protection standards. Air Conditioning: 80 Tons</p> | | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 2,707 SM | | Adequate: 1,450 SM | | Substandard: 0 SM | | |
| PROJECT: BRAC - Construct a Child Development Center (New Mission) | | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate facilities in support of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendation to beddown 48 A-10 aircraft to Moody AFB. This beddown will bring an additional 1,028 military personnel along with their dependents to the local area. This population growth will increase the requirement for child care by an estimated 128 children. Force protection/anti-terrorism measures will meet minimum DoD standards. | | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: The existing child development center already supports 110 children and there are 140 children currently on the waiting list. A Needs Assessment completed in Jun 05 identified that the current base requirement is 239 children and that the existing center should be expanded or replaced. The current facility cannot support the increase in children associated with this beddown. | | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: This project reflects the Air Force commitment to improve the quality-of-life for service members and their families. Without this facility, childcare services will continue to be extremely limited at Moody AFB. Service members will be forced to use off-base facilities which are already inadequate, inconvenient and expensive in the local community. | | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". Supporting facility costs exceed 25% due to underground utilities, site clearing, and pavements required to make the facility complete and useable. An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovations, new construction) was completed. Analysis indicates there is no other option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, an economic analysis was not accomplished. A Certificate of Exception is being prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Ed Oshiba (229) 257-3601. Child Development Center: 1,257 SM = 13,525 SF. | | | | | | |
| JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with Navy, Army and Marine Corps dependent children; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force. | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 744-884 | 7. Project Number QSEU093022 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 4,000 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- (a) Date Design Started 31 Oct 07
- (b) Date Design 35% Complete 15 Feb 08
- (c) Date Design Complete 30 Jun 08
- (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost YES
- (e) Type of Design Contract
- (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed YES

(2) Basis

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used NO
- (b) Where Design Was Previously Used

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 240
- (b) All Other Design Costs 120
- (c) Total 360
- (d) Contract Cost 270
- (e) In-House Cost 90

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| EQUIPMENT/ FURNISHINGS | 3400 | 2010 | 1,481 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 200 |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY ADD/ALTER DENTAL CLINIC | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 510-001 | 7. Project Number QSEU093026 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 1,000 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Oct 07 |
| (b) Date Design 35% Complete | 15 Feb 08 |
| (c) Date Design Complete | 30 May 08 |
| (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost | YES |
| (e) Type of Design Contract | |
| (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed | YES |

(2) Basis

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Previously Used | |

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 60 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 30 |
| (c) Total | 90 |
| (d) Contract Cost | 75 |
| (e) In-House Cost | 15 |

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| EQUIPMENT/FURNISHINGS | 3400 | 2010 | 235 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 40 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 740-443 | 7. Project Number QSEU093027 | | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 1,800 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| Item | U/M | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost (\$000) | |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | 840 | |
| TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY | SM | 432 | 1,935 | (836) | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | LS | | | (4) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 740 | |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (385) | |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (100) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (210) | |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (45) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 1,580 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | 79 | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 1,659 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 95 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 1,754 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 1,800 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | (162.0) | |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slabs; brick masonry walls; standing seam metal roofs; fire detection/protection; storage; utilities; parking; access roads; site preparation; communication support and all other support necessary to provide a complete and usable facility. Project will comply with all DoD force protection standards. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 2,014 SM Adequate: 1,582 SM Substandard: 0 SM | | | | | |
| PROJECT: BRAC - Construct a Transient Lodging Facility (New Mission) | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide 6 TLF spaces in support of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendation to beddown A-10 aircraft at Moody AFB. The base will be required to support the additional personnel with TLF space. The additional quarters are essential to successful accomplishment of the A-10 mission. Force protection will comply with at least the minimum DoD standards. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, there is not enough square footage to accommodate the additional TLF requirement as a result of BRAC and the A-10 mission coming to Moody AFB. The current TLF is not large enough to accommodate the influx of additional personnel. The facility is at its maximum for the current base population. Adequate, existing space is not available for TLF support for the additional A-10 personnel. | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate transient lodging for the A-10 personnel will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity and career satisfaction for the additional personnel. | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL: Funding is provided from the Base Closure Account. Supporting facility costs exceed 25% due to site clearing and pavements required to make the facility complete and useable. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A Certificate of Exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Ed Oshiba (229) 257-3601. Transient Lodging Facility: 432 SM = 4,644 SF. | | | | | |
| JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 740-443 | 7. Project Number QSEU093027 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 1,800 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

| | |
|--|-----------|
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Oct 07 |
| (b) Date Design 35% Complete | 15 Feb 08 |
| (c) Date Design Complete | 30 May 08 |
| (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost | YES |
| (e) Type of Design Contract | |
| (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed | YES |

(2) Basis

| | |
|--|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Previously Used | |

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

| | |
|--|-----|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 108 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 54 |
| (c) Total | 162 |
| (d) Contract Cost | 122 |
| (e) In-House Cost | 40 |

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| EQUIPMENT/FURNISHINGS | 3400 | 2010 | 127 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 35 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-----------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 | |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY VISITING QUARTERS | | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | | 6. Category Code 724-417 | 7. Project Number QSEU093028 | | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 2,600 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | | |
| Item | | U/M | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost (\$000) | |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 1,619 | |
| VISITING QUARTERS | | SM | 906 | 1,778 | (1,611) | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (8) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 735 | |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (350) | |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (100) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (210) | |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (75) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 2,354 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | <u>118</u> | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 2,472 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | <u>141</u> | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 2,613 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 2,600 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (164.0) | |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slabs; brick masonry walls; standing seam metal roofs; fire detection/protection; storage; utilities; parking; site preparation; communication support and all other support necessary to provide a complete and usable facility. Project will comply with all DoD force protection standards. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons | | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 906 SM Adequate: 3,382 SM Substandard: 0 SM PROJECT: BRAC - Construct Visiting Quarters (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide 15 VQ spaces in support of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendation to beddown A-10 aircraft at Moody AFB. The base will be required to support the additional personnel with space for visiting officers. The additional quarters are essential to successful accomplishment of the A-10 mission. Force protection will comply with at least the minimum DoD standards. CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, there are not enough VQs to accommodate the additional visitors as a result of BRAC and the A-10 mission coming to Moody AFB. The existing facility is undersized for the current base population. Existing space is not available for VQ support for the additional A-10 personnel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate quarters for the A-10 personnel will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity and career satisfaction for the additional personnel. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. Supporting facility costs exceeds 25% due to site clearing and pavements required to make the facility complete and useable. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Ed Oshiba phone (229) 257-3601. Visiting Quarters: 906 SM = 9,750 SF. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY VISITING QUARTERS | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 724-417 | 7. Project Number QSEU093028 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 2,600 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Oct 07 |
| (b) Date Design 35% Complete | 15 Feb 08 |
| (c) Date Design Complete | 30 Jun 08 |
| (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost | YES |
| (e) Type of Design Contract | |
| (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed | YES |

(2) Basis

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Previously Used | |

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- | | |
|--|-----|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 156 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 78 |
| (c) Total | 234 |
| (d) Contract Cost | 176 |
| (e) In-House Cost | 58 |

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| FURNISHINGS/EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 114 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 50 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-----------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 | |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY COMMUNITY ACTIVITY CENTER | | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | | 6. Category Code 740-316 | 7. Project Number QSEU093029 | | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 4,400 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | | |
| Item | | U/M | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost (\$000) | |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 2,859 | |
| COMMUNITY ACTIVITY CENTER | | SM | 1,180 | 2,411 | (2,845) | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (14) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 1,110 | |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (260) | |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (300) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (400) | |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (150) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 3,969 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | <u>198</u> | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 4,167 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | <u>237</u> | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 4,404 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 4,400 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (339.0) | |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slabs; brick masonry walls; standing seam metal roofs; fire detection/protection; storage; utilities; parking; site preparation; communications; social, recreation, and community activities; education and vocational classes; competitive activities; family activities; information, ticket and tour (ITT) operations and all other Services operations and support necessary to provide a complete and useable facility. Project alters attached facility to support administration requirements and will comply with all DoD force protection standards.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 50 Tons</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>11. Requirement: 1,180 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM</p> <p>PROJECT: BRAC - Community Activity Center (New Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate Community Activity/Recreational space in support of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendation to beddown A-10 personnel at Moody AFB. The facility will service as a center of recreation to enhance the life of the military community through recreation and leisure-time activities. The base will be required to support the additional 1,028 active duty military personnel with Community Activity Recreation space for new personnel. The additional Community Activity/Recreational space is essential to successful accomplishment of the A-10 mission. Will comply with minimum DoD standard force protection requirements.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, there is no central Community Activity Center on Moody AFB. The base does have a library and a skills development center. Existing space is not available for Community Activity/Recreational support for the additional A-10 personnel. This requirement only supports the additional increase in personnel due to BRAC and does correct the current deficiency.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate Community Activity/Recreational support for the A-10 personnel will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity and career satisfaction for the additional personnel.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. Supporting facility costs exceed 25% due to underground utilities, site clearing, and pavements required to make the facility complete and useable. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A Certificate of Exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Ed Oshiba (229) 257-3601. Community Activity Center: 1,180 SM = 12,700 SF.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements</p> | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY COMMUNITY ACTIVITY CENTER | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 740-316 | 7. Project Number QSEU093029 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 4,400 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- (a) Date Design Started 31 Oct 07
- (b) Date Design 35% Complete 15 Feb 08
- (c) Date Design Complete 30 Jun 08
- (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost YES
- (e) Type of Design Contract
- (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed YES

(2) Basis

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used NO
- (b) Where Design Was Previously Used

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 264
- (b) All Other Design Costs 132
- (c) Total 396
- (d) Contract Cost 297
- (e) In-House Cost 99

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| FURNISHINGS/EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 316 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 23 |

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 8.900 | 21.102 | 45.136 | 52.286 | 2.298 | 0 | 129.722 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.098 | 0.022 | 0.085 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.205 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.153 | 3.347 | 0.211 | 1.792 | 0.135 | 4.179 | 9.817 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.301 | 0 | 0.060 | 0.361 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 9.152 | 24.471 | 45.432 | 54.379 | 2.433 | 4.239 | 140.106 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 9.152 | 24.471 | 45.432 | 54.379 | 2.433 | 4.239 | 140.106 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 9.152 | 24.471 | 45.432 | 54.379 | 2.433 | 4.239 | 140.106 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.539 | 8.190 | 10.111 | 10.437 | 10.656 | 39.933 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 4.755 | 5.763 | 5.912 | 6.036 | 22.465 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.539 | 12.945 | 15.873 | 16.349 | 16.692 | 62.398 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 1.207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.207 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 1.207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.207 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.267 | 0.918 | 1.604 | 1.638 | 4.427 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.394 | 1.008 | 1.242 | 1.268 | 3.913 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 3.329 | 7.538 | 8.461 | 8.639 | 27.968 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 6.709 | 7.071 | 7.255 | 7.237 | 28.272 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.352 | 2.413 | 2.463 | 7.228 |
| Recapitalization | 1.658 | 1.699 | 1.740 | 1.785 | 1.831 | 1.869 | 10.581 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 5.317 | 8.737 | 8.964 | 5.875 | 28.893 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 1.658 | 1.699 | 17.756 | 29.410 | 31.770 | 28.990 | 111.283 |
| Grand Total Savings | 1.658 | 1.699 | 18.963 | 29.410 | 31.770 | 28.990 | 112.490 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 20 | (16) | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | (63) | (19) | 0 | 0 | (82) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 7.494 | 22.772 | 26.469 | 24.969 | (29.337) | (24.751) | 27.616 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK

Commission Recommendation: Contingent on the availability of adequate military construction funds to provide the necessary facilities at Elmendorf AFB, AK, close Kulis Air Guard Station (AGS), AK. Relocate the 176th Wing (ANG) and associated aircraft (eight C-130Hs, three HC-130Ns, and five HH-60s) and Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) to Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK. Realign Elmendorf Air Force Base. The 176th Wing at Elmendorf will form an ANG/active duty association with 12 C-130H aircraft. The Commission recommends 3d Wing at Elmendorf Air Force Base will distribute 18 of 42 assigned F-15C/D aircraft to the 1st Fighter Wing, Langley Air Force Base, VA and 6 to an Air National Guard unit.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069007 | C-17 Engine Facility | 80 | 2.298 |
| | | | | 110 | 0.702 |
| | | FXSB069007 | | Total | 3.000 |
| 2007 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093031 | C-17 Acft Parking Apron | 80 | 4.902 |
| | | | | 110 | 1.498 |
| | | FXSB093031 | | Total | 6.400 |
| 2007 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093032 | Construct Infra Utilities | 80 | 13.164 |
| | | | | 110 | 4.021 |
| | | FXSB093032 | | Total | 17.185 |
| | | | | | |
| | | Total FY 2007 | | 80 | 20.364 |
| 2008 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069016 | Aircraft Maintenance Complex | 80 | 13.788 |
| | | | | 110 | 4.212 |
| | | FXSB069016 | | Total | 18.000 |
| 2008 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069017 | Pararescue Operations Cmp | 80 | 19.000 |
| 2008 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069101 | Alter Alert Helicopter Hangar | 80 | 3.500 |
| 2008 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093024 | Alter Helicopter Maint Hq | 80 | 4.000 |
| | | Total FY 2008 | | 80 | 40.288 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069008 | Alter Bldg 8515 for Supply and Security Forces | 80 | 5.600 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069018 | Operations and Training Facility | 80 | 8.900 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069022 | Base Engineer Complex | 80 | 0.500 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069100 | Medical Training Facility | 80 | 5.400 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069102 | Add to Combat Arms Maintenance and Training Simulator | 80 | 1.000 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069105 | Add to Aerial Port | 80 | 1.455 |
| | | | | 110 | 0.445 |
| | | FXSB069105 | | Total | 1.900 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK

| | | | | | |
|------|-------------------|-------------|--|-----------|----------------|
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093016 | Acft Support Eq Shop | 80 | 2.298 |
| | | | | 110 | 0.702 |
| | | FXSB093016 | | Total | 3.000 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093017 | Training Fire Station | 80 | 2.500 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093022 | Fuel Cell/Corrosion Control Facility | 80 | 16.852 |
| | | | | 110 | 5.148 |
| | | FXSB093022 | | Total | 22.000 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093029 | Add to and Alter for Squad Ops and AMU | 80 | 6.281 |
| | | | | 110 | 1.919 |
| | | FXSB093029 | | Total | 8.200 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093034 | Vehicle Maintenance Shop | 80 | 1.500 |
| | | | Total FY 2009 | 80 | 52.286 |
| 2010 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093031A | Aircraft Parking Apron Phase II | 80 | 2.298 |
| | | | | 110 | 0.702 |
| | | FXSB093031A | | Total | 3.000 |
| | | | Total FY 2010 | 80 | 2.298 |
| | | | Total FY 06-11* | 80 | 115.236 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$14.486M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.205M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for minor construction, facilities alteration projects, SATAF travel, and equipment movement. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$9.817M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.792M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides funds for reserve component military personnel man days. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.361M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.301M.

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 80, Kulis AGS, AK, Elmendorf AFB, AK

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$62.398M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$15.873M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$111.283M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$29.410M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -78. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -35.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE SEP 20, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ALTER BUILDING 8515 FOR SUPPLY AND SECURITY FORCES | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 442-758 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069008 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,600 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ALTER BUILDING 8515 FOR SUPPLY AND SEC FORCES | SM | 3,865 | | 4,631 |
| ALTER FOR BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE | SM | 2,137 | 861 | (1,840) |
| ALTER FOR BASE SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION | SM | 660 | 1,615 | (1,066) |
| ALTER FOR SECURITY FORCES | SM | 1,068 | 1,615 | (1,725) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | LS | | | 440 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (130) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | LS | | | (130) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (90) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (90) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 5,071 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 254 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 5,325 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 320 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 5,645 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 5,600 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Comprehensive renovation of interior to include wall configurations, interior finishes and floor and ceiling coverings, lighting fixtures, electrical distribution, plumbing, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, and communications and alarm systems. Install secure weapons vault and storage capability. Provide interior finishes to include gypsum board walls, tile or carpet floor covering, and drop ceiling. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, plumbing, fire detection and suppression, and security and communications systems. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as AT/FP security measures and all other work as necessary. Install utility metering and connect to Direct Digital Control System. Air Conditioning: 525 KW. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 3,865 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 3,865 SM PROJECT: Alter Building 8515 for Supply and Security Forces (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized base supply administration and warehouse complex to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft, relocated as a result of Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) direction. This project will renovate a portion of Building 8515, a former commissary facility, to accommodate supply warehouse, supply administration, and security forces requirements for the ANG. Warehouse functions include bulk and bin storage of materials, receiving, shipping, packing, crating, equipment storage and issue, general supply, courtesy storage, base issue supply point, and contracting. Space for mobility storage not identified within other ANG facilities is included in this facility. Storage areas should be designed with a 20 foot clear height, with remainder of warehouse and administrative areas using a 12 foot clear height. Provide for administrative areas, training classroom, break, latrine, and locker areas. There is a companion project, FXSB069101, Alter Alert Copter Hangar, which contains a forward supply point for mission critical, time sensitive parts and constructs the balance of the supply requirement at a location adjacent to the maintenance and flying operations vice the supply complex location which is far removed from the | | | | |

| | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE SEP 20, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ALTER BUILDING 8515 FOR SUPPLY AND SECURITY FORCES | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069008 | |
| <p>operational area. Security Forces operations functional requirements includespace for command and training elements to include law enforcement, crime prevention, investigation, training, information management, resource protection, and personnel security. Adequate parking for patrol vehicles is required.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> A site survey of existing facilities at Elmendorf found that a portion of the ANG base supply function and all of the security forces operations requirements can be accommodated inside Building 8515, the former base commissary facility. The facility will be shared with the host active duty Wing and will house functions as determined by the 3rd Wing. This project only alters portions of the facility assigned for ANG use as the facility requires alteration in order to accommodate this change of use. This project represents a portion of the ANG supply warehouse requirement and renovates areas of the existing facility for functions that are less time critical to aircraft operations. Mission critical parts storage will be housed in a flight line facility as it is approximately a 45 minute drive from the existing supply facility to the ANG aircraft ramp and maintenance areas. Traveling back and forth is logistically impractical for the ANG given the limited time on a drill weekend and the limited manpower during non-drill flying operations. That requirement is separate from this project. The facility must also accommodate administrative functions to house security forces operational requirements and must include a weapons storage vault.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the 176th Wing with out this project and the identified existing facility. Logistical operations required to support training needs as well as operational rescue missions would be impacted resulting in a lack of spare parts and equipment for aircraft and supporting systems rendering them incapable of meeting demands. Training would not occur and operational and mobility readiness would decrease to the point of limited unit effectiveness. Expensive and pilferable parts, supplies, and equipment would be stored unsecured or outside in a harsh Arctic climate and would not be appropriately accounted or would prematurely deteriorate. The Security Forces function would not be able to properly bed down as directed without this project and the use of Building 8515. Active Duty Security Forces functions are already inadequately housed and could not accommodate ANG requirements except via this solution.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements. There is a companion project, FXSB069101, Alter Alert Copter Hangar , which contains a forward supply point for mission critical, time sensitive parts and constructs the balance of the supply requirement at a location adjacent to the maintenance and flying operations vice the supply complex location which is far removed from the operational area. HAZMAT storage requirements will be fully integrated into 3rd Wing's HAZMAT Pharmacy; that action will have no facility requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007 ALTER FOR BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE 2,137 SM = 23,000 SF ALTER FOR BASE SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION 660 SM = 7,100 SF ALTER FOR SECURITY FORCES 1,068 SM = 11,500 SF</p> | | |

| | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE SEP 20, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ALTER BUILDING 8515 FOR SUPPLY AND SECURITY FORCES | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069008 | |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started OCT 2006 (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs YES (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 35% * (d) Date 35% Designed DEC 2008 (e) Date Design Complete APR 2009 (f) Type of Design Contract (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 105 (b) All Other Design Costs 53 (c) Total 158 (d) Contract 158 (e) In-House (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) MAY 2009 (5) Construction Start JUN 2009 (6) Construction Completion MAR 2010 * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042 | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-445 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069018 | | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$8,900 |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| OPERATIONS AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | | SF | 17,055 | | 6,700 |
| OPERATIONS AND TRAINING AREA | | SF | 15,055 | 385 | (5,796) |
| WING HEADQUARTERS | | SF | 2,000 | 435 | (870) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | | SF | 17,055 | 2 | (34) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | LS | | | 1,336 |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (340) |
| PAVEMENT | | LS | | | (275) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (275) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (275) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | | SF | 17,055 | 10 | (171) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 8,036 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 402 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 8,438 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 506 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 8,944 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 8,900 |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing metal seam roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include gypsum board walls, tile or carpet floor coverings, and drop ceilings. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and security and communications systems. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as AT/FP security measures and all other work necessary. Air Conditioning: 75 Tons.</p> | | | | | |
| <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 17,055 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Operations and Training Facility (BRAC) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized operations and training complex to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft. The facility serves as an administrative support facility for the wing commander and his staff. Functional areas include: space for the wing commander and staff, administration, the support group commander and staff, judge advocate, accounting and finance, chaplain, historian, personnel, publications distributions, social actions, counseling, family support, recruiting, comptroller, conference area, and classrooms, break areas, and latrines. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> A site survey found that existing excess facilities for the relocation of the 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB are not available. Host command and control facilities do not have sufficient space to accommodate the entire support mission and training of drill status members. Additionally, the existing functional spaces are widely dispersed across the base, causing disjointed operations and lost training opportunities due to shuttle time between operational areas. Where possible, some functional areas will be integrated with corresponding 3rd Wing functional areas so as to achieve a better operational efficiency and synergy.</p> | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069018 | |
| <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB in violation of the BRAC recommendations. Coordinated effort of three flying squadrons would be severely impacted without a centralized operations and training facility. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the 176th Wing, even with functional integration, without this project. Extensively dispersed operations would result in severe command and control degradation.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Project scope has been reduced by 5,645 SF from ANG requirement as some functions otherwise supported by this facility will be integrated in with corresponding 3rd Wing functions. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> | | |
| Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007 | | |
| OPERATIONS AND TRAINING AREA WING HEADQUARTERS FIRE PROTECTION | 15,055 SF = 1,399 SM 2,000 SF = 186 SM 17,055 SF = 1,584 SM | |

| | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 30, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069018 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | |
| (1) Status: | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | DEC 2006 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | YES |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | | 30% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | MAR 2008 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | OCT 2008 |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | N/A |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | 732 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | 366 |
| (c) Total | | 1,098 |
| (d) Contract | | 1,098 |
| (e) In-House | | |
| (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) | | FEB 2009 |
| (5) Construction Start | | MAR 2009 |
| (6) Construction Completion | | MAR 2011 |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability. | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: | | N/A |
| POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042 | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-BASE ENGINEER FACILITY | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 610-127 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069022 | | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$500 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| BASE ENGINEER TRAINING COMPLEX | | SF | 15,085 | | 452 |
| RENOVATE FOR BASE ENGINEER ADMINISTRATION | | SF | 15,085 | 28 | (422) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | | SF | 15,085 | 2 | (30) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 452 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 23 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 475 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 29 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 504 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 500 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Comprehensive facility renovation to include reconfiguration of interior spaces, modification of interior walls, ceilings, and floor areas and utility and building systems as necessary. Modify or retrofit systems to include lighting, electrical power service, floor covering, heat, ventilation and air conditioning, communications, plumbing, and fire protection detection and suppression. Air Conditioning: 10 Tons. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 15,085 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF <u>PROJECT</u> : Base Engineer Facility (BRAC) <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : The 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized base engineer training complex to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft. This project will renovate an existing engineering facility in Building 5312. Provides space for the principal administrative offices of the Base Civil Engineer's (BCE's) organization, areas for squadron administration, engineering, operations, resources, disaster preparedness (readiness), and planning and work control. Also contains a drafting area, several conference rooms, and various administrative support areas. Provide space for primary engineer production center and its activities, including structures (metals, carpentry, masonry etc), electrical (interior/exterior), power production, utilities (plumbing, water/waste etc), liquid fuels, entomology, HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, refrigeration)), and others. Each function needs space, layout, and equipment for shop, administrative, storage, and training functions. Parking for the disaster preparedness Mobile Command Post is required. Provide for heated, enclosed space for storage of equipment and supplies needed for installation operations and maintenance. In pavements and ground function, provide space for three work centers and provide office space as well as storage space for small tools and equipment as well as bench stock. Provide for break, locker, and latrine areas. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : A site survey indicated Elmendorf AFB can make available Building 5312 for use as a Base Engineer Administrative facility to accommodate the engineer administrative function, the planning, design, and construction function, environmental management, disaster preparedness and training, and a variety of production and material control activities. Shop space, vehicle operations and storage, and some selected material storage spaces will be shared by making use of existing 3rd Wing engineer facilities. Use of Building 5312 accommodates just 60% of an entire base engineer complex requirement; the remaining 40% will be met through sharing and co-use of engineer facilities currently | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-BASE ENGINEER FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069022 | |
| <p>assigned to 3rd Wing. As Building 5312 is over 65 years old, it will require appropriate rejuvenation, renovation, and reconfiguration to accommodate the 176th Wing's engineer mission.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely and appropriately bed down the 176th Wing without this project and the reuse and sharing of identified facilities. Synergies expected through collocation and shared use would not result, which would overburden one engineer squadron and render the other incapable of meeting training and mission taskings. Training would not occur and operational and mobility readiness would decrease to the point of limited unit effectiveness.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> <p>RENOVATE FOR BASE ENGINEER ADMINISTRATION 15,085 SF = 1,401 SM</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|-----|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|----|--------------|----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 30, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-BASE ENGINEER FACILITY | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>OCT 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JAN 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) DEC 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start JAN 2010</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion DEC 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2009 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2009 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | N/A | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 40 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 20 | (c) Total | 60 | (d) Contract | 60 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 40 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-450 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069100 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,400 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| BRAC-MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | | SM | 929 | | 4,120 |
| MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | | SM | 929 | 4,413 | (4,100) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | | SM | 929 | 22 | (20) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 725 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (200) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (125) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (125) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (175) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | | SM | 929 | 108 | (100) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 4,845 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 242 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 5,087 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 305 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 5,392 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 5,400 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing metal seam roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include gypsum board walls, tile or carpet floor coverings, and drop ceilings. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and security and communications systems. Provide plumbing and connections unique to medical equipment such as oxygen capability, exam room requirements, or pharmacy locks and alarms. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as AT/FP security measures and all other work necessary. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 929 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Medical Training Facility (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized medical training facility to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft. The facility serves as a training and administrative support facility for medical and health and wellness purposes. Required functional space includes areas designated for the commander, chief nurse, physical and dental exams, immunization, classrooms, nurse station, bio-environmental engineering and environmental health, and medical administration to support a unit as well as separate latrine, locker, and break areas and a training ambulance shelter. CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey found that existing excess facilities for the relocation of the 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB are not available, especially when considering airfield safety and clear zone criteria requirements. Training opportunities could exist with Elmendorf's medical function and its existing hospital; however, no dedicated space was available to permanently assign to on going ANG mission requirements. The Elmendorf hospital is faced with a growing number of beneficiaries generated by joint basing, mission realignment, and large increases in reserve component activation, and hence medical eligibility. The hospital can not physically accommodate the ANG training mission or support its personnel without this project as a result. The survey determined the best location for the | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069100 | | | | | |
| <p>medical facility would be in close proximity to the existing hospital so that shared use of facilities could occur when available.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB in violation of the BRAC recommendations. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the 176th Wing, even with functional integration, without this project. Medical training and support to the ANG unit would be compromised which would degrade the unit's readiness in the event of a real world contingency as well as the ability to support on-going rescue operations.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> <table data-bbox="207 1045 1177 1108"> <tr> <td>MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY</td> <td>929 SM = 10,000 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FIRE PROTECTION</td> <td>929 SM = 10,000 SF</td> </tr> </table> | | | MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | 929 SM = 10,000 SF | FIRE PROTECTION | 929 SM = 10,000 SF |
| MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | 929 SM = 10,000 SF | | | | | |
| FIRE PROTECTION | 929 SM = 10,000 SF | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>OCT 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JUL 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>250</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>125</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>375</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>375</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) NOV 2008</p> <p>(5) Construction Start JAN 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion JAN 2011</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JUL 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2009 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 250 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 125 | (c) Total | 375 | (d) Contract | 375 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JUL 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 250 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 125 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 375 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 375 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE SEP 20, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO COMBAT ARMS MAINTENANCE AND TRAINING SIMULATOR | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 730-835 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069102 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| BRAC-ADD TO CATS/CATM | SM | 223 | | 773 |
| ADD TO COMBAT ARMS TRAINING MAINTENANCE | SM | 130 | 3,444 | (448) |
| ADD TO COMBAT ARMS TRAINING SIMULATOR | SM | 93 | 3,444 | (320) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | SM | 223 | 22 | (5) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | LS | | | 129 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (30) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (30) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | LS | | | (15) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (30) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | SM | 223 | 108 | (24) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 902 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 45 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 947 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 57 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 1,004 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 1,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing seam metal roof, all architecturally compatible with and connected to existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include masonry walls and tile floor coverings, and drop ceilings. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and security and communications systems. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as AT/FP security measures and all other necessary work. Install utility metering and connect to Direct Digital Control System. Air Conditioning: 18 KW. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 223 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Add to and Combat Arms Maintenance and Training Simulator Facility (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized small arms training function in support of 12 PAA C-130, 3 PSS HC-130, and 5 PAA HH-60 aircraft, relocated as a result of BRAC direction. Small arms training functional requirements include space for classroom instruction, program administration, weapons maintenance, storage, and firing simulator area as well as locker room, latrine, and break areas. CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey of Elmendorf AFB as well as subsequent programmatic actions has determined that the unit requires new spaces for the combat arms training functions for which there is no such space available. The unit will jointly use portions of Elmendorf's combat arms training facility, but that facility can not completely accommodate ANG requirements so additional space is required through new construction. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the Wing without this project. Complete sharing of | | | | |

| | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE SEP 20, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO COMBAT ARMS MAINTENANCE AND TRAINING SIMULATOR | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069102 | |
| <p>active duty facilities does not provide a bed down solution because of limited space or space encumbered by safety criteria violations.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air National Guard Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements" and is in compliance with the base master plan. Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. All known alternatives/options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements. Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> <p>ADD TO COMBAT ARMS TRAINING MAINTENANCE 130 SM = 1,400 SF ADD TO COMBAT ARMS TRAINING SIMULATOR 93 SM = 1,000 SF FIRE PROTECTION 223 SM = 2,400 SF</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE SEP 20, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO COMBAT ARMS MAINTENANCE AND TRAINING SIMULATOR | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069102 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>OCT 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>DEC 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>APR 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>57</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) JUN 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start JUL 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion JUL 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | DEC 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | APR 2009 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 57 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 43 | (c) Total | 100 | (d) Contract | 100 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | DEC 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | APR 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 57 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 43 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AERIAL PORT | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-873 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069105 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,900 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADD TO AERIAL PORT FACILITY | | SM | 548 | | 1,404 |
| AERIAL PORT ADMINISTRATION | | SM | 102 | 3,767 | (384) |
| AERIAL PORT STORAGE | | SM | 446 | 2,260 | (1,008) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | | SM | 548 | 22 | (12) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 309 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (25) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (25) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (150) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (50) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | | SM | 548 | 108 | (59) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,713 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 86 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,799 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 108 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,907 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,900 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing seam metal roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include masonry and gypsum board walls, tile or carpet floor coverings, drop ceilings, and locker room areas. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide for special power and ventallation requirements in avionics areas. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as AT/FP security measures and all other work necessary. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,319 SM ADEQUATE: 771 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Add to Aerial Port (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized aerial port training facility in support of the 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 PAA HH-60 aircraft. This project will renovate and add to an existing aerial port function in Building 15380. Functional areas include space to tower dry, fold, and pack parachutes, to pack and store training loads and supplies, and space for administrative functions, classrooms, tool cribs, bench stock, latrines, and lockers. CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey indicated Elmendorf AFB and the Air Mobility Command can make available portions of Building 15380 for ANG use as an aerial port facility. Available space approximates the space the 3rd Wing used as an aerial port facility as gained from Air Mobility Command. Elmendorf ABF is not able to meet the balance of the ANG requirement of 5,900 SF through existing facilities located anywhere near the aerial port facility, and thus new construction is required to make up the shortfall. The aerial delivery bay area is identified for joint use, with ANG storage and administrative spaces constructed separately. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The 176th Wing will not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AERIAL PORT | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069105 | |
| <p>exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the Wing without this project and the space identified for joint operations. All other potential base space is limited, malpositioned, or encumbered by safety criteria violations. Aerial port operations is a key function for C-130 mobility operations, and requisite training and subsequent mission performance can not happen without providing for this function. C-130 operations would be ineffective without an aerial port function.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air National Guard Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements" and is in compliance with the base master plan. Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. All known alternatives/options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption is being prepared. Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components. Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> | | |
| AERIAL PORT ADMINISTRATION AERIAL PORT STORAGE FIRE PROTECTION | 102 SM = 1,100 SF 446 SM = 4,800 SF 548 SM = 5,900 SF | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AERIAL PORT | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069105 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>OCT 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>DEC 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>APR 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>95</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>151</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>151</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) JUN 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start JUL 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion DEC 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | DEC 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | APR 2009 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 95 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 56 | (c) Total | 151 | (d) Contract | 151 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | DEC 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | APR 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 95 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 56 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 151 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 151 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 218-712 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093016 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$3,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP | SM | 650 | | 2,113 |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP AREA | SM | 650 | 3,229 | (2,099) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | SM | 650 | 22 | (14) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 560 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (200) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (250) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (100) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (10) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 2,673 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 134 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 2,807 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 168 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 2,975 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 3,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing metal seam roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include masonry walls, tile floor coverings, and drop ceilings. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements. Include antiterrorism/force protection measures as appropriate. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,068 SM ADEQUATE: 418 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Aircraft Support Equipment Shop (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized aircraft support equipment shop facility to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft. The shop inspects, maintains, repairs, and services both powered and non-powered equipment that directly support aircraft. The facility includes maintenance stalls with work benches, hoists, indoor wash rack, tool crib, bench stock, sealed lead acid battery servicing area, engine exhaust extraction system, administrative space, and latrine and personnel locker space. CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey indicates Elmendorf AFB has space available in Buildings 14415 and 15423, totaling approximately 4,500 SF, that will be designated as joint-use space in the area where the relocated aircraft will be parked. Elmendorf ABF is not able to meet the balance of the ANG requirement of 7,000 SF through existing facilities located anywhere near the C-130 aircraft parking areas, and thus new construction is required. Sharing of active duty facilities provides only a partial bed down solution because of limited available space or space encumbered by safety violations. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission without this project and the availability of identified existing facilities. Aircraft support equipment will not have areas for proper maintenance, storage, or protection from the harsh Arctic elements. Equipment would not be able to function when needed, degrading training, readiness, mission capability, and rescue response capability especially with | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-CONSTRUCT TRAINING FIRE STATION | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 130-142 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093017 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,500 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| CONSTRUCT TRAINING FIRE STATION | SM | 344 | | 1,933 |
| TRAINING FIRE STATION | SM | 344 | 5,597 | (1,925) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | SM | 344 | 22 | (8) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 307 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (60) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (110) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (40) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (60) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | SM | 344 | 108 | (37) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 2,240 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 112 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 2,352 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 141 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 2,493 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 2,500 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing metal seam roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include gypsum board or masonry walls, tile or carpet floor coverings, and drop ceilings. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as antiterrorism/force protection security measures and all other work necessary. Air Conditioning: 175 KW. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 344 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Construct Training Fire Station(BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and the entire 176th Wing relocates to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized fire station facility to support the addition of 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft and to train drill status personnel responsible for asset protection. Functional areas required include administration areas, equipment maintenance and storage areas, and facilities to maintain physical fitness, dining areas and locker room and latrine areas. Functions do not duplicate host base functions; space is required to provide parking bays for two fire vehicles and for training of traditional Air National Guard personnel assigned to mobility positions. CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey found that existing facilities on Elmendorf are not appropriate to support the training mission of the ANG fire fighters. ANG trains for the airfield crash/fire/rescue activities associated with flying operations. The two existing structural fire stations Elmendorf AFB has are not appropriate for ANG co-use and are located 5 miles or more from the ANG cantonment area. Elmendorf does have three crash/fire/rescue stations, none of which are appropriate for the new mission. The existing main crash/fire/rescue station is located in the runway safety zone and is in violation of airfield waiver criteria. Expansion of that facility to support the ANG mission requires a waiver to construction and safety policies due to flight safety risks. The second station is geographically separated in the Fighter Loop and could not meet the response needs of the ANG | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-CONSTRUCT TRAINING FIRE STATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093017 | | | | | |
| <p>mission in support of unit training assembly (UTA) drills. The third station is located near the ANG cantonment area, but it is currently programmed for replacement by the active duty host. It is extremely small, outdated and mal-positioned to support the flying mission and required response times to the airfield. ANG project will be designed to mate with a future active duty replacement facility when it is programmed and constructed. Construction of a new facility to house this training mission was deemed the only option. The scope for this project was based on two vehicle bays and support space for drill position fire fighters, and is less than space authorized in ANG Handbook 32-1084.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing drill status fire fighters would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely and appropriately bed down the 176th Wing without this project. All other potential base space is limited, malpositioned, or encumbered by safety criteria violations. Forced use of existing facilities would overburden them and cause potential flight safety issues if vehicles and personnel were not able to respond to airfield emergencies in a timely manner. Training for all assigned personnel, not just the ANG, would be degraded and opportunities lost by the lack of adequate classrooms. Expensive equipment and vehicles would be stored outside in a harsh Arctic climate and would prematurely deteriorate.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> <table data-bbox="211 1312 1161 1375"> <tr> <td>TRAINING FIRE STATION</td> <td>344 SM = 3,700 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FIRE PROTECTION</td> <td>344 SM = 3,700 SF</td> </tr> </table> | | | TRAINING FIRE STATION | 344 SM = 3,700 SF | FIRE PROTECTION | 344 SM = 3,700 SF |
| TRAINING FIRE STATION | 344 SM = 3,700 SF | | | | | |
| FIRE PROTECTION | 344 SM = 3,700 SF | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE MAR 20, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL COMPLEX | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093022 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$22,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL COMPLEX | SM | 4,125 | | 15,189 |
| FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK | SM | 2,759 | 3,660 | (10,098) |
| CORROSION CONTROL SHOP | SM | 288 | 3,660 | (1,054) |
| GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS | SM | 892 | 3,660 | (3,265) |
| CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP | SM | 186 | 3,660 | (681) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | SM | 4,125 | 22 | (91) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | LS | | | 4,250 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | LS | | | (400) |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (800) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (800) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | LS | | | (2,000) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (250) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 19,439 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | <u>972</u> |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 20,411 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | <u>1,225</u> |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 21,636 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 22,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing metal seam roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include gypsum board walls, concrete-finished or tile floor coverings, and locker room areas. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide special ventilation capability for fuel cell and corrosion control areas to include explosion proof fixtures. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as AT/FP security measures and all other work necessary. Air Conditioning: 175 KW. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 4,125 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Fuel Cell/Corrosion Control Complex (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, Kulis ANGB closes and the entire 176th Wing relocates to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized fuel cell/corrosion control facility and associated supporting shops to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft. Functional areas include: fuel cell maintenance bay, corrosion control shop, general purpose maintenance shops, and conventional munitions maintenance shop. The fuel system dock provides protected space for aircraft fuels systems maintenance and contains special electrical power and circuitry, compressed air, mechanical ventilation, fume sensing alarms, fire protection systems, and wash-down drainage. The facility provides an environmentally controlled area to wash small aircraft parts, corrosion repair, paint stripping, and the repainting of components and aerospace support equipment. This facility also provides preparation and drying areas, abrasive blasting rooms and booths for mixing and/or applying paint. General purpose shops provide covered space for specialized maintenance activities such as machine and welding shops. Conventional munitions maintenance shop | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE MAR 20, 2007 | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL COMPLEX | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093022 | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>provides space for munitions control and trailer maintenance. All functions require tool storage, lockers, break area, latrines, and administrative areas.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> C-130 fuel cell and corrosion control activities are being conducted in two separate hangar facilities on Elmendorf AFB at present, neither of which is ideal or fits long term ANG bed down requirements. The facilities are geographically separated resulting in inefficiencies that are not conducive to the way the ANG is staffed. This project will locate compatible functions, creating synergies with our staffing levels and mode of operation to accomplish ANG mission. Facilities housing the current fuel cell and corrosion control functions have other identified re-uses which are more practical for the efficient and ultimately cost effective ANG relocation and bed down thereby necessitating this project. The existing fuel cell is over 55 years old and is fast reaching its designed life. It is no longer ideally suited for its current purpose having originally been constructed to accommodate B-29 maintenance activities. The facility is destined to be reused as an interim helicopter alert facility with a minimum investment, especially when compared to long term fuel cell requirements. The current corrosion function would be malpositioned in the bed down plan and would be rendered ineffective given the mix of occupancy and functions within the complex. The success, efficiency, and cost effectiveness of the relocation effort is contingent on proper re-use of existing facilities consistent with ANG ability to staff and perform those functions as envisioned and current manpower dictates. Sharing of other fuel cell/corrosion control space on base is not possible as existing space is not available, not configured for C-130 aircraft requirements, and is distinctly malpositioned and geographically separated, not located in the vicinity of the ANG aircraft parking apron making it logistically impractical to support the flying operations. New construction is the only alternative to meet mission needs.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB in violation of the BRAC recommendations. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the 176th Wing without this project. Forced use of existing facilities would overburden them. Training would be degraded and opportunities lost by the lack of adequate classroom and work spaces. It would be difficult to impossible to adequately perform required maintenance functions eventually leading to flight safety issues.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges, (907) 552-3007</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="211 1711 1193 1843"> <tr> <td>FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK</td> <td>2,759 SM = 29,700 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CORROSION CONTROL SHOP</td> <td>288 SM = 3,100 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS</td> <td>892 SM = 9,600 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP</td> <td>186 SM = 2,000 SF</td> </tr> </table> | | | FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK | 2,759 SM = 29,700 SF | CORROSION CONTROL SHOP | 288 SM = 3,100 SF | GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS | 892 SM = 9,600 SF | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP | 186 SM = 2,000 SF |
| FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK | 2,759 SM = 29,700 SF | | | | | | | | | |
| CORROSION CONTROL SHOP | 288 SM = 3,100 SF | | | | | | | | | |
| GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS | 892 SM = 9,600 SF | | | | | | | | | |
| CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP | 186 SM = 2,000 SF | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AND ALTER FOR SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU FACILITY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 141-753 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093029 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$8,200 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPS/AMU FACILITY | SM | 5,523 | | 6,701 |
| ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS | SM | 3,232 | 431 | (1,393) |
| ALTER ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE UNIT | SM | 827 | 377 | (312) |
| ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS | SM | 1,213 | 3,337 | (4,048) |
| ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ACTIVE ASSOCIATE | SM | 195 | 3,337 | (651) |
| ADD TO ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE/ACTIVE | SM | 56 | 3,122 | (175) |
| ASSOC | SM | 5,523 | 22 | (122) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | | | | 658 |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | LS | | | (200) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | LS | | | (150) |
| PAVEMENTS AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (150) |
| UTILITIES | SM | 1,464 | 108 | (158) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | | | | 7,359 |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | <u>368</u> |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 7,727 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | <u>464</u> |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 8,191 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 8,200 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reconfigure interior spaces, modifying interior walls, ceilings, and floor areas and utility and building systems as necessary. Modify or retrofit systems to include lighting, electrical power service, heat, ventilation, communications, and fire protection detection and suppression. Construct concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing seam metal roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include gypsum board walls, tile or carpet floor coverings, and drop ceilings. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide site works, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as antiterrorism/force protection measures as appropriate and all other necessary work.. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 5,525 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 4,059 SM PROJECT: Add to and Alter for Squadron Operations and Aircraft Maintenance Unit Facility (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized facility to accommodate multi-functional needs to include C-130 squadron operations, maintenance hangar complex, and an aircraft maintenance unit, all in support of 12 PAA C-130 aircraft postured as an ANG/Active Associate unit as well as 3 PAA HC-130 and 5 HH-60 rescue aircraft. The C-130 squadron requires a facility for the planning, briefing, administration, and critique of combat crews. Facility functions include weapons and tactics, intelligence, briefing and debriefing, air advisor, flying safety, standardization and evaluation, flight planning, chemical ensembles storage, flight records, life support (to include night vision goggles), physical training, scheduling, general training, group or wing operations, and break, latrine, and locker areas. Facility will also house a pararescue squadron operations function to include weapons and tactics, intelligence, briefing and debriefing, flying safety, standardization/evaluation, flight planning. | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AND ALTER FOR SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093029 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>mobility storage, flight records, life support, physical and general training, and scheduling. Aircraft maintenance unit space provides space for supervision, administration, training, dispatch, analysis, scheduling, debriefing, ready room, arm/disarm crew shelter, flightline-assigned Dash-21 equipment, tool kit, tool room and bench stock, maintenance and storage of non-powered support equipment, and latrine and locker space.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> A site survey determined an existing facility on Elmendorf AFB, specifically Hangar 18 (Building 17470), is adequately suited to house 176th Wing's squadron flight and maintenance operations functions. The facility is only eight years old and was originally designed to accommodate C-130 flight and maintenance operations functions. The facility currently houses one flying squadron, but after relocation, it will house three flying squadrons plus Active Associate requirements. The facility will require expansion to accommodate the increased mission requirements as well as renovation/reconfiguration to mesh the squadrons and functional areas. Space will also be reconfigured/renovated to accommodate aircraft maintenance operations requirements and include an expansion for Active Associate needs. No modifications will be required for the hangar bays.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the Wing without this project and reuse of this existing facility. Sharing of active duty facilities does not provide a bed down solution because of limited available space or space encumbered by safety criteria violations.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. The Hangar 18 complex also contains 47,767 SF maintenance hangar space which will not be altered under this project. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> <table data-bbox="207 1444 1365 1644"> <tr> <td>ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS</td> <td>3,232 SM = 34,791 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ALTER ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE UNIT</td> <td>827 SM = 8,900 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS</td> <td>1,213 SM = 13,059 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ACTIVE ASSOCIATE</td> <td>195 SM = 2,100 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ADD TO ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE/ACTIVE ASSOC</td> <td>56 SM = 600 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FIRE PROTECTION</td> <td>1,464 SM = 15,759 SF</td> </tr> </table> | | | ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 3,232 SM = 34,791 SF | ALTER ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE UNIT | 827 SM = 8,900 SF | ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 1,213 SM = 13,059 SF | ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ACTIVE ASSOCIATE | 195 SM = 2,100 SF | ADD TO ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE/ACTIVE ASSOC | 56 SM = 600 SF | FIRE PROTECTION | 1,464 SM = 15,759 SF |
| ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 3,232 SM = 34,791 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ALTER ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE UNIT | 827 SM = 8,900 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 1,213 SM = 13,059 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ACTIVE ASSOCIATE | 195 SM = 2,100 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADD TO ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE/ACTIVE ASSOC | 56 SM = 600 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FIRE PROTECTION | 1,464 SM = 15,759 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|------------|--|-------------------------------------|----|---|-----|--|---------|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|--|--|---|-----|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AND ALTER FOR SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093029 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Date Design Started</td> <td>OCT 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JAN 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td>(\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>78</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>39</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (c) Total</td> <td>117</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (d) Contract</td> <td>117</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year)</td> <td>FEB 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Start</td> <td>MAR 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(6) Construction Completion</td> <td>MAR 2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042</p> | | | (1) Status: | | (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (2) Basis: | | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | N/A | (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | (\$000) | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 78 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 39 | (c) Total | 117 | (d) Contract | 117 | (e) In-House | | (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) | FEB 2009 | (5) Construction Start | MAR 2009 | (6) Construction Completion | MAR 2011 | * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability. | | b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: | N/A |
| (1) Status: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Basis: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 39 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 117 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 117 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) | FEB 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (5) Construction Start | MAR 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (6) Construction Completion | MAR 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: | N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 214-425 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093034 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,500 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | SM | 1,490 | | 1,317 |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP AREA | SM | 873 | 969 | (846) |
| REFUELER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE | SM | 139 | 969 | (135) |
| VEHICLE OPERATIONS HEATED PARKING | SM | 344 | 484 | (166) |
| VEHICLE OPERATIONS ADMINISTRATION | SM | 134 | 1,023 | (137) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | SM | 1,490 | 22 | (33) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | LS | | | 26 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | LS | | | (5) |
| PAVEMENTS | SM | 4,180 | 5 | (21) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 1,343 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 67 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 1,410 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 85 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 1,495 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 1,500 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Comprehensive facility renovation to one wing of larger facility to include reconfiguration of interior spaces, modification of interior walls, ceilings, and floor areas and utility and building systems as necessary. Modify or retrofit systems to include lighting, electrical power service, floor covering, heat, ventilation and air conditioning, communications, plumbing, and fire protection detection and suppression. Convert portions of work bay to administrative space. Provide all necessary related works. Air Conditioning: 35 KW. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,802 SM ADEQUATE: 312 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,490 SM PROJECT: Vehicle Maintenance Complex (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized vehicle maintenance complex to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft. The project provides space for general and special purpose vehicle maintenance, operations, administration, and storage. Functional areas include: administration and shop space and facilities for lubrication, inspection, general repair, and replacement of major assemblies (such as above-ground vehicle hoists), as well as welding, upholstery, testing, cleaning, and minor parts fabrication. Also houses support functions such as maintenance control and analysis sections, tool room, and, parts room. Special ventilation and explosion proof electrical fixtures are required in refueler maintenance areas. Locker rooms, latrines, and break room are also required. An organizational vehicle parking area is required. CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey indicated Elmendorf AFB can make available a portion of the 3rd Wing's existing Vehicle Maintenance Facility, Building 6211, for sole use as an ANG Vehicle Maintenance Complex. The facility will require reconfiguration in order to accomplish multiple vehicle operations and maintenance requirements within a single facility to include creation of operational support space within a maintenance bay. Joint use maintenance and administrative space is also available and does not require renovation or reconfiguration. Reconfiguration displaces a portion of 3rd Wing's organizational vehicle parking requiring relocation and replication. | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093034 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely and appropriately bed down the 176th Wing without this project and the reuse and sharing of Building 6211. Required vehicle maintenance to support training needs as well as operational rescue missions would be impacted resulting in a lack of vehicles capable of meeting demands. Training would not occur and operational and mobility readiness would decrease to the point of limited unit effectiveness. Expensive equipment and vehicles would break down and would remain outside in a harsh Arctic climate and would prematurely deteriorate.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="211 1045 1185 1207"> <tr> <td>VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP AREA</td> <td>873 SM = 9,400 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>REFUELER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE</td> <td>139 SM = 1,500 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VEHICLE OPERATIONS HEATED PARKING</td> <td>344 SM = 3,700 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VEHICLE OPERATIONS ADMINISTRATION</td> <td>134 SM = 1,440 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAVEMENTS</td> <td>4,180 SM = 5,000 SY</td> </tr> </table> | | | VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP AREA | 873 SM = 9,400 SF | REFUELER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE | 139 SM = 1,500 SF | VEHICLE OPERATIONS HEATED PARKING | 344 SM = 3,700 SF | VEHICLE OPERATIONS ADMINISTRATION | 134 SM = 1,440 SF | PAVEMENTS | 4,180 SM = 5,000 SY |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP AREA | 873 SM = 9,400 SF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| REFUELER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE | 139 SM = 1,500 SF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VEHICLE OPERATIONS HEATED PARKING | 344 SM = 3,700 SF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VEHICLE OPERATIONS ADMINISTRATION | 134 SM = 1,440 SF | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PAVEMENTS | 4,180 SM = 5,000 SY | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|------------|--|-------------------------------------|----|---|-----|--|---------|--|-----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|--|--|---|-----|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093034 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Date Design Started</td> <td>OCT 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JAN 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td>(\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>180</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (c) Total</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (d) Contract</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year)</td> <td>FEB 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Start</td> <td>MAR 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(6) Construction Completion</td> <td>MAR 2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042</p> | | | (1) Status: | | (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (2) Basis: | | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | N/A | (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | (\$000) | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 180 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 90 | (c) Total | 270 | (d) Contract | 270 | (e) In-House | | (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) | FEB 2009 | (5) Construction Start | MAR 2009 | (6) Construction Completion | MAR 2011 | * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability. | | b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: | N/A |
| (1) Status: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Basis: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 180 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 270 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 270 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) | FEB 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (5) Construction Start | MAR 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (6) Construction Completion | MAR 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: | N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.090 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.090 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.298 | 8.213 | 0.050 | 0.027 | 0 | 0 | 8.588 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.388 | 8.213 | 0.050 | 0.027 | 0 | 0 | 8.678 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.388 | 8.213 | 0.050 | 0.027 | 0 | 0 | 8.678 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.388 | 8.213 | 0.050 | 0.027 | 0 | 0 | 8.678 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 1.297 | 2.620 | 2.686 | 2.770 | 2.829 | 12.202 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.594 | 1.171 | 1.201 | 1.232 | 1.258 | 5.457 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 1.891 | 3.791 | 3.888 | 4.003 | 4.087 | 17.660 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 5.893 | 12.074 | 12.381 | 12.702 | 12.968 | 56.017 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0.192 | 0.394 | 0.404 | 0.414 | 0.423 | 1.826 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0.337 | 0.692 | 0.709 | 0.728 | 0.743 | 3.209 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.077 | 0.079 | 0.081 | 0.083 | 0.085 | 0.404 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.429 | 0.440 | 0.451 | 0.463 | 0.472 | 2.255 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 6.928 | 13.677 | 14.025 | 14.389 | 14.691 | 63.710 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 6.928 | 13.677 | 14.025 | 14.389 | 14.691 | 63.710 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (136) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (136) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.388 | 1.285 | (13.627) | (13.998) | (14.389) | (14.691) | (55.032) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ

Commission Recommendation: Realign Fort Smith Municipal Airport (MAP) Air Guard Station (AGS), AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG) at Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, 37 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 56th Fighter Wing at Luke Air Force Base, and the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 144th Fighter Wing (ANG) at Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, CA, to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission; Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG), Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR. Establish 18 PAAF-16 aircraft at the 144th Fighter Wing (ANG), Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, CA. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, compatible with joint use of the Air Guard Station as a civilian airport. The Home Station Training Site moves to Savannah, GA. If the State of Arkansas decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Arkansas and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Arkansas Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.090M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds aircraft acceptance travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$8.588M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.027M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$17.660M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.888M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$63.710M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$14.025M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 81, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -134. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.239 | 0.004 | 0.120 | 5.200 | 0 | 0 | 5.563 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.002 | 0.023 | 0.156 | 0.035 | 0 | 0.060 | 0.276 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.096 | 2.846 | 8.421 | 0.084 | 0 | 0.155 | 11.602 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.151 | 1.044 | 0.705 | 0 | 0 | 1.900 |
| Other | 0.512 | 0.067 | 2.615 | 2.322 | 0.435 | 0.276 | 6.227 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.849 | 3.091 | 12.356 | 8.346 | 0.435 | 0.491 | 25.568 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.849 | 3.091 | 12.356 | 8.346 | 0.435 | 0.491 | 25.568 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.849 | 3.091 | 12.356 | 8.346 | 0.435 | 0.491 | 25.568 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 1.383 | 1.475 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.300 | 1.300 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 2.683 | 2.775 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 5.967 | 12.238 | 12.555 | 12.819 | 43.578 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.065 | 0.135 | 0.138 | 0.141 | 0.479 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.302 | 0.621 | 0.637 | 0.651 | 2.211 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0.070 | 0.072 | 0.074 | 0.076 | 0.292 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0.620 | 0.636 | 0.653 | 0.666 | 2.575 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 7.025 | 13.701 | 14.056 | 14.352 | 49.135 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 7.025 | 13.701 | 14.056 | 14.352 | 49.135 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | (171) | 0 | 0 | 33 | (138) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | (8) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 12 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.849 | 3.091 | 5.331 | (5.355) | (13.621) | (13.861) | (23.566) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI

Commission Recommendation: Realign Beale Air Force Base, California. Distribute the 940th Air Refueling Wing's (AFR) KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 940th Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements will remain in place. Establish 12 PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 134th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), McGhee-Tyson Airport Air Guard Station, Tennessee. The KC-135E aircraft assigned to the 134th Air Refueling Wing will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft. Realign Selfridge Air Reserve Base, MI. Distribute the KC-135R/T aircraft assigned to the 927th Air Refueling Wing's (AFR) and the 8 C-130E aircraft assigned to the 127th Wing (ANG) at Selfridge Air Reserve Base, Michigan to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 127th Wing will convert from C-130E to KC-135R/T aircraft. Establish 8 PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge Air Reserve Base, Michigan.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2009 | McGhee Tyson APT AGS, TN | PSXE059098 | Expand Parking Apron & Hydrant Sys | 82 | 5.200 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 82 | 5.200 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 82 | 5.200 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.363M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds air quality, waste water, and solid and hazardous waste studies. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.276M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.035M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds travel/TDY costs, construction security, and equipment purchases. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$11.602M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.084M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Funds Air National Guard TDY training days and recruiting and retention expenses. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.900M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.705M.

Other: Funds Air National Guard training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$6.227M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.322M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 82, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$2.775M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.045M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$49.135M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$13.701M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -126. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE JUL 16, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT, TENNESSEE | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - EXPAND PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT SYSTEM | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE059098 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,200 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| EXPAND PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT SYSTEM | SY | 19,230 | | 4,008 |
| CONCRETE APRON | SY | 15,392 | 150 | (2,309) |
| PAVED SHOULDERS | SY | 3,838 | 60 | (230) |
| HYDRANT REFUELING PITS | EA | 2 | 734,500 | (1,469) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 660 |
| UTILITIES - LIGHTING | LS | | | (75) |
| SITWORK/DRAINAGE | LS | | | (95) |
| PIPES, PUMPS AND FITTINGS | LS | | | (425) |
| RELOCATE FENCE | LS | | | (65) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 4,668 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 233 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 4,901 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 294 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 5,195 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 5,200 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Extend parking apron: Reinforced concrete slab over compacted gravel base. Extend hydrant refueling system: new piping, pumps and electrical and mechanical connections. Relocate apron security fencing and lighting. Associated sitework and drainage. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: As Required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Expand Aircraft Apron and Hydrant Refueling System (BRAC) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report recommendation number 82, this installation will convert from 8 PAA KC-135E models to 12 PAA KC-135R models. This conversion requires an apron expansion for two additional parking spots and associated taxi lanes plus two additional hydrant refueling points for support of the newly assigned aircraft. This construction will connect to the existing operational type III hydrant system. Total aircraft parking at this installation will be 10 spaces on the apron with hydrants and two additional spaces in maintenance hangars. Supporting facility costs reflect a small increase for unknown factors with regard to grading and soil conditions that will not be known until design begins. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The installation currently supports 10 aircraft with 8 on the apron and 2 in maintenance hangars. Additional apron available at the installation for the new aircraft violates Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) airfield clearance criteria and should not be used. Additionally, the existing available apron is disjointed from the existing apron and would require additional security force patrols which are not available. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to implement the BRAC decision and unrealized savings as outlined by the BRAC plan. If forced use, the unit could violate AF and FAA clearance criteria putting military and civilian aircraft at risk. Aircraft cannot be refueled by hydrant system driving a much larger requirement for refueling trucks and crews and reducing the unit's overall mission ready-rate for all aircraft. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air National Guard Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternatives were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 16, 2007 | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT, TENNESSEE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - EXPAND PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT SYSTEM | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE059098 | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. Pavement costs are based historic costs at this location and reflect the inflated market at this time.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Chico Messer, DSN 266-4215</p> <p>JOINT CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <table data-bbox="196 709 1055 913"> <tr> <td>CONCRETE APRON</td> <td>10,697 SM = 12,794 SY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAVED SHOULDERS</td> <td>3,209 SM = 3,838 SY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CONCRETE APRON</td> <td>15,392 SY = 12,869 SM</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAVED SHOULDERS</td> <td>3,838 SY = 3,209 SM</td> </tr> </table> | | | CONCRETE APRON | 10,697 SM = 12,794 SY | PAVED SHOULDERS | 3,209 SM = 3,838 SY | CONCRETE APRON | 15,392 SY = 12,869 SM | PAVED SHOULDERS | 3,838 SY = 3,209 SM |
| CONCRETE APRON | 10,697 SM = 12,794 SY | | | | | | | | | |
| PAVED SHOULDERS | 3,209 SM = 3,838 SY | | | | | | | | | |
| CONCRETE APRON | 15,392 SY = 12,869 SM | | | | | | | | | |
| PAVED SHOULDERS | 3,838 SY = 3,209 SM | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 30, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGHEE TYSON AIRPORT, TENNESSEE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - EXPAND PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT SYSTEM | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PSXE059098 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>JUL 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JAN 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>240</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>120</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>360</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>360</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Lt Col Phillip Howard (301) 836-8070</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | JUL 2007 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 240 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 120 | (c) Total | 360 | (d) Contract | 360 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | JUL 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 240 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 360 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 360 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 2.551 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.551 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.140 | 0.045 | 0.005 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0.205 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.025 | 1.425 | 5.033 | 4.312 | 3.248 | 1.000 | 15.043 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 1.547 | 0.050 | 0 | 0 | 1.597 |
| Other | 0.373 | 0 | 0.059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.432 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.537 | 4.021 | 6.644 | 4.377 | 3.248 | 1.000 | 19.827 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.537 | 4.021 | 6.644 | 4.377 | 3.248 | 1.000 | 19.827 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.537 | 4.021 | 6.644 | 4.377 | 3.248 | 1.000 | 19.827 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 2.071 | 4.086 | 4.351 | 4.501 | 4.595 | 19.604 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.673 | 3.380 | 3.451 | 8.503 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 2.071 | 4.086 | 6.024 | 7.881 | 8.046 | 28.108 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0.575 | 1.178 | 1.208 | 1.239 | 1.265 | 5.464 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 0.118 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0.597 | 1.201 | 1.231 | 1.263 | 1.290 | 5.582 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0.597 | 1.201 | 1.231 | 1.263 | 1.290 | 5.582 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.537 | 3.424 | 5.443 | 3.146 | 1.985 | (0.290) | 14.245 |

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA

Commission Recommendation: Realign March Air Reserve Base. Distribute the 163rd Air Refueling Wing's (ANG) KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the following KC-135R/T PAA: The 452nd Air Mobility Wing (AFR), March Air Reserve Base, CA (12 PAA KC-135R/T); The 157th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Pease International Tradeport Air Guard Station, NH (eight PAA KC-135R/T); The 108th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), McGuire Air Force Base, NJ (eight PAA KC-35R/T). The 108th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft; If the State of California decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 163rd Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force: the 163rd Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remain in place; reassign a sufficient number of aircrews and maintenance personnel of the 163rd Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to the 146th Airlift Wing (ANG), a C-130 unit located at Channel Islands Air Guard Station, California, to bring that unit to a fully manned status, with the Air Force providing retraining where necessary, and; all other personnel allotted to the 163rd Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of California and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, rescue operations or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the California Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 163rd Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | March ARB, CA | PDPG079001 | BRAC AFR Add/Alter Squadron Operations | 83 | 2.250 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 83 | 2.250 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 83 | 2.250 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.301M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds project to complete air conformity analysis and update specific air emission source permits with the State of California. Modifications required due to changes in operating aircraft at this location which is in an extreme non-attainment zone. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.205M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.015M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds civilian severance pay Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$15.043M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$4.312M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides manpower to accomplish MDS reduction and conversion actions (KC-135E to KC-135R) while maintaining normal operations tempo. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.597M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.050M.

Other: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.432M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$28.108M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.024M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$5.582M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.231M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is 71. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 35.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 3.060 | 43.800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46.860 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.064 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.064 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 1.046 | 1.412 | 1.348 | 17.336 | 13.498 | 1.968 | 36.608 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 1.096 | 0 | 16.195 | 13.961 | 1.678 | 32.930 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 4.170 | 46.308 | 1.348 | 33.531 | 27.459 | 3.646 | 116.462 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 4.170 | 46.308 | 1.348 | 33.531 | 27.459 | 3.646 | 116.462 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 4.170 | 46.308 | 1.348 | 33.531 | 27.459 | 3.646 | 116.462 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.575 | 0.589 | 1.236 | 1.268 | 1.294 | 4.961 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.439 | 2.705 | 2.762 | 6.906 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.575 | 0.589 | 2.675 | 3.973 | 4.056 | 11.867 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.036 | 0 | 0 | 0.036 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.036 | 0 | 0 | 0.036 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.060 | 0.062 | 5.815 | 5.937 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.493 | 0.493 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.046 | 0.046 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.212 | 0.218 | 0.400 | 0.830 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.825 | 1.825 |
| Recapitalization | 2.123 | 2.175 | 2.228 | 2.285 | 2.344 | 2.393 | 13.549 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.127 | 0.130 | 13.722 | 13.979 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 2.123 | 2.175 | 2.228 | 2.684 | 2.754 | 24.695 | 36.659 |
| Grand Total Savings | 2.123 | 2.175 | 2.228 | 2.720 | 2.754 | 24.695 | 36.695 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (137) | (137) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | (8) | 19 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 2.047 | 44.133 | (0.880) | 30.811 | 24.705 | (21.049) | 79.768 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 84, Onizuka AFS, CA

Commission Recommendation: Close Onizuka Air Force Station, CA. Relocate the Air Force Satellite Control Network (AFSCN) mission and tenant Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Defense Satellite Communication System (DSCS) mission and equipment to Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Vandenberg AFB, CA | XUMU063007 | Construct Satellite Control Facility | 84 | 43.800 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 84 | 43.800 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 84 | 43.800 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$3.060M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.064M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for facility alteration projects, procurement of systems furniture, civilian personnel and transportation of things. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$36.608M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$17.336M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: Provides funds for procurement and installation of communications equipment. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$32.930M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$16.195M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$11.867M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.675M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$36.659M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.684M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -118. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 27.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.191 | 10.634 | 0 | 1.100 | 0 | 0 | 11.925 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.067 | 0.062 | 0.085 | 0.038 | 0 | 0 | 0.252 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.271 | 3.200 | 0.418 | 0.500 | 0.075 | 0 | 4.464 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.454 | 0.966 | 0.100 | 0 | 0 | 1.520 |
| Other | 2.728 | 3.130 | 3.720 | 6.751 | 0 | 0 | 16.329 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 3.257 | 17.480 | 5.189 | 8.489 | 0.075 | 0 | 34.490 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 3.257 | 17.480 | 5.189 | 8.489 | 0.075 | 0 | 34.490 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 3.257 | 17.480 | 5.189 | 8.489 | 0.075 | 0 | 34.490 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.193 | 0.197 | 0.213 | 0.219 | 0.223 | 1.045 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.041 | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.215 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.234 | 0.239 | 0.256 | 0.263 | 0.268 | 1.260 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.009 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.009 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.031 | 0.032 | 0.033 | 0.156 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.070 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.047 | 0.226 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0.052 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.047 | 0.235 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 3.257 | 17.428 | 5.145 | 8.444 | 0.029 | (0.047) | 34.255 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD

Commission Recommendation: Realign Bradley International Airport Air Guard Station, CT. Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 103d Fighter Wing (ANG) at Bradley Field, Connecticut and the 15 A-10 aircraft at the 104th Fighter Wing (ANG), Barnes Air Guard Station, Massachusetts to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 18 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 104th Fighter Wing (ANG), Barnes Air Guard Station, MA. The 103d Fighter Wing (ANG) Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements will remain in place at Bradley Field, Connecticut and Bradley will retain capability to support a homeland defense mission. If the State of Connecticut decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 103d Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 103d Fighter Wing will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Connecticut and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Connecticut or the Massachusetts Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 103d and 104th Fighter Wings (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state or the commonwealth. Realign Barnes Air Guard Station, MA; Selfridge ANGB, MI; Shaw Air Force Base, SC; and Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD, by relocating base-level TF-34 engine intermediate maintenance to Bradley, establishing a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) at Bradley for TF-34 engines.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Fort Smith Regional APT AGS, AR | HKRZ059318 | A10 Mun Load Crew Fac | 85 | 2.850 |
| 2007 | Fort Smith Regional APT AGS, AR | HKRZ069001 | A10 Consolidated Corr Control/Fuel Cell | 85 | 7.000 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 85 | 9.850 |
| 2009 | Bradley IAP AGS, CT | CEKT059160 | Upgrade A-10 Engine CIRF | 85 | 1.100 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 85 | 1.100 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 85 | 10.950 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.975M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds environmental actions at Ft Smith AGS, AR, and air quality study at Bradley AGS, CT. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.252M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.038M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 85, Bradley International Airport AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Selfridge ANGB, MI, Shaw AFB, SC, and Martin State AGS, MD

Operation and Maintenance: Funds transport of vehicles, equipment, construction security/contract support, and travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.464M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.500M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Funds reserve component man-days. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.520M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.100M.

Other: Funds reserve training to implement BRAC realignment. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$16.329M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.751M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$1.260M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.256M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$0.226M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.045M.

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE JUN 7, 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, CONNECTICUT | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC- UPGRADE A-10 ENGINE CIRF | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 211-157 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CEKT059160 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,100 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| UPGRADE A-10 ENGINE CIRF | | SF | 7,900 | | 877 |
| ADD TO EXISTING JET ENGINE SHOP | | SF | 3,400 | 203 | (690) |
| ALTER EXISTING JET ENGINE SHOP | | SF | 4,500 | 38 | (171) |
| ANTI TERROISM / FORCE PROTECTION | | SF | 7,900 | 2 | (16) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 123 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (38) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (10) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (25) |
| OVERHEAD BRIDGE CRANE | | LS | | | (50) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,000 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 50 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,050 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 63 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,113 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,100 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: A facility addition to expand an existing A-10 engine shop into a TF-34 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF). Steel framed high bay facility addition with standing seam metal roof, architecturally compatible exterior walls on a reinforced concrete foundation and an increased depth reinforced concrete floor slab. Project provides adequate heating and ventilation, electrical supporting system, fire protection and roll up doors for vehicle and engine trailer access to the addition. Project relocates site utilities, a road and parking areas. Air Conditioning: 30 Tons. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 32,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 11,677 SF PROJECT: BRAC - Upgrade A-10 CIRF (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report Recommendation Number 89 requires a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) for A-10/TF-34 engines be established at Bradley Air Guard Station/ International Airport to support the Total Force A-10 program. This mission requires an adequately sized and properly configured engine maintenance facility with supporting administrative and support space. Functional areas include areas for the scheduled inspections, routine maintenance and administration for an operational-level repair of TF-34 aircraft engines. The facility also requires an area for engine part inspection/cleaning room, accessory handling room, bearing room, and a parts and tool room. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing engine shop, building 23, was constructed to support a 24 PAA aircraft load and it is insufficient in size to support the 78 PAA CIRF mission. The CIRF mission is intended to be in full operation by FY 09. The existing facility has insufficient number of repair docks, parts and tool storage to support the increased 3 fold work load. The facility mechanical and electrical systems are insufficient to support the increased work load and the required additional equipment and overhead cranes. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Establishment of the CIRF capable of meeting BRAC requirements can not be accomplished. Anticipated BRAC savings and objectives will not be realized. Forced use of the existing engine maintenance shop for support of 78 PAA A-10 aircraft engines will severely impact the effectiveness of this critical maintenance function and threaten the safety of flight for this airframe | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUN 7, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, CONNECTICUT | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC- UPGRADE A-10 ENGINE CIRF | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CEKT059160 | |
| <p>throughout the Air Force. Poor working conditions in the facility will impact morale and retention of ANG members and will reduce the effectiveness of the mission. ANG, CONUS AF, and USAFE A-10 aircraft mission readiness and reliability will be negatively affected by lack of suitable CIRF facilities. <u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project partially implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria and scope outlined in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, para 7.9., since ANGH 32-1084 does not have a CIRF requirement. The project was evaluated for space developmental requirements on best available information on the scope of the CIRF mission. Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered and included in the development of this project. All known alternatives options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p> <p>Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Jim Works, DSN 220-2379</p> <p>Joint Use Certification: This facility can be used by other components on an “as available” basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>ADD TO ENGINE SHOP AREA 3,400 SF = 316 SM ALTER ENGINE SHOP AREA 4,500 SF = 418 SM</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 30, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, CONNECTICUT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC- UPGRADE A-10 ENGINE CIRF | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CEKT059160 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>DEC 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>MAR 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>72</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>108</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>108</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion JAN 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Kevin Fields (301) 836-8186</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2007 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 72 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 36 | (c) Total | 108 | (d) Contract | 108 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 72 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 108 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 108 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.256 | 0.880 | 1.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.836 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.200 | 0 | 0.059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.259 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 1.074 | 0.440 | 2.072 | 0.073 | 0 | 3.659 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.020 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.456 | 1.954 | 2.219 | 2.072 | 0.073 | 0 | 6.774 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.456 | 1.954 | 2.219 | 2.072 | 0.073 | 0 | 6.774 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.456 | 1.954 | 2.219 | 2.072 | 0.073 | 0 | 6.774 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.008 | 0.024 | 1.760 | 1.805 | 1.843 | 5.441 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.187 | 1.218 | 1.244 | 3.649 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.008 | 0.024 | 2.947 | 3.023 | 3.087 | 9.089 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.637 | 0 | 0 | 0.637 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.637 | 0 | 0 | 0.637 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.823 | 1.688 | 1.724 | 4.235 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.085 | 4.278 | 4.368 | 10.730 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.213 | 8.644 | 8.825 | 21.682 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.794 | 2.867 | 2.927 | 8.587 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.497 | 1.536 | 1.568 | 4.601 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.157 | 0.161 | 0.165 | 0.483 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.569 | 19.173 | 19.576 | 50.319 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.206 | 19.173 | 19.576 | 50.956 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (23) | 0 | 0 | (23) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (126) | 0 | 0 | (126) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.456 | 1.954 | 2.219 | (10.134) | (19.100) | (19.576) | (44.182) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA

Commission Recommendation: Realign Robins Air Force Base, GA. Distribute the 19th Air Refueling Group's KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the PAA requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 202nd Engineering Installation Squadron (ANG), a geographically separated unit at Middle Georgia Regional Airport, will be relocated into available space at Robins Air Force Base.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Robins AFB, GA | UHHZ059252 | Relocate 202 EIS Veh Maintenance | 87 | 0.880 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 87 | 0.880 |
| 2008 | Robins AFB, GA | UHHZ059075 | Relocate 202 EIS Ops | 87 | 1.700 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 87 | 1.700 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 87 | 2.580 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.256M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.259M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds civilian PCS, severance Pay, and real property disposal actions. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$3.659M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.072M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.020M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$9.089M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.947M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$50.319M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$11.569M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -149. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -149.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 87, Robins Air Force Base, GA

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.068 | 0.015 | 0 | 0.025 | 0 | 0.015 | 0.123 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.068 | 0.046 | 0.004 | 0 | 0 | 0.319 | 0.437 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.135 | 0 | 0 | 0.135 |
| Other | 0 | 0.202 | 0.250 | 0.894 | 0 | 0 | 1.346 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.136 | 0.263 | 0.254 | 1.054 | 0 | 0.334 | 2.041 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.136 | 0.263 | 0.254 | 1.054 | 0 | 0.334 | 2.041 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.136 | 0.263 | 0.254 | 1.054 | 0 | 0.334 | 2.041 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.136 | 0.263 | 0.254 | 1.054 | 0 | 0.334 | 2.041 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID

Commission Recommendation: Realign Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station (AGS), ID. Distribute the 4 C-130 aircraft assigned to the 124th Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 6 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 153rd Airlift Wing (ANG), Cheyenne, Wyoming. The 153rd Airlift Wing (ANG) will create an active duty/ANG association at Cheyenne. The Air Force will supply an additional 6 PAA C-130 aircraft to establish an optimally-sized 12 PAA C-130 aircraft active duty/ANG associate airlift wing at Cheyenne. If the State of Idaho decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 124th Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 124th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Idaho and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Idaho Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 124th Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Provides funds for environmental studies. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.123M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.025M.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.437M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides funds for reserve component military personnel man days. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.135M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.135M.

Other: Provides funds for reserve component training required to implement the realignment. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.346M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.894M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 88, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID

Savings: N/A

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 4.254 | 45.928 | 0 | 6.162 | 0 | 0 | 56.344 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.206 | 0.010 | 0.059 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0.304 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 4.489 | 6.692 | 2.556 | 0.020 | 0.270 | 0.105 | 14.132 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.141 | 0.075 | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 0.255 |
| Other | 0.295 | 0 | 1.285 | 1.533 | 0 | 0 | 3.113 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 9.243 | 52.771 | 3.975 | 7.783 | 0.270 | 0.105 | 74.147 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 9.243 | 52.771 | 3.975 | 7.783 | 0.270 | 0.105 | 74.147 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 9.243 | 52.771 | 3.975 | 7.783 | 0.270 | 0.105 | 74.147 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.025 | 3.097 | 5.815 | 10.408 | 10.678 | 13.542 | 43.565 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 1.395 | 4.293 | 7.703 | 7.903 | 11.879 | 33.173 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0.025 | 4.492 | 10.108 | 18.111 | 18.580 | 25.422 | 76.738 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.358 | 0 | 1.741 | 3.099 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.358 | 0 | 1.741 | 3.099 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.648 | 1.115 | 1.439 | 3.202 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.076 | 2.207 | 4.649 | 7.933 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.725 | 7.642 | 25.639 | 37.007 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.571 | 7.767 | 9.346 | 24.684 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.979 | 4.082 | 7.890 | 15.951 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.999 | 22.814 | 48.963 | 88.776 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.357 | 22.814 | 50.704 | 91.875 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 68 | 7 | (11) | 0 | (8) | 56 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 30 | 33 | (100) | 0 | (418) | (455) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 9.243 | 52.771 | 3.975 | (10.574) | (22.544) | (50.599) | (17.728) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK

Commission Recommendation: Realign Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID. Distribute the 18 F-15C/D aircraft and 18 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 366th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 18 PAA F-15C/D aircraft at the 125th Wing (ANG), Jacksonville International Airport Air Guard Station, Florida. Establish 24 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 169th Fighter Wing (ANG), McEntire Air Guard Station, South Carolina. Realign Nellis Air Force Base, NV. Distribute 25 of the F-16 aircraft assigned to the 57th Fighter Wing to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 21 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 138th Fighter Wing Tulsa International Airport Air Guard Station, Oklahoma. Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 144th Fighter Wing Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, California. Realign Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK. The 366th Fighter Wing, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID will receive F-15E aircraft from the 3d Wing, Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK (18 aircraft) and attrition reserve (three aircraft).

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Mountain Home AFB, ID | QYZH068005 | Install Fire Suppression in Hangar 205 | 89 | 1.387 |
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073010 | Construct Aircraft Operations Facilities | 89 | 19.750 |
| | | | | 100 | 5.250 |
| | | RKMF073010 | | Total | 25.000 |
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073012 | Construct Flight Simulator Facility | 89 | 7.979 |
| | | | | 100 | 2.121 |
| | | RKMF073012 | | Total | 10.100 |
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073013 | Construct Aircraft Maintenance Shop Facilities | 89 | 5.682 |
| | | | | 100 | 1.510 |
| | | RKMF073013 | | Total | 7.192 |
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073014 | Construct Aircraft Maintenance Complex | 89 | 10.428 |
| | | | | 100 | 2.772 |
| | | RKMF073014 | | Total | 13.200 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 89 | 45.226 |
| 2009 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073011 | Construct Airfield Pavements | 89 | 6.162 |
| | | | | 100 | 1.638 |
| | | RKMF073011 | | Total | 7.800 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 89 | 6.162 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 89, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID Nellis Air Force Base, NV and Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK

| | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--|--|-----------|---------------|
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 89 | 51.388 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$4.956M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds Jacksonville AGS, FL, air quality studies and Fresno AGS, CA, contract services. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.304M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.029M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds travel costs for site visits. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$14.132M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.020M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Funds Air National Guard retention and recruiting at McEntire AGS, SC. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.255M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.039M.

Other: Funds Air National Guard training for association at McEntire AGS, SC. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$3.113M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.533M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$76.738M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$18.111M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$88.776M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$16.999M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -399. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -111.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|-----------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RKMF073011 | | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,800 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATE | | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) | |
| AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS | | | | | 6,242 | |
| PARKING APRON | | SM | 29,200 | 169 | (4,935) | |
| TAXIWAY | | SM | 4,800 | 169 | (811) | |
| PAVED SHOULDERS | | SM | 7,300 | 68 | (496) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 767 | |
| UTILITIES | | | | | (767) | |
| PAVEMENTS | | | | | (0) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | | | | (0) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 7,009 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 350 | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 7,360 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 420 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 7,779 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 7,800 | |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: 18 inch (medium load design) 700 PSI flex strength Portland Cement Concrete aircraft parking ramp and taxiway, asphalt shoulders, base and sub-base, drainage systems, apron and taxiway lighting, pavement marking, site work, utilities, pre-formed compression joint seals, aircraft tiedowns and grounding points and all other necessary work as required. | | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1649045 SM ADEQUATE: 1420647 SM SUBSTANDARD: 154298 SM PROJECT: Construct Airfield Pavements. (BRAC2005). REQUIREMENT: Permanent aircraft parking ramp and taxiway, adequately sized and configured is required to support the BRAC directed beddown of F-15C/D and F-16 aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Nellis AFB will receive an additional 5 PTAI F-16 and 18 PTAI F-15C aircraft. The aircraft are scheduled for delivery beginning in FY09. CURRENT SITUATION: Nellis AFB does not have excess aircraft parking ramp to accommodate the additional aircraft associated with this BRAC action. Nellis parking ramp is at maximum capacity. Several ramp areas have been used to park aircraft even though the pavement violates airfield criteria. The pavement situation is critical. Currently, the installation must limit the number of visiting units that can participate in the various flag exercises, the USAF Weapon School and test missions. | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------------------|---------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NELNIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RKMF073011 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,800 | |
| <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to provide additional parking ramp in a timely manner to support this BRAC directed action will critically impact the installations primary mission to train Combat Air Forces. Without this new pavement the Combat Air Forces will not be able to train within the full spectrum of aerospace forces.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" and Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovations, new construction) was completed. Analysis indicates there is no other option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, an economic analysis was not accomplished. A Certificate of exception has been prepared. Civil Engineer: Col Anthony Foti: (702) 652-4833; (Parking Apron: 29,200 SM = 314,192 SF; Taxiway: 4,800 SM = 51,648 SF; Shoulders: 7,300 SM = 8,548 SF)</p> <p><u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p> | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NELNIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RKMF073011 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,800 | |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | | | 07 Jun 01 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | | | 07 Dec 31 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | | | 08 Jul 31 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design | | | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used | | | | | N/A |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | | | \$468 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | | | \$234 |
| (c) Total | | | | | \$702 |
| (d) Contract | | | | | \$611 |
| (e) In-house | | | | | \$ 91 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | | | 08 Dec 31 |
| (5) Construction Start | | | | | 09 Feb 01 |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | | | 10 Aug 01 |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A | | | | | |

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.508 | 0.174 | 0 | 7.800 | 0 | 0 | 8.482 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.269 | 0 | 0.255 | 0.003 | 0.205 | 0.050 | 0.782 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.014 | 2.997 | 2.394 | 1.192 | 0.012 | 0 | 6.609 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 1.196 | 0.004 | 0 | 0 | 1.200 |
| Other | 0.025 | 0 | 0.142 | 0.347 | 0 | 0 | 0.514 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.816 | 3.171 | 3.987 | 9.346 | 0.217 | 0.050 | 17.587 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.816 | 3.171 | 3.987 | 9.346 | 0.217 | 0.050 | 17.587 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.816 | 3.171 | 3.987 | 9.346 | 0.217 | 0.050 | 17.587 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.015 | 0.077 | 0.080 | 0.081 | 0.253 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0.015 | 0.077 | 0.080 | 0.081 | 0.253 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.816 | 3.171 | 3.987 | 9.346 | 0.217 | 0.050 | 17.587 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN

Commission Recommendation: Realign Capital Airport Air Guard Station, IL. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 183d Fighter Wing, Capital Airport Air Guard Station, IL and the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 122d Fighter Wing, Fort Wayne International Airport Air Guard Station, IN, to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 183d Fighter Wing, Fort Wayne International Airport Air Guard Station, IN. The Illinois ANG State Headquarters and the 217th Engineering Installation Squadron remain in place at Capital Airport Air Guard Station, IL. If the State of Illinois decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 183d Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 183d Fighter Wing, including the wing Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Illinois and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to the Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) at Capital for F110 engines, air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Illinois Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 183d Fighter Wing is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state. Realign Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 181st Fighter Wing to meet the PAA requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 181st Fighter Wing's ECS elements remain in place. If the State of Indiana decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 181st Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 181st Fighter Wing will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Indiana and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Indiana Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 181st Fighter Wing is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state. Realign Dane County Regional Air Guard Station/Truax Field, WI; Joe Foss Field Air Guard Station, SD; Des Moines Air Guard Station, IA; Fort Wayne Air Guard Station, IN; and Lackland Air Force Base, TX; by relocating base-level F-110 intermediate maintenance to Capital Air Guard Station, IL, establishing a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) at Capital for F110 engines.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2009 | Capital APT AGS, IL | DCFT059167 | Upgrade F-16 Engine CIRF | 90 | 6.200 |
| 2009 | Capital APT AGS, IL | DCFT069037 | F-16 CIRF SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | 90 | 1.600 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 90 | 7.800 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 90 | 7.800 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.682M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 90, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Provides funds for environmental studies. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.782M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.003M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for minor construction, facilities alteration projects, and travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$6.609M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.192M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides funds for reserve component military personnel man days. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.200M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.004M.

Other: Provides funds for reserve component training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.514M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.347M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.253M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.077M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE MAY 23, 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABRAHAM LINCOLN CAPITAL AIRPORT (ANG), ILLINOIS | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - UPGRADE F-16 ENGINE CIRF | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 211-157 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER DCFT059167 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$6,200 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| UPGRADE F-16 ENGINE CIRF | | SF | 58,701 | | 4,266 |
| UPGRADE HANGAR | | SF | 58,701 | 62 | (3,639) |
| INSTALL BRIDGE CRANES WITH HOISTS | | LS | | | (510) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | SF | 58,701 | 2 | (117) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | LS | | | 1,280 |
| ROOF REPAIRS | | LS | | | (725) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (300) |
| SITE PREPARATION | | LS | | | (75) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (50) |
| COMMUNICATION SUPPORT | | LS | | | (100) |
| PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (30) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 5,546 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 277 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 5,823 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 349 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 6,172 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 6,200 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade: Rearrange and extend interior walls, utilities and special equipment to include replacement of the hangar door with an energy efficient door. Install new bridge cranes. Exterior: all necessary utilities, access pavements, fire protection, roof repairs, exterior improvements, site work and antiterrorism/force protection measures as applicable. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 91,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 58,700 SF PROJECT: Upgrade F-16 Engine CIRF (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 90, the F-16 aircraft will be relocated to other units or retired and a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) for F-16 engines will be established at Abraham Lincoln Capital Airport, IL. The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured engine maintenance shop and supporting administrative space. Functional areas include spaces for scheduled inspections, routine maintenance, and operational-level repair of aircraft engines. An area for the storage of spare engines, covered storage for engine trailers and a bearing and inspection shop. CURRENT SITUATION: The current engine shop, building 36, is only 1,115 SM (11,999 SF) and is already short of its current space authorization for the 15 PAA F-16 aircraft assigned. Upon accepting the new BRAC directed mission, the unit will be responsible for maintenance on 96 PAA F-16 aircraft with a minimum space requirement of 8,454 SM (91,000 SF). The existing facility, at just 13% of required space, can not support this large mission increase. The existing maintenance hangar, with a total space of 5,453 SM (58,700 SF) will no longer be needed when the active flying mission departs this base under the same BRAC recommendation. This hangar has adequate space to support the new CIRF mission. The hangar bay floor which is 3,000 SM (32,300 SF) must be outfitted with cranes and hoists as appropriate to the layout. The remaining space, shops and administrative space, must be renovated to an efficient floor plan for this mission. | | | | | |

| | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE MAY 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABRAHAM LINCOLN CAPITAL AIRPORT (ANG), ILLINOIS | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - UPGRADE F-16 ENGINE CIRF | 7. PROJECT NUMBER DCFT059167 | |
| <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Establishment of the CIRF per the BRAC direction can not be accomplished. Anticipated BRAC savings will not be realized. Forced use of the existing engine maintenance shop for support of 78 PAA F-16 aircraft engines will be severely impact the effectiveness of this critical maintenance function and threaten the safety of flight for this airframe. Poor working conditions in the facility will impact morale and retention of ANG members and will reduce the effectiveness of training. ANG, CONUS AF, and USAFE mission readiness and reliability will be negatively affected by lack of suitable CIRF facilities.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria and scope outlined in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, para 7.9. Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. All known alternatives options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col James R. Lund, DSN: 892-8216</p> <p>JOINT CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>HANGAR UPGRADE 5,453 SM = 58,701 SF</p> | | |

| | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 30, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABRAHAM LINCOLN CAPITAL AIRPORT (ANG), ILLINOIS | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - UPGRADE F-16 ENGINE CIRF | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER DCFT059167 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | |
| (1) Status: | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | DEC 2006 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | YES |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | | 0% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | JUL 2007 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | DEC 2007 |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | No |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | 240 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | 120 |
| (c) Total | | 360 |
| (d) Contract | | 360 |
| (e) In-House | | |
| (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) | | FEB 2009 |
| (5) Construction Start | | MAR 2009 |
| (6) Construction Completion | | MAR 2010 |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability. | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: | | N/A |
| POINT OF CONTACT: John Scanlon (301) 836-8083 | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 3. DATE JUL 26, 2006 | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABRAHAM LINCOLN CAPITAL AIRPORT (ANG), ILLINOIS | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - F-16 CIRF SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 116-665 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER DCFT069037 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,600 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| F-16 SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | | LS | | | 1,200 |
| PAVEMENT | | LS | | | (1,200) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | LS | | | 235 |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (80) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (95) |
| ACCESS PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (50) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (10) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,435 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 72 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,507 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 90 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,597 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,600 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (3,000) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide reinforced concrete foundations for sound suppressor equipment. Reinforced concrete pad and access concrete taxiway. All utilities, pavements and site improvements shall be included. Provide base service road in demolition area. Provide environmental protection as required. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2 EA ADEQUATE: 1 EA SUBSTANDARD: 0 EA <u>PROJECT:</u> F-16 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation (BRAC) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report recommendation number 90, the F-16 aircraft will be relocated to other units or retired and a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) for F-16 engines will be established at Abraham Lincoln Capital Airport, IL. The base requires two properly sited, sized, configured and environmentally safe power check pad with sound suppressor to support the F-16 CIRF mission. The controlled test pad is necessary to meet the noise siting compliance requirements of AFM 19-10 and the Noise Control Act of 1972. The act requires federal agencies to abate environmental noise levels in order to protect the public health and welfare with an adequate margin of safety. The sound suppressor shall reduce the noise level to 77 decibels. Sound suppressor must also provide shelter from the weather. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There is one existing test pad but it does not provide enough capacity for the new CIRF mission. A second test pad is required. Equipment provided for the test stand and sound suppression are provided separately and are not included in this cost. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The maintenance and testing of F-16 engines for the entire region will be degraded. Aircraft engines will be tested outdoors without suppression, creating noise hazards and inconvenience for nearby personnel and the local community. These complaints could halt the BRAC directed CIRF mission severely impacting the operational readiness of all ANG, Active and AF Reserve F-16 wings. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria and scope outlined in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, para 7.9. Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col James R. Lund, DSN: 892-8216 | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 26, 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABRAHAM LINCOLN CAPITAL AIRPORT (ANG), ILLINOIS | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - F-16 CIRF SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER DCFT069037 | |
| <p>JOINT CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 4. DATE JUL 26, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ABRAHAM LINCOLN CAPITAL AIRPORT (ANG), ILLINOIS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - F-16 CIRF SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER DCFT069037 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>JUL 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>MAR 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$144)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>96</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>48</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>144</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>144</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) OCT 2008</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: MR. JOHN SCANLON (301) 836-8083</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | JUL 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 10% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2007 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 96 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 48 | (c) Total | 144 | (d) Contract | 144 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | JUL 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 96 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 144 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 144 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 2.568 | 29.739 | 1.058 | 7.200 | 0 | 0 | 40.565 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.038 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 8.903 | 1.603 | 3.034 | 0.700 | 0.891 | 0 | 15.131 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 11.508 | 31.342 | 4.092 | 7.900 | 0.891 | 0 | 55.733 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 11.508 | 31.342 | 4.092 | 7.900 | 0.891 | 0 | 55.733 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 11.508 | 31.342 | 4.092 | 7.900 | 0.891 | 0 | 55.733 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.017 | 1.230 | 1.781 | 1.829 | 2.202 | 2.516 | 9.575 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.229 | 0.451 | 0.463 | 0.872 | 1.263 | 3.279 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0.017 | 1.460 | 2.232 | 2.291 | 3.074 | 3.779 | 12.854 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.388 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.388 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.388 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.388 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 5.348 | 10.958 | 11.237 | 11.528 | 11.770 | 50.840 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0.169 | 0.345 | 0.354 | 0.363 | 0.371 | 1.603 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.102 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.235 | 0.240 | 0.246 | 0.253 | 0.258 | 1.232 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 5.771 | 11.563 | 11.858 | 12.165 | 12.421 | 53.778 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 6.159 | 11.563 | 11.858 | 12.165 | 12.421 | 54.166 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (152) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | (148) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 11.508 | 25.183 | (7.471) | (3.958) | (11.274) | (12.421) | 1.567 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA

Commission Recommendation: Realign NAS New Orleans ARS, LA. Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 926th Fighter Wing (AFR) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 24 PAA A-10 at the 442d Fighter Wing (AFR), Whiteman Air Force Base, Missouri. Establish 24 PAA A-10 at the 917th Wing (AFR) at Barksdale Air Force Base, Louisiana. The 926th Wing HQ element realigns to Nellis Air Force Base, NV and the wing Expeditionary Combat Support realigns to Buckley Air Force Base, CO.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Barksdale AFB, LA | AWUB085003 | BRAC AFR Squad Ops/Life Support | 91 | 1.301 |
| | | | | 79 | 0.649 |
| | | AWUB085003 | | Total | 1.950 |
| 2007 | Barksdale AFB, LA | AWUB085004 | BRAC AFR Aircraft Maintenance Squadron | 91 | 1.167 |
| | | | | 79 | 0.583 |
| | | AWUB085004 | | Total | 1.750 |
| 2007 | Buckley AFB, CO | CRWU073017 | BRAC AFR Security Forces Squadron Training | 91 | 2.300 |
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF093009 | BRAC AFR Training Facility | 91 | 10.800 |
| 2007 | Whiteman AFB, MO | YWHG079501 | BRAC AFR Squad Ops | 91 | 8.100 |
| 2007 | Whiteman AFB, MO | YWHG079502 | BRAC AFR Munitions Igloos | 91 | 2.900 |
| 2007 | Whiteman AFB, MO | YWHG079503 | BRAC AFR Munitions Maintenance | 91 | 1.850 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 91 | 28.418 |
| 2008 | Buckley AFB, CO | CRWU073013 | Utility Infrastructure Construction | 91 | 1.058 |
| | | | | 143B | 9.022 |
| | | CRWU073013 | | Total | 10.080 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 91 | 1.058 |
| 2009 | Buckley AFB, CO | CRWU073014 | BRAC AFR Training Facility | 91 | 7.200 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 91 | 7.200 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 91 | 36.676 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$3.889M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 91, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.038M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Fund communications associated with the standup of video, voice and data services/hardware for AF Reserve ECS personnel moving from NAS New Orleans to Buckley AFB. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$15.131M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.700M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$12.854M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.291M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$53.778M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$11.858M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -142. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE RESERVE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. DATE SEP 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC AFR TRAINING FACILITY | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-445 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU 073014 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,200 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATE | | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) | |
| RESERVE TRAINING FACILITY | SM | 2,445 | 2,153 | 5,264 | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | LS | | | 53 | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 1,326 | |
| COMMUNICATIONS | LS | | | (156) | |
| UTILITIES/PAVEMENTS/SITE WORK | LS | | | (1,170) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 6,643 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 332 | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 6,775 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 386 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 7,161 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 7,200 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS | | | | 1,041 | |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Reinforced concrete foundation and concrete floor slab, structural steel frames, split-face masonry unit walls, standing seam metal roof, fire detection/protection system, utilities, communications, pavements, landscaping and necessary support. Project includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD unified facilities criteria and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 130 Tons. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,445 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM | | | | | |
| <u>PROJECT:</u> Reserve Training Facility (BRAC). | | | | | |
| <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequately sized and functionally configured to satisfy all training requirements, storage and administrative requirements of the group. Group includes Medical Squadron, Logistics Readiness Element, Services Flight, Civil Engineering Readiness. | | | | | |
| <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The Base Realignment and Closure Commission (2005) recommendation allows for movement and the relocation of manpower to Buckley AFB. There are no available facilities at Buckley AFB to locate this mission. Costs to run supporting facilities and utilities to the site are included in AFSPC project Infrastructure Support (BRAC). | | | | | |
| <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without alterations provided by the project, the AFRC Group function will not have an area suitable for protocol, reserve military personnel support, and for training its people to perform their mission functions. | | | | | |
| <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Reserve Command Handbook 32-1001, Standard Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exemption was prepared. POC: Ms. Donna Young, HQ AFRC/CEPR, DSN 497-1108. This facility project is a candidate for Comprehensive Interior Design (CID). Equipment from other appropriations: \$1,041K for furnishings. New Work: 2,445 SM = 26,313 SF. | | | | | |
| <u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force Reserve requirements. | | | | | |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE RESERVE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | 2. DATE AUG 2006 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC AFR TRAINING FACILITY | 5. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU 073014 |
|--|----------------------------------|

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. DESIGN DATA (Estimated)

1. STATUS

- a. Date Design Started Oct 2006
- b. Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs PACES
- c. Percentage Complete as of January 1, 2008 75%
- d. Date Design 35% Complete Feb 2007
- e. Date Design Complete - (If design-build, construction complete) Jun 2008

2. BASIS

- a. Standard or Definitive Design - Yes ___ No X .
- b. Where Design Was Most Recently Used N/A .

3. COST (Total) = c = a + b or d + e (\$000)

- a. Production of Plans and Specifications (35% design) (0)
- b. All Other Design Costs (Design-build) (0)
- c. Total (518)
- d. Contract (A-E) (414)
- e. In-house (management) (104)

4. CONTRACT AWARD Dec 2008

5. CONSTRUCTION START Jan 2009

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

| <u>Equipment</u> | <u>Procuring</u> | <u>Fiscal Year</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| <u>Nomenclature</u> | <u>Appropriation</u> | <u>Appropriated</u> | <u>(\$000)</u> |
| <u>Furnishings</u> | <u>BRAC Account</u> | <u>Or Requested</u> | <u></u> |
| | | FY2009 | 713 |

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.788 | 10.859 | 0.535 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.182 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.728 | 0.152 | 0.150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.030 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 1.178 | 3.570 | 0.500 | 0.026 | 0.661 | 0.009 | 5.944 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.005 | 0.409 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.414 |
| Other | 0 | 0.787 | 1.541 | 0 | 0.401 | 0.401 | 3.130 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 2.694 | 15.373 | 3.135 | 0.026 | 1.062 | 0.410 | 22.700 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 2.694 | 15.373 | 3.135 | 0.026 | 1.062 | 0.410 | 22.700 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 2.694 | 15.373 | 3.135 | 0.026 | 1.062 | 0.410 | 22.700 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.132 | 0.150 | 0.156 | 0.160 | 0.163 | 0.762 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.608 | 0.622 | 0.638 | 0.655 | 0.669 | 3.192 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.740 | 0.773 | 0.794 | 0.815 | 0.832 | 3.953 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.266 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.266 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0.068 | 0.069 | 0.071 | 0.073 | 0.074 | 0.355 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.926 | 0.949 | 0.973 | 0.998 | 1.019 | 4.865 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.574 | 0.588 | 0.603 | 0.618 | 0.631 | 3.014 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 1.567 | 1.606 | 1.647 | 1.689 | 1.725 | 8.234 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 1.834 | 1.606 | 1.647 | 1.689 | 1.725 | 8.501 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 2.694 | 13.539 | 1.529 | (1.621) | (0.627) | (1.315) | 14.199 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX

Commission Recommendation: Realign Andrews Air Force Base, MD, by relocating the Air Force Flight Standards Agency (AFFSA) and its two C-21 aircraft to Will Rogers World Airport Air Guard Station, OK. Realign Randolph Air Force Base, TX, by relocating the USAF Advanced Instrument School (AIS) to Will Rogers Air Guard Station. Realign Tinker Air Force Base, OK, by relocating the Global Air Traffic Operations Program Office (GATOPO) to Will Rogers Air Guard Station. Realign Will Rogers Air Guard Station by relocating the 137th Airlift Wing (ANG) to Tinker Air Force Base and associate with the 507th Air Refueling Wing (AFR). Distribute the 137th Air Airlift Wing's (ANG) C-130 aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. If the State of Oklahoma decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 137th Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 137th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Oklahoma and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Oklahoma Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 137th Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state. Establish 8 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 136th Airlift Wing ANG, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, TX. Establish 10 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 139th Airlift Wing (ANG), Rosecrans Memorial Airport Air Guard Station, MO. The 137th Airlift Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support remains in place at Will Rogers Air Guard Station, Oklahoma.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073013 | 1-Bay Flight Simulator Bldg | 92 | 0.812 |
| | | | | 93 | 0.897 |
| | | | | 103 | 2.541 |
| | | NKAK073013 | | Total | 4.250 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073014 | AMC HQ Wing Bldg | 92 | 0.691 |
| | | | | 93 | 1.029 |
| | | | | 103 | 5.680 |
| | | NKAK073014 | | Total | 7.400 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073015 | 1-Bay Corrosion Control Hangar | 92 | 2.311 |
| | | | | 93 | 2.553 |
| | | | | 103 | 7.236 |
| | | NKAK073015 | | Total | 12.100 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073016 | Squadron Ops / AMU | 92 | 2.445 |
| | | | | 93 | 2.701 |
| | | | | 103 | 7.654 |
| | | NKAK073016 | | Total | 12.800 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 92, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK, and Randolph Air Force Base, TX

| | | | | | |
|------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| 2007 | Will Rogers World APT AGS, OK | YZEU059170 | Relocate Air Traffic Operations | 92 | 4.600 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 92 | 10.859 |
| 2008 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK083009 | AGE / Engine Facility | 92 | 0.535 |
| | | | | 93 | 0.591 |
| | | | | 103 | 1.674 |
| | | NKAK083009 | | Total | 2.800 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 92 | 0.535 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 92 | 11.394 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.788M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.030M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds Little Rock AFB Air National Guard requirements for travel, supplies & materials. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$5.944M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.026M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.414M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$3.130M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$3.953M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.794M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$8.234M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.647M.

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.333 | 7.180 | 0.591 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.104 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.090 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.090 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.134 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.362 | 0.502 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.272 | 0 | 0 | 0.272 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.558 | 7.180 | 0.592 | 0.272 | 0.005 | 0.362 | 8.969 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.558 | 7.180 | 0.592 | 0.272 | 0.005 | 0.362 | 8.969 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.558 | 7.180 | 0.592 | 0.272 | 0.005 | 0.362 | 8.969 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.094 | 0.113 | 1.711 | 2.233 | 2.280 | 6.431 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.858 | 37.496 | 38.284 | 94.639 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.094 | 0.113 | 20.569 | 39.729 | 40.564 | 101.070 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 369 | 0 | 0 | 369 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.558 | 7.180 | 0.592 | 0.272 | 0.005 | 0.362 | 8.969 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD

Commission Recommendation: Realign Martin State Air Guard Station (AGS), MD. Distribute the 8 C-130J aircraft assigned to the 175th Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 8 Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) C-130J at the 146th Airlift Wing (ANG), Channel Islands AGS, California. Establish 8 Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) C-130J at the 143d Airlift Wing (ANG), Quonset State Airport AGS, Rhode Island. The Aerial Port Squadron located at Martin State Air Guard Station, Maryland will move to Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland. If the State of Maryland decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 175th Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 175th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Maryland and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Maryland Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 175th Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073013 | 1-Bay Flight Simulator Bldg | 93 | 0.897 |
| | | | | 92 | 0.812 |
| | | | | 103 | 2.541 |
| | | NKAK073013 | | Total | 4.250 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073014 | AMC HQ Wing Bldg | 93 | 1.029 |
| | | | | 92 | 0.691 |
| | | | | 103 | 5.680 |
| | | NKAK073014 | | Total | 7.400 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073015 | 1-Bay Corrosion Control Hangar | 93 | 2.553 |
| | | | | 92 | 2.311 |
| | | | | 103 | 7.236 |
| | | NKAK073015 | | Total | 12.100 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073016 | Squadron Ops / AMU | 93 | 2.701 |
| | | | | 92 | 2.445 |
| | | | | 103 | 7.654 |
| | | NKAK073016 | | Total | 12.800 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 93 | 7.180 |
| 2008 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK083009 | AGE / Engine Facility | 93 | 0.591 |
| | | | | 92 | 0.535 |
| | | | | 103 | 1.674 |
| | | NKAK083009 | | Total | 2.800 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 93, Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, MD

| | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--|--|-----------|--------------|
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 93 | 0.591 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 93 | 7.771 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.333M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.090M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.502M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides funds for reserve component military personnel man days for implementation requirements. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.272M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.272M.

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$101.070M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$20.569M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is 382. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 382.

Savings: N/A

**Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO,
and Atlantic City AGS, NJ**

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 3.732 | 26.110 | 25.160 | 5.750 | 0 | 0 | 60.752 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.439 | 0.077 | 0.573 | 0.299 | 0.030 | 0 | 1.418 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.461 | 3.589 | 9.152 | 3.978 | 0.524 | 0 | 17.704 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.100 | 1.548 | 1.151 | 0.140 | 0 | 2.939 |
| Other | 1.081 | 1.371 | 2.800 | 3.671 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 9.163 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 5.712 | 31.247 | 39.233 | 14.849 | 0.814 | 0.120 | 91.975 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 5.712 | 31.247 | 39.233 | 14.849 | 0.814 | 0.120 | 91.975 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 5.712 | 31.247 | 39.233 | 14.849 | 0.814 | 0.120 | 91.975 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.485 | 0.950 | 2.437 | 3.840 | 3.921 | 11.633 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0.137 | 1.533 | 2.832 | 2.892 | 7.394 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.485 | 1.087 | 3.969 | 6.673 | 6.813 | 19.027 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.039 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.039 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0.195 | 0.200 | 0.205 | 0.210 | 0.810 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.028 | 0.028 | 0.109 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.488 | 0.488 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.221 | 0.227 | 0.233 | 0.726 | 1.408 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.260 | 0.227 | 0.233 | 0.726 | 1.446 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 5.712 | 31.247 | 38.973 | 14.622 | 0.581 | (0.606) | 90.529 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

**Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO,
and Atlantic City AGS, NJ**

Commission Recommendation: Realign Otis ANGB, MA. Distribute the fifteen F-15 aircraft assigned to the 102d Fighter Wing's (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 253d Combat Communications Group, and 267th Communications Squadron will remain in place at Otis, with 104th Fighter Wing at Barnes providing administrative support as the parent wing. An air sovereignty alert (ASA) facility will be constructed at Barnes Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, MA. Firefighter positions from Otis will move to Barnes Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, MA. If the Commonwealth of Massachusetts decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Massachusetts Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth. Realign Lambert-St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, St. Louis, MO. Distribute the fifteen F-15 aircraft assigned to the 131st Fighter Wing to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 157th Air Operations Group (AOG) and the 218th Engineering Installation Group (EIG) will relocate from Jefferson Barracks geographically separated unit (GSU) into space at Lambert International. Jefferson Barracks real property accountability will transfer to the Army. If the State of Missouri decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Missouri and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Missouri Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD059311 | Barnes - Install Aircraft Arresting System | 94 | 0.380 |
| 2007 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD059312 | ADAL Squad Ops Facility | 94 | 4.300 |
| 2007 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD059313 | Upgrade F-15 Apron | 94 | 1.600 |
| 2007 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD059315 | ADAL Maint Facilities | 94 | 1.300 |
| 2007 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD059316 | ADAL Fuel Cell /Corr | 94 | 3.600 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

**Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO,
and Atlantic City AGS, NJ**

| | | | | | |
|------|------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| 2007 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD069009 | ADAL Engine Shop | 94 | 0.830 |
| 2007 | Great Falls IAP AGS, MT | JKSE059009 | Squadron Operations Facility | 94 | 8.500 |
| 2007 | Great Falls IAP AGS, MT | JKSE059306 | Upgrade Avionics and ECM | 94 | 1.150 |
| 2007 | Great Falls IAP AGS, MT | JKSE059353 | ADAL Weapons & Rel Shop | 94 | 1.900 |
| 2007 | Great Falls IAP AGS, MT | JKSE069013 | ADAL ASE Shop | 94 | 2.550 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 94 | 26.110 |
| 2008 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD059314 | Add To Munitions Stge | 94 | 5.000 |
| 2008 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD059359 | ASA Alert Complex | 94 | 16.500 |
| 2008 | Great Falls IAP AGS, MT | JKSE059326 | Upgrade Munitions Storage | 94 | 3.300 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 94 | 24.800 |
| 2009 | Barnes MPT AGS, MA | AXQD059345 | EOD Facility | 94 | 1.750 |
| 2009 | Lambert - St. Louis IAP AGS, MO | MSQB059091 | Relocate 157 AOG | 94 | 4.000 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 94 | 5.750 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 94 | 56.660 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$4.092M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds environmental studies and associated travel at the following locations: Dannelly Field AGS, AL, Barnes AGS, MA, Otis AGS, MA, Lambert-St Louis AGS, MO, Great Falls AGS, MT, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ, Bradley AGS, CT, Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.418M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.299M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds minor construction, facilities alteration projects, equipment purchases, furniture, transportation of things and travel. Locations include Bradley AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Otis AGS, MA, Lambert-St Louis AGS, MO, Great Falls AGS, MT, and Atlantic City AGS, NJ. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$17.704M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.978M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

**Commission # 94, Otis Air National Guard Base, MA, Lambert-St Louis International Airport AGS, MO,
and Atlantic City AGS, NJ**

Military Personnel - PCS: Funds reserve component military personnel man-days at Bradley AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Lambert-St Louis AGS, MO, and Great Falls AGS, MT. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$2.939M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.151M.

Other: Funds reserve component training at Bradley AGS, CT, Barnes AGS, MA, Lambert-St Louis AGS, MO, and Great Falls AGS, MT. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$9.163M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.671M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$19.027M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.969M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$1.408M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.227M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is 57. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 57.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 3. DATE JUL 26, 2006 | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT, MASSACHUSETTS | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL FACILITY | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 141-165 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER AXQD059345 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,750 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL FACILITY | | SM | 520 | | 1,063 |
| EOD AREA | | SM | 520 | 2,024 | (1,052) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | SM | 520 | 22 | (11) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 505 |
| SITE PREPARATION | | LS | | | (50) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (100) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (95) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (40) |
| SECURITY SUPPORT - IDS | | LS | | | (50) |
| PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES | | LS | | | (170) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,568 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 78 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,646 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 99 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,745 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,750 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, steel-framed masonry walls, and standing-seam metal roof. A one-story structure with all mechanical, electrical, and fire protection support systems. The utilities, communications, and security support systems will be more extensive than normal due to the location of the facility. Exterior supporting facilities including drive-thru bay access pavement. Implement passive force protection measures as appropriate due to facility siting. Air Conditioning: 53 KW. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 520 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Explosive Ordnance Disposal Facility (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 94, the installation will convert from 15 PAA A-10 to 18 PAA F-15 aircraft and will receive the Air Sovereignty Alert mission from Otis ANGB. The base requires an adequately sized and properly configured space to support explosive ordnance disposal (EOD) operations in support of the F-15 aircraft on the alert mission. The EOD personnel are critical to the alert mission in their role of supporting responses to hung weapons and other malfunctions of the live weapons in use on these aircraft. Functional areas include flight offices, administration, secure classroom/training room, tech order library, break room, laundry, personal lockers, unit equipment storage and maintenance, mobility storage, and drive-thru vehicle/trailer/robot storage and maintenance area. CURRENT SITUATION: The base has not previously hosted an EOD flight and has no facility that can accommodate this new mission. There is no excess space that can be upgraded for the EOD function. Construction of a new facility is the only option to meet this BRAC recommended relocation. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The mission can not be relocated per the BRAC direction. Alert response to national emergencies in the Northeast may be degraded. Safety of flight issues for both military and civilian aircraft will be introduced by the lack of response to weapons malfunctions. ADDITIONAL: This project implements the BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". Anti-terrorism/force protection requirements | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 26, 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT, MASSACHUSETTS | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER AXQD059345 | |
| <p>have been considered in the development of this project. There is no threat and the level of protection is low so minimum construction standards have been applied. All known alternatives options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC William Kelly, DSN 698-1737</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>EOD AREA 520 SM = 5,600 SF</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 26, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT, MASSACHUSETTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER AXQD059345 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>DEC 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JUL 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>DEC 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$153)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>102</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>51</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>153</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>153</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) NOV 2008</p> <p>(5) Construction Start FEB 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion FEB 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: MR JOHN E. LOEHLE, PE (301) 836-8076</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JUL 2007 | (e) Date Design Complete | DEC 2007 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 102 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 51 | (c) Total | 153 | (d) Contract | 153 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JUL 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | DEC 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 102 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 51 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 153 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 153 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE JUL 19, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LAMBERT -ST LOUIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, MISSOURI | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-RELOCATE 157 AOG | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-447 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MSQB059091 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$4,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| RELOCATE 157 AOG | SF | 17,000 | | 2,303 |
| ALTER AIR OPERATIONS GROUP AREA | SF | 10,000 | 122 | (1,220) |
| CONSTRUCT SCIF | SF | 7,000 | 145 | (1,015) |
| ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION | SF | 17,000 | 2 | (34) |
| SDD & EP ACT 05 (2% FOR LEED CERT/ELEMENTS) | SF | 17,000 | 2 | (34) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | LS | | | 1,290 |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (250) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (150) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (550) |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (300) |
| PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION | LS | | | (40) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 3,593 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 180 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 3,773 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 226 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 3,999 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 4,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter: reconfigure interior spaces to meet new user requirements. Modify heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, plumbing and latrine areas, fire protection and suppression, electrical distribution, and communications systems as necessary. Provide site improvements, pavements, and antiterrorism/force protection security measures. Provide sensitive compartmentalized information facility (SCIF) within existing spaces providing for security alarms, TEMPEST protection, and communications, as appropriate. Exterior: support as necessary to include antiterrorism/force protection measures as appropriate. Air Conditioning: 100 Tons. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: As Required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Relocate 157 Air Operations Group (BRAC) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report recommendation number 94, Jefferson Barracks, MO closes as an ANG location, and the 157th Air Operations Group (AOG) is relocated to Lambert St. Louis International Airport, MO. This unit requires suitably sized and configured facilities to support their mission. Facilities being vacated by the departure of the F-15 flying mission, directed under the same BRAC recommendation, can be reused and renovated for this purpose. Functional areas include: administrative, classroom, briefing room, radio and vehicle maintenance, supply, vault, SCIF, storage, locker, ground equipment maintenance and storage and work control spaces. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are several existing facilities on Lambert that will become available for reuse following the departure of the F-15s under the same BRAC recommendation. Facilities slated to support the 157 AOG are buildings 2 (2,087 SM), 8 (1,448 SM) and 12 (929 SM) for a total of 4,464 SM. Of this available space, only building 12 (929 SM) needs to be renovated. The renovation will reconfigure interior spaces of the building to provide functional workspace for this mission. Other areas of the buildings can be used as is. Creation of a special compartmentalized information facility (SCIF) is necessary to support the highly mobile mission of this unit. Existing facility space will be | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 19, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LAMBERT -ST LOUIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, MISSOURI | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-RELOCATE 157 AOG | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MSQB059091 | |
| <p>converted to this purpose, but will require extensive adjustments to the structure and utilities to meet stringent security requirements.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The unit would not be able to relocate from Jefferson Barracks to Lambert-St. Louis IAP in violation of the BRAC recommendations. Planned BRAC savings would not be realized. Forced use of existing facilities prior to the departure of the F-15 mission would overburden them. Training for all assigned personnel would be degraded and opportunities lost by the lack of adequate classrooms and shop space. Expensive equipment and vehicles would be stored outside without adequate protection and would prematurely deteriorate. Lack of a SCIF facility will jeopardize sensitive information and force the unit to seek secure space on other nearby installations. This separation of the function will introduce unacceptable delays in processing information.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project was developed without the benefit of a site survey. Project may be adjusted following the planned site survey to accommodate unforeseen conditions. This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism Force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER; LtCol Lane Endicott, DSN: 824-6222</p> <p>JOINT CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p> <p>ALTER AIR OPERATIONS GROUP AREA 10,000 SF = 929 SM CONSTRUCT SCIF 7,000 SF = 650 SM</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 30, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LAMBERT -ST LOUIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, MISSOURI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-RELOCATE 157 AOG | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MSQB059091 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>DEC 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JAN 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>324</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>162</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>486</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>486</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Walter Moddison (301) 836-7636</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2007 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 324 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 162 | (c) Total | 486 | (d) Contract | 486 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 324 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 162 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 486 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 486 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.172 | 0 | 1.050 | 0.870 | 0 | 0 | 2.092 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.089 | 0.001 | 0.031 | 0.150 | 0.050 | 0.015 | 0.336 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.030 | 0.172 | 0.043 | 1.846 | 0 | 0 | 2.091 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.455 | 0 | 0 | 0.455 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.291 | 0.173 | 1.124 | 3.321 | 0.050 | 0.015 | 4.974 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.291 | 0.173 | 1.124 | 3.321 | 0.050 | 0.015 | 4.974 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.291 | 0.173 | 1.124 | 3.321 | 0.050 | 0.015 | 4.974 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.155 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.155 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.291 | 0.173 | 1.124 | 3.321 | 0.050 | 0.015 | 4.974 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI

Commission Recommendation: Realign W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI. Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 110th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 110th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Air Guard Station as a civilian airport. If the State of Michigan decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 110th Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 110th Fighter Wing will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Michigan and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Michigan Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 110th Fighter Wing is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Selfridge ANGB, MI | VGLZ059255 | Add to Fuel/Corr Cntl | 95 | 1.050 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 95 | 1.050 |
| 2009 | Selfridge ANGB, MI | VGLZ059258 | Add To Alert Complex | 95 | 0.870 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 95 | 0.870 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 95 | 1.920 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.172M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds environmental studies at W.K. Kellogg AGS, MI. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.336M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.150M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds minor construction, facilities alteration projects, transportation of things, and travel at Selfridge AGS, MI, and W.K.Kellogg AGS, MI. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$2.091M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.846M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Funds reserve component military personnel temporary early retirement authority at Kellogg AGS, MI. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.455M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.455M.

Other: N/A

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 95, W. K. Kellogg Air Guard Station, MI

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.155M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.044M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 3. DATE JUL 27, 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, MICHIGAN | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - ADD TO ALERT COMPLEX | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 218-712 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER VGLZ059258 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$870 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADD TO ALERT COMPLEX | SM | 297 | | 635 |
| MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS AREA | SM | 65 | 3,068 | (199) |
| ASE MAINTENANCE AREA | SM | 93 | 2,616 | (243) |
| ASE STORAGE AREA | SM | 139 | 1,335 | (186) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | SM | 158 | 43 | (7) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 145 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (65) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (50) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (25) |
| COMMUNICATION SUPPORT | LS | | | (5) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 780 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 39 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 819 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 49 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 868 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 870 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, steel-framed masonry walls, and roof structure. Interior mechanical, electrical, and fire protection systems. Exterior utilities, pavements, site improvements, fire protection and support. Addition to match architectural style of existing facility. Antiterrorism and force protection requirements incorporated as appropriate. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,133 SM ADEQUATE: 836 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM <u>PROJECT:</u> Add To Alert Complex (BRAC) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation 68, the installation will convert from 15 PAA F-16 wing to 24 PAA A-10. The base requires adequately sized and configured maintenance space to support a "detached alert" function since the Wing aircraft type will differ from the alert aircraft type. Functional areas include: maintenance operations areas, aerospace ground equipment maintenance shops and storage. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The present alert site, sheltering fighter aircraft loaded with live munitions, does not have any additional space for the maintenance operations function or maintenance/storage space for the aerospace ground equipment (ASE). These functions exist as part of the overall wing operations since the wing and alert aircraft are the same type. Under BRAC, the organic capability of the wing will be converted to another airframe and its associated maintenance and ASE equipment. This will require the alert complex to support it's own maintenance and ASE requirements and drives the need to expand the alert facilities. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Alert maintenance operations cannot be performed to meet response times or comply with prescribed safety regulations and procedures. Lack of maintenance and storage space for the aircraft support equipment (ASE) will require maintenance be done outside and leave the equipment exposed to the elements. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air National Guard Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements" and the Air Combat Command template for permanent alert fighter sites. Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, MICHIGAN | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - ADD TO ALERT COMPLEX | 7. PROJECT NUMBER VGLZ059258 | |
| <p>been considered in the development of this project. A portion of these facilities are "inhabited" buildings and meet the standoff distance requirements. There is no threat and the level of protection is low so minimum construction standards have been applied.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC Mac Crawford, DSN 273-5631</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p> <p>MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS AREA 65 SM = 700 SF ASE MAINTENANCE AREA 93 SM = 1000 SF ASE STORAGE AREA 139 SM = 1500 SF</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|----|----------------------------|---|-----------|----|--------------|----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SELFRIDGE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE, MICHIGAN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - ADD TO ALERT COMPLEX | 7. PROJECT NUMBER VGLZ059258 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>NOV 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JAN 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$52)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: MR JOHN E. LOEHLE, PE (301) 836-8076</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | NOV 2007 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 52 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 0 | (c) Total | 52 | (d) Contract | 52 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | NOV 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 52 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 52 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 52 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.090 | 0 | 0 | 1.150 | 0 | 0 | 1.240 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.032 | 0.106 | 0.130 | 0.270 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.015 | 0.048 | 0.055 | 0.877 | 0.011 | 0.372 | 1.378 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.020 | 0 | 0.090 | 0.604 | 0.714 |
| Other | 0.046 | 0 | 0 | 0.102 | 0.660 | 0.458 | 1.266 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.151 | 0.049 | 0.076 | 2.161 | 0.867 | 1.564 | 4.868 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.151 | 0.049 | 0.076 | 2.161 | 0.867 | 1.564 | 4.868 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.151 | 0.049 | 0.076 | 2.161 | 0.867 | 1.564 | 4.868 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.033 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.033 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.051 | 0.080 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.051 | 0.080 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.051 | 0.080 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.151 | 0.019 | 0.076 | 2.161 | 0.867 | 1.513 | 4.787 |

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS

Commission Recommendation: Realign Key Field Air Guard Station, Mississippi. Distribute the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG)'s KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 12 PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 128th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), General Mitchell Air Guard Station, Wisconsin. If the State of Mississippi decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force: Establish Key Field as a Regional Operations and Security Center (ROSC) location, with the 186th Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remaining in place; Reassign a sufficient number of aircrews and maintenance personnel of the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to the 172nd Airlift Wing (ANG), a C-17 unit located on Thompson Field, Mississippi to bring that unit to a fully manned status, with the Air Force providing retraining where necessary, and; All other personnel allotted to the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Mississippi and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Mississippi Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2009 | Gen Mitchell IAP AGS, WI | HTUV059204 | Add Hydrant Refueling Outlet | 97 | 1.150 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 97 | 1.150 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 97 | 1.150 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.090M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds environmental contract services and travel costs. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.270M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.032M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds simulator relocation and travel costs. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.378M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.877M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.714M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 97, Key Field Air Guard Station, MS

Other: Funds Air National Guard training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.266M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.102M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.033M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.011M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, WISCONSIN | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - ADD HYDRANT REFUELING OUTLET | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 121-122 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER HTUV059204 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,150 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADD HYDRANT REFUELING OUTLET | | SM | 669 | | 1,046 |
| CONCRETE APRON WORK | | SM | 669 | 144 | (96) |
| HYDRANT REFUELING LINE AND PIT | | EA | 1 | 950,000 | (950) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,046 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 52 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,098 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 66 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,164 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,150 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Extend hydrant refueling line and install new pit and valves to facilitate an additional aircraft refueling space. Sawcut, remove and restore 16 inch thick reinforced concrete apron. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 9 EA ADEQUATE: 8 EA SUBSTANDARD: 0 EA PROJECT: Add Hydrant Refueling Outlet (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 97, this installation will robust from 8 PAA KC-135R to 12 PAA KC-135R models. This requires one additional hydrant refueling point for support of the newly assigned aircraft. This construction will connect to the existing operational type III hydrant system. Total aircraft parking at this installation will be 9 spaces on the apron with hydrants and three additional spaces in maintenance hangars. CURRENT SITUATION: The apron currently supports 8 hydrant outlets with capacity to add up to 3 additional outlets. This project expands the parking apron and adds one additional refueling point. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: With the arrival of three additional aircraft, the apron will have insufficient capacity to provide an outlet for every occupied parking space. Aircraft will not be able to refuel by hydrant system, driving a much larger requirement for refueling trucks and crews and reducing the unit's overall mission ready-rate for all aircraft. ADDITIONAL: This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternatives options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Maj Michelle Kendall, DSN 580-8424 JOINT CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements. CONCRETE APRON WORK 669 SM = 800 SY | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION GENERAL MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, WISCONSIN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - ADD HYDRANT REFUELING OUTLET | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER HTUV059204 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>JUL 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JAN 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>105</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>105</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: MR SCOTT MULHOLLAND, GS-13 (301) 836-8347</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | JUL 2007 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 70 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 35 | (c) Total | 105 | (d) Contract | 105 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | JUL 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 105 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 105 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.067 | 0 | 0.039 | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0 | 0.129 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.078 | 0.372 | 0.311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.761 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0.072 | 0 | 2.400 | 4.714 | 0 | 0 | 7.186 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.217 | 0.372 | 2.750 | 4.722 | 0.015 | 0 | 8.076 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.217 | 0.372 | 2.750 | 4.722 | 0.015 | 0 | 8.076 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.217 | 0.372 | 2.750 | 4.722 | 0.015 | 0 | 8.076 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.962 | 10.047 | 10.258 | 25.267 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.023 | 4.016 | 4.100 | 10.140 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.985 | 14.063 | 14.359 | 35.407 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.217 | 0.372 | 2.750 | 4.722 | 0.015 | 0 | 8.076 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 98, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT

Commission Recommendation: Realign Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT. Distribute the fifteen F-16 aircraft assigned to the 120th Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 15 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 120th Fighter Wing (ANG), Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT. Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 187th Fighter Wing (ANG), Dannelly Field Air Guard Station, AL. Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 132d Fighter Wing Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA (ANG). The wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remain in place.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds air quality and hazardous waste actions to beddown new mission at Great Falls AGS, MT. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.129M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.008M.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.761M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: Funds Air National Guard training associated with wing mission change at Great Falls AGS, MT, and communications switches at Great Falls AGS, and Des Moines AGS, IA. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$7.186M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$4.714M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$35.407M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.985M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is 174. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 174.

Savings: N/A

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 1.109 | 11.653 | 0 | 1.638 | 0 | 0 | 14.400 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0.034 | 0.033 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0.082 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.552 | 3.585 | 0.454 | 0.017 | 0.043 | 0.017 | 4.668 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.014 | 0 | 0.014 |
| Other | 0.031 | 0 | 1.276 | 2.406 | 0 | 0 | 3.713 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 1.693 | 15.272 | 1.763 | 4.076 | 0.057 | 0.017 | 22.878 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 1.693 | 15.272 | 1.763 | 4.076 | 0.057 | 0.017 | 22.878 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 1.693 | 15.272 | 1.763 | 4.076 | 0.057 | 0.017 | 22.878 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 2.035 | 2.085 | 5.517 | 5.660 | 5.779 | 21.075 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 2.644 | 2.709 | 2.778 | 2.850 | 2.910 | 13.891 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 4.679 | 4.794 | 8.295 | 8.510 | 8.689 | 34.966 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.843 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.843 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.843 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.843 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 1.021 | 9.910 | 18.179 | 21.109 | 24.064 | 74.284 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 3.072 | 11.740 | 17.620 | 19.595 | 21.557 | 73.585 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 24.819 | 93.146 | 138.883 | 152.081 | 165.077 | 574.005 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 4.567 | 9.227 | 9.462 | 10.770 | 10.997 | 45.023 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.096 | 2.248 | 2.295 | 5.639 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.787 | 10.040 | 10.251 | 30.079 |
| Recapitalization | 10.933 | 11.201 | 11.475 | 11.767 | 12.072 | 12.326 | 69.774 |
| BOS | 0 | 2.972 | 6.454 | 6.618 | 16.424 | 16.769 | 49.237 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 10.933 | 47.653 | 141.952 | 213.411 | 244.341 | 263.337 | 921.626 |
| Grand Total Savings | 10.933 | 48.496 | 141.952 | 213.411 | 244.341 | 263.337 | 922.469 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (30) | (224) | 0 | (67) | 0 | (321) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (636) | (1,061) | 0 | (233) | 0 | (1,930) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | (9.240) | (33.224) | (140.189) | (209.335) | (244.284) | (263.320) | (899.592) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM

Commission Recommendation: Realign Cannon Air Force Base, NM by disestablishing the 27th Fighter Wing and distributing its aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. After disestablishing the 27th Fighter Wing, the Air Force shall establish an enclave at Cannon Air Force Base that shall remain open until December 31, 2009 during which time the Secretary of Defense shall seek other newly-identified missions with all military services for possible assignment to Cannon Air Force Base, NM. If the Secretary designates a mission for Cannon Air Force Base during this period, the enclave would revert to the status appropriate for the designated mission. If the Secretary does not find a mission for Cannon Air Force Base by December 31, 2009, Cannon Air Force Base and the enclave shall be closed. Nothing in this directive shall prohibit the State of New Mexico and the Department of Defense from entering into an agreement to close the enclave at Cannon Air Force Base earlier than December 31, 2009.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073010 | Construct Aircraft Operations Facilities | 100 | 5.250 |
| | | | | 89 | 19.750 |
| | | RKMF073010 | | Total | 25.000 |
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073012 | Construct Flight Simulator Facility | 100 | 2.121 |
| | | | | 89 | 7.979 |
| | | RKMF073012 | | Total | 10.100 |
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073013 | Construct Aircraft Maintenance Shop Facilities | 100 | 1.510 |
| | | | | 89 | 5.682 |
| | | RKMF073013 | | Total | 7.192 |
| 2007 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073014 | Construct Aircraft Maintenance Complex | 100 | 2.772 |
| | | | | 89 | 10.428 |
| | | RKMF073014 | | Total | 13.200 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 100 | 11.653 |
| 2009 | Nellis AFB, NV | RKMF073011 | Construct Airfield Pavements | 100 | 1.638 |
| | | | | 89 | 6.162 |
| | | RKMF073011 | | Total | 7.800 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 100 | 1.638 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 100 | 13.291 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$1.109M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 100, Cannon AFB, NM

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds air quality environmental study at Kirtland AFB, NM. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.082M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.015M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds travel for realignment of aircraft from Cannon AFB, NM. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.668M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.017M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.014M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: Funds training for ANG personnel for new missions and F-16 block model changes at Andrews AFB, MD, Kirtland AFB, NM, Joe Foss Field AGS, ND, and Dane County-Truax Field AGS. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$3.713M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.406M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$34.966M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$8.295M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$921.626M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$213.411M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -2251. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|-----------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RKMF073011 | | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,800 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATE | | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) | |
| AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS | | | | | 6,242 | |
| PARKING APRON | | SM | 29,200 | 169 | (4,935) | |
| TAXIWAY | | SM | 4,800 | 169 | (811) | |
| PAVED SHOULDERS | | SM | 7,300 | 68 | (496) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 767 | |
| UTILITIES | | | | | (767) | |
| PAVEMENTS | | | | | (0) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | | | | (0) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 7,009 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 350 | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 7,360 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 420 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 7,779 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 7,800 | |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: 18 inch (medium load design) 700 PSI flex strength Portland Cement Concrete aircraft parking ramp and taxiway, asphalt shoulders, base and sub-base, drainage systems, apron and taxiway lighting, pavement marking, site work, utilities, pre-formed compression joint seals, aircraft tiedowns and grounding points and all other necessary work as required. | | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1649045 SM ADEQUATE: 1420647 SM SUBSTANDARD: 154298 SM PROJECT: Construct Airfield Pavements. (BRAC2005). REQUIREMENT: Permanent aircraft parking ramp and taxiway, adequately sized and configured is required to support the BRAC directed beddown of F-15C/D and F-16 aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Nellis AFB will receive an additional 5 PTAI F-16 and 18 PTAI F-15C aircraft. The aircraft are scheduled for delivery beginning in FY09. CURRENT SITUATION: Nellis AFB does not have excess aircraft parking ramp to accommodate the additional aircraft associated with this BRAC action. Nellis parking ramp is at maximum capacity. Several ramp areas have been used to park aircraft even though the pavement violates airfield criteria. The pavement situation is critical. Currently, the installation must limit the number of visiting units that can participate in the various flag exercises, the USAF Weapon School and test missions. | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------------------|---------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NELNIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RKMF073011 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,800 | |
| <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to provide additional parking ramp in a timely manner to support this BRAC directed action will critically impact the installations primary mission to train Combat Air Forces. Without this new pavement the Combat Air Forces will not be able to train within the full spectrum of aerospace forces.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" and Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovations, new construction) was completed. Analysis indicates there is no other option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, an economic analysis was not accomplished. A Certificate of exception has been prepared. Civil Engineer: Col Anthony Foti: (702) 652-4833; (Parking Apron: 29,200 SM = 314,192 SF; Taxiway: 4,800 SM = 51,648 SF; Shoulders: 7,300 SM = 8,548 SF)</p> <p><u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p> | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NELNIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RKMF073011 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 7,800 | |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | | | 07 Jun 01 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | | | 07 Dec 31 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | | | 08 Jul 31 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design | | | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used | | | | | N/A |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000) | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | | | \$468 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | | | \$234 |
| (c) Total | | | | | \$702 |
| (d) Contract | | | | | \$611 |
| (e) In-house | | | | | \$ 91 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | | | 08 Dec 31 |
| (5) Construction Start | | | | | 09 Feb 01 |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | | | 10 Aug 01 |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A | | | | | |

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.012 | 0 | 0.117 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.005 | 0.139 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.080 | 0.587 | 0.606 | 0.069 | 0 | 0 | 1.342 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.393 | 0.123 | 0 | 0 | 0.516 |
| Other | 0 | 0.183 | 0.892 | 1.994 | 0 | 0 | 3.069 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.092 | 0.770 | 2.008 | 2.191 | 0 | 0.005 | 5.066 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.092 | 0.770 | 2.008 | 2.191 | 0 | 0.005 | 5.066 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.092 | 0.770 | 2.008 | 2.191 | 0 | 0.005 | 5.066 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.553 | 1.103 | 1.132 | 1.156 | 3.944 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0.262 | 0.513 | 0.527 | 0.538 | 1.840 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0.815 | 1.616 | 1.659 | 1.694 | 5.784 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.092 | 0.770 | 2.008 | 2.191 | 0 | 0.005 | 5.066 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY

Commission Recommendation: Realign Niagara Falls ARS, NY. Distribute the KC-135R/T aircraft assigned to the 107th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 10 PAA KC-135R/T at the 101st Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Bangor International Airport Air Guard Station, Maine. The 101st Air Refueling Wing KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aircraft Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft. All personnel allotted to the 107th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and form an Air National Guard/Air Force Reserve associate wing with the 914th Airlift Wing. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 107th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operation of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Air Reserve Station as a civilian airport. Guard personnel will be provided the training necessary to support the airlift mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the New York Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 107th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds Air Quality study. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.139M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.005M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for civilian personnel, transportation of things, and travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.342M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.069M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides funds for reserve component military man days. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.516M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.123M.

Other: Provides funds for reserve component training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$3.069M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.994M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$5.784M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.616M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is 20. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 101, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY

Savings: N/A

**Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and
Yeager Air Guard Station, WV**

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 1.956 | 34.035 | 12.174 | 11.173 | 0 | 0 | 59.338 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 9.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.000 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.462 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.075 | 0 | 0.537 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 6.487 | 13.331 | 5.116 | 15.265 | 1.550 | 0.002 | 41.751 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 1.847 | 1.970 | 0.250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.067 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 10.752 | 49.336 | 26.540 | 26.438 | 1.625 | 0.002 | 114.693 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 10.752 | 49.336 | 26.540 | 26.438 | 1.625 | 0.002 | 114.693 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 10.752 | 49.336 | 26.540 | 26.438 | 1.625 | 0.002 | 114.693 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.050 | 19.097 | 24.788 | 25.783 | 26.451 | 27.007 | 123.176 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 38.288 | 58.364 | 59.849 | 61.400 | 62.690 | 280.591 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.982 | 6.137 | 6.266 | 18.385 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0.050 | 57.384 | 83.152 | 91.614 | 93.988 | 95.963 | 422.152 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 5.535 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.535 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 5.535 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.535 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 5.688 | 11.656 | 11.952 | 12.262 | 12.520 | 54.078 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 16.772 | 34.367 | 35.241 | 36.155 | 36.914 | 159.450 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 84.080 | 172.280 | 176.663 | 181.242 | 185.050 | 799.315 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 22.484 | 23.035 | 23.620 | 24.233 | 24.742 | 118.113 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.613 | 7.415 | 7.570 | 18.598 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.932 | 7.112 | 7.262 | 21.306 |
| Recapitalization | 5.543 | 5.679 | 5.818 | 5.966 | 6.121 | 6.249 | 35.375 |
| BOS | 0 | 15.913 | 16.302 | 22.702 | 23.291 | 23.780 | 101.988 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 5.543 | 150.615 | 263.458 | 286.691 | 297.830 | 304.088 | 1,308.225 |
| Grand Total Savings | 5.543 | 156.150 | 263.458 | 286.691 | 297.830 | 304.088 | 1,313.760 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (23) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (23) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (1,845) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1,845) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 5.209 | (106.814) | (236.918) | (260.253) | (296.205) | (304.086) | (1,199.068) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV

Commission Recommendation: Realign Pope Air Force Base, NC. Distribute the 25 C-130E aircraft assigned to the 43d Air Lift Wing and the 36 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 23d Fighter Group to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 16 PAA C-130H aircraft at Pope Army Air Field, Fort Bragg, North Carolina. Establish 48 PAA A-10 aircraft at Moody Air Force Base, Georgia. Transfer real property accountability to the Army; disestablish the 43d Medical Group and establish a medical squadron. The Air Force will establish an Air Support Operations Group to provide unity of command of Air Force units on Pope Army Air Field, mission execution planning, and management of efficient loadout of Fort Bragg assets. Realign Little Rock Air Force Base, Arkansas. Distribute 39 of the C-130 aircraft assigned to Little Rock Air Force Base, Arkansas to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 8 PAA C-130J aircraft at the 143d Airlift Wing (ANG), Quonset State Airport Air Guard Station, Rhode Island; Establish 8 PAA C-130J aircraft at the 146th Airlift Wing (ANG), Channel Islands Air Guard Station, California; Establish 9 PAA C-130 aircraft at 189th Airlift Wing (ANG), Little Rock Air Force Base. Realign Yeager Airport Air Guard Station (AGS), West Virginia. Establish 8 PAA C-130H aircraft at Yeager Airport Air Guard Station (AGS), West Virginia. Realign Pittsburgh International Airport (IAP) Air Reserve Station (ARS), Pennsylvania. Establish a contiguous enclave at the Pittsburgh ARS, Pennsylvania sufficient to support continued operations of the reserve station units, including flight operations, and compatible with combined use of the civilian airport by the Air Reserve, Air National Guard and civilian users. Within that enclave, establish a Regional Joint Readiness Center (RJRC) at the Pittsburgh International Air Station with the mission of providing civil-military operations, homeland security and community-based medical support to the Department of Defense and the Department of homeland security National Incident Management Plan and the National Response Plan. The enclave and RJRC will be staffed at the current manning level of the ARS. The PAA and personnel allocations of Air National Guard units at Pittsburgh are unaffected by this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073013 | 1-Bay Flight Simulator Bldg | 103 | 2.541 |
| | | | | 92 | 0.812 |
| | | | | 93 | 0.897 |
| | | NKAK073013 | | Total | 4.250 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073014 | AMC HQ Wing Bldg | 103 | 5.680 |
| | | | | 92 | 0.691 |
| | | | | 93 | 1.029 |
| | | NKAK073014 | | Total | 7.400 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073015 | 1-Bay Corrosion Control Hangar | 103 | 7.236 |
| | | | | 92 | 2.311 |
| | | | | 93 | 2.553 |
| | | NKAK073015 | | Total | 12.100 |
| 2007 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK073016 | Squadron Ops / AMU | 103 | 7.654 |
| | | | | 92 | 2.445 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV

| | | | | | |
|------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| | | | | 93 | 2.701 |
| | | NKAK073016 | | Total | 12.800 |
| 2007 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU073017 | Weapons Release Shop (A-10 BD) | 103 | 2.887 |
| | | | | 79 | 0.963 |
| | | QSEU073017 | | Total | 3.850 |
| 2007 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU073018 | Fuel Cell Hangar, 2 Bay (A-10 BD) | 103 | 5.775 |
| | | | | 79 | 1.925 |
| | | QSEU073018 | | Total | 7.700 |
| 2007 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU073020 | A-10 Engine Trim Pad | 103 | 1.237 |
| | | | | 79 | 0.413 |
| | | QSEU073020 | | Total | 1.650 |
| | | | | | |
| | | Total FY 2007 | | 103 | 33.010 |
| 2008 | Little Rock AFB, AR | NKAK083009 | AGE / Engine Facility | 103 | 1.674 |
| | | | | 92 | 0.535 |
| | | | | 93 | 0.591 |
| | | NKAK083009 | | Total | 2.800 |
| 2008 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU083019 | BRAC Dormitory, 120-PN | 103 | 10.500 |
| | | | | 79 | 3.500 |
| | | QSEU083019 | | Total | 14.000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | Total FY 2008 | | 103 | 12.174 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093021 | LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm | 103 | 1.913 |
| | | | | 79 | 0.637 |
| | | QSEU093021 | | Total | 2.550 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093022 | BRAC Child Development Center | 103 | 2.684 |
| | | | | 79 | 1.316 |
| | | QSEU093022 | | Total | 4.000 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093026 | BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic | 103 | 0.671 |
| | | | | 79 | 0.329 |
| | | QSEU093026 | | Total | 1.000 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093027 | BRAC Transient Lodging Facility | 103 | 1.208 |
| | | | | 79 | 0.592 |
| | | QSEU093027 | | Total | 1.800 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093028 | BRAC Visiting Quarters | 103 | 1.745 |
| | | | | 79 | 0.855 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 103, Pope Air Force Base, NC; Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, PA; and Yeager Air Guard Station, WV

| | | | | | |
|------|------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| | | QSEU093028 | | Total | 2.600 |
| 2009 | Moody AFB, GA | QSEU093029 | BRAC Community Activity Center | 103 | 2.952 |
| | | | | 79 | 1.448 |
| | | QSEU093029 | | Total | 4.400 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 103 | 11.173 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 103 | 56.357 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$2.981M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$9.000M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.537M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds minor facility alteration and construction, transportation of things, equipment purchases, Civilian Severance and PCS Costs and contract termination Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$41.751M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$15.265M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.067M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$422.152M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$91.614M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$1308.225M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$286.691M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -1868. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MOODY - LOLA/RAMP/GUN BERM | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER QSEU093021 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,550 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 944 |
| APRON | | SM | 5,600 | 136 | (762) |
| LATRINE/LOAD CREW SHELTER | | SM | 230 | 225 | (52) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (41) |
| OUT-YEAR COST ESCALATION (4%) | | LS | | | (89) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 1,376 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (608) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (275) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (425) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (68) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 2,320 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 116 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 2,436 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 139 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 2,574 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 2,550 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 2,400 SY of new PCC apron and 1412 SY of PCC shoulders. Remove existing base, subbase and compact subgrade. Install new base and subbase along with new pavement. Paint apron markings. Relocate fire hydrants, electrical and install drainage. Construct 100 feet deep, 60 feet high gun berm the length of the pavement. Construct/realign 1500 LF of asphalt road, 19 feet wide with compacted soil subbase, asphalt concrete base and 3inches of asphalt. Project also include a latrine/shelter type facility and new 140 towers. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard: | | | | | |
| PROJECT: BRAC MOODY - LOLA/RAMP/GUN BERM | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: A Permanent Live Ordnance Loading Area (LOLA) parking ramp and an A-10 Gun Berm adequately sized, configured and sited to meet explosive safety requirements are required to support the BRAC directed beddown of 48 PAA A-10 Combat Coded Fighter Aircraft at Moody AFB . Project provides a safe area for the live loading of munitions on the A-10 aircraft during integrated combat turns. The A-10 Gun Berm is required to safely work on a jammed 30MM A-10 Guns and for the safe containment in the event there is weapons mishap. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: Adequate apron space with the appropriate explosive safety quantity distance clearances for the loading of live ordnance on the A-10 aircraft is not available. Moody AFB does not have an adequately sized and configured Gun Berm to support the operational training requirements of a 48 PAA A-10 aircraft. | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: An adequate LOLA, that meets explosive safety quantity distances, will not be available to conduct loading of live munitions on the A-10 aircraft during integrated combat turns and other training requirements. A Gun Berm | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MOODY - LOLA/RAMP/GUN BERM | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER QSEU093021 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,550 |
| <p>properly sized and configured will not be available for the safe maintenance and clearing of a jammed 30MM Gun on the A-10 weapon system. Without this project aircrews, load crews, base personnel and aircraft will be exposed to high safety risks and will significantly impact the ability of the 347th Rescue Wing and the A-10 flying mission to perform its intended mission at Moody AFB. The overall combat readiness of the unit would be significantly impacted affecting the overall combat effectiveness of the Combat Air Forces.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovations, new construction) was completed. Analysis indicates there is no other option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, an economic analysis was not accomplished. A Certificate of exception is being prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Edwin H. Oshiba (229) 257-3601. LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm: 2,400 SY.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MOODY - LOLA/RAMP/GUN BERM | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 113-321 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER QSEU093021 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,550 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2011 | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 17 Sep 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 153 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 77 |
| (c) Total | | | 230 |
| (d) Contract | | | 191 |
| (e) In-house | | | 38 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 Feb |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 Mar |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 Feb |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-----------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 | |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | | 6. Category Code 744-884 | 7. Project Number QSEU093022 | | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 4,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | | |
| Item | | U/M | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost (\$000) | |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 2,488 | |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | SM | 1,257 | 1,970 | (2,476) | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (12) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 1,145 | |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (260) | |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (275) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (460) | |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (150) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 3,633 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | <u>182</u> | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 3,815 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | <u>217</u> | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 4,032 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 4,000 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (1,681.0) | |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, metal stud framed building, insulated maintenance free exterior walls, standing seam metal roof, force protection system, utilities, parking, access road and site improvements. Functional areas include core administration area; staff support area, facility support area, child care areas, external playground and all other support necessary to provide a complete and usable facility. Project will comply with all DoD force protection standards.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 80 Tons</p> <p>11. Requirement: 2,707 SM Adequate: 1,450 SM Substandard: 0 SM</p> <p>PROJECT: BRAC - Construct a Child Development Center (New Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate facilities in support of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendation to beddown 48 A-10 aircraft to Moody AFB. This beddown will bring an additional 1,028 military personnel along with their dependents to the local area. This population growth will increase the requirement for child care by an estimated 128 children. Force protection/anti-terrorism measures will meet minimum DoD standards.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: The existing child development center already supports 110 children and there are 140 children currently on the waiting list. A Needs Assessment completed in Jun 05 identified that the current base requirement is 239 children and that the existing center should be expanded or replaced. The current facility cannot support the increase in children associated with this beddown.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: This project reflects the Air Force commitment to improve the quality-of-life for service members and their families. Without this facility, childcare services will continue to be extremely limited at Moody AFB. Service members will be forced to use off-base facilities which are already inadequate, inconvenient and expensive in the local community.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". Supporting facility costs exceed 25% due to underground utilities, site clearing, and pavements required to make the facility complete and useable. An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovations, new construction) was completed. Analysis indicates there is no other option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, an economic analysis was not accomplished. A Certificate of Exception is being prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Ed Oshiba (229) 257-3601. Child Development Center: 1,257 SM = 13,525 SF.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with Navy, Army and Marine Corps dependent children; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force.</p> | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 744-884 | 7. Project Number QSEU093022 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 4,000 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Oct 07 |
| (b) Date Design 35% Complete | 15 Feb 08 |
| (c) Date Design Complete | 30 Jun 08 |
| (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost | YES |
| (e) Type of Design Contract | |
| (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed | YES |

(2) Basis

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Previously Used | |

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- | | |
|--|-----|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 240 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 120 |
| (c) Total | 360 |
| (d) Contract Cost | 270 |
| (e) In-House Cost | 90 |

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| EQUIPMENT/ FURNISHINGS | 3400 | 2010 | 1,481 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 200 |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY ADD/ALTER DENTAL CLINIC | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 510-001 | 7. Project Number QSEU093026 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 1,000 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Oct 07 |
| (b) Date Design 35% Complete | 15 Feb 08 |
| (c) Date Design Complete | 30 May 08 |
| (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost | YES |
| (e) Type of Design Contract | |
| (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed | YES |

(2) Basis

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Previously Used | |

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 60 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 30 |
| (c) Total | 90 |
| (d) Contract Cost | 75 |
| (e) In-House Cost | 15 |

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| EQUIPMENT/FURNISHINGS | 3400 | 2010 | 235 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 40 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 740-443 | 7. Project Number QSEU093027 | | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 1,800 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| Item | U/M | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost (\$000) | |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | 840 | |
| TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY | SM | 432 | 1,935 | (836) | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | LS | | | (4) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 740 | |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (385) | |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (100) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (210) | |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (45) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 1,580 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | 79 | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 1,659 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 95 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 1,754 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 1,800 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | (162.0) | |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slabs; brick masonry walls; standing seam metal roofs; fire detection/protection; storage; utilities; parking; access roads; site preparation; communication support and all other support necessary to provide a complete and usable facility. Project will comply with all DoD force protection standards. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons</p> | | | | | |
| <p>11. Requirement: 2,014 SM Adequate: 1,582 SM Substandard: 0 SM</p> <p>PROJECT: BRAC - Construct a Transient Lodging Facility (New Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide 6 TLF spaces in support of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendation to beddown A-10 aircraft at Moody AFB. The base will be required to support the additional personnel with TLF space. The additional quarters are essential to successful accomplishment of the A-10 mission. Force protection will comply with at least the minimum DoD standards.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, there is not enough square footage to accommodate the additional TLF requirement as a result of BRAC and the A-10 mission coming to Moody AFB. The current TLF is not large enough to accommodate the influx of additional personnel. The facility is at its maximum for the current base population. Adequate, existing space is not available for TLF support for the additional A-10 personnel.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate transient lodging for the A-10 personnel will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity and career satisfaction for the additional personnel.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Funding is provided from the Base Closure Account. Supporting facility costs exceed 25% due to site clearing and pavements required to make the facility complete and useable. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A Certificate of Exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Ed Oshiba (229) 257-3601. Transient Lodging Facility: 432 SM = 4,644 SF.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY TRANSIENT LODGING FACILITY | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 740-443 | 7. Project Number QSEU093027 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 1,800 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Oct 07 |
| (b) Date Design 35% Complete | 15 Feb 08 |
| (c) Date Design Complete | 30 May 08 |
| (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost | YES |
| (e) Type of Design Contract | |
| (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed | YES |

(2) Basis

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Previously Used | |

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- | | |
|--|-----|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 108 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 54 |
| (c) Total | 162 |
| (d) Contract Cost | 122 |
| (e) In-House Cost | 40 |

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| (4) Construction Contract Award Date | 08 Dec |
| Construction Start Date | 09 Jan |
| (6) Construction Completion Date | 10 Jan |

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| EQUIPMENT/FURNISHINGS | 3400 | 2010 | 127 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 35 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY VISITING QUARTERS | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 724-417 | 7. Project Number QSEU093028 | | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 2,600 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| | Item | U/M | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 1,619 |
| VISITING QUARTERS | | SM | 906 | 1,778 | (1,611) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (8) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 735 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (350) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (100) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (210) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (75) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 2,354 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | <u>118</u> |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 2,472 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | <u>141</u> |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 2,613 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 2,600 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (164.0) |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slabs; brick masonry walls; standing seam metal roofs; fire detection/protection; storage; utilities; parking; site preparation; communication support and all other support necessary to provide a complete and usable facility. Project will comply with all DoD force protection standards. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons</p> | | | | | |
| <p>11. Requirement: 906 SM Adequate: 3,382 SM Substandard: 0 SM PROJECT: BRAC - Construct Visiting Quarters (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide 15 VQ spaces in support of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendation to beddown A-10 aircraft at Moody AFB. The base will be required to support the additional personnel with space for visiting officers. The additional quarters are essential to successful accomplishment of the A-10 mission. Force protection will comply with at least the minimum DoD standards. CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, there are not enough VQs to accommodate the additional visitors as a result of BRAC and the A-10 mission coming to Moody AFB. The existing facility is undersized for the current base population. Existing space is not available for VQ support for the additional A-10 personnel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate quarters for the A-10 personnel will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity and career satisfaction for the additional personnel. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. Supporting facility costs exceeds 25% due to site clearing and pavements required to make the facility complete and useable. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Ed Oshiba phone (229) 257-3601. Visiting Quarters: 906 SM = 9,750 SF. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY VISITING QUARTERS | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 724-417 | 7. Project Number QSEU093028 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 2,600 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Oct 07 |
| (b) Date Design 35% Complete | 15 Feb 08 |
| (c) Date Design Complete | 30 Jun 08 |
| (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost | YES |
| (e) Type of Design Contract | |
| (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed | YES |

(2) Basis

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Previously Used | |

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- | | |
|--|-----|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 156 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 78 |
| (c) Total | 234 |
| (d) Contract Cost | 176 |
| (e) In-House Cost | 58 |

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| FURNISHINGS/EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 114 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 50 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-----------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 | |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY COMMUNITY ACTIVITY CENTER | | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | | 6. Category Code 740-316 | 7. Project Number QSEU093029 | | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 4,400 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | | |
| Item | | U/M | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost (\$000) | |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 2,859 | |
| COMMUNITY ACTIVITY CENTER | | SM | 1,180 | 2,411 | (2,845) | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (14) | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 1,110 | |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (260) | |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (300) | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (400) | |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (150) | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 3,969 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | <u>198</u> | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 4,167 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | <u>237</u> | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 4,404 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 4,400 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (339.0) | |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slabs; brick masonry walls; standing seam metal roofs; fire detection/protection; storage; utilities; parking; site preparation; communications; social, recreation, and community activities; education and vocational classes; competitive activities; family activities; information, ticket and tour (ITT) operations and all other Services operations and support necessary to provide a complete and useable facility. Project alters attached facility to support administration requirements and will comply with all DoD force protection standards. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>11. Requirement: 1,180 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM PROJECT: BRAC - Community Activity Center (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate Community Activity/Recreational space in support of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendation to beddown A-10 personnel at Moody AFB. The facility will service as a center of recreation to enhance the life of the military community through recreation and leisure-time activities. The base will be required to support the additional 1,028 active duty military personnel with Community Activity Recreation space for new personnel. The additional Community Activity/Recreational space is essential to successful accomplishment of the A-10 mission. Will comply with minimum DoD standard force protection requirements. CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, there is no central Community Activity Center on Moody AFB. The base does have a library and a skills development center. Existing space is not available for Community Activity/Recreational support for the additional A-10 personnel. This requirement only supports the additional increase in personnel due to BRAC and does correct the current deficiency. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate Community Activity/Recreational support for the A-10 personnel will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity and career satisfaction for the additional personnel. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. Supporting facility costs exceed 25% due to underground utilities, site clearing, and pavements required to make the facility complete and useable. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A Certificate of Exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Ed Oshiba (229) 257-3601. Community Activity Center: 1,180 SM = 12,700 SF. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements</p> | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Component AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. Date 24 Jul 06 |
| 3. Installation and Location/UIC: MOODY AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA | | 4. Project Title: BRAC MOODY COMMUNITY ACTIVITY CENTER | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 740-316 | 7. Project Number QSEU093029 | 8. Project Cost (\$000) 4,400 |

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Design Data (Estimates)

(1) Status

- (a) Date Design Started 31 Oct 07
- (b) Date Design 35% Complete 15 Feb 08
- (c) Date Design Complete 30 Jun 08
- (d) Parametric Estimates Used to Develop Cost YES
- (e) Type of Design Contract
- (f) Energy Study and Life Cycle Analysis Performed YES

(2) Basis

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design Used NO
- (b) Where Design Was Previously Used

(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) = (e) (\$000)

- (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 264
- (b) All Other Design Costs 132
- (c) Total 396
- (d) Contract Cost 297
- (e) In-House Cost 99

(4) Construction Contract Award Date 08 Dec

Construction Start Date 09 Jan

(6) Construction Completion Date 10 Jan

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

B. Equipment Associated With This Project Which Will be Provided From Other Appropriations:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>FY Appropriated or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| FURNISHINGS/EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 316 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 23 |

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 6.082 | 62.161 | 13.948 | 5.000 | 0 | 0 | 87.191 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.392 | 0.135 | 0.033 | 0.060 | 0.288 | 0.061 | 0.969 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 1.587 | 9.314 | 25.437 | 4.818 | 1.492 | 4.189 | 46.837 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.055 | 0.079 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.134 |
| Other | 1.279 | 0.578 | 8.811 | 4.470 | 0 | 0 | 15.138 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 9.339 | 72.243 | 48.308 | 14.348 | 1.780 | 4.250 | 150.268 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 9.339 | 72.243 | 48.308 | 14.348 | 1.780 | 4.250 | 150.268 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 9.339 | 72.243 | 48.308 | 14.348 | 1.780 | 4.250 | 150.268 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.982 | 4.692 | 11.805 | 17.160 | 21.284 | 55.924 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.919 | 5.197 | 8.830 | 10.817 | 14.086 | 39.848 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 1.901 | 9.888 | 20.635 | 27.977 | 35.370 | 95.772 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.322 | 0.990 | 0.005 | 0 | 1.060 | 2.377 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.322 | 0.990 | 0.005 | 0 | 1.060 | 2.377 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 6.369 | 13.051 | 14.013 | 14.670 | 14.979 | 63.083 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0.640 | 1.312 | 4.304 | 7.451 | 7.608 | 21.315 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 1.477 | 3.027 | 14.855 | 27.296 | 27.869 | 74.525 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.409 | 1.128 | 1.647 | 1.689 | 2.292 | 7.165 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.715 | 2.943 | 4.576 | 4.695 | 7.169 | 20.099 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 9.611 | 21.461 | 39.396 | 55.802 | 59.917 | 186.186 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 9.933 | 22.451 | 39.401 | 55.802 | 60.977 | 188.563 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (187) | 32 | 129 | 0 | 0 | (26) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (45) | 32 | (275) | 0 | 0 | (288) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 9.339 | 62.310 | 25.857 | (25.053) | (54.022) | (56.727) | (38.295) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND

Commission Recommendation: Realign Grand Forks Air Force Base (AFB), ND. Distribute the 319th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the following KC-135R/T PAA: The 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Scott AFB, IL (eight PAA KC-135R/T). The 126th Air Refueling Wing KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft; The 916th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Seymour-Johnson AFB, NC (16 PAA KC-135R/T), which will host an active duty associate unit; The 6th Air Mobility Wing, MacDill AFB, FL (16 PAA KC-135R/T), which will host a Reserve association with 927th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) manpower realigned from Selfridge ANGB, MI; The 154th Wing (ANG), Hickam AFB, HI (12 PAA KC-135R/T), which will host an active duty associate unit; and The 22d Air Refueling Wing, McConnell AFB, KS (48 PAA KC-135R/T), which currently associates with the 931st Air Refueling Group (AFR). Modify infrastructure at Grand Forks AFB to accommodate the emerging Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) mission. The Secretary of Defense will maintain eight KC-135 aircraft at Grand Forks Air Force Base to facilitate an efficient and cost effective bed down of UAVs. The Secretary will keep the tankers in place until the UAVs are operational at Grand Forks, but not later than 31 Dec 2010 unless otherwise required by the Department of Defense for National Emergencies. Grand Forks will remain an active Air Force installation with a new active duty/Air National Guard association unit created in anticipation of emerging missions at Grand Forks. Realign McConnell Air National Guard Base by distributing the 184th Air Refueling Wing's (ANG) nine KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the PAA requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 12 Primary Aircraft Authorization KC-135R/T aircraft at the 190th Air Refueling Wing, Forbes Field AGS, KS. The 184th Air Refueling Wing KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the AMARC at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073706 | BRAC AFR Training Facility | 104 | 7.200 |
| 2007 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073708 | Add/Alter Bldg 6. | 104 | 16.500 |
| 2007 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073709 | Reconfigure B54 Consolidated CP | 104 | 4.495 |
| 2007 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073710 | Reconfigure B55 for MXG/MOS | 104 | 2.926 |
| 2007 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073712 | Repair KC135 Parking Apron | 104 | 1.100 |
| 2007 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073716 | BRAC AFR Aerospace Medicine Flt Training | 104 | 1.300 |
| 2007 | Seymour Johnson AFB, NC | VKAG063005 | BRAC AFR Squad Ops/AMU | 104 | 13.081 |
| 2007 | Seymour Johnson AFB, NC | VKAG063008 | BRAC AFR Add.Alter Maintenance Shop | 104 | 1.100 |
| 2007 | Seymour Johnson AFB, NC | VKAG063009 | BRAC AFR Flight Simulator | 104 | 3.500 |
| 2007 | Seymour Johnson AFB, NC | VKAG063010 | BRAC AFR Corrosion Control Hangar | 104 | 9.400 |
| 2007 | Seymour Johnson AFB, NC | VKAG063013 | BRAC AFR Aircraft Parts Store | 104 | 1.000 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 104 | 61.602 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND

| | | | | | |
|------|-------------------------|------------|--|------------|---------------|
| 2008 | Grand Forks AFB, ND | JFSD200609 | Convert Hangar for UAV Corrosion Control | 104 | 1.280 |
| 2008 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073714 | BRAC AFR CE and Disaster Prep Training | 104 | 3.500 |
| 2008 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073715 | BRAC AFR FF Admin/Training | 104 | 1.150 |
| 2008 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073717 | BRAC AFR Aeromedical Stag Sqd Training | 104 | 3.150 |
| 2008 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073718 | BRAC AFR Comm Squadron Trainng | 104 | 0.940 |
| 2008 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073719 | BRAC AFR Add Services Flight Training | 104 | 0.840 |
| 2008 | MacDill AFB, FL | NVZR073720 | BRAC AFR SF Squadron Training | 104 | 2.200 |
| 2008 | Seymour Johnson AFB, NC | VKAG063014 | Construct Flightline Kitchen Facility | 104 | 0.888 |
| | | | | 119 | 0.072 |
| | | VKAG063014 | | Total | 0.960 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 104 | 13.948 |
| 2009 | Hickam AFB, HI | KNMD059350 | Flight Simulator Training Facility | 104 | 5.000 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 104 | 5.000 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 104 | 80.550 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$6.641M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds air quality and environmental baseline studies. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.969M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.060M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds purchase of equipment (including training equipment), transportation of things, minor construction P&D, and travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$46.837M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$4.818M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.134M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: Funds Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve Command training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$15.138M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$4.470M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 104, Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$95.772M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$20.635M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$186.186M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$39.396M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -314. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -146.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE June 7, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-212 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD059350 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY | SF | 6,720 | | 3,595 |
| SIMULATOR AREA | SF | 6,720 | 529 | (3,555) |
| ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION | SF | 6,720 | 6 | (40) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 860 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (310) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (105) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (140) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (50) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION | LS | | | (175) |
| SOIL REMEDIATION | LS | | | (80) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 4,455 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 223 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 4,678 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%) | | | | 304 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 4,982 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 5,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel framing system, masonry and steel walls and roof system. Includes interior mechanical, electrical, and fire protection systems. Exterior utilities, site improvements and support Air Conditioning: 60 Tons. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 6,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF PROJECT: Flight Simulator Training Facility (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 104, this installation will gain 4 KC-135 aircraft bringing the installation total to 12 PAA KC-135. The base requires a properly sized area for a full motion, KC-135R simulator with enhanced visual simulation capabilities. Functional areas for the KC-135 simulator include a simulator bay, computer, hydraulic, and simulator shops, as well as training and administration rooms. Aircrews will use the flight simulator trainer for emergency procedures, which for safety reasons, cannot be performed in actual flight operations. In addition, budget constraints will soon require that normal proficiency training be conducted in a flight simulator, in order to save aircraft flying dollars for actual mission accomplishment and to save "wear and tear" on essential airframe assets. This simulator will provide an environment approximating actual flight. CURRENT SITUATION: HQ AMC is relocating a KC 135 simulator equipment to Hickam to support the newly assigned aircraft. The base does not have a facility that can be used or modified for the equipment. The only option available to support this new equipment is new construction. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unable to beddown the procured full motion simulator due to inadequate facilities. Training costs will continue to be high as a result of sending personnel on temporary duty to complete training in a full motion simulator. Inefficient use of Air Force resources for continued training of tanker aircrews. ADDITIONAL: This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in the ANG Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All known alternatives were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE June 7, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD059350 |
| <p>requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project.</p> <p>DEPUTY BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Gary Teed, DSN 315 448-7748</p> <p>FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY 613 SM = 6,600 SF</p> | | |

| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 30, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|------------|--|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|---------|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD059350 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Date Design Started</td> <td>NOV 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2006</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>MAY 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>DEC 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td>(\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>276</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>138</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (c) Total</td> <td>414</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (d) Contract</td> <td>414</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year)</td> <td>JAN 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Start</td> <td>MAR 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(6) Construction Completion</td> <td>SEP 2010</td> </tr> </table> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: YES</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE</th> <th>PROCURING APPROPRIATION</th> <th>FY APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> </table> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: DONNA J. LEE-HARRELL, P.E. (301) 836-8118</p> | | | (1) Status: | | (a) Date Design Started | NOV 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2006 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAY 2007 | (e) Date Design Complete | DEC 2007 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (2) Basis: | | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | (\$000) | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 276 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 138 | (c) Total | 414 | (d) Contract | 414 | (e) In-House | | (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) | JAN 2009 | (5) Construction Start | MAR 2009 | (6) Construction Completion | SEP 2010 | EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FY APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
| (1) Status: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | NOV 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2006 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAY 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | DEC 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Basis: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 276 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 138 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 414 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 414 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (4) Contract Award (Month/Year) | JAN 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (5) Construction Start | MAR 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (6) Construction Completion | SEP 2010 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FY APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.102 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 1.049 | 2.920 | 1.208 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 8.177 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.050 | 0.147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.197 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 1.050 | 2.971 | 1.455 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 8.476 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 1.050 | 2.971 | 1.455 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 8.476 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 1.050 | 2.971 | 1.455 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 8.476 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.076 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.076 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 1.050 | 2.971 | 1.455 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 8.476 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 105, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND

Commission Recommendation: Realign Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, ND. The 119th Fighter Wing (ANG) will be redesignated as an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle wing; the Armed Forces Reserve Center planned for construction on Hector Field will be expanded to include sufficient facilities to accommodate at minimum the UAV ground control and intelligence analysis functions and expeditionary combat support elements, including fire, crash and rescue services, of the 119th Wing (ANG), in addition to the units already identified in Army Recommendation 73, Reserve Component Transformation in North Dakota; and the Air Force will retain, adapt, or construct appropriate facilities on Grand Forks Air Force Base appropriate to launch, recover, maintain and support the Unmanned Aerial Vehicles assigned to the 119th Wing (ANG). The Commission explicitly rejects the language contained in justification to the recommendation by the Secretary of Defense that there will be 'no flying mission backfill' at Hector Field. The wing's expeditionary combat support elements remain in place.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.102M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for civilian personnel expenses. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$8.177M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.197M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.076M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.025M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.263 | 0 | 3.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.463 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 1.269 | 0.007 | 0.025 | 0.033 | 0.080 | 0.035 | 1.449 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 1.142 | 0.572 | 3.000 | 3.032 | 3.031 | 0.089 | 10.866 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.246 | 0.053 | 0.299 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 2.675 | 0.579 | 6.225 | 3.065 | 3.357 | 0.177 | 16.078 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 2.675 | 0.579 | 6.225 | 3.065 | 3.357 | 0.177 | 16.078 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 2.675 | 0.579 | 6.225 | 3.065 | 3.357 | 0.177 | 16.078 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.112 | 0.115 | 0.118 | 0.121 | 0.509 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.112 | 0.115 | 0.118 | 0.121 | 0.509 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 2.675 | 0.579 | 6.225 | 3.065 | 3.357 | 0.177 | 16.078 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

Commission Recommendation: Realign Mansfield-Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station (AGS), OH. Distribute the 179th Airlift Wing's C-130H aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 8 C-130H PAA at the 908th Airlift Wing (AFR), Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 179th Airlift Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Mansfield-Lahm Municipal Airport as a civilian airport. If the State of Ohio decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 179th Airlift Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 179th Airlift Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Ohio and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Ohio Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 179th Airlift Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Cheyenne MAP AGS, WY | DPEZ059138 | Squadron Operations Addition | 106 | 3.200 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 106 | 3.200 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 106 | 3.200 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.263M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Provides funds for environmental studies. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.449M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.033M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for civilian personnel expenses, transportation of things, and travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$10.866M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.032M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.299M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 106, Mansfield Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.509M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.115M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.249 | 0.022 | 0.173 | 0.018 | 0.075 | 0.045 | 0.582 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.138 | 0.199 | 0.120 | 0.099 | 0.257 | 0.681 | 1.494 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 0 | 0.644 | 0 | 0.663 |
| Other | 0.024 | 0 | 0.410 | 0.522 | 0 | 0 | 0.956 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.412 | 0.221 | 0.722 | 0.639 | 0.976 | 0.726 | 3.696 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.412 | 0.221 | 0.722 | 0.639 | 0.976 | 0.726 | 3.696 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.412 | 0.221 | 0.722 | 0.639 | 0.976 | 0.726 | 3.696 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.006 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.412 | 0.221 | 0.722 | 0.639 | 0.976 | 0.726 | 3.696 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

Commission Recommendation: Realign Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH. Distribute the 18 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 178th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 140th Wing (ANG), Buckley Air Force Base, Colorado. Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 149th Wing (ANG), Lackland Air Force Base, Texas. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 178th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport as a civilian airport. If the State of Ohio decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 178th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 178th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Ohio and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Ohio Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 178th Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds environmental studies and contracts at Springfield-Beckley AGS, OH, and associated travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.582M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.018M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds transportation of equipment and travel at Lambert-St Louis AGS, MO, and Springfield-Beckley AGS, OH. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.494M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.099M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.663M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: Funds reserve component realignment training at Buckley AFB, CO. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.956M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.522M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A. Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.006M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Recurring Savings: N/A

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 107, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.851 | 1.800 | 8.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.751 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.365 | 0.005 | 0.161 | 0.042 | 0.050 | 0 | 0.623 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 5.429 | 16.018 | 1.294 | 1.684 | 0.570 | 0.020 | 25.015 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.044 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.044 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 1.062 | 2.274 | 0 | 0 | 3.336 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 6.646 | 17.867 | 10.617 | 4.000 | 0.620 | 0.020 | 39.770 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 6.646 | 17.867 | 10.617 | 4.000 | 0.620 | 0.020 | 39.770 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 6.646 | 17.867 | 10.617 | 4.000 | 0.620 | 0.020 | 39.770 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 1.089 | 1.838 | 2.127 | 2.182 | 2.228 | 9.463 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.150 | 0.283 | 0.291 | 0.298 | 0.304 | 1.326 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 1.239 | 2.121 | 2.417 | 2.480 | 2.532 | 10.789 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 3.165 | 6.348 | 6.513 | 6.649 | 22.675 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.059 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.117 | 0.352 | 0.361 | 0.370 | 0.378 | 1.577 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.165 | 0.169 | 0.172 | 0.506 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0.128 | 3.528 | 6.885 | 7.064 | 7.212 | 24.816 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0.131 | 3.528 | 6.885 | 7.064 | 7.212 | 24.819 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 2 | (84) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (82) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 6.646 | 17.736 | 7.089 | (2.885) | (6.444) | (7.192) | 14.950 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR

Commission Recommendation: Realign Portland International Airport Air Guard Station, OR. Realign the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR). Distribute the KC-135R/T aircraft assigned to the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the 507th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Tinker Air Force Base, OK as a twelve Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) KC-135R/T wing. Operations and maintenance manpower for four PAA aircraft from the 939th Air Refueling Wing will realign to Tinker Air Force Base, OK. The 939th Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) is realigned to Vandenberg Air Force Base, California. Realign the 142d Fighter Wing (ANG). Distribute the 15 F-15 aircraft assigned to the 142d Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 18 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 142d Fighter Wing (ANG), Portland International Airport Air Guard Station, OR. Establish 18 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 159th Fighter Wing (ANG), New Orleans ARS, LA. The 142d Fighter Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support elements, along with the 244th and 272d Combat Communications Squadrons (ANG), and the 304th Rescue Squadron (AFR), will remain at Portland and Portland will continue to support a homeland defense alert commitment. The 214th Engineering Installation Squadron (ANG), a geographically separated unit at Jackson Barracks, LA, is relocated onto available facilities at New Orleans.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Tinker AFB, OK | WWYK079005 | BRAC AFR Expand Fuel Hydrant System | 108 | 1.800 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 108 | 1.800 |
| 2008 | New Orleans ARS, NAS New Orleans JRB, LA | RQLH069035 | Relocate 214 EIS Ops | 108 | 1.200 |
| 2008 | Tinker AFB, OK | WWYK079003 | BRAC AFR Squad Ops/Life Support | 108 | 6.900 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 108 | 8.100 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 108 | 9.900 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.851M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Contract services to conduct and prepare report of Environmental Impact Analysis for closure of the GSU of Jackson Barracks. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.623M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.042M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 108, Portland International Airport, AGS, OR

Operation and Maintenance: Funds travel for relocation of activities, Real Property disposal actions, purchase of furniture, Supplies & Materials, Civ Personnel costs and Aircraft Acceptance Expenses. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$25.015M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.684M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.044M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: Funds Reserve Component training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$3.336M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.274M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$10.789M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.417M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$24.816M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.885M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -79. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.028 | 6.221 | 4.212 | 8.214 | 0.702 | 0 | 19.377 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.066 | 0.012 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.076 | 0.102 | 0.257 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.067 | 0 | 0 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.497 | 0.586 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.544 | 0.544 |
| Other | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0.185 | 0.213 | 0.430 | 0.853 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.186 | 6.233 | 4.212 | 8.411 | 1.002 | 1.573 | 21.617 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.186 | 6.233 | 4.212 | 8.411 | 1.002 | 1.573 | 21.617 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.186 | 6.233 | 4.212 | 8.411 | 1.002 | 1.573 | 21.617 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.204 | 0.361 | 1.492 | 2.369 | 2.418 | 6.843 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.052 | 0.098 | 0.100 | 0.250 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.204 | 0.361 | 1.543 | 2.467 | 2.519 | 7.094 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.186 | 6.233 | 4.212 | 8.411 | 1.002 | 1.573 | 21.617 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN

Commission Recommendation: Realign Nashville International Airport (IAP) Air Guard Station (AGS), TN. Distribute the 8 C-130 aircraft assigned to the 118th Airlift Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Assigned Aircraft (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 8 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 182d Airlift Wing (ANG), Greater Peoria Airport, AGS, Illinois. Establish 8 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 123d Airlift Wing (ANG), Louisville International Airport Air Guard Station, Kentucky. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 118th Airlift Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of those units, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Nashville International Airport as a civilian airport. If the State of Tennessee decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 118th Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 118th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Tennessee and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Tennessee Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 118th Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069007 | C-17 Engine Facility | 110 | 0.702 |
| | | | | 80 | 2.298 |
| | | FXSB069007 | | Total | 3.000 |
| 2007 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093031 | C-17 Acft Parking Apron | 110 | 1.498 |
| | | | | 80 | 4.902 |
| | | FXSB093031 | | Total | 6.400 |
| 2007 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093032 | Construct Infra Utilities | 110 | 4.021 |
| | | | | 80 | 13.164 |
| | | FXSB093032 | | Total | 17.185 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 110 | 6.221 |
| 2008 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069016 | Aircraft Maintenance Complex | 110 | 4.212 |
| | | | | 80 | 13.788 |
| | | FXSB069016 | | Total | 18.000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 110 | 4.212 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB069105 | Add to Aerial Port | 110 | 0.445 |
| | | | | 80 | 1.455 |
| | | FXSB069105 | | Total | 1.900 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093016 | Acft Support Eq Shop | 110 | 0.702 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN

| | | | | | |
|------|------------------------|-------------|--|------------|---------------|
| | | | | 80 | 2.298 |
| | | FXSB093016 | | Total | 3.000 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093022 | Fuel Cell/Corrosion Control Facility | 110 | 5.148 |
| | | | | 80 | 16.852 |
| | | FXSB093022 | | Total | 22.000 |
| 2009 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093029 | Add to and Alter for Squad Ops and AMU | 110 | 1.919 |
| | | | | 80 | 6.281 |
| | | FXSB093029 | | Total | 8.200 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 110 | 8.214 |
| 2010 | Elmendorf AFB, AK | FXSB093031A | Aircraft Parking Apron Phase II | 110 | 0.702 |
| | | | | 80 | 2.298 |
| | | FXSB093031A | | Total | 3.000 |
| | Total FY 2010 | | | 110 | 0.702 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 110 | 19.349 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.028M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Provides funds for environmental studies. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.257M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.001M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.586M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.011M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.544M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: Provides funds for reserve component training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.853M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.185M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$7.094M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.543M.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 110, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is 23. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 23.

Savings: N/A

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AERIAL PORT | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-873 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069105 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,900 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADD TO AERIAL PORT FACILITY | | SM | 548 | | 1,404 |
| AERIAL PORT ADMINISTRATION | | SM | 102 | 3,767 | (384) |
| AERIAL PORT STORAGE | | SM | 446 | 2,260 | (1,008) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | | SM | 548 | 22 | (12) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 309 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (25) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (25) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (150) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (50) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | | SM | 548 | 108 | (59) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,713 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 86 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,799 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 108 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,907 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,900 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing seam metal roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include masonry and gypsum board walls, tile or carpet floor coverings, drop ceilings, and locker room areas. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide for special power and ventallation requirements in avionics areas. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as AT/FP security measures and all other work necessary. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,319 SM ADEQUATE: 771 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Add to Aerial Port (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized aerial port training facility in support of the 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 PAA HH-60 aircraft. This project will renovate and add to an existing aerial port function in Building 15380. Functional areas include space to tower dry, fold, and pack parachutes, to pack and store training loads and supplies, and space for administrative functions, classrooms, tool cribs, bench stock, latrines, and lockers. CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey indicated Elmendorf AFB and the Air Mobility Command can make available portions of Building 15380 for ANG use as an aerial port facility. Available space approximates the space the 3rd Wing used as an aerial port facility as gained from Air Mobility Command. Elmendorf ABF is not able to meet the balance of the ANG requirement of 5,900 SF through existing facilities located anywhere near the aerial port facility, and thus new construction is required to make up the shortfall. The aerial delivery bay area is identified for joint use, with ANG storage and administrative spaces constructed separately. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The 176th Wing will not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AERIAL PORT | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069105 | |
| <p>exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the Wing without this project and the space identified for joint operations. All other potential base space is limited, malpositioned, or encumbered by safety criteria violations. Aerial port operations is a key function for C-130 mobility operations, and requisite training and subsequent mission performance can not happen without providing for this function. C-130 operations would be ineffective without an aerial port function.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air National Guard Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements" and is in compliance with the base master plan. Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. All known alternatives/options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption is being prepared. Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components. Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> | | |
| AERIAL PORT ADMINISTRATION AERIAL PORT STORAGE FIRE PROTECTION | 102 SM = 1,100 SF 446 SM = 4,800 SF 548 SM = 5,900 SF | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AERIAL PORT | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB069105 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>OCT 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>DEC 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>APR 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>95</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>151</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>151</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) JUN 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start JUL 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion DEC 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | DEC 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | APR 2009 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 95 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 56 | (c) Total | 151 | (d) Contract | 151 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | OCT 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2009 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | DEC 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | APR 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 95 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 56 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 151 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 151 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 218-712 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093016 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$3,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP | SM | 650 | | 2,113 |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP AREA | SM | 650 | 3,229 | (2,099) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | SM | 650 | 22 | (14) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 560 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (200) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (250) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (100) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (10) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 2,673 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 134 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 2,807 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 168 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 2,975 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 3,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing metal seam roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include masonry walls, tile floor coverings, and drop ceilings. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements. Include antiterrorism/force protection measures as appropriate. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,068 SM ADEQUATE: 418 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Aircraft Support Equipment Shop (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized aircraft support equipment shop facility to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft. The shop inspects, maintains, repairs, and services both powered and non-powered equipment that directly support aircraft. The facility includes maintenance stalls with work benches, hoists, indoor wash rack, tool crib, bench stock, sealed lead acid battery servicing area, engine exhaust extraction system, administrative space, and latrine and personnel locker space. CURRENT SITUATION: A site survey indicates Elmendorf AFB has space available in Buildings 14415 and 15423, totaling approximately 4,500 SF, that will be designated as joint-use space in the area where the relocated aircraft will be parked. Elmendorf ABF is not able to meet the balance of the ANG requirement of 7,000 SF through existing facilities located anywhere near the C-130 aircraft parking areas, and thus new construction is required. Sharing of active duty facilities provides only a partial bed down solution because of limited available space or space encumbered by safety violations. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission without this project and the availability of identified existing facilities. Aircraft support equipment will not have areas for proper maintenance, storage, or protection from the harsh Arctic elements. Equipment would not be able to function when needed, degrading training, readiness, mission capability, and rescue response capability especially with | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE MAR 20, 2007 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL COMPLEX | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093022 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$22,000 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL COMPLEX | | SM | 4,125 | | 15,189 |
| FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK | | SM | 2,759 | 3,660 | (10,098) |
| CORROSION CONTROL SHOP | | SM | 288 | 3,660 | (1,054) |
| GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS | | SM | 892 | 3,660 | (3,265) |
| CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP | | SM | 186 | 3,660 | (681) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | | SM | 4,125 | 22 | (91) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | LS | | | 4,250 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (400) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (800) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (800) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (2,000) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (250) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 19,439 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | <u>972</u> |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 20,411 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | <u>1,225</u> |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 21,636 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 22,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing metal seam roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include gypsum board walls, concrete-finished or tile floor coverings, and locker room areas. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide special ventilation capability for fuel cell and corrosion control areas to include explosion proof fixtures. Provide site improvements, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as AT/FP security measures and all other work necessary. Air Conditioning: 175 KW. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 4,125 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Fuel Cell/Corrosion Control Complex (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 80, Kulis ANGB closes and the entire 176th Wing relocates to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized fuel cell/corrosion control facility and associated supporting shops to support 12 PAA C-130, 3 PAA HC-130, and 5 HH-60 aircraft. Functional areas include: fuel cell maintenance bay, corrosion control shop, general purpose maintenance shops, and conventional munitions maintenance shop. The fuel system dock provides protected space for aircraft fuels systems maintenance and contains special electrical power and circuitry, compressed air, mechanical ventilation, fume sensing alarms, fire protection systems, and wash-down drainage. The facility provides an environmentally controlled area to wash small aircraft parts, corrosion repair, paint stripping, and the repainting of components and aerospace support equipment. This facility also provides preparation and drying areas, abrasive blasting rooms and booths for mixing and/or applying paint. General purpose shops provide covered space for specialized maintenance activities such as machine and welding shops. Conventional munitions maintenance shop | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE MAR 20, 2007 | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL COMPLEX | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093022 | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>provides space for munitions control and trailer maintenance. All functions require tool storage, lockers, break area, latrines, and administrative areas.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> C-130 fuel cell and corrosion control activities are being conducted in two separate hangar facilities on Elmendorf AFB at present, neither of which is ideal or fits long term ANG bed down requirements. The facilities are geographically separated resulting in inefficiencies that are not conducive to the way the ANG is staffed. This project will locate compatible functions, creating synergies with our staffing levels and mode of operation to accomplish ANG mission. Facilities housing the current fuel cell and corrosion control functions have other identified re-uses which are more practical for the efficient and ultimately cost effective ANG relocation and bed down thereby necessitating this project. The existing fuel cell is over 55 years old and is fast reaching its designed life. It is no longer ideally suited for its current purpose having originally been constructed to accommodate B-29 maintenance activities. The facility is destined to be reused as an interim helicopter alert facility with a minimum investment, especially when compared to long term fuel cell requirements. The current corrosion function would be malpositioned in the bed down plan and would be rendered ineffective given the mix of occupancy and functions within the complex. The success, efficiency, and cost effectiveness of the relocation effort is contingent on proper re-use of existing facilities consistent with ANG ability to staff and perform those functions as envisioned and current manpower dictates. Sharing of other fuel cell/corrosion control space on base is not possible as existing space is not available, not configured for C-130 aircraft requirements, and is distinctly malpositioned and geographically separated, not located in the vicinity of the ANG aircraft parking apron making it logistically impractical to support the flying operations. New construction is the only alternative to meet mission needs.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB in violation of the BRAC recommendations. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the 176th Wing without this project. Forced use of existing facilities would overburden them. Training would be degraded and opportunities lost by the lack of adequate classroom and work spaces. It would be difficult to impossible to adequately perform required maintenance functions eventually leading to flight safety issues.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges, (907) 552-3007</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="207 1711 1198 1843"> <tr> <td>FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK</td> <td>2,759 SM = 29,700 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CORROSION CONTROL SHOP</td> <td>288 SM = 3,100 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS</td> <td>892 SM = 9,600 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP</td> <td>186 SM = 2,000 SF</td> </tr> </table> | | | FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK | 2,759 SM = 29,700 SF | CORROSION CONTROL SHOP | 288 SM = 3,100 SF | GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS | 892 SM = 9,600 SF | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP | 186 SM = 2,000 SF |
| FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK | 2,759 SM = 29,700 SF | | | | | | | | | |
| CORROSION CONTROL SHOP | 288 SM = 3,100 SF | | | | | | | | | |
| GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOPS | 892 SM = 9,600 SF | | | | | | | | | |
| CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP | 186 SM = 2,000 SF | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AND ALTER FOR SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU FACILITY | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 141-753 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093029 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$8,200 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADD TO AND ALTER SQUADRON OPS/AMU FACILITY | SM | 5,523 | | 6,701 |
| ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS | SM | 3,232 | 431 | (1,393) |
| ALTER ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE UNIT | SM | 827 | 377 | (312) |
| ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS | SM | 1,213 | 3,337 | (4,048) |
| ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ACTIVE ASSOCIATE | SM | 195 | 3,337 | (651) |
| ADD TO ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE/ACTIVE ASSOC | SM | 56 | 3,122 | (175) |
| AT/FP MINIMUM STANDARDS | | 5,523 | 22 | (122) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | LS | | | (200) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | LS | | | (150) |
| PAVEMENTS AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (150) |
| UTILITIES | SM | 1,464 | 108 | (158) |
| FIRE PROTECTION | | | | 7,359 |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | <u>368</u> |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 7,727 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | <u>464</u> |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 8,191 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 8,200 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reconfigure interior spaces, modifying interior walls, ceilings, and floor areas and utility and building systems as necessary. Modify or retrofit systems to include lighting, electrical power service, heat, ventilation, communications, and fire protection detection and suppression. Construct concrete slab on grade foundation, steel framed facility with masonry or metal siding and standing seam metal roof, all architecturally compatible with existing facilities. Provide interior finishes to include gypsum board walls, tile or carpet floor coverings, and drop ceilings. Provide internal utilities to include lighting, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, fire detection and suppression, plumbing, and communications systems. Provide site works, utility service and connections, and pavements as well as antiterrorism/force protection measures as appropriate and all other necessary work.. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 5,525 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 4,059 SM PROJECT: Add to and Alter for Squadron Operations and Aircraft Maintenance Unit Facility (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 80, closes Kulis ANGB and relocates the entire 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB. The installation requires a properly sited, appropriately configured, and adequately sized facility to accommodate multi-functional needs to include C-130 squadron operations, maintenance hangar complex, and an aircraft maintenance unit, all in support of 12 PAA C-130 aircraft postured as an ANG/Active Associate unit as well as 3 PAA HC-130 and 5 HH-60 rescue aircraft. The C-130 squadron requires a facility for the planning, briefing, administration, and critique of combat crews. Facility functions include weapons and tactics, intelligence, briefing and debriefing, air advisor, flying safety, standardization and evaluation, flight planning, chemical ensembles storage, flight records, life support (to include night vision goggles), physical training, scheduling, general training, group or wing operations, and break, latrine, and locker areas. Facility will also house a pararescue squadron operations function to include weapons and tactics, intelligence, briefing and debriefing, flying safety, standardization/evaluation, flight planning. | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE FEB 23, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-ADD TO AND ALTER FOR SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU FACILITY | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB093029 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>mobility storage, flight records, life support, physical and general training, and scheduling. Aircraft maintenance unit space provides space for supervision, administration, training, dispatch, analysis, scheduling, debriefing, ready room, arm/disarm crew shelter, flightline-assigned Dash-21 equipment, tool kit, tool room and bench stock, maintenance and storage of non-powered support equipment, and latrine and locker space.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> A site survey determined an existing facility on Elmendorf AFB, specifically Hangar 18 (Building 17470), is adequately suited to house 176th Wing's squadron flight and maintenance operations functions. The facility is only eight years old and was originally designed to accommodate C-130 flight and maintenance operations functions. The facility currently houses one flying squadron, but after relocation, it will house three flying squadrons plus Active Associate requirements. The facility will require expansion to accommodate the increased mission requirements as well as renovation/reconfiguration to mesh the squadrons and functional areas. Space will also be reconfigured/renovated to accommodate aircraft maintenance operations requirements and include an expansion for Active Associate needs. No modifications will be required for the hangar bays.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 176th Wing would not be able to relocate to Elmendorf AFB from Kulis ANGB as directed by the BRAC Commission. Sufficient or properly configured space does not exist at Elmendorf AFB to completely bed down the Wing without this project and reuse of this existing facility. Sharing of active duty facilities does not provide a bed down solution because of limited available space or space encumbered by safety criteria violations.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements a final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. The Hangar 18 complex also contains 47,767 SF maintenance hangar space which will not be altered under this project. This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <p>Elmendorf Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col James Hodges; (907) 552-3007</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="207 1444 1365 1644"> <tr> <td>ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS</td> <td>3,232 SM = 34,791 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ALTER ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE UNIT</td> <td>827 SM = 8,900 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS</td> <td>1,213 SM = 13,059 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ACTIVE ASSOCIATE</td> <td>195 SM = 2,100 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ADD TO ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE/ACTIVE ASSOC</td> <td>56 SM = 600 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FIRE PROTECTION</td> <td>1,464 SM = 15,759 SF</td> </tr> </table> | | | ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 3,232 SM = 34,791 SF | ALTER ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE UNIT | 827 SM = 8,900 SF | ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 1,213 SM = 13,059 SF | ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ACTIVE ASSOCIATE | 195 SM = 2,100 SF | ADD TO ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE/ACTIVE ASSOC | 56 SM = 600 SF | FIRE PROTECTION | 1,464 SM = 15,759 SF |
| ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 3,232 SM = 34,791 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ALTER ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE UNIT | 827 SM = 8,900 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 1,213 SM = 13,059 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ACTIVE ASSOCIATE | 195 SM = 2,100 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADD TO ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE/ACTIVE ASSOC | 56 SM = 600 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FIRE PROTECTION | 1,464 SM = 15,759 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.142 | 0 | 0.092 | 2.650 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.147 | 0.003 | 0.396 | 0.123 | 0.074 | 0.012 | 0.755 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.019 | 0.033 | 3.860 | 0.096 | 0.012 | 0 | 4.020 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.325 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.397 |
| Other | 0.050 | 0 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0 | 0 | 0.360 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.359 | 0.036 | 4.828 | 3.048 | 0.110 | 0.036 | 8.417 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.359 | 0.036 | 4.828 | 3.048 | 0.110 | 0.036 | 8.417 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.359 | 0.036 | 4.828 | 3.048 | 0.110 | 0.036 | 8.417 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.079 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.079 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.129 | 0.129 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.129 | 0.129 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.129 | 0.129 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.359 | 0.036 | 4.828 | 3.048 | 0.110 | (0.093) | 8.288 |

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX

Commission Recommendation: Realign Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of Ellington Field as a civilian airport. If the State of Texas decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Texas and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2009 | Ellington Field, TX | FWJH059084 | Relocate 272 EIS HQ | 111 | 2.650 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 111 | 2.650 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 111 | 2.650 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.234M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Provides funds for environmental studies. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.755M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.123M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for transportation of things, and travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.020M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.096M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides funds for reserve component military personnel man days. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.397M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.024M.

Other: Provides funds for reserve component training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.360M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.155M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.079M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.026M.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 111, Ellington Field Air Guard Station, TX

Recurring Savings: N/A. Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$0.129M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 3. DATE JUL 26, 2006 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELLINGTON FIELD, TEXAS | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-RELOCATE 272 ENGINEERING INSTALLATION SQUADRON | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-447 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FWJH059084 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$2,650 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| RELOCATE 272 ENGINEER INSTALLATION SQUADRON | SM | 1,601 | | 2,053 |
| REPAIR ADMINISTRATION AREA | SM | 899 | 969 | (871) |
| REPAIR SHOP AREA | SM | 702 | 495 | (347) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | SM | 1,601 | 22 | (35) |
| REPAIR BUILDING ENVELOPE | LS | | | (800) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | LS | | | 331 |
| COMMUNICATION SUPPORT | LS | | | (15) |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (150) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (80) |
| FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM | SM | 1,601 | 54 | (86) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 2,384 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 119 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 2,503 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 150 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 2,653 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 2,650 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Relocate, rearrange, or add interior walls, doors and lighting, plumbing, mechanical, electrical, alarm, and fire protection systems as necessary. Provide interior finishes. Provide exterior support and site works as necessary. Apply antiterrorism/force protection measures as appropriate. Air Conditioning: 105 KW. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,601 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,601 SM PROJECT: Relocate 272 Engineering Installation Squadron (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 111, the 272d Engineering Installation Squadron (EIS) from LaPorte ANG, TX, relocates to Ellington Field, Houston, TX. Existing facilities will be vacated as a result of a corresponding BRAC decision to relocate the F-16 flying mission away from Ellington Field. The unit requires appropriately sized and configured space for their administrative, training and maintenance missions. Functional areas include administrative, classroom, briefing room, vault, storage, lockers, work control spaces and equipment storage. On an established installation, versus a geographically separated unit (GSU), this function is authorized 912 SM (9,820 SF) of administrative space, 741 SM (7,980 SF) of shop space, and 836 SM (9,000 SF) of equipment storage space. CURRENT SITUATION: The unit occupies 8 buildings and 2,972 SM (31,990 SF) of facilities at La Porte, TX. The cost to replicate their total authorized space of 2,489 SM (26,800 SF) with new construction is \$4.7M. Given the departure of the assigned aircraft from Ellington, there is vacated space that can be renovated at a lower cost making this the preferred fiscal option. An existing 1990s-era munitions maintenance and inspection facility, Building 1359, will no longer be needed when the F-16 wing departs the base in and is a close match in regard to facility use and size. This building is primarily condition code 2 and requires removal of blast doors and walls, modified roof system, and other external repair as well as reconfiguration before it can support the new mission. Even with the inclusion of these repairs, the re-use of this existing facility is more cost effective than new construction. The existing Munitions Maintenance facility contains 379 SM (4,080 SF) of | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 26, 2006 | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELLINGTON FIELD, TEXAS | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-RELOCATE 272 ENGINEERING INSTALLATION SQUADRON | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FWJH059084 | | | | | | | |
| <p>administrative space, 476 SM (5,127 SF) trailer storage space, 182 SM (1,960 SF) of missile assembly and checkout, 338 SM (3,460 SF) of missile cells, 116 SM (1,248 SF) of magazine, and 84 SM (900 SF) of munitions build-up. This project converts all space for the EIS mission. Renovation includes reconfiguration the administrative area, reconfiguration missile assembly and checkout to administrative space, reconfiguring missile cells to administrative space, and the remainder of the building will be reconfigured for shop space. Renovation will include reconfiguring some shop space to provide administrative areas, moving walls and associated utilities to “right size” the work spaces of the shop areas, and minimal reconfiguration of the existing administrative area to prepare for the new unit bed down. Covered equipment storage of 836 SM (9,000 SF) will be located in the existing base supply facility.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 272d EIS would not be able to relocate to Ellington Field, in violation of the BRAC recommendations. Anticipated BRAC savings would not be realized. Forced use of substandard and ill-configured facilities would degrade the quality of training, limit training opportunities and impact the unit’s deployment readiness. Failure to repair the building envelope will result in damage to interior finishes, violation of code requirements and the need for additional repairs in the near to term which would further disrupt the unit’s training schedule.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, “Facility Requirements.” Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. A preliminary analysis for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. The completion of this project allows all eight existing facilities at LaPorte, TX to be exceeded - a total of 2,972 SM (31,990 SF).</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Maj Matthew W. Allinson DSN: 454-2770</p> <p>Joint Use Certification: This facility can be used by other components on an “as available” basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <table data-bbox="207 1411 1198 1512"> <tr> <td>REPAIR ADMINISTRATION AREA</td> <td>899 SM = 9,680 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>REPAIR SHOP AREA</td> <td>702 SM = 7,552 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM</td> <td>1,601 SM = 17,232 SF</td> </tr> </table> | | | REPAIR ADMINISTRATION AREA | 899 SM = 9,680 SF | REPAIR SHOP AREA | 702 SM = 7,552 SF | FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM | 1,601 SM = 17,232 SF |
| REPAIR ADMINISTRATION AREA | 899 SM = 9,680 SF | | | | | | | |
| REPAIR SHOP AREA | 702 SM = 7,552 SF | | | | | | | |
| FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM | 1,601 SM = 17,232 SF | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 26, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELLINGTON FIELD, TEXAS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-RELOCATE 272 ENGINEERING INSTALLATION SQUADRON | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FWJH059084 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>SEP 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>MAR 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>DEC 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$234)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>156</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>234</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>234</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) DEC 2008</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Mr. Mark H. Bailey (301) 836-7042</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | SEP 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | (e) Date Design Complete | DEC 2007 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 156 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 78 | (c) Total | 234 | (d) Contract | 234 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | SEP 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | DEC 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 156 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 234 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 234 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.517 | 0.131 | 0 | 8.150 | 0 | 0 | 8.798 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.023 | 0.302 | 1.145 | 0.012 | 1.296 | 0 | 2.778 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.004 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0.033 |
| Other | 0.091 | 0.035 | 0.100 | 0.426 | 0 | 0 | 0.652 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.630 | 0.493 | 1.249 | 8.617 | 1.296 | 0 | 12.285 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.630 | 0.493 | 1.249 | 8.617 | 1.296 | 0 | 12.285 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.630 | 0.493 | 1.249 | 8.617 | 1.296 | 0 | 12.285 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.164 | 0.682 | 0.958 | 1.804 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.990 | 5.980 | 8.970 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.164 | 3.673 | 6.938 | 10.774 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.147 | 0.300 | 0.447 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.138 | 0.282 | 0.420 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.595 | 9.382 | 13.977 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.696 | 0.710 | 1.406 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.361 | 0.369 | 0.730 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.936 | 11.043 | 16.979 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.936 | 11.043 | 16.979 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (40) | 0 | (40) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.630 | 0.493 | 1.249 | 8.617 | (4.640) | (11.043) | (4.694) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 112, Lackland Air Force Base, TX

Commission Recommendation: Realign Lackland Air Force Base, TX. Relocate the Standard Air Munitions Package (STAMP) /Standard Tank, Rack, Adaptor, and Pylon Packages (STRAPP) function from Lackland Air Force Base, Medina Annex to McConnell Air Force Base, KS, and transfer the mission to the Air National Guard.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2009 | McConnell AFB, KS | PRQE055505 | Munitions Delivery Road | 112 | 1.450 |
| 2009 | McConnell AFB, KS | PRQE059126 | STAMP Relocation | 112 | 4.900 |
| 2009 | McConnell AFB, KS | PRQE059348 | STRAPP Relocation | 112 | 1.800 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 112 | 8.150 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 112 | 8.150 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.648M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.025M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$2.778M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.012M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides funds for reserve component military personnel man days. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.033M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.029M.

Other: Provides funds for reserve component training. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.652M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.426M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$10.774M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.164M.

Recurring Savings: N/A. Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$16.979M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -37. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: N/A

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MUNITIONS DELIVERY ROAD | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE055505 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,450 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| MUNITIONS DELIVERY ROAD | | | | | 1,136 |
| CONSTRUCT NEW ROADWAY/LIGHTING | | SM | 3,600 | 150 | (540) |
| UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC | | LM | 1,000 | 210 | (210) |
| UPGRADE ROADWAYS - MULVANE AND RUSSEL ST | | SM | 10,276 | 35 | (360) |
| RELOCATE MEMORIAL MARKERS | | LS | | | (10) |
| ATFP | | LS | | | (16) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 185 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (35) |
| HYDRAULICS AND UTILITIES UPGRADES | | LS | | | (150) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,321 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 66 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,387 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 79 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,466 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,450 |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct new concrete roadway from Kansas Street to Wichita Street, upgrade asphalt road haul route, concrete box culverts, and lighting to support the Kansas Air National Guard's (KANG) Standard Air Munitions Packages (STAMP) and Standard Tank, Rack, Adaptor, and Pylon Packages (STRAPP). This project includes antiterrorism force protection requirements identified in the DoD Unified Facilities Criteria.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 0 Tons</p> | | | | | |
| <p>11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard:</p> <p>PROJECT: The proposed project will provide a lighted roadway that will connect between the main entry road, Kansas Street, and Wichita Street (New Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: A munitions delivery route that minimizes exposure of the on-base population to a potential munitions related accident. The BRAC addition of the STAMP and STRAPP missions at McConnell AFB will significantly increase exposure of the base population to munitions delivery operations. It is imperative the route through the base that will cause least exposure be used. This can be accomplished by changing the base munitions delivery entry point to the newly constructed East gate and providing a connecting road to allow immediate access from the main entry road, Kansas Street to Wichita Street, which follows the base perimeter and avoids high concentrations of base personnel. In addition, the new East entry gate affords multiple ID inspection lanes and a large vehicle storage distance between the ID station and the base perimeter. One of the entry lanes can be dedicated to munitions trucks during surge conditions and truck inspections can occur in the dedicated lane. As trucks pass through the gate, the proposed connecting road will facility immediate access to the perimeter road, Wichita Street. The proposed munitions delivery rout will reduce the on-base travel distance and length of exposure to less</p> | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MUNITIONS DELIVERY ROAD | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE055505 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,450 |
| <p>than 1/3 the distance of the current route. This is a BRAC driven requirement.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: The current munitions delivery route requires munitions deliveries to enter the west gate of McConnell, traverse to east side of the base, then follow a route through the heart of the base exposing a high percentage of the base population to a potential accident. The addition of the BRAC STAMP quantities of munitions will greatly increase the risk to base personnel.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base population will be exposed to a much greater hazard due to large increases in munitions deliveries to support the BRAC STAMP mission through highly populated base areas. The hazard will become even more pronounced during operations which may require a surge in deliveries in support of national contingencies and objectives. This could result in a very hazardous situation to base personnel and may ultimately result in injuries or death.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. An economic analysis is not required because this projects does not exceed \$2M.</p> <p>BCE: Major Nam Shelton, 316-652-5750.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Miller</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC MUNITIONS DELIVERY ROAD | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE055505 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,450 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 01-OCT-07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 01-JAN-08 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 30-SEP-08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | NO |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | Design Bid Build |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 0 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 131 |
| (c) Total | | | 131 |
| (d) Contract | | | 0 |
| (e) In-house | | | 0 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 MAR |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 JUN |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 SEP |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 3. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - STAMP RELOCATION | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 422-264 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE059126 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$4,900 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| BRAC-STAMP RELOCATION | SM | 2,112 | | 3,156 |
| MINI IGLOOS | SM | 69 | 2,960 | (204) |
| INERT STORAGE FACILITY | SM | 1,486 | 861 | (1,279) |
| MUNITION MAINT OPERATING FACILITY | SM | 223 | 1,507 | (336) |
| SECURITY FENCING | LM | 1,189 | 164 | (195) |
| VEHICLE PARKING | SM | 5,853 | 54 | (316) |
| VEHICLE PARKING SHED | SM | 334 | 700 | (234) |
| INSTALL PARTITIONS IN 4 IGLOOS | LM | 49 | 4,921 | (241) |
| MUNITION HOLDING PAD | SM | 2,787 | 126 | (351) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 1,257 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (250) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (300) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT/SECURITY | LS | | | (200) |
| AREA LIGHTING | LS | | | (200) |
| DEMOLISH REVETMENTS | SM | 401 | 377 | (151) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (156) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 4,413 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 221 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 4,634 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 278 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 4,912 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 4,900 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct: Four mini storage igloos, two 8,000 SF inert storage facilities, a 2,400 SF munition maintenance operating facility, install partitions in four ALCMs, one 3,600 SF parking shed, and GOV and POV parking areas. Exterior: site preparation, access pavements and parking that complies with antiterrorism/force protection standards. Modify perimeter fencing, security lighting, utility systems, storm drainage, roads, communications support, and assorted site work for the area as necessary. Demolish 2 buildings (401 SM), two large revetments and restore the site. Air Conditioning: 18 KW. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,113 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM <u>PROJECT:</u> STAMP Relocation (BRAC) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 112, the Standard Air Munitions Package (STAMP) function from Medina Annex, TX will relocate to McConnell AFB, KS. The munitions storage area (MSA) at McConnell must be properly sized and configured to accommodate the relocation of the STAMP function. Functional requirements are: four new 17 SM miniature ECMs, two new 743 SM inert storage facilities, a new 223 SM munition maintenance operating facility, a new 334 SM government owned vehicle (GOV) parking shed, and installation of four partitions and alarm systems in existing storage facilities. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The munitions storage area (MSA) was originally constructed to support nuclear mission. The MSA now supports Air National Guard Regional Munitions Storage. MSA is undersized in infrastructure and facility space requirements and Net Explosive Weight (NEW) capability. BRAC recommendation requires increases in munitions storage and operating requirements | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - STAMP RELOCATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE059126 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>to execute the STAMP mission. The mini igloos are required to store custody accounts and non-compatible items. In addition, four partitions in existing (one in each of 4) shelters will be required. Two additional 743 SM buildings for inert storage are also required. By extending the perimeter fence, the new inert storage facilities and GOV parking area can be sited within the MSA fence, in the area where the old POV parking area is currently located. A variety of 42 vehicles from fork lifts to tractor trailers need to be stored, maintain and operated in this winter climate area. Parking for both POV and government vehicles, as well as a holding pad is needed.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The STRAPP function cannot relocate from Medina to McConnell in violation of the BRAC recommendation. Anticipated savings will not be realized. Forced use of temporary or encumbered facilities at McConnell will result in valuable equipment being stored in unprotected areas leading to premature deterioration. Training will be hindered by the lack of adequate class and administrative space leading to a degradation in overall readiness. Operational requirements will be difficult to meet. Unit may not be prepared to support real world contingencies.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air National Guard Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Maj James H. Culp DSN: 743-7474</p> <p>JOINT CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="212 1247 974 1507"> <tr> <td>MINI IGLOOS</td> <td>68 SM = 748 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>INERT STORAGE FACILITY</td> <td>1,486 SM = 16,000 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE</td> <td>223 SM = 2,400 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SECURITY FENCING</td> <td>1,189 LM = 3,900 LF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VEHICLE PARKING</td> <td>5,853 SM = 7,000 SY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VEHICLE PARKING SHED</td> <td>334 SM = 3,600 SF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PARTITIONS IN 4 IGLOOS</td> <td>49 LM = 160 LF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MUNITIONS HOLDING PAD</td> <td>2787 SM = 3,333 SY</td> </tr> </table> | | | MINI IGLOOS | 68 SM = 748 SF | INERT STORAGE FACILITY | 1,486 SM = 16,000 SF | MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE | 223 SM = 2,400 SF | SECURITY FENCING | 1,189 LM = 3,900 LF | VEHICLE PARKING | 5,853 SM = 7,000 SY | VEHICLE PARKING SHED | 334 SM = 3,600 SF | PARTITIONS IN 4 IGLOOS | 49 LM = 160 LF | MUNITIONS HOLDING PAD | 2787 SM = 3,333 SY |
| MINI IGLOOS | 68 SM = 748 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INERT STORAGE FACILITY | 1,486 SM = 16,000 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE | 223 SM = 2,400 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SECURITY FENCING | 1,189 LM = 3,900 LF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VEHICLE PARKING | 5,853 SM = 7,000 SY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VEHICLE PARKING SHED | 334 SM = 3,600 SF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARTITIONS IN 4 IGLOOS | 49 LM = 160 LF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MUNITIONS HOLDING PAD | 2787 SM = 3,333 SY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - STAMP RELOCATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE059126 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>SEP 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>MAR 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>MAR 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$1,440)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>960</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>480</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>1,440</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>1,440</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion OCT 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: MR. JOHN SCANLON (301) 836-8083</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | SEP 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | (e) Date Design Complete | MAR 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 960 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 480 | (c) Total | 1,440 | (d) Contract | 1,440 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | SEP 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | MAR 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 960 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 480 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 1,440 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 1,440 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 3. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - STRAPP RELOCATION | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 441-758 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE059348 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,800 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| STRAPP RELOCATION | SM | 767 | | 1,153 |
| STRAPP STORAGE/ASSEMBLY AREA | SM | 488 | 1,130 | (551) |
| ADMINSTRATION AREA | SM | 93 | 1,938 | (180) |
| FREIGHT PROCESSING AREA | SM | 93 | 2,045 | (190) |
| BATTERY SHOP | SM | 93 | 2,314 | (215) |
| ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION | SM | 766 | 22 | (17) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 475 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (90) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (85) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (150) |
| COMMUNICATIONS DUCTS AND MANHOLES | LS | | | (150) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 1,628 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 81 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 1,709 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 103 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 1,812 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 1,800 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab with steel-framed masonry walls, exterior brick veneer, and standing seam metal roof structure. All interior walls, utilities, and special equipment including antiterrorism force protection measures. All necessary exterior utilities, communications support, access pavements, parking and sidewalks, fire protection, security, site work, and support. Air Conditioning: 70 KW. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 766 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: STRAPP Relocation (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 112, the Standard Tank, Rack, Adapter, and Pylon Package (STRAPP) operation will relocate from Medina Annex, San Antonio, TX to McConnell AFB, KS. The base requires a properly sited and configured facility to support the STRAPP function. Functional requirements include: inert storage, maintenance operating bay, administrative area, electric forklift charging room, aircraft cargo pallet buildup area, roller conveyor transfer system, load dock system, hazmat storage area, and aerial port function. The STAMP/STRAPP mission requires Air Terminal Operations Center (ATOC) support for joint inspections of cargo prior to aircraft loading. The purpose of the joint inspection is to verify pallet builds match Logistics Module (LOGMOD) requirements for weight and balance. CURRENT SITUATION: The STRAPP function at Medina Annex is located in a 6,270 SM (67,490 SF) leased facility. Functional use for the new facility at McConnell is as follows: 580 SM (6,250 SF) of admin/warehouse, 93 SM (1,000 SF) Air Freight Terminal and 93 SM (1,000 SF) for a maintenance battery shop. Five to six personnel are located in the facility on a full-time basis. The proposed STRAPP site at McConnell AFB has limited communications infrastructure. Extended communications lines, with ducts and manholes, will be required to connect the communication infrastructure into the base network. | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - STRAPP RELOCATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE059348 | |
| <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The STRAPP function cannot relocate from San Antonio to McConnell in violation of the BRAC recommendation. Anticipated savings will not be realized. Forced use of temporary or encumbered facilities at McConnell will result in valuable equipment being stored in unprotected areas leading to premature deterioration. Training will be hindered by the lack of adequate class and administrative space leading to degradation in overall readiness. Operational requirements will be difficult to meet. Unit may not be prepared to support real world contingencies.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air National Guard Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. This facility is an "inhabited" building and meets the standoff distance requirements. There is minimal threat and the level of protection is low so minimum construction standards have been applied. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. This project builds to the current requirement, which is less than the existing leased space. Upon completion of this project, the lease for space at Medina Annex will be terminated.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Maj James H. Culp DSN: 743-7474</p> <p>JOINT CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p> <p>STRAPP STORAGE/ADMIN/ASSEMBLY AREA 580 SM = 6,250 SF FREIGHT PROCESSING AREA 93 SM = 1,000 SF BATTERY SHOP 93 SM = 1,000 SF</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - STRAPP RELOCATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE059348 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>SEP 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>MAR 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>MAR 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>108</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>162</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>162</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion OCT 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: MR. JOHN SCANLON (301) 836-8083</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | SEP 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | (e) Date Design Complete | MAR 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 108 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 54 | (c) Total | 162 | (d) Contract | 162 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | SEP 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | MAR 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 108 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 54 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 162 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 162 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 1.042 | 10.808 | 5.248 | 4.700 | 0 | 0 | 21.798 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.751 | 0.140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.101 | 0.992 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 9.198 | 4.519 | 1.894 | 3.438 | 0.492 | 0.006 | 19.547 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 10.991 | 15.467 | 7.142 | 8.138 | 0.492 | 0.107 | 42.337 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 10.991 | 15.467 | 7.142 | 8.138 | 0.492 | 0.107 | 42.337 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 10.991 | 15.467 | 7.142 | 8.138 | 0.492 | 0.107 | 42.337 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.594 | 0.659 | 1.124 | 1.153 | 1.177 | 4.707 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.051 | 0.096 | 0.499 | 0.512 | 0.523 | 1.682 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.645 | 0.755 | 1.623 | 1.665 | 1.700 | 6.388 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.136 | 0 | 0 | 0.136 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.136 | 0 | 0 | 0.136 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 2.657 | 5.443 | 5.605 | 5.751 | 5.871 | 25.327 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.443 | 0.910 | 0.929 | 2.282 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.424 | 0.435 | 0.444 | 1.303 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.779 | 0.798 | 0.730 | 0.749 | 0.764 | 3.819 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 3.435 | 6.241 | 7.203 | 7.844 | 8.009 | 32.732 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 3.435 | 6.241 | 7.338 | 7.844 | 8.009 | 32.868 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (78) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (78) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 1 | 0 | (10) | 0 | 0 | (9) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 10.991 | 12.032 | 0.901 | 0.800 | (7.352) | (7.902) | 9.470 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV

Commission Recommendation: The Commission will realign Hill Air Force Base, UT. It will distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 419th Fighter Wing (AFRC) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission; It will establish 24 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 482nd Fighter Wing, Homestead Air Reserve Base, FL. It will establish 24 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 301st Fighter Wing, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, TX. The AFMC F-16s will remain in place at Hill AFB. It will realign Edwards Air Force Base, CA; Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID; and Luke Air Force Base, AZ, by relocating base level LANTIRN intermediate maintenance to Hill, establishing a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) for Low Altitude Navigation and Targeting Infrared for Night (LANTIRN) pods at Hill AFB. Realign Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, TX, and Nellis Air Force Base, NV, by relocating base-level F110 engine intermediate maintenance to Hill, establishing a CIRF for F110 engines at Hill.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB, TX | DDPM079001 | BRAC AFR Add/Alter Squad Ops | 113 | 2.900 |
| 2007 | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB, TX | DDPM079005 | BRAC AFR Add Munitions Maintenance | 113 | 0.780 |
| 2007 | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB, TX | DDPM079008 | BRAC AFR Add Weapons Release Shop | 113 | 2.350 |
| 2007 | Homestead ARB, FL | KYJM079001 | BRAC AFR Add/Alter Squad Ops/AMXS | 113 | 2.535 |
| | | | | 115 | 1.265 |
| | | KYJM079001 | | Total | 3.800 |
| 2007 | Homestead ARB, FL | KYJM079003 | BRAC AFR Add Weapons Release Shop | 113 | 1.567 |
| | | | | 115 | 0.783 |
| | | KYJM079003 | | Total | 2.350 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 113 | 10.132 |
| 2008 | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB, TX | DDPM079003 | BRAC AFR Munitions Igloos | 113 | 1.250 |
| 2008 | Hill AFB, UT | KRSM083008 | F110 Engine CIRF | 113 | 2.300 |
| 2008 | Homestead ARB, FL | KYJM079002 | BRAC AFRC Add Avionics and ECM Shop | 113 | 1.434 |
| | | | | 115 | 0.716 |
| | | KYJM079002 | | Total | 2.150 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 113 | 4.984 |
| 2009 | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB, TX | DDPM079009 | BRAC AFR Add Avionics Shop | 113 | 1.050 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 113, Hill AFB, UT, Edwards AFB, CA, Mountain Home AFB, ID, Luke AFB, AZ, and Nellis AFB, NV

| | | | | | |
|------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| 2009 | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB, TX | DDPM079010 | BRAC AFR ECM Shop | 113 | 1.150 |
| 2009 | Hill AFB, UT | KRSM070070 | Renovate LANTIRN CIRF Bldgs 584 & 578 | 113 | 2.500 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 113 | 4.700 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 113 | 19.816 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$1.982M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.992M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds travel, communication costs, communications maintenance equipment; minor facility construction and furniture. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$19.547M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.438M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$6.388M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.623M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$32.732M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$7.203M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -87. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -10.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE RESERVE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. DATE AUG 2006 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAS FORT WORTH JOINT RESERVE BASE, TEXAS | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC AFR ADD AVIONICS SHOP | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 217-712 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER DDPM 079009 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,050 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATE | | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) | |
| ADD AVIONICS SHOP | SM | 430 | 1,953 | 840 | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | LS | | | 8 | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 101 | |
| UTILITIES/PAVEMENTS/SITE WORK | LS | | | <u>(101)</u> | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 949 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | <u>47</u> | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 996 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | <u>57</u> | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 1,053 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 1,050 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS | | | | 139 | |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Construct a 430 SM addition to Building 1649. The facility shall be compatible with existing facility. Project includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD unified facilities criteria and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 430 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Addition to Avionics Shop (BRAC). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequately sized and functionally configured to satisfy all training and administrative requirements for munitions for the unit. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The Base Realignment and Closure Commission (2005) recommendation includes realigning nine PAA F-16 from Hill AFB to NAS Fort Worth JRB. The existing facility is not adequately sized to handle the increased operations requirements due to the increase of aircraft. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active force under activation conditions. Without these additions, the Wing will not have an area suitable for training its people to perform their mission functions. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Reserve Command Handbook 32-1001, Standard Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exemption was prepared. POC: Ms. Donna Young, HQ AFRC/CEPR, DSN 497-1108. Equipment from other appropriations: \$139K for furnishings. New Work: 430 SM = 4,628 SF. <u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force Reserve requirements. | | | | | |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE RESERVE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | 2. DATE AUG 2006 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
NAS FORT WORTH JOINT RESERVE BASE, TEXAS

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC AFR ADD AVIONICS SHOP | 5. PROJECT NUMBER DDPM 079009 |
|--|----------------------------------|

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. DESIGN DATA (Estimated)

1. STATUS

- a. Date Design Started Oct 2007
- b. Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs PACES
- c. Percentage Complete as of January 1, 2008 15%
- d. Date Design 35% Complete Feb 2008
- e. Date Design Complete - (If design-build, construction complete) Sep 2008

2. BASIS

- a. Standard or Definitive Design - Yes No
- b. Where Design Was Most Recently Used N/A .

3. COST (Total) = c = a + b or d + e (\$000)

- a. Production of Plans and Specifications (35% design) (0)
- b. All Other Design Costs (Design-build) (0)
- c. Total (95)
- d. Contract (A-E) (76)
- e. In-house (management) (19)

4. CONTRAC AWARD Dec 2008

5. CONSTRUCTION START Jan 2009

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

| <u>Equipment</u> | <u>Procuring</u> | <u>Fiscal Year</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| <u>Nomenclature</u> | <u>Appropriation</u> | <u>Appropriated</u> | <u>(\$000)</u> |
| <u>Furnishings</u> | <u>BRAC Account</u> | <u>Or Requested</u> | <u></u> |
| | | FY2010 | 139 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE RESERVE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. DATE AUG 2006 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAS FORT WORTH JOINT RESERVE BASE, TEXAS | | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC AFR ADD ELECTRONIC COUNTER MEASURES SHOP | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | | 6. CATEGORY CODE 217-713 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER DDPM 079010 | | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,150 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATE | | | | | | |
| ITEM | | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADD ELECTRONIC COUNTER MEASURES SHOP | | | SM | 486 | 1,909 | 928 |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | | LS | | | 9 |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | | 112 |
| UTILITIES/PAVEMENTS/SITE WORK | | | LS | | | (112) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | | 1,049 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | | 52 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | | 1,101 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | | 63 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | | 1,164 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | | 1,150 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS | | | | | | 161 |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Construct a 486 SM addition to the existing ECM shop Building 1650. The facility shall compatible with existing facility. Project includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD unified facilities criteria and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 40 Tons. | | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 486 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Addition to ECM Shop Building 1650 (BRAC). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequately sized and functionally configured to satisfy all training requirements, storage and administrative requirements of the unit. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The Base Realignment and Closure Commission (2005) recommendation includes realigning nine PAA F-16 from Hill AFB to NAS Fort Worth JRB. The existing facility is not adequately sized to handle the increased operations requirements due to the increase of aircraft. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active force under activation conditions. Without these additions, the Wing will not have an area suitable for training its people to perform their mission functions. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Reserve Command Handbook 32-1001, Standard Facility Requirements. POC: Ms. Donna Young, HQ AFRC/CEPR, DSN 497-1108. Equipment from other appropriations: \$161K for furnishings. New Work: 486 SM = 5,231 SF. <u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force Reserve requirements. | | | | | | |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE RESERVE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | 2. DATE AUG 2006 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
NAS FORT WORTH JOINT RESERVE BASE, TEXAS

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC AFR ADD ELECTRONIC COUNTER MEASURES SHOP | 5. PROJECT NUMBER DDPM 079010 |
|---|----------------------------------|

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. DESIGN DATA (Estimated)

1. STATUS

- a. Date Design Started Oct 2007
- b. Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs PACES
- c. Percentage Complete as of January 1, 2008 15%
- d. Date Design 35% Complete Feb 2008
- e. Date Design Complete - (If design-build, construction complete) Sep 2008

2. BASIS

- a. Standard or Definitive Design - Yes No
- b. Where Design Was Most Recently Used N/A

3. COST (Total) = c = a + b or d + e (\$000)

- a. Production of Plans and Specifications (35% design) (0)
- b. All Other Design Costs (Design-build) (0)
- c. Total (104)
- d. Contract (A-E) (83)
- e. In-house (management) (21)

- 4. CONTRACT AWARD Dec 2008
- 5. CONSTRUCTION START Jan 2009

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

| <u>Equipment Nomenclature</u> | <u>Procuring Appropriation</u> | <u>Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested</u> | <u>Cost (\$000)</u> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Furnishings | BRAC Account | FY2009 | 4 |
| Furnishings | BRAC Account | FY2010 | 157 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC RENOVATE LANTIRN CIRF, BUILDING 584 & 578 | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 217-712 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER KRSM070070 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,500 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 1,977 |
| RENOVATE BUILDING 584 | | SM | 1,328 | 376 | (499) |
| SEISMIC UPGRADE | | LS | | | (240) |
| CONSTRUCT CANOPY (12' X 80') | | SM | 89 | 1,384 | (123) |
| ASBESTOS ABATEMENT | | LS | | | (176) |
| INSTALL NEW CHILLER | | LS | | | (65) |
| RELOCATE EXISITING CHILLER | | LS | | | (10) |
| ALTER DOORS BLDGS. 584, 578 | | LS | | | (223) |
| RENOVATE HVAC | | LS | | | (370) |
| RENOVATE FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM, BLDG 578 | | LS | | | (75) |
| RENOVATE LIGHTING/UPGRADE POWER | | LS | | | (85) |
| ATFP | | LS | | | (60) |
| REPAIR ROOF | | LS | | | (50) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 232 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (84) |
| INTERIOR DEMOLITION | | LS | | | (100) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENT | | LS | | | (20) |
| PAVEMENTS | | SM | 89 | 317 | (28) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 2,208 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 110 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 2,319 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 132 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 2,451 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 2,500 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (750.0) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate Building 584 to include interior finishes, demolition and renovation of interior walls, restoration of HVAC to meet current mission, repair roof, modify lighting and provide necessary site improvements. The project includes antiterrorism force protection requirements identified in the DoD Unified Facilities Criteria. Air Conditioning: 40 Tons | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 1328 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM PROJECT: Renovate Building 584 for LANTIRN CIRF (BRAC). REQUIREMENT: Construct a fully functional facility to meet the mission of LANTIRN CIRF to include vault security requirements and ability to house equipment/test stations. CURRENT SITUATION: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission (2005) recommendation includes realignment of Hill AFB and the relocation of LANTIRN Pod diagnostics, testing and repair. | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC RENOVATE LANTIRN CIRF, BUILDING 584 & 578 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 217-712 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER KRSM070070 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,500 |
| <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without alterations provided by the project the LANTIRN mission will not be able to be supported.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements.</p> <p>A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. However, a full economic analysis is being requested.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force Reserve requirements.</p> | | | |

| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|--|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------|------|-----|
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC RENOVATE LANTIRN CIRF, 584 & 578 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 217-712 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER KRSM070070 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>1 Jan 09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>1 May 09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>30 Jun 09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>225</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>225</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Contract Award 1 Jan09</p> <p>(5) Construction Start 1 Apr 09</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion 31 May 10</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE</th> <th>PROCURING APPROPRIATION</th> <th>FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>PROCESS EQUIPMENT</td> <td>3080</td> <td>2009</td> <td>750</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | | | | (a) Date Design Started | 1 Jan 09 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | 1 May 09 | (e) Date Design Complete | 30 Jun 09 | (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 150 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 75 | (c) Total | 225 | (d) Contract | 225 | (e) In-house | 0 | EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) | PROCESS EQUIPMENT | 3080 | 2009 | 750 |
| (a) Date Design Started | 1 Jan 09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | 1 May 09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | 30 Jun 09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 150 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 225 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 225 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-house | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PROCESS EQUIPMENT | 3080 | 2009 | 750 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.007 | 0.456 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.488 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.007 | 0.456 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.488 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.007 | 0.456 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.488 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.007 | 0.456 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.488 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.094 | 0.097 | 0.099 | 0.102 | 0.104 | 0.495 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.059 | 0.061 | 0.062 | 0.064 | 0.065 | 0.312 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.154 | 0.157 | 0.161 | 0.166 | 0.169 | 0.807 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.017 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.017 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0.345 | 0.707 | 0.725 | 0.744 | 0.760 | 3.282 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.103 | 0.105 | 0.108 | 0.111 | 0.113 | 0.539 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.130 | 0.133 | 0.137 | 0.140 | 0.143 | 0.683 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0.577 | 0.946 | 0.970 | 0.995 | 1.016 | 4.504 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0.595 | 0.946 | 0.970 | 0.995 | 1.016 | 4.521 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (8) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (8) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.007 | (0.139) | (0.921) | (0.970) | (0.995) | (1.016) | (4.033) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 114, Langley Air Force Base, VA

Commission Recommendation: Realign Langley Air Force Base, VA. Realign base-level F-15 avionics intermediate maintenance from Langley Air Force Base to Tyndall Air Force Base, FL, by establishing a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) at Tyndall Air Force Base, FL, for F-15 avionics.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.488M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.807M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.161M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$4.504M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.970M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -8. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.249 | 2.048 | 0.716 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.013 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.096 | 0.073 | 0.267 | 0.045 | 0 | 0 | 0.481 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.428 | 2.521 | 1.478 | 0.082 | 0 | 0 | 4.509 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.157 | 1.613 | 0.113 | 0 | 0 | 1.883 |
| Other | 0.171 | 0.134 | 1.358 | 2.507 | 0 | 0 | 4.170 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.944 | 4.933 | 5.432 | 2.747 | 0 | 0 | 14.056 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.944 | 4.933 | 5.432 | 2.747 | 0 | 0 | 14.056 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.944 | 4.933 | 5.432 | 2.747 | 0 | 0 | 14.056 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.028 | 0.052 | 0.054 | 0.055 | 0.056 | 0.246 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.028 | 0.052 | 0.054 | 0.055 | 0.056 | 0.246 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 1.053 | 1.079 | 1.106 | 1.135 | 1.159 | 5.533 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0.680 | 0.697 | 0.715 | 0.733 | 0.749 | 3.574 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 1.733 | 1.776 | 1.821 | 1.868 | 1.908 | 9.106 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 1.733 | 1.776 | 1.821 | 1.868 | 1.908 | 9.106 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.944 | 3.200 | 3.656 | 0.926 | (1.868) | (1.908) | 4.950 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA

Commission Recommendation: Realign Richmond International Airport Air Guard Station, VA. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 192d Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 24 F-16 PAA at the 482d Fighter Wing at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida. Richmond International Airport Air Guard Station real property accountability will transfer to the Department of the Army. The 192d Fighter Wing's manpower will associate with the 1st Fighter Wing. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end-strength of the Virginia Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 192d Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth. Realign Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 132d Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 18 F-16 PAA at the 132d Fighter Wing, Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, Iowa. Establish 18 F-16 PAA at the 180th Fighter Wing, Toledo Express Airport Air Guard Station, Ohio. Establish 21 F-16 PAA at the 138th Fighter Wing, Tulsa International Airport Air Guard Station, Oklahoma.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Homestead ARB, FL | KYJM079001 | BRAC AFR Add/Alter Squad Ops/AMXS | 115 | 1.265 |
| | | | | 113 | 2.535 |
| | | KYJM079001 | | Total | 3.800 |
| 2007 | Homestead ARB, FL | KYJM079003 | BRAC AFR Add Weapons Release Shop | 115 | 0.783 |
| | | | | 113 | 1.567 |
| | | KYJM079003 | | Total | 2.350 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 115 | 2.048 |
| 2008 | Homestead ARB, FL | KYJM079002 | BRAC AFRC Add Avionics and ECM Shop | 115 | 0.716 |
| | | | | 113 | 1.434 |
| | | KYJM079002 | | Total | 2.150 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 115 | 0.716 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 115 | 2.764 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.249M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 115, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds air quality environmental studies at Toldeo Express AGS, OH, Tulsa AGS, OK, and Des Moines AGS, IA. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.481M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.045M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds communications equipments at Toldeo Express AGS, OH, Tulsa AGS, OK, and Homestead ARB, FL. Additionally funds real property disposal at Richmond AGS, VA. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.509M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.082M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Funds reserve component military personnel man days for implementation requirements Des Moines AGS, IA, Tulsa AGS, OK, and Toledo Express AGS, OH. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.883M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.113M.

Other: Funds reserve component realignment training at Des Moines AGS, IA, Toledo Express AGS, OH, and Tulsa AGS, OK. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.170M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.507M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.246M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.054M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$9.106M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.821M.

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 1.152 | 15.800 | 0 | 0 | 16.952 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.741 | 0.019 | 0.186 | 0.267 | 0.069 | 0.100 | 1.382 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.113 | 1.072 | 0.277 | 0.618 | 0.040 | 0.008 | 2.128 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 0.020 |
| Other | 0.809 | 0.075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.884 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 1.663 | 1.166 | 1.615 | 16.705 | 0.109 | 0.108 | 21.366 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 1.663 | 1.166 | 1.615 | 16.705 | 0.109 | 0.108 | 21.366 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 1.663 | 1.166 | 1.615 | 16.705 | 0.109 | 0.108 | 21.366 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.130 | 0.237 | 0.308 | 0.316 | 0.322 | 1.313 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.177 | 0.354 | 0.363 | 0.372 | 0.380 | 1.646 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.307 | 0.591 | 0.671 | 0.688 | 0.702 | 2.959 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.859 | 0.877 | 1.736 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.859 | 0.877 | 1.736 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.859 | 0.877 | 1.736 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 1.663 | 1.166 | 1.615 | 16.705 | (0.750) | (0.769) | 19.630 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA

Commission Recommendation: Realign Fairchild Air Force Base, WA. The 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will associate with the 92nd Air Refueling Wing at Fairchild Air Force Base, and the 141st Air Refueling Wing's eight KC-135R aircraft are distributed to the 185th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Sioux Gateway Airport Air Guard Station, IA. The 256th Combat Communications Squadron and 242nd Combat Communications Squadron, which are ANG geographically separated units at Four Lakes and Spokane, are relocated into available facilities at Fairchild Air Force Base.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2009 | Fairchild AFB, WA | GJKZ059108 | Relocate Combat Communications | 116 | 12.800 |
| 2009 | Sioux Gateway APT, IA | VSSB039076 | KC-135 Test Apron and Taxiway | 116 | 3.000 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 116 | 15.800 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 116 | 15.800 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$1.152M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds environmental remediation of solid and hazardous waste, asbestos, radon and PCBs; Environmental Impact Analysis contract services, travel and baseline study. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.382M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.267M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds real property disposal, travel costs, and communications infrastructure costs. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$2.128M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.618M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Funds mandays for enlisted personnel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.020M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.020M.

Other: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.884M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$2.959M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.671M.

Recurring Savings: N/A. Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$1.736M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is 7. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, WA

Savings: N/A

| | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE OCT 19, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - RELOCATE COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRONS | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-447 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER GJKZ059108 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$12,800 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| BRAC RELOCATE 242 AND 256 CBCS | SF | 56,100 | | 9,503 |
| COMM ELECTRONIC TRAINING FACILITY B2163 | SF | 35,600 | 150 | (5,340) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION B2163 | SF | 35,600 | 2 | (71) |
| ADD/ALTER VEHICLE SHED B440 | SF | 12,000 | | (1,647) |
| ADD FLR SLAB/PUT FZE PROT/ VEH PKNG SHED B440 | SF | 12,000 | 104 | (1,248) |
| REPR EXT/SEC FENCE/RELOC GAS B440 | LS | | | (399) |
| CONSTRUCT POWER PRO ADDITION B456 | SF | 8,500 | | (1,445) |
| ASE SHOP/COVERED STORAGE B456 | SF | 8,500 | 168 | (1,428) |
| OPEN STORAGE CONCRETE PAD B456 | LS | | | (17) |
| 92ND MDG WRM-B9005/SFS/LNDSPE CONTR TO H1025 | LS | | | (1,000) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 2,000 |
| UTILITIES | LS | | | (300) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (300) |
| COMMUNICATION SUPPORT | LS | | | (400) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (300) |
| EQUIPMENT PAD AND SUPPORT | LS | | | (100) |
| DEMO INTERIOR INFRASTRUCTURE/UTILITIES B2163 | LS | | | (600) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 11,503 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 575 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 12,078 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 688 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 12,766 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 12,800 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Repair administrative and training areas to include walls, roofing, upgraded electrical and mechanical systems. Provide interior walls and finishes including lighting, electrical distribution, fire protection, and heating and ventilation. Provide all exterior utilities, communication support, site improvements, equipment pad, and paving. Include antiterrorism and force protection requirements as applicable. Air Conditioning: 45 Tons. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 83,900 SF ADEQUATE: 28,977 SF SUBSTANDARD: 56,100 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Relocate Combat Communications Squadron (BRAC) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Final Report recommendation number 116, the 242nd Combat Communications Squadron (CBCS) will relocate from Geiger Field, Spokane, WA and the 256th CBCS will relocate from Four lakes, WA to Fairchild Air Force Base, WA. The 242nd and 256th CBCSs requires adequate space to train and maintain expeditionary equipment. Training needs include: adequate space for offices, shops, classrooms, testing rooms, network systems, quality control, maintenance control, and unit deployment control centers. Shops include: record communications, voice communications, network controls, satellite systems and wideband systems. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The BRAC Site Survey Team visited Fairchild AFB in Oct 2005 to validate the BRAC recommendation to realign Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington. Gieger Field, located at the Spokane International Airport, consists of approximately 79 acres and 72,200 SF of facilities. The land is on a long term leased from the Airport Authority with an expiration date of 2038. Per the recommendation this facility will be excessed and returned to the Airport Authority. Four Lakes, | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE OCT 19, 2007 | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - RELOCATE COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRONS | 7. PROJECT NUMBER GJKZ059108 | | | | | | | |
| <p>located 9 miles SE of Fairchild AFB, consists of approximately 156 acres of Air Force fee-owned land and 68,000 SF of facilities. The facilities are primarily 1950-vintage construction and have had little if any renovation. They are in very poor condition. As part of the Fairchild realignment, the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will associate with the 92nd Air Refueling Wing at Fairchild AFB, and the 141st Air Refueling Wing's (ARW) eight KC-135R aircraft are redistributed to the 185th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Sioux Gateway Airport Air Guard Station, Iowa. No KC-135 Aircraft exist at the 141st ARW. The original BRAC recommendation assumed the associated BRAC decisions at Fairchild AFB will free up space that can be used to accommodate the relocated CBCS mission. The BRAC Site Survey Team was advised that it was imperative to establish the 141st ARW's role under the association. Without having a CONOPS, the 141st ARW believed both wings will have their own taskings and be able to deploy independently. As a result, large aircraft facilities were held by both the 141st and 92nd ARWs in order to preserve future mission capabilities and also due to higher Wing priorities. The one facility offered for the beddown of the two CBCSs requires a complete interior conversion of 52 year old warehouse (BLDG 2163-The Glass Warehouse). Significant cost increase in Scope of Work is anticipated to convert the unnecessary space, provide utilities and HVAC, and would be in addition to repairs required to the building shell by the 92nd ARW. The facility does not currently meet operational requirements for the two CBCS. As a result, extensive interior renovation is required before the two CBCS can relocate. This project represents the beddown of the combined two squadrons into Facility 2163 at Fairchild AFB and expansion of additional facilities at the 141st ARW to include Bldg 440 and Bldg 456 for relocating the CBCS. Additional costs were factored in for the removal of active duty units from Bldg 2163 to other locations specified by the 92nd ARW.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The 242nd and 256th CBCSs will be forced to operate from facilities that do not meet their operational requirements. High cost equipment will not be stored properly and will prematurely deteriorate. Training will be disrupted and missed due to poor quality and lack of training space. Overall mission readiness will be degraded through a lack of maintenance on mobility equipment and personnel training.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air National Guard Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. This facility is an "inhabited" building and meets the standoff distance requirements. There is minimal threat and the level of protection is low so minimum construction standards have been applied. Upon completion of this project, the lease at Spokane will be terminated and the land excessed from the Air Force inventory. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p> <p>Base Civil Engineer: Major Mike Nester – DSN: 370-7350 Deputy Civil Engineer: Capt. Jason Kesler – DSN: 370-7353</p> <p>This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> <table data-bbox="207 1812 1333 1913"> <tr> <td>COMM ELECTRONIC TRAINING FACILITY B2163</td> <td>35,600 SF = 3,307 SM</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ADD FLR SLAB/PUT FZE PROT/ VEH PKNG SHED B440</td> <td>12,000 SF = 1,115 SM</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CONSTRUCT POWER PRO ADDITION B456</td> <td>8,500 SF = 790 SM</td> </tr> </table> | | | COMM ELECTRONIC TRAINING FACILITY B2163 | 35,600 SF = 3,307 SM | ADD FLR SLAB/PUT FZE PROT/ VEH PKNG SHED B440 | 12,000 SF = 1,115 SM | CONSTRUCT POWER PRO ADDITION B456 | 8,500 SF = 790 SM |
| COMM ELECTRONIC TRAINING FACILITY B2163 | 35,600 SF = 3,307 SM | | | | | | | |
| ADD FLR SLAB/PUT FZE PROT/ VEH PKNG SHED B440 | 12,000 SF = 1,115 SM | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCT POWER PRO ADDITION B456 | 8,500 SF = 790 SM | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE OCT 19, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - RELOCATE COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRONS | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER GJKZ059108 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>JUL 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>JAN 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>336</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>168</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>504</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>504</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: Keith McCallie (301) 836-8083</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | JUL 2007 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 336 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 168 | (c) Total | 504 | (d) Contract | 504 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | JUL 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 336 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 168 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 504 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 504 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE MAY 22, 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SIOUX GATEWAY AIRPORT/COL BUD DAY FIELD, IOWA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE KC-135R ENGINE RUN UP APRON AND TAXIWAY UPGRADE | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 112-211 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER VSSB039076 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$3,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| BRAC - KC-135 TEST APRON & TAXIWAY UPGRADE | SY | 16,600 | | 2,573 |
| UPGRADE TAXIWAY | SY | 14,550 | 155 | (2,255) |
| UPGRADE ENGINE RUN UP APRON | SY | 2,050 | 155 | (318) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 110 |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (40) |
| DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (60) |
| GROUNDING POINTS | LS | | | (10) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 2,683 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 134 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 2,817 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | 169 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 2,986 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 3,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: As required by BRAC, remove deteriorated concrete slabs that have been overlaid with asphalt. Compaction of the subbase material; reconstruction of the taxiway with reinforced concrete surface. Install drainage system; Reconstruct concrete taxiway; provide pavement markings; Provide grounding points. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 16,600 SY ADEQUATE: 0 SY SUBSTANDARD: 16,600 SY PROJECT: KC 135R Engine Test Apron and Upgrade Access Taxiway Pavements (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: The ANG base requires a properly configured taxiway surface to allow the aircraft to taxi to/from the parking ramp to the engine test apron. The base has converted from KC- 135E to KC-135R. The E- model uses a sound suppressor for engine testing. The R-models do not need a sound suppressor. The R model requires that engines be removed and shipped to the depot for repairs. When they are returned from the depot, they are stored. When an engine on the aircraft needs to be replaced, it is removed from storage and replaced on the aircraft. Now there is a tech order requirement that the engine be tested while on the aircraft. This involves towing the aircraft to an area where noise from the engine testing will not disturb the on and off airport population and the jet blast does not impete ground vehicules or aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: The Air National Guard base is located in one corner of the Sioux Gateway Airport, a civilian airport with commercial, general aviation and cargo operations. The base jointly uses the city-owned-and-operated runway, taxiways and navigational aids with civil aviation. The ANG base has a ramp that can park only 6 of the 8 authorized KC-135 aircraft. The other 2 are parked in the maintenance hangar and the fuel cell / corrosion control hangar. There are NO other areas within the ANG leased area where a KC-135 aircraft can be parked and the engine tested with no singificant impact on the surrounding areas. The airport has a taxiway that requires upgrade and partially enlarged to make it as an apron area that can be used for engine testing on the KC-135R aircraft. Similarly, the taxiway to the engine testing apron has failed and requires upgrade to allow the safe ground movement of the KC-135 aircraft. Funding for the repairs of the taxiways is not eligible for FAA money since this is strictly a military requirement. Until this project is completed under BRAC, the ANG KC-135 aircraft will have to be tested on an active commercial taxiway, thus blocking the commercial traffic as well as the other ANG aircraft ground movements that use the airport (KC-135, and F-16, A-10and F-15). The base has a regional paint facility and fighter aircrfaft deploy there for total painting and | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|----|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 30, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SIOUX GATEWAY AIRPORT/COL BUD DAY FIELD, IOWA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - KC 135R ENGINE RUN UP APRON AND TAXIWAY UPGRADE | | 7. PROJECT NUMBER VSSB039076 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>31 Jul 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>28 Sep 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>17 Sep 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>180</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>225</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td>45</td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAY 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion AUG 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: David Thompson (301) 836-8249</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | 31 Jul 07 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | 28 Sep 07 | (e) Date Design Complete | 17 Sep 08 | (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 180 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 90 | (c) Total | 270 | (d) Contract | 225 | (e) In-House | 45 |
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Jul 07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | 28 Sep 07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | 17 Sep 08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 180 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 270 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 225 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | 45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.105 | 0.586 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0.724 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 2.401 | 26.201 | 0.277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.879 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0.385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.385 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 2.506 | 27.172 | 0.293 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 29.988 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 2.506 | 27.172 | 0.293 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 29.988 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 2.506 | 27.172 | 0.293 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 29.988 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.338 | 0.346 | 0.355 | 0.364 | 0.372 | 1.776 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.225 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.243 | 0.248 | 1.184 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.563 | 0.577 | 0.592 | 0.607 | 0.620 | 2.960 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.080 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.080 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.080 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.080 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 4.575 | 9.188 | 9.422 | 9.666 | 9.869 | 42.721 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.041 | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.215 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.397 | 1.433 | 1.463 | 4.294 |
| Recapitalization | 1.134 | 1.162 | 1.190 | 1.221 | 1.252 | 1.278 | 7.237 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.594 | 0.609 | 6.084 | 6.242 | 6.373 | 19.903 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 1.134 | 6.372 | 11.029 | 18.167 | 18.638 | 19.029 | 74.370 |
| Grand Total Savings | 1.134 | 6.452 | 11.029 | 18.167 | 18.638 | 19.029 | 74.450 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (127) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (127) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 1.372 | 20.720 | (10.736) | (18.150) | (18.638) | (19.029) | (44.462) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 117, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI

Commission Recommendation: Close General Mitchell Air Reserve Station (ARS). Distribute the 440th Airlift Wing's C-130H aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds Installation Restoration and Water Quality sampling, monitoring. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.724M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.017M.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$28.879M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.385M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$2.960M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.592M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$74.370M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$18.167M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -127. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 1.828 | 22.041 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.869 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.860 | 1.818 | 1.465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.143 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 2.688 | 23.859 | 1.465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.012 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 2.688 | 23.859 | 1.465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.012 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 2.688 | 23.859 | 1.465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.012 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.036 | 1.384 | 1.654 | 1.696 | 1.740 | 1.777 | 8.288 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.998 | 1.022 | 1.048 | 1.075 | 1.098 | 5.242 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0.036 | 2.382 | 2.676 | 2.745 | 2.816 | 2.875 | 13.530 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.292 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.292 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0.207 | 0.291 | 0.298 | 0.306 | 0.312 | 1.414 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0.064 | 0.131 | 0.135 | 0.138 | 0.141 | 0.608 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 2.194 | 4.498 | 4.612 | 4.731 | 4.831 | 20.866 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 1.784 | 1.827 | 1.874 | 1.922 | 1.963 | 9.370 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 1.935 | 1.983 | 2.033 | 2.086 | 2.130 | 10.167 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 6.184 | 8.730 | 8.952 | 9.184 | 9.377 | 42.425 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 6.476 | 8.730 | 8.952 | 9.184 | 9.377 | 42.717 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (53) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (53) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 2.688 | 17.383 | (7.265) | (8.952) | (9.184) | (9.377) | (14.705) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers

Commission Recommendation: Realign Altus Air Force Base, OK; Hickam Air Force Base, HI; Hurlburt Field, FL; Langley Air Force Base, VA; Little Rock Air Force Base, AR; Luke Air Force Base, AZ; and Scott Air Force Base, IL. Establish Air Force Logistics Support Centers (LSCs) at Langley Air Force Base and Scott Air Force Base by combining five major command (MAJCOM) Regional Supply Squadrons (RSS) into two LSCs. Combat Air Forces (CAF): Establish a CAF LSC at Langley Air Force Base by realigning RSS positions from Hickam Air Force Base and Sembach, Germany (non-BRAC programmatic), as well as base-level Logistics Readiness Squadron (LRS) positions from Luke Air Force Base. Mobility Air Forces (MAF): Establish a MAF LSC at Scott Air Force Base by realigning RSS positions from Hurlburt Field and Sembach (non-BRAC programmatic) and LRS positions from Little Rock Air Force Base and Altus Air Force Base.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Langley AFB, VA | MUHJ073006 | LOGISTICS SUPPORT CENTER (179 PN) | 118 | 13.200 |
| 2007 | Scott AFB, IL | VDYD050233 | Mobility Air Force Logisitcs Support Center Phase II (permanent facilities) | 118 | 8.756 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 118 | 21.956 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 118 | 21.956 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$1.913M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.143M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$13.530M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.745M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$42.425M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$8.952M.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 118, Air Force Logistics Support Centers

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -55. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.615 | 2.100 | 0.072 | 6.600 | 0 | 0 | 9.387 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.126 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.001 | 0.048 | 0.043 | 0.002 | 0 | 0 | 0.094 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.742 | 2.148 | 0.115 | 6.602 | 0 | 0 | 9.607 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.742 | 2.148 | 0.115 | 6.602 | 0 | 0 | 9.607 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.742 | 2.148 | 0.115 | 6.602 | 0 | 0 | 9.607 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.175 | 0.187 | 0.242 | 0.248 | 0.254 | 1.106 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.156 | 0.160 | 0.164 | 0.168 | 0.171 | 0.818 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.331 | 0.346 | 0.406 | 0.416 | 0.425 | 1.924 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.088 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.088 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0.422 | 0.865 | 0.887 | 0.910 | 0.929 | 4.013 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.348 | 0.357 | 0.366 | 0.375 | 0.383 | 1.830 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.350 | 0.362 | 0.371 | 0.381 | 0.389 | 1.854 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 1.121 | 1.584 | 1.624 | 1.666 | 1.701 | 7.696 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 1.209 | 1.584 | 1.624 | 1.666 | 1.701 | 7.784 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (10) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (10) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.742 | 0.939 | (1.469) | 4.978 | (1.666) | (1.701) | 1.822 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities

Commission Recommendation: Realign Langley Air Force Base, VA; Tyndall Air Force Base, FL; and Jacksonville International Airport Air Guard Station, FL. Establish a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) for F100 engines at Seymour Johnson Air Force Base, NC, by realigning base-level F100 engine intermediate maintenance from Langley Air Force Base. Establish a CIRF for F100 engines at New Orleans Air Reserve Station, LA (Air National Guard unit), by realigning base-level F100 engine intermediate maintenance from Tyndall Air Force Base and Jacksonville Air Guard Station.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|--|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Seymour Johnson AFB, NC | VKAG063002 | F100 Engine CIRF Propulsion Shop/parts store | 119 | 2.100 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 119 | 2.100 |
| 2008 | Seymour Johnson AFB, NC | VKAG063014 | Construct Flightline Kitchen Facility | 119 | 0.072 |
| | | | | 104 | 0.888 |
| | | VKAG063014 | | Total | 0.960 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 119 | 0.072 |
| 2009 | New Orleans ARS, NAS New Orleans JRB, LA | RQLH059130 | Establish F-15 CIRF | 119 | 5.100 |
| 2009 | New Orleans ARS, NAS New Orleans JRB, LA | RQLH059244 | F-15 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation | 119 | 1.500 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 119 | 6.600 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 119 | 8.772 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.615M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.126M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds travel associated with construction projects. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.094M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.002M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 119, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$1.924M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.406M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$7.696M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.624M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -10. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 3. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL AIR STATION JOINT RESERVE BASE, LOUISIANA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC- UPGRADE F-15 CIRF | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 211-157 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RQLH059130 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$5,100 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ESTABLISH F 15 CIRF | | SM | 3,121 | | 3,754 |
| ADD TO ENGINE SHOP | | SM | 1,177 | 2,153 | (2,534) |
| ALTER ENGINE SHOP | | SM | 1,944 | 592 | (1,151) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | SM | 3,121 | 22 | (69) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | LS | | | 786 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (148) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (255) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (290) |
| COMMUNICATION SUPPORT | | LS | | | (72) |
| PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (21) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 4,540 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 227 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 4,767 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 286 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 5,053 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 5,100 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Site surcharge and pile supported foundation system, reinforced concrete slab and pre-engineered steel structure with a standing seam metal roof. Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) exterior walls with brick veneer to match existing facility. Alteration area includes changes necessary to blend the addition with the existing facility and movement of interior partitions and utilities to accommodate functional requirements. Project includes electrical, mechanical, fire protection, lightning protection, and plumbing systems. Exterior work includes utilities, pavements, site improvements, communication support landscaping and application of antiterrorism/force protection requirements as appropriate. Air Conditioning: 175 KW. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 3,121 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,944 SM PROJECT: Establish F-15 CIRF (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 119, a Consolidation Intermediate Repair Function (CIRF) for F-15 engines will be established at the 159th Fighter Wing (FW), Naval Air Station (NAS) New Orleans. The 159th Fighter Wing requires adequately sized, properly configured and sited facilities to support consolidated intermediate jet engine repair and maintenance, non-destructive inspection and testing for assigned F-15 fighter aircraft plus engines transported from other regional F-15 units. Total number of engines programmed as the CIRF operational requirement is 180. Functional areas include spaces for scheduled inspections, routine maintenance, and operational-level repair of aircraft engines. Separate areas for the storage of spare engines, covered storage for engine trailers, and a bearing and inspection shop. CURRENT SITUATION: The Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC) engine shop, building 385, was built to support the AFRC's A-10 aircraft. The AFRC A-10's are scheduled to depart as a result of a BRAC directive. This project will add to and renovate building 385 to accommodate the CIRF engine repair mission. The CIRF specifically supports the maintenance of F-100 engines used for the F-15 aircraft. The AFRC engine shop, while structurally sound, is undersized and poorly configured to support the new mission. The need for this project was validated during the site survey. | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL AIR STATION JOINT RESERVE BASE, LOUISIANA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC- UPGRADE F-15 CIRF | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RQLH059130 | |
| <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The 159th FW will not be able to perform the intermediate engine repair function envisioned in the CIRF concept violating the BRAC directive. Economies of scale in engine repair functions will not be realized. Engines planned for repair at New Orleans will be delayed in the repair system until the antiquated, undersized engine shop at New Orleans can schedule the repairs, or until the understaffed engine shops at the regional bases, planned to contribute to CIRF workload, are forced to attempt the work organically, producing localized backlogs of aircraft engine maintenance and repairs. ANG, CONUS AF, and USAFE mission readiness and reliability will be negatively affected by lack of suitable CIRF facilities.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements" paragraph 7.9. Antiterrorism/force protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. Minor passive force protection actions are required due to the proximity of building 386. All known alternatives options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. No demolition is associated with this project</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: 2nd Lt Jon Comeaux, DSN: 485-8217</p> <p>JOINT CERTIFICATION: This is a joint use facility operated by the ANG on behalf of the total force.</p> <p>ENGINE SHOP ADDITION 1,177 SM = 12,674 SF ENGINE SHOP UPGRADE 1,944 SM = 20,926 SF</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL AIR STATION JOINT RESERVE BASE, LOUISIANA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC- UPGRADE F-15 CIRF | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RQLH059130 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>DEC 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>MAR 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$450)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>450</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>450</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: MR. KEVIN MATTOCH (301) 836-8103</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2007 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 300 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 150 | (c) Total | 450 | (d) Contract | 450 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 300 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 150 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 450 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 450 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 3. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL AIR STATION JOINT RESERVE BASE, LOUISIANA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - F-15 CIRF SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 116-665 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RQLH059244 | 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) \$1,500 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | | LS | | | 1,125 |
| APRON PAVEMENT | | LS | | | (1,125) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | LS | | | 225 |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (75) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (103) |
| ACCESS PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (47) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,350 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | 68 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,418 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) | | | | | 85 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,503 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,500 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (3,000) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide reinforced concrete foundations for sound suppressor equipment. Reinforced concrete pad and connecting concrete access taxiway. All utilities, pavements and site improvements shall be included. Provide base service road in construction area. Provide environmental protection as required. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 3 EA ADEQUATE: 1 EA SUBSTANDARD: 1 EA PROJECT: F-15 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Per the 2005 Defense BRAC Commission Final Report, recommendation number 119, a Consolidated Intermediate Repair Function (CIRF) for F-15 engines will be established at the 159th Fighter Wing (FW), Naval Air Station (NAS) New Orleans. The BRAC recommendation also increases the Wing's assigned aircraft from 12 PAA to 18 PAA F-15C aircraft. The Wing requires properly sited, sized, configured and environmentally safe power check pads with sound suppressors configured for F100-PW-100 and F100-PW-200 uninstalled engines to support the CIRF mission. The CIRF will be tasked with engine maintenance for 180 F-15 engines. Testing of aircraft engines on the ground requires long periods of engine thrust that creates a noise hazard environment for nearby on-and off-base personnel. The unit requires an engine test pad with noise reduction suppressor to suppress sound to the environment to within federal and state allowance limits and provides shelter from the weather. The controlled test pad is necessary to meet the noise siting compliance requirements of AFM 19-10 and the Noise Control Act of 1972. The act requires federal agencies to abate environmental noise levels in order to protect the public health and welfare with an adequate margin of safety. The sound suppressor shall reduce the noise level to 77 decibels. CURRENT SITUATION: The installation currently has 2 test cells with sound suppressors. One is used for the 159 FW for their assigned F-15 aircraft. The other is owned by the Navy and supports their flying mission. Neither of these sound suppressors can meet the needs of the new CIRF mission. The facility assigned to the 159th is fully utilized in support of their organizational maintenance per the requirements in T.O. 1F-15A--2-71JG-02-1. Any excess capacity in this facility would be unpredictable and would not support the timely execution of the CIRF mission. The Navy facility is not properly configured for uninstalled testing and can not support any of the CIRF requirements. The facility could be modified by concrete removal and reconfiguration, but previous requests to the Navy for these modifications have been rejected. If the modifications were completed, it would still only be | | | | | |

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL AIR STATION JOINT RESERVE BASE, LOUISIANA | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - F-15 CIRF SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RQLH059244 | |
| <p>available to the CIRF mission at random times. The Jun 2005 site survey conducted by functional experts with extensive knowledge of engine maintenance requirements concluded that the only alternative for completion of the CIRF mission was construction of a new fully functional sound suppressor capable of uninstalled testing.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The CIRF mission can not be established at New Orleans in violation of the BRAC direction. Anticipated BRAC savings will not be realized. Forced use of existing facilities will overburden the one available sound suppressor and degrade the quality of maintenance leading to safety of flight issues. Aircraft engines will be tested outdoors without suppression, creating noise hazards and inconvenience for nearby personnel and the local community and generating negative press for the Air Force, Air National Guard and Navy. The flying mission may be degraded, and the CIRF mission halted due to noise complaints by the local community. Inability to complete timely maintenance on F-15 engines will negatively impact all ANG, Active and Air Force Reserve F-15 missions in the region.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project implements the final BRAC decision and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project. All known alternatives options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: 2nd Lt Jon Comeaux, DSN: 485-8217</p> <p>JOINT CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air National Guard requirements.</p> | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|----|-------------------------------------|-----|---|------------|--|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|--|
| 1. COMPONENT ANG | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | 2. DATE JUL 27, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL AIR STATION JOINT RESERVE BASE, LOUISIANA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - F-15 CIRF SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | 7. PROJECT NUMBER RQLH059244 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>DEC 2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>MAR 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>OCT 2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>No</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td>WAAR989084</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$135)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>135</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>135</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Contract Award (Month/Year) FEB 2009</p> <p>(5) Construction Start MAR 2009</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion MAR 2010</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> <p>POINT OF CONTACT: MR. KEVIN MATTOCH (301) 836-8103</p> | | | (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2006 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2007 | (f) Type of Design Contract | | (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | YES | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | WAAR989084 | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 90 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 45 | (c) Total | 135 | (d) Contract | 135 | (e) In-House | |
| (a) Date Design Started | DEC 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2007 | 0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | MAR 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | OCT 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Type of Design Contract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | WAAR989084 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-House | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 3.669 | 18.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.569 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 4.397 | 2.778 | 0 | 7.176 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 3.670 | 18.900 | 4.397 | 2.778 | 0 | 29.745 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 3.670 | 18.900 | 4.397 | 2.778 | 0 | 29.745 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 3.670 | 18.900 | 4.397 | 2.778 | 0 | 29.745 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.065 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.065 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.767 | 0.787 | 0.807 | 0.824 | 3.185 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.345 | 0.354 | 0.363 | 0.371 | 1.433 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0.266 | 0.272 | 0.279 | 0.285 | 1.102 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.078 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0.108 | 0.111 | 0.114 | 0.116 | 0.449 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0.498 | 0.510 | 0.523 | 0.534 | 2.065 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 2.003 | 2.053 | 2.106 | 2.150 | 8.312 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 2.068 | 2.053 | 2.106 | 2.150 | 8.377 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 3.670 | 16.832 | 2.344 | 0.672 | (2.150) | 21.368 |

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training

Commission Recommendation: Realign Lackland AFB, TX, by relocating the Transportation Management training to Fort Lee, VA.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Fort Lee, VA | 68293 | Ft Lee USAF Dormitory | 122 | 15.615 |
| | | | | 123 | 19.085 |
| | | 68293 | | Total | 34.700 |
| 2008 | Fort Lee, VA | 68294 | Ft Lee USAF Dining Facility | 122 | 3.285 |
| | | | | 123 | 4.015 |
| | | 68294 | | Total | 7.300 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 122 | 18.900 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 122 | 18.900 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$3.669M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: Funds communications, information technology and furnishings for the administrative building, dining facility and dormitory; Civilian PCS; and transportation of things. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$7.176M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$4.397M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$8.312M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.053M.

Position Changes: N/A

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 122, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.559 | 0.700 | 23.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24.359 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.300 | 0.104 | 1.076 | 0 | 1.480 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.559 | 0.700 | 23.400 | 0.104 | 1.076 | 0 | 25.839 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.559 | 0.700 | 23.400 | 0.104 | 1.076 | 0 | 25.839 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.559 | 0.700 | 23.400 | 0.104 | 1.076 | 0 | 25.839 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.104 | 0 | 0.104 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.104 | 0 | 0.104 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.660 | 0.674 | 1.334 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.545 | 0.557 | 1.102 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.441 | 0.450 | 0.891 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.020 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.055 | 0.056 | 0.111 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.983 | 1.003 | 1.986 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.694 | 2.750 | 5.444 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.798 | 2.750 | 5.548 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (9) | 0 | (9) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (6) | 0 | (6) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.559 | 0.700 | 23.400 | 0.104 | (1.722) | (2.750) | 20.290 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training

Commission Recommendation: Realign Lackland Air Force Base, TX, by relocating Culinary Training to Fort Lee, VA, establishing it as a Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Fort Lee, VA | 68293 | Ft Lee USAF Dormitory | 123 | 19.085 |
| | | | | 122 | 15.615 |
| | | 68293 | | Total | 34.700 |
| 2008 | Fort Lee, VA | 68294 | Ft Lee USAF Dining Facility | 123 | 4.015 |
| | | | | 122 | 3.285 |
| | | 68294 | | Total | 7.300 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 123 | 23.100 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 123 | 23.100 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$1.259M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: Funds Civilian PCS, Transportation of Things, Communications and Information Technology costs. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.480M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.104M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A. Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$5.444M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -15. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 123, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training

Savings: N/A

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0.202 | 0.750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.952 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.767 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.807 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0.212 | 0.760 | 0.767 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 1.759 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0.212 | 0.760 | 0.767 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 1.759 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0.212 | 0.760 | 0.767 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 1.759 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.036 | 0 | 0.036 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.036 | 0 | 0.036 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0.092 | 0.138 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.102 | 0.104 | 0.205 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.091 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.045 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.103 | 0.103 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.214 | 0.368 | 0.582 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.250 | 0.368 | 0.618 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | (1) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0.212 | 0.760 | 0.767 | (0.240) | (0.358) | 1.141 |

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 124, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education

Commission Recommendation: Realign Maxwell Air Force Base, AL; Naval Air Station Meridian, MS; and Naval Station Newport, RI; by relocating religious training and education to Fort Jackson, SC, establishing a Joint Center of Excellence for religious training and education. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Fort Jackson, SC | 65074 | Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education | 124 | 0.750 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 124 | 0.750 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 124 | 0.750 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.202M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: Funds civilian PCS, Transportation of Things, TDY travel, Communications and Information Technology, and Furnishings costs. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.807M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.767M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A. Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$0.582M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -1. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: N/A

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0.361 | 44.503 | 59.379 | 21.601 | 0 | 125.844 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 2.180 | 0 | 0.150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.330 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.439 | 0.483 | 5.377 | 2.339 | 4.766 | 13.404 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.524 | 1.978 | 0 | 8.502 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 2.180 | 0.800 | 45.136 | 71.280 | 25.918 | 4.766 | 150.080 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 2.180 | 0.800 | 45.136 | 71.280 | 25.918 | 4.766 | 150.080 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 2.180 | 0.800 | 45.136 | 71.280 | 25.918 | 4.766 | 150.080 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 3.180 | 4.636 | 6.436 | 8.092 | 22.344 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 1.125 | 1.154 | 1.184 | 1.209 | 4.672 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 4.305 | 5.790 | 7.620 | 9.301 | 27.016 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0.936 | 0.787 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1.725 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.936 | 0.787 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1.725 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.039 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0.449 | 0.461 | 0.473 | 0.483 | 1.866 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0.296 | 0.457 | 0.951 | 1.284 | 2.988 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.754 | 0.928 | 1.434 | 1.777 | 4.893 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 1.690 | 1.715 | 1.435 | 1.778 | 6.618 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 2.180 | 0.800 | 43.446 | 69.565 | 24.483 | 2.988 | 143.462 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site

Commission Recommendation: Realign Luke Air Force Base, AZ, by relocating to Eglin Air Force Base, FL, a sufficient number of instructor pilots and operations support personnel to stand up the Air Force's portion of the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Initial Joint Training Site, hereby established at Eglin Air Force Base, FL. Realign Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, CA, by relocating to Eglin Air Force Base, FL, a sufficient number of instructor pilots and operations support personnel to stand up the Marine Corps' portion of the JSF Initial Joint Training Site, hereby established at Eglin Air Force Base, FL. Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, VA, by relocating to Eglin Air Force Base, FL, a sufficient number of instructor pilots, operations, and maintenance support personnel to stand up the Navy's portion of the JSF Initial Joint Training Site, hereby established at Eglin Air Force Base, FL. Realign Sheppard Air Force Base, TX, by relocating to Eglin Air Force Base, FL, a sufficient number of frontline and instructor-qualified maintenance technicians and logistics support personnel to stand up the Air Force's portion of the JSF Initial Joint training Site, hereby established at Eglin Air Force Base, FL. Realign Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL, by relocating to Eglin Air Force Base, FL, a sufficient number of frontline and instructor-qualified maintenance technicians and logistics support personnel to stand up the Department of the Navy's portion of the JSF Initial Joint Training Site hereby established at Eglin Air Force Base, FL. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA083950 | JSF Academic Simulator Facility | 125 | 26.000 |
| 2008 | Eglin AFB, FL | P345V | JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment 1) | 125 | 17.000 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 125 | 43.000 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA073901 | JSF Munition Maintenance | 125 | 8.900 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA073914 | F-35 (JSF) RENOVATE WAREHOUSE B1404 | 125 | 1.050 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA073915 | F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1318 | 125 | 3.810 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA073916 | F-35 (JSF) RENOVATE MAINTENANCE DOCK B1344 | 125 | 2.006 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA073917 | BRAC F-35 Construction Haul Road | 125 | 0.810 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA073919 | BRAC F-35 DUKE FIELD BARRIERS | 125 | 1.550 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA073921 | F-35 (JSF) Utility Infrastructure Upgrades | 125 | 10.400 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093953 | JSF IFT Dining Facility | 125 | 5.000 |
| 2009 | Eglin AFB, FL | P345V | JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment 2) | 125 | 21.800 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 125 | 55.326 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA073910 | Taxiway Extension | 125 | 13.000 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA083941 | Dental Clinic Replacement | 125 | 2.018 |
| | | | | 4B | 9.382 |
| | | FTFA083941 | | Total | 11.400 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site

| | | | | | |
|------|------------------------|------------|--|------------|----------------|
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093910 | Fitness Facility | 125 | 0.487 |
| | | | | 4B | 2.263 |
| | | FTFA093910 | | Total | 2.750 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093916 | Child Development Center | 125 | 1.752 |
| | | | | 4B | 8.148 |
| | | FTFA093916 | | Total | 9.900 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093918 | School Age Facility | 125 | 0.460 |
| | | | | 4B | 2.140 |
| | | FTFA093918 | | Total | 2.600 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093919 | Youth Center | 125 | 0.094 |
| | | | | 4B | 0.436 |
| | | FTFA093919 | | Total | 0.530 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093926 | Traffic Management Cargo Processing Facility | 125 | 0.900 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093929 | CE Facility | 125 | 2.000 |
| 2010 | Eglin AFB, FL | FTFA093933 | Security Forces Facility | 125 | 0.890 |
| | Total FY 2010 | | | 125 | 21.601 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 125 | 119.927 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$5.917M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$4.053M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$2.330M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for minor construction, facilities alteration projects, and procurement of equipment. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$13.404M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$5.377M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: Funds purchase of equipment and IT items for JSF ITF operational facilities. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$8.502M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.524M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 125, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$27.016M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$5.790M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$4.893M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.928M.

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE MC CNST JSF MUNITIONS MX PHASE I | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-875 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073901 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 8,900 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 5,481 |
| MODULAR STORAGE MAGAZINES | | SM | 387 | 1,957 | (757) |
| MODULAR STORAGE MAGAZINES (SMALL) | | SM | 179 | 1,957 | (350) |
| CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MX FACILITY | | SM | 922 | 1,237 | (1,141) |
| A/C MUNITIONS ASSEMBLY AND TRAINING FACILITY | | SM | 2,180 | 1,458 | (3,178) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (54) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 2,537 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (572) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (572) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (1,143) |
| DEMOLITION | | LS | | | (250) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 8,018 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 401 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 8,418 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 480 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 8,898 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 8,900 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct facilities necessary to support air-to-ground munitions for initial JSF capabilities in CY11; for all primary facilities listed refer to Air Force Munitions Facilities Standards Guide, Volume 1, AFCEE. Facilities include: 1) two earth covered "Hayman" type (7-bar) Modular Storage Magazines (MSM), 26' by 80', each capable of storing 500,000 pounds of Class 1.1 munitions; 2) eight earth cover "mini-igloo" type (7-bar) MSMs, 12' X 20' with single 12' door and 11' clear height, each capable of storing 20,000 pounds of Class 1.1 munitions; 3) one Conventional Munitions Maintenance Facility, which consist of four 30' X 50' maintenance bays, managerial admin space for ten personnel (three with private office space), ready room, training room equipment test room, equipment store room, and restroom (ten maintenance personnel will occupy spaces outside the managerial office space); and 4) one Aircraft Munitions Assembly and Training Facility, which consists of two 90' X 120' industrial bays, managerial admin space for six personnel (two with private office space), ready room, classroom, tool room, equipment store room, and rest room (a maximum of 25 students or munitions assembly workers will occupy spaces outside the managerial office space). Work includes access roads, reinforce aprons, utilities and other necessary support. Demolition of storage bunkers of restricted capability is necessary to enable construction. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 3668 SM Adequate: 10338 SM Substandard: 925 SM PROJECT: Munitions facilities for the JSF training mission (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate munitions maintenance facilities required to support JSF initial munitions requirements in CY11. Legacy F-15 facilities are acceptable for initial low volume demand with the exception of air- | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE MC CNST JSF MUNITIONS MX PHASE I | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-875 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073901 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 8,900 | |
| <p>to-ground requirements, which did not exist for the F-15. As the number of JSF aircraft at Eglin AFB increase over time, facilities constructed under this first phase, combined with legacy facilities will be inadequate; additional phases in subsequent MILCON Program years will be necessary to meet this growing munitions maintenance requirements. Storage, build-up, and maintenance of munitions necessary for JSF Flying Training and is a fundamental requirement that inherently must be met to enable JSF Flying Training Force protection will comply with DoD interim minimum standards.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: There are currently no facilities at Eglin AFB that could support air-to-ground weapons requirements of the JSF Flying Training Program. Existing storage bunkers of restricted storage capacity encumber the site needed for the modular storage magazines and must be demolished to enable construction.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without these facilities, the training program that involves the use of air-to-ground weapons can not be accomplished.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This is a multi-facility effort.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---|-----|--|-----|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|-----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE MC CNST JSF MUNITIONS MX PHASE I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-875 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073901 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 8,900 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>31 Jul 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>28 Sep 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>17 Sep 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>534</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>267</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>801</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>668</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td>133</td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Contract Award 09 FEB</p> <p>(5) Construction Start 09 MAR</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion 11 FEB</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> | | | | (a) Date Design Started | 31 Jul 07 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | 28 Sep 07 | (e) Date Design Complete | 17 Sep 08 | (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 534 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 267 | (c) Total | 801 | (d) Contract | 668 | (e) In-house | 133 |
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Jul 07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | 28 Sep 07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | 17 Sep 08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 534 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 267 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 801 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 668 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-house | 133 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE WAREHOUSE B1404 | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 442-758 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073914 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,050 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 861 |
| LOADING DOCK | | SM | 350 | 500 | (175) |
| STORAGE PAD | | SM | 3,461 | 65 | (225) |
| ACCESS ROADS | | LM | 213 | 426 | (91) |
| RENOVATE SECURE VAULTS | | SM | 251 | 1,076 | (270) |
| RENOVATE RESTROOMS | | SM | 50 | 2,000 | (100) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 100 |
| SITE WORK | | LS | | | (100) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 961 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 48 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,009 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 58 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,066 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,050 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a concrete storage pad, access road for tractor-trailer delivery of aircraft engines, and a loading dock with cover. Renovate the existing facility for additional secured storage and also upgrade restrooms for increased facility population. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard: | | | | | |
| PROJECT: Construct an addition to the existing supply warehouse and renovate for more secured storage. (New Mission) | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for the first F-35 arrival at Eglin AFB is Jan 2010. The build-up for JSF operations includes relocating joint military instructor pilots and operations support personnel from Luke AFB, Sheppard AFB, Marine Corps Air Station Mirimar CA, Naval Air Station Oceana VA and the Naval Air Station at Pensacola, FL. This Integrated Training Center (ITC) will include a maintenance schoolhouse where 2,000+ crew chiefs, weapons and avionics personnel will come for specific F-35 training on an annual basis. 200+ pilots will receive initial aircraft training via live mission and simulator flights. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: The current warehouse facility does not have an adequate loading dock that can handle the projected rate of supplies entering and/or leaving the facility. There is insufficient outside storage and currently there is no way for the supply system to receive aircraft engines which arrive on a tractor-trailer. | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. | | | | | |
| The JSF will fulfill stated Service needs as follows: | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE WAREHOUSE B1404 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 442-758 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073914 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,050 |
| <p>U. S. Navy First day of war, survivable strike fighter aircraft to complement F/A-18E/F</p> <p>U.S. Air Force Multirole aircraft (primary-air-to-ground) to replace the F-16 and A-10 and complement the F/A-22</p> <p>U.S. Marine Corps STOVL aircraft to replace the AV-8B and F/A-18 as their only strike fighter</p> <p>United Kingdom Royal Navy & Royal Air Force STOVL aircraft to replace Sea Harriers & GR.7s as a supersonic strike fighter</p> <p>Other Countries Potential JSF customers include current operators of F-16, F/A-18, and AV-8B</p> <p>Failure to provide an upgraded supply warehouse will cause supplies to be stored off installation where the contractor can retain the appropriate facility at the cost of the government. This will significantly increase the amount of time required to perform maintenance on aircraft. This will decrease the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", and "USAF Air Traffic Control Tower Design Guide". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, DSN 872-2876 (ext. 200). Renovate Warehouse: 3428 SM = 36,898 SF.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is planned as a joint facility with the Department of the Navy and F-35 international partners.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE WAREHOUSE B1404 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 442-758 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073914 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,050 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 17 Sep 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 63 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 32 |
| (c) Total | | | 95 |
| (d) Contract | | | 79 |
| (e) In-house | | | 16 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 FEB |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 FEB |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE MX DOCK B1318 | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 212-220 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073915 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 3,810 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 3,151.8 |
| FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM | | SM | 5,333 | 160 | (853.3) |
| UPGRADE COMPRESSED AIR | | SM | 5,333 | 108 | (576.0) |
| RENOVATE HANGAR/ADMIN | | SM | 5,333 | 323 | (1,722.6) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 100.0 |
| SITE WORK | | LS | | | (100.0) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 3,251.8 |
| CONTINGENCY (10.0%) | | | | | 325.2 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, AND OVERHEAD (6.5%) | | | | | 232.5 |
| PROFIT AND OVERHEAD (.0%) | | | | | 0.0 |
| TOTAL FUNDED COST | | | | | 3,809.5 |
| TOTAL REQUEST ROUNDED | | | | | 3,810.0 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Work: Install deluge fire suppression sprinkler system through facility. Upgrade facility wide compressed air system. Upgrade electrical system to handle differing voltage associated with the F-35. Renovate/repair current admin space with paint, sheetrock, tile/carpet, air conditioning and all other measure necessary to better accommodate new technologies. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: As Required. | | | | | |
| <u>PROJECT:</u> Renovate building 1318 to handle F-35 backshop maintenance. (New Mission) | | | | | |
| <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for the first F-35 arrival at Eglin AFB is Jan 2010. The build-up for JSF operations includes relocating joint military instructor pilots and operations support personnel from Luke AFB, Sheppard AFB, Marine Corps Air Station Mirimar CA, Naval Air Station Oceana VA and the Naval Air Station at Pensacola, FL. This Integrated Training Center (ITC) will include a maintenance schoolhouse where 2,000+ crew chiefs, weapons and avionics personnel will come for specific F-35 training on an annual basis. 200+ pilots will receive initial aircraft training via live mission and simulator flights. | | | | | |
| <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Building 1318 is nearing the end of its life-cycle. Electrical systems, air compression systems and fire suppression systems need extensive renovations to meet current building and safety codes. | | | | | |
| <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. | | | | | |
| The JSF will fulfill stated Service needs as follows: | | | | | |
| U. S. Navy First day of war, survivable strike fighter aircraft to complement F/A-18E/F | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|---------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE MX DOCK B1318 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 212-220 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073915 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 3,810 | |
| <p>U.S. Air Force Multirole aircraft (primary-air-to-ground) to replace the F-16 and A-10 and complement the F/A-22</p> <p>U.S. Marine Corps STOVL aircraft to replace the AV-8B and F/A-18 as their only strike fighter</p> <p>United Kingdom Royal Navy & Royal Air Force STOVL aircraft to replace Sea Harriers & GR.7s as a supersonic strike fighter</p> <p>Other Countries Potential JSF customers include current operators of F-16, F/A-18, and AV-8B</p> <p>Failure to provide an adequate backshop maintenance facility will result in engine maintenance, aircraft generation equipment and other functions bedding down in temporary facilities until a new facility can be constructed. This will significantly increase the amount of time required to perform maintenance on aircraft. This will decrease the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", and "USAF Air Traffic Control Tower Design Guide". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, DSN 872-2876 (ext. 200). Renovate Maintenance Dock: 5333 SM = 57,400 SF.</p> <p><u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility is planned as a joint facility with the Department of the Navy and F-35 international partners.</p> | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE MX DOCK B1318 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 212-220 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073915 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 3,810 |

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Data:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| (1) Status: | |
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | 17 Sep 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES |
| (2) Basis: | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 229 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 114 |
| (c) Total | 343 |
| (d) Contract | 286 |
| (e) In-house | 57 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | 09 FEB |
| (5) Construction Start | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | 10 FEB |

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A.

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE MX DOCK B1344 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 211-177 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073916 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,006 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | 1,612.8 |
| INSTALL EXHAUST VENT SYSTEM | EA | 2 | 100,000 | (200.0) |
| INSTALL FIRE SUPPRESSION | SM | 2,024 | 160 | (323.8) |
| UPGRADE COMPRESSED AIR | SM | 2,024 | 108 | (218.6) |
| RENOVATE HANGAR | SM | 2,024 | 430 | (870.3) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 100.0 |
| SITE WORK | LS | | | (100.0) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 1,712.8 |
| CONTINGENCY (10.0%) | | | | 171.3 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, AND OVERHEAD (6.5%) | | | | 122.5 |
| PROFIT AND OVERHEAD (.0%) | | | | 0.0 |
| TOTAL FUNDED COST | | | | 2,006.5 |
| TOTAL REQUEST ROUNDED | | | | 2,006.0 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Work: Install high-expansion foam system in maintenance dockUpgrade facility wide compressed air system. Upgrade electrical system to handle differing voltage associated with the F-35. Renovate/repair current admin space with paint, sheetrock, tile/carpet, air conditioning and all other measure necessary to better accommodate new technologies. Install exhaust ventilation system in dock for weapons load training. | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: As Required. | | | | |
| <u>PROJECT:</u> Renovate building 1344 to handle F-35 weapons load training. (New Mission) | | | | |
| <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for the first F-35 arrival at Eglin AFB is Jan 2010. The build-up for JSF operations includes relocating joint military instructor pilots and operations support personnel from Luke AFB, Sheppard AFB, Marine Corps Air Station Mirimar CA, Naval Air Station Oceana VA and the Naval Air Station at Pensacola, FL. This Integrated Training Center (ITC) will include a maintenance schoolhouse where 2,000+ crew chiefs, weapons and avionics personnel will come for specific F-35 training on an annual basis. 200+ pilots will receive initial aircraft training via live mission and simulator flights. | | | | |
| <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Building 1344 is currently used for weapons load training by F-15s. F-35s will continue to use this facility for the same purpose. New mission beddown drives the need for a new fire suppression system. The training requires the internal power plant on the aircraft to be on and therefore requires a ventilation and air-handling system to be installed. | | | | |
| <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|---------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE MX DOCK B1344 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 211-177 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073916 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,006 | |
| <p>ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. The JSF will fulfill stated Service needs as follows: U. S. Navy First day of war, survivable strike fighter aircraft to complement F/A-18E/F U.S. Air Force Multirole aircraft (primary-air-to-ground) to replace the F-16 and A-10 and complement the F/A-22 U.S. Marine Corps STOVL aircraft to replace the AV-8B and F/A-18 as their only strike fighter United Kingdom Royal Navy & Royal Air Force STOVL aircraft to replace Sea Harriers & GR.7s as a supersonic strike fighter Other Countries Potential JSF customers include current operators of F-16, F/A-18, and AV-8B Failure to provide an adequate weapons load training facility will result in decreased training rates. This will significantly increase the amount of time required to perform maintenance on aircraft. This will decrease the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", and "USAF Air Traffic Control Tower Design Guide". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, DSN 872-2876 (ext. 200). Renovate Maintenance Dock: SM 2,024= 21,800 SF.</p> <p><u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility is planned as a joint facility with the Department of the Navy and F-35 international partners.</p> | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 RENOVATE MX DOCK B1344 | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 211-177 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073916 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,006 |

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Data:

(1) Status:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | 17 Sep 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES |

(2) Basis:

| | |
|---|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used | |

(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)

| | |
|--|-----|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 120 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 60 |
| (c) Total | 180 |
| (d) Contract | 150 |
| (e) In-house | 31 |

(4) Construction Contract Award 09 FEB

(5) Construction Start 09 MAR

(6) Construction Completion 10 FEB

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 CONSTRUCTION HAUL ROAD | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073917 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 810 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 682 |
| ASPHALT ROAD | | LM | 1,100 | 420 | (462) |
| GRAVEL SHOULDER | | LM | 1,100 | 200 | (220) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 50 |
| ECP UTILITIES | | LS | | | (50) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 732 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 37 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 769 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 44 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 812 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 810 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (100.0) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Clear, grub and grade land for an 1,100 LM asphalt road with gravel shoulders. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard: | | | | | |
| PROJECT: Construct 1,100 LM haul road for F-35 beddown construction area. (New Mission) | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: The F-35 beddown at Eglin AFB, FL currently has over \$370M in construction requirements. This drives an enormous need for trucking in and out of the construction area. This will place an unreasonable load on current roadways and the existing contractor gate. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: Construction contractors are currently forced to use the contractor access gate on the NW side of Eglin AFB. This gate is located approximately five miles from the proposed F-35 cantonment area. There is no direct route of access from the gate to this area. Two separate routes would take the trucks close to the installation munitions storage area and across taxiways, or through the main base via housing and administrative areas. | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. | | | | | |
| The JSF will fulfill stated Service needs as follows: | | | | | |
| U. S. Navy First day of war, survivable strike fighter aircraft to complement F/A-18E/F | | | | | |
| U.S. Air Force Multirole aircraft (primary-air-to-ground) to replace the F-16 and A-10 and complement the F/A-22 | | | | | |
| U.S. Marine Corps STOVL aircraft to replace the AV-8B and F/A-18 as their only strike fighter | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 CONSTRUCTION HAUL ROAD | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073917 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 810 |
| <p>United Kingdom Royal Navy & Royal Air Force STOVL aircraft to replace Sea Harriers & GR.7s as a supersonic strike fighter Other Countries Potential JSF customers include current operators of F-16, F/A-18, and AV-8B Failure to provide this access road will significantly drive construction cost increases and timeline slips. In this event, facilities may not be completed in time to accommodate arrival of aircraft. This will decrease the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, DSN 872-2876 (ext. 200).</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is planned as a joint facility with the Department of the Navy and F-35 international partners.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 CONSTRUCTION HAUL ROAD | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073917 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 810 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 17 Sep 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | NO |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 49 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 24 |
| (c) Total | | | 73 |
| (d) Contract | | | 61 |
| (e) In-house | | | 12 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 FEB |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 FEB |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
| EQUIPMENT | 3080 | 2009 | 100 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 DUKE BARRIERS | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 116-922 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073919 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,550 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 1,400 |
| ACFT ARRESTING SYSTEM SUPPORT | | EA | 2 | 700,000 | (1,400) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,400 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 70 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,470 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 84 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,554 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,550 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (600.0) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Project excavates barrier pits on either side of the runway for both systems. Installs sumps, roofs, fairlead beam piping and concrete sheave work to allow safe operation of the BAK-12 aircraft arresting system. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard: | | | | | |
| PROJECT: Install BAK-12 aircraft arresting system support facilities in accordance with UFC 3-260-01. (New Mission) | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: F-35 operations at Duke Field require the installation of aircraft arresting systems to meet flight safety standards. There should be a barrier system at each end of the runway to accommodate different patterns and situations. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: There are currently no BAK-12 aircraft arresting systems at Duke Field. | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. | | | | | |
| The JSF will fulfill stated Service needs as follows: | | | | | |
| U. S. Navy First day of war, survivable strike fighter aircraft to complement F/A-18E/F | | | | | |
| U.S. Air Force Multirole aircraft (primary-air-to-ground) to replace the F-16 and A-10 and complement the F/A-22 | | | | | |
| U.S. Marine Corps STOVL aircraft to replace the AV-8B and F/A-18 as their only strike fighter | | | | | |
| United Kingdom Royal Navy & Royal Air Force STOVL aircraft to replace Sea Harriers & GR.7s as a supersonic strike fighter | | | | | |
| Other Countries Potential JSF customers include current operators of F-16, F/A-18, and AV-8B | | | | | |
| Failure to provide barriers at Duke airfield will unnecessarily place pilots and aircraft at risk during in-flight emergencies. This will decrease the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide. | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 DUKE BARRIERS | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 116-922 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073919 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,550 |
| <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, DSN 872-2876 (ext. 200).</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is planned as a joint facility with the Department of the Navy and F-35 international partners.</p> | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 DUKE BARRIERS | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 116-922 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073919 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,550 | |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | | 28 SEP 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | | 17 SEP 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | | NO |
| (2) Basis: | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | | 93 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | | 47 |
| (c) Total | | | | 140 |
| (d) Contract | | | | 116 |
| (e) In-house | | | | 23 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | | 09 FEB |
| (5) Construction Start | | | | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | | 11 FEB |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) | |
| EQUIPMENT | 3080 | 2009 | 600 | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 832-266 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073921 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 10,400 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 9,200 |
| WWTP UPGRADE | | MG | 1 | ***** | (4,000) |
| SANITARY SEWER MAIN | | LM | 2,000 | 350 | (700) |
| WATER DISTRIBUTION | | LM | 3,000 | 400 | (1,200) |
| UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION | | LM | 3,000 | 600 | (1,800) |
| STORM SEWER | | LM | 3,000 | 250 | (750) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LM | 3,000 | 250 | (750) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 250 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION | | LS | | | (250) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 9,450 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 473 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 9,923 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 566 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 10,488 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 10,400 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 1.0 MGD sequential batch reactor addition to existing ACC wastewater treatment plant utilizing current industry technologies. Construct/upgrade force sewer main and lift station from operations/maintenance area of F-35 beddown to support increased population load. Construct/upgrade new pump stations, distribution lines and water storage to accommodate the increased fire protection demand in the F-35 beddown area. Construct new underground electrical distribution to supply power to new F-35 facilities. Construct new stormwater drainage structures to adequately handle the increased surface runoff associated with the F-35 beddown development. Construct new man-hole duct systems and provide fiber-optic cable for comm backbone to support the new comm intensive F-35 facilities. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard: | | | | | |
| PROJECT: Upgrade/construct Eglin AFB utilities directly supporting F-35 beddown. (New Mission) | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for the first F-35 arrival at Eglin AFB is Jan 2010. The build-up for JSF operations includes relocating joint military instructor pilots and operations support personnel from Luke AFB, Sheppard AFB, Marine Corps Air Station Mirimar CA, Naval Air Station Oceana VA and the Naval Air Station at Pensacola, FL. This Integrated Training Center (ITC) will include a maintenance schoolhouse where 2,000+ crew chiefs, weapons and avionics personnel will come for specific F-35 training on an annual basis. 200+ pilots will receive initial aircraft training via live mission and simulator flights. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: The current infrastructure in the 33 FW area is reaching the end | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|---------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 832-266 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073921 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 10,400 | |
| <p>of its life-cycle. The population and operational loading in the area is increasing at least by 100%. Current sewer mains are already at capacity and electrical distribution feeders are not sized appropriately to handle the new loads currently under design.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft.</p> <p>The JSF will fulfill stated Service needs as follows:</p> <p>U. S. Navy First day of war, survivable strike fighter aircraft to complement F/A-18E/F</p> <p>U.S. Air Force Multirole aircraft (primary-air-to-ground) to replace the F-16 and A-10 and complement the F/A-22</p> <p>U.S. Marine Corps STOVL aircraft to replace the AV-8B and F/A-18 as their only strike fighter</p> <p>United Kingdom Royal Navy & Royal Air Force STOVL aircraft to replace Sea Harriers & GR.7s as a supersonic strike fighter</p> <p>Other Countries Potential JSF customers include current operators of F-16, F/A-18, and AV-8B</p> <p>Failure to construct/upgrade the supporting infrastructure will result in decreased utility service rate to critical F-35 facilities. This will decrease the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, DSN 872-2876 (ext. 200).</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: These facilities are planned as joint facilities with the Department of the Navy and F-35 international partners.</p> | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|---------|-------------------------|-----------|---|-----|--|-----|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC F-35 UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 832-266 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA073921 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 10,400 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>31 Jul 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>28 Sep 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td>17 Sep 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>624</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>312</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>936</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>780</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td>156</td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Contract Award 09 FEB</p> <p>(5) Construction Start 09 MAR</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion 11 FEB</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A</p> | | | | | (a) Date Design Started | 31 Jul 07 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | 35% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | 28 Sep 07 | (e) Date Design Complete | 17 Sep 08 | (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 624 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 312 | (c) Total | 936 | (d) Contract | 780 | (e) In-house | 156 |
| (a) Date Design Started | 31 Jul 07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | 35% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | 28 Sep 07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | 17 Sep 08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 624 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 312 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 936 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 780 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-house | 156 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE F-35 TECH TRAINING DINING FACILITY | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 722-351 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA093953 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 5,000 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| F-35 TECH TRAINING DINING FACILITY | | | | | 3,671 |
| DINING FACILITY | | SM | 1,302 | 2,737 | (3,564) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | (107) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 855 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (300) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (178) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (290) |
| COMMUNICATIONS REQUIREMENTS | | LS | | | (87) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 4,526 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 226 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 4,752 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 271 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 5,023 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 5,000 |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Single story sprinkler equipped facility consisting of a concrete foundation, split-faced concrete block over a steel frame and sloped standing seam metal roof. Provides HVAC, parking, landscaping, site improvements, and all necessary utilities. Comply with DoD force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria. | | | | | |
| Air Conditioning: 70 Tons | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 5440 SM Adequate: 4138 SM Substandard: 0 SM | | | | | |
| PROJECT: Construct F-35 Tech Training Dining Facility. (New Mission) | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured dining facility, located in proximity to the F-35 Tech Training Campus is necessary to support the maintenance training function for the F-35 program. A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with training environment conducive to learning and personal well-being; providing adequate facilities is integral to that objective. This facility will serve 600 people and will consist of a receipt and issue area, kitchen area, serving area, dining area, training room, office space, clipper areas, cold/dry goods storage area, staff/guest toilets, locker areas, postal service center, janitor's closet, and mechanical areas. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: There are currently no dining facilities at Eglin AFB that could support the F-35 campus. Existing facilities are too distant to reasonably transport students and too much training time would be lost. Additionally, adequate capacity does not exist at the distant facilities. | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate dining facilities will not exist for the F-35 Tech Training students. Unaccompanied airmen will encounter significant transportation time to and from existing facilities, thereby decreasing the amount of training time available. Especially during the lunch hour existing facilities will encounter mealtime delays and overcrowded conditions. Airmen will have to hurriedly finish | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE F-35 TECH TRAINING DINING FACILITY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 722-351 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA093953 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 5,000 |
| <p>their meals in an attempt to return to work on time. This will negatively impact the ability to accomplish the F-35 Tech Training mission.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: The total requirement for this project is \$5.0M. This document represents the Air Force portion of the requirement (\$2.5M). The Navy is submitting a request for the remaining funds. This project will not be complete and useable unless the Navy provides the remaining funds, as the facility will be constructed as one building. This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will satisfy F-35 requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Timothy P. Gaffney DSN 872-2876 (ext. 200). Dining Facility: 1,302 SM = 14,015 SF.</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: The facility is programmed for joint use with the Navy and Marines as well as International Partners and is conjunctively funded by the Navy and the Air Force.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE F-35 TECH TRAINING DINING FACILITY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 722-351 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA093953 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 5,000 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 14-MAR-07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | 15% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 17-MAR-08 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 17-SEP-08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 300 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 150 |
| (c) Total | | | 450 |
| (d) Contract | | | 375 |
| (e) In-house | | | 75 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 FEB |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 APR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 APR |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 1. Component NAVY | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM | | | 2. Date 26 JUL 2006 |
| 3. Installation(SA) and Location/UIC: N60508 NAS WHITING FLD MILTON FL MILTON, FLORIDA | | 4. Project Title JSF Marine Corps/NAVY Hangar at Eglin AFB | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 21105 | 7. Project Number P345V | 8. Project Cost (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 21,800 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| Item | UM | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost(\$000) |
| JSF MARINE CORPS/NAVY HANGAR AT EGLIN AFB (101,812 SF) | m2 | 9,458.69 | | 22,490 |
| HANGAR 01 SPACE (20,957 SF) | m2 | 1,947 | 1,951.63 | (3,800) |
| HANGAR 02 SPACE (17,448 SF) | m2 | 1,621 | 2,447.51 | (3,970) |
| NMCI ROOM (983 SF) | m2 | 91.35 | 3,120.85 | (290) |
| HANGAR O/H SPACE (59,923 SF) | m2 | 5,567 | 2,330.53 | (12,970) |
| WASH RACK | m2 | 232.34 | 238.77 | (60) |
| TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS | LS | | | (180) |
| INFORMATION SYSTEMS | LS | | | (420) |
| ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | LS | | | (180) |
| LEED AND EPACT 2005 COMPLIANCE | LS | | | (620) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 12,470 |
| SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES | LS | | | (3,700) |
| ELECTRICAL UTILITIES | LS | | | (2,170) |
| MECHANICAL UTILITIES | LS | | | (1,580) |
| PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (4,440) |
| SITE PREPARATIONS | LS | | | (580) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 34,960 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 1,750 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 36,710 |
| SIOH (5.7%) | | | | 2,090 |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 38,800 |
| TOTAL REQUEST ROUNDED | | | | 38,800 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 38,800 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON ADD) | | | | (1,000) |
| <p>This project is incrementally funded. Increment 1 in the amount of \$17M was requested in FY 2008. Increment 2 in the amount of \$21.8M is requested in FY 2009. The full authorization of \$38.8M was requested for FY 2008.</p> | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------|-------------|------------|---------------------|
| 1. Component NAVY | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM | 2. Date 26 JUL 2006 | | | | |
| 3. Installation(SA) and Location/UIC: N60508 NAS WHITING FLD MILTON FL MILTON, FLORIDA | | 4. Project Title JSF Marine Corps/NAVY Hangar at Eglin AFB | | | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 21105 | 7. Project Number P345V | | | | |
| 8. Project Cost (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 21,800 | | | | | | |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Multi-story sprinkler equipped facility consisting of a concrete foundation, split-faced concrete block (metal ribbed wall panels over 6 foot split-faced base on hangar side) over a steel frame and sloped standing seam metal roof. Facility includes hangar bay area and squadron operations areas including flight planning, air crew briefing and debriefing, training, administration, and storage and issue of flight crew life support system equipment. Comply with DoD force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria. The new facility will also have ALIS, Autonomic Logistics Information System, space in the NMCI/Communications area. | | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: <u>3696 m2</u> Adequate: <u>0 m2</u> Substandard: <u>0 m2</u> | | | | | | |
| FACILITY PLANNING DATA: | | | | | | |
| Category Code | Requirement | UM | Adequate | Substandard | Inadequate | Deficit/ Surplus |
| 21105 MAINTENANCE HANGAR -OH SPACE | | m2 | | | | |
| 21107 MAINTENANCE HANGAR -02 SPACE | 1621 | m2 | 0 | 0 | | -1,621 |
| 21106 MAINTENANCE HANGAR -01 SPACE | 1947 | m2 | 0 | 0 | | -1,947 |
| 13117 TELECOMMUNICATIONS CENTER | 128 | m2 | 0 | 0 | | -128 |
| NOTES: Project builds a Type One Hangar that will consolidate the Marine Corps and the Navy in one hangar at Eglin AFB for the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF). | | | | | | |
| SCOPE: Project will construct a type one Hangar at Eglin AFB for the JSF for the use of the Marine Corps and the Navy in one consolidated hangar. Marine Corps Aircraft = 20 F-35 Short Take Off/Vertical Landing (STOVL) Variet aircraft (wingspan = 35'). Marine Corps Squadron Personnel = 285 PN (29 Officer/256 Enlisted) includes 22 instructor pilots. Average on Board Marine Corps Students = 30. NAVY aircraft = 15 F-35 Carrier Variet (CV) aircraft (wingspan = 43'). NAVY squadron Personnel = 200 PN (24 Officer/176 Enlisted) includes 21 instructor pilots. Average on Board NAVY students = 18 Because P-80 does not yet include requirements specifically for the JSF, the scope for this project was derived from requirements for the JSF facilities were created by criteria managers for Category Codes 211-05, 211-06 and 211-07 including Hangar 01 space, Hangar 02 space and Open/High bay areas for the aircraft. The requirement for the NMCI secure and non-secure spaces is 400 sf total. The requirement for the ALIS spaces was provided by the Air Force JSF Program office. Because the ALIS spaces are constructed similarly to | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 1. Component NAVY | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM | | | 2. Date 26 JUL 2006 |
| 3. Installation(SA) and Location/UIC: N60508 NAS WHITING FLD MILTON FL MILTON, FLORIDA | | 4. Project Title JSF Marine Corps/NAVY Hangar at Eglin AFB | | |
| 5. Program Element | 6. Category Code 21105 | 7. Project Number P345V | 8. Project Cost (\$000) Auth: 21,800 | |
| secure NMCI spaces, this requirement was combined into a single NMCI space for the purposes of scope and cost estimating. | | | | |
| PROJECT: | | | | |
| Construct both the Marine Corps and the NAVY component facilities for the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance unit as part of the Integrated Joint Strike Fighter Training Complex at Eglin AFB. | | | | |
| (New Mission) | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: | | | | |
| <p>A consolidated Squadron Operations and Maintenance facility for the Marine Corps and the NAVY is required to support the beddown of the JSF aircraft. The facility will be 101,812 SF or 9,459 m2, which will include Hangar 01 space for crew and equipment, Hangar 02 space for Administrative purposes, Hangar bay space for the aircraft, as well as 974 SF or 90 m2 secure ALIS, Autonomic Logistics Information System, space and 400 sf NMCI space. Project is to provide all design features for handicapped accessibility in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act standards and to comply with antiterrorism force protection measures and standards as delineated in Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 4-010-01 DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings, dated 8 October 2003, and updates as applicable, along with installation physical security and force protection plans. Some highlights include an 82 foot stand off, integrated window and door glazing systems, and air intakes 3m above grade. Project will include Mass Notification System as specified in UFC 4-021-01 Design and O&M: Mass Notification Systems. Seismic planning and design is to comply with Technical Instruction 809-04. Project is to meet energy conservation standards and sustainable development concepts, to include variable frequency drives on air handling equipment, energy efficient lighting, high efficiency motors, utility metering with the turtle system and the Invensys Building Systems Network 8000 System or compatible to tie in with the Eglin direct digital controls (DDC) system. Utility metering is included. Project also will meet fire protection standards in UFC 3-600-01 Design: Fire Protection for Facilities, NFPA (National Fire Protection Association) 101-2003: Life Safety Code, and International Building Code. Project also will comply with most recent version of Eglin Air Force Base Architectural Compatibility Plan and will be designed to withstand up to at least 140 mph winds for hurricane-resistance.</p> | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: | | | | |
| <p>The base lacks adequate facilities for the Marine Corps and the NAVY to conduct squadron level maintenance and operations for the JSF mission. The JSF integrated training mission is a new mission for the NAVY, USMC, and USAF and facilities are required for both joint training as well as separate service operation and maintenance. This new Mission is expected to begin operations upon arrival of the first Aircraft in FY-10. No suitable hangars exist and the only available hangar that would be suitable for the NAVY to use is one that will be vacated by the 33rd Air Wing as a BRAC 05 action that is inadequately sized and poorly configured. The Marine Corps will operate from this vacated hangar until completion of this project, though it will present significant operating and maintenance</p> | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 1. Component NAVY | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM | | | 2. Date 26 JUL 2006 |
| 3. Installation(SA) and Location/UIC: N60508 NAS WHITING FLD MILTON FL MILTON, FLORIDA | | 4. Project Title JSF Marine Corps/NAVY Hangar at Eglin AFB | | |
| 5. Program Element | 6. Category Code 21105 | 7. Project Number P345V | 8. Project Cost (\$000) Auth: 21,800 | |
| <p>problems. This 33rd Air Wing hangar is geographically separated from the JSF Training Complex, is under-sized, is in poor condition, and is not configured properly to support the JSF training needs and not suitable for use except on a temporary basis.</p> | | | | |
| <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</p> | | | | |
| <p>Completion of this project is mission essential. Without this project, the consolidated Marine Corp/NAVY Hangar for the JSF bed down at Eglin cannot be effectively and efficiently implemented. Marine and NAVAL pilots and maintenance personnel will operate inefficiently due to inadequacies of their work environment and will not get the full benefit of the training being provided. Further, to counter some of the inefficiencies and logistical problems resulting from lack of proper facilities, work-arounds will have to be developed that could be more costly over time and significantly impact the training mission required to support the JSF program. For example, maintenance that cannot be performed by the Marines inside the existing 33rd Air Wing hangar will be performed outside or in hangars designated for the Navy or Air Force, if available. In addition, the NAVY program at Eglin would have to be carried out in temporary facilities, such as tents or trailers, until the Marine Corps facilities are completed and occupied. Following that, the NAVY could occupy the existing, remote, inadequate facilities vacated first by the 33rd Air Wing, then by the Marines. Use of tents, trailers or the vacated hangar would be detrimental to the NAVY's ability to carry out its mission. Delayed or extended time for maintenance of aircraft will subsequently delay use of and training in that aircraft, adversely impacting the whole unit's ability to complete its mission.</p> | | | | |
| <p>ADDITIONAL: Economic Alternatives Considered:</p> | | | | |
| <p>A. Status Quo:</p> | | | | |
| <p>Status quo is not feasible. The USMC and the NAVY components of the JSF training complex is a new mission and requires facilities for bed down.</p> | | | | |
| <p>B. Renovation/Modernization:</p> | | | | |
| <p>Renovation to meet full requirements is not a feasible alternative because the existing facilities available for renovation/modernization are inadequately sized with significant configuration deficiencies. New Construction would be required to meet the BFR. Use of renovated existing facilities by the Marine Corps would result in multiple structures, geographically separating components of this squadron as well as separating the squadron from the remainder of the integrated training command. Also the existing hangars cannot be reconfigured or modernized to fully meet the requirements of the NAVY component of this mission and would still result in the NAVY facilities being geographically separated from teh tremainder of the JSF facilities as well as resulting in the NAVY operating from multiple facilities.</p> | | | | |
| <p>C. Lease:</p> | | | | |
| <p>The integrated nature of the training as well as the need for state of the art facilities for the Armed Forces newest fighter make the use of leased facilities unfeasible.</p> | | | | |
| <p>D. New Construction:</p> | | | | |
| <p>New Construction is the only alternative that will allow the Marines and the NAVY to</p> | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 1. Component NAVY | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM | | | 2. Date 26 JUL 2006 |
| 3. Installation(SA) and Location/UIC: N60508 NAS WHITING FLD MILTON FL MILTON, FLORIDA | | 4. Project Title JSF Marine Corps/NAVY Hangar at Eglin AFB | | |
| 5. Program Element | 6. Category Code 21105 | 7. Project Number P345V | 8. Project Cost (\$000) Approp: 21,800 | |
| <p>operate and maintain aircraft in appropriate facilities for the training of pilots and maintenance personnel for the JSF, which will be integrated with training of USAF personnel. The integrated nature of this training requires that the facilities be joint or co-located with the new JSF Training Complex. No existing facilities meet that requirement or the space requirements of the USMC JSF mission at Eglin AFB.</p> <p>E. Other Alternatives: There are no other alternatives.</p> <p>F. Analysis Results: New Construction is the only feasible alternative for meeting the facilities requirements for the bed down of the Marine Corps and NAVAL JSF mission. New construction is essential for the successful training of personnel and operation and maintenance of aircraft for the USMC JSF mission at Eglin AFB.</p> | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1. Component NAVY | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM | 2. Date 26 JUL 2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---------|--|---------|--------------------------|---------|--|----|--|----|-----------------------------|------------------|--|-----|--|----|-----------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------|----------------------------|------|-----------|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|------|--------------|---------------|----|--------------|---------------------------|-------|------|-----|-----------------|-------|------|-----|
| 3. Installation(SA) and Location/UIC: N60508 NAS WHITING FLD MILTON FL MILTON, FLORIDA | | 4. Project Title JSF Marine Corps/NAVY Hangar at Eglin AFB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Program Element 27998F | 6. Category Code 21105 | 7. Project Number P345V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Project Cost (\$000) Approp: 21,800 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. Supplemental Data</p> <p>A. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>1. Status:</p> <table style="width:100%; border:none;"> <tr> <td>(A) Date design or Parametric Cost Estimate started</td> <td style="text-align:right;">05/2006</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(B) Date 35% design or Parametric Cost Estimate complete</td> <td style="text-align:right;">05/2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(C) Date design complete</td> <td style="text-align:right;">05/2008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(D) Percent completed as of September 2006</td> <td style="text-align:right;">1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(E) Percent completed as of January 2007</td> <td style="text-align:right;">5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(F) Type of design contract</td> <td style="text-align:right;">Design Bid Build</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(G) Parametric Estimate used to develop cost</td> <td style="text-align:right;">Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(H) Energy Study/Life Cycle Analysis performed</td> <td style="text-align:right;">No</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis:</p> <table style="width:100%; border:none;"> <tr> <td>(A) Standard or Definitive Design</td> <td style="text-align:right;">Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(B) Where design was previously used</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>3. Total cost (C) = (A) + (B) = (D) + (E):</p> <table style="width:100%; border:none;"> <tr> <td>(A) Production of plans and specifications</td> <td style="text-align:right;">\$200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(B) All other design costs</td> <td style="text-align:right;">\$80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(C) Total</td> <td style="text-align:right;">\$280</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(D) Contract</td> <td style="text-align:right;">\$240</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(E) In-house</td> <td style="text-align:right;">\$40</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Contract award: 01/2009</p> <p>5. Construction start: 02/2009</p> <p>6. Construction complete: 07/2010</p> <p>B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations:</p> <table style="width:100%; border:none; margin-top: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align:left;">Nomenclature</th> <th style="text-align:left;">Appropriation</th> <th style="text-align:left;">FY</th> <th style="text-align:left;">Cost (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Office and shop equipment</td> <td>O&MMC</td> <td>2009</td> <td>800</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overhead cranes</td> <td>O&MMC</td> <td>2009</td> <td>200</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of this project is based on Marine Corps and Navy requirements.</p> | | | (A) Date design or Parametric Cost Estimate started | 05/2006 | (B) Date 35% design or Parametric Cost Estimate complete | 05/2007 | (C) Date design complete | 05/2008 | (D) Percent completed as of September 2006 | 1% | (E) Percent completed as of January 2007 | 5% | (F) Type of design contract | Design Bid Build | (G) Parametric Estimate used to develop cost | Yes | (H) Energy Study/Life Cycle Analysis performed | No | (A) Standard or Definitive Design | Yes | (B) Where design was previously used | | (A) Production of plans and specifications | \$200 | (B) All other design costs | \$80 | (C) Total | \$280 | (D) Contract | \$240 | (E) In-house | \$40 | Nomenclature | Appropriation | FY | Cost (\$000) | Office and shop equipment | O&MMC | 2009 | 800 | Overhead cranes | O&MMC | 2009 | 200 |
| (A) Date design or Parametric Cost Estimate started | 05/2006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) Date 35% design or Parametric Cost Estimate complete | 05/2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (C) Date design complete | 05/2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (D) Percent completed as of September 2006 | 1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (E) Percent completed as of January 2007 | 5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (F) Type of design contract | Design Bid Build | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (G) Parametric Estimate used to develop cost | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (H) Energy Study/Life Cycle Analysis performed | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (A) Standard or Definitive Design | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) Where design was previously used | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (A) Production of plans and specifications | \$200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) All other design costs | \$80 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (C) Total | \$280 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (D) Contract | \$240 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (E) In-house | \$40 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nomenclature | Appropriation | FY | Cost (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Office and shop equipment | O&MMC | 2009 | 800 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Overhead cranes | O&MMC | 2009 | 200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 5.129 | 51.107 | 49.500 | 39.600 | 0 | 0 | 145.336 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 1.690 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.690 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 11.808 | 19.303 | 5.976 | 6.101 | 0.010 | 0 | 43.198 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 11.359 | 0 | 0 | 3.000 | 0 | 0 | 14.359 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 29.986 | 70.410 | 55.476 | 48.701 | 0.010 | 0 | 204.583 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 29.986 | 70.410 | 55.476 | 48.701 | 0.010 | 0 | 204.583 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 29.986 | 70.410 | 55.476 | 48.701 | 0.010 | 0 | 204.583 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.045 | 1.006 | 11.928 | 18.076 | 19.347 | 19.753 | 70.155 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 2.840 | 3.988 | 4.091 | 4.177 | 15.096 |
| Other | 0 | 11.474 | 2.439 | 2.501 | 2.566 | 2.620 | 21.601 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0.045 | 12.480 | 17.207 | 24.565 | 26.004 | 26.550 | 106.852 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 1.362 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.362 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 1.362 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.362 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 4.281 | 8.779 | 9.007 | 9.196 | 31.264 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 2.902 | 2.976 | 3.053 | 3.117 | 12.048 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 3.078 | 3.157 | 3.239 | 3.307 | 12.781 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 6.508 | 20.102 | 20.613 | 21.148 | 21.592 | 89.962 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 6.508 | 30.364 | 35.525 | 36.446 | 37.212 | 146.055 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 6.508 | 31.726 | 35.525 | 36.446 | 37.212 | 147.418 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | (83) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (83) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 29.986 | 63.902 | 23.750 | 13.176 | (36.436) | (37.212) | 57.166 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training

Commission Recommendation: Realign Moody Air Force Base, GA, as follows: Relocate the Primary Phase of Fixed-wing Pilot Training to Columbus Air Force Base, MS; Laughlin Air Force Base, TX; and Vance Air Force Base, OK. Relocate Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals Training for Pilots to Columbus Air Force Base, MS; Laughlin Air Force Base, TX; Randolph Air Force Base, TX; Sheppard Air Force Base, TX; and Vance Air Force Base, OK. Relocate Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals Training for Weapons Systems Officers to Columbus Air Force Base, MS; Laughlin Air Force Base, TX; Sheppard Air Force Base, TX; and Vance Air Force Base, OK. Relocate Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals Training for Instructor Pilots to Randolph Air Force Base, TX. Realign Randolph Air Force Base, TX, by relocating Undergraduate Navigator Training to Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Columbus AFB, MS | EEPZ053012 | Add Alter Flight Simulator Bldg 268 | 128 | 2.000 |
| 2007 | Columbus AFB, MS | EEPZ053013 | IFF Squadron Operations Facility | 128 | 2.700 |
| 2007 | Columbus AFB, MS | EEPZ053015 | ADAL SUPT Operations Bldg 236 | 128 | 1.550 |
| 2007 | Columbus AFB, MS | EEPZ053016 | Expand CASS System | 128 | 0.830 |
| 2007 | Laughlin AFB, TX | MXDP073004 | ADAL Aircraft Parking Apron | 128 | 2.957 |
| 2007 | Laughlin AFB, TX | MXDP073005 | ADAL Student Training Complex | 128 | 3.980 |
| 2007 | Laughlin AFB, TX | MXDP073006 | ADAL Simulator Facility | 128 | 1.469 |
| 2007 | Laughlin AFB, TX | MXDP073008 | ADAL Egress Shop | 128 | 1.612 |
| 2007 | Laughlin AFB, TX | MXDP073009 | ADAL Aircraft Weather Shelter | 128 | 2.000 |
| 2007 | Laughlin AFB, TX | MXDP073011 | ADAL NDI Shop | 128 | 1.981 |
| 2007 | Laughlin AFB, TX | MXDP073012 | Construct No-Drop Bomb Range with Land Acquisition | 128 | 3.500 |
| 2007 | Laughlin AFB, TX | MXDP073015 | ADAL Fuels Systems Maintenance Facility | 128 | 0.860 |
| 2007 | Randolph AFB, TX | TYMX063004 | IFF Renovate Hangar 6 | 128 | 2.895 |
| 2007 | Randolph AFB, TX | TYMX063007 | IFF CASS and Ramp Improvements | 128 | 0.527 |
| 2007 | Randolph AFB, TX | TYMX063011 | Add/Alter Building 738 for IFF Sims | 128 | 0.460 |
| 2007 | Vance AFB, OK | XTLF071012 | Add/Alter Survival Equipment Shop | 128 | 0.955 |
| 2007 | Vance AFB, OK | XTLF073303 | Squadron Facilities | 128 | 4.530 |
| 2007 | Vance AFB, OK | XTLF073304 | ADAL Aircraft Parking Apron | 128 | 7.540 |
| 2007 | Vance AFB, OK | XTLF073306 | IFF No-Drop Range and Land Purchase | 128 | 2.750 |
| 2007 | Vance AFB, OK | XTLF073307 | Renovate Simulator Facility 672 | 128 | 1.820 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 128 | 46.916 |
| 2008 | NAS Pensacola, FL | TYMX073710 | BRAC-AF CSO Training Hangar | 128 | 36.500 |
| 2008 | NAS Pensacola, FL | TYMX073720 | BRAC-CSO Training Facility | 128 | 13.000 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 128 | 49.500 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 128, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training

| | | | | | |
|------|------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------|
| 2009 | NAS Pensacola, FL | TYMX073730 | BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters | 128 | 39.600 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 128 | 39.600 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 128 | 136.016 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$9.320M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.690M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds Communications and Information Technology, Furnishings, Aircraft Shelters, Electrical Equipment, Lockers, Temporary Range Workaround Solutions, Freight, Temporary Facilities, and Travel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$43.198M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.101M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: Funds purchase of 12 Mechanized Material Handling Systems. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$14.359M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.000M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$106.852M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$24.565M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$146.055M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$35.525M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is 75. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE 20 JUL 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – COMBAT SYSTEM OFFICER (CSO) BACHELOR HOUSING | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER TYMX073730 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) EEIC 321 39,600 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| CSO BACHELOR HOUSING | | | | 30,428 |
| CSO BQ (202RMS AT 710GSF/RM) | SF | 143,420 | 208 | (29,831) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | LS | | | (597) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | 5,171 |
| DEMOLITION OF BLDG 3246 | SF | 112,000 | 19 | (2,128) |
| UTILITIES (ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL) | LS | | | (609) |
| PAVEMENTS | LS | | | (609) |
| SITE PREP | LS | | | (912) |
| EMCS/COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (609) |
| TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS | LS | | | (304) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 35,599 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | 1,780 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 37,379 |
| SIOH (5.7%) | | | | 2,131 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 39,600 |
| OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (FURNITURE) | | | | (759) |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Provide Bachelor Quarters, 1+0 configuration, to house new personnel for Air Force Combat Systems Officer (CSO) Training. BQ will be constructed to house 202 students. An existing 1960's era VAQ will be demolished to make room for this project. Sustainable design will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project(s) in accordance with Executive Order 13123 and other directives. Project will be designed to LEED Silver level. The new building will be a three story brick building to match surrounding structures with a standing seam metal roof and will meet all ATRP requirements. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 143,420SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 112,000SF DEFICIT: 143,420SF <u>PROJECT:</u> CSO Bachelor Housing (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> BRAC 2005 moves the CSO training mission from Randolph AFB to NAS Pensacola (NASP), FL. A new CSO Bachelor Housing facility is required at NASP to accommodate those personnel. Current facilities at Randolph Air Force Base provide BQ space for 202 students of the CSO training program. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> NASP Bldg 3246 is available for use but the cost to renovate this bldg (\$24.4M) is well beyond the plant replacement value (\$18M). The site of Bldg 3246 is large enough to handle the new building footprint. Existing utilities are available with only minor improvements required. Approximately 140 parking spaces are available and this project will resurface those spaces and add the additional 60 parking spots. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without this project, BRAC 2005 decisions cannot be implemented. The 202 students relocating to NAS Pensacola as part of BRAC 2005 action to move CSO would be forced to live off base where housing is in short supply and would force the AF to pay these students their BAH allowances. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. The criteria/scope for this project is contained in AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", dated 1 Sep 96. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of leasing, renovation/modernization, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Design costs for this project were calculated following BRAC guidance and were programmed for the year preceding execution. Note: Air Force regulations require Base housing be provided for all first tier students. The current location of the CSO school provides Base Housing for 202 students. <u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 20 JUL 2007 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA, FLORIDA | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – COMBAT SYSTEM OFFICER (CSO) BACHELOR HOUSING | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER TYMX073730 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) EEIC 321 39,600 |

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Data:

- (1) Status:
- | | |
|--|--------|
| (a) Date Design Started | OCT-07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | NO |
| (c) Percent Complete as of 01 Jan 2009 | 100% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | JAN-08 |
| * (e) Date Design Complete | SEP-08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | YES |
- (2) Basis:
- | | |
|---|----|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | |
- (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000.0)
- | | |
|--|---------|
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 3,564.0 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 0.0 |
| (c) Total | 3,564.0 |
| (d) Contract | 0.0 |
| (e) In-house | 3,564.0 |
- (4) Construction Contract Award: Oct 09
- (5) Construction Start: Nov-09
- (6) Construction Completion: Nov-11

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| DORM & MODULAR FURNITURE SYSTEMS* | 3400 | 2009 | 758.9 |

- Based on 202 rooms with AF standard furniture systems at \$3,200/room

NOTE: the cost estimate for this project (\$33,471,000 without demo of Bldg 3246, and project Contingency and SIOH) is \$233/SF. It is based on a CY 2006 VQ award at NASP. This project (currently under construction) was awarded at approximately \$45.4M (without furnishings, contingency and SIOH) and included multiple buildings, 365 VQ rooms, 400+ parking spaces and a total of 192,424SF or approximately \$235/SF.

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 4.340 | 57.982 | 6.570 | 54.350 | 0 | 0 | 123.242 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.115 | 0.156 | 2.152 | 4.161 | 7.560 | 14.144 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 4.340 | 58.097 | 6.726 | 56.502 | 4.161 | 7.560 | 137.386 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 4.340 | 58.097 | 6.726 | 56.502 | 4.161 | 7.560 | 137.386 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 4.340 | 58.097 | 6.726 | 56.502 | 4.161 | 7.560 | 137.386 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.553 | 4.966 | 9.627 | 11.102 | 26.248 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.643 | 15.452 | 17.452 | 39.547 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0.553 | 11.609 | 25.079 | 28.555 | 65.796 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.369 | 0.765 | 0.681 | 1.815 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.008 | 2.069 | 1.144 | 4.222 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.665 | 1.365 | 0.585 | 2.615 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.006 | 11.941 | 7.858 | 25.804 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.416 | 2.479 | 2.717 | 7.612 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.104 | 11.233 | 12.750 | 26.087 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.569 | 29.852 | 25.734 | 68.156 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.569 | 29.852 | 25.734 | 68.156 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 26 | (8) | 24 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (10) | 34 | (12) | 12 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 4.340 | 58.097 | 6.726 | 43.933 | (25.691) | (18.174) | 69.230 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations

Commission Recommendation: Close 1501 Wilson Blvd., a leased installation in Arlington, VA. Relocate the Air Force-Judge Advocate General to Andrews AFB, MD. Close 1560 Wilson Blvd., a leased installation in Arlington, VA. Relocate the Secretary of the Air Force-Acquisition to Andrews AFB, MD. Close Arlington Plaza, a leased installation in Arlington, VA. Relocate the Secretary of the Air Force-Auditor General to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign 1401 Wilson Blvd., the Nash Street Building, and 1919 Eads Street, leased installations in Arlington, VA, by relocating Air Force-Operations to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign 1815 N. Fort Myer Drive, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating Air Force-Operations, the Secretary of the Air Force-Administrative Assistant, and the Secretary of the Air Force-Auditor General to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign Ballston Metro Center, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating the Secretary of the Air Force-Public Affairs and the Secretary of the Air Force-Small Business to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign Crystal Gateway 1, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating Air Force-Personnel, Air Force-Installations and Logistics, Air Force-Operations, and Air Force-Personnel Operations to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign Crystal Gateway 2 and Jefferson Plaza 2, leased installations in Arlington, VA, by relocating Air Force-Installations and Logistics to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign Crystal Gateway North, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating Air Force-Installations and Logistics and the Secretary of the Air Force-Financial Management to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign Crystal Park 5 and Crystal Plaza 6, leased installations in Arlington, VA, by relocating the Secretary of the Air Force-Administrative Assistant to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign Crystal Plaza 5, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating the Air Force-Chief Information Officer and Air Force-Operations to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign Crystal Square 2, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating Air Force-Personnel and Air Force-Personnel Operations to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign the Webb Building, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating Air Force-Personnel and the Secretary of the Air Force/General Counsel to Andrews AFB, MD. Realign Jefferson Plaza-1, Arlington, VA, by relocating the National Guard Bureau Headquarters, the Air National Guard Headquarters, and elements of the Army National Guard Headquarters to the Army National Guard Readiness Center, Arlington, VA, and Andrews AFB, MD. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Andrews AFB, MD | AJXF059145 | BRAC Headquarters ANG and Readiness Center | 129 | 57.817 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 129 | 57.817 |
| 2009 | Andrews AFB, MD | AJXF071502 | BRAC Construct POV Lane, Pearl Harbor Gate | 129 | 1.350 |
| 2009 | Andrews AFB, MD | AJXF103003 | BRAC Construct Administrative Facility | 129 | 53.000 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 129 | 54.350 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 129 | 112.167 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$11.075M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 129, Co-locate Miscellaneous AF Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: Funds communication for new administrative facility, transportation of equipment and things, and ANG BRAC construction contractor support. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$14.144M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.152M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$65.796M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$11.609M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$68.156M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$12.569M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is 36. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -4.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-CONSTRUCT POV LANE, PEARL HARBOR GATE. | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF071502 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,350 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADD POV LANE TO PEARL HARBOR GATE | | | | | 942 |
| SINGLE LANED CANOPY | | SM | 125 | 6,253 | (782) |
| AT/FP | | SM | 125 | 1,280 | (160) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 286 |
| DRAINAGE | | SM | 1,115 | 24 | (27) |
| PAVING WIDENING W/CURBS & GUTTERS | | SM | 800 | 325 | (260) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 1,228 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 61 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 1,289 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 73 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,363 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 1,350 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (30.0) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a single lane entrance lane for POV traffic at the existing Pearl Harbor Gate. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 1115 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM | | | | | |
| PROJECT: Construct a single traffic lane for POV traffic at the existing Pearl Harbor commercial gate. Construction will consist of adding 125 SM of asphalt with associated curbs and gutters as well as the installation of a canopy and ID check station IAW AF Entry Control Facilities Design Guidelines. | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: With the addition of 500+ personnel added to the east side of the base because of Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC), there is a need to construct a POV gate on this side of the base to handle the additional base traffic. Currently, the Pearl Harbor Gate on the east side of the base was designed to handle commercial traffic only therefore the base does not have the capability to separate POV and commercial traffic at this gate. The construction of a new POV lane at the Pearl Harbor gate will give the base the ability to separate POV and commercial traffic on the east side of the base and give them the capability to handle the additional traffic load on this side of the base. | | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: Presently, the Pearl Harbor Gate exists however it is designed for commercial traffic only. The base does not have the capability to separate POV traffic from commercial traffic. If a POV tries to use the commercial gate, delays are encountered while entry control personnel screen and inspect inbound commercial traffic. This situation will be much worse when over 500+ personnel are added to the east side of the base. | | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The BRAC action will add POV traffic for an additional 500+ personnel to a gate that was not designed nor constructed to handle POV traffic. This means these additional personnel will have to either enter the base through | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC-CONSTRUCT POV LANE, PEARL HARBOR GATE. | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 851-147 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF071502 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,350 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 17 Sep 08 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | NO |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 81 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 41 |
| (c) Total | | | 122 |
| (d) Contract | | | 101 |
| (e) In-house | | | 21 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 FEB |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 FEB |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3080 | 2007 | 30 |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 610-282 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF103003 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 53,000 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY | | | | | 33,462 |
| ADMIN FACILITY | | SM | 15,163 | 2,185 | (33,128) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | SM | 15,163 | 22 | (334) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 13,863 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (3,203) |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (4,400) |
| PARKING/PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (2,405) |
| ENVIRONMENTAL | | LS | | | (800) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENT/STORMWATER MANAGEMENT | | LS | | | (2,500) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION | | LS | | | (555) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 47,325 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 2,366 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 49,691 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 2,832 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 52,523 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 53,000 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (6,790.0) |
| <p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new 15,163 SM administrative facility to house National Capitol Region (NCR) personnel moving to Andrews from leased space in the Washington, D.C. area. Project consists of multi-story reinforced concrete and structural steel building. Includes site work, HVAC, elevators, utilities, landscaping, concrete walk, asphalt paving for 683 parking spaces that will also include renovation and realignment of existing parking lots, special drilled pier foundation storm water management, soil remediation, and any other work associated with this project. Includes sensitive compartmentalized information facility (SCIF) space and Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements identified in the DoD Unified Facilities Criteria.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 500 Tons</p> | | | | | |
| <p>11. Requirement: 15163 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 32281 SM</p> <p>PROJECT: Construct a new administrative facility to support 804 Hq USAF personnel relocating from leased space (New Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured administrative facility to support the Headquarters USAF level primary mission; facility will accommodate the 804 incoming NCR personnel relocating to Andrews from leased space facilities in the NCR due to BRAC.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Available facility areas will not accommodate new mission and supporting administrative functions directed by 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendations. Under current BRAC models, 804 Headquarters USAF personnel are currently located in leased space in the Washington, D.C. area. BRAC law directs these personnel to relocate their operations to Andrews AFB. A new administrative</p> | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|---------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 610-282 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF103003 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 53,000 | |
| <p>facility must be constructed to house those personnel.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Andrews will be unable to support the DoD BRAC mission requirements for the new NCR mission initiatives if this facility is not funded and constructed. Adequate space to perform essential Air Force functions will not be available to support the new mission requirements resulting in a negative impact on overall administrative requirement and day to day operations of the NCR functions. No available facility or space exists on Andrews AFB, therefore there are no workarounds for the NCR mission.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. The project estimate was completed using Unified Facilities Criteria 3-701-05, DoD Facilities Pricing Guide, Version 7 and PACES 2005. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. The Site Survey Report and SATAF Report will be used in lieu of the economic analysis to support this decision. Based on the net present value and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost-effective over the life of the project. The parking requirement associated with this administrative facility will increase the impervious surface area regulated under the Federal Clean Water Act (Title 40 CFR §§122.30-37). The equipment from other appropriations includes Comprehensive Interior Design, new systems furniture, and communications equipment necessary for operations. This project is conjunctively funded with two Non-BRAC FY09 MILCON projects AJXF103004A and AJXF103002 (15,163 SM = 163,212 SF)</p> <p>BRIAN P DUFFY, Lt Col, USAF Commander 316th Civil Engineer Squadron (301) 981-7281</p> <p>TERRIE WARREN, DAF, P.E. Deputy Director of Installations and Mission Support and Chief of the Civil Engineering Division</p> <p>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 610-282 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF103003 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 53,000 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Status: | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | | 31 Jul 07 |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | | YES |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | | | 35% |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | | 28 Sep 07 |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | 17 Sep 07 |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | YES |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (\$000) |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | | 3,180 |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | 1,590 |
| (c) Total | | | 4,770 |
| (d) Contract | | | 3,975 |
| (e) In-house | | | 795 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 FEB |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 11 FEB |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
| FURNISHINGS | 3080 | 2009 | 5,031 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | 3080 | 2009 | 1,759 |

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.755 | 0 | 1.755 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.755 | 0 | 1.755 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.755 | 0 | 1.755 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.755 | 0 | 1.755 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.755 | 0 | 1.755 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 130, Co-Locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities

Commission Recommendation: Realign Bolling AFB DC by relocating all components of the Air Force Central Adjudication Facility and the Defense Intelligence Agency Central Adjudication Agency to Fort Meade, Maryland

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.755M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 1.645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.645 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.057 | 0 | 11.057 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 1.645 | 0 | 0 | 11.057 | 0 | 12.702 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 1.645 | 0 | 0 | 11.057 | 0 | 12.702 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 1.645 | 0 | 0 | 11.057 | 0 | 12.702 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.421 | 5.421 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.421 | 5.421 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 1.445 | 1.496 | 1.548 | 1.602 | 1.658 | 7.749 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 4.490 | 4.647 | 4.810 | 4.978 | 5.152 | 24.077 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.567 | 0.584 | 0.602 | 0.620 | 0.639 | 3.012 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 1.604 | 1.652 | 1.702 | 1.753 | 1.805 | 8.516 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 8.106 | 8.379 | 8.662 | 8.953 | 9.254 | 43.354 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 8.106 | 8.379 | 8.662 | 8.953 | 9.254 | 43.354 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (63) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (63) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | (6.461) | (8.379) | (8.662) | 2.104 | (9.254) | (30.652) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DOD Counterintelligence and Security Agency

Commission Recommendation: Realign Andrews Air Force Base, MD, by relocating the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) to Marine Corps Base Quantico, VA. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: Total planning and design estimate is \$1.645M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$11.057M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A. Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$5.421M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$43.354M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$8.662M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -63. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0.981 | 10.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.881 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.744 | 0 | 0.645 | 8.273 | 9.662 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0.981 | 11.644 | 0 | 0.645 | 8.273 | 21.543 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0.981 | 11.644 | 0 | 0.645 | 8.273 | 21.543 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0.981 | 11.644 | 0 | 0.645 | 8.273 | 21.543 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.123 | 0.126 | 0.129 | 0.803 | 1.181 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0.123 | 0.126 | 0.129 | 0.815 | 1.193 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.360 | 1.360 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.824 | 0.824 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.203 | 2.203 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.206 | 2.206 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (35) | (35) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0.981 | 11.644 | 0 | 0.645 | 6.067 | 19.337 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies

Commission Recommendation: Realign Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, by relocating the transactional functions of the Civilian Personnel Office to Randolph Air Force Base, TX. Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services, the non-transactional functions, necessary to support the Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, civilian workforce. Realign Robins Air Force Base, GA, by relocating the transactional functions of the Civilian Personnel Office to Randolph Air Force Base, TX. Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services, the non-transactional functions, necessary to support the Robins Air Force Base, GA, civilian workforce. Realign Hill Air Force Base, UT, by relocating the transactional functions of the Civilian Personnel Office to Randolph Air Force Base, TX. Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services, the non-transactional functions, necessary to support the Hill Air Force Base, UT, civilian workforce. Realign Tinker Air Force Base, OK, by relocating the transactional functions of the Civilian Personnel Office to Randolph Air Force Base, TX. Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services, the non transactional functions, necessary to support the Tinker Air Force Base, UT, civilian workforce. Realign Bolling Air Force Base, DC, by relocating the transactional functions of the Civilian Personnel Office to Randolph Air Force Base, TX. Consolidate the relocated civilian personnel offices with the Civilian Personnel Office at Randolph Air Force Base, TX. Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services, the nontransactional functions, necessary to support the Bolling Air Force Base, DC, civilian workforce. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Randolph AFB, TX | TYMX063002 | Administrative Center | 137C | 10.900 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 137C | 10.900 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 137C | 10.900 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.981M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$9.662M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 137C, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$1.193M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.126M.

Recurring Savings: N/A. Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$2.203M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -35. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: N/A

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.066 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.790 | 5.856 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.734 | 0 | 0 | 1.734 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0.066 | 0 | 1.734 | 0 | 5.790 | 7.590 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0.066 | 0 | 1.734 | 0 | 5.790 | 7.590 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0.066 | 0 | 1.734 | 0 | 5.790 | 7.590 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.956 | 0.956 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.956 | 0.956 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.186 | 0.186 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.325 | 0.325 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.543 | 0.543 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.054 | 1.054 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.010 | 2.010 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (5) | 0 | (5) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (7) | 0 | (7) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0.066 | 0 | 1.734 | 0 | 3.780 | 5.580 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 141, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications

Commission Recommendation: Realign 103 Norton Street, a leased installation in San Antonio, TX, by relocating Air Force News Agency-Army/Air Force Hometown News Service (a combined entity) to Fort Meade, MD. Consolidate Soldier Magazine, Naval Media Center, Army Broadcasting-Soldier Radio/TV, and the Air Force News Agency-Army/Air Force Hometown News Service into a single DoD Media Activity at Fort Meade, MD.

The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$5.856M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: Covers AF fair share of IT costs. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$1.734M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.734M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A. Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$1.054M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -12. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: N/A

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 5.900 | 83.800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89.700 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0.146 | 0 | 0.100 | 0 | 0 | 0.246 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 2.011 | 2.588 | 2.531 | 2.582 | 0 | 0 | 9.712 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.088 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 7.911 | 86.622 | 2.531 | 2.682 | 0 | 0 | 99.746 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 7.911 | 86.622 | 2.531 | 2.682 | 0 | 0 | 99.746 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 7.911 | 86.622 | 2.531 | 2.682 | 0 | 0 | 99.746 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.777 | 1.983 | 1.959 | 3.245 | 3.274 | 11.237 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.777 | 1.983 | 1.959 | 3.245 | 3.274 | 11.237 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 3.519 | 7.107 | 8.365 | 8.914 | 9.214 | 37.119 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 3.585 | 7.476 | 8.003 | 8.417 | 8.876 | 36.358 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 5.276 | 11.286 | 12.594 | 13.466 | 13.981 | 56.603 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 1.096 | 1.202 | 1.339 | 1.011 | 1.114 | 5.762 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 33.470 | 35.330 | 38.892 | 39.900 | 40.739 | 188.331 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 46.947 | 62.401 | 69.193 | 71.709 | 73.924 | 324.173 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 46.947 | 62.401 | 69.193 | 71.709 | 73.924 | 324.173 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (79) | (21) | (9) | 0 | (3) | (112) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (181) | (13) | (15) | 0 | (9) | (218) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 7.911 | 39.675 | (59.870) | (66.511) | (71.709) | (73.924) | (224.427) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components

Commission Recommendation: Realign Fort Eustis, VA, by relocating the Army Surface Deployment and Distribution Command to Scott Air Force Base, IL, and consolidating it with the Air Force Air Mobility Command Headquarters and Transportation Command (TRANSCOM) Headquarters at Scott Air Force Base, IL.

Realign Hoffman 2, a leased installation in Alexandria, VA, by relocating the US Army Surface Deployment and Distribution Command to Scott Air Force Base, IL, and consolidating it with the Air Force Air Mobility Command Headquarters and Transportation Command Headquarters at Scott Air Force Base, IL.

Realign US Army Surface Deployment and Distribution Command -Transportation Engineering Agency facility in NewportNews, VA, by relocating US Army Surface Deployment and Distribution Command ' Transportation Engineering Agency to Scott Air Force Base, IL, and consolidating it with the Air Force Air Mobility Command Headquarters and Transportation Command Headquarters at Scott Air Force Base, IL.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Scott AFB, IL | VDYD050235 | HQ USTRANSCOM Facilities | 142 | 83.800 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 142 | 83.800 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 142 | 83.800 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$5.900M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds Scott Air Installation Compatible Use study. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.246M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.100M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds temporary facility lease costs. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$9.712M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$2.582M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.088M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$11.237M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.959M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$324.173M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$69.193M.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 142, Consolidate Transportation Command Components

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -330. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -24.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

**Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army
and Air Force**

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 2.953 | 9.022 | 25.000 | 0 | 0 | 36.975 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.050 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.028 | 0 | 4.028 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.050 | 2.953 | 9.022 | 25.000 | 4.028 | 0 | 41.053 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.050 | 2.953 | 9.022 | 25.000 | 4.028 | 0 | 41.053 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.050 | 2.953 | 9.022 | 25.000 | 4.028 | 0 | 41.053 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.244 | 0.486 | 2.584 | 2.651 | 2.707 | 8.672 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.257 | 0.263 | 0.892 | 0.915 | 0.935 | 3.263 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.501 | 0.749 | 3.476 | 3.567 | 3.642 | 11.935 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.098 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.098 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.098 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.098 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0.145 | 0.149 | 0.153 | 0.157 | 0.160 | 0.764 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.345 | 0.354 | 0.985 | 1.010 | 1.032 | 3.726 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0.491 | 0.503 | 1.138 | 1.167 | 1.192 | 4.490 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0.589 | 0.503 | 1.138 | 1.167 | 1.192 | 4.588 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.050 | 2.364 | 8.519 | 23.862 | 2.861 | (1.192) | 36.465 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force

Commission Recommendation: Realign Army Human Resources Command leased facilities in Alexandria, VA, Indianapolis, IN, and St. Louis, MO. Relocate and consolidate all functions at Fort Knox, KY. Realign the Air Reserve Personnel Center (Buckley Annex), CO, by relocating the Air Reserve Personnel Center to Buckley Air Force Base, Denver, CO, and by relocating the Individual Mobilization Augmentee operational management functions to Robins Air Force Base, GA, and consolidating them with the Air Force Reserve Command at Robins Air Force Base, GA. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Buckley AFB, CO | CRWU073013 | Utility Infrastructure Construction | 143B | 9.022 |
| | | | | 91 | 1.058 |
| | | CRWU073013 | | Total | 10.080 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 143B | 9.022 |
| | | | | | |
| 2009 | Buckley AFB, CO | CRWU073019 | BRAC ARPC Administrative | 143B | 25.000 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 143B | 25.000 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 143B | 34.022 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$2.953M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.050M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$4.028M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$11.935M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.476M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 143B, Consolidate/Co-Locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$4.490M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.138M.

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE RESERVE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. DATE AUG 2006 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO | | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC ARPC ADMINISTRATIVE | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | | 6. CATEGORY CODE 610-281 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU 073019 | | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 25,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATE | | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) | |
| ARPC ADMINISTRATIVE | | SM | 7,274 | 1,824 | 13,268 | |
| ARPC PROMOTION BOARD WORK CENTER | | SM | 573 | 2,476 | 1,419 | |
| ARPC WAREHOUSE/RECORD STORAGE | | SM | 1,230 | 1,039 | 1,278 | |
| ARPC SECURE AREA | | SM | 709 | 2,757 | 1,955 | |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | LS | | | 179 | |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 4,577 | |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (500) | |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS | | LS | | | (1,000) | |
| UTILITIES/PAVEMENTS/SITE WORK | | LS | | | <u>(3,077)</u> | |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 22,676 | |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | | <u>1,134</u> | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 23,810 | |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | <u>1,357</u> | |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 25,167 | |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 25,000 | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS | | | | | 1,712 | |
| <p>10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slab, masonry exterior walls, standing seam metal roof systems, fire detection/suppression systems, HVAC, associated site utilities, emergency power backup, parking, grading, landscaping and other required support. Project includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD unified facilities criteria and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 400 Tons.</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 9,786 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM <u>PROJECT:</u> Air Force Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC) Administrative Facility (BRAC). <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequately sized and functionally configured facility to satisfy all training, storage, security and administrative requirements for the ARPC relocating to Buckley AFB, CO. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Air Force Reserve Personnel Center is currently located at Buckley Annex which will be realigned IAW the recommendation of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. As such, a suitable facility must be provided at Buckley AFB, CO that is slated to receive this critical mission. There are no existing facilities at Buckley AFB that can directly support this mission. Area has expansive soils and facility will require special foundations. Costs to run supporting facilities and utilities to the site are included in AFSPC project Infrastructure Support (BRAC). <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without construction provided by the project, the unit will not have a place to house their function and meet the mission requirements. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Standard Facility Requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exemption was prepared. POC: Ms. Donna Young, HQ AFRC/CEPR, DSN 497-1108. This facility project is a candidate for Comprehensive Interior Design (CID). Equipment from other appropriations: \$1,712K for furnishings. New Work: 9,786 SM = 105,336 SF. <u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force Reserve requirements.</p> | | | | | | |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE RESERVE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | 2. DATE AUG 2006 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC ARPC ADMINISTRATIVE | 5. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU 073019 |
|--|----------------------------------|

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

A. DESIGN DATA (Estimated)

1. STATUS

- a. Date Design Started Oct 2006
- b. Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs PACES
- c. Percentage Complete as of January 1, 2008 75%
- d. Date Design 35% Complete Feb 2007
- e. Date Design Complete - (If design-build, construction complete) Jun 2008

2. BASIS

- a. Standard or Definitive Design - Yes ___ No X .
- b. Where Design Was Most Recently Used N/A .

3. COST (Total) = c = a + b or d + e (\$000)

- a. Production of Plans and Specifications (35% design) (0)
- b. All Other Design Costs (Design-build) (0)
- c. Total (2,250)
- d. Contract (A-E) (1,800)
- e. In-house (management) (450)

4. CONTRACT AWARD Dec 2008

5. CONSTRUCTION START Jan 2009

B. EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

| <u>Equipment</u> | <u>Procuring</u> | <u>Fiscal Year</u> | <u>Cost</u> |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| <u>Nomenclature</u> | <u>Appropriation</u> | <u>Appropriated</u> | <u>(\$000)</u> |
| <u>Furnishings</u> | | <u>Or Requested</u> | |
| | | FY2010 | |
| | | | 1,712 |

Commission # 146, Joint Basing

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 146, Joint Basing

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.245 | 0 | 0.054 | 0 | 0 | 0.150 | 0.449 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.281 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.526 | 0 | 0.054 | 0 | 0 | 0.150 | 0.730 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.526 | 0 | 0.054 | 0 | 0 | 0.150 | 0.730 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.526 | 0 | 0.054 | 0 | 0 | 0.150 | 0.730 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 146, Joint Basing

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.526 | 0 | 0.054 | 0 | 0 | 0.150 | 0.730 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 146, Joint Basing

Commission Recommendation: Realign McChord Air Force Base (AFB), WA, by relocating the installation management functions to Fort Lewis, WA, establishing Joint Base Lewis-McChord, WA. Realign Fort Dix, NJ, and Naval Air Engineering Station Lakehurst, NJ, by relocating the installation management functions to McGuire AFB, NJ, establishing Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst, NJ. Realign Naval Air Facility Washington, MD, by relocating the installation management functions to Andrews AFB, MD, establishing Joint Base Andrews-Naval Air Facility Washington, MD. Realign Bolling AFB, DC, by relocating the installation management functions to Naval District Washington at the Washington Navy Yard, DC, establishing Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling, DC. Realign Fort Richardson, AK, by relocating the installation management functions to Elmendorf AFB, AK, establishing Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, AK. Realign Hickam AFB, HI, by relocating the installation management functions to Naval Station Pearl Harbor, HI, establishing Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, HI. Realign Fort Sam Houston, TX, and Randolph AFB, TX, by relocating the installation management functions to Lackland AFB, TX. Realign Naval Weapons Station Charleston, SC, by relocating the installation management functions to Charleston AFB, SC. Realign Fort Eustis, VA, by relocating the installation management functions to Langley AFB, VA. Realign Andersen AFB, Guam, by relocating the installation management functions to Commander, US Naval Forces, Marianas Islands, Guam. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.449M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.281M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA)

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 3.367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.367 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.030 | 1.274 | 0.811 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.115 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.030 | 1.274 | 4.178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.482 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.030 | 1.274 | 4.178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.482 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.030 | 1.274 | 4.178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.482 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.041 | 0.042 | 0.367 | 0.486 | 0.935 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.103 | 0.198 | 0.301 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0 | 0.041 | 0.042 | 0.469 | 0.684 | 1.237 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.256 | 0.378 | 0.635 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.091 | 0.186 | 0.277 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.038 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.058 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.395 | 0.612 | 1.008 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.395 | 0.612 | 1.008 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.030 | 1.274 | 4.178 | 0 | (0.395) | (0.612) | 4.474 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 147, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA)

Commission Recommendation: Realign Rosslyn Center and the Nash Street Building, leased installations in Arlington, VA, by relocating the Air Force Real Property Agency to Lackland Air Force Base, San Antonio, TX.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Lackland AFB, TX | MPLS073510 | Headquarters Administrative Center | 147 | 3.367 |
| | | | | 170 | 33.633 |
| | | MPLS073510 | | Total | 37.000 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 147 | 3.367 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11 | | | 147 | 3.367 |

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$2.115M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$1.237M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.042M.

Recurring Savings: N/A. Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$1.008M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 2.988 | 46.151 | 213.552 | 123.436 | 0 | 0 | 386.127 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.306 | 0.603 | 0.936 | 6.646 | 9.948 | 2.845 | 21.284 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.437 | 5.838 | 9.689 | 38.577 | 104.338 | 15.596 | 174.475 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 3.731 | 52.592 | 224.177 | 168.659 | 114.286 | 18.441 | 581.886 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 3.731 | 52.592 | 224.177 | 168.659 | 114.286 | 18.441 | 581.886 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 3.731 | 52.592 | 224.177 | 168.659 | 114.286 | 18.441 | 581.886 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 4.474 | 12.513 | 14.878 | 15.263 | 15.584 | 62.712 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 2.996 | 6.085 | 7.969 | 8.175 | 8.347 | 33.572 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.224 | 0.229 | 0.453 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 7.470 | 18.598 | 22.847 | 23.663 | 24.160 | 96.737 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.654 | 0.737 | 0.182 | 0 | 0 | 1.572 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.654 | 0.737 | 0.182 | 0 | 0 | 1.572 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0.102 | 1.710 | 10.843 | 18.870 | 19.266 | 50.791 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.877 | 18.215 | 18.598 | 45.690 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0.042 | 0.086 | 11.707 | 23.929 | 24.432 | 60.196 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 2.275 | 4.435 | 8.960 | 9.192 | 9.386 | 34.248 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0.451) | (0.460) | (0.910) |
| Recapitalization | 2.789 | 2.857 | 2.927 | 3.002 | 3.120 | 3.186 | 17.882 |
| BOS | 0 | 4.081 | 6.941 | 12.834 | 29.374 | 29.991 | 83.220 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 10.431 | 10.455 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 2.789 | 9.358 | 16.099 | 56.235 | 102.262 | 114.879 | 301.621 |
| Grand Total Savings | 2.789 | 10.011 | 16.836 | 56.416 | 102.262 | 114.879 | 303.193 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | (43) | (211) | 0 | 0 | (254) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 25 | 0 | (394) | 0 | 0 | (369) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.942 | 42.581 | 207.341 | 112.243 | 12.024 | (96.438) | 278.693 |

Note: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-03 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations. The Air Force Medical Service reinvested BRAC savings to support emerging missions, increase clinical currency, and enhance medical readiness support for the warfighters. This strategy is consistent with the 2005 BRAC Commission report, the SECDEF justification, and the Air Force's position regarding BRAC savings reinvestment.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX

Commission Recommendation: Close Brooks City Base, San Antonio, TX. Relocate the Air Force Audit Agency and 341st Recruiting Squadron to Randolph AFB. Relocate the United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine, the Air Force Institute of Operational Health, and the Human Systems Development and Acquisition function to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH. Relocate the Naval Health Research Center Electro-Magnetic Energy Detachment and the Directed Energy portion of the Human Effectiveness Directorate of the Air Force Research Laboratory to Fort Sam Houston, TX. Consolidate the Human Effectiveness Directorate with the Air Force Research Laboratory, Human Effectiveness Directorate at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH. Relocate the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence, the Air Force Medical Support Agency, Air Force Medical Operations Agency, Air Force Element Medical Defense Agency, Air Force Element Medical-DoD, Air Force-Wide Support Element, 710th Information Operations Flight and the 68th Information Operations Squadron to Lackland Air Force Base, TX. Relocate the Army Medical Research Detachment to the Army Institute of Surgical Research, Fort Sam Houston, TX. Relocate the Non-Medical Chemical Biological Defense Development and Acquisition to Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD. Disestablish any remaining organizations. Realign Holloman AFB by disestablishing the high-onset gravitational force centrifuge and relocating the physiological training unit (49 ADOS/SGGT) to Wright-Patterson AFB. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Lackland AFB, TX | MPLS073508 | Intelligence Operations Center | 170 | 14.200 |
| 2007 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083112 | Facilities Infrastructure Upgrade | 170 | 15.966 |
| | | | | 187 | 1.314 |
| | | | | 188A | 0.720 |
| | | ZHTV083112 | | Total | 18.000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | Total FY 2007 | | 170 | 30.166 |
| | | | | | |
| 2008 | Lackland AFB, TX | MPLS073510 | Headquarters Administrative Center | 170 | 33.633 |
| | | | | 147 | 3.367 |
| | | MPLS073510 | | Total | 37.000 |
| | | | | | |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083101 | Alter Acquisition Mgt Fac (HSG/YA & Fixed Wing) | 170 | 12.750 |
| | | | | 188A | 2.250 |
| | | ZHTV083101 | | Total | 15.000 |
| | | | | | |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083102 | Alter Materials Laboratory (HSG/YA Labs) | 170 | 6.200 |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083104 | Radiation Calibration Facility | 170 | 4.600 |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083105 | AFIOH Facility | 170 | 54.000 |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson | ZHTV083108 | AFRL/HE (Brooks) | 170 | 32.000 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX

| | | | | | |
|------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | AFB, OH | | | | |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083110 | USAFSAM Consult Service | 170 | 18.500 |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083111 | USAFSAM (Increment 1) | 170 | 51.000 |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083118 | Dining Facility | 170 | 0.869 |
| | | | | 187 | 0.072 |
| | | | | 188A | 0.039 |
| | | ZHTV083118 | | Total | 0.980 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 170 | 213.552 |
| | | | | | |
| 2009 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | CNBC083002 | Tri-Service Research Facility | 170 | 79.500 |
| 2009 | Randolph AFB, TX | TYMX063006 | AF Audit Agency Relocation | 170 | 1.336 |
| 2009 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083111 | USAFSAM (Increment 2) | 170 | 30.000 |
| 2009 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083114 | Pipeline Dormitory | 170 | 12.600 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 170 | 123.436 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 170 | 367.154 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$18.973M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds removal of fuel tanks, disposal, soil remediation. Environmental Impact Statements. Funds removal, remediation, abatement of friable asbestos, radon and PCB materials and disposal of pathological solid waste incinerator. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$21.284M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.646M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds transportation of things, purchased services, minor construction, travel and communications purchases. It also funds civilian severance and Initial outfitting of MILCON projects. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$174.475M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$38.577M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 170, Brooks City Base, TX

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$96.737M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$22.847M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$301.621M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$56.235M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -623. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -605.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-03 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations. The Air Force Medical Service reinvested BRAC savings to support emerging missions, increase clinical currency, and enhance medical readiness support for the warfighters. This strategy is consistent with the 2005 BRAC Commission report, the SECDEF justification, and the Air Force's position regarding BRAC savings reinvestment.

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE (BRAC) TRI-SERVICE RESEARCH FACILITY | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998 F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 310-924 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CNBC083002 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 79,500 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 50,816 |
| PHYSICS LAB | | SF | 86,548 | 256 | (22,156) |
| MEDICAL RESEARCH LAB | | SF | 40,262 | 268 | (10,798) |
| MEDICAL RESEARCH ANIMAL VIVARIUM | | SF | 48,810 | 268 | (13,091) |
| SCIF | | SF | 5,000 | 198 | (989) |
| PRECISION MACHINE SHOP | | SF | 1,200 | 144 | (172) |
| ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | SF | 181,820 | 6 | (1,091) |
| INFORMATION SYSTEMS | | LS | | | (2,296) |
| AIRCRAFT RAMP | | LS | | | (223) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 20,807 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (777) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (901) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (814) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (354) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION | | SF | 181,820 | 8 | (1,455) |
| VEHICAL BRIDGE | | SY | 10,000 | 835 | (8,350) |
| COMMISSIONING | | LS | | | (1,200) |
| DESIGN BUILD/DESIGN COST | | LS | | | (6,956) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 71,623 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 3,581 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 75,204 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 4,287 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 79,491 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 79,500 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (16,882) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete pier and beam construction with CMU walls and steel framing, and built-up roof. Research lab includes a special secured area for classified lab activities. Upgrade utilities; provide parking, roads/sidewalks, and base communications to the facility. Comply with DoD force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria. Air Conditioning: 340 Tons | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 181820 SF Adequate: 0 SF Substandard: 0 SF PROJECT: Construct a Tri-Service Research Facility: (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: A properly configured and sized facility is required to meet Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 initiative to relocate the Air Force and Navy Directed Energy Bio-Effects Research Division. This project will provide a facility for the consolidated interdisciplinary research on health and safety aspects of radio frequency, laser, and combined stressors. The facility will collocate all veterinary functions into one building in close proximity to researchers. The collocation will eliminate the stress factor of research animal | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE (BRAC) TRI-SERVICE RESEARCH FACILITY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 310-924 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CNBC083002 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 79,500 |

transport thus providing more reliable research results and quality research end product. The synergism developed with the Air Force and Navy collocated in one facility will enhance the tri-service goal of providing a one-of-a-kind, bio-effects research; laboratory to develop exposure standards that promote the safe development of bio-effects research for DoD personnel.

CURRENT SITUATION: The AFRL is presently located at Brooks City Base, TX and is designated to relocate to Fort Sam Houston, TX. No facilities presently exist at Fort Sam Houston to support the AFRL's mission requirements to comply with BRAC 2005.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Air Force and Navy would not be able to comply with congressional direction to realign or close bases. Increased synergies/efficiencies due to consolidations and co-locations will not be realized.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Air Force at \$71,587,800 and the Navy at \$9,627,100 from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. However, a waiver to an economic analysis will be prepared since this project is congressionally mandated.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----|--|-----|-------------------------|----------|--------------------------|--|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|-------|----------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|------|------|--------|
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE (BRAC) TRI-SERVICE RESEARCH FACILITY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 310-924 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CNBC083002 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 79,500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started</td> <td>6 Dec 07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td>7 Oct 08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>4,770</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>2,385</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>7,155</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>7,155</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-house</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Contract Award 14 Oct 08</p> <p>(5) Construction Start 30 Dec 08</p> <p>(6) Construction Completion 2 Feb 11</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE</th> <th>PROCURING APPROPRIATION</th> <th>FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED</th> <th>COST (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS</td> <td>3080</td> <td>2008</td> <td>16,882</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | | | (a) Date Design Started | 6 Dec 07 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | 20% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | 7 Oct 08 | (e) Date Design Complete | | (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO | (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 4,770 | (b) All Other Design Costs | 2,385 | (c) Total | 7,155 | (d) Contract | 7,155 | (e) In-house | 0 | EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) | EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS | 3080 | 2008 | 16,882 |
| (a) Date Design Started | 6 Dec 07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2008 | 20% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | 7 Oct 08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) Date Design Complete | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | 4,770 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | 2,385 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | 7,155 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | 7,155 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-house | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS | 3080 | 2008 | 16,882 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | | 2. DATE 12 Dec 05 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC AF AUDIT AGENCY RELOCATION | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 610-281 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER TYMX063006 | | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,336 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 1,204 |
| AFAA BLDG745 RENOVATION | | SM | 1394 | 830 | (1,159) |
| ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | -LS | | | (45.0) |
| SUBTOTAL | | - | | | 1,179.1 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | - | | | 60 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | - | | | 72 |
| PROFIT AND OVERHEAD (.0%) | | - | | | 0.0 |
| TOTAL FUNDED COST | | | | | 1,308.6 |
| UNFUNDED COST (.0%) | | | | | 0.0 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 1,336 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (820.0) |
| <p>10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Repair/Renovate Building 745. When renovation of Building 745 is complete, the Air Force Audit Agency (AFAA) will move in. AFAA is designated to move to Randolph Air Force Base from Brooks City Base. Leasing at Brooks for AFAA activities for 2 years may happen if renovation of Building 745 is not completed in time. The project includes antiterrorism force protection requirements identified in the DoD Unified Facilities Criteria.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 220 Tons</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: <u>PROJECT:</u> BRAC Air Force Audit Agency (AFAA) relocation Relocation. (New Mission) <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The BRAC 2005 recommendation will relocate Air Force Audit Agency (AFAA). To accommodate this mission and personnel, renovation to Building 745 is required. Based on timing identified in the BRAC recommendations, this facility will be needed early Fiscal Year 2007. The AFAA will require a SES Office Suite (rooms for 1 SES, 1 Deputy, 1 Chief of Staff, 1 Secretary; LAN drops, phones for all 4, and a fax line; small SES conference room with LAN drop, phone, projector capability, and screen). The AFAA will require one large conference room (60 people, projection capability, and screen. Purchase of required new furniture. One secure room with SIPRNET connection (with no windows). One Break room with space for refrigerator, microwave, sink, etc. 17 Supervisors offices which need to be closed off for private discussions with employees, LAN drops and phone. 52 Employee offices with LAN drops and phone. Building 745 would require additional restrooms to support the increased headcount. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Air Force Audit Agency (AFAA) is located a base scheduled to close. BRAC 2005 will relocate the AFAA functions to AFB, in turn, saving valuable resources. Randolph AFB has identified existing available space to cost effectively perform this relocation. The space identified for this project is Building 745, the facility vacated by Navigator Training, which is moving to another location. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without this project, BRAC 2005 decisions will not be able to be implemented in a cost effective and efficient manner. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. The criteria/scope for this project is contained in AFH 32-1084, "Standard Facility Requirements Handbook", dated 1 Sep 96. Preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exemption will be prepared.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Richard Trevino, PE, DSN 487-2401, email: Richard.Trevino@randolph.af.mil</p> | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|-------------|--|--|-------------------------|--|--------|---|--|-----|--|--|------|-------------------------|--|--------|----------------------------|--|--------|--|--|-----|------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------|----------------------------|--|--|-----------|--|-----|--------------|--|-----|--------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--------|-------------------------|--|--------|------------------------------|--|--------|---|--|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | 2. DATE 12 Dec 05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC AF AUDIT AGENCY RELOCATION | | 5. PROJECT NUMBER TYMX063006 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="3">(1) Status:</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Date Design Started</td> <td></td> <td>JAN-08</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td></td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (c) Percent Complete as of 01 Jan 2007</td> <td></td> <td>100%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td></td> <td>JUN-08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>* (e) Date Design Complete</td> <td></td> <td>SEP-08</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td></td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(2) Basis:</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Standard or Definitive Designs -</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td></td> <td>(\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td> (c) Total</td> <td></td> <td>140</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (d) Contract</td> <td></td> <td>140</td> </tr> <tr> <td> (e) In-house</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Contract Award:</td> <td></td> <td>JAN-09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Start:</td> <td></td> <td>MAR-09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(6) Construction Completion:</td> <td></td> <td>JUL-10</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:</p> | | | (1) Status: | | | (a) Date Design Started | | JAN-08 | (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | YES | (c) Percent Complete as of 01 Jan 2007 | | 100% | * (d) Date 35% Designed | | JUN-08 | * (e) Date Design Complete | | SEP-08 | (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | YES | (2) Basis: | | | (a) Standard or Definitive Designs - | | NO | (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | (\$000) | (b) All Other Design Costs | | | (c) Total | | 140 | (d) Contract | | 140 | (e) In-house | | 0 | (4) Construction Contract Award: | | JAN-09 | (5) Construction Start: | | MAR-09 | (6) Construction Completion: | | JUL-10 | * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | |
| (1) Status: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Date Design Started | | JAN-08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs | | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Percent Complete as of 01 Jan 2007 | | 100% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (d) Date 35% Designed | | JUN-08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * (e) Date Design Complete | | SEP-08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Basis: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Designs - | | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (a) Production of Plans and Specifications | | (\$000) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) All Other Design Costs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Total | | 140 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (d) Contract | | 140 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (e) In-house | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (4) Construction Contract Award: | | JAN-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (5) Construction Start: | | MAR-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (6) Construction Completion: | | JUL-10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - USAFSAM | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 510-126 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083111 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 30,000 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 56,696 |
| MEDICAL EDUCATION & TRAINING | | SF | 128,529 | 262 | (33,684) |
| HIGH TECH TRAINING | | SF | 94,594 | 162 | (15,370) |
| ADMINISTRATIVE | | SF | 30,686 | 150 | (4,597) |
| INFORMATION SYSTEMS | | SF | 253,809 | 8 | (2,030) |
| ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | SF | 253,809 | 4 | (1,015) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 16,614 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (5,100) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (3,800) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (2,400) |
| REMOTE FIELD TRAINING SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (400) |
| COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | | LS | | | (100) |
| COMMISSIONING | | SF | 253,809 | 3 | (761) |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE MANUALS | | LS | | | (50) |
| DESIGN BUILD - DESIGN COST | | LS | | | (4,003) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 73,311 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 3,666 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 76,976 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 4,388 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 81,364 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 81,000 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (8,670) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Multi-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame and roof systems. Includes training classroom space, research laboratory training space, administrative space, special purpose space, utility infrastructure connections, site development, and all necessary support. In addition to classroom and laboratory space, the project incorporates training facilities which house aircraft moch-ups, ditching pool, centrifuge, and hypobaric chambers. Includes Lateral Drift Parachute trainer and remote field training site infrastructure. Comply with DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings per unified Facilities Criteria. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 253809 SF Adequate: SF Substandard: SF | | | | | |
| PROJECT: USAF School of Aerospace Medicine. (BRAC) | | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: A properly configured and sized facility is required to meet Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 initiative to relocate the United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine (USAFSAM). USAFSAM is the center for aeromedical education, training, and consultation in direct support of USAF, DoD, and international aerospace operations. Provides peacetime and contingency support in hyperbarics, human performance, clinical and dental investigations, environmental | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - USAFSAM | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 510-126 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083111 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 30,000 | |
| <p>health, expeditionary medical support, and aeromedical evacuation. Trains 7,000 students annually, maximizing \$172M in educational assets. Comply with DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings per unified Facilities Criteria.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The USAFSAM is presently located at Brooks City Base, TX and is designated to relocate to Wright-Patterson AFB, OH. No facilities presently exist at Wright-Patterson AFB to support USAFSAM's mission requirements to comply with BRAC 2005.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The Air Force would not be able to comply with congressional direction to realign or close bases. Increased synergies/efficiencies due to consolidations and co-locations will not be realized.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. However, a waiver to an economic analysis will be prepared since this project is congressionally mandated.</p> <p>Base Civil Engineer: Mr. Gary K. Johnson, (937) 257-6214.</p> <p>_____ This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> <p>Project is incrementally funded. Increment 1, \$51M, FY 08, Increment 2, \$30M, FY09 Full authorization of \$81M requested in FY 2008.</p> | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - USAFSAM | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 510-126 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083111 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 30,000 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures | | | |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) All Other Design Costs | | | 0 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 08 MAR |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 08 APR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 SEP |
| (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | NO |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPRC | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
| INITIAL OUTFITTING EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2009 | 8,100 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3080 | 2009 | 450 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2009 | 120 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - PIPELINE DORMITORY | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083114 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 12,600 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 9,340 |
| DORMITORY (96 ROOM) | | SF | 52,080 | 175 | (9,132) |
| ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | SF | 52,080 | 4 | (208) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 2,060 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (660) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (860) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (360) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (180) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 11,400 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 570 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 11,970 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 682 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 12,652 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 12,600 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (1,550) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Three-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls and standing seam metal roof. Includes pipeline student configuration (two students per room), laundry, storage, lounge areas, and all other support. Comply with DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings per Unified Facilities Criteria. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 196 PN Adequate: PN Substandard: PN PROJECT: Pipeline Dormitory. (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: In order to meet requirements of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 actions, a 96 room pipeline student dormitory, meeting Air Force design standards, is needed to house students attending the USAF School of Aerospace Medicine (USAFSAM). A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complex and important jobs these people perform. The retention of these highly trained airmen is essential to our readiness posture and continuing world-wide presence. Comply with DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings per Unified Facilities Criteria. CURRENT SITUATION: The base has insufficient on-base housing to accommodate the unaccompanied enlisted technical training students. BRAC 2005 relocation of the USAF School of Aerospace Medicine has forced the construction of a 96 room (192 person) pipeline dormitory. Brooks City Base presently has a 75 room (150 person) pipeline dormitory for USAFSAM students. However, the facility is presently undersized and requires "triple bunking" of students during times when training programs overlap. | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - PIPELINE DORMITORY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083114 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 12,600 |
| <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Adequate living quarters will not be available, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and overall training effectiveness of the students.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. However, a waiver to an economic analysis will be prepared since this project is congressionally mandated.</p> <p>Base Civil Engineer: Mr. Gary K. Johnson, (937) 257-6214.</p> <p><u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - PIPELINE DORMITORY | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083114 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 12,600 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures | | | |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) All Other Design Costs (\$000) | | | 1,134 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 JAN |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 10 SEP |
| (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | NO |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPRC | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
| INITIAL OUTFITTING EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2010 | 1,550 |

Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 171, McChord Air Force Base, WA

Commission Recommendation: Realign McChord Air Force Base, WA, by reorganizing medical functions under Madigan Army Medical Center, Fort Lewis, WA. McChord Air Force Base medical functions will be reorganized and relocated as directed by the Commander, Madigan Army Medical Center.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.025M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 47.696 | 36.685 | 227.900 | 237.300 | 90.600 | 0 | 640.181 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.236 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 3.126 | 9.649 | 1.071 | 46.017 | 12.946 | 5.881 | 78.690 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 51.058 | 46.334 | 228.971 | 283.317 | 103.546 | 5.881 | 719.107 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 51.058 | 46.334 | 228.971 | 283.317 | 103.546 | 5.881 | 719.107 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 51.058 | 46.334 | 228.971 | 283.317 | 103.546 | 5.881 | 719.107 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.017 | 0.394 | 0.468 | 0.480 | 0.490 | 1.850 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.017 | 0.394 | 0.468 | 0.480 | 0.490 | 1.850 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.102 | 0 | 1.102 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.102 | 0 | 1.102 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.149 | 13.694 | 21.364 | 38.207 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.480 | 9.934 | 17.188 | 28.602 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.474 | 19.698 | 26.661 | 52.833 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.040 | 20.378 | 20.806 | 42.224 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.760 | 3.839 | 7.599 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.646 | 2.701 | 5.347 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.962 | 20.055 | 20.476 | 41.493 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.984 | 21.425 | 42.409 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.105 | 111.149 | 134.460 | 258.714 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.105 | 112.251 | 134.460 | 259.816 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (88) | (197) | 0 | (285) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (168) | (241) | 0 | (409) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 51.058 | 46.334 | 228.971 | 270.212 | (8.705) | (128.579) | 459.291 |

Note: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-03 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations. The Air Force Medical Service reinvested BRAC savings to support emerging missions, increase clinical currency, and enhance medical readiness support for the warfighters. This strategy is consistent with the 2005 BRAC Commission report, the SECDEF justification, and the Air Force's position regarding BRAC savings reinvestment.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX

Commission Recommendation: Realign Lackland Air Force Base, TX, by relocating the inpatient medical function of the 59th Medical Wing (Wilford Hall Medical Center) to the Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, TX, establishing it as the San Antonio Regional Military Medical Center, and converting Wilford Hall Medical Center into an ambulatory care center. Realign Naval Air Station Great Lakes, IL, Sheppard Air Force Base, TX, Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, Naval Medical Center San Diego, CA, by relocating basic and specialty enlisted medical training to Fort Sam Houston, TX. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 2008 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS083560 | METC Medical Instructional Facility (Increment 1) | 172 | 96.400 |
| 2008 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS083561 | METC Dining Facilities | 172 | 38.000 |
| 2008 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS083562 | METC Student Dorm #1 (Increment 1) | 172 | 46.500 |
| 2008 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS083563 | METC Student Dorm #2 (Increment 1) | 172 | 47.000 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 172 | 227.900 |
| 2009 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | CYRB093570 | Medical Field Training Complex | 172 | 18.000 |
| 2009 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS083560 | METC Medical Instructional Facility (Increment 2) | 172 | 96.400 |
| 2009 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS083562 | METC Student Dorm #1 (Increment 2) | 172 | 41.200 |
| 2009 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS083563 | METC Student Dorm #2 (Increment 2) | 172 | 33.700 |
| 2009 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS093564 | METC Student Dorm #3 (Increment 1) | 172 | 48.000 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 172 | 237.300 |
| 2010 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS083560 | METC Medical Instructional Facility (Increment3) | 172 | 40.200 |
| 2010 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS093564 | METC Student Dorm #3 (Increment 2) | 172 | 38.000 |
| 2010 | Fort Sam Houston, TX | MPLS103567 | METC Physical Fitness Facility | 172 | 12.400 |
| | Total FY 2010 | | | 172 | 90.600 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 172 | 555.800 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$84.381M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 172, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.236M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds Initial Outfitting and Transition costs, Temporary Workspace, Utility Studies, and travel Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$78.690M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$46.017M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$1.850M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.468M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$258.714M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$13.105M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -694. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -256.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-03 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations. The Air Force Medical Service reinvested BRAC savings to support emerging missions, increase clinical currency, and enhance medical readiness support for the warfighters. This strategy is consistent with the 2005 BRAC Commission report, the SECDEF justification, and the Air Force's position regarding BRAC savings reinvestment.

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY <u>2009</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP BULLIS, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – MEDICAL FIELD TRAINING COMPLEX | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-621 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CYRB 093570 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 18,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITY | | | | |
| GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING | SM | 1,790 | 1,690 | 10,035 (3,025) |
| AUTOMATION-ATIDED INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING | SM | 767 | 2,110 | (1,618) |
| DINING FACILITY | SM | 232 | 3,240 | (752) |
| EXPEDITONARY DORMS | SM | 1,803 | 1,313 | (2,367) |
| RESTROOMS / SHOWERS | SM | 370 | 1,733 | (641) |
| STORAGE FACILITY, LOWBAY | SM | 929 | 872 | (810) |
| TENT PADS | SM | 8,500 | 51 | (434) |
| AIRCRAFT/VEHICLE STATIC PADS | SM | 4,766 | 51 | (243) |
| MOULAGE | SM | 130 | 398 | (52) |
| ANTITERRORISM MEASURES | LS | | | (93) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | |
| 40% OF PRIMARY FACILITY | LS | | | 5,459 (4,014) |
| SEWAGE LIFT STATION | LS | | | (500) |
| SITE COMMUNICATIONS UTILITY RUN | LS | | | (700) |
| UXO CLEARANCE | LS | | | (245) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 15,494 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 775 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 16,268 |
| SUPERVISION, INSP AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 927 |
| DESIGN COSTS, DESIGN-BUILD (4%) | | | | 651 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 17,846 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 18,000 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | (5,400) |
| OTHER DESIGN COST (9% LESS D/B COSTS) | | | | (969) |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Constructs a Medical Training Facility at Camp Bullis. Primary facilities include the general instruction building, automated-aided instructional building. Supporting facilities include utilities, fire protection and alarm systems, storm drainage, paving, and site improvements. The supporting facilities cost is higher than the typical 20% due to soil conditions being laden with rock making excavation for utilities/foundations, etc. more costly. A new sewage lift station sized to support the planned improvements is needed. A long ductwork system is required for site communications access. Unexploded ordnance disposal is required to safe the site for training activities. The facility will be designed IAW the UFC 4-510-01 (Military Handbook 1191), DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for builds UFC 4-010-01, and the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards/American with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guideline (UFAS/ADAAG). | | | | |
| Air Conditioning: Tons | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 5,891 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 5,891 | | | | |
| PROJECT: Construct Air Force Medical Field Training Complex. (New Mission) | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide field training facilities to support BRAC 2005 realignment actions. Due to the nature of this requirement, there are no acceptable alternatives to this project. UXO clearance is included has part of the supporting facility estimate. | | | | |
| CURRENT SITUATION: AF Medical Field training is provided at Sheppard AFB, TX. Adequate facilities do not exist to bed down the incoming AF Medical Readiness mission at FSH | | | | |
| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this project there will be insufficient field training areas at Camp Bullis to support the BRAC 2005 realignment actions to establish a Joint Medical Training campus. The military medical occupational training requirements, critical for the combined three services will not be met. | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY <u>2009</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP BULLIS, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – MEDICAL FIELD TRAINING COMPLEX | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-621 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CYRB 093570 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 18,000 |

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project will be coordinated with the FSH physical security plan and all physical security measures are included. All required antiterrorism protection measures are included. Alternatives methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project complies with the scope and design criteria of DoD 4270.1-M, Construction Criteria, that were in effect 3 June 2005. The facility will be designed IAW the UFC, 4-010-01,4-021-1, Mass Notification System, 4-023-03 Design of building to resist Progressive Collapse. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13123 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

| | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAMP BULLIS, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - MEDICAL FIELD TRAINING COMPLEX | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-621 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER CYRB 093570 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 18,000 |

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Data:

- (1) Project to be accomplished by Design-Build (D-B) procedures
- (2) Design Basis:
- | | |
|---|--------|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | NO |
| (b) Date of design or parametric cost estimate initiation: | JAN 08 |
| (c) Percentage of design completed by OSD submission date: | 35% |
| (d) Date on which 35% design will be or has been completed: | APR 08 |
| (e) Projected or actual date of design completion: | JUL 08 |
| (f) Type of design contract: | IDIQ |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | NO |
- (3) Construction Basis:
- | | |
|---|--------|
| (a) Estimated Construction Award Date: | JAN 09 |
| (b) Estimated Construction Start Date: | MAR 09 |
| (c) Estimated Construction Completion Date: | DEC 09 |
- (4) All Other Design Costs (\$000) 969

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| INITIAL OUTFITTING (25% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 4,500 |
| TRANSITION (10% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 900 |

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY <u>2009</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - FSH METC MEDICAL INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY (Increment 2) | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-621 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 083560 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 96,400 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITY | | | | |
| GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDINGS | SM | 45,067 | 1,541 | 139,975 (69,443) |
| AUTOMATION-AIDED INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDINGS | SM | 19,315 | 1,747 | (33,743) |
| COMBINED AIR-CONDITIONED/HEAT PLANT BLDG | LS | | | (10,294) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS | SM | 11,840 | 93 | (1,101) |
| COMMISSIONING (1.5% OF PRIMARY FACILITIES) | LS | | | (1,702) |
| O&M MANUALS | LS | | | (255) |
| MASS NOTIFICATION SYSTEM | LS | | | (843) |
| ENERGY MANAGEMENT CONTROL SYSTEM (EMCS) | LS | | | (3,123) |
| BUILDING INFORMATION SYSTEMS | LS | | | (17,201) |
| ANTITERRORISM MEASURES | LS | | | (2,270) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | |
| 25% OF PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | (34,995) |
| CATEGORY E&F EQUIPMENT (6%) | | | | (6,809) |
| TELEPHONE SWITCH | | | | (13,300) |
| TEMPORARY FACILITIES | | | | (325) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 195,404 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 9,770 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 205,174 |
| SUPERVISION, INSP AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 11,695 |
| DESIGN COST, DESIGN-BUILD (4%) | | | | 8,207 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 225,076 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | |
| 225,000 | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | (56,250) |
| OTHER DESIGN COSTS (9% - D/B COSTS) | | | | (12,043) |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Project is funded in three increments. Increment 1 (MPLS 083560A, \$96.4M) is planned for FY2008. Increment 2 (MPLS 083560B, \$96.4M) is planned for FY2009. Increment 3 (MPLS 083560C, \$32.2M) is planned for FY2010. Full Authorization of \$233M requested in FY08. Constructs a Medical Education and Training Facility on Fort Sam Houston (FSH). Primary facilities include the automation-aided instructional building. The work will include special foundations and energy management control systems (EMCS). Supporting facilities include utilities, fire protection and alarm system, storm drainage, paving, and site improvements. Antiterrorism measures and access for individuals with disabilities will be provided. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 125,817 SM ADEQUATE: 49,595 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Construct a Co-located Medical Education and Training Facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: Provide classroom and applied instruction facility in support of BRAC 2005 re-stationing actions at the Army Medical Department Center and School (AMEDD C&S) Fort Sam Houston, TX. Create a co-located Medical Education and Training Facility supporting tri-service training requirements. There are no acceptable alternatives to this project. Direct planning coordination with the installation personnel support services is critical to the balance of available educational facilities with housing, dining, and recreational projects. Project will be phased to coincide with related barracks construction. CURRENT SITUATION: Education services are provided for Army and ITRO students on the AMEDD C&S campus. A review of existing facilities at Ft Sam Houston, TX indicates that there are no buildings of opportunity that are acceptable for instructional purposes available to support the increase in training generated by the projected student population increase of 5,027 average on board (AOB) students | | | | |

per day as directed by BRAC 2005 re-stationing actions.

| | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - FSH METC MEDICAL INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY (Increment 2) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-621 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 083560 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 96,400 |

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, there will be insufficient classroom and laboratory (applied education) capacity on Ft Sam Houston campus to support the move of the BMET ITRO Course currently residing at Sheppard AFB. This move is in support of the BRAC 2005 re-stationing actions to establish a Co-located Medical Education and Training Campus. The military medical occupational training requirements, critical for the combined three services, will not be met.

ADDITIONAL: This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. All required antiterrorism protection measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during the projected development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations and Housing) certifies that this project has considered for joint use potential. The facility will be available for use by other components. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13123 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders.

Related projects include MPLS 083560A (FY2008), MPLS 083560B (FY2009), and MPLS 083560C (FY2010).

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY <u>2009</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - FSH METC MEDICAL INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY (Increment 2) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 171-621 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MCP MPLS 083560 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 96,400 |

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Data:

- (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
- (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -
- (3) All Other Design Costs 12,043
- (4) Construction Contract Award Jan 08
- (5) Construction Start May 08
- (6) Construction Completion Sep 10
- (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed NO

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATIONS | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| INITIAL OUTFITTING (20% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 19,200 |
| TRANSITION (5% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 4,800 |
| INITIAL OUTFITTING (20% OF PA) | 3400 | 2010 | 19,200 |
| TRANSITION (5% OF PA) | 3400 | 2010 | 4,800 |
| INITIAL TRANSITION (20% OF PA) | 3400 | 2011 | 6,400 |
| TRANSITION (5% OF PA) | 3400 | 2011 | 1,850 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 1 (1200 PN) (Increment 2) | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 083562 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 41,200 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITY | | | | |
| AIT DORMITORY (1200 PN) | SM | 30,664 | 1,622 | 63,321 (49,737) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION | SM | 30,664 | 44 | (1,349) |
| COMBINED CENTRAL ENERGY PLANT (CEP) | LS | | | (6,344) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION (CEP) | SM | 585 | 43 | (25) |
| HQ ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY | SM | 1,353 | 1,513 | (2,047) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION (HQ ADMIN) | SM | 1,353 | 43 | (58) |
| ASSEMBLY CANOPY | SM | 223 | 109 | (24) |
| RUNNING TRACK (1/4 MILE) | LS | | | (200) |
| MASS NOTIFICATION SYSTEM | LS | | | (409) |
| INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM | EA | 20 | 5,108 | (102) |
| ANTITERRORISM MEASURES | LS | | | (640) |
| BUILDING INFORMATION SYSTEMS | LS | | | (2,385) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | |
| ELECTRICAL SERVICE | LS | | | 12,796 (1,026) |
| WATER, SEWER, & GAS | LS | | | (2,982) |
| CHILLED WATER DISTRIBUTION | LS | | | (303) |
| PAVING, WALKS, CURGS, GUTTERS | LS | | | (3,488) |
| STORM DRAINAGE | LS | | | (1,506) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (2,791) |
| SITE COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (550) |
| TEMPORARY FACILITIES | LS | | | (150) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 76,117 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 3,806 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 79,923 |
| SUPERVISION, INSP AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 4,556 |
| DESIGN COSTS, DESIGN-BUILD (4%) | | | | 3,197 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 87,676 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 87,700 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | (26,310) |
| OTHER DESIGN COST (9% LESS D/B COSTS) | | | | (4,696) |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Project is funded in two increments. Increment 1 (MPLS 083562A, \$46.5M) is planned for FY2008. Increment 2 (MPLS 083562B, \$41.2M) is planned for FY2009. Full authorization of \$87.7M requested in FY 2008. Project constructs 2 new Student Dormitories for in-coming medical education trainees. This project provides two 600 PN dorms (300 RM) dormitories and a Central Energy Plant [CEP]. The dorms include sleeping room modules, dayrooms, laundry area, elevators, and other student support areas. The CEP will provide gas-fired heating and air conditioning [2,275 Tons] sized to support both dorms. The facility will be connected through the post-wide Local Area Network [LAN], for both energy monitoring and control to the EMCS. HVAC control system shall be the FSH IGDDCS and fully compatible with existing EMCS. Supporting facilities includes utilities, fire protection and alarm system, storm drainage, paving, and site improvements. Parking will be provided for staff only. Antiterrorism measures and access for individual with disabilities will be provided. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 9,243 PN ADEQUATE: 1,586 PN SUBSTANDARD: 2,4396 PN | | | | |
| PROJECT: Construct a new Advanced Individual Trainee [AIT] Dormitory This project is required to provide sleeping, studying, and quality living environment for the co-located Medical Education and Training Center (METC) students. This project also provides service headquarters administrative space for command and control elements required to manage the trainees. Medical students are being training at Ft. Sam Houston (FSH) to carry out critical medical missions through out the world. Medical training units are being realigned from | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY <u>2009</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 1 (1200 PN) (Increment 2) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 083562 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 41,200 |

Sheppard AFB to FSH to accomplish their medical training. Due to the nature of this requirement, there are no acceptable alternatives to this project.

CURRENT SITUATION: Adequate facilities do not exist to bed down the incoming AIT students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this project there will not be adequate dormitory space on Ft. Sam Houston to support the realignment of the BMET ITRO Course currently residing at Sheppard AFB. This move is in support of the BRAC 2005 realignment actions to establish a Joint Medical Training campus. The military medical occupational training requirements, critical for the combined three services will not be met.

ADDITIONAL: This is an incrementally funded project which constructs a 1200 PN dormitory during FY08 and FY09. Increment 1 (MPLS 083562A, \$46.5M) is planned for FY2008. Increment 2 (MPLS 083562B, \$41.2M) is planned for FY2009.

Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project will be coordinated with the FSH physical security plan and all physical security measures are included. All required antiterrorism protection measures are included. Alternatives methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project complies with the scope and design criteria of DoD 4270.1-M, Construction Criteria, that were in effect 3 June 2005. The facility will be designed IAW the UFC, 4-010-01,4-021-1, Mass Notification System, 4-023-03 Design of building to resist Progressive Collapse. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13123 and other applicable laws and executive Orders.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 1 (1200 PN) (Increment 2) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 083562 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 41,200 |

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Data:

- (1) Project to be accomplished by Design-Build (D-B) procedures
- (2) Design Basis:
- | | |
|---|--------|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | Yes |
| (b) Date of design or parametric cost estimate initiation: | AUG 06 |
| (c) Percentage of design completed by OSD submission date: | 35% |
| (d) Date on which 35% design will be or has been completed: | JAN 07 |
| (e) Projected or actual date of design completion: | MAR 08 |
| (f) Type of design contract: | IDIQ |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No |
- (3) Construction Basis:
- | | |
|---|--------|
| (a) Estimated Construction Award Date: | 08 Jan |
| (b) Estimated Construction Start Date: | 08 May |
| (c) Estimated Construction Completion Date: | 09 May |
- (4) All Other Design Costs 4,696

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| INITIAL OUTFITTING (25% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 21,925 |
| TRANSITION (5% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 4,385 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 2 (1200 PN) (Increment 2) | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 083563 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 33,700 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITY | | | | |
| AIT DORMITORY (1200 PN) | SM | 30,664 | 1,622 | 58,339 (49,737) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION | SM | 30,664 | 44 | (1,349) |
| COMBINED CENTRAL ENERGY PLANT (CEP) | LS | | | (962) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION (CEP) | SM | 585 | 43 | (25) |
| HQ ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY | SM | 1,353 | 1,513 | (2,047) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION (HQ ADMIN) | SM | 1,353 | 43 | (58) |
| ASSEMBLY CANOPY | SM | 223 | 109 | (24) |
| RUNNING TRACK (1.3 MILE) | LS | | | (600) |
| MASS NOTIFICATION SYSTEM | LS | | | (409) |
| INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM | EA | 20 | 5,108 | (102) |
| ANTITERRORISM MEASURES | LS | | | (640) |
| BUILDING INFORMATION SYSTEMS | LS | | | (2,385) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | |
| ELECTRICAL SERVICE | LS | | | (407) |
| WATER, SEWER, & GAS | LS | | | (2,982) |
| CHILLED WATER DISTRIBUTION | LS | | | (303) |
| PAVING, WALKS, CURGS, GUTTERS | LS | | | (3,488) |
| STORM DRAINAGE | LS | | | (1,233) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (2,831) |
| SITE COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (308) |
| TEMPORARY FACILITIES | LS | | | (150) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 70,041 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 3,502 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 73,543 |
| SUPERVISION, INSP AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 4,192 |
| DESIGN COSTS, DESIGN-BUILD (4%) | | | | 2,942 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 80,677 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 80,700 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | (24,210) |
| OTHER DESIGN COST (9% LESS D/B COSTS) | | | | (4,321) |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Project is funded in two increments. Increment 1 (MPLS 083563A, \$47.0M) is planned for FY2008. Increment 2 (MPLS 083563B, \$33.7M) is planned for FY2009. Full authorization of \$80.7M requested in FY 2008. Project constructs 2 new Student Dormitories for in-coming medical education trainees. This project provides two 600 PN dorms (300 RM) dormitories and a Central Energy Plant [CEP]. The dorms include sleeping room modules, dayrooms, laundry area, elevators, and other student support areas. The CEP will provide gas-fired heating and air conditioning [2,275 Tons] sized to support both dorms. The facility will be connected through the post-wide Local Area Network [LAN], for both energy monitoring and control to the EMCS. HVAC control system shall be the FSH IGDDCS and fully compatible with existing EMCS. Supporting facilities includes utilities, fire protection and alarm system, storm drainage, paving, and site improvements. Parking will be provided for staff only. Antiterrorism measures and access for individual with disabilities will be provided. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 9,243 PN ADEQUATE: 1,586 PN SUBSTANDARD: 2,496 PN | | | | |
| PROJECT: Construct a new Advanced Individual Trainee [AIT] Dormitory. (New Mission) | | | | |
| REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide sleeping, studying, and quality living environment for the co-located Medical Education and Training Center (METC) students. This project also provides service headquarters administrative space for command and control elements required to manage the trainees. Medical students are being training at Ft. Sam | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY <u>2009</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 2 (1200 PN) (Increment 2) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 083563 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 33,700 |
| <p>Houston (FSH) to carry out critical medical missions through out the world. Medical training units are being realigned from Sheppard AFB to FSH to accomplish their medical training. Due to the nature of this requirement, there are no acceptable alternatives to this project.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Adequate facilities do not exist to bed down the incoming AIT students.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without this project there will not be adequate dormitory space on Ft. Sam Houston to support the realignment of the BMET ITRO Course currently residing at Sheppard AFB. This move is in support of the BRAC 2005 realignment actions to establish a Joint Medical Training campus. The military medical occupational training requirements, critical for the combined three services will not be met.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This is an incrementally funded project which constructs a 1200 PN dormitory during FY08 and FY09. Increment 1 (MPLS 083563A, \$47.0M) is planned for FY2008. Increment 2 (MPLS 083563B, \$33.7M) is planned for FY2009.</p> <p>Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project will be coordinated with the FSH physical security plan and all physical security measures are included. All required antiterrorism protection measures are included. Alternatives methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project complies with the scope and design criteria of DoD 4270.1-M, Construction Criteria, that were in effect 3 June 2005. The facility will be designed IAW the UFC, 4-010-01, 4-021-1, Mass Notification System, 4-023-03 Design of building to resist Progressive Collapse. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13123 and other applicable laws and executive Orders.</p> <p><u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 2 (1200 PN) (Increment 2) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 083563 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 0 Approp: 33,700 |

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Data:

- (1) Project to be accomplished by Design-Build (D-B) procedures
- (2) Design Basis:
- | | |
|---|--------|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | Yes |
| (b) Date of design or parametric cost estimate initiation: | AUG 06 |
| (c) Percentage of design completed by OSD submission date: | 35% |
| (d) Date on which 35% design will be or has been completed: | JAN 07 |
| (e) Projected or actual date of design completion: | MAR 08 |
| (f) Type of design contract: | IDIQ |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No |
- (3) Construction Basis:
- | | |
|---|--------|
| (a) Estimated Construction Award Date: | 08 Jan |
| (b) Estimated Construction Start Date: | 08 May |
| (c) Estimated Construction Completion Date: | 09 May |
- (4) All Other Design Costs 4,321

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| INITIAL OUTFITTING (25% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 11,750 |
| TRANSITION (5% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 2,350 |
| INITIAL OUTFITTING (25% OF PA) | 3400 | 2010 | 8,425 |
| TRANSITION (5% OF PA) | 3400 | 2010 | 1,685 |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 3 (1200 PN) (Increment 1) | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 093564 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 86,000 Approp:48,000 | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | |
| ITEM | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITY | | | | |
| AIT DORMITORY (1200 PN) | SM | 30,664 | 1,655 | 62,086 (50,749) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION | SM | 30,664 | 45 | (1,380) |
| COMBINED CENTRAL ENERGY PLANT (CEP) | LS | | | (6,507) |
| SPECIAL FOUNDATION (CEP) | SM | 585 | 45 | (26) |
| ASSEMBLY CANOPY | SM | 223 | 109 | (25) |
| MASS NOTIFICATION SYSTEM | LS | | | (417) |
| INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM | EA | 20 | 5,250 | (105) |
| ANTITERRORISM MEASURES | LS | | | (627) |
| BUILDING INFORMATION SYSTEMS | LS | | | (2,250) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | |
| ELECTRICAL SERVICE | LS | | | (443) |
| WATER, SEWER, & GAS | LS | | | (3,000) |
| CHILLED WATER DISTRIBUTION | LS | | | (1,000) |
| PAVING, WALKS, CURGS, GUTTERS | LS | | | (3,548) |
| STORM DRAINAGE | LS | | | (1,333) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | LS | | | (2,837) |
| SITE COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | LS | | | (253) |
| TEMPORARY FACILITIES | LS | | | (150) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | 74,650 |
| CONTINGENCY (5%) | | | | 3,733 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | 78,383 |
| SUPERVISION, INSP AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | 4,468 |
| DESIGN COSTS, DESIGN-BUILD (4%) | | | | 3,135 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | 85,986 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | 86,000 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | (25,800) |
| OTHER DESIGN COST (9% LESS D/B COSTS) | | | | (4,605) |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Project is funded in two increments. Increment 1 (MPLS 083564A, \$48.0M) is planned for FY2009. Increment 2 (MPLS 083564B, \$38.0M) is planned for FY2009. Full authorization of \$86M requested in FY 2009. Project constructs 2 new Student Dormitories for in-coming medical education trainees. This project provides two 600 PN dorms (300 RM) dormitories and a Central Energy Plant [CEP]. The dorms include sleeping room modules, dayrooms, laundry area, elevators, and other student support areas. The CEP will provide gas-fired heating and air conditioning [2,275 Tons] sized to support both dorms. The facility will be connected through the post-wide Local Area Network [LAN], for both energy monitoring and control to the EMCS. HVAC control system shall be the FSH IGDDCS and fully compatible with existing EMCS. Supporting facilities includes utilities, fire protection and alarm system, storm drainage, paving, and site improvements. Parking will be provided for staff only. Antiterrorism measures and access for individual with disabilities will be provided. | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: 9,243 PN ADEQUATE: 1,586 PN SUBSTANDARD: 2,396 PN PROJECT: Construct a new Advanced Individual Trainee [AIT] Dormitory. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide sleeping, studying, and quality living environment for the co-located Medical Education and Training Center (METC) students. This project also provides service headquarters administrative space for command and control elements required to manage the trainees. Medical students are being training at Ft. Sam Houston (FSH) to carry out critical medical missions through out the world. Medical training units are being realigned from Sheppard AFB to FSH to accomplish their medical | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC – FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 3 (1200 PN) (Increment 1) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 093564 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 86,000 Approp: 48,000 |

training. Due to the nature of this requirement, there are no acceptable alternatives to this project

CURRENT SITUATION: Adequate facilities do not exist to bed down the incoming AIT students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this project there will not be adequate dormitory space on Ft. Sam Houston to support the realignment of the BMET ITRO Course currently residing at Sheppard AFB. This move is in support of the BRAC 2005 realignment actions to establish a Joint Medical Training campus. The military medical occupational training requirements, critical for the combined three services will not be met.

ADDITIONAL: This is an incrementally funded project which constructs a 1200 PN dormitory during FY08 and FY09. Increment 1 (MPLS 083564A, \$48.0M) is planned for FY2009. Increment 2 (MPLS 083564B, \$38.0M) is planned for FY2010.

Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project will be coordinated with the FSH physical security plan and all physical security measures are included. All required antiterrorism protection measures are included. Alternatives methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project complies with the scope and design criteria of DoD 4270.1-M, Construction Criteria, that were in effect 3 June 2005. The facility will be designed IAW the UFC, 4-010-01,4-021-1, Mass Notification System, 4-023-03 Design of building to resist Progressive Collapse. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13123 and other applicable laws and executive Orders.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an 'as available' basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (AETC) | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE 25 AUG 2006 |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - FSH METC STUDENT DORMITORY 3 (1200 PN) (Increment 1) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-313 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER MPLS 093564 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: 86,000 Approp: 48,000 |

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Estimated Design Data:

- (1) Project to be accomplished by Design-Build (D-B) procedures
- (2) Design Basis:
- | | |
|---|--------|
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | Yes |
| (b) Date of design or parametric cost estimate initiation: | AUG 06 |
| (c) Percentage of design completed by OSD submission date: | 35% |
| (d) Date on which 35% design will be or has been completed: | JAN 07 |
| (e) Projected or actual date of design completion: | MAR 09 |
| (f) Type of design contract: | IDIQ |
| (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | No |
- (3) Construction Basis:
- | | |
|---|--------|
| (a) Estimated Construction Award Date: | JAN 09 |
| (b) Estimated Construction Start Date: | MAY 09 |
| (c) Estimated Construction Completion Date: | MAY 10 |
- (4) All Other Design Costs 4,605

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPROPRIATION | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| INITIAL OUTFITTING (25% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 21,500 |
| TRANSITION (5% OF PA) | 3400 | 2009 | 4,300 |

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB)

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.090 | 0.090 |

Note: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-03 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations. The Air Force Medical Service reinvested BRAC savings to support emerging missions, increase clinical currency, and enhance medical readiness support for the warfighters. This strategy is consistent with the 2005 BRAC Commission report, the SECDEF justification, and the Air Force's position regarding BRAC savings reinvestment.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 173E, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Andrews AFB)

Commission Recommendation: Realign Andrews Air Force Base, MD, by disestablishing the inpatient mission at the 89th Medical Group; convert the hospital to a clinic with an ambulatory surgery center.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.090M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB)

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.060 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0 | 0.060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.060 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0 | 0.060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.060 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0 | 0.060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.060 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0 | 0.060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.060 |

Note: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-03 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations. The Air Force Medical Service reinvested BRAC savings to support emerging missions, increase clinical currency, and enhance medical readiness support for the warfighters. This strategy is consistent with the 2005 BRAC Commission report, the SECDEF justification, and the Air Force's position regarding BRAC savings reinvestment.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 173F, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (MacDill AFB)

Commission Recommendation: Realign MacDill Air Force Base, IL, by disestablishing the inpatient mission at the 6th Medical Group; convert the hospital to a clinic with an ambulatory surgery center.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.060M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB)

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 4.540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.540 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 4.540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.540 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 4.540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.540 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 4.540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.540 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 4.540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.540 |

Note: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-03 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations. The Air Force Medical Service reinvested BRAC savings to support emerging missions, increase clinical currency, and enhance medical readiness support for the warfighters. This strategy is consistent with the 2005 BRAC Commission report, the SECDEF justification, and the Air Force's position regarding BRAC savings reinvestment.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 173G, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Keesler AFB)

Commission Recommendation: Realign Keesler Air Force Base, MS, by disestablishing the inpatient mission at the 81st Medical Group; convert the medical center to a community hospital.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: Total planning and design estimate is \$4.540M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB)

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB)

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0 | 0.045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.045 |

Note: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-03 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations. The Air Force Medical Service reinvested BRAC savings to support emerging missions, increase clinical currency, and enhance medical readiness support for the warfighters. This strategy is consistent with the 2005 BRAC Commission report, the SECDEF justification, and the Air Force's position regarding BRAC savings reinvestment.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 173H, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics (Scott AFB)

Commission Recommendation: Realign Scott Air Force Base, IL, by disestablishing the inpatient mission at the 375th Medical Group; convert the hospital to a clinic with an ambulatory surgery center.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.045M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.024 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.057 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.024 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.057 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.024 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.057 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.024 | 0.033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.057 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 1.055 | 1.075 | 1.101 | 1.139 | 1.159 | 1.183 | 6.712 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 1.055 | 1.075 | 1.101 | 1.139 | 1.159 | 1.183 | 6.712 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.071 | 0.146 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 0.520 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.032 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 6.707 | 8.010 | 9.459 | 3.591 | 3.667 | 31.434 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.018 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 6.707 | 8.093 | 9.617 | 3.754 | 3.833 | 32.004 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 6.707 | 8.098 | 9.617 | 3.754 | 3.833 | 32.009 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | (2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.024 | (6.674) | (8.098) | (9.617) | (3.754) | (3.833) | (31.952) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 175, Commodity Management Privatization Management

Commission Recommendation: Realign Hill Air Force Base, UT, as follows: relocate the supply contracting function for tires to the Inventory Control Point at Defense Supply Center Columbus, OH; disestablish all other supply functions for tires; and disestablish the storage, and distribution functions for tires, packaged petroleum, oils, and lubricants, and compressed gases.

Realign Robins Air Force Base, GA and Tinker Air Force Base, OK, by disestablishing storage and distribution functions for tires, packaged petroleum, oils, and lubricants, and compressed gases at each location.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.057M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$6.712M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.139M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$32.004M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$9.617M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -2. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.043 | 0.167 | 8.531 | 0.430 | 0.403 | 0.385 | 9.959 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.043 | 0.167 | 8.531 | 0.430 | 0.403 | 0.385 | 9.959 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.043 | 0.167 | 8.531 | 0.430 | 0.403 | 0.385 | 9.959 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.043 | 0.167 | 8.531 | 0.430 | 0.403 | 0.385 | 9.959 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.574 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0 | 0.604 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.574 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0 | 0.604 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.107 | 0.632 | 1.507 | 2.433 | 4.679 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0.074 | 0.121 | 0.167 | 0.220 | 0.582 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 10.918 | 13.784 | 16.526 | 19.499 | 60.727 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 11.099 | 14.537 | 18.200 | 22.152 | 65.988 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 11.099 | 14.537 | 18.200 | 22.152 | 65.988 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | (3) | (13) | (19) | (3) | (38) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.043 | 0.167 | (2.568) | (14.107) | (17.797) | (21.767) | (56.029) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 176, Depot-Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation

Commission Recommendation: Realign Tinker Air Force Base, OK, Hill Air Force Base, UT, and Robins Air Force Base, GA, by relocating the Budgeting/Funding, Contracting, Cataloging, Requisition Processing, Customer Services, Item Management, Stock Control, Weapon System Secondary Item Support, Requirement determination, and Integrated Material Management Technical Support Inventory Control Point functions for Consumable Items to Defense Supply Center Richmond, VA, and reestablishing them as Defense Logistics Agency Inventory Control Point functions. Realign Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, by relocating the oversight of Budgeting/Funding, Contracting, Cataloging, Requisition Processing, Customer Services, Item Management, Stock Control, Weapon System Secondary Item Support, Requirement determination, and Integrated Material Management Technical Support Inventory Control Point functions for Consumable Items and the oversight of procurement management and related support function for depot-level repairables to the Defense Logistics Agency, Fort Belvoir, VA.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: Funds PCS, severance, or separation incentives for civilian personnel. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$9.959M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.430M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$0.604M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.012M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$65.988M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$14.537M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -38. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -13.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.022 | 0.092 | 7.544 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 7.678 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.022 | 0.092 | 7.544 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 7.678 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.022 | 0.092 | 7.544 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 7.678 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.022 | 0.092 | 7.544 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 7.678 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0.022 | 0.092 | 7.544 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 7.678 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 177, Supply Storage and Distribution Management Reconfiguration

Commission Recommendation: Realign Robins Air Force Base, GA, by consolidating the supply, storage, and distribution functions and associated inventories supporting depot operations, maintenance, and production at the Warner Robins Air Logistics Center with the supply, storage, and distribution functions at the Warner Robins Strategic Distribution Platform.

Realign Tinker AFB, OK, by consolidating the supply, storage, and distribution functions and associated inventories supporting depot operations, maintenance, and production at the Air Logistics Center, Oklahoma City, OK, with the supply, storage, and distribution functions and inventories at the Oklahoma City Strategic Distribution Platform.

Realign Hill AFB, UT, by consolidating the supply, storage, and distribution functions and associated inventories of the Defense Distribution Depot, Hill, UT, with all other supply, storage, and distribution functions and inventories that exist at the Ogden Air Logistics Center, UT, to support depot operations, maintenance, and production. Retain the necessary supply, storage, and distribution functions and inventories required to support the Ogden Air Logistics Center, UT, and to serve as a wholesale Forward Distribution Point. Relocate all other wholesale storage and distribution functions and associated inventories to the Defense Distribution Depot, San Joaquin, CA, hereby designated the San Joaquin Strategic Distribution Platform.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: Funds temporary duty travel expenses. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$7.678M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.020M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

**Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition,
Test & Evaluation Center**

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.150 | 0.440 | 0.549 | 0.565 | 0.583 | 2.286 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0.043 | 0.170 | 0.169 | 0.176 | 0.182 | 0.740 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.193 | 0.610 | 0.718 | 0.741 | 0.764 | 3.026 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0.017 | 0.052 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.070 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0.017 | 0.052 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.070 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0.136 | 0.279 | 0.286 | 0.294 | 0.300 | 1.295 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0.042 | 0.086 | 0.088 | 0.091 | 0.092 | 0.399 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0.049 | 0.276 | 0.285 | 0.292 | 0.299 | 1.201 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0.136 | 0.150 | 0.154 | 0.158 | 0.161 | 0.759 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0.364 | 0.792 | 0.813 | 0.834 | 0.853 | 3.655 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0.381 | 0.844 | 0.813 | 0.834 | 0.853 | 3.725 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (4) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | (0.381) | (0.844) | (0.813) | (0.834) | (0.853) | (3.725) |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

**Commission # 185, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development, & Acquisition,
Test & Evaluation Center**

Commission Recommendation: Realign Hill Air Force Base, UT, by relocating Weapons and Armaments In-Service Engineering Research, Development & Acquisition, and Test and Evaluation to Eglin Air Force Base, FL. Realign Fort Belvoir, VA, by relocating Defense Threat Reduction Agency National Command Region conventional armament Research to Eglin Air Force Base, FL.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$3.026M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.718M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$3.655M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.813M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -3. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.550 | 2.368 | 91.962 | 40.000 | 0 | 0 | 134.880 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0.323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.323 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.005 | 0 | 0.817 | 3.829 | 18.869 | 43.271 | 66.791 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0.878 | 2.368 | 92.779 | 43.829 | 18.869 | 43.271 | 201.994 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0.878 | 2.368 | 92.779 | 43.829 | 18.869 | 43.271 | 201.994 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0.878 | 2.368 | 92.779 | 43.829 | 18.869 | 43.271 | 201.994 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.055 | 2.573 | 4.764 | 7.425 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.014 | 0.276 | 0.794 | 1.084 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.069 | 2.849 | 5.558 | 8.509 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.116 | 0.126 | 0.198 | 0.441 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.116 | 0.126 | 0.198 | 0.441 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.235 | 9.082 | 10.628 | 23.945 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.816 | 3.794 | 3.945 | 9.554 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.088 | 0.182 | 0.186 | 0.456 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.566 | 0.552 | 1.547 | 2.665 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0.885 | 0.907 | 0.929 | 0.953 | 0.977 | 0.998 | 5.648 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.773 | 1.567 | 3.964 | 6.304 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.777 | 14.316 | 24.989 | 53.081 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0.885 | 0.907 | 0.929 | 22.207 | 30.470 | 46.256 | 101.654 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0.885 | 0.907 | 0.929 | 22.324 | 30.596 | 46.455 | 102.095 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (114) | (12) | 0 | (126) |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (29) | (1) | 0 | (30) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | (0.007) | 1.461 | 91.850 | 21.505 | (11.727) | (3.184) | 99.900 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories

Commission Recommendation: Close the Air Force Research Laboratory, Mesa City, AZ. Relocate all functions to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH. Realign Air Force Research Laboratory, Hanscom, MA, by relocating the Sensors Directorate to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, and the Space Vehicles Directorate to Kirtland Air Force Base, NM. Realign Rome Laboratory, NY, by relocating the Sensor Directorate to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, and consolidating it with the Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensor Directorate at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH. Realign Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, by relocating the Information Systems Directorate to Rome Laboratory, NY. Realign Army Research Laboratory Langley, VA, and Army Research Laboratory Glenn, OH, by relocating the Vehicle Technology Directorates to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083112 | Facilities Infrastructure Upgrade | 187 | 1.314 |
| | | | | 170 | 15.966 |
| | | | | 188A | 0.720 |
| | | ZHTV083112 | | Total | 18.000 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 187 | 1.314 |
| 2008 | Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ | FBNV079000 | Addition to Ops Group HQ for Bed Down of TSSC | 187 | 2.400 |
| 2008 | Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ | FBNV079004 | Construct TSSC Storage | 187 | 0.800 |
| 2008 | Kirtland AFB, NM | MHMOV053096 | Space Vehicle Facility | 187 | 42.700 |
| 2008 | Rome Laboratory, NY | ULDF103001 | Add Alter Information Directorate Lab | 187 | 8.300 |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083106 | AFRL/HE (MESA) | 187 | 34.000 |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083118 | Dining Facility | 187 | 0.072 |
| | | | | 170 | 0.869 |
| | | | | 188A | 0.039 |
| | | ZHTV083118 | | Total | 0.980 |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 187 | 88.272 |
| 2009 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083113 | Add to and Alter Sensors Laboratory (AFRL/SN) | 187 | 40.000 |
| | Total FY 2009 | | | 187 | 40.000 |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 187 | 129.586 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$5.294M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 187, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.323M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds transition support and transportation costs from the labs to Wright Patterson AFB. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$66.791M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.829M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$8.509M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.069M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$101.654M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$22.207M.

Position Changes: Total Position Change estimate is -156. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is -143.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | | 2. DATE | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - ADD TO AND ALTER SENSORS LABORATORY (AFRL/SN) | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 310-932 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083113 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 40,000 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES | | | | | 29,940 |
| ALTER SENSORS LABORATORY | | SF | 35,735 | 115 | (4,110) |
| ALTER ADMINISTRATIVE | | SF | 20,625 | 80 | (1,650) |
| ADD SENSORS LABORATORY | | SF | 76,140 | 276 | (21,028) |
| ADD ADMINISTRATIVE | | SF | 15,480 | 165 | (2,560) |
| ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION | | SF | 147,980 | 4 | (592) |
| SUPPORTING FACILITIES | | | | | 6,400 |
| UTILITIES | | LS | | | (2,800) |
| PAVEMENTS | | LS | | | (1,900) |
| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | | LS | | | (1,200) |
| COMMUNICATIONS | | LS | | | (100) |
| ANTENNA RADAR SYSTEMS FOUNDATION WORK | | EA | 4 | 50,000 | (200) |
| TEMPORARY FACILITIES | | LS | | | (200) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | 36,340 |
| CONTINGENCY (5.0%) | | | | | 1,817 |
| TOTAL CONTRACT COST | | | | | 38,157 |
| SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%) | | | | | 2,175 |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | 40,332 |
| TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) | | | | | 40,000 |
| EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) | | | | | (4,180) |
| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alteration of existing space to meet new mission and latest facility codes. Construct multi-story facility including foundations, perimeter walls, floor slabs of poured reinforced concrete, roof system, utilities and other necessary support. Internally includes secure classified information areas, lab & office areas, clean rooms, raised floors, special electrical power spaces and other electrical distribution systems. Comply with DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings per Unified Facilities Criteria. | | | | | |
| 11. Requirement: 147980 SF Adequate: 0 SF Substandard: 56360 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter Sensors Laboratory. (BRAC) REQUIREMENT: Laboratory space is required to support the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 recommendations to consolidate the Air Force Research Laboratory's (AFRL) Sensors directorates from both Hanscom AFB and Rome Labs with AFRL's existing directorate at Wright-Patterson AFB. This consolidation would allow information fusion and virtual & rapid prototyping through teaming. It would integrate avionics with shared aperture sensor concepts and integrated offensive/defensive functions currently not housed at the same location. The project will also provide secure interactive Modeling and Simulation Laboratories with multi-level security (SCI & SAR) and allow for integrated aircraft and weapons system level | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - ADD TO AND ALTER SENSORS LABORATORY (AFRL/SN) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 310-932 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083113 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 40,000 |
| <p>evaluations. Comply with DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings per Unified Facilities Criteria.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> AFRL's Sensors directorates are presently geographically separated at Hanscom AFB, MA, Rome Laboratory, NY and Wright-Patterson AFB, OH. BRAC 2005 will provide greater synergy across technical disciplines and functions and position DoD to exploit a center-of-mass of scientific, technical, and acquisition expertise.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The Air Force would not be able to comply with congressional direction to realign or close bases. Increased synergies/efficiencies due to consolidations and co-locations will not be realized.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. However, a waiver to an economic analysis will be prepared since this project is congressionally mandated.</p> <p>Base Civil Engineer: Mr. Gary K. Johnson, (937) 257-6214.</p> <p><u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) | | 2. DATE |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO | | 4. PROJECT TITLE BRAC - ADD TO AND ALTER SENSORS LABORATORY (AFRL/SN) | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE 310-932 | 7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV083113 | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 40,000 |
| 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: | | | |
| a. Estimated Design Data: | | | |
| (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures | | | |
| (2) Basis: | | | |
| (a) Standard or Definitive Design - | | | NO |
| (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - | | | |
| (3) All Other Design Costs (\$000) | | | 3,690 |
| (4) Construction Contract Award | | | 09 JAN |
| (5) Construction Start | | | 09 MAR |
| (6) Construction Completion | | | 11 JUL |
| (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed | | | NO |
| b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: | | | |
| EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE | PROCURING APPRC | FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED | COST (\$000) |
| INITIAL OUTFITTING EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2011 | 4,100 |
| COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 3400 | 2011 | 80 |

**Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition,
Test & Evaluation**

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0.720 | 2.289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.009 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.010 | 0 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.012 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0.730 | 2.289 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 3.021 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0.730 | 2.289 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 3.021 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0.730 | 2.289 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 3.021 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.007 | 0.127 | 0.480 | 0.492 | 0.503 | 1.609 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0.079 | 0.288 | 0.296 | 0.302 | 0.965 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 | 0.007 | 0.206 | 0.768 | 0.788 | 0.805 | 2.575 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.064 | 0 | 0 | 0.064 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.064 | 0 | 0 | 0.064 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.035 | 0.071 | 0.073 | 0.074 | 0.253 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0.131 | 0.269 | 0.276 | 0.282 | 0.958 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0.024 | 0.164 | 0.168 | 0.171 | 0.527 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0.010 | 0.179 | 0.183 | 0.187 | 0.560 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.200 | 0.682 | 0.700 | 0.715 | 2.298 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0.200 | 0.746 | 0.700 | 0.715 | 2.361 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0.730 | 2.089 | (0.746) | (0.698) | (0.715) | 0.660 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

Commission Recommendation: Realign Tinker Air Force Base, OK, Robins Air Force Base, GA, and Hill Air Force Base, UT, by relocating fixed wing related Air Platform Development and Acquisition to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH. Realign Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, by relocating fixed wing related Live Fire Test and Evaluation to Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, CA. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083112 | Facilities Infrastructure Upgrade | 188A | 0.720 |
| | | | | 170 | 15.966 |
| | | | | 187 | 1.314 |
| | | ZHTV083112 | | Total | 18.000 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 188A | 0.720 |
| | | | | | |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083101 | Alter Acquisition Mgt Fac (HSG/YA & Fixed Wing) | 188A | 2.250 |
| | | | | 170 | 12.750 |
| | | ZHTV083101 | | Total | 15.000 |
| | | | | | |
| 2008 | Wright-Patterson AFB, OH | ZHTV083118 | Dining Facility | 188A | 0.039 |
| | | | | 170 | 0.869 |
| | | | | 187 | 0.072 |
| | | ZHTV083118 | | Total | 0.980 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 2008 | | | 188A | 2.289 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11 | | | 188A | 3.009 |

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$0.012M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

**Commission # 188A, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition,
Test & Evaluation**

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: Total Recurring Cost estimate is \$2.575M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.768M.

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$2.298M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.682M.

Position Changes: N/A. Total Position Change estimate is -1. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is 0.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

**Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition,
Test & Evaluation**

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0.012 | 0 | 6.976 | 0 | 0 | 6.988 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 | 0.012 | 0 | 6.976 | 0 | 0 | 6.988 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 | 0.012 | 0 | 6.976 | 0 | 0 | 6.988 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 | 0.012 | 0 | 6.976 | 0 | 0 | 6.988 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 | 0.012 | 0 | 6.976 | (0.001) | (0.001) | 6.986 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

**Commission # 188B, Establish Center for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition,
Test & Evaluation**

Commission Recommendation: Realign Tinker Air Force Base, OK, Robins, Air Force Base, GA, and Hill Air Force Base, UT, by relocating fixed wing related Air Platform Development and Acquisition to Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH.

Realign Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH, by relocating fixed wing related Live Fire Test and Evaluation to Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, CA.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: Funds transportation (teardown, handling, and shipping) of Live Fires Testing specialized equipment from Wright Patterson AFB to China Lake. Also, travel to coordinate movement of Live Fire Testing equipment to China Lake, and to coordinate the movement of Army's 7SFG to Eglin. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$6.988M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.976M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 0 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 0 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 0 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 0 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

Commission # 189, Establish Center for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

Commission Recommendation: Realign Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, by relocating Air Force Materiel Command V-22 activities in rotary wing air platform development and acquisition to Patuxent River, MD. Realign the Naval Air Engineering Station Lakehurst, NJ, by relocating activities in rotary wing air platform development, acquisition, test and evaluation to Patuxent River, MD. Realign Ft. Rucker, AL, by relocating the Aviation Technical Test Center to Redstone Arsenal, AL, and consolidating it with the Technical Test Center at Redstone Arsenal, AL. Realign Robins Air Force Base, GA, by relocating activities in rotary wing air platform development and acquisition to Redstone Arsenal, AL. The cost data below only addresses the Air Force funded portion of this recommendation.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK

| Component: U.S. Air Force | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 06 - 11 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0.720 | 8.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.920 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 2.084 | 2.160 | 1.257 | 1.313 | 0.992 | 1.849 | 9.655 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0.630 | 0.481 | 0.009 | 6.500 | 0 | 0 | 7.620 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 3.434 | 10.841 | 1.266 | 7.813 | 0.992 | 1.849 | 26.195 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 3.434 | 10.841 | 1.266 | 7.813 | 0.992 | 1.849 | 26.195 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 3.434 | 10.841 | 1.266 | 7.813 | 0.992 | 1.849 | 26.195 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 12.601 | 12.910 | 13.238 | 13.582 | 13.867 | 66.199 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 | 12.601 | 12.910 | 13.238 | 13.582 | 13.867 | 66.199 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 | 12.601 | 12.910 | 13.238 | 13.582 | 13.867 | 66.199 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 3.434 | (1.760) | (11.644) | (5.425) | (12.590) | (12.018) | (40.004) |

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

Commission # 195, Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK

Commission Recommendation: Close Galena Forward Operating Location, Alaska.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

| FY | Location | Project | Project Title | Comm # | Amount(\$M) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 2007 | Eielson AFB, AK | FTQW031078 | Repair Combat Alert Cell Aprons | 195 | 3.100 |
| 2007 | Eielson AFB, AK | FTQW055555 | Repair Combat Alert Cell B1300 | 195 | 5.100 |
| | Total FY 2007 | | | 195 | 8.200 |
| | | | | | |
| | Total FY 06-11* | | | 195 | 8.200 |

*Does not include total planning and design estimate of \$0.720M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$0.000M.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: Funds environmental requirements at Galena. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$9.655M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$1.313M.

Operation and Maintenance: Funds caretaker expense at Galena. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$7.620M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$6.500M.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: Total Recurring Saving estimate is \$66.199M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$13.238M.

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation or manpower reductions. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

U.S. Air Force/U.S Air Force Program Management Summary Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
USAF/Program Management

| Component: U.S. Air Force | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 17.653 | 25.595 | 138.933 | 60.926 | 22.703 | 23.584 | 289.394 |
| Military Personnel - PCS | 0 | 36.909 | 11.851 | 3.265 | 0 | 0 | 52.025 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs | 17.653 | 62.504 | 150.784 | 64.191 | 22.703 | 23.584 | 341.419 |
| Estimated Land Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Budget Request | 17.653 | 62.504 | 150.784 | 64.191 | 22.703 | 23.584 | 341.419 |
| One-Time Costs | | | | | | | |
| Funded Outside of the Account | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Homeowners Assistance Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Costs Outside of the Account | 0 |
| Grand Total One-Time Implementation Costs | 17.653 | 62.504 | 150.784 | 64.191 | 22.703 | 23.584 | 341.419 |
| Recurring Costs: (memo non-add) | | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Costs (memo non-add) | 0 |

FY 2009 Budget Estimates
Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005
Cost and Savings by Fiscal Year
(Dollars in Millions)
USAF/Program Management

| <u>Component: U.S. Air Force</u> | <u>FY 2006</u> | <u>FY 2007</u> | <u>FY 2008</u> | <u>FY 2009</u> | <u>FY 2010</u> | <u>FY 2011</u> | <u>FY 06 - 11</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Time Savings | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Family Housing - Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Cost Avoidance PCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total One-Time Savings | 0 |
| Recurring Savings | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel Entitlements: | | | | | | | |
| Officer Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted Salary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing Allowance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Family Housing Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sustainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recapitalization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other: | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mission Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Recurring Savings | 0 |
| Grand Total Savings | 0 |
| Net Civilian Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Military Manpower Position Changes (+/-) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Implementation Costs | | | | | | | |
| Less Estimated Land Revenues: | 17.653 | 62.504 | 150.784 | 64.191 | 22.703 | 23.584 | 341.419 |

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
2005 Commission
FY 2009
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/U.S Air Force Program Management Summary

Commission Recommendation: This exhibit displays overall one-time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, and combines the costs of program management as a result of the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. These costs generally include headquarters management requirements.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: Provides funds for civilian personnel and program management. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$289.394M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$60.926M.

Military Personnel - PCS: Provides funds for military personnel moves or mandays for reserve component training requirements. Total One-Time Cost estimate is \$52.025M. The FY 2009 Budget Estimate is \$3.265M.

Other: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A

Estimated Land Revenues: N/A

Recurring Costs: N/A

Recurring Savings: N/A

Position Changes: N/A

Savings: N/A

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE | FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | | 2. DATE 18 Dec 07 | | |
| 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION Various | | 4. PROJECT TITLE Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) – MILCON Planning and Design | | | |
| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27998F | 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 4,173 | | |
| 9. COST ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| ITEM | | U/M | QUANTITY | UNIT COST | COST (\$000) |
| PRIMARY FACILITIES MILCON PLANNING AND DESIGN | | LS | | | 4,173 (4,173) |
| SUBTOTAL | | | | | <u>4,173</u> |
| TOTAL REQUEST | | | | | <u>4,173</u> |
| 10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: The funds requested will be used to provide financing for architectural and engineering services for Air Force Military Construction for implementing Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 recommendations. | | | | | |
| 11. REQUIREMENT: LS ADEQUATE: LS SUBSTANDARD: LS | | | | | |
| <u>PROJECT</u> : As required. | | | | | |
| <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : Planning and design funds are required to initiate the design of facilities for BRAC MILCON construction programs to implement the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (DBCRC) recommendations. | | | | | |

BRAC 05 CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING

2005 Commission

FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data
2005 Commission
BRAC Construction Project Listing
Department of the Air Force
(Dollars in Thousands)

| State Code | Project Location | PROJECT TITLE | Fiscal Year | Authorization Request | Appropriation Request |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Various | Various | Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) – MILCON Planning and Design | 2006 | 108,992 | 108,992 |
| Total FY 2006: | | | | 108,992 | 108,992 |
| AK | Eielson AFB | Repair Combat Alert Cell Aprons | 2007 | 3,100 | 3,100 |
| AK | Eielson AFB | Repair Combat Alert Cell B1300 | 2007 | 5,100 | 5,100 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | C-17 Acft Parking Apron | 2007 | 6,400 | 6,400 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | C-17 Engine Facility | 2007 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Construct Infra Utilities | 2007 | 17,185 | 17,185 |
| AR | Fort Smith Regional APT AGS | A10 Consolidated Corr Control/Fuel Cell | 2007 | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| AR | Fort Smith Regional APT AGS | A10 Mun Load Crew Fac | 2007 | 2,850 | 2,850 |
| AR | Little Rock AFB | 1-Bay Corrosion Control Hangar | 2007 | 12,100 | 12,100 |
| AR | Little Rock AFB | 1-Bay Flight Simulator Bldg | 2007 | 4,250 | 4,250 |
| AR | Little Rock AFB | AMC HQ Wing Bldg | 2007 | 7,400 | 7,400 |
| AR | Little Rock AFB | Squadron Ops / AMU | 2007 | 12,800 | 12,800 |
| CA | March ARB | BRAC AFR Add/Alter Squadron Operations | 2007 | 2,250 | 2,250 |
| CA | Vandenberg AFB | Construct Satellite Control Facility | 2007 | 43,800 | 43,800 |
| CO | Buckley AFB | BRAC AFR Security Forces Squadron Training | 2007 | 2,300 | 2,300 |
| FL | Homestead ARB | BRAC AFR Add Weapons Release Shop | 2007 | 2,350 | 2,350 |
| FL | Homestead ARB | BRAC AFR Add/Alter Squad Ops/AMXS | 2007 | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | Add/Alter Bldg 6. | 2007 | 16,500 | 16,500 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | BRAC AFR Aerospace Medicine Flt Training | 2007 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | BRAC AFR Training Facility | 2007 | 7,200 | 7,200 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | Reconfigure B54 Consolidated CP | 2007 | 4,495 | 4,495 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | Reconfigure B55 for MXG/MOS | 2007 | 2,926 | 2,926 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | Repair KC135 Parking Apron | 2007 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| GA | Moody AFB | Weapons Release Shop (A-10 BD) | 2007 | 3,850 | 3,850 |
| GA | Moody AFB | A-10 Engine Trim Pad | 2007 | 1,650 | 1,650 |
| GA | Moody AFB | Fuel Cell Hangar, 2 Bay (A-10 BD) | 2007 | 7,700 | 7,700 |
| GA | Robins AFB | Relocate 202 EIS Veh Maintenance | 2007 | 880 | 880 |
| ID | Mountain Home AFB | Install Fire Suppression in Hangar 205 | 2007 | 1,387 | 1,387 |
| IL | Scott AFB | HQ USTRANSCOM Facilities | 2007 | 83,800 | 83,800 |
| IL | Scott AFB | Mobility Air Force Logisitics Support Center Phase II (permanent facilities) | 2007 | 8,756 | 8,756 |

FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data
2005 Commission
BRAC Construction Project Listing
Department of the Air Force
(Dollars in Thousands)

| State Code | Project Location | PROJECT TITLE | Fiscal Year | Authorization Request | Appropriation Request |
|------------|----------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| LA | Barksdale AFB | BRAC AFR Aircraft Maintenance Squadron | 2007 | 1,750 | 1,750 |
| LA | Barksdale AFB | BRAC AFR Squad Ops/Life Support | 2007 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | ADAL Engine Shop | 2007 | 830 | 830 |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | ADAL Fuel Cell /Corr | 2007 | 3,600 | 3,600 |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | ADAL Maint Facilities | 2007 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | ADAL Squad Ops Facility | 2007 | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | Barnes - Install Aircraft Arresting System | 2007 | 380 | 380 |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | Upgrade F-15 Apron | 2007 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| MD | Andrews AFB | BRAC Headquarters ANG and Readiness Center | 2007 | 57,817 | 57,817 |
| MO | Whiteman AFB | BRAC AFR Munitions Igloos | 2007 | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| MO | Whiteman AFB | BRAC AFR Munitions Maintenance | 2007 | 1,850 | 1,850 |
| MO | Whiteman AFB | BRAC AFR Squad Ops | 2007 | 8,100 | 8,100 |
| MS | Columbus AFB | ADAL SUPT Operations Bldg 236 | 2007 | 1,550 | 1,550 |
| MS | Columbus AFB | Add Alter Flight Simulator Bldg 268 | 2007 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| MS | Columbus AFB | Expand CASS System | 2007 | 830 | 830 |
| MS | Columbus AFB | IFF Squadron Operations Facility | 2007 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| MT | Great Falls IAP AGS | ADAL ASE Shop | 2007 | 2,550 | 2,550 |
| MT | Great Falls IAP AGS | ADAL Weapons & Rel Shop | 2007 | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| MT | Great Falls IAP AGS | Squadron Operations Facility | 2007 | 8,500 | 8,500 |
| MT | Great Falls IAP AGS | Upgrade Avionics and ECM | 2007 | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| NC | Seymour Johnson AFB | BRAC AFR Add.Alter Maintenance Shop | 2007 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| NC | Seymour Johnson AFB | BRAC AFR Aircraft Parts Store | 2007 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| NC | Seymour Johnson AFB | BRAC AFR Corrosion Control Hangar | 2007 | 9,400 | 9,400 |
| NC | Seymour Johnson AFB | BRAC AFR Flight Simulator | 2007 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| NC | Seymour Johnson AFB | BRAC AFR Squad Ops/AMU | 2007 | 13,081 | 13,081 |
| NC | Seymour Johnson AFB | F100 Engine CIRF Propulsion Shop/parts store | 2007 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
| NV | Nellis AFB | BRAC AFR Training Facility | 2007 | 10,800 | 10,800 |
| NV | Nellis AFB | Construct Aircraft Maintenance Complex | 2007 | 13,200 | 13,200 |
| NV | Nellis AFB | Construct Aircraft Maintenance Shop Facilities | 2007 | 7,192 | 7,192 |
| NV | Nellis AFB | Construct Aircraft Operations Facilities | 2007 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| NV | Nellis AFB | Construct Flight Simulator Facility | 2007 | 10,100 | 10,100 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | Facilities Infrastructure Upgrade | 2007 | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| OK | Tinker AFB | BRAC AFR Expand Fuel Hydrant System | 2007 | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| OK | Vance AFB | ADAL Aircraft Parking Apron | 2007 | 7,540 | 7,540 |

FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data
2005 Commission
BRAC Construction Project Listing
Department of the Air Force
(Dollars in Thousands)

| State Code | Project Location | PROJECT TITLE | Fiscal Year | Authorization Request | Appropriation Request |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| OK | Vance AFB | Add/Alter Survival Equipment Shop | 2007 | 955 | 955 |
| OK | Vance AFB | IFF No-Drop Range and Land Purchase | 2007 | 2,750 | 2,750 |
| OK | Vance AFB | Renovate Simulator Facility 672 | 2007 | 1,820 | 1,820 |
| OK | Vance AFB | Squadron Facilities | 2007 | 4,530 | 4,530 |
| OK | Will Rogers World APT AGS | Relocate Air Traffic Operations | 2007 | 4,600 | 4,600 |
| SC | Shaw AFB | Add Alter ECM Pod shop; ALQ-184 CIRF | 2007 | 1,850 | 1,850 |
| TX | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB | BRAC AFR Add Munitions Maintenance | 2007 | 780 | 780 |
| TX | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB | BRAC AFR Add Weapons Release Shop | 2007 | 2,350 | 2,350 |
| TX | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB | BRAC AFR Add/Alter Squad Ops | 2007 | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| TX | Lackland AFB | Intelligence Operations Center | 2007 | 14,200 | 14,200 |
| TX | Laughlin AFB | ADAL Aircraft Parking Apron | 2007 | 2,957 | 2,957 |
| TX | Laughlin AFB | ADAL Aircraft Weather Shelter | 2007 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| TX | Laughlin AFB | ADAL Egress Shop | 2007 | 1,612 | 1,612 |
| TX | Laughlin AFB | ADAL Fuels Systems Maintenance Facility | 2007 | 860 | 860 |
| TX | Laughlin AFB | ADAL NDI Shop | 2007 | 1,981 | 1,981 |
| TX | Laughlin AFB | ADAL Simulator Facility | 2007 | 1,469 | 1,469 |
| TX | Laughlin AFB | ADAL Student Training Complex | 2007 | 3,980 | 3,980 |
| TX | Laughlin AFB | Construct No-Drop Bomb Range with Land Acquisition | 2007 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| TX | Randolph AFB | Add/Alter Building 738 for IFF Sims | 2007 | 460 | 460 |
| TX | Randolph AFB | IFF CASS and Ramp Improvements | 2007 | 527 | 527 |
| TX | Randolph AFB | IFF Renovate Hangar 6 | 2007 | 2,895 | 2,895 |
| VA | Langley AFB | LOGISTICS SUPPORT CENTER (179 PN) | 2007 | 13,200 | 13,200 |
| Various | Various | Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) – MILCON Planning and Design | 2007 | 82,227 | 82,227 |
| Total FY 2007: | | | | 663,002 | 663,002 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Aircraft Maintenance Complex | 2008 | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Alter Alert Helicopter Hangar | 2008 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Alter Helicopter Maint Hq | 2008 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Pararescue Operations Cmp | 2008 | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| AR | Little Rock AFB | AGE / Engine Facility | 2008 | 2,800 | 2,800 |

Base Realignment and Closure Construction Projects Exhibit (BC_05)

FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data
2005 Commission
BRAC Construction Project Listing
Department of the Air Force
(Dollars in Thousands)

| State Code | Project Location | PROJECT TITLE | Fiscal Year | Authorization Request | Appropriation Request |
|------------|---|---|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| AZ | Davis-Monthan AFB | Addition to Ops Group HQ for Bed Down of TSSC | 2008 | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| AZ | Davis-Monthan AFB | Construct TSSC Storage | 2008 | 800 | 800 |
| CO | Buckley AFB | Utility Infrastructure Construction | 2008 | 10,080 | 10,080 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | JSF Academic Simulator Facility | 2008 | 26,000 | 26,000 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment 1) | 2008 | 38,800 | 17,000 |
| FL | Homestead ARB | BRAC AFRC Add Avionics and ECM Shop | 2008 | 2,150 | 2,150 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | BRAC AFR Add Services Flight Training | 2008 | 840 | 840 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | BRAC AFR Aeromedical Stag Sqd Training | 2008 | 3,150 | 3,150 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | BRAC AFR CE and Disaster Prep Training | 2008 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | BRAC AFR Comm Squadron Trainng | 2008 | 940 | 940 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | BRAC AFR FF Admin/Training | 2008 | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| FL | MacDill AFB | BRAC AFR SF Squadron Training | 2008 | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| FL | NAS Pensacola | BRAC-AF CSO Training Hangar | 2008 | 36,500 | 36,500 |
| FL | NAS Pensacola | BRAC-CSO Training Facility | 2008 | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| GA | Moody AFB | BRAC Dormitory, 120-PN | 2008 | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| GA | Moody AFB | TF-34 Engine CIRF (A-10 BD) | 2008 | 6,800 | 6,800 |
| GA | Robins AFB | Relocate 202 EIS Ops | 2008 | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| LA | New Orleans ARS, NAS New Orleans JRB | Relocate 214 EIS Ops | 2008 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | Add To Munitions Stge | 2008 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | ASA Alert Complex | 2008 | 16,500 | 16,500 |
| MI | Selfridge ANGB | Add to Fuel/Corr Cntl | 2008 | 1,050 | 1,050 |
| MT | Great Falls IAP AGS | Upgrade Munitions Storage | 2008 | 3,300 | 3,300 |
| NC | Seymour Johnson AFB | Construct Flightline Kitchen Facility | 2008 | 960 | 960 |
| ND | Grand Forks AFB | Convert Hangar for UAV Corrosion Control | 2008 | 1,280 | 1,280 |
| NM | Kirtland AFB | Space Vehicle Facility | 2008 | 42,700 | 42,700 |
| NY | Rome Laboratory | Add Alter Information Directorate Lab | 2008 | 8,300 | 8,300 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | AFIOH Facility | 2008 | 54,000 | 54,000 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | AFRL/HE (Brooks) | 2008 | 32,000 | 32,000 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | AFRL/HE (MESA) | 2008 | 34,000 | 34,000 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | Alter Acquisition Mgt Fac (HSG/YA & Fixed Wing) | 2008 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | Alter Materials Laboratory (HSG/YA Labs) | 2008 | 6,200 | 6,200 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | Dining Facility | 2008 | 980 | 980 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | Radiation Calibration Facility | 2008 | 4,600 | 4,600 |

FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data
2005 Commission
BRAC Construction Project Listing
Department of the Air Force
(Dollars in Thousands)

| State Code | Project Location | PROJECT TITLE | Fiscal Year | Authorization Request | Appropriation Request |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | USAFSAM (Increment 1) | 2008 | 81,000 | 51,000 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | USAFSAM Consult Service | 2008 | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| OK | Tinker AFB | BRAC AFR Squad Ops/Life Support | 2008 | 6,900 | 6,900 |
| SC | Fort Jackson | Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education | 2008 | 750 | 750 |
| SC | Shaw AFB | BRAC Child Development Center | 2008 | 2,650 | 2,650 |
| SC | Shaw AFB | BRAC Fitness Center | 2008 | 7,100 | 7,100 |
| SC | Shaw AFB | BRAC Transient Lodging Facility | 2008 | 2,150 | 2,150 |
| SC | Shaw AFB | BRAC Visiting Officer Quarters | 2008 | 3,250 | 3,250 |
| TX | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB | BRAC AFR Munitions Igloos | 2008 | 1,250 | 1,250 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Dining Facilities | 2008 | 38,000 | 38,000 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Medical Instructional Facility (Increment 1) | 2008 | 233,000 | 96,400 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Student Dorm #1 (Increment 1) | 2008 | 87,700 | 46,500 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Student Dorm #2 (Increment 1) | 2008 | 80,700 | 47,000 |
| TX | Lackland AFB | Headquarters Administrative Center | 2008 | 37,000 | 37,000 |
| TX | Randolph AFB | Administrative Center | 2008 | 10,900 | 10,900 |
| UT | Hill AFB | F110 Engine CIRF | 2008 | 2,300 | 2,300 |
| VA | Fort Lee | Ft Lee USAF Dining Facility | 2008 | 7,300 | 7,300 |
| VA | Fort Lee | Ft Lee USAF Dormitory | 2008 | 34,700 | 34,700 |
| WY | Cheyenne MAP AGS | Squadron Operations Addition | 2008 | 3,200 | 3,200 |
| WY | Francis E. Warren AFB | Upgrade Missile Operations Gate | 2008 | 4,700 | 4,700 |
| Various | Various | Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) – MILCON Planning and Design | 2008 | 18,839 | 18,839 |
| Total FY 2008: | | | | 1,120,269 | 856,969 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Acft Support Eq Shop | 2009 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Add to Aerial Port | 2009 | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Add to and Alter for Squad Ops and AMU | 2009 | 8,200 | 8,200 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Add to Combat Arms Maintenance and Training Simulator | 2009 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Alter Bldg 8515 for Supply and Security Forces | 2009 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Base Engineer Complex | 2009 | 500 | 500 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Fuel Cell/Corrosion Control Facility | 2009 | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Medical Training Facility | 2009 | 5,400 | 5,400 |

FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data
2005 Commission
BRAC Construction Project Listing
Department of the Air Force
(Dollars in Thousands)

| State Code | Project Location | PROJECT TITLE | Fiscal Year | Authorization Request | Appropriation Request |
|------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Operations and Training Facility | 2009 | 8,900 | 8,900 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Training Fire Station | 2009 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Vehicle Maintenance Shop | 2009 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| CO | Buckley AFB | BRAC AFR Training Facility | 2009 | 7,200 | 7,200 |
| CO | Buckley AFB | BRAC ARPC Administrative | 2009 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| CT | Bradley IAP AGS | Upgrade A-10 Engine CIRF | 2009 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | BRAC F-35 Construction Haul Road | 2009 | 810 | 810 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | BRAC F-35 DUKE FIELD BARRIERS | 2009 | 1,550 | 1,550 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1318 | 2009 | 3,810 | 3,810 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | F-35 (JSF) RENOVATE MAINTENANCE DOCK B1344 | 2009 | 2,006 | 2,006 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | F-35 (JSF) RENOVATE WAREHOUSE B1404 | 2009 | 1,050 | 1,050 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | F-35 (JSF) Utility Infrastructure Upgrades | 2009 | 10,400 | 10,400 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | JSF IFT Dining Facility | 2009 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment 2) | 2009 | 0 | 21,800 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | JSF Munition Maintenance | 2009 | 8,900 | 8,900 |
| FL | NAS Pensacola | BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters | 2009 | 39,600 | 39,600 |
| GA | Moody AFB | BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic | 2009 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| GA | Moody AFB | BRAC Child Development Center | 2009 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| GA | Moody AFB | BRAC Community Activity Center | 2009 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| GA | Moody AFB | BRAC Transient Lodging Facility | 2009 | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| GA | Moody AFB | BRAC Visiting Quarters | 2009 | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| GA | Moody AFB | LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm | 2009 | 2,550 | 2,550 |
| HI | Hickam AFB | Flight Simulator Training Facility | 2009 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| IA | Sioux Gateway APT | KC-135 Test Apron and Taxiway | 2009 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| IL | Capital APT AGS | F-16 CIRF SOUND SUPPRESSOR FOUNDATION | 2009 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| IL | Capital APT AGS | Upgrade F-16 Engine CIRF | 2009 | 6,200 | 6,200 |
| KS | McConnell AFB | Munitions Delivery Road | 2009 | 1,450 | 1,450 |
| KS | McConnell AFB | STAMP Relocation | 2009 | 4,900 | 4,900 |
| KS | McConnell AFB | STRAPP Relocation | 2009 | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| LA | New Orleans ARS, NAS New Orleans | Establish F-15 CIRF | 2009 | 5,100 | 5,100 |
| | JRB | | | | |
| LA | New Orleans ARS, NAS New Orleans | F-15 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation | 2009 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| | JRB | | | | |
| MA | Barnes MPT AGS | EOD Facility | 2009 | 1,750 | 1,750 |

FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data
2005 Commission
BRAC Construction Project Listing
Department of the Air Force
(Dollars in Thousands)

| State Code | Project Location | PROJECT TITLE | Fiscal Year | Authorization Request | Appropriation Request |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| MD | Andrews AFB | BRAC Construct Administrative Facility | 2009 | 53,000 | 53,000 |
| MD | Andrews AFB | BRAC Construct POV Lane, Pearl Harbor Gate | 2009 | 1,350 | 1,350 |
| MI | Selfridge ANGB | Add To Alert Complex | 2009 | 870 | 870 |
| MO | Lambert - St. Louis IAP AGS | Relocate 157 AOG | 2009 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| NV | Nellis AFB | Construct Airfield Pavements | 2009 | 7,800 | 7,800 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | Add to and Alter Sensors Laboratory (AFRL/SN) | 2009 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | Pipeline Dormitory | 2009 | 12,600 | 12,600 |
| OH | Wright-Patterson AFB | USAFSAM (Increment 2) | 2009 | 0 | 30,000 |
| SC | Shaw AFB | Dormitory Renovation for HQ 3rd Army | 2009 | 2,350 | 2,350 |
| TN | McGhee Tyson APT AGS | Expand Parking Apron & Hydrant Sys | 2009 | 5,200 | 5,200 |
| TX | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB | BRAC AFR Add Avionics Shop | 2009 | 1,050 | 1,050 |
| TX | Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB | BRAC AFR ECM Shop | 2009 | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| TX | Ellington Field | Relocate 272 EIS HQ | 2009 | 2,650 | 2,650 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | Medical Field Training Complex | 2009 | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Medical Instructional Facility (Increment 2) | 2009 | 0 | 96,400 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Student Dorm #1 (Increment 2) | 2009 | 0 | 41,200 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Student Dorm #2 (Increment 2) | 2009 | 0 | 33,700 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Student Dorm #3 (Increment 1) | 2009 | 86,000 | 48,000 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | Tri-Service Research Facility | 2009 | 79,500 | 79,500 |
| TX | Randolph AFB | AF Audit Agency Relocation | 2009 | 1,336 | 1,336 |
| UT | Hill AFB | Renovate LANTIRN CIRF Bldgs 584 & 578 | 2009 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| WA | Fairchild AFB | Relocate Combat Communications | 2009 | 12,800 | 12,800 |
| WI | Gen Mitchell IAP AGS | Add Hydrant Refueling Outlet | 2009 | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| Various | Various | Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) – MILCON Planning and Design | 2009 | 4,173 | 4,173 |
| Total FY 2009: | | | | 553,055 | 738,155 |
| AK | Elmendorf AFB | Aircraft Parking Apron Phase II | 2010 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | CE Facility | 2010 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | Child Development Center | 2010 | 9,900 | 9,900 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | Dental Clinic Replacement | 2010 | 11,400 | 11,400 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | Fitness Facility | 2010 | 2,750 | 2,750 |

FY 2009 Base Realignment and Closure Data
 2005 Commission
 BRAC Construction Project Listing
 Department of the Air Force
 (Dollars in Thousands)

| State Code | Project Location | PROJECT TITLE | Fiscal Year | Authorization Request | Appropriation Request |
|-----------------------|--|--|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| FL | Eglin AFB | School Age Facility | 2010 | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | Security Forces Facility | 2010 | 890 | 890 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | Taxiway Extension | 2010 | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | Traffic Management Cargo Processing Facility | 2010 | 900 | 900 |
| FL | Eglin AFB | Youth Center | 2010 | 530 | 530 |
| PA | Willow Grove ARS, NAS Willow Grove JRB | Establish Enclave | 2010 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Medical Instructional Facility (Increment3) | 2010 | 0 | 40,200 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Physical Fitness Facility | 2010 | 12,400 | 12,400 |
| TX | Fort Sam Houston | METC Student Dorm #3 (Increment 2) | 2010 | 0 | 38,000 |
| Total FY 2010: | | | | 63,370 | 141,570 |