

Department of the Air Force

Military Family Housing

Fiscal Year (FY) 2007 Budget Estimates

Justification Data Submitted to Congress February 2006

FAMILY HOUSING

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

This Military Family Housing request reflects the Air Force's commitment to revitalize inadequate houses and provide service members with homes that meet contemporary standards similar to the size and floor pattern of homes constructed in the local community. The Air Force created the Air Force Family Housing Master Plan (FHMP) as the "roadmap" to guide our planning and programming of investment, operations and maintenance, and privatization in military family housing.

This budget request fully funds the AF FHMP to meet Air Force's 2007/2009 goal: eliminating all inadequate housing units in CONUS by FY 2007 and overseas by FY 2009. The Air Force FHMP provides a balanced, requirements based strategy that integrates and prioritizes traditional construction and operations and maintenance, with a measured approach to privatization into a single "roadmap." The Air Force recognizes that we rely on the local community and privatized housing to provide 70 percent of our military family housing needs. When local community housing is unavailable, or inadequate, or demand for base housing is high due to economic factors, we construct, replace, improve, or repair and maintain existing military family housing to modern-day, industry standards. Also, where possible and fiscally appropriate, we attempt to lease adequate housing for our families.

Consistent with AF FHMP priorities, this budget provides a program that emphasizes construction to upgrade homes to whole-house standards, and supports operations and maintenance of our housing inventory for daily operations to "keep the doors open" and where needed to keep "good houses good." In this way we prevent deterioration in our existing adequate inventory. We are accelerating revitalization of inadequate homes in the worst condition by improving or replacing to contemporary standards, where economically justifiable.

The operations, maintenance and leasing accounts predominantly support "must pay" requirements. These costs include service contracts, lease contracts, utilities, and essential maintenance for operating the units and contract funding to correct life safety, health, and facility preservation issues that cannot wait for MILCON funding.

DoD developed a new Housing Requirements and Market Analysis (HRMA) process to determine the housing requirements in 2003. As a result, the Air Force conducted HRMAs for all installations in 2003/2004 and updated in 2005 to reflect new market condition. The on-base housing requirements were reduced and surplus housing identified. The Air Force established policy and strategy to dispose of the unneeded surplus housing through Military Construction (MILCON), privatization, conveyance, transfer, conversions and demolition. This budget request reflects the implementation of our surplus housing policy and strategy.

The Air Force is also committed to continuing a measured approach to privatization to revitalize where projected life-cycle costs are similar or better than traditional military construction and operations and maintenance life-cycle costs.

February 2006

We believe this funding profile represents a well-balanced, fiscally constrained program. By allocating more funds to construction investment, we are more aggressively attacking our inadequate units, and ensuring M&R dollars are working to fund "must pay" bills and essential housing repairs. We respectfully request full support for the Air Force family housing needs presented herein.

February 2006 Page No. 256

Program Assessment Rating Tool

The Administration has applied the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART) to the DoD Family Housing Program. The Housing PART received high scores for purpose and planning. It also revealed that DoD needs to work toward eliminating inadequate housing by 2007, eliminating all out-of-pocket housing expenses, and privatize government-owned housing, where feasible. For more details see next chart.

February 2006 Page No. 257

ExpectMore.gov

EXPECT FEDERAL PROGRAMS TO PERFORM WELL, AND BETTER EVERY YEAR.



PROGRAM View Similar Programs

RATING What This Rating Means

PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

Defense Housing

DoD's housing program provides housing to military service members and their families. DoD does this in two ways -- by providing housing allowances (BAH) to service members (who find housing in the private sector or in privatized housing on-base) or by providing members DoD-owned housing.

PERFORMING

Moderately Effective

- The purpose of the program is clearly defined - to provide quality housing for our service members.
- The program has clear and ambitious goals. At the end of 2005, DoD
 had eliminated over 85,000 inadequate units, fewer than projected. At the
 end of 2005, DoD owned approximately 88,000 inadequate housing units,
 higher than was projected. DoD continues to address the unique challenges
 of bringing private and public sectors together to meet the goals of the
 program.
- DoD should reduce the federal role by privatizing more governmentowned housing. DoD has reduced the federal role in housing by increasing allowances - - by eliminating service member's average out-of-pocket expenses for housing.

IMPROVEMENT PLAN About Improvement Plans

We are taking the following actions to improve the performance of the program:

- Working toward meeting yearly targets so that DoD can eliminate all inadequate housing by 2007.
- Privatizing government-owned housing, where feasible, so that military service members and their families can live in quality housing and reduce the role of government in housing.

LEARN MORE

- Details and Current Status of this program assessment.
- How all Federal programs are assessed.
- · Learn more about Defense Housing.

Family Housing 2002-2011 Summary of Inadequate unit Elimination

	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011
Beginning Fiscal Year Inventory	103,883	100,432	97,924	93,204	84,254	58,732	35,458	35,206	35,206	35,260
Adequate Inventory	35,309	39,560	40,649	41,714	42,095	38,320	32,503	34,859	35,206	35,260
Inadequate Inventory	66,023	60,872	57,275	51,490	42,159	20,412	2,955	347	0	0
Percent Inadequate	63%	61%	58%	55%	50%	35%	8%	1%	0%	0%
Budget Impact*										
Inadequate Reduced	5,151	3,597	5,785	9,331	21,747	17,457	2,608	1,446	581	576
MILCON/O&M	2,263	1,534	2,036	1,249	4,780	3,922	2,358	1,446	524	576
Privatization	2,863	1,573	2,673	6,203	14,389	10,986	0	0	0	0
Demolition/Divestiture	25	490	1,076	1,879	2,578	2,549	250	0	57	0
Adequate Units Privatized	561	211	1,082	985	8,259	7,549	0	0	0	(
Estimated EOY Inadequate Units	60,872	57,275	51,490	42,159	20,412	2,955	347	0	0	(
Estimated EOY Total Inventory	100,432	97,924	93,204	84,254	58,732	35,458	35,206	35,206	35,260	35,362

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at tl	he beginning o	f FY2002	103,883	66,023	
FY2002 tr	aditional const	truction, improvement, and			
O&M proj	ects to elimina	te inadequate units	100 × 20 ×		2,011
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Andersen	1,390	332	112
AMC	Replace Hsg	Andrews	2,077	1,629	
11th WG	Improve Hsg	Bolling	1,400	839	
11th WG	Replace Hsg	Bolling	1000年1月1日		
AMC	Improve Hsg	Charleston	1,348	785	178
AMC	Replace Hsg	Dover	1,512	276	
ACC	Replace Hsg	Ellsworth	1,008	603	78
AMC	Improve Hsg	Grand Forks	1,489	1,128	181
PACAF	Replace Hsg	Hickam	2,560	1,490	
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Kadena	8,162	3,583	108
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein	5,019	3,307	407
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Lajes Field	468	256	64
ACC	Replace Hsg	Langley	1,512	226	
ACC	Improve Hsg	Langley	No. 7 Bit Street		
AETC	Replace Hsg	Luke	1,008	645	
USAFE	Improve Hsg	RAF Croughton	270	185	158
USAFE	Improve Hsg	RAF Lakenheath/Mildenhall	1,406	1,183	156
USAFE	Replace Hsg	RAF Lakenheath/Mildenhall		1.00	31
USAFE	Improve Hsg	RAF Molesworth	389	147	119
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Spangdahlem	1,130	800	138
AMC ·	Replace Hsg	Travis	1,103	920	
AMC	Improve Hsg	Travis			
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Yokota	2,709	1,072	281
Privatizati	on projects ex	ecuted to eliminate			
inadequat	e housing		-3,424	第二章	2,863
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Brooks City Base	-170		170
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Wright-Patterson	-1,732		1,379
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Lackland	-272		272
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Dyess	0		0
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Robins	-666		666
PACAF	Privatize Hsg	Elmendorf	-584		376
		rise permanently removed	0-	25 (2) 12 (3)	
USAF	y housing inve	Various bases - Adjustments for O&M "whole house" projects, demolition, and adjustments in execution	-25 -25		25

Projects	added by Cong	ress in previous FY	0		252
AMC	Improve Hsg	Charleston			32
ACC	Replace Hsg	Mt Home			56
ACC	Improve Hsg	Whiteman			164
Deficit C	construction pro	jects	0		0
Units at	end of FY2002		100,434	60,872	5,151
	Differ	ent from Beginning amount by =	-3,449	-5,151	-
NOTES:					
1. Bases	without projects	are not shown.			

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at th	ne beginning of	FY2003	100,434	60,872	
		ruction, improvement, and			
		te inadequate units	and the contract of		1,459
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Andersen	1,390	444	112
AMC	Replace Hsg	Andrews	2,077	1,427	
AMC	Replace Hsg	Dover	1,548	924	
ACC	Replace Hsg	Dyess	992	808	85
ACC	Replace Hsg	Ellsworth	1,008	525	22
AMC	Replace Hsg	Grand Forks	1,489	947	150
PACAF	Replace Hsg	Hickam	2,660	1,056	
ACC	Replace Hsg	Holloman	1,440	904	
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Kadena	8,162	3,475	124
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein	5,019	2,900	19
USAFE	Improve Hsq	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein	NAME OF STREET	CERCESSIEN.	376
ACC	Improve Hsg	Langley	1,512	156	
AETC	Replace Hsg	Luke	874	668	
AMC	Replace Hsg	MacDill	794	591	
AFSPC	Replace Hsg	Malmstrom	1,406	1,027	18
ACC	Replace Hsg	Minot	2,387	1,540	214
ACC	Replace Hsg	Mountain Home	1,530	1,052	95
PACAF	Construct Hsg	Osan	123	0	0
AFSPC	Improve Hsg	Peterson	493	208	
USAFE	Replace Hsg	RAF Lakenheath/Mildenhall	1,130	662	96
AETC	Replace Hsg	Randolph	1,103	802	
ACC	Replace Hsg	Seymour Johnson	1,689	1,258	126
AMC	Replace Hsg	Travis	2,428	1,212	,
AFA	Replace Hsg	USAF Academy	1247	845	
AFA	Improve Hsg	USAF Academy	A STREET		
AETC	Replace Hsg	Vance	230	230	
ACC	Replace Hsg	Whiteman	1,129	715	22

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Privatizat	ion projects ex	ecuted to eliminate		ON MARKE WEST SERVE	
	te housing		-1,784	BUK SINK!	1,573
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Kirtland	-1,784		1,573
	nolished/otherv ly housing inve	wise permanently removed entory	-840		490
USAF	Other	Various bases - Adjustments for O&M "whole house" projects, demolition, and adjustments in execution	-840		490
Projects a	dded by Cong	ress in previous FY	0		75
ACC	Replace Hsg	Whiteman			75
Deficit Co	nstruction proj	ects	114		0
PACAF	Construct Hsg	Osan	112		
AFSPC	Construct Hsg	Peterson	2		
Units at er	nd of FY2003		97,924	57,275	3,597
	Differe	nt from Beginning amount by =	-2,510	-3,597	
NOTES:					
1. Bases w	ithout projects a	re not shown.			

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at t	he beginning o	f FY2004	97,924	57,275	
		ruction, improvement, and te inadequate units		22,657	2,036
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Andersen	1,390	332	165
AMC	Replace Hsg	Andrews	1,536	995	
ACC	Replace Hsg	Davis-Monthan	1,256	1,028	
ACC	Replace Hsg	Dyess	992	723	116
ACC	Replace Hsg	Ellsworth	1,008	818	75
AMC	Replace Hsg	Grand Forks	1,489	1,098	144
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Incirlik (Note 2)	900	900	
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Kadena	8,162	5,601	122
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein	5,270	2,784	182
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Lajes Field	468	196	42
AFSPC	Improve Hsg	Los Angeles	645	546	
AFSPC	Replace Hsg	Malmstrom	1,406	884	94
ACC	Replace Hsg	Minot	2,387	1,347	200
PACAF	HN Replace	Misawa	2,256	292	120
ACC	Replace Hsg	Mountain Home	1,492	1,171	186
PACAF	Construct Hsg	Osan	123	0	
USAFE	Replace Hsg	RAF Lakenheath/Mildenhall	1,130	648	89
ACC	Replace Hsg	Seymour Johnson	1,583	1,170	138
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Spangdahlem (Note 2)	1,604	374	
ACC	Replace Hsg	Whiteman	1,129	656	100
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Yokota	2,540	1,094	263

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
		ecuted to eliminate	0.755		0.670
	te housing (Pro		-3,755		2,673
PACAF	Privatize Hsg	Elmendorf II	-960		434
AFSPC	Privatize Hsg	Buckley	0	35120	0
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Little Rock	-1,535		1201
AFSOC	Privatize Hsg	Moody	-300		78
AFSPC	Privatize Hsg	Patrick	-960		960
		vise permanently removed	1.070		1.076
from fami	ly housing inve	entory	-1,076		1,076
USAF	Other	Various bases - Adjustments for O&M "whole house" projects, demolition, and adjustments in execution	-1,076		1,076
USAFE	Demolish	Ramstein	-1,076		1,076
				ACE AND PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	
Projects a	dded by Congi	ress in previous FY	0		0
Deficit Co	nstruction proj	ects	111		0
PACAF	Construct Hsg		111		
Units at e	nd of FY2004		93,204	51,490	5,785
	Differe	ent from Beginning amount by =	-4,720	-5,785	
NOTES:					
 Bases w 	rithout projects a	are not shown.			

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at t	ne beginning of	FY2005	93,204	51,490	
EV0005 :	120				
		ruction, improvement, and te inadequate units (Note 1)			1,249
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Kadena	8,162	5,479	132
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein	4,194	1,526	144
AFSPC	Replace Hsg	Malmstrom	1,413	898	115
ACC	Replace Hsg	Minot	2,095	1,285	142
ACC	Replace Hsg	Mountain Home	1,492	978	147
PACAF	Construct Hsg	Osan	235	0	
USAFE	Replace Hsg	RAF Lakenheath/Mildenhall	1,137	544	154
ACC	Replace Hsg	Seymour Johnson	1,583	1,061	167
ACC	Replace Hsg	Whiteman	1,085	540	160
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Yokota	2,540	1,006	88
	ion projects exe te housing Privatize Hsg	Dover	-7,188 -1,548		6,203 1,262
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Hanscom	-687		575
AI IVIC	I livatize risy	Hallscom			0/0
PACAE	Privatize Hsg	Hickam			1356
PACAF	Privatize Hsg	Hickam	-1,356		1356
PACAF AFMC ACC	Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg	Hickam Hill Offutt			1356 703 2,307
AFMC ACC Units den	Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg	Offutt ise permanently removed	-1,356 -1141		703
AFMC ACC Units den from fami	Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg nolished/otherw ly housing inve	Offutt ise permanently removed	-1,356 -1141 -2,456		703 2,307
AFMC ACC Units dem from fami	Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg nolished/otherw ly housing inve	Offutt vise permanently removed entory ess in previous FY	-1,356 -1141 -2,456 -1,879		703 2,307 1,879
AFMC ACC Units dem from fami	Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg nolished/otherw ly housing inve	Offutt vise permanently removed entory ess in previous FY	-1,356 -1141 -2,456 -1,879		703 2,307 1,879
AFMC ACC Units dem from fami Projects a Deficit Co	Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg nolished/otherw ly housing inve	Offutt Oise permanently removed entory ess in previous FY ects	-1,356 -1141 -2,456 -1,879 0		703 2,307 1,879 0
AFMC ACC Units dem from fami Projects a Deficit Co	Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg nolished/otherw ly housing inve	Offutt Oise permanently removed entory ess in previous FY ects	-1,356 -1141 -2,456 -1,879 0	42,159 -9,331	703 2,307 1,879 0
AFMC ACC Units dem from fami Projects a Deficit Co	Privatize Hsg Privatize Hsg nolished/otherw ly housing inve	Hill Offutt rise permanently removed entory ess in previous FY ects Osan	-1,356 -1141 -2,456 -1,879 0 117 117	42,159	703 2,307 1,879 0

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at t	he beginning o	f FY2006	84,254	42,159	
FY2006 tr	aditional const	ruction, improvement, and			
		te inadequate units (Note 1)			4,780
AFMC	Improve Hsq	Arnold	36	36	16
AMC	Replace Hsg	Charleston	1,276	560	10
ACC	Replace Hsg	Dyess	992	607	190
AFMC	Replace Hsg	Edwards	1,367	644	226
PACAF	Replace Hsg	Eielson	1,110	631	92
ACC	Replace Hsg	Ellsworth	866	616	60
AMC	Replace Hsg	Grand Forks	1,465	888	150
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Incirlik	900	900	100
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Incirlik	NAMES OF STREET	ORLANDED PRO	235
AETC	Replace Hsg	Keesler	1,866	1,568	1,067
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Kadena	8,164	5,347	570
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein	4,144	1,332	101
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein		1,002	16
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Lajes Field	458	150	150
AFSPC	Replace Hsg	Malmstrom	1,413	783	296
AMC	Improve Hsg	McConnell	1,413	700	3
ACC	Replace Hsg	Minot	2.045	1,143	223
PACAF		Misawa	2,045		281
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Moron		1,106	
ACC	Improve Hsg		36	34	34
Contract of the Contract of th	Replace Hsg	Mountain Home	1,365	704	194
ACC	Improve Hsg	Mountain Home	of the second second		12
USAFE	Replace Hsg	RAF Lakenheath/Mildenhall	1,137	390	107
USAFE	Improve Hsg	RAF Lakenheath/Mildenhall	50%		10
ACC	Replace Hsg	Seymour Johnson	1,563	894	255
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Spangdahlem	1,514	270	79
ACC	Replace Hsg	Whiteman	1,085	380	111
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Yokota	2,540	918	192
Privatizati	on projects exe	ecuted to eliminate	1		
inadequat	e housing		-22,648		14,389
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Altus	-966		406
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Barksdale	-729	42.47	426
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Beale	-1,444		1,246
11th WG	Privatize Hsg	Bolling	-1,343	The Land of	839
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Davis-Monthan	-1,256	A 5 7 5 6 5	935
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Eglin	-2359		1197
PACAF	Privatize Hsg	Hickam	-1,306		1,236
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Holloman	-1,440		803
AFSOC	Privatize Hsg	Hurlburt	-380		69
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Lackland	-564	Mark Holes	564
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Langley	-1,512		1,112
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Luke	-874		528
AMC	Privatize Hsg	McGuire	-1,882		1,420

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Nellis	-1,313		900
AMC	Privatize Hsq	Scott	-1,426		420
AETC	Privatize Hsq	Sheppard	-1192		626
USAFA	Privatize Hsg	USAFA	-1,210		820
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Tyndall	-934		546
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Wright-Patterson II	-518		296
AETC	Demolition	Keesler ress in previous FY	-3,174 -799		2,578 501
			200		
PACAF	Acquisition	Eielson	300 300		0
Units at e	nd of FY2006		58,732	20,412	21,747
	Differe	ent from Beginning amount by =	-25,522	-21,747	
NOTES:					
	ithout projects				
Goodfell	ow is privatizati	on deficit construction			The second second

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at t	he beginning o	of FY2007	58,732	20,412	5.000
		truction, improvement, and			
		ate inadequate units			3,922
AFMC	Improve Hsg	Arnold	36	20	20
ACC	Replace Hsg	Dyess	992	417	199
PACAF	Replace Hsg	Eielson	1,110	539	129
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Eielson	医数性性性的		264
AMC	Replace Hsg	Grand Forks	1,297	420	
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Kadena	8,164	4,777	511
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein	3,874	937	101
USAFE	Improve Hsg	Kaiserslautern / Ramstein			19
AFSPC	Replace Hsg	Malmstrom	1,413	487	493
ACC	Improve Hsg	Minot		PARTIES TO	156
ACC	Replace Hsg	Minot	1,889	770	575
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Misawa	2,221	825	313
ACC	Replace Hsg	Mountain Home	1,330	463	457
USAFE	Replace Hsg	RAF Lakenheath/Mildenhall	1,137	267	74
ACC	Replace Hsg	Seymour Johnson	1,469	545	56
ACC	Improve Hsg	Seymour Johnson	No. of Parts	A PROPERTY.	134
USAFE	Replace Hsg	Spangdahlem	1,505	182	60
ACC	Replace Hsg	Whiteman	1,071	255	116
ACC	Improve Hsg	Whiteman		20年 南門縣	59
PACAF	Improve Hsg	Yokota	2,540	726	186
Privatizati	ion projects ex	ecuted to eliminate		电控制进程	
	e housing (Pro		-18,535		10,986
AMC	Privatize Hsg	Andrews	-1,384		554
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Cannon	-1,372		712
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Columbus	-775		545
AMC	Privatize Hsg	Fairchild	-1333		776
AFPSC	Privatize Hsg	FE Warren	-831		621
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Goodfellow	-98		96
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Laughlin	-558		58
AFSPC	Privatize Hsg	Los Angeles	-638		274
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Maxwell	-968		361
AMC	Privatize Hsg	McChord	-983		498
AMC	Privatize Hsg	McDill	-661		360
AFSPC	Privatize Hsg	Peterson	-493		360
AETC	Privatize Hsg	Randolph	-803		710
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Robins II	-807		634
ACC	Privatize Hsg	Shaw	-1,704	14/4/	The same of the sa
AFMC	Privatize Hsg	Tinker	-730		1,704
AMC	Privatize Hsg	Travis	- C		337
AFSPC	Privatize Hsg	Vandenburg	-2,428		1,046
11 01 0	i ilvalize risg	vanuenburg	-1969	SE CONTRACTOR DE	1340

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
		wise permanently removed		D. N. S. B.	
from fami	ly housing inv	entory	-4,739		2,549
USAF	Other	Various bases - Adjustments for O&M "whole house" projects, demolition, and adjustments in execution	-4,739		2,549
PACAF	Demolish	Andersen	-300	3.54	164
AMC	Demolish	Charleston	-301		301
ACC	Demolish	Dyess (MILCON)	-122		122
AFMC	Demolish	Edwards (MILCON)	-137		137
ACC	Demolish	Ellsworth	-500		500
AMC	Demolish	Grand Forks (MILCON)	-92	ALCOLDER	92
AMC	Demolish	Grand Forks	-100		100
USAFE	Demolish	Kaiserslautern/Ramstein (MILCON)	-302		56
USAFE	Demolish/ Transfer	Kaiserslautern/Ramstein	-598	1	171
ACC	Demolish	Minot (MILCON)	-117		117
ACC	Demolish	Mountain Home (MILCON)	-6		6
USAFE	Demolish	RAF Alconbury	-58		58
AFMC	Demolish	RAF Croughton	-67		31
ACC	Demolish	Seymour Johnson (MILCON)	-184		184
USAFE	Demolish	Spangdahlem (MILCON)	-12		12
USAFE	Transfer	Spangdahlem	-1,056		0
ACC	Demolish	Whiteman	-160		160
AETC	Transfer	Pope	-627		338
Projects a	dded by Cong	ress in previous FY	0		0
Deficit Co	nstruction pro	jects	0		0
Units at e	nd of FY2007		35,458	2,955	17,457
	Differ	ent from Beginning amount by =	-23,274	-17,457	
NOTES:		-			
	ithout projects				

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at the	he beginning o	f FY2008	35,458	2,955	
		truction, improvement, and te inadequate units			2,358
	ion projects ex te housing	ecuted to eliminate	0		0
	nolished/other	wise permanently removed entory	-304		250
Projects a	added by Cong	ress in previous FY	0		0
Deficit Construction projects		52		0	
Units at e	nd of FY2008		35,206	347	2,608
	Differ	ent from Beginning amount by =	-252	-2,608	

МАЈСОМ	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at t	he beginning o	f FY2009	35,206	347	
		truction, improvement, and te inadequate units			1,446
	ion projects ex te housing	ecuted to eliminate	0		0
	nolished/other	wise permanently removed entory	0		0
Projects a	added by Cong	ress in previous FY	0		0
Deficit Co	nstruction pro	jects	0		0
Units at e	nd of FY2009		35,206	0	1,446
	Differe	ent from Beginning amount by =	0	-347	
		Host Nation Replacement	2,911		

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at t	he beginning o	f FY2010	35,206	0	
		truction, improvement, and te inadequate units			524
S. 355	tion projects ex te housing	ecuted to eliminate	0		0
	nolished/othervilly housing inve	vise permanently removed entory	-57		57
Projects	added by Cong	ress in previous FY	0		0
Deficit Co	onstruction pro	jects	111	11545 (575	0
Units at e	nd of FY2010		35,260	0	581
	Differe	ent from Beginning amount by =	54	0	
Note:			+		
 Kadena 	units will becom	ne inadequate in FY10			

MAJCOM	Project Type	Base	Total Inventory minus Leased & Privatized	Total Inadequate Inventory	Total Inadequate Addressed
Units at th	e beginning o	f FY2011	35,260	0	
		truction, improvement, and te inadequate units			576
Privatization inadequate		ecuted to eliminate	0	40011	0
	olished/otherv y housing inve	vise permanently removed entory	0		0
Projects a	dded by Cong	ress in previous FY	0		0
Deficit Cor	struction pro	jects	102		0
Units at en	d of FY2011		35,362	0	576
	Differe	ent from Beginning amount by =	102	0	
Note: 1. Kadena 8	& Yokota units	will become inadequate in FY11			

SUBJECT	<u>PAGE</u>
FAMILY HOUSING NARRATIVE	255
INDEX FINANCIAL SUMMARY	275 279
LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE	
Authorization Appropriation	281 283
NEW CONSTRUCTION	
New/Current Mission Activities	285
Construction Purpose and Scope	287
Eielson AFB, AK Mountain Home AFB, ID Whiteman AFB, MO Malmstrom AFB, MT Seymour Johnson AFB, NC Minot AFB, ND Dyess AFB, TX Ramstein AB, Germany Spangdahlem AB, Germany RAF Lakenheath, UK	289 293 297 301 305 309 313 317 321 325
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	
Purpose and Scope Alaska Missouri North Carolina North Dakota Tennessee	329 332 332 333 333

SUBJECT	PAGE
Overseas	
Germany	334
Japan	334
United Kingdom	336
Post Acquisition Over \$50,000 per Unit	
Eielson AFB, AK	339
Whitman AFB, MO	341
Seymour-Johnson AFB, NC	343
Minot AFB, ND	345
Arnold AFB, TN	347
Overseas	
Ramstein AB, Germany	349
Kadena AB, Japan	351
Misawa AB, Japan	353
Misawa AB, Japan	355
Yokota AB, Japan	357
ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN	359
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY	
Narrative (Purpose and Scope)	361
Inventory and Funding Summary FH-2	365
Historic Housing Summary	369
OPERATIONS	371
Management OP-5	372
Services OP-5	374
Furnishings OP-5	376
Miscellaneous OP-5	378
UTILITIES	
Utilities OP-5	381

SUBJECT	<u>PAGE</u>
MAINTENANCE Maintenance OP-5	383
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OVER \$20,000 PER UNIT Non-GOQ Units Over \$20K Per Unit	387
GFOQ O&M COSTS General//Flag Officer Quarters Over \$35K Per Unit Anticipated General/Flag Officer Quarters Expenditures Exceeding \$35K Per Unit	389
REIMBURSABLE PROGRAM Reimbursement OP-5	391
Purpose and Scope Leasing OP-5 Exhibit FH-4, Leasing (Other than Section 801 & 802) Exhibit FH-4A, High Cost Foreign Leased Units Exhibit FH-4B, Section 801 Leases	392 394 396 397 398
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION Overview Privatization OP-5 Housing Privatization Initiative-Exhibit FH-6	401 403 407
DEBT PAYMENT Purpose and Scope	413
FOREIGN CURRENCY Foreign Currency Exchange Data, PB-18 Exhibit	415

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

SUMMARY

FY 2007 FINANCIAL SUMMARY

AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION REQUESTED FOR FY 2007:

FUNDING PROGRAM FY 2007		(\$000)
Construction		\$766,159
Post-Acquisition Construction		403,777
Advance Planning and Design		13,202
Appropriation Request: Construction		1,183,138
Operations, Utilities and Maintenance Operating Expenses Utilities Maintenance	150,328 103,250 342,298	595,876
Housing Privatization		37,899
Leasing - Worldwide		121,295
Debt Payment Premiums for Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Coverage		1
Appropriation Request: O&M, Leasing, Housing Privatization and Debt Payment		755,071
Appropriation Request		1,938,209
Reimbursement Program		11,994
FY 2007 FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAM		\$1,878,543

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE

FY 2007 AUTHORIZATION LANGUAGE

SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING

CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant (a) to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A)), the Secretary of the Air Force may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the installations, for the purposes, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

STATE	INSTALLATION	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Alaska	Eielson AFB	129 Units	\$87,414,000
Idaho	Mt. Home AFB	457 Units	\$107,800,000
Missouri	Whiteman AFB	116 Units	\$39,270,000
Montana	Malmstrom AFB	493 Units	\$140,252,000
North Carolina	Seymour Johnson AFB	56 Units	\$22,596,000
North Dakota	Minot AFB	575 Units	\$171,188,000
Texas	Dyess AFB	199 Units	\$49,215,000
Germany	Ramstein AB	101 Units	\$73,488,000
Germany	Spangdahlem AB	60 Units	\$39,294,000

February 2006 Page No.

UK

RAF Lakenheath

74 Units

\$35,282,000

Total

\$766,159,000

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$13,202,000.

SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENT TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

Subject to section 2825 of Title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$403,777,000.

SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE

- (a) IN GENERAL
 - (5) for Military Family Housing functions -
 - (A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvement of military family housing and facilities, \$1,183,138,000.
 - (B) For support of military family housing (including functions described in section 2833 of Title 10, United States Code), \$755,071,000.

February 2006

Page No.

282

FY 2007 APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE

Family Housing Construction, Air Force

For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension and alteration, as authorized by law, \$1,183,138,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011.

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for operation and maintenance, including debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums, as authorized by law, \$755,071,000.

February 2006 Page No. 283

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

NEW CONSTRUCTION

FY 2007 NEW/CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES

In compliance with the Senate Appropriations Committee Report (100-380) on the FY 1989 Military Construction Appropriation Act, the Air Force has included the following exhibit that displays construction projects requested in two separate categories: new mission and current mission. "New Mission" projects are projects that support deployment and beddown of new weapon systems, new program initiatives, and major mission expansions. "Current Mission" projects are projects that either replace inadequate existing facilities or construct new facilities which are not available to meet current requirements.

LOCATION	MISSION	NUMBER OF UNITS	REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT (\$000)
REPLACEMENT HOUSING			
Eielson AFB, AK	Current	129	\$87,414
Mt Home AFB, ID	Current	457	\$107,800
Whiteman AFB, MO	Current	116	\$39,270
Malmstrom AFB, MT	Current	493	\$140,252
Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	Current	56	\$22,956
Minot AFB, ND	Current	575	\$171,188
Dyess AFB, TX	Current	199	\$49,215
Ramstein AB, Germany	Current	101	\$73,488
Spangdahlem AB, Germany	Current	60	\$39,294
RAF Lakenheath, UK	Current	74	\$35,282

February 2006 Page No. 285

SUMMARY:	REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT (\$000)
NEW MISSION TOTAL	\$ 0
CURRENT MISSION TOTAL	\$766,159
IMPROVEMENTS	\$403,777
PLANNING AND DESIGN	\$ <u>13,202</u>
GRAND TOTAL	\$1,183,138

February 2006 Page No. 286

FY 2007 NEW CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands) FY 2007 Program \$766,159 FY 2006 Program \$956,231

Purpose and Scope

This program provides for the construction of new homes where the local community cannot provide adequate housing and replacement of existing homes, where improvements for Air Force personnel are not economically feasible, and support facilities where existing facilities are inadequate. Costs reflect all amounts necessary to provide complete and usable facilities.

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for: replacement of 2,260 units. A summary of the funding program for FY 2007 is as follows:

AUTHORIZATION		Number of	Requested
Type/Locations	Mission	<u>Units</u>	Amount (\$000)
REPLACEMENT HOUSING			
Eielson AFB, AK	Current	129	\$87,414
Mt Home AFB, ID	Current	457	\$107,800
Whiteman AFB, MO	Current	116	\$39,270
Malmstrom AFB, MT	Current	493	\$140,252
Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	Current	56	\$22,956
Minot AFB, ND	Current	575	\$171,188
Dyess AFB, TX	Current	199	\$49,215
Ramstein AB, Germany	Current	101	\$73,488
Spangdahlem AB, Germany	Current	60	\$39,294
RAF Lakenheath, UK	Current	74	\$35,282

February 2006 Page No. 287

AUTHORIZATION	Requested Amount (\$000)
NEW MISSION TOTAL	\$ 0
CURRENT MISSION TOTAL	\$766,159
IMPROVEMENTS	\$403,777
PLANNING AND DESIGN	\$ <u>13,202</u>
GRAND TOTAL	\$1,183,138

February 2006

Page No. 288

1. COMPONENT		FY 200	7 MIL	TARY (CONSTR	RUCTIO	N PROC	SRAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE										
INSTALLATION AND		ON		COMM				5. AREA CONST		
EIELSON AIR FORC	E BASE			PACIFI	C COM	MAND		COST IN	NDEX	
ALASKA								2.13		
6. Personnel	nel PERMANENT				TUDEN T	S	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 04	425	3845	945	0	0	0	1	1	0	5,21
END FY 2009	414	3715	773	0	0	0	1	1	0	4,90
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)									
Total Acreage:	19,940									
Inventory Total as of :		(04)								3,244,6
Authorization Not Yet										41,00
Authorization Reques										87,4
Authorization Included		-		٠.	(FY 200	(8)				01,1
Planned in Next Three			. ogran		200	0)				10,53
Remaining Deficiency		rogram.								27286
Grand Total:										3,656,46
ordina rotal.										0,000,40
8. PROJECTS REQU	IECTED	IN THIS D	BOCE	A 8.4.			/EV/ 200	7\		
CATEGORY	JESTED I	IIV ITIS P	ROGR	AIVI.			(FY 200		DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	TITLE				SCORE				
	The second second		mails a Lile			SCOPE		\$,000		CMPL
/11-142	Replace I	Military Fa	mily no	busing		129 UN		87,414	Nov-05	Sep-0
0 F.t. D inter		- 0 - E - II					(E) / 000	2)		
9a. Future Projects:	included	in the Follo	owing I	Program			(FY 200	B)		
None										
0 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6			4 881	11						
9b. Future Projects:							(FY09-1			
711-142	Jonstruct	Military F	amily r	lousing		18 UN		10,532		
0- DI D M-1		D 11 3	T1							
9c. Real Propery Mai										1,10
10. The host fighter w	ring supp	orts an F-	16 squ	adron, a	n A/0A-	10 squa	dron, an	d a trainir	ng squadr	on which
conducts COPF THUS							ir Nation	al Guard	air refuel	ing squadron
conducts COPE THU		which conv	ducts a	rctic sur	vival tra	inina.				
	ig group v	WITHCIT COIN								
(KC-135) and a training	ig group i	WITHOUT COIN								
	ig group v	WINCII COIN								
	ig group \	WINCIT COIN								
	ig group i	WINCIT COIN								
	ig group i	WHICH COIN								
	ig group (WHICH COIN								
	ig group (WHICH COIN								
	ig group (WHICH COR								
	ig group v	WHICH COIN								
	ig group v	WHICH COIN								
	ig group v	WHICH COIN	*							
	ig group v	WHICH COIN	*							
	ig group v	WHICH COIN	*							
	ig group v	WHICH COIN								
	ig group v	WHICH COIN								
	ig group v	WHICH COR								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY	ra 2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC	ATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
EIELSON AIR FORCE	E BASE, ALASKA		REPLACE FAN	AILY HOUSIN	NG
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	R 8. PR	ROJECT COST (\$000)
88741	711-142		FTQW0548	301	\$87,414
	and the second s	_	ESTIMATE		T
	EM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
Military Family Housin	1g	2222			50,773
Dwellings		UN	129	393,589	
Support Costs:					27,397
Site Improvements		LS			(3,848)
Landscaping		LS			(1,288)
Utility Mains		LS			(14,582)
Streets		LS			(1,662)
Recreation		LS			(1,387)
Demolition		LS			(2,425)
Environmental		LS			(1,022)
Other Site Work		LS			(1,183)
Subtotal					78,170
Contingency (5%)					3,908
Total Contract Cost					82,078
SIOH (6.5%)					5,335
Project Cost					87,414
Area Cost Factor (2.13	3)				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish 129 units and construct 129 Military Family Housing (MFH) units with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, garages, energy conserving features, parking, patios, privacy fencing, and support infrastructure of roads, utilities, recreation areas, landscaping, asbestos removal, and demolition.

		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E4-E6	4	1,870	2,322	216	2.13	872	98	39,316
E7-E8	4	2,030	2,522	235	2.13	872	3	1,310
01-03	2	1,500	1,862	173	2.13	872	18	5,783
01-03	4	2,030	2,522	235	2.13	872	10	4,364
						Totals:	129	50,773

 Maximum size: E4-E6 4 Bedroom
 2090 NSF / 2592 GSF / 241 GSM

 Maximum size: E7-E8 4 Bedroom
 2320 NSF / 2872 GSF / 267 GSM

 Maximum size: O1-O3 2 Bedroom
 1740 NSF / 2162 GSF / 201 GSM

 Maximum size: O1-O3 4 Bedroom
 2320 NSF / 2872 GSF / 267 GSM

11. REQUIREMENT: 1082 UN

ADEQUATE: 689 UN

SUBSTANDARD: 393 UN

PROJECT: Replace Family Housing (current mission).

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents at Eielson AFB. All units will meet modern housing standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile (HCP). The design will provide a modern kitchen, living room, bedroom, and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. The number of bedrooms will range

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA EIELSON AIR FORCE		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY	HOUSING			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER FTQW054801	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$87,414			

from two to four, as identified in the most recent Housing Requirements and Market Analysis (HRMA). Units will be provided with garages and exterior parking. Adequate infrastructure support for utilities shall also be provided. The HCP and HRMA are elements of the General Plan for Eielson AFB and are the basis for this project.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces housing that was constructed between 1952 and 1970. They require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. Counter tops are warped, stained, and separating at the seams. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated and outdated. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring is stained, loose, and mismatched due to the non-availability of original materials for replacement. Windows, siding, and insulation require replacement. The units have inadequate living space and storage, and no patio or backyard privacy. Landscaping and recreation areas for housing residents are deficient. Paved areas need renovation. Utilidor mains require replacement.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair to the government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair of these units will continue in a costly piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing, and status quo operations. Based on the net present values and benefits of respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective alternative over the life of the project. The cost of improving the existing units is 75% of the cost of replacing these units. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in student population and therefore no impact on the local school district. The cost to design the replacement project is estimated at \$3,940,000. The construction agent for this project is the Army Corps of Engineers, resulting in a SIOH rate of 6.5%. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David A. Martinson, (907)377-5213.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSI	NG JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT Jan-06			2. FISCAL 2007	YEAR	DD-A&L(A)	CONTROL SY R)1716	MBOL	
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INS	TALLATION					1	,,,,,,	-	
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCATION					
5. DATA AS OF Nov-05	EIELSON	AIR FORCE BASE				ALASKA				
ANALYS	IS	CURR	ENT		-	PROJ	ECTED			
OF REQUIREMENTS		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOT/	
5. TOTAL PERSONNEL S	TRENGTH	282	314	2,592	3,188	215	236	1,956	2,40	
PERMANENT PARTY F	PERSONNEL	282	314	2,592	3,188	215	236	1,956	2,40	
GROSS FAMILY HOUS	ING REQUIREMENTS	207	285	1,598	2,090	159	214	1,209	1,58	
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABL	LY HOUSED (a+b+c)	28	3	98	129			A. S.		
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPA	0	0	0	0						
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING		28	3	98	129					
DISPOSED/REPLACED C. UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0					
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS							17 14			
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		3	5	20	28	2	3	15	20	
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a	+ h)	204	280	1,578	2,062	157	211	1,194	1,56	
2. 110001140 A00E10 (a	+ 0)	222	334	1,531	2,087	129	208	1,096	1,43	
a. UNDER MILITARY CON	TROL	153	176	926	1,255	62	128	763	953	
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTI OWNED/CONTROL		153	176	926	1,255	62	128	671	861	
(2) UNDER CONTRACT	T/APPROVED					0	0	92	92	
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0					
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		69	158	605	832	67	80	333	400	
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOU	SED	140,00		W 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		e de la companya de l	00	333	480	
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		69	158	605	832					
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	0	0	0	.0					
4. PROPOSED PROJECT		(18)	(54)	47	(25)	28	3	98	129	
						28	3	98	129	

1. COMPONENT		FY 200	7 MIL	ITARY C	ONST	RUCTIO	N PRO	GRAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	110017	ON		1001411	NID			E ADE	A CONOT	
INSTALLATION AND MOUNTAIN HOME				COMMAND 5. AREA CONST AIR COMBAT COMMAND COST INDEX						
IDAHO	AIR FURC	E BASE		AIR COI	VIDAT	COMM	AND	1.1		
6. Personnel	DEI	RMANENT	-	STI	JDEN"	TS	SI	PPORT		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV		ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 04	453	4208			32	0	0	_		5,89
END FY 2009	450	4139		1	32	0	0	0	1	5,28
7. INVENTORY DAT										
Total Acreage:	10,050									
Inventory Total as of		04)								1,935,63
Authorization Not Ye										15,13
Authorization Reques			:							107,80
Authorization Include	d in the F	ollowing P	rogran	n: (FY 200	08)				- (
Planned in Next Thre		rogram:								(
Remaining Deficienc	y:									65,700
Grand Total:										2,124,275
							(ED) (0.00			
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	RAM:			(FY 200		DECION	CTATUC
CATEGORY	DDO IEC	T TITL C				COORE				STATUS
<u>CODE</u> 711-142	PROJEC	Military Fa	mily H	ousing D		SCOPE 457 UN			START Aug-05	CMPL May-0
711-142	Replace I	viiiiai y ra	IIIIIY FI	ousing, F	110	457 UN		107,000	Aug-05	iviay-00
9a. Future Projects:	Included	in the Foll	owina	Program			(FY 200	8)		
None	moidada		ownig	rogram			(1 1 200	0)		
9b. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thr	ee Years:			(FY09-1	1)		
None										
9c. Real Propery Ma		_		-						673
A composite win					C/D s	quadron	, one F-	15E squa	adron, one	KC-135R
squadron, a B-1B squ	uadron, ar	nd the AEF	Battle	elab.						

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY	2. DATE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA MOUNTAIN HOME A	ATION IR FORCE BASE, IDAH	10	4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MIL	ITARY FA	MILY	HOUSING PH8
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142		7. PROJECT NUMBER OYZH887208		PROJE	ST COST (\$000) \$107,800
		e. COST	ESTIMATE			
IT	EM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COS	T	COST (\$000)
Military Family Housing Dwellings		UN	457	125,	742	68,615 (57,464)

	9. COST ESTIMATE								
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)					
Military Family Housing				68,615					
Dwellings	UN	457	125,742	(57,464)					
Renewable Energy Source	UN	457	328	(150)					
Other Special Construction	UN	457	24,072	(11,001)					
Support Costs:				28,515					
Lot Costs	LS			(676)					
Site Improvements	LS			(2,555)					
Landscaping	LS			(1,103)					
Utility Mains	LS			(6,811)					
Streets	LS			(2,154)					
Recreation	LS			(829)					
Demolition	LS			(3,127)					
Environmental	LS		-	(2,032)					
Other Site Work	LS			(2,923)					
Utilities Mains (continued)	LS			(1,110)					
Rock Excavation	EA	831	6,252	(5,195)					
Subtotal				97,130					
Contingency (5%)				4,856					
Total Contract Cost				101,986					
SIOH (5.7%)				5,813					
Project Cost				107,800					
Area Cost Factor (1.1)									

10. Description of Proposed Construction: : Demolish 435 and construct 457 family housing units with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, attached garages, air conditioning, energy conserving features, parking, exterior patios and privacy fencing. Support infrastructure areas include roads, utilities, recreation areas, landscaping including trees, demolition plus asbestos and lead removal. Houses also include crawl space for utilities, concrete footings and foundation walls to prevent frost upheaval, and vinyl or hardi-plank siding.

		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E1-E3	2M	1,180	1,480	137	0.99	861	56	6,540
E1-E3	3	1,310	1,630	151	0.99	861	8	1,030
E1-E3	4	1,570	1,950	181	0.99	861	2	309
E4-E6	2M	1,180	1,480	137	0.99	861	225	26,275
E4-E6	3	1,310	1,630	151	0.99	861	90	11,584
E4-E6	4	1,570	1,950	181	0.99	861	76	11,726
						Totals:	457	57,464

 Maximum size: E1-E3
 2M Bedroom
 1300 NSF / 1630 GSF / 151 GSM

 Maximum size: E1-E3
 3 Bedroom
 1420 NSF / 1760 GSF / 164 GSM

 Maximum size: E1-E3
 4 Bedroom
 1790 NSF / 2220 GSF / 206 GSM

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROJE	CT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCAT MOUNTAIN HOME AD	TION R FORCE BASE, IDAHO	4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITAR	Y FAMILY H	OUSING PH8
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER QYZH887208	8. PROJECT	\$107,800
Maximum size: E4-E6 2M B Maximum size: E4-E6 3 Bed Maximum size: E4-E6 4 Bed	droom 1420 NSF / 17	330 GSF / 151 GSM 760 GSF / 164 GSM 220 GSF / 206 GSM		

11. REQUIREMENT: 2794 UN ADEQUATE: 2337 UN SUBSTANDARD: 457 UN PROJECT: REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING (Phase 8) . A Design/Build Project

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Mountain Home Air Force Base (MHAFB). All units will meet modern Whole House standards and "Benchmark" programming in accordance with the Housing Community Profile (HCP). Constructs housing, providing a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Provides modern kitchens, living rooms, dining rooms, bath configurations and two to four bedrooms as identified in the most recent Housing Requirements and Market Analysis (HRMA). Supplies ample interior and exterior storage areas, enclosed garages and off-street parking for an additional vehicle. Provides basic neighborhood support infrastructure, roads and utilities. Constructs appropriate recreation areas for dependent youth and children plus install bus shelters to meet modern housing needs. Performs lead/asbestos/chlordane abatement as required. Houses include crawl spaces for utilities, foundation walls for frost heave prevention, vinyl or hardi-plank siding and residential irrigation systems. Not all existing functional utilities can be used because they could be too small for the new uses or located in the wrong places. This project has substantial rock excavation required for footing and utility installation.

CURRENT SITUATION: Current housing units, constructed in 1956, 1969 and 1971, do not meet "Whole House" standards and are in unsatisfactory condition due to the effects of age and heavy use. Efficiency and safety deficiencies include infrastructure - electrical, plumbing and mechanical systems; antiquated kitchens and bathrooms; flat/low pitched roofs requiring high maintenance; lead paint and asbestos containing materials; deteriorating kitchen/bathroom cabinets, sinks and floors plus inadequate counter space and storage areas.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force Families will continue to live in unsatisfactory housing conditions. As the existing units age, the maintenance costs on these units increase.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing guide. An economical analysis that compared all construction, renovation and status-quo alternatives toward providing the best quality military family housing has been completed. The economic analysis found new construction to be the most cost effective option over the life of the project. The improvement cost is 79% of replacement cost. This replacement housing will not increase the student population or negatively impact the local school district. The Corps of Engineers will be the agency to provide contract management and supervision of this project, resulting in SIOH costs of 5.7%. The 366th Civil Engineering Squadron Commander is Lt. Col. Scott R. Jarvis, (208) 828-6353.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSI	NG JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT	Г		2. FISCAL 2007		DD-A&L(AF	ONTROL SY	MBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST				2001		DD-AGL(AI	1)1710	
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCAT	ION			
5. DATA AS OF Dec-03	MOUNTA	IN HOME AIR FORCE BA	SE	Phase 8		IDAHO			
ANALYSI	S		RENT				ECTED		
OF REQUIREMENTS A		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL ST		407	428	3,485	4,320	417	449	3,655	4,52
7. PERMANENT PARTY PE		407	428	3,485	4,320	417	449	3,655	4,52
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSI		304	390	1,992	2,686	312	408	2,096	2,816
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABL		65	50	507	622	MAKE			
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPAR		0	0	0	0				
 b. IN MILITARY HOUSING 1 DISPOSED/REPLACED 		0	0	457	457				
C. UNACCEPTABLY HOUS		65	50	50	165				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARAT		1	4	17	22	1	4	17	22
EFFECTIVE HOUSING F		303	386	1,975	2,664	311	404	2,079	2,794
HOUSING ASSETS (a +	b)	238	336	1,468	2,042	311	380	1,591	2,282
a. UNDER MILITARY CONT	ROL	P-116	97	501	714	115	114	583	812
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTIN OWNED/CONTROLL		116	97	501	714	20	97	501	618
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/	APPROVED					95	17	82	194
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				in l
(4) INACTIVE	4	0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		122	239	967	1,328	196	266	1008	1,470
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSE	ED	122	239	967	1,328		10000		
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACAN	NT RENTAL	0	0	0	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING D	EFICIT	65	50	507	622	0	24	488	512
4. PROPOSED PROJECT						0	0	457	457

COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2007	MILIT	TARY CO	NSTR	UCTIO	N PROC	SRAM	2. DAT	E	
INSTALLATION AND	LOCATIO	IAI		COMMA	ND			5 ADE	A CONS	T	
WHITEMAN AIR FOR				AIR CON		COMMA	ND	COST)	
MISSOURI	(CE BASE		1	AIR CON	IDAI	JOIVIIVIA	UAD	1.08			
	DED	MANIENIT	-	CTI	IDENIT	0	CI	PPORT		_	
6. Personnel		MANENT	00/		IDENT					/ ·	OTAL
Strength			CIV		ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	_	_	
AS OF 30 SEP 03	457	4098	916	0	5	0	0			0	5,47
END FY 2008	450	4010	854	0	5	0	0		1	0	5,32
7. INVENTORY DAT											
Total Acreage:	5,214										
Inventory Total as of :											1,372,98
Authorization Not Yet											61,28
Authorization Reques		-				-					39,27
Authorization Included			gram	: (1	Y 200	8)					
Planned in Next Three		ogram:									
Remaining Deficiency	C										
Grand Total:											1,473,54
8. PROJECTS REQU	JESTED IN	N THIS PR	OGRA	AM:			(FY 200				
CATEGORY									DESIG		
	PROJECT					SCOPE			START	-	MPL
711-142 F	Replace M	ilitary Fam	ily Ho	using		116 UN		39,270) Aug-0)5	Jun-0
On Fratium Profestor	In alcode at the	the Felley	des D				(E)/ 000	0)		-	
9a. Future Projects:	included in	the Follow	ving P	rogram			(FY 200	8)			
None											
9b. Future Projects:	Typical Pla	anned Nev	t Thre	e Veare			(FY09-1	1)			
None	i ypicai i ie	aririou reax		e rears.			(1 100-1	'/			
110110											
9c. Real Propery Mai	ntenance l	Backlog Th	is Ins	tallation		_					68-
10. A bomber wing w				_	d an A	ir Force	Pacan	a fighter	wing wi	th one	
squadron.	ui two squ	aurons or	D-2 a	iciaii, ai	iu aii r	ui i orce	I LESELY	e ligitiei	wing wi	ui one	AOA-10
oquauron.											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY	CON	STRUCTION PR	ROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA WHITEMAN AIR FOR			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MIL	ITARY F	AMILY	HOUSING
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142		7. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG02930	3000000000	8. PROJEC	ST COST (\$000) \$39,270
		9. COST	ESTIMATE			
IT	EM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT CO	ST	COST (\$000)
Military Family Housing	g					19,770
Dwellings		UN	116	133	,914	(15,534)
Other Special Constru	uction	UN	116	36	,517	(4,236)
Support Costs:		112000				15,613
Lot Costs		LS				(751)
Site Improvements		LS				(3,715)
Landscaping		LS				(1,958)

LS

LS

LS

LS

LS

LS

LF

25,800

32

Area Cost Factor (1.08)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Design and construct 116 JNCO single or duplex family housing units with all necessary support. Includes: lot costs, site development, utilities, pavements, street lighting, force protection fence, air conditioning, recreation facility and play areas, landscaping, and other necessary support. Special construction includes: 2 car garages, storm shelters, and crawl space foundations. Project is to include demolition of 95 surplus units.

		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E4-E6	2M	1,180	1,480	137	1.08	861	90	11,465
E4-E6	3	1,310	1,630	151	1.08	861	11	1,544
E4-E6	4	1,570	1,950	181	1.08	861	15	2,525
						Totals:	116	15,534

 Maximum size: E4-E6
 2M Bedroom
 1300 NSF / 1630 GSF / 151 GSM

 Maximum size: E4-E6
 3 Bedroom
 1420 NSF / 1760 GSF / 164 GSM

 Maximum size: E4-E6
 4 Bedroom
 1790 NSF / 2220 GSF / 206 GSM

11. REQUIREMENT: 1978 UN ADEQUATE: 1862 UN

SUBSTANDARD: 116 UN

PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing to meet whole-house and new housing site standards. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern, efficient housing for military members and their

Utility Mains

Recreation

Demolition

Environmental

Contingency (5%)

Total Contract Cost

SIOH (5.7%)

Project Cost

Other Site Work

Force Protection Fence

Streets

Subtotal

(4,745)

(1,509)

(417)

(438)

(498)

(756)

(826)

35,383

37,152

2,117

39,270

1,769

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJEC	T DATA 2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC WHITEMAN AIR FO	RCE BASE, MISSOURI	4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY	FAMILY HOUSING
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG029304R1	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$39,270

families stationed at Whiteman AFB. All units will meet whole-house standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile (HCP). This housing will provide safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Storm shelters have been authorized due to the high likelihood of severe weather such as tornadoes. Crawl space foundations are required due to highly expansive clay soils that are cost prohibitive for slab on grade construction.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The rural community surrounding Whiteman AFB does not have sufficient,

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The rural community surrounding Whiteman AFB does not have sufficient, adequate housing assets to support existing requirements. Off-base housing is very difficult to find and expensive.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair to the government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair to these units will continue in a costly piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide for Planning, Programming, Design, and Construction, the Housing Requirements Market Analysis (HRMA), and the Housing Community Profile (HCP). An economic analysis has been prepared and found the new construction is the most cost effective option as directed by the HCP. The improvement cost is more than 100% of the replacement cost. A certificate of exception has been prepared. The Army Corps of Engineers is the construction agent, resulting in SIOH costs of 5.7%. Base Civil Engineer Lt Col Jeffery Vinger at DSN 975-3503.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	JUSTIFICATION	DATE OF REPORT July-04			2. FISCAL 2007	YEAR	REPORT C	ONTROL SY R)1716	MBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST								
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCAT	ON			
5. DATA AS OF Oct-03	WHITEM	AN AIR FORCE BASE				MISSOURI			
ANALYSIS		CURR	ENT			PROJ	ECTED		
OF REQUIREMENTS AN		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STR		425	336	2,821	3,582	424	336	2,817	3,577
7. PERMANENT PARTY PER	RSONNEL	425	336	2,821	3,582	424	336	2,817	3,577
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING	G REQUIREMENTS	348	315	1,337	2,000	348	315	1,336	1,999
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY	HOUSED (a + b + c)	56	0	259	315				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARA	TED	0	0	0	0				
 IN MILITARY HOUSING TO DISPOSED/REPLACED 	BE	0	0	116	116				
C. UNACCEPTABLY HOUSE	D IN COMMUNITY	56	0	143	199				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIO	ONS	3	6	12	21	3	6	12	21
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING RE	QUIREMENTS	345	309	1,325	1,979	345	309	1,324	1,978
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	289	353	1,066	1,708	345	309	1,208	1,862
a: UNDER MILITARY CONTRI	OL.	67	52	739	858	113	67	615	795
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING OWNED/CONTROLLE		67	52	739	858	113	47	524	684
(Z) UNDER CONTRACT/AF			MARI	100	050	0	20	91	111
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0	MALIAN	20	E HE	assature
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		222	301	327	850	222	040	F02	
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSE	,	222	301		850	232	242	593	1,067
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT				327	7 THE 2 TH				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DE	FICIT	0	0	0	0				
4. PROPOSED PROJECT		56	(44)	259	271	0	0	116	116
5. REMARKS					THE REAL PROPERTY.	0	0	116	116

COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 200	7 MIL	ITARY CO	ONSTRU	ICTIO	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
INSTALLATION ANI MALMSTROM AIR I MONTANA	BASE			COMMA AIR FOR COMMA	CE SPA ND			COST II 1.15		
Personnel		RMANENT			JDENTS			PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV			CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 04	494	3051	542		0	0	0	0		4,08
END FY 2009	494	3051	542	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,08
 INVENTORY DA Total Acreage: Inventory Total as of Authorization Not Ye Authorization Reque 	3,701 : (30 Sep et in Invent	ory:								505,49 140,25
Authorization Include		-		n: (F	Y 2008))				14,40
Planned in Next Thre			-5	(.						
Remaining Deficience		Ü								
Grand Total:										660,15
PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	RAM:			(FY 2007			
CATEGORY										STATUS
CODE	PROJEC'					COPE			START	
711-142	Replace I	Military Fa	mily Ho	ousing	49	3 UN		140,252	Aug-05	Jul-0
0. F.I. B.I.I.						-	/E) / 0000	,,		
9a. Future Projects: 711-142							(FY 2008			
/11-142	Construct	Military F	amily r	Housing	52	UN		14,405		
9b. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	xt Thre	ee Years:			FY09-1	1)		
None								,		
9c. Real Propery Ma	aintenance	Racklog 7	This Inc	etallation						67
10. AFSPC strategic		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN			nace W	ina				07
io. Ai oi o strategie	, missile be	ase suppo	rung u	16 04 151 0	pace vvi	iiig.				
	1.00						-			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007	MILITAI	RY CON	STRUCT	ION PRO	DJECT D	ATA	2. DA	TE
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION			4. PROJE	CT TITLE				
MALMSTROM AIR	FORCE BASE	, MONTA	ANA	REPLA	CE FAMI	LY HOU	SING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		GORY CODE			T NUMBER		-	CT COST	(\$000)
88741		711-142		NZ	AS034008	8		\$140,	252
			9. COS	ESTIMATE					
	TEM		U/N	I QUA	NTITY	UNIT COS	ST	cos	T (\$000)
Military Family Housi	ng								85,649
Dwellings			UN	1 4	93	171,	039		(84,322
Other Special Const	ruction		UN	4	93	- 2,	692		(1,327
Support Costs:									40,721
Lot Costs			LS						(3,470
Site Improvements			LS						(4,749
Landscaping			LS						(2,224
Utility Mains			LS						(14,234
Streets			LS						(3,422
Recreation			LS						(1,046
Demolition			LS						(5,703
Environmental			LS						(5,131
Other Site Work			LS						
Other Site work			Lo						(742
Subtotal									126,370
Contingency (5%)									6,318
Γotal Contract Cost									132,688
SIOH (5.7%)									7,563
Project Cost									140,252
Area Cost Factor (1.1	5)								1.0,202
0. Description of Prop		tion: Repl	lace 493	housing ur	nits. Includ	les demol	ition si	te clear	ing
eplacement/upgrade of									
menities to include pa									
playgrounds, and recrea									
onstruction features in								ii. opeci	
		Penarr	mm c d		Designat	010		No	(0000)
Pavgrades	Bedrooms	NSF NSF	mmed GSF	GSM	Project Factor	Cost P GSM	77	No	(\$000)
E4-E6	2	1,380	1,712	159	1.035	861		Jnits 176	Total 24,937
E4-E6	3	1,610	2,002	186	1.035	861		11	1,824
E4-E6	4	1,870	2,322	216	1.035	861		61	11,742
E7-E8	3	1.800	2 232	208	1.035	861		60	11 121

		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E4-E6	2	1,380	1,712	159	1.035	861	176	24,937
E4-E6	3	1,610	2,002	186	1.035	861	11	1,824
E4-E6	4	1,870	2,322	216	1.035	861	61	11,742
E7-E8	3	1,800	2,232	208	1.035	861	60	11,121
E7-E8	4	2,030	2,522	235	1.035	861	48	10,052
E9	3	1,930	2,392	223	1.035	861	6	1,192
01-03	2M	1,650	2,042	190	1.035	861	83	14,053
01-03	3	1,800	2,232	208	1.035	861	15	2,780
01-03	4	2,030	2,522	235	1.035	861	6	1,256
04-05	3	1,930	2,392	223	1.035	861	27	5,365
						Totals:	493	84,322
Maximum size: E4-E6 2 E	Bedroom	1510 N	SF / 1872 G	SF / 174 G	SM			
Maximum size: E4-E6 3 E	Bedroom	1720 NS	SF / 2132 G	SF / 199 G	SM			
Maximum size: E4-E6 4 E	Bedroom	2090 NS	SF / 2592 G	SF / 241 G	SM			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 200	7 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJE	CT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
MALMSTROM AIR	FORCE BAS	SE, MONTANA	REPLACE FAMILY	HOUSING	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CA	TEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST (\$000)
88741		711-142	NZAS034008		\$140,252
Maximum size: E7-E8 3	Bedroom	1950 NSF /	2422 GSF / 225 GSM		
Maximum size: E7-E8 4	Bedroom	2320 NSF /	2872 GSF / 267 GSM		
Maximum size: E9 3 Bed	droom	2150 NSF /	2672 GSF / 249 GSM		
Maximum size: O1-O3 2	M Bedroom	1840 NSF /	2292 GSF / 213 GSM		
Maximum size: O1-O3 3	Bedroom	1950 NSF /	2422 GSF / 225 GSM		
Maximum size: O1-O3 4	Bedroom	2320 NSF /	2872 GSF / 267 GSM		
Maximum size: O4-O5 3	Bedroom	2150 NSF /	2672 GSF / 249 GSM		

11. REQUIREMENT: 1880 UN

ADEQUATE: 1387 UN

SUBSTANDARD: 493 UN

PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing, 493 units in Peacekeeper Park.

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Malmstrom AFB. All units will meet whole house standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This phase will complete the replacement program. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and a single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for a second occupant vehicle and guests. The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs. Neighborhood enhancement will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 493 housing units, which were constructed in 1961. These 45 year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Roofs, walls, foundations, and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to the effects of age and the environment. Roof structures show signs of rot: leaks have made already inadequate insulation less effective. Foundation and pavements are showing signs of failure as a result of settlement. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are generally inadequate for storage and counterspace, cabinets are old and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is outdated, and contains evidence of asbestos. Plumbing and electrical systems are outdated and do not meet modern building codes. There is no Ground Fault Interrupter Circuit protection and many electrical outlets lack grounding protection. Lighting, heating and air conditioning systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: The current Housing Requirements and Market Analysis shows an on-base housing deficit of 59 units. Without this phase of this initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue with no improvement in the living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefit of respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective alternative over the life of the project. The cost of improving existing units is 78% of the cost of replacing these units. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population and therefore no impact on local school district. The construction agent is the Corps of Engineers, resulting in SIOH costs of 5.7%. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David J. Crow, (406) 731-6188.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSI	NG JUSTIFICATION	DATE OF REPORT July-04			2. FISCAL 2007		DD-A&L(A	CONTROL ST	YMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST				2001		po nacin	11/11/10	
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCAT				
5. DATA AS OF Sep-03		ROM AIR FORCE BASE				MONTANA			
ANALYS	IS	CURR	-			-	ECTED		
OF REQUIREMENTS		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	(d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOT (h)
5. TOTAL PERSONNEL S		493	207	2,730	3,430	493	207	2,730	3,43
7. PERMANENT PARTY F		493	207	2,730	3,430	493	207	2,730	3,43
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	ING REQUIREMENTS	357	197	1,368	1,922	357	197	1,368	1,92
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABL	LY HOUSED (a + b + c)	131	114	562	807				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPA	RATED	0	0	0	0				
b." IN MILITARY HOUSING DISPOSED/REPLACED		131	114	248	493				
C. UNACCEPTABLY HOU		0	0	314	314				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARA	TIONS	6	3	33	42	6	3	33	42
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	351	194	1.335	1.880	351	194	1,335	1,880
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a	+ b)	230	86	789	1,105	197	74	1.071	0.00000
a. UNDER MILITARY CON	TROL	1000000	4						1,342
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTI		118		515	637	118	12	803	933
OWNED/CONTROL (2) UNDER CONTRACT		118	4	515	637	118	4	515	637
(3) VACANT						0	8	288	296
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		0	0	0	0	District to			
		112	82	274	468	79	62	268	409
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOU		112	82	274	468				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACA		0	0	0	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	121	108	545	775	154	120	264	538
4. PROPOSED PROJECT						131	114	248	493

COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 200	7 MIL	ITARY (CONST	RUCTIO	N PRO	GRAM	2. DATE	
INSTALLATION AND	LOCATI	ON		COMM	IAND			5. ARE	CONST	
SEYMOUR JOHNSO			SE			СОММА	AND	COST IN		
NORTH CAROLINA								0.81		
6. Personnel	PER	RMANENT		S	TUDEN'	TS	SI	PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 03	617	4733			1	0	0			6,3
END FY 2008	613	4606			1	0	0	1		6,1
7. INVENTORY DAT			000	,	-	-	-			0,1
Total Acreage:	4,107									
Inventory Total as of :		03)								791,7
Authorization Not Yet										65,3
Authorization Reques										22,9
Authorization Included		-		٠.	(FY 200	181				22,0
Planned in Next Three			rografi		(1 1 200	,,,				1,3
Remaining Deficiency		rogram.								1,3
Grand Total:										881,3
Grafiu Total.										001,3
B. PROJECTS REQU	IESTED	IN THIS D	ROGE	Δ Ν.Α.			(FY 200	7)		
CATEGORY	JE91ED	IN THIS P	KUGR	CAIVI:			(F1 200		DESIGN	STATUS
	DDO IEC	TTITLE				SCORE				
	PROJEC		mails a LL	auaina l		SCOPE		\$,000		CMPL Jun-
/11-142	Replace I	Military Fa	mily H	busing,	Ph 10	DO UN		22,950	Sep-05	Jun-
None 9b. Future Projects: 711-142		lanned Ne				LS	(FY09-1	1) 1,368		
		,			,					
c. Real Propery Mai	ntenance	Backlog *	This In:	stallation	n			-		80
 A fighter wing with Force Reserve KC-13 				uding 2	which c	onduct a	all initial o	qualificati	on training	g, and an Ai

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	F	Y 2007 MILITARY	CON	STRUCTION PR	OJECT	DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LO SEYMOUR JOHNSO		FORCE BASE, NO	RTH	4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE & D	EMOLISH	FAMII	LY HOUSING
CAROLINA				(PH10)			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	3	B. PROJEC	CT COST (\$000)
88741		711-142		VKAG0660	02		\$22,956
				ESTIMATE			
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT CO	ST	COST (\$000)
Military Family Hous	ing						8,719
Dwellings			UN	77.57	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	,107	(7,062)
Other Special Cons	truction		UN	56	29	,589	(1,657)
Support Costs:							12,004
Lot Costs			LS				(640)
Site Improvements			LS				(941)
Landscaping			LS				(406)
Utility Mains			LS				(1,027)
Streets			LS				(625)
Recreation			LS				(685)
Demolition			LS				(6,697)
Environmental			LS				(748)
Other Site Work			LS				(235)
Subtotal							20,723
Contingency (5%)							1,036
Total Contract Cost							21,759
SIOH (5.5%)							1,196
Project Cost							22,956
Area Cost Factor (0.8	81)						

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish 527 units with their associated infrastructure and construct 56 duplex and detached family housing units with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, attached single and double car garages, brick facing to achieve architectural compatibility, crawl spaces for maintenance access, sound control due to location within the noise zone, hurricane wind-load provisions due to the 110 mph design wind required by the building code, handicapped access, air conditioning, energy conserving features, visitor parking, patios and privacy fencing, roads, utilities, recreation, landscaping, and demolition to include asbestos and lead-based paint removal. Special construction includes bulk storage areas, porches, patios, and decks.

		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
01-03	2M	1,350	1,670	155	0.842	861	36	4,045
04-05	3	1,630	2,020	188	0.842	861	10	1,363
04-05	4	1,860	2,310	215	0.842	861	3	467
06	4	2,030	2,520	234	0.842	861	7	1,187
						Totals:	56	7,062

 Maximum size: O1-O3 2M Bedroom
 1540 NSF / 1920 GSF / 178 GSM

 Maximum size: O4-O5 3 Bedroom
 1850 NSF / 2300 GSF / 214 GSM

 Maximum size: O4-O5 4 Bedroom
 2180 NSF / 2700 GSF / 251 GSM

 Maximum size: O6 4 Bedroom
 2350 NSF / 2920 GSF / 271 GSM

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CON	ISTRUCTION PROJEC	T DATA 2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCAT SEYMOUR JOHNSON A CAROLINA	AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH	4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE & DEMOLISH FAMILY HOUSE (PH10)				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (5 \$22,95				
11. REQUIREMENT: 2365 UN	ADEQUATE: 2309 UN	SUBSTANDARD: 56 UI	V			

PROJECT: Replace Family Housing, Phase 10. (Current mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Seymour Johnson AFB. All units will meet modern housing standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. The design will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. The number of bedrooms will range from two (modified) to four, as identified in the most recent Housing Requirements and Marketing Analysis. Exterior parking will be provided for additional vehicles. Adequate infrastructure support for roads and utilities shall also be provided. This is the tenth phase of a multi-phase initiative to replace 1687 inadequate housing units with 1285 modern units for Seymour Johnson AFB. The Housing Community Profile and the Housing Requirements and Marketing Analysis are elements of the General Plan for Seymour Johnson AFB, and are the basis for this project.

CURRENT SITUATION: The housing units to be replaced were constructed in 1958. These 46 year-old units are showing the effects of age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern family environment. The roof, walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to age. The plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency and safety. Interiors are generally inadequate by modern criteria. Bedrooms and bathrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Kitchens have insufficient cabinets, countertops, and storage space. Lighting, heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade or replacement. The cost to correct imminent problems existing in the inventory, space deficiencies, and modernization requirements has proven to be more costly than the original voucher cost and the capitalization combined.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair to the government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair to these units will continue in a costly piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost of improvements is 93% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. This phase of the project provides a portion of the green space and community recreation facilities appropriate to a housing area for 5000 persons and necessary for the development and maintenance of the health of personnel and families. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Lowell A. Nelson, (919) 722-5142.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSE	NG JUSTIFICATION	DATE OF REPORT July-04			2. FISCAL 2007	YEAR	REPORT CO		MBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST								
AIR FORCE	a. NAME		Contract Contract		b. LOCAT		Section 8		
5. DATA AS OF Dec-03	SEYMOL	IR JOHNSON AIR FORCE	BASE	Phase 10		NORTH CA	ROLINA		
ANALYS	S	CURF					ECTED		
OF REQUIREMENTS		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	(h)
5. TOTAL PERSONNEL S		539	385	3,522	4,446	539	386	3,496	4,42
7. PERMANENT PARTY P	ERSONNEL	539	385	3,522	4,446	539	386	3,496	4,42
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	ING REQUIREMENTS	342	357	1,730	2,429	342	358	1,717	2,41
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED (a + b + c)	82	0	84	166			+	
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPA	RATED	0	0	0	0				
 IN MILITARY HOUSING DISPOSED/REPLACED 		56	0	0	56				
C. UNACCEPTABLY HOU		26	0	84	110				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARA	TIONS	3	10	39	52	3	10	39	52
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	339	347	1,691	2,377	339	348	1,678	2.366
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a	+ b)	257	361	1.607	2.225	283	348	1,678	2,309
a. UNDER MILITARY CON	TROL	65	173	1.014	1.252	69	150		
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTI		65	173			54	108	1,009	1,228
OWNED/CONTROL (2) UNDER CONTRACT		. 150	1/3	1,014	1,252			811	973
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0	15	42	198	255
(4) INACTIVE									
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		0	0	0	0				
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUS	SED	192	188	593	973	214	198	669	1,081
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACA	ANT RENTAL	192	188	593	973				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	0	0	0	0				
4. PROPOSED PROJECT		82	(14)	84	152	56	0	0	56
		14677 2 1				56 -	0	0	56

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 200	7 MIL	ITARY (CONST	RUCTIO	N PROC	SRAM	2. DATE	
INSTALLATION AND MINOT AIR FORCE I NORTH DAKOTA		ON		COMM AIR CO		COMMA		COST IN		
6. Personnel	PE	RMANENT	Γ	S	LUDEN.	TS	SU	PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 04	604	4474	942	0	0	0	0	1	54	6,0
END FY 2009	605	4355	878	0	0	0	0	1	54	5,8
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)									
Total Acreage:	5,383									
nventory Total as of:	(30 Sep	04)								1,709,1
Authorization Not Yet	in Invent	ory:								9,5
Authorization Reques	ted in this	s Program	:							171,1
Authorization Included			rogran	n:	(FY 200	08)				
Planned in Next Thre	e Years F	rogram:								
Remaining Deficiency	/:									41,3
Grand Total:										1,931,1
B. PROJECTS REQU	JESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	:MAS			(FY 200			
CATEGORY										STATUS
	PROJEC					SCOPE				_ CMPL
711-142	Replace I	Military Fa	mily H	ousing,	Ph 13	575 UN		171,188	Aug-05	May-
-			Owing	Program	1		(FY 200	8)		
9a. Future Projects: None 9b. Future Projects: None							(FY 200 (FY09-1			
None 9b. Future Projects: None	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thre	ee Year	s:					0
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutem	
None 9b. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	99 an III missile:
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None Bb. Future Projects: None Bc. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	
None 9b. Future Projects: None 9c. Real Propery Mai	Typical P	lanned Ne	ext Thro	ee Year	s:		(FY09-1	1)	Minutema	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 200	7 MILITARY	CON	STRUCTION PI	ROJECT	DATA	2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC MINOT AIR FORCE I		TH DAKOTA		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MII		AMILY I	HOUSING PHASE		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		13						
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATE	EGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBE	T COST (\$000)				
88741		711-142		QJVF0790	32		\$171,188		
		9	-	ESTIMATE			000014		
	TEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COS	51	COST (\$000)		
Military Family Housin	ıg		TINT	575	160	520	96,904		
Dwellings			UN	575	108,	,529	(96,904		
Support Costs:			10				57,340		
Lot Costs			LS				(3,525		
Site Improvements			LS				(5,234		
Landscaping			LS				(2,260		
Utility Mains			LS				(8,203		
Streets			LS				(3,476		
Recreation			LS				(1,062		
Demolition			LS				(5,796		
Environmental			LS				(4,163		
Other Site Work	-		LS				(2,800		
Special Construction	Features		LS				(20,821		
Subtotal							154,244		
Contingency (5%)				-			7,712		
Fotal Contract Cost							161,956		
SIOH (5.7%)							9,232		
Project Cost							171,188		
Area Cost Factor (1.11)								

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 575 housing units with all necessary supporting facilities including: garages, patios, fencing, utilities, air conditioning, appliances, exterior storage, roads, parking, sidewalks, playground, landscaping, and all other necessary support facilities. Special construction includes bulk storages and arctic climate construction. This project will include demolition of 731 units with 575 being built.

		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E1-E3	2M	1,480	1,852	172	0.999	861	232	34,323
E1-E3	4	1,870	2,322	216	0.999	861	58	10,776
E7-E8	3	1,800	2,232	208	0.999	861	60	10,734
E7-E8	4	2,030	2,522	235	0.999	861	1	202
01-03	2M	1,650	2,042	190	0.999	861	82	13,401
Q1-O3	3	1,800	2,232	208	0.999	861	49	8,766
01-03	4	2,030	2,522	235	0.999	861	38	7,681
04-05	3	1,930	2,392	223	0.999	861	39	7,481
04-05	4	2,160	2,682	250	0.999	861	10	2,151
06	4	2,330	2,892	269	0.999	861	6	1,389
						Totals:	575	96,904

 Maximum size: E1-E3
 2M Bedroom
 1600 NSF / 2002 GSF / 186 GSM

 Maximum size: E1-E3
 4 Bedroom
 2090 NSF / 2592 GSF / 241 GSM

 Maximum size: E7-E8
 3 Bedroom
 1950 NSF / 2422 GSF / 225 GSM

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILI	TARY CO	CT DATA	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA MINOT AIR FORCE B		КОТА	4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITAR 13	Y FAMILY H	IOUSING PHASE
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	T COST (\$000)
88741	711-	142	QJVF079032		\$171,188
Maximum size: E7-E8 4 Be Maximum size: O1-O3 2M Maximum size: O1-O3 3 B Maximum size: O1-O3 4 B Maximum size: O4-O5 3 B Maximum size: O4-O5 4 B Maximum size: O6 4 Bedro	Bedroom	1840 NSF / 2 1950 NSF / 2 2320 NSF / 2 2150 NSF / 2 2480 NSF / 3	2872 GSF / 267 GSM 292 GSF / 213 GSM 2422 GSF / 225 GSM 2872 GSF / 267 GSM 2672 GSF / 249 GSM 2072 GSF / 286 GSM 292 GSF / 306 GSM		

11. REQUIREMENT: 2514 UN ADEQUATE: 1939 UN SUBSTANDARD: 575 UN PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing, Phase 13, (Current Mission).

landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas.

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Minot AFB. All units will be new construction to provide safe, comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This project is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. This is the thirteenth of multiple phases to replace 1,773 housing units for base personnel. 1,283 units have already been replaced. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage plus an additional 300 SF per unit to provide an indoor recreation room. Parking will be provided for a second vehicle. The neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs, to include

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces units built in 1962, which are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, do not meet the needs of today's family, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Kitchens are too narrow and dark, and do not provide adequate cabinet and counter top space. The bathrooms are very small and in poor condition.

Bathroom fixtures are outdated and inefficient. Lighting in hallways, bathrooms, and bedrooms is inadequate. The exteriors of these units lack landscaping and have no covered patio for protection from the sun. Off street parking is severely limited and traffic flow in and around housing areas is inefficient.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will continue to live in extremely outdated, unsuitable, and unsatisfactory housing. The housing will continue to deteriorate, resulting in increasing and unacceptable maintenance costs and extreme inconvenience to the occupants. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, repairs of these units will continue at a costly, piecemeal fashion, with little or no improvement in living quality.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been performed considering improvement, replacement, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the new present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The improvement cost is 82% of the replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the local school district to support base dependents. 5.7% Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead (SIOH) is being programmed for Army Corps of Engineers. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Darren Gibbs, DSN 453-2434.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	JUSTIFICATION	DATE OF REPORT Jul-			2. FISCAL 2007	YEAR	REPORT CO DD-A&L(AF	NTROL SYM R)1716	BOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INS	TALLATION							
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCAT				
5. DATA AS OF Feb-04		AIR FORCE BASE		Phase 13		NORTH DA			
ANALYSIS	3		CURRENT				CTED		
OF REQUIREMENTS /		OFFI	CER E9-E7 (a) (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTA (h)
5. TOTAL PERSONNEL ST	TRENGTH	57	9 346	3,893	4,818	581	338	3,762	4,681
PERMANENT PARTY P	ERSONNEL	579	9 346	3,893	4,818	581	338	3,762	4,681
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUSE	NG REQUIREMENTS	379	9 326	1,913	2,618	379	319	1,849	2,547
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED (a + b + c)	26	2 126	290	678				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPA	RATED	0	0	0	0 25				
 b. IN MILITARY HOUSING DISPOSED/REPLACED 	TO BE	224	4 61	290	575				
C. UNACCEPTABLY HOUS	SED IN COMMUNITY	38		0	103				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARAT	TIONS	5		23	34	5	6 22		33
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	374	17 Const.	1.890	2,584	374	313	1.827	2.514
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a	b)	112	e e e e e e	1,716	2,022	150	188	1,537	1,875
a. UNDER MILITARY CONT	ROL	112		1.090	1,319	73	117	950	1,140
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTIN		112		1.090	1,319	0	117	800	917
(2) UNDER CONTRACT						73	0	150	223
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0	Tall II	MAIS	A HERE	223
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		0	77	626	703	77	71	587	735
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUS	ED	0		626	703			407	1100
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACA	NT RENTAL	0	0	0	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING D	DEFICIT	262		174	562	224	125	290	
4. PROPOSED PROJECT		3,5,4262	120	114	302	224	61	230	639

 COMPONENT AIR FORCE 		FY 200	7 MILI	TARY C	ONST	RUCTIO	N PROC	GRAM	2. DATE	
INSTALLATION AND YESS AIR FORCE		NC		COMMA		COMMA	ND	5. ARE	A CONST	
TEXAS	- DAGE			AIR CO	IVIDAT	COMM	NIND	0.94		
6. Personnel	T PEF	RMANENT	-	ST	UDEN'	rs	SU	PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 05	721	4600	745	79	86	3	1	10	43	6,2
ND FY 2010	743	4691	682	79	86	3	1	10	43	6,3
. INVENTORY DA										
otal Acreage:	6,405	05)								4 200
nventory Total as outhorization Not Y										1,388,
uthorization Reque		-								49,2
uthorization Includ		_		n: (FY 200	(8)				10,
lanned in Next The		_				,				
emaining Deficien	cy:									130,
Frand Total:										1,567,6
PROJECTS REC	QUESTED	N THIS P	ROGR	AM:			(FY 200		DECICN	CTATUC
ATEGORY ODE	PROJECT	TITLE				SCOPE			START	STATUS
11-142	Replace N		mily Ho	nusina F		199 UN			Aug-05	May
	r topiaco i	minuary i u	iliny i i	Juoning, i		100 014		40,210	riag oo	may
a. Future Projects	: Included i	n the Follo	owing F	Program			(FY 200	8)		
None										
b. Future Projects	: Typical Pl	anned Ne	xt Thre	ee Years	:		(FY09-1	1)		
lone										
c. Real Propery M	aintenance	Backlog 1	Thie Inc	etallation						8
A wing with three						enoneil	ale for tr	aining all	P_1 aircro	
-130 airlift squadro				ile oi wii	ICII IS I	esponsii	ole for tra	alfilling all	D-1 all Cle	ws, and tw
100 unint oquadit	nio in an za	no anime g	roup.							

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY	CON	STRUCTION PR	OJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC	ATION	-	4. PROJECT TITLE		
DYESS AIR FORCE E	BASE, TEXAS		REPLACE FAM	ILY HOUSING	PH 7
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJE	CT COST (\$000)
88741	711-142		FNWZ0730	01	\$49,215
		-	ESTIMATE		
	rem	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
Military Family Housin	ıg				26,504
Dwellings		UN	199	121,578	(24,194)
Other Special Constr	uction	UN	199	11,608	(2,310)
Support Costs:					17,840
Site Improvements		LS			(1,306)
Landscaping		LS			(1,302)
Utility Mains		LS			(3,648)
Streets		LS			(3,655)
Recreation		LS			(1,116)
Demolition		LS			(2,021)
Environmental		LS			(1,185)
Other Site Work		LS			(215)
Site Preperation		LS	1		(1,866)
Surplus Housing Der	nolition	LS			(1,526)
Subtotal					44,344
Contingency (5%)					2,217
Total Contract Cost					46,561
SIOH (5.7%)					2,653
Project Cost					49,215
Area Cost Factor (0.94)				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 199 single and multiplex family housing units with all necessary amenities including sound reduction as needed and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, attached garages, air conditioning, vehicle parking, exterior patios and privacy fencing, support infrastructure of roads and utilities, neighborhood playgrounds, recreation areas, bridges, effluent water for irrigation, and all landscaping. Includes demolition of 321 single and multiplex housing units, site demolition and asbestos removal. Special construction includes brick exterior walls, double car garages, termite remediation, and heavy reinforced concrete slab foundations.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2920	UN AD	EQUATE: 27	21 UN	SUBS	TANDARD: 1	99 UN		
Maximum size: E4-E6	4 Bedroom	1790 N	SF / 2220 G	SSF / 206 G	SSM			
Maximum size: E4-E6			SF / 1760 C					
Maximum size: E4-E6		1210 N	SF / 1500 C	SSF / 139 G	SSM			
						Totals:	199	24,194
E4-E6	4	1,570	1,950	181	0.94	861	47	6,886
E4-E6	3	1,310	1,630	151	0.94	861	94	11,488
E4-E6	2	1,080	1,340	124	0.94	861	58	5,820
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

PROJECT: Military Family Housing Phase 7 (Current Mission).

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJE	CT DATA 2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA DYESS AIR FORCE B		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY	HOUSING PH 7
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER FNWZ073001	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$49,215

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Dyess AFB. All units will meet modern housing standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. The housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. The design will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. The number of bedrooms will range from three to four, as identified in the most recent housing requirements and market analysis. Units will be provided with an attached garage and exterior vehicle parking. Land area will be used for adequate infrastructure requirements (roads, recreation areas, utilities). This is the seventh phase of a multiphase initiative to replace all substandard housing units at Dyess, AFB. Replacement of all supporting facilities is required. To support the whole neighborhood concept, new roads, utility systems, neighborhood playgrounds, recreation areas, and area landscaping and lighting must be installed. Special construction includes brick, which is standard in this area because of its durability under local climate conditions (severe temperature extremes, driving hail storms, and high solar radiation), low maintenance, and other life cycle considerations. Both materials and labor are readily available, resulting in minimal increase in initial cost. Resulting appearance is commensurate with local neighborhoods. The heavy reinforced concrete foundation is required due to severe, highly expansive clay soil conditions. Wet and dry cycles typical of the southwest cause substantial soil heaving. This could cause cracking in buildings that do not have the appropriate foundation.

CURRENT SITUATION: Existing family housing units were constructed in 1957. These units have had no comprehensive upgrade program since construction, and they do not meet the needs of today's military families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The existing jalousie windows are original. They are difficult to open, drafty, and latches or cranks are frequently broken. Addition of storm windows 27 years ago makes windows even more difficult to open, and results in unnecessary heating and air conditioning costs in the spring and fall, as well as reduced indoor air quality. These Capehart units were constructed with one bathroom, which is small and lacks adequate linen closet space. Kitchens have insufficient cabinets, storage and counter space. Lighting, heating and air-conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency and safety. Original aged two-wire, ungrounded electrical wiring is causing increased faults and potential for fire and safety problems. The existing under-slab piping systems are old and deteriorated. Frequency of leaking pipes under the concrete floor slab is increasing. Roof, wall, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to age. Severe Texas weather and highly expansive clay soil conditions have an adverse aging effect on the family housing units. The built-up roofing system on most housing unit carports is old and deteriorated. The membrane felts are cracking, blistering, and wrinkling. Termite damage to family housing units is extensive. The existing concrete sidewalks and driveways are cracking and lifting creating tripping hazards. The soffits and fascia on the family housing units are deteriorating and need replacement. The shingle roofs are clawed and warping.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Dyess will continue to spend scarce MFH maintenance and repair dollars trying to extend the life of these substandard family housing units.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide. An economic analysis has been prepared and found new construction is the most cost effective option. The improvement cost is more then 100% of the replacement cost. A rate of 5.7% has been used for supervision, inspection, and overhead for using the Army Corps of Engineers. Commander, 7th Civil Engineer Squadron: Lt Col Darren R. Daniels, (325) 696-2250.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSE	NG JUSTIFICATION	DATE OF REPORT July-04			2. FISCAL 2007		DD-A&L(A	ONTROL SY R)1716	MBOL	
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST									
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCATION					
5. DATA AS OF	DYESS A	DYESS AIR FORCE BASE Phase 7								
Dec-03 ANALYSI	IS .	CURR	ENT			PROJ	ECTED			
OF REQUIREMENTS		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTA (h)	
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL ST	TRENGTH	. 681	427	3,996	5,104	681	434	4,052	5,16	
7. PERMANENT PARTY P	ERSONNEL	681	427	3,996	5,104	681	434	4,052	5,16	
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUSE	NG REQUIREMENTS	458	392	2,071	2,921	458	399	2,097	2,954	
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED (a + b + c)	0	39	262	301					
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPA	RATED	0	0	0	0					
 IN MILITARY HOUSING DISPOSED/REPLACED 		0	0	199	199					
C. UNACCEPTABLY HOUS		0	39	63	102					
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARAT		6	8	20	34	6	8	20	34	
EFFECTIVE HOUSING I	REQUIREMENTS	452	384	2,051	2,887	452	391	2,077	2,920	
HOUSING ASSETS (a +	+ b)	472	345	1,789	2,606	452	391	1,878	2,721	
a UNDER MILITARY CONT	ROL	161	66	778	1,005	95	98	869	1,062	
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTIN OWNED/CONTROLL		161	66	778	1,005	95	50	727	872	
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/	APPROVED					0	48	142	190	
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0					
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		-311	279	1,011	1,601	357	293	1009	1,659	
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUS		311	279	1,011	1,601					
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACA		0	0	0	0					
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING D	DEFICIT	-(20)	39	262	281	0	0	199	199	
4. PROPOSED PROJECT						0	0	199	199	

 COMPONENT AIR FORCE 	7 MIL	ITARY C	ONST	RUCTIO	GRAM	RAM 2. DATE				
INSTALLATION AND RAMSTEIN AIR BAS GERMANY	SE			COMM/ UNITED FORCE	STAT	OPE		COST II		
6. Personnel	PER	RMANENT			UDEN.			PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	_	CIV	OFF		CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 04	1443	6984	***********		946	124	302		1	12,75
ND FY 2009	1471	7040	2894	66	946	124	302	0	0	12,84
. INVENTORY DAT										
Total Acreage:	3,187	0.4)								E 220 62
nventory Total as of										5,320,63
Authorization Not Yes		-								358,60
Authorization Reques					(EV 200	101				73,48 53,37
Authorization Include		_	rogran	1.	(FY 200	10)				46,09
Planned in Next Thre Remaining Deficienc		rogram.								496,93
Grand Total:	у.									6,349,11
Statid Total.										0,040,1
B. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED I	N THIS P	ROGR	RAM:			(FY 200	7)		
CATEGORY									DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJECT	TITLE				SCOPE		\$,000		CMPL
	Replace N	Military Fa	mily H	ousing, F		101 UN			Aug-05	Apr-0
a. Future Projects:			_	-			(FY 200	8)		
711-142	Replace N	filitary Fa	mily H	ousing		101 UN		53,376		
9b. Future Projects:	Typical Pl	anned Ne	ext Thr	ee Years	:		(FY09-1	1)		
711-142	Replace N	Military Fa	mily H	ousing		73 UN		46,094		
a Pool Propony Ma	intononoo	Pooldos "	This Is	stallation						0.40
 Real Propery Ma A host airlift wing 						auadran	and a a	auadran		2,48
and C-21A aircraft; H	j supporun Jeadanarte	g a U-130 re United	States	auron, a	C-9A S	quadron	and a s	quadron	Allied Air	Forces
Central Europe.	oaaqaarto	io, Orintoo	Olate	J All I OII	003 III L	urope a	iu i icac	iqual tel s	, Allieu All	1 01065
orman Europo.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY	CONS	STRUCTION PR	OJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC	ATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
RAMSTEIN AIR BAS	E, GERMANY		REPLACE FAM	TILY HOUSING	, PH D
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJ	ECT COST (\$000)
88741	711-142		TYFR0740	36	\$73,488
			STIMATE		
	EM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
Military Family Housin	g				42,986
Dwellings		UN	101	180,069	(18,187)
Other Special Constr	uction	UN	101	245,535	(24,799)
Support Costs:					22,731
Lot Costs		LS			(1,791)
Site Improvements		LS			(2,660)
Landscaping		LS		7 1	(1,148)
Utility Mains		LS			(5,350)
Streets		LS			(1,766)
Recreation		LS			(540)
Demolition		LS			(2,944)
Environmental		LS			(2,115)
Other Site Work		LS			(4,417)
Subtotal	-				65,717
Contingency (5%)					3,285
Total Contract Cost					69,002
SIOH (6.5%)					4,485
Project Cost					73,488
Area Cost Factor (1.2)					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish 302 and construct 101 multiplex family housing units with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, attached single car garages, energy conserving features, parking, exterior patios and privacy fencing, support infrastructure of roads, utilities, recreation areas, landscaping, asbestos removal, and demolition. Special construction features include a one car garage for each unit, masonry/concrete wall construction, tile roofs, and handicap accessibility for 2 units. Other site work includes parking lots, pedestrian walks, and boundary buffers, etc.

		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E4-E6	3	1,310	1,630	151	1.2	872	41	6,479
E4-E6	4	1,570	1,950	181	1.2	872	13	2,462
04-05	3	1,630	2,020	188	1.2	872	47	9,246
						Totals:	101	18,187

 Maximum size: E4-E6 3 Bedroom
 1420 NSF / 1760 GSF / 164 GSM

 Maximum size: E4-E6 4 Bedroom
 1790 NSF / 2220 GSF / 206 GSM

 Maximum size: O4-O5 3 Bedroom
 1850 NSF / 2300 GSF / 214 GSM

11. REQUIREMENT: 6988 UN ADEQUATE: 6641 UN SUBSTANDARD: 347 UN

<u>PROJECT:</u> Replace Family Housing (Phase D). Special construction features include a one car garage for each unit, masonry/concrete wall construction, tile roofs, and handicap accessibility for 2 units.

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY C	CT DATA 2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC RAMSTEIN AIR BAS		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY I	HOUSING, PH D
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER TYFR074036	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$73,488

dependents at Ramstein AB, Germany. All units will meet modern housing standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. The design will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom, and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. The number of bedrooms will range from two to four, as identified in the most recent Housing Requirements and Market Analysis. Units will be provided with a single-car garage and exterior parking for a second vehicle. Adequate infrastructure support for roads and utilities shall also be provided. This is phase D of a multiphase initiative to replace an additional 101 housing units for Ramstein AB. The Housing Community Profile and Housing Requirements and Market Analysis are elements of the General Plan for Ramstein AB, and are the basis for this project.

CURRENT SITUATION: These existing housing units were constructed in the 1930s and 1970s. They show the effects of age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and they do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The roof, walls, foundations, and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to age. The plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency and safety. Interiors are generally inadequate by modern criteria. Bathrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Kitchens have insufficient cabinets, storage, and counter space. Lighting and heating systems require upgrade and replacement. The current Housing Requirement and Market Analysis and Housing Community Profile renovation costs exceed the current replacement cost. The cost to correct imminent problems existing with the inventory, space deficiencies, and modernization requirements has proven to be more costly then the original voucher cost and the capitalization combined.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair to these units will continue in a costly piece-meal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefit of respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective alternative over the life of the project. The cost of improving existing units is 80% of the cost of replacing these units. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population and therefore no impact on local school district. The construction agent for this project is the Army Corps of Engineers resulting in 6.5% SIOH costs. This project is not eligible for NATO common funding. Base Civil Engineer: Col Carlos R. Cruz-Gonzalez, 314-480-6228 Foreign Currency: FCF Budget Rate: EURO-DOLLAR .8530

REPORTING INST. A. NAME RAMSTEI	ALLATION	uly-04							
-	IN AIR BACE								
RAMSTE	IN AIR BACE				b. LOCATI				
	RAMSTEIN AIR BASE					GERMANY			
		CURRE	:NT				ECTED		
The second secon	OF	FFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTA (h)
	2	,496	1,515	10,096	14,107	2,496	1,515	10,096	14,10
SONNEL.	2	,496	1,515	10,096	14,107	2,496	1,515	10,096	14,10
G REQUIREMENTS	1	,858	1,341	5,193	8,392	1,858	1,341	5,193	8,39
HOUSED (a+b+c)		128	0	54	182				
TED		0	0	0	0				
BE		47	0	54	101				
D IN COMMUNITY		81	0	0	81				
ONS	2	282	205	917	1,404	282	205	917	1,404
QUIREMENTS	3.4	576	1.136	4.276	1507634	1.576	1.136	4.276	6,988
)	192	(29.00 kg	September 1	15.000	48.14		Market and	- 1/2-16 (A)	6,735
OL .	20%	20200	£389 £45	2000	10000000			14 25 70	2,433
			707	3,012	3,942	223	366		2.332
				THE		101	0		101
		0	0	0	0			TOTAL STREET	
	5390	Passab (53 KG F 14			1053	770	2479	4.202
				1-27/1-27-24		1033	770	24/8	4,302
RENTAL					ALC: N				
FICIT	E SAN	28.52	N. S. Maria	2001	ALC: NO.	100			
	1,000	20	(147)	(250)	(317)			1	253
	ND ASSETS RENGTH RSONNEL G REQUIREMENTS HOUSED (a + b + c) RED IN COMMUNITY ONS EQUIREMENTS D) OL DOD D PPROVED RENTAL FICIT	ND ASSETS RENGTH 2 RESONNEL 2 G REQUIREMENTS 1 HOUSED (a + b + c) ATED 3 BE 20 IN COMMUNITY ONS 2 EQUIREMENTS 3 3 1 1 1 TRENTAL FICIT	ND ASSETS RENGTH 2,496 RESONNEL 2,496 G REQUIREMENTS 1,858 HOUSED (a + b + c) 128 ATED 0 0 0 8E 47 DIN COMMUNITY 81 ONS 282 EQUIREMENTS 71,576 0) 1,448 00 0 0 0 1,225 0 1,225 0 1,225 0 1,225	ND ASSETS (a) (b) RENGTH 2,496 1,515 RENGTH 2,496 1,515 RESONNEL 2,496 1,515 RESONNEL 2,496 1,515 RESONNEL 2,496 1,515 RESONNEL 1,858 1,341 RESONNEL 1,348 1,283 RESONNEL 1,576 1,136 RESONNEL 1,283 RESONNEL 1,283	ND ASSETS (a) (b) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (e) (e	ND ASSETS (a) (b) (c) (d) RENGTH 2,496 1,515 10,096 14,107 RESONNEL 2,496 1,515 10,096 14,107 G REQUIREMENTS 1,858 1,341 5,193 8,392 HOUSED (a + b + c) 128 0 54 182 TED 0	ND ASSETS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) NENGTH 2,496 1,515 10,096 14,107 2,496 RSONNEL 1,858 1,341 5,193 8,392 1,858 HOUSED (a + b + c) 128 0 54 182 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ND ASSETS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) RENGTH 2,496 1,515 10,096 14,107 2,496 1,515 RSONNEL 1,858 1,341 5,193 8,392 1,858 1,341 RSS RS	ND ASSETS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) IENOTH 2,496 1,515 10,096 14,107 2,496 1,515 10,096 3,515 10,096 14,107 2,496 1,515 10,096 3,515 10,096 3,515 10,096 3,515 10,096 14,107 2,496 1,515 10,096 1,515 10,096 3,5193 8,392 1,858 1,341 5,193 HOUSED (a + b + c) 128 0 54 182 NTED 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

AIR FORCE		FY 200	7 MIL	ITARY CO	NSTRUCTIO	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
INSTALLATION AND SPANGDAHLEM AID GERMANY		ON			ID STATES AIR EUROPE		5. ARE/ COST IN 1.18		
6. Personnel	PER	MANENT		STU	DENTS	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF E	NL CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 04	360	4157	778	0	0 0	1	0	0	5,29
END FY 2009	365	4301	781	0	0 0	1	0	0	5,44
7. INVENTORY DA	TA (\$000)								
Total Acreage:	1,377								
Inventory Total as of									2,306,55
Authorization Not Ye									133,00
Authorization Reque									39,29
Authorization Include			rogran	n: (F	Y 2008)				50,50
Planned in Next Thre		rogram:							
Remaining Deficience	y:								0.500.0
Grand Total:									2,529,34
8. PROJECTS REQ	LIESTED	N THIS D	PAGE	0 A A A -		(FY 200	7\		
CATEGORY	OESTED I	N ITIS F	ROGR	OAIVI.		(11 200		DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJECT	TITLE			SCOPE			START	CMPL
711-142	Replace M		mily H	oueina Ph				Aug-05	May-0
111-142	replace iv	ilitary i a	illily i ii	ousing, Fil	2 00 014		33,234	Aug-03	iviay-c
9a. Future Projects:	Included in	the Follo	owina	Program		(FY 200	8)		
711-142	Replace M			_	94 UN	(50,502		
9b. Future Projects:	Typical Pl	anned Ne	xt Thr	ee Years:		(FY09-1	1)		
ob. Tutule Flojects.									
ob. Future Projects.									
9c. Real Propery Ma		and the same of the same of		The same of the sa					69
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma 10. A USAFE installs commands three figh	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	
9c. Real Propery Ma	ation that is	home to	the lar	rgest fighte				-	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY	CON	STRUCTION PR	ROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATI			4. PROJECT TITLE	ETA DAZ EA MIT	VIIOUGDIG BHASE
SPANGDAHLEM AIR B	ASE, GERMANY		2	IIAKY FAMIL	Y HOUSING, PHASE
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER		JECT COST (\$000)
88741	711-142	17200.0	VYHK0740 ESTIMATE	000	\$39,294
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
Military Family Housing					19,054
Dwellings		UN	60	185,467	(11,128)
Renewable Energy Sou	rce	UN	60	19,333	(1,160)
Other Special Construct		UN	60	112,767	(6,766)
Support Costs:					16,085
Lot Costs		LS			(799)
Site Improvements		LS			(1,986)
Landscaping		LS			(839)
Utility Mains		LS			(4,046)
Streets		LS			(2,095)
Recreation		LS			(726)
Demolition		LS			(861)
Environmental		LS			(1,016)
Other Site Work		LS			(2,978)
Communication Connec	ction	LS			(739)
Subtotal					35,139
Contingency (5%)					1,756
Total Contract Cost					36,895
SIOH (6.5%)					2,398
Project Cost					39,294
Area Cost Factor (1.18)					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 60 multiplex family housing units with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, energy conserving features, exterior patios and privacy fencing, support infrastructure of roads, utilities, recreation areas and landscaping. Work includes solar/renewable energy features (solar panels on roof) and special construction features (garages, tile/slate roofs, and footings for problem soils). Includes demolition of 36 units, asbestos and lead-based paint abatement.

+								
		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E4-E6	3	1,310	1,630	151	1.227	872	24	3,877
E4-E6	4	1,570	1,950	181	1.227	872	21	4,066
01-03	4	1,730	2,150	200	1.227	872	4	856
04-05	3	1,630	2,020	188	1.227	872	7	1,409
04-05	4	1,860	2,310	215	1.227	872	4	920
						Totals:	60	11,128
Maximum size: E4-E6 3	Bedroom	1420 N	SF / 1760 (SSF / 164 C	SSM			
Maximum size: E4-E6 4	Bedroom	1790 N	SF / 2220 (SSF / 206 C	SSM			
Maximum size: O1-O3 4	Bedroom	2020 N	SF / 2500 C	SSF / 232 C	SSM			
Maximum size: O4-O5 3	Bedroom	1850 N	SF / 2300 C	SF / 214 C	SSM			
Maximum size: 04-05 4	Bedroom	2180 N	SF / 2700 C	SSF / 251 C	SSM			
D EORM 1391 DEC 99	DDEVIC	ILIS EDITIONS	LIAVECTICE	DINTERNALIS	CHATTE TWILLIAM	TEA		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATI SPANGDAHLEM AIR B		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY 2	FAMILY H	IOUSING, PHASE			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 88741 711-142		7. PROJECT NUMBER VYHK074000	8. PROJECT	\$39,294			
11. REQUIREMENT: 2039 UN	ADEQUATE: 1885 UN	SUBSTANDARD: 154 UN	4				

PROJECT: REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING UNITS, PHASE 2 (CURRENT MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Spangdahlem AB, Germany. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. The design will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. The number of bedrooms will range from three to four, as identified in the most recent Housing Requirements and Market Analysis. Units will be provided with a single car garage and exterior parking for a second occupant car and guests. Solar panels will be installed on the roof of each building as part of the basewide energy conservation/renewable initiatives. The basic neighborhood enhancement will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas. The Housing Community Profile and Housing Requirements and Market Analysis are elements of the General Plan for Spangdahlem AB, and are the basis for this project. Supporting costs for utility mains and streets exceed the medium cost range because part of this housing replacement is located within an undeveloped section of the housing area.

CURRENT SITUATION: Current housing consists of stairwell units built in 1955. These units have had no major upgrades in the last 49 years and are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They do not meet current antiterrorism/force protection (AT/FP) standards, and are as much as 38% less than today's standards in size. Roofs, walls, foundations, and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to the effects of age and the environment. Roof structures are rotting; leaks have made already inadequate insulation less effective and walls discolored. Foundations and pavements are failing due to settlement. Plumbing and electrical systems, including public announcement systems, are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are inadequate for storage. Cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the units is outdated and contains asbestos. Lighting systems throughout the units are inefficient and do not meet requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not executed, structural deterioration will continue unabated. Without this project, repair of these units will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality. Units will continue to deteriorate, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs for the government and poor living conditions for the residents.

ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) security investment program. This project meets the criteria specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide for planning, programming, design, and construction. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, acquisition, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefit of respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. The cost to improve these units is 77% of the replacement cost. The construction agent will be the Army Corps of Engineer, SIOH = 6.5%. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Mitchell R. Gordon, 011-49-6565-61-6302. FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: EURO-DOLLAR .8530

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSE	NG JUSTIFICATION	DATE OF REPORT July-04	_		2. FISCAL 2007		DD-A&L(A	CONTROL SY	YMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST								
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCAT				
5. DATA AS OF Oct-03	SPANGE	DAHLEM AIR BASE		Phase 2		GERMANY			
ANALYSI	S	CUR	RENT				ECTED		
OF REQUIREMENTS		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTA (h)
5. TOTAL PERSONNEL ST		328	346	3,528	4,202	328	346	3,528	4,202
. PERMANENT PARTY PI	ERSONNEL.	328	346	3,528	4,202	328	346	3,528	4,202
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUSI	NG REQUIREMENTS	227	319	1,755	2,301	227	319	1,755	2,301
B. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		15	0	-45	60				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPAR	RATED	0	0	0	0				
 IN MILITARY HOUSING ' DISPOSED/REPLACED 	TO BE	15	0	45	60				
C. UNACCEPTABLY HOUS	SED IN COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARAT	rions	15	25	222	262	15	25	222	262
EFFECTIVE HOUSING F	REQUIREMENTS	212	294	1,533	2,039	212	294	1,533	2,039
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a +	- b)	275	448	1,770	2,493	197	294	1,488	1,979
a UNDER MILITARY CONT	ROL	155	371	1,349	1,875	67	103	607	777
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTIN OWNED/CONTROLL		155	371	1,349	1,875	60	79	559	698
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/	APPROVED				39,51	7	24	48	79
(3) VACANT	-	0	0	0	0	1.6		A BOLL	
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		120	77	421	618	130	191	881	1,202
. (1) ACCEPTABLY HOUS	ED	120	77	421	618			501	
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACA	NT RENTAL	0	0	0	0				
B. EFFECTIVE HOUSING D	EFICIT	(63)	(154)	(237)	(454)	-15	0	45	60
. PROPOSED PROJECT									34/76/3
. REMARKS		15-11-21			makin Arisan	15	0	45	60

DD FORM 1523, NOV 96

AIR FORCE		FY 200	7 MIL	ITARY CON	ISTRUCTIO	N PROC	SRAM	2. DATE	
INSTALLATION AND RAF LAKENHEATH UNITED KINGDOM	LOCAT	ON		COMMANI UNITED S FORCES E	TATES AIR		5. ARE/ COST IN 1.2		
6. Personnel	PE	RMANENT	Г	STUD	ENTS	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF		CIV	OFF E	VL CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 04	554	4398			0 0	- 2	5	344	6,3
END FY 2009	555	4470			0 0	2		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	6,3
7. INVENTORY DAT									
Total Acreage:	2,004								
Inventory Total as of		03)							1,923,5
Authorization Not Ye									54,3
Authorization Reques									35,2
Authorization Include		_		n: (FY	2008)				66,3
Planned in Next Thre			rogran	(2000)				00,0
Remaining Deficienc		rogium.							73,8
Grand Total:	,.								2,153,4
oraria rotan									2,100,1
B. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGE	AM:		(FY 200	7)		
CATEGORY						(, , 200		DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	TITLE			SCOPE				CMPL
			mily H	ousing, Ph				Sep-05	Jun-
111-142	replace	wiiitai y i a	illiny i ii	ousing, rir-	74014		00,202	Ocp-00	our
9a. Future Projects:	Included	in the Foll	owing	Program		(FY 200	8)		
711-142	Replace	Military Fa	mily H	ousing	185 UN		66,371		
		Mannad Na	ext Thre	ee Years:	-	(FY09-1	1)	-	
9b. Future Projects:	Typical F	rianned ive							
9b. Future Projects:	Typical F	rianned ine							
									2.6
ec. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one sau	adron of	F-15C/F)e	2,6
ec. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/D	9s.	2,6
c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	f F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	os.	2,6
c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	f F-15C/E	os.	2,6
c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	f F-15C/E	os.	2,6
c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	f F-15C/E	os.	2,6
ec. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	os.	2,6
ec. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
ec. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
ec. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
9c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	os.	2,6
9b. Future Projects: 9c. Real Propery Ma 10. A fighter wing eq	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	f F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
9c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
9c. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	Os.	2,6
ec. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This In:	stallation	and one squ	adron of	F-15C/E	Os.	2,6

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY	CON	STRUCTION PR	ROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA RAF LAKENHEATH, U			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MIL 4)	ITARY FAMILY	HOUSING (PHASE
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER	R 8. PROJE	CT COST (\$000)
88741	711-142		MSET0740		\$:35,282
		e. COST	ESTIMATE		
ITE		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
Military Family Housing					17,169
Dwellings		UN	74	176,878	(13,089
Other Special Constru	ction	UN	74	55,135	(4,080
Support Costs:					15,140
Lot Costs		LS			(602
Site Improvements		LS			(2,166
Landscaping		LS			(1,262
Utility Mains		LS			(3,474
Streets		LS			(1,878
Recreation		LS			(1,093
Demolition		LS			(1,209
Environmental		LS			(932
Other Site Work		LS			(2,524
Subtotal					32,309
Contingency (5%)					1,615
Total Contract Cost					33,924
SIOH (4.0%)					1,358
Project Cost					35,282
Area Cost Factor (1.2)					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish 14 four-plex units and 8 duplex units (72 units total) and construct 74 single and multiplex family housing units with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Two units were demolished previously. Project includes site preparation, single car garages, parking, exterior patios and privacy fencing, sound attenuation, recreational areas, utilities, support infrastructure of roads, landscaping, demolition, and asbestos removal. Special construction includes masonry construction, concrete tile roofs, dual 110V and 220V electrical systems, etc.

		Progra	ammed		Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrades	Bedrooms	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E4-E6	2M	1,180	1,480	137	1.248	872	12	1,790
E4-E6	3	1,310	1,630	151	1.248	872	25	4,108
E4-E6	4	1,570	1,950	181	1.248	872	13	2,561
E7-E8	3	1,500	1,860	173	1.248	872	22	4,142
E9 Installation SNCO	4	1,940	2,410	224	1.248	872	2	488
						Totals:	74	13,089

Maximum size: E4-E6 2M Bedroom	1300 NSF / 1630 GSF / 151 GSM
Maximum size: E4-E6 3 Bedroom	1420 NSF / 1760 GSF / 164 GSM
Maximum size: E4-E6 4 Bedroom	1790 NSF / 2220 GSF / 206 GSM
Maximum size: E7-E8 3 Bedroom	1650 NSF / 2050 GSF / 190 GSM
Maximum size: E9 Installation SNCO 4 Bedroom	2180 NSF / 2700 GSF / 251 GSM

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CO	2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATE RAF LAKENHEATH, U		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142		7. PROJECT NUMBER MSET074027	T COST (\$000) \$35,282	
11. REQUIREMENT: 4748 UN	ADEQUATE: 3895 UN	SUBSTANDARD: 853	UN	

PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at RAF Lakenheath. All units will meet modern standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. This project is phase four (4) of a five (5) phase housing project to replace 606 housing units. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, laundry room, open plan family and living rooms, bedrooms and bath configurations, with ample interior and exterior storage and individual garages. Exterior parking will be provided for a second occupant vehicle and guests. Support costs are higher than normal as the whole Phase III neighborhood of 408 units is replaced and reconfigured into Liberty Village, a denser neighborhood of 606 units, to meet current UK community design guidance.

Replacement construction includes reconfiguring the neighborhood to create a sense of community and increased efficiency and density. Neighborhood support infrastructure will be replaced or upgraded to meet current and future housing requirements. Pressure rated water mains will be installed to connect the new units to the new base pressurized mains system. New sewer mains and pump stations will connect the new unit locations to the base sewer system and treatment center. Brick paved streets and driveways in neighborhood blocks will be substituted for storm drainage to allow storm water to percolate back into the ground to recharge the base aquifer.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 74 housing units constructed in the 1950's. These 50 plus year old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet modern building codes. Electrical systems are undersized and the quantity of receptacles is inadequate. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Existing house plans do not meet suitability standards. Three bedroom units have only one undersized bathroom per unit and do not have family rooms. Units have no covered entry, inadequate storage, and no separate laundry rooms. Kitchens are undersized and galley shaped with old cabinets and badly worn countertops and sinks. Flooring throughout the house is outdated.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If units are not replaced, costly piecemeal repairs will continue with no improvement in the living quality. Units will continue to deteriorate, resulting in operations, maintenance and repair to the government and inconvenience to residents.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide for Planning, Programming, Design, and Construction. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefit of this respective alternative, new construction was found to be most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is approximately 88% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. This project is not eligible for NATO funding. SIOH is 4.0% to fund United Kingdom execution agents and Air Force project oversight. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Dimasalang Junio, (44) 1638-52-2100. FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: POUND 0.5750

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSIN	G JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE O	July-04			2. FISCAL 2007		DD-A&L(AI	ONTROL SY R)1716	MBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	ALLATION	ouly or							
AIR FORCE	a. NAME					b. LOCATI	ION			
5. DATA AS OF	LAKENH	EATH RAF			Phase 4		UNITED KIN	IGDOM		
Oct-03			ALIER				nno i	ECTED		
ANALYSI OF	S		OFFICER	E9-E7	E6-E1	TOTAL	OFFICER	E9-E7	E6-E1	TOT
REQUIREMENTS A	AND ASSETS		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL ST	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUM		1.0			F-12112				17515
			921	823	6,858	8,602	921	823	6,858	8,60
7. PERMANENT PARTY PE	ERSONNEL		921	823	6,858	8,602	921	823	6,858	8,60
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSI	NG REQUIREMENTS		645	692	3,613	4,950	645	692	3,613	4,95
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED (a + b + c)		14.75		50	74				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED			0	24	50	/4				
4. INVOCUNIANCE SERVICES			0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING T	TO BE					1992				
DISPOSED/REPLACED	TO U. COLUMNICATION		0	24	50	74				
C. UNACCEPTABLY HOUS	SED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARAT	TONS		24	30	148	202	24	30	148	202
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING F	REQUIREMENTS		621	662	3,465	4,748	621	662	3,465	4.74
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a +	b)		021	1002	0.00	1600 0100 01	erit de la company	No. of the Sa	0,400	1535
1			708	663	3,659	5,030	577	559	2,634	3,770
a UNDER MILITARY CONT	ROL		325	243	1,502	2,070	142	280	1,542	1,964
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTIN	G DOD					0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000				A WESTER
OWNED/CONTROLL			325	243	1,502	2,070	112	243	1,502	1,857
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/	APPROVED						30	37	40	107
(3) VACANT			0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE			-		- 0					
			0	0	0	0		E9 1968		
b. PRIVATE HOUSING			383	420	2,157	2,960	435	279	1,092	1,806
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUS	ED	-	383	420	2,157	2,960				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACAN	NT RENTAL			-						
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING D	EEICIT		. 0	0	0	0		to almonous		
a. EFFECTIVE HOUSING D	EFICIT	500	(87)	(1)	(194)	(282)	44	103	831	978
4. PROPOSED PROJECT			THE ST		FILLE					1045 T.
5. REMARKS			Section 1			teles sittel	0	24	50	74

POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 2007 BUDGET REQUEST

FY 2007 POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands) FY 2007 Program \$ 403,777 FY 2006 Program \$ 362,828

Purpose and Scope

The Air Force has approximately 87,000 family housing units (includes 28,200 privatized units) for FY 2007. The average age of housing units in the Air Force inventory is over 32 years. Based on recent analysis incorporated into the Air Force Family Housing Master Plan (AF FHMP), in the beginning of FY 2007 approximately 20,400 of these units now require improvement or renovation to meet contemporary living standards during the next decade. Under existing agreements, it is expected the host nations will revitalize 3,000 units leaving 11,000 units for the Air Force to revitalize. Many of these units require major expenditures to repair or replace deteriorated mechanical, electrical, or structural components, and to provide some of the basic modern amenities found in comparable community housing. The Post Acquisition Construction Program provides this needed revitalization. Each project also includes a significant amount of concurrent maintenance and repair to maximize the project cost effectiveness.

The Air Force is the acknowledged DoD leader in developing the "whole house" revitalization concept. Whole house is the combination of needed maintenance and repair together with improvements to bring the unit to contemporary standards. In addition, we are looking beyond the house to the entire housing area in our requirements plan. Our "whole neighborhood" concept is being refined and includes the development of supporting housing infrastructure requirements, neighborhood vehicular and pedestrian circulation concepts to consider siting, density, landscaping, parking, playgrounds, recreation areas and utilities, in addition to the housing unit itself.

Consistent with Authorization and Appropriation Committees' language in FY 1990, the Air Force is seeking to maintain funding in this account to continue revitalizing our aging homes. Consistent with Appropriation Committees' language in FY 1985, the Air Force has gathered data on the post acquisition construction projects to detail past projects on these units and any future work being programmed within a three year period. This information is provided as a part of this submittal.

February 2006 Page No. 329

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 2007 BUDGET REQUEST

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

- (1) Various improvements to existing public quarters, as described on DD Form 1391.
- (2) Appropriation of \$403,777,000 to fund projects in FY 2007.

NOTE: Projects within the program are within the statutory limitation of \$50,000 per unit adjusted by area cost factor, except as identified by separate DD Form 1391.

February 2006 Page No. 330

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY C	ONSTRU	ICTION PROJ	ECT DATA	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC VARIOUS AIR FORCE			4. PROJECT TITLE FAMILY HOUSING POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	DJECT NUMBER		COST (\$000)	
88742/31196	711-000	ST ESTIMAT	TE	403	3,777	
	ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
POST ACQUISITION CO PROJECTS TO IMPR TOTAL CONTRACT CO TOTAL REQUEST	OVE HOUSING UNITS	UN	2,239		403,777 403,777 403,777	

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Includes all work necessary to revitalize military family housing by providing: air-conditioning, where authorized; modern functional layouts; soundproofing; and utility and site improvements. Energy conservation actions include new and additional insulation, storm windows, solar screens, and efficient heating and cooling systems.

11. PROJECT: This request is for an authorization and appropriation of \$403.777 million to accomplish improvement and privatization in family housing.

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: To revitalize and improve the livability of older, obsolete family housing units, to conserve energy in these older housing units, and to bring utility systems up to current safety standards. Whole-house improvements include but are not limited to: kitchen upgrades, bathroom additions/upgrades, repair/replacement of roofs, upgrade of mechanical and electrical systems, replacement of windows, doors, floors, and exterior improvements (patios, fences, storages, etc.)

CURRENT SITUATION: The majority of these family housing units were constructed during the late 1940's and 1950's using various design and construction criteria, with different types of material, equipment, and appliances. Many utility and structural systems were constructed during years of plentiful, inexpensive energy resources. Insulation, storm windows and doors, etc., not previously cost effective, are now sound investment. This program will extend the useful life of many of our older, less modern units by enhancing livability, functionality, reducing operation costs and improving safety standards.

ADDITIONAL: These projects meet the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." Energy evaluation/life-cycle cost analysis was performed in support of these projects. The Air Force will improve existing family housing units to the size and floor pattern similar to the local standards and up to the following size: E1-E6: 2 BR (1080 NSF/1340 GSF), 2 BR Modified (1180 NSF/1480 GSF), 3 BR (1310 NSF/1630 GSF), 4 BR (1570 NSF/1950 GSF), 5 BR (1850 NSF/2300 GSF); E7-E9/O1-O3: 2 BR (1200 NSF/1490 GSF), 2 BR Modified (1350 NSF/1670 GSF), 3 BR (1500 NSF/1860 GSF), 4 BR (1730 NSF/2150 GSF), 5 BR (2020 NSF/2510 GSF); O4-O5: 3 BR (1630 NSF/2020 GSF), 4 BR (1860 NSF/2310 GSF); O-6: 4 BR (2030 NSF/2520 GSF); O-7: 4 BR (2690 NSF/3330 GSF).

1. COMPONENT
AIR FORCE

FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES

4. PROJECT TITLE
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION

10. Description of work to be accomplished

Current Working
Location and Project

Current Working
Estimate (\$000)

UNITED STATES

ALASKA

EIELSON AFB

IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING

FTOW044800

Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 264 units.
 Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrade kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings, improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing, patios, playgrounds and recreation areas. Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.
 (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)

- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

MISSOURI

WHITEMAN AFB

IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING

YWHG059302

 Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 59 JNCO housing units. Includes utility upgrade and additions to meet current standards.
 Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms and floor coverings, improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing, patios, fire sprinkler systems, playgrounds and recreation areas. Includes demolition and asbestos/Lead-Based Paint removal as required.

(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)

- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

NORTH CAROLINA

SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING

VKAG066003

- Constructs additional space (family room and utility room) and provides interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 134 housing units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings, improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, garages, boundary fencing, patios, playgrounds and recreation areas.
 (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

12,816

9,655

102,261

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE AIR FORCE FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES 4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION 10. Description of work to be accomplished Current Working

Location and Project

Estimate (\$000)

UNITED STATES

NORTH DAKOTA

QJVF079022

MINOT AFB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING 24,356

2,521

- Improve 156 housing units with all necessary supporting facilities including: new roofs, new entry doors and stoops, adding arctic room, increasing the size of deck, carpet, improvement of master bedroom suite to include full bath and walk in closet, adding half bath on main floor, bulk storage, patios, landscaping, drive aprons and sidewalks as needed, and all other necessary support facilities. Special construction includes bulk storage areas and arctic climate construction. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

TENNESSEE

ARNOLD AFB

IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING

ANZY074001

 Provide housing and neighborhood improvement for 20 housing units. Repair damage to exterior, convert/construct garages, add trash enclosures, increase unit size, abate asbestos tile, install fire suppression, and upgrade chain link fencing to privacy fencing. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)

- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: Mold remediation 40 units; gutter caps 40 units; maintenance painting 7 units; upgrade playground equipment; screened-in porches 40 units; pest control 40 units.

- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

PAGE NO

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE AIR FORCE FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES 5. PROJECT NUMBER 4. PROJECT TITLE POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION 10. Description of work to be accomplished Current Working Location and Project Estimate (\$000) OVERSEAS GERMANY RAMSTEIN AB 5.448 IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING TYFR074035 Provides general interior modernization and renovation of 19 housing units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings, improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing, patios, playgrounds and recreation areas. Includes roads and paving. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached) - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None JAPAN KADENA AB 121,777 IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING LXEZ074215 - Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 511 housing units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bedrooms, bathrooms and finishings; improves floor plans, provides energy efficiency, fencing, patios, playgrounds and recreation areas. Includes environmental removal/disposal work. Provides covered garage (for GOQ). Provides handicap improvements in the tower including emergency power back up (for one elevator).

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None
 WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)

- KADENA AB INSTALL GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS EVKP07AF747
 - Improve 456 family housing units in Kishaba, Upper Plaza, East Futenma, Clark Vista, and Stillwell Park areas. Provide dishwashers, garbage disposals, smoke detectors, and venetian blinds for units being constructed by the Government of Japan (GOJ) for the United States military.
 - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None
 WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

1,871

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE

FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES 4. PROJECT TITLE

POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION

5. PROJECT NUMBER

Description of work to be accomplished

Location and Project

Current Working Estimate (\$000)

OVERSEAS

JAPAN

MISAWA AB IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING OKKA074025

68,997

1,304

- Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 313 housing units. Includes utility upgrades, additions to meet current standards, force protection measures, site improvement, covered parking for H-Style units, storage sheds, parking for residents/guests, playground and landscaping. Provides pitched roof, covered court and mud rooms. Replaces floor heaters with forced air ceiling mounted heaters to include fan and temperature controller. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings, improves floor plans, and installs sound insulation for Tower (1938) to include replacing window rails and handicap accessibility for 1st and 2nd floor, fire sprinkler systems, and elevator work. Provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing, patios and recreation areas. Removes asbestos and lead-based paint.

(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)

- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

MISAWA AB

INSTALL GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIALS OKKA074021

- Improve 121 housing units. Install dishwasher, GFCI outlets, garbage disposals, smoke detectors, fire extinguishers, flag pole brackets, window blinds, carpeting, refrigerator, washing machine, and dryer. Includes additional requirements for one GOQ which are fire place, flag pole bracket/lighting, landscaping, force protection bollards, exterior lighting for outside area, security camera and mechanical access space panels. Units are being constructed by the Government of Japan (GOJ) for the US Military.

(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)

- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

YOKOTA AB

IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING

ZNRE074306

- Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 186 housing units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, reconfigures floor layout, and increases energy efficiency. Provides patios, playgrounds, recreation areas and utilities replacement. Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

52,239

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE AIR FORCE FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES 4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION 10. Description of work to be accomplished Current Working Estimate (\$000) Location and Project UNITED KINGDOM RAF MILDENHALL 482 IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING QFQE074001 - Provide improvement for the housing neighborhood areas to include privacy fencing, landscaping, street lighting and recreation areas. - WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None CLASSIFIED LOCATION 50 IMPROVE INFRASTRUCTURE PAYZ074001 - Improve housing area landscaping, storm drainage, water and electrical systems.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 2007 BUDGET REQUEST

POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (OVER \$50,000 PER UNIT)

A separate DD Form 1391 follows for each Post Acquisition Construction project which is over \$50,000 per unit (multiplied by the Area Cost Factor).

February 2006 Page No. 337

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)					2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT 1 EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA IMPROVE FAMI						
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-143			8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 102,261		
	9. COST	ESTIM	ATES			
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
PRIMARY FACILITIES IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING SUPPORTING FACILITIES LANDSCAPING UTILITIES RECREATION FACILITIES ASBESTOS LEAD-BASED IN STREETS OTHER SITE WORK	3		UN LS LS LS LS LS	264	276,488	72,993 (72,993) 21,562 (2,077) (11,459) (1,449) (1,884) (2,179)
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0% TOTAL CONTRACT COST	n and overhead (3.0	2.13 7,261	20			94,555 4,728 99,283 2,978 102,261

10. Description of Proposed Work: Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 264 units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrade kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings, improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing, patios, playgrounds and recreation areas. Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.

11. Requirement: 1082 UN Adequate: 689 UN Substandard: 393 UN PROJECT: Improve Family Housing

168 JNCO 4 bedroom units; 72 JNCO 2 bedroom units; 24 JNCO 3 bedroom units.

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Eielson AFB. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is a multiple phased program. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Garages and off-street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing that was constructed between 1952-1985. They require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND EIELSON AIR FORCE I		4. PROJECT IMPROVE FAM	TITLE ILY HOUSING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-143	7. PROJECT NUMBER FTOW044800	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		

environment. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. Counter tops are warped, stained, and separating at the seams. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated and outdated. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring is stained, loose, and mismatched due to the non-availability of original materials for replacement. Windows, siding, and insulation require replacement. The units have inadequate living space and storage, and no patio or backyard privacy. Landscaping and recreation areas for housing residents are deficient. Paved areas need renovation.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair of these units will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost to improve the units is 54% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David A. Martinson, (907) 377-5213.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI				4. PROJECT IMPROVE MFH		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG059302			8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 12,816	
	9. COST	ESTIMA	TES			
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
PRIMARY FACILITIES IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING SUPPORTING FACILITIES	g		UN	59	80,347	4,741 (4,741 6,807
INFRASTRUCTURE DEMOLITION			LS			(5,759 (753
STORM SHELTERS			UN	59	5,000	(295
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0%)						11,548 577
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						12,125
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION TOTAL REQUEST	AND OVERHEAD (5.	7%)				12,816
AREA COST FACTOR		1.08				
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT		87,310				

10. Description of Proposed Work: Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 59 JNCO housing units. Includes utility upgrade and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms and floor coverings, improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing, patios, fire sprinkler systems, playgrounds and recreation areas. Includes demolition and asbestos/Lead-Based Paint removal as required.

Requirement: 911 Adequate: 852 Substandard: 59

<u>PROJECT:</u> Improve Military Family Housing (Phase 4). This project includes work for (4) JNCO 2 Bedroom Units, (45) JNCO 3 Bedroom Units, (10) JNCO 4 Bedroom Units, and demolition of 71 surplus units. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Whiteman AFB. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. All family housing units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed improvements in accordance with the 2005 50% Housing Community Profile and the Housing Master Plan, both in development. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Also required are fire sprinkler systems, carbon monoxide detectors, and FEMA approved Storm Shelters. Living units will be expanded as necessary to meet current space authorizations. Garages and off-street parking will be provided where deficient and as site conditions permit. Neighborhood improvements are required including landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas. Also required is the demolition of 71 surplus housing units not meeting Air Force housing standards as identified in the Whiteman Housing Community Profile.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing constructed in 1962. These 40-plus year old houses require major renovation and repair to correct

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND WHITEMAN AIR FORCE		4. PROJECT IMPROVE MF			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG059302	8. PROJECT COST (\$00 12,816		

deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families. Whiteman's Housing Community Profile identifies 71 surplus demolition units on Whiteman that need to be taken down in order to meet the goals set by the Housing Requirements Market Analysis (HRMA).

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: These houses will continue to deteriorate rapidly resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project repair of these units will continue in costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvements in living quality. Low morale and retention can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. Sufficient, affordable off-base housing is not available.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: A preliminary economic analysis has been performed comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement and status quo operation. Improvement was found the most cost effective over the life of the project in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. A certificate of exception has been prepared. The cost to improve this housing is less than 50% of the replacement cost. Army Corps of Engineers is construction agent, conus SIOH is 5.7%. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Jeffery A. Vinger, Phone: (660) 687-3503.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA IMPROVE FAMILY HO					
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN' 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE			r COST (\$000) 9,655	
	9. COST	ESTIMATES			
	ITEM	U/M	OUANTITY	UNIT	COST
PRIMARY FACILITIES IMPROVE FAMILY HOUS	SING	UN	134	54,843	7,349 (7,349
SUPPORTING FACILITIE	s				1,578
PAVEMENTS		LS			(59
UTILITIES		LS			(956
LANDSCAPING		LS			(119
RECREATION FACILITY	ES	LS			(51
HANDICAPPED		LS			(62
COMMON AREA IMPROVE	MENTS	LS			(86
BOUNDARY FENCING		LS			(245
SUBTOTAL					8,927
CONTINGENCY (5.	0%)				446
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					9,373

10. Description of Proposed Work: Constructs additional space (family room and utility room) and provides interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 134 housing units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings, improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, garages, boundary fencing, patios, playgrounds and recreation areas.

56,800

(3.0%)

11. Requirement: 2365 UN Adequate: 2231 UN Substandard: 134 UN

PROJECT: Improve Family Housing. This project includes work for 38 JNCO four-bedroom,
60 JNCO three-bedroom and 36 two-bedroom modified units. (Current mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Seymour Johnson AFB. The housing must be upgraded to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Single car carports will be converted to garages. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> This project constructs additions to and modernizes housing that was constructed in 1972. Some of these houses were upgraded in a subsequent project. The housing size standards now allow for larger living units that better meet the needs of today's families. At the time of the upgrades, kitchen and bathroom cabinets as well as the heating and air conditioning systems were reused. The cabinets, heating and air

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD

TOTAL REQUEST AREA COST FACTOR

MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT

281 9,655

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY (compu	DATA 2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION :	AND LOCATION AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CA	4. PROJECT ROLINA IMPROVE FAM	
5. PROGRAM ELEME 88742	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE 711-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER VKAG066003	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 9,655

conditioning systems are obsolete and in need of replacement. Counter tops are warped, stained, and separating at the seams. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated and obsolete. The electrical services to the units do not meet current standards. Additional ground fault receptacles are needed in the kitchen and laundry. The units have inadequate living space and storage. Landscaping and recreation areas are deficient. Provides fencing to set up boundary that separates one housing unit from the other.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair to the government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair of these units will continue in a costly and piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

<u>ADDITIONAL:</u> An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost to improve the units is 31% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Michael J. Coats, (919) 722-5142.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITA	2. DATE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA				4. PROJECT IMPROVE FA	TITLE MMILY HOUSIN	IG PHASE 13	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE	7.	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8.			PROJECT COST (\$000) 24,356	
	9. COS	ST ESTIM	ATES				
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST	
PRIMARY FACILITIES FAM HSG CAPEHART			UN	156	123,231	19,224	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES COMMON NEIGHBORHOOD	SUPPORT		LS			2,721	
LANDSCAPING SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES			LS			(918) (892)	
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0%)					21,945 1,097	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTIO	N AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				23,042	
TOTAL REQUEST AREA COST FACTOR		1.11				24,356	
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT		131,270					

10. Description of Proposed Work: Improve 156 housing units with all necessary supporting facilities including: new roofs, new entry doors and stoops, adding arctic room, increasing the size of deck, carpet, improvement of master bedroom suite to include full bath and walk in closet, adding half bath on main floor, bulk storage, patios, landscaping, drive aprons and sidewalks as needed, and all other necessary support facilities. Special construction includes bulk storage areas and arctic climate construction.

11. Requirement: 1772 UN Adequate: 1616 UN Substandard: 156 UN

PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing, Phase 13 This phase includes work on 136

JNCO (3 Br) and 20 JNCO (4 Br) units. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Minot AFB. All units will be "whole house" improvement to provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This project is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. This is the thirteenth of multiple phases to replace/improve 1,772 housing units for base personnel. 1,616 units have already been replaced. The replacement/improvement housing will provide a modern easy to maintain, living unit, with ample interior and exterior storage plus an additional 300 SF per unit to provide an indoor recreation area. The neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meed modern housing needs, to include landscaping, and recreation areas. CURRENT SITUATION: This project improves units built in 1962, which are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. These units had major upgrades in 1992 and 1993 as part of the improvement projects (phases one and two). These units do not meet the requirements of the needs of today's family, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Exterior living spaces are inadequate. Entry stoops are settling and slope toward the unit. Entry doors swing out and pose a hazard to occupants and quests as they must step back to gain access to units. There is no bathroom on the main floor.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND MINOT AIR FORCE BAS		4. PROJECT IMPROVE FA	TITLE MILY HOUSING PHASE 13		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER OJVF079022	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 24,356		

Arctic rooms are not provided. The exteriors of these units lack landscaping and have no covered patio for protection from the sun. Off street parking is severely limited, and traffic flow in and around the housing areas is inefficient.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The housing will continue to deteriorate, resulting in increasing and unacceptable maintenance costs and inconvenience to the occupants. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, repairs of these units will continue at a costly, piecemeal fashion, with little or no improvement in living quality.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been performed considering improvement, replacement, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the new present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The improvement cost is 58% of the replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Family Housing Guide. 5.7% Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead (SIOH) is being programmed for Army Corps of Engineers. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Darren Gibbs, DSN 453-2434.

. COMPONENT FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)						2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION A				4. PROJECT IMPROVE FAM	TITLE	
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN 88742	6. CATEGORY COD					COST (\$000)
	9. CC	OST ESTIM	ATES			
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
PRIMARY FACILITIES IMPROVE FAMILY HOUS SUPPORTING FACILITIE SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.4 TOTAL CONTRACT COST	s		UN	20	116,551	2,331 (2,331) 0 2,331 117 2,448
	ION AND OVERHEAD	(3.0%)				73 2,521

10. Description of Proposed Work: Provide housing and neighborhood improvement for 20 housing units. Repair damage to exterior, convert/construct garages, add trash enclosures, increase unit size, abate asbestos tile, install fire suppression, and upgrade chain link fencing to privacy fencing.

11. Requirement: 36 UN Adequate: 16 UN Substandard: 20 UN

PROJECT: Improve 20 family housing units.

REQUIREMENT: Project Composition: 1 GOQ (4BR), 4 SOQ (4BR), 1 E-9 (4BR), 1 FGO (4BR), 2 JNCO (4BR), 5 FGO/CGO (3BR), 6 JNCO (3BR). This project is required to provide modern, efficient housing and community atmosphere for military family members and their families stationed at Arnold AFB. The housing must be upgraded to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to off-base civilian housing. Improvements are in accordance with Dec 2005 Housing Community Profile.

CURRENT SITUATION: The housing complex consists of 28 quad units and 12 single family units, all of which were built in 1964 and 1965. Thees 40 year old homes require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they exhibit a modern home environment. A GOQ was recently established; the improvement scheduled for this unit will be in accordance with the Housing Community Profile findings. Occupants of the townhouse units have no covered parking available to protect their vehicles from the weather. Exterior painted brick surfaces are scaled and fading. Units have carpet installed over buckling parquet flooring installed with asbestos-containing mastic.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will continue to live in inadequate housing that will rapidly deteriorate and remain in a condition of living lower than that of the surrounding community, adversely affecting morale and potentially productivity. As units continue to age, increasing service calls will yield larger deficiencies. Continiuing to maintain these energy inefficient units will continue to drain limited funds.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: Mold remediation 40 units; gutter caps 40 units; maintenance painting 7 units; upgrade playground equipment; screened-in porches 40 units; pest control 40 units.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			
	4. PROJECT IMPROVE FAM	TITLE	
6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,521	
	(comp LOCATION SE, TENNESSEE	(computer generated) LOCATION 4. PROJECT SE, TENNESSEE IMPROVE FAM 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER	

ADDITIONAL: The construction agent is Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence. The cost to improve the housing units is 49% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Michael Blaylock, (931) 454-4320.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND RAMSTEIN AIR BASE,		4. PROJECT T		PH D
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)

9. COST	ESTIMATES			
ITEM	U/M	OUANTITY	UNIT	COST
PRIMARY FACILITIES				4,695
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	UN	19	247,116	(4,695)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				177
UTILITIES	LS			(50)
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(34)
LANDSCAPING	LS			(54)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(39)
SUBTOTAL				4,872
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				244
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,115
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.	5%)			333
TOTAL REQUEST		-		5,448
AREA COST FACTOR	1.2			
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT 30	04,150			

- 10. Description of Proposed Work: Provides general interior modernization and renovation of 19 housing units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings, improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing, patios, playgrounds and recreation areas. Includes roads and paving.
- 11. Requirement: 6988 UN Adequate: 6641 UN Substandard: 347 UN

PROJECT: Improve Military Family Housing (Phase D). This project includes 4 SOQ four-bedroom, 6 E-9 four-bedroom, 6 E-9 three-bedroom, and 3 GOQ four-bedroom unit. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents at Ramstein AB, Germany. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is phase D of multiple phases to upgrade 347 units. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile, phase D. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Single car garages and off street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing that was constructed in the 1950s. These 50-year-old houses require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home improvement. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. Counter tops are warped, stained, and separating at the seams. Plumbing

5,448

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND RAMSTEIN AIR BASE,		4. PROJECT IMPROVE FAM	TITLE MILY HOUSING, PH D	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER TYFR074035	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 5,448	

and lighting fixtures are deteriorated and dated. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault Circuit Interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring is stained, loose, and mismatched due to the non-availability of original materials for replacement. Windows, siding, and insulation require replacement. The units have inadequate living space and storage, and no patio or backyard privacy. Landscaping and recreation areas for housing residents are deficient. Paved roads need renovation.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair of these units will continue in a costly piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost to improve the units is 65% of the replacement cost. The construction agent for this project is the Army Corps of Engineers resulting in 6.5% SIOH costs. This project is not eligible for NATO funding. This project meets the criteria of the Air Force Housing Guide for Planning, Programming, Design, and Construction. Base Civil Engineer: Col Carlos R. Cruz-Gonzalez, 314-480-6228

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: EURO-DOLLAR .853

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)					2. DATE
5. INSTABILITION AND EXCEPTION				4. PROJECT TITLE IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH 7		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY COD	E 7.	7. PROJECT NUMBER		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 121,777	
	9. C	OST ESTIM	ATES			
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSIN						103,665
GOQ			UN	1	296,000	(296
NON-GOQ			UN	510	202,684	(103,369
SUPPORTING FACILITIES						8,935
SITE IMPROVEMENT/PE	RIMETER FENCE		LS			(1,604
LANDSCAPING			LS			(1,153
UTILITY MAINS			LS			(1,013
PARKING/STREET/SIDEWALK/PATH			LS			(3,004
PLAYGROUNDS/RECREATION			LS			(1,261
ENVIRONMENTAL - REMOVAL/DISPOSAL		LS			(900	
SUBTOTAL						112,600
CONTINGENCY (5.0	%)					5,630
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						118,230
SUPERVISION, INSPECTI	ON AND OVERHEAD	(3.0%)				3,547
TOTAL REQUEST						121,777
AREA COST FACTOR		1.34				
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT		296,000				

10. Description of Proposed Work: Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 511 housing units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bedrooms, bathrooms and finishings; improves floor plans, provides energy efficiency, fencing, patios, playgrounds and recreation areas. Includes environmental removal/disposal work. Provides covered garage (for GOQ). Provides handicap improvements in the tower including emergency power back up (for one elevator).

11. Requirement: 8442 UN Adequate: 3387 UN Substandard: 4793 UN

PROJECT: Improve military family housing (Phase 7). This phase includes work for 1 GOQ four-bedroom, 2 E9 four-bedroom, 12 SNCO three-bedroom, 56 JNCO three-bedroom and 440 JNCO two-bedroom units (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed in Okinawa, Japan. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base US civilian community. This is the seventh phase of multiple phases to upgrade all inadequate units. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and patio. Dwelling units will be expanded (in some unit types) to meet current standards. Covered garages will be provided for GOQ units, off-street parking, community and neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playgrounds and recreation facilities.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing that was built by the

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND KADENA AIR BASE, JA		4. PROJECT TITLE IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH 7		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUME		OST (\$000)

Government of Japan (GOJ) during the 1960s and 1980s. These houses require major renovation and repair to correct system deterioration, meet modern standards, and provide major home improvements. They have had no major upgrades since construction. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. Counter tops are scratched. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated and antiquated. The electrical system does not meet modern standards and codes. Floor covering is stained and mismatched due to non-availability of similar materials for replacement. Exterior surfaces and roof, including windows, doors and frames require replacement. These units have inadequate space for storage and patio. Landscaping and recreation areas dedicated for housing residents are deficient. Utilities systems are deficient and old. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair to the government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair of these units will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality. Housing Requirements

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

and Market Analysis shows an on-base deficit of 262 units.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of reconstruction, improvement, direct compensation and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project is not eligible for Host Nation funding. The cost to improve the units is 38% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Colonel Juan Ibanez, Jr., 011-81-611-734-1807.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: YEN 113.3

1. COMPONENT FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MISAWA AIR BASE, JAPAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PH. 2

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 88742 711-181 QKKA074025 68,997

9. 0	COST ESTIM			UNIT	COST
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	01122	
PRIMARY FACILITIES					50,698
SOQ FOUR BEDROOM		UN	5	257,975	(1,290
CGO THREE BEDROOM		UN	36	139,217	(5,012
CGO TWO BEDROOM		UN	12	239,375	(2,873
SNCO FOUR BEDROOM		UN	8	178,934	(1,431
SNCO THREE BEDROOM		UN	36	139,217	(5,012
JNCO FOUR BEDROOM		UN	92	178,934	(16,462
JNCO TWO BEDROOM (TOWER)		UN	68	76,672	(5,214
JNCO TWO BEDROOM		UN	56	239,375	(13,405
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					13,100
SITE IMPROVEMENT		LS			(3,625
LANDSCAPING		LS			(528
UTILITIES		LS			(3,423
PAVEMENT/CURBS/GUTTERS		LS			(1,750
PARKING/COVERED PARKING		LS			(2,768
PLAYGROUND/RECREATIONAL		LS		-	(1,006
SUBTOTAL					63,798
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)					3,190
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					66,987
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	(3.0%)				2,010
TOTAL REQUEST					68,997
AREA COST FACTOR	1.51				
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT	280,000				

10. Description of Proposed Work: Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 313 housing units. Includes utility upgrades, additions to meet current standards, force protection measures, site improvement, covered parking for H-Style units, storage sheds, parking for residents/guests, playground and landscaping. Provides pitched roof, covered court and mud rooms. Replaces floor heaters with forced air ceiling mounted heaters to include fan and temperature controller. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings, improves floor plans, and installs sound insulation for Tower (1938) to include replacing window rails and handicap accessibility for 1st and 2nd floor, fire sprinkler systems, and elevator work. Provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing, patios and recreation areas. Removes asbestos and lead-based paint.

11. Requirement: 2380 UN Adequate: 1392 UN Substandard: 829 UN

PROJECT: Improve Family Housing Phase 2. This phase includes work for 5 SOQ four-bedroom, 36 CGO three-bedroom, 12 CGO two-bedroom, 8 SNCO four-bedroom, 36 SNCO three-bedroom, 92 JNCO four-bedroom, 56 JNCO two-bedroom and 68 JNCO tower two-bedroom units (Current Mission).

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND MISAWA AIR BASE, JA		4. PROJECT IMPROVE FAM	TITLE MILY HOUSING, PH. 2	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-181	7. PROJECT NUMBER OKKA074025	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 68,997	

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Misawa Air Base, Japan. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community in the United States. This is the second of multiple phases to upgrade all inadequate housing. All units will meet whole house standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage space. Living units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Off-street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playground and recreation areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing that was constructed in 1982 thru 1987. These houses require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. Counter tops are warped, stained, and separating at the seams. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated and out-dated. The electrical systems do meet modern construction codes. Ground fault Circuit Interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring is stained, loose, and mismatched due to the non-availability of original materials for replacement. Windows, siding, and insulation require replacement. The washers and dryers in the Tower are located in the kitchen, which is open to the living and dining areas. The Tower also lacks a fire suppression sprinkler system. This creates a lot of noise in these areas since the appliances are not enclosed and have no sound barrier. The kitchen is also exposed to lint particles from the dryer unit.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project, repair of these units will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. This project is not eligible for Host Nation funding. The cost to improve the units is 40% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David Maharrey, 011-81-3117-66-3089.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: YEN 113.3

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY	2. DATE				
3. INSTALLATION A MISAWA AIR BASE,				4. PROJECT INSTALL GOV		ISHED MATERIAL
				ROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST 0KKA074021 1,304		
	9. COST	ESTIM	ATES			
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
PRIMARY FACILITIES						0
FAM HSG OTHER			SM	0	0	(0)
SUPPORTING FACILITIE	S					1,304
DWELLING NON-GOQ			UN	120	9,950	(1,194)
DWELLING GOQ			UN	1	110,000	(110)
SUBTOTAL						1,304
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						1,304
TOTAL REQUEST						1,304
AREA COST FACTOR		1.51				
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT	11	10,000				

10. Description of Proposed Work: Improve 121 housing units. Install dishwasher, GFCI outlets, garbage disposals, smoke detectors, fire extinguishers, flag pole brackets, window blinds, carpeting, refrigerator, washing machine, and dryer. Includes additional requirements for one GOQ which are fire place, flag pole bracket/lighting, landscaping, force protection bollards, exterior lighting for outside area, security camera and mechanical access space panels. Units are being constructed by the Government of Japan (GOJ) for the US Military.

11. Requirement: 2380 UN Adequate: 1392 UN Substandard: 829 UN PROJECT: Install GFM for GOJ Construction. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to provide complete and useable facilities, leveraging a multi-million dollar construction program from GOJ. The items required in this project will not be provided by the GOJ, but are necessary to comply with US fire and safety standards and quality of life criteria. For the GOQ, certain items will not be provided by GoJ, but are required in accordance with GOQ Resident's Handbook, Vol. 1, and GOQ Standards for Programming, Design & Construction, Vol. 2. The GOJ will install them during construction if provided as government furnished equipment.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The houses constructed by the GOJ will be turned over to the US Military incomplete, lacking required fire/safety features, necessary appliances, and basic architectural finishes. The GOJ project standards do not include equipment standards that are comparable to US Air Force standards.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: This project is intended to specifically fund items which are not provided by GOJ, but are required for the building to be fully usable. Items funded are directly integral to the life safety or basic functionality of the facility, but independent of the usage of the facility. If this project is not funded, 120 Non-GOQ and 1 GOQ dwelling units will be incomplete and remain unoccupied.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for GOJ funding. Government Furnishing Material (GFM) requirements for 120 Non-GOQ and 1 GOQ dwelling units built by Government of Japan. Project AF0401 (56 three BR and 28 four BR units), AF0420 (36 four BR units)

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND MISAWA AIR BASE, JA			4. PROJECT INSTALL GOV		ISHED MATERIAL
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-181		ECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)

and AF0535 (1 four BR GOQ unit). Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David Maharrey, 011-81-3117-66-3089.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: YEN 113.3

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	NT FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)					2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND YOKOTA AIR BASE, JA			4. PROJECT TITLE IMPROVE MFH (PHASE 5)				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE			ECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	COST (\$000)	
	9. COST	ESTIMAT	ES				
	ITEM	U	/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST	
PRIMARY FACILITIES IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSI SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITY DEMOLITION ASBESTOS ABATEMENT RECREATION FACILITIE MECHANICAL		1	IN S	186	224,414	(41,741 6,561 (2,245 (877 (1,341 (361 (1,737	
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0% TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION TOTAL REQUEST AREA COST FACTOR	ON AND OVERHEAD (3.	0%)				48,302 2,415 50,717 1,522 52,239	
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT	2	70,000					

10. Description of Proposed Work: Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of 186 housing units. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, reconfigures floor layout, and increases energy efficiency. Provides patios, playgrounds, recreation areas and utilities replacement. Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.

11. Requirement: 2518 UN Adequate: 1792 UN Substandard: 726 UN

PROJECT: Improve Family Housing Phase 5. This phase includes work on 1 SOQ four-bedroom, 7 FGO four-bedroom, 20 FGO three-bedroom, 28 CGO four-bedroom, 52 SNCO four-bedroom, 8 JNCO four-bedroom, and 70 JNCO three-bedroom units (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Yokota AB. Housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. All units will meet whole house standards. Renovated housing will provide modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage. The units will also require air conditioning.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in the early 1970s. These housing units require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Kitchens do not provide adequate storage, cabinet space or countertop area and are not functionally arranged. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring, windows, and roofing require replacement. The units have

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND YOKOTA AIR BASE, JA		4. PROJECT IMPROVE MFF			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88742	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZNRE074306	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)	

inadequate living space and storage. Playgrounds, parking areas, and landscaping are inadequate or nonexistent.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in increased operations and maintenance and repair costs to the Air Force. Without this project, repair of these units will be accomplished in a costly and piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in living quality. Low moral and retention problems will result if conditions are permitted to continue.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives for new construction, improvement, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 11% of the replacement cost. This project is not eligible for Host Nation funding. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Martin E. Granum (011-81-3117-55-7215) DSN 225-7215.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: YEN 113.3

ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN

FY 2007 ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN

Program (In Thousands) FY 2007 Program \$13,202 FY 2006 Program \$50,248

Purpose and Scope

This program provides for preliminary studies to develop additional family housing facilities, one time multi-phase design, and housing community profile developments; studies for site adaptation and determination of type and design of units; and working drawings, specifications, estimates, project planning reports and final design drawings of family housing construction projects. This includes the use of architectural and engineering services in connection with any family housing new or post acquisition construction program.

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

- (1) Advance planning and design for future year housing programs;
- (2) FY 2007 Authorization and Appropriation of \$13,202,000 to fund this effort as outlined in the following exhibit:

February 2006

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2007 MILITARY CO	ONSTRU	ICTION PROJ	ECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC VARIOUS AIR FORCE			4. PROJECT TITE FAMILY HOUS AND DESIGN		E PLANNING
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
88742	711-000			13,2	202
	9. COS	ST ESTIMA	TE .		
	ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
FAMILY HOUSING AD AND DESIGN SUBTOTAL TOTAL CONTRACT CO TOTAL REQUEST		LS			13,202 13,202 13,202 13,202

^{10.} DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Architect-engineer services, survey, fees, etc., in connection with advance planning and design of family housing dwelling units and properties included in or proposed for the Air Force Family Housing Construction Account.

^{11. &}lt;a href="PROJECT">PROJECT: This request is for an authorization and appropriation of \$13.202 million to provide planning and design costs in connection with family housing new or post acquisition construction programs.

REQUIREMENT: The funds requested are necessary to procure architect-engineer services to make site and utility investigations; one time multi-phase design, and housing community profiles (HCP) developments; and for the preparation of design and specifications of advance plans for future year family housing programs in connection with any family housing new or post acquisition construction programs.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The funds requested are necessary to support the development of the housing community plans and to support the new and post acquisition construction programs. Without the requested funds, housing community profiles cannot be developed and the new and post acquisition construction programs cannot be designed and constructed.

O&M SUMMARY

OPERATIONS, UTILITIES AND MAINTENANCE (Excluding Leasing, Debt, and Privatization)

Program (\$ in Thousands) FY 2007 Program \$595,876 FY 2006 Program \$575,594

<u>Purpose and Scope:</u> Provides operations and maintenance resources to pay for the cost of ownership in terms of property management, utilities, and maintenance of Air Force owned units. The Air Force family housing budget requests essential resources to provide military families with housing either in the private market through assistance from a housing referral office, or in privatized or government housing. Increased emphasis has been placed on the proper funding of the family housing operations and maintenance program. The Air Force's FY2007 Operation and Maintenance programs emphasize the following goals:

- * Identify affordable housing for military members. Where shortages exist, identify project proposals to privatize or request new construction or leasing of housing for military families.
- * Reduce utility consumption through whole-house improvements to improve energy efficiency, increased management emphasis on energy conservation, and maintenenance and repair projects to reduce energy consumption.
- * Provide government appliances and furniture in foreign countries where member-owned units are inappropriate or non-existent and where new housing units needing government-supplied applicances are coming on line. Redistribute excess furnishings from realigned bases.
- * Invest wisely in maintenance and repairs to preserve the existing adequate housing inventory worldwide. The top priorities are preservation of the good inventory that we have-keeping "good houses good"--and resolving problems that are a threat to life, safety, or health. We are also funding demolition of inadequate surplus housing to eliminate unneeded inventory.
- * Schedule maintenance and repair activities along with whole-house improvements to obtain the greatest economies of scale and enhancement in livability while increasing the useful life of housing units with the minimum capital investment and minimum impact on occupants.
- * Support privatization of utilities through the housing or utilities privatization program as appropriate.
- a. <u>Operations</u>. This portion of the program provides for operating expenses in the following sub-accounts:

- (1) Management. Includes installation-level management such as housing office operations, quality assurance evaluators, administrative support, and community liaison. It supports the Air Force Family Housing Master Plan (FHMP) and General Officer Quarters (GOQ) Master Plan efforts. It also supports the housing referral program, assisting the 60 percent of Air Force families living in local communities to find quarters in the private sector and implementing the Fair Housing Act of 1968 and assists in placing members in privatized housing. Housing Management offices provide counseling on housing decision-making and advance information on new base of assignment. The management effort at installations privatizing housing will gradually be reduced to an appropriate level for inherently governmental tasks to include asset management, housing relocation and referral services, and fiscal analysis. During major construction phases of privatized units, government oversight is required. Manning levels will remain relatively stable until construction completion at which time they should decrease as a minimum 20 percent. For bases with competitively sourced operations, the Air Force must continue to provide oversight support and advise installation leadership.
- (2) Services. Provides basic support services including refuse collection and disposal; fire and police protection; custodial services; entomology and pest control; and snow removal and street cleaning. Privatized units do not receive funding from this account.
- (3) Furnishings. Procures household equipment (primarily stoves and refrigerators) and, in limited circumstances (largely overseas), furniture. Controls inventories of furnishings at the warehouses and maintains and repairs furniture and appliances.
- (4) Miscellaneous. Provides mobile home hookups, leased office and warehouse space supporting family housing, payments to other Federal agencies or foreign governments to operate housing units occupied by Air Force personnel, and similar costs. Also funds Department of State surcharges where leased housing is procured through their services. Privatization has no impact on these activities.
- b. <u>Utilities</u>. Includes all purchased and base-produced heat, electricity, water, sewer, and gas commodities serving family housing. Occupants purchase their own telephone and cable TV service. Where required, includes investment, operations, and repair costs paid to non-government system owners in the case where utilities have been privatized. Privatized housing units do not receive funding from this account.

- c. <u>Maintenance</u>. Privatized housing units do not receive funding from this account. Provides upkeep of family housing real property, as follows:
- (1) Maintenance/Repair of Dwellings. Includes service calls, routine maintenance and repairs, and replacement of deteriorated facility components. Housing maintenance contracts are included in these costs.
- (2) Exterior Utilities. Maintenance and repair of water, sewer, electric, steam and gas lines supporting family housing areas. Includes costs paid to non-government system owners in the case where utilities have be privatized. Where required, includes investment, operations, and repair costs paid to non-government system owners in the case where utilities have been privatized.
- (3) Other Real Property. Upkeep of grounds, common areas, roads, parking areas, and other property for the exclusive use of family housing occupants not discussed above.
- (4) Alterations and Additions. Minor alterations to housing units or housing support facilities. Large scope and high dollar-value projects such as whole-house improvements are included in the construction program.

Operation and Maintenance FY 2007 Program Summary - Highlights
Authorization/Appropriation is requested in FY 2007 for \$595,876. This amount, together with estimated reimbursements of \$11,994 will fund the FY 2007 Operation and Maintenance program of \$607,870.

A summary of the funding program for FY 2007 is as follows (\$ in thousands):

Operations	Utility	Maintenance	Total Direct	Reimburse-	Total
Request	Request	Request	Request	ment	<u>Program</u>
\$150,328	\$103,250	\$342,298	\$595,876	\$11,994	\$607,870

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE Family Housing Operation and Maintenance Reprogramming Actions Fiscal Year 2005

(\$ in Thousands)

	FY 2005 Appropriation	Funds Reprogrammed	Percent Reprogrammed	FY 2005 End of Year
Utilities	125,459	33,897	27.02%	159,356
Operations				
Management	62,898	6,396	10.17%	69,294
Services	26,070	5,879	22.55%	31,949
Furnishings	41,959	0	0.00%	41,959
Miscellaeous	2,396	(367)	-15.33%	2,029
Leasing	119,908	(8,356)	-6.97%	111,552
Maintenance	439,952	(37,449)	-8.51%	402,503
Interest	0	0	0.00%	0
Privatization Support	39,104	0	0.00%	39,104
Total	857,746	(0)		857,746

USAF FY2007 PB					Fiscal Year:	200
Family Housing Operation an Excludes Leased Units and Costs Worldwide Summary	d Maintenan	ce, Summa	ary		Command: Exhibit:	USA FH-
Fiscal Year:	2005*		2006		2007	
Inventory Data (Units)				04054		F0.70
Units in Being Beginning of Year		93,204		84,254		58,73 35,45
Units in Being at End of Year Average Inventory for Year		84,254 90,578		58,732 77,462		47.92
Average inventory for Year		90,578		77,402		41,92
Historic Units		1,036		208		20
Units Requiring O&M Funding:						
a. Contiguous US		54,039		37,925		15,22
b. U. S. Overseas		4,088		2,907		2,40
c. Foreign		22,341		22,399		21,20
d. Worldwide		80,468		63,231		38,83
	Total Cost	Unit	Total Cost	Unit	Total Cost	Ur
Funding Requirements (\$000)	(\$000)	Cost (\$)	(\$000)	Cost (\$)	(\$000)	Cost (
OPERATIONS (DIRECT)						
Management	59,312	655	78,090	1,008	77,981	1,62
Services	21,365	236	25,740	332	25,888	54
Furnishings	41,113	454	41,932	541	44,545	92
Miscellaneous	2,298	18	2,407	31	1,914	4
Sub-Total Direct Operations	124,088	1,278	148,169	1,913	150,328	3,13
Anticipated Reimbursements	1,014	11	1,162	15	1,010	2
Gross Obligations, Operations	125,102	1,381	149,331	1,928	151,338	3,15
UTILITIES (DIRECT)						
Direct Utilities	125,459	1,385	116,946	1,510	103,250	2,15
Anticipated Reimbursements	3,247	36	3,185	41	2,993	6:
Gross Obligations, Utilities	128,706	1,421	120,131	1,551	106,243	2,21
MAINTENANCE (DIRECT)						
M&R Dwelling	227,943	2,517	192,841	2,489	204,650	4,270
M&R Ext. Utilities	98,410	1,086	80,747	1,042	86,664	1,80
M&R Other Real Property	25,388	280	24,367	315	28,625	597
Alter & Add.	29,088	2,517	12,524	162	22,359	467
Sub-Total Direct Maintenance	380,829	4,204	310,479	4,008	342,298	7,142
Anticipated Reimbursements Gross Obligations, Maintenance	7,329	81	7,435	96	7,991	167
oross Obligations, Maintenance	388,158	4,576	317,914	4,104	350,289	7,308
GRAND TOTAL, O&M - Direct	630,376	6,959	575,594	7,431	595,876	12,432
Anticipated Reimbursements	11,590	128	11,782	152	11,994	250
GRAND TOTAL, O&M - TOA	641,966	7,087	587,376	7,583	607,870	12,683

^{*} Inventory has been adjusted from previous budget to reflect program and execution changes

USAF FY2007 PB					Fiscal Year:	2007
Family Housing Operation and	d Maintenand	e, Summa	ry		Command:	USA
Excludes Leased Units and Costs					Exhibit:	FH-
Conterminous US					2007	
Fiscal Year: Inventory Data (Units)	2005		2006		2007	
Units in Being Beginning of Year	-	65,509		57,798		33,282
Units in Being at End of Year		57,798		33,282		12,401
Average Inventory for Year		63,002		51,109		23,575
	Total Cost	Unit	Total Cost	Unit	Total Cost	Uni
Funding Requirements (\$000)	(\$000)	Cost (\$)	(\$000)	Cost (\$)	(\$000)	Cost (\$
OPERATIONS (DIRECT)						
Management	38,865	617	55,098	1,078	53,804	2,282
Services	7,415	118	11,706	229	10,933	464
Furnishings	3,471	55	3,224	63	3,330	141
Miscellaneous	1,072	17	1,182	23	636	27
Sub-Total Direct Operations	50,823	807	71,210	1,393	68,703	2,914
Anticipated Reimbursements	668	11	681	13	506	21
Gross Obligations, Operations	51,491	817	71,891	1,407	69,209	2,936
UTILITIES (DIRECT)						
Direct Utilities	73,910	1,173	58,146	1,138	31,982	1,357
Anticipated Reimbursements	2,924	46	2,770	54	2,558	109
Gross Obligations, Utilities	76,834	1,220	60,916	1,192	34,540	1,465
MAINTENANCE (DIRECT)						
M&R Dwelling	153,422	2,435	88,082	1,723	92,727	3,933
M&R Ext. Utilties	69,632	1,105	41,411	810	42,315	1,795
M&R Other Real Property	22,405	356	10,645	208	12,385	525
Alter & Add.	19,962	317	2,255	44	8,756	371
Sub-Total Direct Maintenance	265,421	4,213	142,393	2,786	156,183	6,625
Anticipated Reimbursements	2,032	32	1,778	35	1,735	74
Gross Obligations, Maintenance	267,453	4,245	144,171	2,821	157,918	6,699
GRAND TOTAL, O&M - Direct	390,154	6,193	271,749	5,317	256,868	10,896
Anticipated Reimbursements	5,624	89	5,229	102	4,799	204
GRAND TOTAL, O&M - TOA	395,778	6.282	276,978	5,419	261,667	11,099
STARD TOTAL, ORINI-TOA	393,110	0,202	210,910	5,419	201,007	11,099

^{*} Inventory has been adjusted from previous budget to reflect program and execution changes

USAF FY2007 PB					Fiscal Year:	2007
Family Housing Operation an	d Maintenan	ce, Summa	ary		Command:	USA
Excludes Leased Units and Costs US Overseas					Exhibit:	FH-
Fiscal Year:	2005*		2006		2007	
Inventory Data (Units)			2.775.50			
Units in Being Beginning of Year		4,640		3,284		2,278
Units in Being at End of Year		3,284		2,278		2,278
Average Inventory for Year		4,462		3,181		2,278
	Total Cost	Unit	Total Cost	Unit	Total Cost	Uni
Funding Requirements (\$000)	(\$000)	Cost (\$)	(\$000)	Cost (\$)	(\$000)	Cost (\$
OPERATIONS (DIRECT)						
Management	3,854	864	5,715	1,797	4,696	2,061
Services	1,473	330	1,244	391	1,501	659
Furnishings	2,360	529	2,581	811	2,898	1,272
Miscellaneous	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Total Direct Operations	7,687	1,723	9,540	2,999	9,095	3,99
Anticipated Reimbursements	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gross Obligations, Operations	7,687	1,723	9,540	2,999	9,095	3,993
UTILITIES (DIRECT)						
Direct Utilities	18,316	4,105	20,309	6,384	19,291	8,468
Anticipated Reimbursements	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gross Obligations, Utilities	18,316	4,105	20,309	6,384	19,291	8,468
MAINTENANCE (DIRECT)						
M&R Dwelling	16,629	3,727	17,869	5,617	19,554	8,584
M&R Ext. Utilties	1,807	405	244	77	1,321	580
M&R Other Real Property	2,206	494	997	313	1,752	769
Alter & Add.	1,209	271	289	91	1,049	460
Sub-Total Direct Maintenance	21,851	4,897	19,399	6,098	23,676	10,393
Anticipated Reimbursements	1,092	245	898	282	1,095	481
Gross Obligations, Maintenance	22,943	5,142	20,297	6,381	24,771	10,874
GRAND TOTAL, O&M - Direct	47,854	10,725	49,248	15,482	52,062	22,854
Anticipated Reimbursements	1,092	245	898	282	1,095	481
GRAND TOTAL, O&M - TOA	48,946	10,970	50,146	15,764	53,157	23,335
STAIL TOTAL, OAM - TOA	40,940	10,970	50,140	15,704	53,157	23,333

^{*} Inventory has been adjusted from previous budget to reflect program and execution changes

USAF FY2007 PB					Fiscal Year:	200
Family Housing Operation an	d Maintenand	ce, Summa	ry		Command:	USA
Excludes Leased Units and Costs					Exhibit:	FH
Foreign						
Fiscal Year:	2005		2006		2007	
Inventory Data (Units)		23,055		23,172		23.17
Units in Being Beginning of Year Units in Being at End of Year		23,172		23,172		20,77
Average Inventory for Year		23,114		23,172		22,07
rivolago involtor y lor 1 ou		20,111		20,1.12		
	Total Cost	Unit	Total Cost	Unit	Total Cost	Ur
Funding Requirements (\$000)	(\$000)	Cost (\$)	(\$000)	Cost (\$)	(\$000)	Cost
OPERATIONS (DIRECT)						
Management	16,593	718	17,277	746	19,481	88
Services	12,477	540	12,790	475	13,454	60
Furnishings	35.282	1,526	36,127	1,559	38,317	1,73
Miscellaneous	1,226	53	1,225	53	1,278	5
Sub-Total Direct Operations	65,578	2.837	67,419	2,910	72,530	3,28
Anticipated Reimbursements		0	481	21	504	2
Gross Obligations, Operations	65,578	2,837	67,900	2,930	73,034	3,30
UTILITIES (DIRECT)						
Direct Utilities	36,696	1,588	38,491	1,661	51,977	2,35
Anticipated Reimbursements		0	415	18	435	2
Gross Obligations, Utilities	36,696	1,588	38,906	1,679	52,412	2,37
MAINTENANCE (DIRECT)						
M&R Dwelling	57,892	2,505	86,890	3,750	92,369	4,18
M&R Ext. Utilties	26,971	1,167	39,092	1,687	43,028	1,94
M&R Other Real Property	777	34	12,725	549	14,488	29
Alter & Add.	7,917	343	9,980	431	12,554	56
Sub-Total Direct Maintenance	93,557	4,048	148,687	6,417	162,439	7,35
Anticipated Reimbursements	4,205	182	4,759	205	5,161	23
Gross Obligations, Maintenance	97,762	4,230	153,446	6,622	167,600	7,59
GRAND TOTAL, O&M - Direct	195,831	8,472	254,597	10,987	286,946	12,998
Anticipated Reimbursements	4,205	182	5,655	244	6,100	276
GRAND TOTAL, O&M - TOA	200,036	8,654	260,252	11,231	293,046	13,274

^{*} Inventory has been adjusted from previous budget to reflect program and execution changes

FY07 Budget Estimate Submission	F	iscal Year:	2007
Summary of Historic Housing Detail		MAJCOM: Exhibit:	USAF FH-6
Fiscal Year:	2005	2006	2007
1. Historic Housing Costs, Non-GOQ Data			
a. Number of Non-GOQ units on NHRP (Inventory)	998	203	203
b. Improvement Costs (\$000)	27,635	0	700
c. Maintenance and Repair Costs (\$000)	3,144	1,100	1,332
d. Total Historic Maintenance, Repair, Improvements (\$000)	30,779	1,100	2,032
e. Average Cost Per Unit (\$000)	31	5	10
2. Historic Housing Costs, GOQ Data			
a. Number of GOQ units on NHRP (Inventory)	. 38	5	5
b. Improvement Costs (\$000)	1,300	0	0
c. Maintenance and Repair Costs (\$000)	400	31	20
d.Total Historic Maintenance, Repair, Improvements (\$000)	1,700	31	20
e. Average Cost Per Unit (\$000)	45	6	4
3. Total Historic Inventory & Costs (Non-GOQ & GOQ)			
a. Number of Non-GOQ and GOQ units on NHRP (Inventory)	1,036	208	208
b. Improvement Costs (\$000)	28,935	0	700
c. Maintenance and Repair Costs (\$000)	3,544	1,131	1,352
d.Total Historic Maintenance, Repair, Improvements (\$000)	32,479	1,131	2,052
e. Average Cost Per Unit (\$000)	31	5	10

369

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

OPERATIONS

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

OPERATIONS

(Program In Thousands) FY 2007 Program \$150,328 FY 2006 Program \$148,169

The FY 2007 program represents Air Force family housing requirements and was developed using OSD/OMB approved inflation and foreign currency fluctuation rates. Adjustments have been made for force structure changes and mission realignments. All program sub-accounts are described in detail in the following analyses:

Management. The Management account includes installation-level housing office operations; occupancy and contractor inspections; administrative support; community liaison; and the housing referral program, which assists members in finding homes in the private sector. It also supports studies such as the housing requirements and market analyses and preliminary studies and survey requirements for construction plans.

(\$ in Thousands)

1.	FY 2006 President's Budget	\$78,090
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	
	a. Congressional Rescission	-\$781
3.	FY 2006 Appropriated Amount:	\$77,309
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases:	None
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 2006 Current Estimate	\$77,309
10.	Price Growth:	
	a. Non-Pay Purchase Inflation	+\$1,057
	b. General Inflation (2.2%)	+\$340
	c. Civilian Pay Increase	+\$124
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	
12.	Program Increases:	None
13.	Program Decreases:	
	a. Classified program. Details will be provided upon request.	-\$574
	b. Housing Office Support	-\$275
14.	FY 2007 Budget Request:	\$77,981

February 2006

Analysis of Change in Management

The Management sub-account consists predominately of fixed costs such as salaries. The program decrease of \$275 represents a reduction in travel, administrative support supplies and equipment for installation level management.

Overall, the direct management costs are relatively stable over time. However, as units become privatized, the corresponding management costs on a per unit basis will inversely increase. Such housing office functions as housing referral, relocation services, project compliance, financial oversight of privatization projects, and administration of housing entitlements for members will remain regardless of the number of units remaining in government inventory.

<u>Services</u>. Provides basic municipal-type support services such as refuse collection and disposal; fire and police protection; entomology and pest control; snow removal; and street cleaning and custodial services for government-owned family housing units.

		(\$ in Thousands)
1.	FY 2006 President's Budget	\$25,740
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	
	a. Congressional Rescission	-\$257
3.	FY 2006 Appropriated Amount:	\$25,483
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases:	None
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 2006 Current Estimate	\$25,483
10.	Price Growth:	
а	a. General Inflation (2.2%)	+\$561
b	o. Non-Pay Purchase Inflation	+\$348
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	None
12.	Program Increases:	None
13.	Program Decreases:	
a	a. Decreased Service Contracts	-\$503
14.	FY 2007 Budget Request:	\$25,888

Analysis of Changes in Services

The Services budget request decreased to account for reduced street cleaning and custodial services. Delays in privatization will likely result in non-programmatic increases to this account.

Page No. 375

<u>Furnishings</u>. Includes the procurement for initial issue and replacement of household equipment (primarily stoves and refrigerators) and in limited circumstances overseas, furniture; the control, moving, and handling of furnishings inventories; and the maintenance and repair of such items.

Loaner sets of furniture are issued to military families overseas so they may occupy permanent quarters prior to the arrival of their personally owned furniture. "Loaner kits" consisting of tables, beds, sofas, etc. allow members to establish themselves in a housing unit before their household goods arrive. Loaner sets are very cost effective because they reduce the cost of temporary quarters. Other items of household furnishings, normally built into CONUS houses, which are limited or not included in foreign country local construction standards, such as wardrobes (clothes closets), kitchen cabinets or sideboards and appliances, are also issued to military families.

Housing in Europe also often requires that closets (armoirs) and kitchen cabinets be issued since European private rentals do not have the closets that are expected in the United States and kitchens usually do not come equipped with appliances and cabinets.

The furnishings account funds essential furnishings at levels consistent with the needs of the Air Force. Much of the funding requested in the furnishings account results from an analysis of the most economical or cost effective way to fulfill service requirements. Issuing furnishings by the government avoids higher costs in other accounts such as military allowances and other support appropriations.

(\$ in Thousands)

1.	FY 2006 President's Budget	\$41,932
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	
	a. Congressional Rescission	-\$419
3.	FY 2006 Appropriated Amount:	\$41,513
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases:	None
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 2006 Current Estimate	\$41,513

10.	Price Growth:	
	a. General Inflation (2.2%)	+\$913
	b. Non-Pay Purchase Inflation	+\$568
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	None
12.	Program Increase:	
	a. Increased Requirement for Overseas Furnishings	+\$1,551
13.	Program Decreases:	None
14.	FY 2006 Budget Request:	\$44,545

Analysis of Changes in Furnishings

Inventory reductions from privatization drive decreases in the funds required for furnishings, however all of the inventory decreases are in the CONUS or US overseas. The increase of \$1.6 million is attributed to newly constructed and renovated units in Japan requiring new appliances and other furnishings. The United States Marine Corps (USMC) conversion of unaccompanied tours to accompanied tours further exacerbates furnishings requirements since the United States Air Force (USAF) is responsible for military family housing in Japan.

Miscellaneous. Includes mobile home hookups, leased office and warehouse space supporting family housing, payments to other Federal agencies or foreign governments (i.e. United Kingdom and Australia) to operate housing units occupied by Air Force personnel, and similar costs. Also includes reimbursement to the International Cooperative Administrative Support Services (ICASS) Program administered by the Deptartment of State. ICASS is a system for managing and sharing the administrative support costs of overseas operations with US Foreign Affairs agencies and other US Government agencies that operate in countries where the Air Force does not have a significant presence.

(\$ in Thousands) 1. FY 2006 President's Budget \$2,407 2. Congressional Adjustments: Congressional Rescission -\$24 3. FY 2006 Projected Appropriated Amount: \$2,383 4. Supplementals: None 5. Price Growth: None 6. Functional Program Transfers: None 7. Program Increases: None 8. Program Decreases: None 9. FY 2006 Current Estimate \$2,383 10. Price Growth: a. General Inflation (2.2%) +\$52 b. Non-Pay Purchase Inflation +\$33 11. Functional Program Transfer: None 12. Program Increases: None 13. Program Decreases: a. Reduced Space Requirements -\$554 FY 2007 Budget Request: \$1,914

Analysis of Changes in Miscellaneous

Miscellaneous requirements have decreased slightly due to lower leased office and warehouse space requirements. The Air Force relies heavily on the Department of State for housing assistance in foreign areas and the Ministry of Defense in Britain for housing in the United Kingdom. Additionally, reimbursements are made to the Coast Guard for personnel living on Coast Guard stations in CONUS.

February 2006

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

UTILITIES

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

<u>Utilities.</u> This program provides for all utilities consumed in government-owned family housing. Electricity, purchased heating, water, sewage and waste systems are included. Military Family Housing (MFH) facilities consume approximately one-sixth of Air Force facility energy usage; therefore, MFH residents and management share a significant role in the achievement of Air Force energy reduction goals.

		(\$ in Thousands)
1.	FY 2006 President's Budget	\$116,946
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
	a. Congressional Rescission	-\$1,169
3.	FY 2006 Appropriated Amount:	\$115,777
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases:	None
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 2006 Current Estimate	\$115,777
10.	Price Growth:	
	a. General Inflation (2.2%)	+\$2,547
	b. Crude Oil (Fuel) Inflation	+\$7,711
	c. Other Energy (Coal, Natural Gas) Inflation	\$+26,156
	d. Non-Pay Purchase Inflation	+\$1,276
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	None
12.	Program Increases:	
	a. Foreign Currency Fluctuation	\$+15,128

13. Program Decreases:

a. Inventory decrease (-24,398 units x \$2,659/unit = -\$64,874)	-\$64,874
b. Classified program. Details will be provided upon request.	-\$471
14. FY 2007 Budget Request:	\$103,250

Analysis of Changes in Utilities

Recent world events have resulted in significant increases in the price of energy. Although these increases may moderate, we believe it is prudent to plan for energy prices that are above our recent budgeting level of \$1,900 per unit. The requirement for FY 2007 has been developed from historical expenditures as adjusted for inventory reductions. FY 2007 expenditures are projected at \$103.3M or \$2,660 per unit. This represents an average increase of approximately \$63.00 per unit month.

This level of funding is dependent upon successful completion of the aggressive housing privatization program. If these projects are delayed additional funding will be sought to pay these "must pay" bills. The only source of flexibility within the MFH accounts is in the maintenance sub-account. Furthermore, if the utility systems are awarded to a utilities privatization contractor, this account will cover government costs for up-front capital investments to the system. To the maximum extent possible, utilities will be privatized through the housing privatization contractors - in this case there will be no government cost since the systems will not be government supported. If utilities are privatized separately, capital investments associated with operating and maintaining these systems can no longer be deferred and become a must pay bill.

Page No. 382

MAINTENANCE

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

Maintenance. Provides upkeep of family housing real property through service calls, change of occupancy rehabilitation, routine maintenance, preventive maintenance, interior and exterior painting, and major repairs. Past limited maintenance funding and a high occupant turnover have accelerated deterioration of the Air Force housing inventory. Housing condition assessments conducted for the Air Force Family Housing Master Plan (FHMP) substantiate that the maintenance and repair funding profile represents a balanced, fiscally constrained program that is consistent with the DOD goal to revitalize all our inadequate housing by or before 2007/2009, while ensuring sufficient Real Property Maintenance Contract (RPMC) funds are available to maintain the existing adequate inventory. The program may also be the fund source for any MFH maintenance and repair charges associated with the privatization of utility systems.

MFH maintenance is broken into two types of service. The first is routine recurring work such as answering service calls and doing repairs necessary to keep a house habitable, like repairing leaking faucets, replacing broken windows, or replacing furnace filters. It includes maintenance performed upon change of occupancy, such as painting, or carpet replacement.

The second type of service is major maintenance and repair such as work needed to fix or replace systems and their components that are nearing the end of their useful life such as restoring or replacing structural members such as roofs, electrical, plumbing, heating, ventilation and air conditioning, adding insulation where there is either no insulation or inadequate insulation, and complete painting of the exterior and landscaping.

The AF FHMP draws a distinct line between military construction and maintenance funding. Architect and engineering firms have gathered housing condition assessment data on every housing type in the Air Force. This data documents the existing condition of major housing system components (ex: roofs, furnaces, carpet, window, cabinets) and then, using industry standard life cycles, projects the replacement requirement for these components (ex: roof: 15-20 years; gas furnace: 20 years). The overall condition of housing components and replacement cost determines whether each requirement is projected for replacement or improvement through the military construction program or should be maintained using RPMC funds. This database is then used to project future facility funding requirements.

		(\$ in Thousands)
1.	FY 2006 President's Budget	\$310,479
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
	a. Congressional Rescission	-\$3,105
3.	FY 2006 Appropriated Amount:	\$307,374
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases:	None
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 2006 Current Estimate	\$307,374
10.	Price Growth:	
	a. Inflation (2.2%)	+\$6,762
	b. Non-Pay Inflation	+\$4,255
11.	Functional Program Transfers	None
12.	Program Increases:	
	a. Offset for Extended Maintenace	+\$92,380
	b. Major Maintenance and Repair	+\$76,854
	c. Foreign Currency Fluctuation	+\$19,778
	d. Demolition Projects	+\$19,531
	e. Classified program. Details will be provided upon request.	+\$2,462

13. Program Decreases

a. Inventory Decrease (-21,225 x \$8,815/unit = -\$187,098)

-\$187,098

14. FY 2007 Budget Request

\$342,298

Analysis of Changes in Maintenance Program

The FY07 maintenance account reflects AF Family Housing Master Plan (FHMP) priorities. Through the use of MFH construction, improvements and O&M projects, we expect to eliminate nearly 4,000 inadequate units worldwide in FY 2007. In addition, approximately 18,500 units will be privatized to eliminate another 11,000 inadequate units. Additional funds were added to this account to cover FHMP-scheduled demolition of inadequate units that are not being improved or replaced through the MFH investment program (MFH MILCON) or privatization programs. Discounting this additional demolition funding, this account is funded to continue to keep "good houses good" and address life, safety, and health issues.

Even though the Air Force is making significant progress in eliminating or divesting inadequate housing, maintenance costs continue at nearly current levels. This can be accounted for by understanding the dynamics of Military Family Housing privatization. Houses are often turned over to a contractor in the 4th quarter of a fiscal year. Even though the inventory of government owned housing has decreased significantly as reflected on the books at the end of the year, in reality, these units remained in the inventory for most of the fiscal year. Furthermore, there may be delays for various reasons in the award of privatization projects, which will result in increased maintenance costs.

In addition, the decline in value of the U.S. dollar compared to other countries' currencies has resulted in a lower exchange rate consistent with the downward trend of the dollar. This has translated into lost buying power since military family housing overseas has not been privatized and still requires full maintenance.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

MAINTENANCE & REPAIR OVER \$20K

NON-GOQ UNITS

This information complies with the House of Representatives, Military Construction Appropriations Bill (Report 106-614), requiring the Services to report major maintenance and repair expenditures projected to exceed \$20,000 per unit. While these projects are shown as line items here, the maintenance budget estimate includes them among overall requirements for the entire inventory. AF Policy is to program projects that exceed \$20K threshold when work cannot await MILCON funding. Work includes actions that keep "good units good", protect life, safety, and health, and ensure facility preservation.

Location Base	No of Units	High Unit Year Built Cost (\$000) Unit (NSM) Proj (NSM)	Total Cost (\$000)	Improvements Non- Routine FY2002- 2006 (\$000)
		CONUS		
		No Request		
		OVERSEAS		
		No Request		

Page No. 387

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

GFOQ O&M COSTS

GENERAL OFFICERS QUARTERS

This information complies with the 2004 MILCON Appropriations Act language requiring the Services to report any expenditures from the maintenance account for General or Flag Officer housing projected to exceed \$35,000 per unit.

AF Policy is that all work performed in GOQs will be consistent with the Individual Facility Profile (prepared as part of the GOQ Master Plan) for the affected quarters. Policy calls for programming projects that exceed \$35K threshold when work in not eligible for or cannot await MILCON funding. Work includes actions that keep "good units good", protect life, safety, and health, and ensure facility preservation. Total maintenance costs shown below include routine maintenance actions (e.g. service calls, grass cutting) and major maintenance actions.

Location Base	Size Qtrs ID NSM	Year Built	Oper Total (\$000)	Util Total (\$000)	Maint Total (\$000)	Total O&M (\$000)	Improvements Non- Routine FY 2002- 2006 (\$000)
			CONUS				
		N	o Reques	1			
		07	VERSEA	S			
		N	o Reques				

Department of the Air Force General and Flag Officers' Quarters Anticipated Operations and Maintenance Expenditures Exceeding \$35K per Unit for for Fiscal Year 2007 (Dollars in Thousands)

State/ Country	Installation	Quarters ID	Year Built	Size NSF	Operations Cost	Maintenance & Repair Cost	Total O&M > \$35K Cost
No Request							
TOTAL:	0 GFOQ Units				\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0

REIMBURSABLE PROGRAM

<u>Reimbursement.</u> Includes collections received from rental of Air Force family housing to foreign nationals, civilians and others. Included in the estimate are the anticipated reimbursements due to members who voluntarily separate that are authorized to live in government quarters for up to six months after separation.

(\$ in Thousands)

1. FY 2006 President's Budget \$11,782 2. Congressional Adjustments: None 3. FY 2006 Projected Appropriated Amount: \$11,782 4. Supplementals: None 5. Price Growth: None 6. Functional Program Transfers: None 7. Program Increases: None 8. Program Decreases: None 9. FY 2006 Current Estimate \$11,782 10. Price Growth: a. Inflation (2.2%) +\$259 11. Functional Program Transfer: None 12. Program Increases: None 13. Program Decreases: a. Reduced Anticipated Reimbursements -\$47 14. FY 2007 Budget Request: \$11,994

February 2006 Page No. 391

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

LEASING

LEASING

Program (\$ in Thousands) FY 2007 Program \$121,295 FY 2006 Program \$154,907

Purpose and Scope

Leasing provides privately owned housing for assignment as government quarters at both domestic and foreign locations when the local economy and on-base housing cannot satisfy requirements. The leasing program is authorized by 10 U.S.C. 2828 and provides for payment of rental and operation and maintenance costs of privately-owned quarters for assignment as government quarters to military families. This program also includes funds needed to pay for services such as utilities and refuse collection when these services are not part of the contract agreement.

The Air Force continues to rely on the private sector to meet the majority of housing needs. Where the private sector rental markets and on-base housing cannot meet requirements and cost-effective alternatives do not exist, short and long-term leases are used. The Air Force must use the leasing program in high cost and overseas areas to obtain adequate housing to meet critical needs and to avoid unacceptably high member out-ot-pocket costs.

Program Summary - Highlights

Authorization is requested for appropriation of \$121,295 to fund leases and related expenses in FY 2007. The FY 2007 request for family housing leasing points is summarized as follows:

		<u>FY 05</u>		FY	7 06	FY 07	
	Lease Pts	Used	Cost (\$000)	Used	Cost (\$000)	Used	Cost (\$000)
Foreign*	9,201	2,959	\$36,986	2,742	\$86,079	3,108	\$66,033
Section 801	5,500	3,835	\$62,102	3,672	\$64,831	3,372	\$52,391
Domestic	3,333	414	\$5,935	232	\$3,997	186	\$2,871

Foreign Leasing

Congress controls leasing in foreign countries: first by the number of lease points authorized, then by the review and approval of contract proposals, and finally by the funds appropriated. Air Force strategy is to provide adequate housing for our personnel where military family housing is not available thus avoiding expensive off-base housing entitlements.

February 2006

Section 801 Leasing

In FY 1984, Congress authorized the testing of a new leasing program for U.S. installations in P.L. 98-115, Section 801. This program is designed to reduce our CONUS family housing deficit at bases where Air Force families are seriously affected by housing shortages and high housing costs. Nine housing communities were constructed. Later events prompted the closure of March AFB, CA and the leases were terminated. The FY 2007 budget reflects the termination of 163 leases at Hanscom AFB, MA and 300 leases at Eielson AFB, AK. The current inventory of 801 leases is shown in Exhibit FH4B.

Domestic and Foreign Leasing (other than Section 801)

The Air Force supports independent duty personnel residing in high cost rental areas such as Los Angeles and Boston. This support is provided since housing within BAH is not available in these areas.

Foreign leases are primarily provided at Aviano, Lakenheath, Spangdahlem, Singapore, Osan, and the Central Command area of responsibility. Areas under Central Command are provided leases to support accompanied Air Force members where military family housing is not available. Leases are provided for members in other overseas locations in which the Deptartment of State International Cooperative Administrative Support Services (ICASS) program administers the lease with the Air Force providing appropriate funding.

February 2006

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

Leasing		(\$ in Thousands)
1.	FY 2006 President's Budget	\$154,907
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	
a.	Congressional Rescission	-\$1,549
3.	FY 2006 Appropriated Amount:	\$153,358
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases:	None
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 2006 Current Estimate	\$153,358
10.	Price Growth:	
a.	Inflation (2.2%)	+\$3,374
b.	Non-Pay Inflation	+\$1,964
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	None
12.	Program Increases:	None
13.	Program Decreases:	
a.	Reduced Leasing Requirement	-\$35,984
b.	Classified Program. Details will be provided upon request.	-\$1,417
14.	FY 2007 Budget Request:	\$121,295

February 2006 Page No 395

Analysis of Changes in Leasing

The attached leasing charts reflect changes to the program by locations and type of lease. These requirements are a direct result of changes to mission beddowns and other housing needs.

February 2006 Page No. 396

ANALYSIS OF LEASED UNITS (Other than Section 801) FY 2007

		FY 05			FY 06			FY 07	
LOCATION		LEASE	COST		LEASE	COST		LEASE	COST
	#UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)	#UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)	#UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)
DOMESTIC LEASES									
San Antonio, TX (AFOATS)	40	480	\$600	42	504	\$625	39	468	\$61
San Antonio, TX (AFRS)	94	1,128	\$1,398	86	1,032	\$1,292	92	1,104	\$1,48
Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS)	46	552	\$618	18	216	\$492	46	552	\$62
Denver, CO	100	1,200	\$1,857	75	900	\$1,418	0	0	S
Alameda, CA	1	12	\$25	1	. 12	\$30	1	12	\$1
Buckley, CO	100	1,200	\$1,320	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$
New Boston, MA	2	24	\$40	_ 2	24	\$42	2	24	\$4
Pinedale, WY	6	72	\$77	8	96	\$98	6	72	\$8
Travis, CA	25	0	\$0	0	0	\$0		0	\$
Unassigned	2,919			3,101			3,147		
TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES	3,333	4,668	5.935	3,333	2.784	3,997	3,333	2,232	2,87
FOREIGN LEASES									
Amman, Jordan	1	12	\$30	1	12	\$30	1	12	\$30
Asmara, Eritrea	1	12	\$18		12	\$24	1	12	\$2
Aviano, Italy	870	10,440	\$13,914		8.712	\$20,399	726	8,712	\$20.21
Bankok, Thailand	1	12	\$23	5.50	12	\$24	1	12	\$2
Bonn (Embassy), Germany	1 1	12	\$18		12	\$21	1	12	\$2
Brussels, Belgium	0	0	\$0		0	\$0	1	12	\$4
Cairo, Egypt	5	60	\$117	5	60	\$153	5	60	\$15
Chaing Mai, Thailand	4	48	\$78	7.1	48	\$83	4	48	\$8
Classified Location	1	12	\$39		12	\$27	2	24	\$5
Classified Location	0	0	\$0	Ċ	C	\$1,400	0	0	\$
Copenhagen, Denmark	4	48	\$138	4	48	\$140	4	48	\$14
Diibouti	1	12	\$29	- 1	12	\$29	0	0	\$
Doha, Qatar	15	180	\$600	16	186	\$574	8	96	\$19
Islamabad, Pakistan	1	12	\$32	2	24	\$64	1	12	\$3
Izmir, Turkey	1	12	\$36	1	12	\$32	1	12	\$3
Manama, Bahrain	1	12	\$28	1	12	\$32	1	12	\$3
Muscat, Oman	1	12	\$25	1	12	\$25	0	0	\$
Nairobi, Kenya	1	12	\$37	2	24	\$76	1	12	\$3
Osan, Korea	199	2.388	\$2,706	199	2,388	\$2.808	199	2,388	\$2,82
Oslo, Norway	0	2,300	\$2,700	199	12	\$44	0	2,300	\$2,02
Paris, France (11WG)	7	0	\$0	6	72	\$377	7	84	\$44
Paris, France (USAFE)	1	12	\$92	2	24	\$190	1	12	\$9
RAF Lakenheath / Mildenhall, UK	1,222	14.664	\$12,829	1,222	14.664	\$18,451	1,822	21,864	\$35,070
Sembawang, Singapore	117	1,404	\$3,461	117					
Spangdahlem, Germany	500	6,000	\$2,556	440	1,404 4.224	\$3,490	117	1,404 2,412	\$3,52
Stavenger, Norway	1	12	\$67	1	11	\$5,496 \$57	201		\$2,81
United Arab Emirates	1	12	\$50	1	12	\$50	0	0	\$
Vienna, Austria	1	12		1	0.77		1	-	\$6:
Yemen	1	12	\$45		12	\$48	1	12	\$4
Unassigned	6,243	12	\$20	3	36.	\$61	1	12	\$2
TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES	9,202	25 424	20,000	6,460	20.000	\$31,874	6,094	07.005	
		35,424	36,986	9,202	32,069	86,079	9,202	37,296	66,033
GRAND TOTAL FH-4	12,535	40,092	42,921	12,535	34,853	90,076	12,535	39,528	68,904

ANALYSIS OF HIGH COST LEASED UNITS

(Other than Section 801) FY 2007

	FY 07 TOTAL		FY05			FY06			FY07	
LOCATION	LEASES Per Country	HIGH COST UNITS	HIGH COST Defined	EST COST (\$000)	HIGH COST UNITS	HIGH COST Defined	EST COST (\$000)	HIGH COST UNITS	HIGH COST Defined	EST COST (\$000)
DOMESTIC LEASES				1						
San Antonio, TX (AFRS)	92	1	04 000	***	. 1	04.000			201 000	
Los Angeles, CA	46	20	21,668	\$23	1	21,668	23	1	\$21,668	23
Denver, CO	0	25	21,668	\$420	18	21,668	324	18	\$21,668	324
Sub-Total Domestic	138	46	21,668	\$525 \$968	3 22	21,668	63 \$410	19	\$21,668	\$347
FOREIGN LEASES				1			-	,,,		
Izmir, Turkey	1	1	\$32,192	\$36	1	\$32,192	\$32	1	\$32,192	\$37
Stavanger, Norway	0	1	\$32,192	\$67	1	\$32,192	\$57	0	\$32,192	N/A
Aviano, Italy	726	1	\$32,192	\$34	1	\$32,192	\$39	1	\$32,192	\$39
Paris, France	8	1	\$32,192	\$92	1	\$32,192	\$95	1	\$32,192	\$95
Vienna, Austria	1	1	\$32,192	\$45	1	\$32,192	\$54	1	\$32,192	\$48
Oslo, Norway	0	0	\$32,192	N/A	1	\$32,192	\$44	0	\$32,192	N/A
Classified Location	2	1	\$32,192	\$39	1	\$32,192	\$27	2	\$32,192	\$54
Brussels, Belgium	1	1	\$32,192	\$0	0	\$32,192	N/A	1	\$32,192	\$45
Copenhagen, Denmark	4	4	\$32,192	\$138	4	\$32,192	\$187	4	\$32,192	\$142
Manama, Bahrain	1	1	\$32,192	\$28	1	\$32,192	\$32	1	\$32,192	\$31
Nairobi, Kenya	1	1	\$32,192	\$37	2	\$32,192	\$76	1	\$32,192	\$39
Abu Dhabi, UAE	1	1	\$32,192	\$50	1	\$32,192	\$50	1	\$32,192	\$62
Djibouti	0	1	\$32,192	\$29	1	\$32,192	\$29	0	\$32,192	N/A
Sub-Total Foreign	746	15		595	16		722	14		592
GRAND TOTAL FH-4A	884	61	N/A	\$1,563	38	N/A	\$1,132	33	N/A	\$939

Exhibit FH-4A

HIGH COST DOMESTIC LEASE approvals range between \$12K and \$14K per unit per year with OSD approved NMHC adjustments added annually. One of the Recruiter leases exceeds the limit per year and details of each new or renewed lease are approved by Congress.

^{*} HIGH COST FOREIGN LEASE criteria differs from domestic. Adjusted cost cap for overseas leases is determined by multiplying \$20K times the CPI times the FY 88 foreign currency exchange rate divided by the current fiscal year exchange rate. Leases exceeding this cap are defined as HIGH COST and are part of the number of high cost leases allowed.

^{*} Lower exchange rate of the dollar to foreign currency has not been absorbed into individual leases at this time.

^{*} STATE DEPARTMENT procured leases do not count against the total number of high cost leases allowed.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE SECTION 801 FAMILY HOUSING SUMMARY (Dollars In Thousands)

FY 2007

LOCATION	NO. OF UNITS	DATE OF AWARD	DATE OF FULL OCCUP	FY05 UNITS	FY05 COSTS	FY06 UNITS	FY06 COSTS	FY07 UNITS	FY07 COSTS
Hanscom AFB, MA	163	SEP 85	OCT 87	163	\$2,999	0	\$0	0	\$0
Goodfellow AFB, TX	200	SEP 86	JAN 88	200	\$2,132	200	\$2,098	200	\$2,262
Andrews AFB, MD	828	AUG 91	OCT 95	828	\$12,436	828	\$12,238	828	\$13,194
Hurlburt AFB, FL	300	JAN 91	SEP 92	300	\$4,050	300	\$4,675	300	\$4,200
Travis AFB, CA	300	SEP 89	AUG 91	300	\$5,151	300	\$5,588	300	\$5,464
Eielson AFB, AK	366	SEP 91	JAN 96	666	\$18,180	666	\$20,821	366	\$9,991
Ellsworth AFB, SD	1,028	AUG 89	JUN 91	1,028	\$14,196	1,028	\$14,819	1,028	\$14,196
Cannon AFB, NM	350	JUN 91	AUG 93	350	\$2,958	350	\$4,592	350	\$3,084
ANNUAL REQUIREMENT	3,535	N/A	N/A	3,835	\$62,102	3,672	\$64,831	3,372	52,391
Unused Lease Points	1,965			1,965	\$0	2,128	\$0	2,128	\$0
GRAND TOTAL FH-4B	5,500	N/A	N/A	5,800	62,102	5,800	64,831	5,500	52,391

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

HOUSING PRIVATIZATION

HOUSING PRIVATIZATION

Program (in Thousands) FY 2007 Program \$37,899 FY 2006 Program \$36,437

Overview: The Air Force estimates that, to date, they will have privatized family housing at 17 of the 55 bases planned. The Air Force accelerated its privatization effort through a series of process changes to meet the FY07 goal.

Status of Awarded Projects:

Base	Lackland AFB (Ph 1)	Dyess AFB	Robins AFB (Ph 1)	Elmendorf AFB (Ph 1)	Wright-Patterson AFB (Ph 1)	
Location (City, State)	San Antonio, TX	Abilene, TX	Warner Robins, GA	Anchorage, AK	Dayton, OH	
Award Date	Aug-98	Sep-00	Sep-00	Mar-01	Aug-02	
# of units privatized	420	402	670	828	1536	
Type of Deal (debt, equity)	Debt w/ Guarantee	Debt De w/ Gua		Debt w/ Guarantee	Debt w/ Guarantee	
Construction Status	Complete		Complete	Complete	Complete	
Construction Complete	1 NOV-01		Jun-02	Sep-03	Jan-06	

Base	Kirtland AFB	Patrick AFB	Moody AFB	Little Rock AFB	Buckley AFB
Location (City, State)	Albuquerque, NM	Cocoa Beach, FL	Valdosta, GA	Little Rock, AR	Aurora, CO
Award Date	Apr-03	Oct-03	Feb-04	Jul-04	Aug-04
# of units privatized	1 1078		606	1,200	351
Type of Deal (debt, equity)	Debt w/Guarantee	Equity	Debt	Debt	Debt
Construction Construction Status underway		Construction underway	Construction underway	Construction underway	Construction underway
Construction Complete	Sep-06	Dec-08	Mar-07	Dec-09	Nov-06

Base	Elmendorf AFB (Ph 2)	Hickam AFB (Ph 1)	Hanscom AFB	Offutt AFB	HIII AFB
Location (City, State)	Anchorage, AK	Honolulu, HI	Boston, MA	Omaha, NE	Salt Lake City, UT
Award Date	Sep-04	Feb-05	Oct-04	Jun-05	Sep-05
# of units privatized	1,194	1,356	784	1,444	1,018
Type of Deal (debt, equity)	Debt W/ Guarantee	Debt	Debt Debt		Debt
Construction Status			Construction underway	Construction underway	Construction underway
Construction Complete	Dec-07	Jan-10	Dec-08	Feb-13	Dec-11

Base	Dover AFB	Scott AFB	张 科達的	Mark Carlo	
Location (City, State)	Dover, DE	O'Fallon, IL			
Award Date	Sep-05	Dec-05			
# of units privatized	980	1,593	MA (MA 10-10)		
Type of Deal (debt, equity)	Debt	Debt			
Construction Status	Construction underway	Construction underway			
Construction Complete	Oct-08	Dec-09			

February 2006 402

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

<u>Housing Privatization:</u> This program provides for all MFH O&M funded costs related to privatization. The Air Force pursues privatization ventures to transfer operation and maintenance responsibility to the private sector where cost effective. Accelerated revitalization of housing assets is the biggest benefit from privatization.

(\$ in Thousands)

1.	FY 2006 President's Budget:	\$36,437
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	
	a. Congressional Recission	-\$364
3.	FY 2006 Appropriated Amount:	\$36,073
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases:	None
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 2006 Current Estimate	\$36,073
10.	Price Growth:	
	a. Inflation	\$1322
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	None
12.	Program Increases:	\$504

13. Program Decreases: None

a. FHIF Funding Adjustment None

b. Reduced program requirements None

14. FY 2007 Budget Request: \$37,899

Executive Summary:

The Air Force requests \$37,899K in the FY07 Budget Request for Housing Privatization. These funds are required for Air Staff, Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence (AFCEE), MAJCOMs, and applicable installations to execute 55 privatization initiatives identified in the Family Housing Master Plan or currently undergoing a privatization feasibility study. The funds are programmed for 1) project concept development, 2) request for proposal development and project solicitation, 3) project construction oversight for local and federal code compliance, 4) portfolio management, and 5) MAJCOM and base specific project management support.

Typically, about \$3.75M is required during the life of the project to execute each privatization initiative. This includes \$125K for land surveys, \$100K for minimal environmental studies and approximately \$1.3M in privatization consultant fees. The required initial concept development includes data gathering, feasibility analyses and possible alternatives as well as recommendations (\$250K), with full spectrum RFP development, solicitation, and award (\$1.1M). The remaining funding supports project management and construction surveillance/oversight at the installation, yearly in-house manpower expenses at AFCEE, the dedicated manpower expenses at the bases and MAJCOMs, and one-time portfolio management services during the transition and transaction closure phase.

Requested Detail:

Concept Development: AFCEE uses four privatization support contractors (PSCs), 14 civilian, three military and seven contract in-house program managers to team with MAJCOM and base personnel to "design" a privatization project concept that identifies and analyzes the project resources available or needed to produce an economically feasible project. Additionally, Air Staff review, oversight, and guidance is required throughout the process. Based on the current execution schedule, no new privatization projects are expected to start in FY 07, therefore no funds budgeted for concept development.

Solicitation: AFCEE, using PSCs and their in-house project team (engineers, lawyers, financial managers and acquisition personnel), work with the MAJCOMs and installations to develop and issue project solicitations. Developer responses are then evaluated through a one-step process. First, the number of submitting developers is narrowed based upon an evaluation of qualifications. Offerors are rank ordered and the highest ranked developer is then selected as most qualified. The AF then negotiates with the developer and evaluates a final, detailed technical and financial proposal. Based on this proposal meeting or exceeding the original submission, the developer is selected and the real estate transaction proceeds to closing. We have programmed \$3.3M in FY07 for 3 projects that will be in the solicitation stage in this fiscal year.

Construction Surveillance/Oversight: Once a deal is awarded, MAJCOMs and installations provide supervision and inspection oversight of the developer's construction. The Air Force and DoD have a vested interest in these privatization projects (loans and land leases) and the oversight ensures the Air Force receives top-quality housing for military members that complies with state and local construction codes. AFCEE assists the MAJCOMs and each base to provide construction oversight as the contractor accomplishes housing construction or revitalization. 17 projects will be in this stage during this fiscal year.

Asset Management: Transitioning from government-owned/operated housing to privatized housing is an ongoing effort for our bases. AFCEE's asset management support team at AFCEE is committed to ensuring our base and MAJCOM personnel receive the necessary training to accomplish their tasks. The mixed staff, four contract and civilian employees, conducts annual CONUS resident satisfaction surveys and provides a comprehensive AF portfolio report. Housing Development Office supports the Air Staff by providing AFI updates, policy changes and property management-related updates to keep the generic transaction documents current. They augment MAJCOM and Air Staff support by attending IPTs, and the day-to-day support through telecoms and the asset management toolbox.

February 2006 405

Portfolio Management: Long-term project oversight is key to ensuring the Air Force continues to receive quality housing from the privatization contractors. The Air Force has selected an industry leader in this field to assist AFCEE's personnel and the installations by providing ongoing program oversight for the length of the initiatives -- generally 50 years. In this capacity, the portfolio manager oversees the financial and managerial aspects of the deal to ensure loan payments are met, escrow and lockbox accounts are established and funded, and management is providing quality service to our members. This oversight identifies any projects that are in difficulty and implements corrective measures to preclude project failure. We have programmed \$11.3M in FY07 to accomplish this essential oversight, which includes government, consultant, and training costs. As more projects are awarded this cost will grow, while the other areas such as development, solicitation and construction decrease.

Base/MAJCOM POCs: Executing a housing privatization initiative is manpower intensive yet MAJCOMs and bases have not been allocated additional manpower. To date, existing staffs have been overburdened because of the need to continue existing housing operations workload while providing support to the development of the privatization initiatives. A central point of contact is needed at each installation to coordinate all activities associated with the privatization effort from the beginning of concept development through construction and moving service members and their families into the units. Large projects or joint ventures with another military service will require an additional position to support the expected coordination and correlation workload. One base-level manpower position is programmed spanning the time from two years before project award until construction completion for each project under 1,000 units and two for any project over 1,000 units or projects that involve more than one service.

February 2006 40 6

Privatization	Installation/Project	Conveyed	Scope	Scored	Expected Source of Funds				Authorities (Use
Date	matanadon Froject	Units	Scope	Cost (\$M)	Amount (\$M)	Budget Year	Type	Project	Key Below)
					6.200	FY96	711	LACKLAND 1	
					5.000	FY97	711	LACKLAND 1	
					6.500	FY97	711	LACKLAND 1	
					0.003	FY98	711	DYESS	
					0.119	FY99	711	DYESS	
					-0.003	FY98	711	SIOH FOR LACKLAND	
Aug-98	LACKLAND AFB, TX (PH 1)	272	420	6.200	-0.119	FY99	711	SIOH FOR LACKLAND	1,4
				1	-6.200			TO FHIF	
					-0.800			SIOH TRANSFERRED TO FHIF	
					-0.120	FY97	711	SIOH FOR LACKLAND	
					-1.500	FY97	711	ROBINS 1	
					-4.324	FY97	711	TRAVIS	
					-4.756	FY97	711	FY01 RESCISSION	
					10.503	FY98	711	DYESS	
					9.415	FY99	711	DYESS	
					-0.003	FY98	711	LACKLAND 1	
Sep-00	DYESS AFB, TX	0	402	16.300	-16.300			TO FHIF	1
					-0.119	FY99	711	LACKLAND 1	
					-3.496	FY99	711	TO JUDGMENT FUND CLAIM	
				1	6.800	FY98	711	ROBINS 1	
				1	1.500	FY97	711	LACKLAND 1	
Sep-00	ROBINS AFB, GA (PH 1)	670	670	12.600	-0.500	FY98	711	SIOH FOR ROBINS	1,4
Sep-oo	ROBINS AFB, GA (FIT I)	670	6/0	12.000	-7.800			TO FHIF	1,4
					4.800			HSRO FUNDS	
					12.500	FY98	713	ELMENDORF 1	
					-12.500			TO FHIF	
Mar-01	ELMENDORF AFB, AK (PH 1)	584	828	23.300	10.800			HSRO FUNDS	1,4
War-01	LEMENDONI AFB, AR (FIT 1)	364	020	23,300					1,4
					5.600	FY99	711	WRIGHT-PATTERSON 1	
	WEIGHT BATTERS AND A TO THE STATE OF THE STA				5.200	FY02	713	HICKAM 1	
Aug-02	WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH (PH	1,733	1,536	10.800	-10.800	1.02		TO FHIF	1,4
3	1)	1,700	1,000	10.000	10.000				
					5.450	FY97	711	KIRTLAND	
					20.900	FY98	711	KIRTLAND	
					6.400	FY99	711	KIRTLAND	
Apr-03	KIRTLAND AFB, NM	1,783	1,078	24.200	-24.300			TO FHIF	1,4
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		Townson, I		-0.002			TO JUDGMENT FUND CLAIM	
					-5.450	FY97	711	FY01 TRAVIS FUND SOURCE	
					-2.998	FY02	711	TO SHAW	

Privatization	Installation/Project	Conveyed		Scored	Expected Source of Funds				Authorities (Use
Date	Installation/Project	Units	Scope	Cost (\$M)	Amount (\$M)	Budget Year	Туре	Project	Key Below)
Oct-03	PATRICK AFB, FL	960	EEO	0.000	9.692	FY99	711	PATRICK	2,4
Oct-03	PATRICK AFB, FL	960	552	0.000	-9.692	FY99	711	FY03 TO FOREIGN CURRENCY CMA	2,4
				T	7.401	FY01	713	MOODY	
					2.299	FY02	713	FROM HICKAM	
Feb-04	MOODY AFB, GA	303	606	9.700	-7.401			TO FHIF	1,4
					-2.299			TO FHIF	
					3.994	FY00	713	LITTLE ROCK	
					1.000	FY01	713	LITTLE ROCK	70.00
Jul-04	LITTLE ROCK AFB, AR	1,535	1,200	6.800	1.813	FY01	711	FROM FY01 TRAVIS	1,4
					-6.800			TO FHIF	
					-0.007	FY01	713	FY01 RESCISSION	
					11.271	FY02	711	BUCKLEY	
Aug-04	BUCKLEY AFB, CO	0	351	15.600	8.229	FY04	713	FROM HICKAM 2	1,4
Aug-04	BOCKLET AFB, CO	1 0	331	15.000	-17.600			TO FHIF	1,4
					-1.900	FY02	711	LAND ACQUISITION	
				T	12.161	FY02	713	ELMENDORF 2	
Con O4	ELMENDORE AER AK (RH 2)	985	1 104	44 400	26.732	FY03	713	ELMENDORF 2	1,4
Sep-04	ELMENDORF AFB, AK (PH 2)	985	1,194	41.496	-38.893			TO FHIF	1,4
Oct-04	HANSCOM AFB, MA	850	784	0.000	0.000	FY03		NONE	4
				-	45.040	F)/00	740	HICKAM	
					15.246	FY02 FY02	713		
				1	-5.200		713	TO WRIGHT-PATTERSON I	
1-Feb-05	HICKAM AFB, HI (PH 1)	1,356	1,356	4.194	-2.299	FY02	713	TO MOODY	1,4
					-4.194	F) (00	740	TO FHIF FOR HICKAM AWARD	
					-3,553	FY02	713	TO BARKSDALE	
				T	13.982	FY01	713	OFFUTT	
16-Sep-05	OFFUTT AFB, NE	2,600	1,640	12.568	-0.130	FY01	713	FY01 RESCISSION	1,4
		1			10.271	FY01	713	HILL	
					-5.471	FY01	713	FY05 RESCISSION FOR HILL	
29-Sep-05	HILL AFB, UT	1,138	1,018	11.280	-2.980	FY01	713	FY05 RESCISSION FOR OFFUTT	1,4
					9.460	FY05	713	FROM DAVIS-MONTHAN	
		1		1	19.601	FY04	711	DOVER	
30 Con 05	DOVER AER DE	1 400	980	12 425	-8.301	FY04	711	FY05 RESCISSION FOR DOVER	1,4
30-Sep-05	DOVER AFB, DE	1,488	980	12.425	1.125	FY05	713	FROM FAIRCHILD	1,4
		1		1	5.151	FY05	713	SCOTT	
31 Doc 05	SCOTT AED II	1 420	1 502	0.000	-4.600	FY05	713	TO BBL	1,4
31-Dec-05	SCOTT AFB, IL	1,430	1,593	0.000	-0.200	FY05	713	TO AETC GROUP 1	1,4

Privatization	Installation/Project	Conveyed	Scope	Scored	Expected Source of Funds				Authorities (Us
Date	mstanation/Project	Units	Scope	Cost (\$M)	Amount (\$M)	Budget Year	Type	Project	Key Below)
					4.314	FY01	711	NELLIS	
					-5.000	FY01	711	FY05 RESCISSION FOR NELLIS	
					0.686	FY01	711	FY05 RESCISSION FROM FY01 TRAVIS	
28-Feb-06	NELLIS AFB, NV	1,278	1,178	2.002	1.449	FY02	713	NELLIS	1,4
					0.371	FY05	713	FROM HOLLOMAN	
					0.182	FY05	713	FROM FAIRCHILD	
			-		14.337	FY02	713	BEALE	1
					-14.337	FY02	713	FY05 RESCISSION FOR BEALE	
1-Apr-06	BEALE AFB, CA	1,553	1,344	2.860	2.120	FY03	711	FROM EGLIN	1,4
					0.740	FY04	713	FROM HICKAM	
	ALTUS AFB, OK	963	726		1.093	FY04	713	ALTUS	
	LUKE AFB, AZ	770	426		0.000	FY04	713	LUKE	
1-May-06	SHEPPARD AFB, TX	1,210	910	15.820	17.736	FY04	713	SHEPPARD	1.4
1-iviay-00	TYNDALL AFB, FL	848	813	15.020	-3.009	FY04	713	TO SHAW	1,4
					0.000	FY05	713	TYNDALL	
					26.821	FY02	713	MCGUIRE	
					-0.163	FY02	713	FY05 RESCISSION FOR BEALE	
					-1.744	FY02	713	FY05 RESCISSION FOR HICKAM	
31-May-06	MCGUIRE AFB/FT DIX, NJ	2,364	2,083	19.600	-3.537	FY02	713	TO LACKLAND II	1,4
					-3.537	FY02	713	TO WRIGHT-PATTERSON	
					-0.230	FY02	713	TO AETC GROUP 1	
					13.339	FY03	713	WRIGHT-PATTERSON	
	WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH (PH				-1.536	FY03	713	FY05 W-P RESCISSION IN FHIF	
1-Jun-06	2)	476	459	15.340	1.538	FY02	713	FROM MCGUIRE	1,4
	-/				1.999	FY04	713	FROM HURLBURT	
					20.499	FY02	713	LACKLAND	
1-Jul-06	LACKLAND AFB, TX (PH 2)	375	643	14.560	-9.476	FY02	713	FY05 RESCISSION FOR HICKAM	1,4
1-001-00	DAONDAND ALB, 17 (1112)	3/3	043	14.500	3.537	FY02	713	FROM MCGUIRE	1,4
	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB, AZ	1,256	929		48.500	FY05	711	DAVIS-MONTHAN	
	HOLLOMAN AFB, NM	1,413	1,280		-9.480	FY05	711	TO HILL	
					-1.169	FY05	711	TO LANGLEY	
					-11.221	FY05	711	TO SHAW	
19-Jul-06				30.240	-15.996	FY05	711	TO AETC GROUP 2	1,4
					24.448	FY05	713	HOLLOMAN	
					-4.320	FY05	713	TO LANGLEY	
				1	-0.371	FY05	713	TO NELLIS	

Privatization	Installation/Project	Conveyed	Scope	Scored Cost (\$M)	Expected Source of Funds				Authorities (Us
Date	mstallation/Project	Units			Amount (\$M)	Budget Year	Туре	Project	Key Below)
					2.971	FY06	713	USAFA	
31-Jul-06	US AIR FORCE ACADEMY, CO	1,208	427	3.000	0.029	FY05	713	FROM ROBINS	1,4
					15.791	FY03	711	EGLIN	
					-9.272	FY03	711	FY05 RESCISSION FOR EGLIN	
					-2.120	FY03	711	TO BEALE	
30-Sep-06	EGLIN AFB, FL	2,739	2,155	47.000	32.166	FY04	711	EGLIN	1,4
30-36p-00	HURLBURT FIELD, FL	2,739	2,100	47.000	0.102	FY03	713	HURLBURT	1,4
					-1.999	FY04	713	TO WRIGHT-PATTERSON	
					12.332	FY04	713	HURLBURT	
					26.955	FY04	713	HICKAM	
					-8.229	FY04	713	TO BUCKLEY	
30-Sep-06	HICKAM AFB, HI (PH 2)	1,336	1,118	0.000	-3.757	FY04	713	JUDGMENT FUND CLAIM (REIMBURSE S	1,4
30-36p-00	THORAWAI B, TH (FTT2)	1,550	1,110	0.000	-14.204	FY04	713	FOREIGN CURRENCY CMA IN FY04	1,17
					-0.740	FY04	713	TO BEALE	
					24.146	FY05	713	ROBINS	
					-5.425	FY05	713	TO CANNON	
					-1.980	FY05	713	TO SEYMOUR JOHNSON FY05 MILCON	
				1	-1.830	FY05	713	TO EDWARDS FY05 MILCON	
			1		-1.970	FY05	713	TO SEYMOUR JOHNSON FY04 MILCON	
1-Oct-06	ROBINS AFB, GA (PH 2)	807	403	8.040	-1.051	FY05	713	TO MALMSTROM FY04 MILCON	1,4
	110011107111071107110711071	007	100	0.0.0	-1.081	FY05	713	TO LANGLEY FY03 MILCON	
					-1.750	FY05	713	TO HICKAM FY03 MILCON	
					-0.029	FY05	713	TO USAFA	
					-0.019	FY05	713	TO BOLLING	
					-0.240	FY05	713	TO FE WARREN	
					6.000	FY00	711	TINKER	
					-6.000	FY00	711	FOREIGN CURRENCY CMA IN FY04	
					7.741	FY01	713	TINKER	
10.0.100	TIMED				-4.741	FY01	713	PRE-PRIVATIZATION CONSTRUCTION	
10-Oct-06	TINKER AFB, OK	694	730	10.006	-3.000	FY01	713	TO FY05 FCF	1,4
					14.338	FY04	713	TINKER	
					-6.000	FY04	713	FY05 RESCISSION FOR TINKER	
					1.668	FY05	713	FROM FAIRCHILD	

Privatization	Installation/Project	Conveyed	Coone	Scored	Expected Source of Funds				Authorities (Use
Date	installation/Project	Units	Scope	Cost (\$M)	Amount (\$M)	Budget Year	Туре	Project	Key Below)
	BARKSDALE AFB, LA	729	1,090		9.109	FY05	713	BARKSDALE	
	BOLLING AFB, DC	1,343	968		4.423	FY05	711	FROM VANDENBERG	
	LANGLEY AFB, VA	1,489	1,430	,430	3.553	FY02	713	FROM HICKAM (IN FHIF)	
					1.981	FY06	713	BOLLING	
31-Oct-06				20.460	0.019	FY05	713	FROM ROBINS	1,4
31-001-00				29.160	1.726	FY05	711	LANGLEY	1.4
					1.169	FY05	711	FROM DAVIS-MONTHAN	
					4.320	FY05	713	FROM HOLLOMAN	
					4.600	FY05	713	FROM SCOTT	
					30.906	FY05	711	VANDENBERG	
15-Dec-06	VANDENBERG AFB, CA	1,969	1,577	17.160	-4.423	FY05	711	TO BARKSDALE	1,4
13-Dec-00	VANDENBERG AFB, CA	1,909	1,377	17.100	-9.323	FY05	711	TO CANNON	1,4
	COLUMBUS AFB, MS	539	453		0.000	FY05	713	COLUMBUS	
	GOODFELLOW AFB, TX	98	406		20.604	FY05	711	GOODFELLOW	
	LAUGHLIN AFB, TX	534	516		-5.000	FY05	711	TO FY05 FCF	
24-Jan-07	MAXWELL AFB, AL	764	808	53.500	0.000	FY03	713	MAXWELL	1,4
21001101	RANDOLPH AFB, TX	421	420	00.000	28.900	FY05	713	RANDOLPH	-,-
	VANCE AFB, OK	230	229		-7.000	FY05	713	TO FY05 FCF	
					15.996	FY05	711	FROM DAVIS-MONTHAN	
	PETERSON AFB, CO	493	802		8.914	FY06	713	PETERSON	
30-Jan-07	SCHRIEVER AFB, CO	0	331	9.000	19.613	FY06	713	LOS ANGELES	1,4
	LOS ANGELES AFB, CA	618	572	0.000	-4.500	FY06	713	TO FY06 FCF	
	ANDREWS AFB, MD	649	886		36.381	FY05	713	ANDREWS	
	MACDILL AFB, FL	627	448		-27.381	FY05	713	FY06 RESCISSION	
				1	-9.000	FY05	713	TO FY06 FCF	
					21.723	FY05	711	MACDILL	
					-5.000	FY05	711	TO FY06 FCF	
					-4.319	FY05	711	FY06 RESCISSION	
					1.250	FY05	711	MACDILL	
15-Mar-07				0.000	27.945	FY06	713	ANDREWS	1,4
					-3.228	FY06	713	TO SHAW	
					-12.200	FY06	713	TO CANNON	
					-12.517	FY06	713	TO FY06 FCF	
					40.589	FY06	711	MACDILL	
					-10.000	FY06	711	TO FY06 FCF	
					2.224	FY06	713	MACDILL	

Privatization	Installation/Project	Conveyed	Scone	Scored	Expected Source of Funds				Authorities (Use
Date	matanation # Froject	Units	Scope	Cost (\$M)	Amount (\$M)	Budget Year	Туре	Project	Key Below)
	FAIRCHILD AFB, WA	1,345	596		17.410	FY05	713	FAIRCHILD	
	MCCHORD AFB, WA	980	383	/ ×	-1.125	FY05	713	TO DOVER	
	TRAVIS AFB, CA	2,427	1,179		-5.950	FY05	713	TO CANNON	
					-8.448	FY05	713	TO SHAW	
					-1.668	FY05	713	TO TINKER	
26-Mar-07				0.000	-0.182	FY05	713	TO NELLIS	4.4
20-Mar-07				0.000	0.000	FY04	713	MCCHORD	1,4
					12.723	FY04	711	TRAVIS	
					-3.207	FY04	711	TO SHAW	
					-4.516	FY04	711	TO CANNON (RESCINDED)	
					-5.000	FY04	711	TO FY05 FCF	
					24.760	FY06	713	F.E. WARREN	
30-Apr-07	F.E. WARREN AFB, WY	827	941	25.000	0.240	FY05	713	FROM ROBINS	1,4
					1.087	FY04	713	SHAW	
					3.009	FY04	713	FROM SHEPPARD	
					3.207	FY04	711	FROM TRAVIS	
					11.221	FY05	711	FROM DAVIS-MONTHAN	
					0.000	FY05	711	FROM VANDENBERG	
30-Sep-07	SHAW AFB, SC	1,702	961	30.400	8.448	FY05	713	FROM FAIRCHILD	1,4
					0.200	FY05	713	FROM SCOTT	
					2.998	FY02	711	FROM MT HOME MILCON	
				1	-2.998	FY02	711	TO FY06 FCF	
					3.228	FY06	713	FROM ANDREWS	
					7.698	FY03	713	CANNON	
					-7.700	FY03	713	FY06 RESCISSION	
					4.516	FY04	711	FROM TRAVIS	
					-4.500	FY04	711	FY06 RESCISSION	
30-Sep-07	CANNON AFB, NM	1,294	1,246	32.912	9.323	FY05	711	FROM VANDENBERG	1,3,4
					5.425	FY05	713	FROM ROBINS	
		1			5.950	FY05	713	FROM FAIRCHILD	
					12.200	FY06	713	FROM ANDREWS	

^{1) 2873 &}quot;Direct Loans and Loan Guarantees"

^{2) 2875 &}quot; Investments in Nongovernmental Entities"

^{3) 2877 &}quot;Differential Lease Payments"

^{4) 2878 &}quot; Conveyance or Lease of Existing Property and Facilities"

DEBT PAYMENTS

DEBT PAYMENT

Program (in Thousands) FY 2007 Program \$1 FY 2006 Program \$1

The Debt Payment program continues even though the last of the Capehart and Wherry mortgages were liquidated in FY 1989. This program covers payment of Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums to the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) for mortgages assumed by active military personnel prior to FY 1980.

The Housing Act of 1954, Section 124, Public Law 560, 83rd Congress, Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums, aided in providing homes for members of the Armed Forces of the United States and their families with FHA mortgage insurance. To be eligible for the benefit, members had to be on active duty and own the property. This program was discontinued through Public Law 93-130 (Military Construction Appropriation Act, 1980), which allowed coverage only on mortgages existing prior to FY 1980. As members retired or sold the houses, the amount needed to continue funding premiums on those mortgages has continued to slowly decrease amd is adjusted for inflation.

There has been no payment to the FHA since August 2002, when the Air Force reimbursed the FHA for 2 policies. Consequently the funding program was reduced to a nominal amount in FY 2005, retaining only enough funding to support the two members. The program for FY 2007 is also a nominal amount, as follows:

Fiscal Year	Number	Average Payment/Yr	Amount (\$000)
2007	2	\$140.00	\$1
2006	2	\$140.00	\$1

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE DATA

FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE DATA FY 2007 Budget Estimate Submission (\$ in Thousands)

		FY:	2005	FY:	2006	FY 2007		
Country	Local Currency	Approved Exchange Rates	\$ U.S. Requiring Conversion	Approved Exchange Rates	\$ U.S. Requiring Conversion	Budget Exchange Rates	\$ U.S. Requiring Conversion	
Denmark	Krone	7.7996	\$175	6.0170	\$0	6.4710	\$0	
European Comm	Euro	1.0314	\$65,568	0.8785	\$76,979	0.8530	\$77,387	
Japan	Yen	125.4900	\$64,488	115.0000	\$71,081	113.3000	\$67,439	
Norway	Krone	7.6394	\$148	6.7510	\$167	6.7680	\$169	
Singapore	Dollar	1.8037	\$3,088	1.7410	\$2,910	1.7040	\$2,927	
South Korea	Won	1,255	\$3,342	1,205	\$3,515	1,151	\$3,606	
Turkey	Lira	1,694,915	\$2,764	1,514,427	\$3,093	1,491,817	\$3,216	
United Kingdom	Pound	0.6517	\$37,310	0.5930	\$41,003	0.5750	\$44,096	
Total			\$176,883		\$198,748		\$198,840	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank