

Department of the Air Force

Military Construction and Family Housing Program

Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Budget Submission

Justification Data Submitted to Congress February 2002

OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY2		-	RY CONS ir general		M PRO	OGRAM		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION	MD LOC	ATION		4. COM	IAND				5. ARE	A CONST
DIEGO GARCIA				AIR COMBAT COMMAND					COST	INDEX
	· 									2.52
6. PERSONNEL	PEF	MANEN			STUDE	VTS		SUPP	ORTED	
STRENGTH	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 Sep 00	58	645	59				76	296	0	1,134
b. End FY 2005	56	519	57				76	296	0	1,004
			7. 1	NENTOR	Y DATA	E (000)				
a. Total Acreage:		7,000)							
b. Inventory Totals	as of: 30	Sep 00							614	
c. Authorization Not	Yet In Inv	entory:							8.150	
d. Authorization Requested in this Program:										
e. Authorization inci		•	_	(FY200	4)				0	
f. Planned in Next F		am Yeers:	;						2.600	
g. Remaining Deficiency: 500										
h. Grand Total:									28,964	
8. Projects Requests CATEGORY	id in this f	rogram:	FY2003					COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	WECT TI	TLE			SC	OPE				T CMP
	rcraft Pari	ding Apror	1		3	2,536	SM :	\$17,100	SEP 01	sEPo2
	_	•					Total \$	17.100		
9a. Future Projects:	nchaded in	the Folio	wing Pr	ogram: ()	FY2004)	N	lo Projects	1		
9b. Future Projects:										
, ·	tional Sup			100/5		600	SM	\$2,600		
9c.Real Property M	aintenanc	e Backloo	Thistes	taliation			==		0	
10. Mission or Major					ir Mobilit	v Saua	dron deta	chmenta	nd two deta	achments
of AF Space Comm	and squar	drons. The	base se	erves as a	bomber	forwar	doperating	location.	The hosts	
	provides facilities, munitions, vehicles, aerospace ground equipment, supplies and aviation fuel to sustain contingency and wartime sortie operations.									
11. Outstanding poli				oianoiae:						
a. Air pollution	uu ianu i	salety (Oc		CONTRACTOR					0	
b. Water pollution	n								0	
c. Occupational s		Haalth							0	
d.OtherEnvironr	•	A 1 1000U I							•	
a.OtherEnvironr	nentai								0	

1. COMPONENT		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(
3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION		4.	4. PROJECT TITLE				
DIEGO GARCIA, OUTSIDE STATES					B2 - AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. P				7. PRO	JECT	NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST (\$000)	
11127		113321		FG	FGDA03 1000			17.100	
		(9 COST	ESTIMA	TES				
ITEM					UIM	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$00 0)	
B2 - AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON					SM	1		9.81;	

ITEM	UIM	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
B2 - AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	SM	1	1	9.81;
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	SM	12,080	381	(4.60;
AIRCRAFT TAXIWAY PAVEMENT	SM	10,280	331	(3,403
SHOULDER PAVEMENT	SM	10.178	178	(1.814
SUPPORTING FACILITIES GENERATOR W/SHELTER/FUEL STORAGE TANK ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION DISPOSE OF DEBRIS OFF-ISLAND UTILITIES/ISLAND OIL INCREASE FIRE PROTECTION WATEWHYDRANTS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT CONCRETE PAD	EA LS LS LS LS SM	340	450,000 279	5.51 ξ (1.80C (2.00C (200 (330 (960 (130 (95
SUBTOTAL				15,332
CONTINGENCY (5.0 %)				767
TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION. INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.5 %)				16.098 1,046
TOTAL REQUEST				17,145
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				17,100

^{10.} Description of Proposed Construction: Construct portland concrete cement aircraft parking apron and taxiway pavements (full depth) and asphaltic concrete shoulder pavement (lull depth) to carry weight of vehicles. Two each pad-mounted power generators with shelter and fuel storage with spill containment, equipment pads, fire protection water main/hydrants, environmental remediation, disposal of debris off-island and all necessary support

11. REOUIREMENT. 18.117 SM ADEQUATE: 6.039 SM SUBSTANDARD SM

PROJECT. Construct B2 aircraft parking apron (New Mission)

Four 130' x 250' concrete aircraft parking positions, taxiway access, shoulder/vehicle REOUIREMENT: pavement, fire protection, and generator power to support B-2 Shelter Systems (B2SS) to support Air Force Global Strike Task Force operatrons. B-2 will deploy to PACOM Forward Operating Locations (FOL) including Diego Garcia.

CURRENT SITUATION: There is not space on the existing aircraft apron at Diego Garcia to erect B2SS shellers required to support low observable marntenance of FOL B-2 aircraft. New pavement IS required so the shelters can be erected on a semi-permanent basis to support low observable aircraft maintenance and munitions loading without disrupting other airfield operatrons and missions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Low observable maintenance will not be possible for B-2 aircraft deployed to Diego Garcia since there are no permanent or temporary facilities there capable of supporting these marntenance operations. Consequently, the full capability of the aircraft will not be utilized. This limiting factor will critically reduce the potential Impact of Global Strike Task Force missions and the impact of air superiority.

158 ADDITIONAL Page No. '

,						
1. COMPONENT	(FY 2003 MILITARY CON	ISTR	UCTION PROJECT DA	.TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(compu	ter g	enerated)		
3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
DIEGO GARCIA. C	_			B2 - AIRCRAFT PAR	KING APRO	N
					•	
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. F	ROJECT NUMBER	B. PROJEC	CT COST (\$000)
11127		113-321		FGDA031000		17.100
Requirements.' Prel option meets missic exception has been CIVIL ENGINEER:	iminary and on needs. prepared. Lt Col Rar	meets the criteria/scope alysis of reasonable optic Therefore, a complete econic Diego Garcia is a Naval ady Eide. (671) 366-7101 (280 SM = 110,613 SM;	ons fonce conomic I Insta . Airc	or satisfying this requir nic analysis was not pe allation-Air Force AOR rraft Parking Apron: 12.	rement indicaterformed. A conformed for Andersen 080 SM = 12	tes that only one certificate of AFB. BASE 29.981 SF;
COI	mponents	E CERTIFICATION: s on an "as available' ased on Air Force equ	' bas	sis; however, the sc	•	i.

Page No DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete.

. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
, INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	
HEGO GARCIA	:	
PROJECTITLE		5.PROJECTNUMBER
B2 - AIRCRAFT PA	RKING APRON	FGDA031000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TTAL DATA: Design	ign, Bid, Build
a. Estimated	d Design Data:	
(1) status	:	
` ,	te Design Started	1 Sep 01
, ,	rametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
	rcent Complete as of Jan 02	%
` ,	ite 35% Designed.	02 sep 01
	ate Design Complete	01 sep 02
. ,	ergy Study/Life-Cycle analysis w&will be performed	NO
(2) Basis:		
(a) St	andard-or Definitive Design -	NO
(b) W	here Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) Total	Cost (c) = $(a)+(b)$ or $(d)+(e)$:	(\$000)
(a) Pr	oductron of Plans and Specifications	1.026
(b) Al	l Other Design Costs	513
(c) To	otal	0
(d) C	ontract	1,283
(e) in	-house	257
(4) Constr	ruction Contract Award Date	02 N w
(5) Consti	ruction Start	03 Jan
(6) Constr	ruction Completion	05 Jan
which is c	s completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and executability.	е
b. Equipment ass appropriations:	sociated with this project will be provided from other N/A	

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY20	u S		er gen	STRUCTIC e-ted)	N PR	OGRAM		2. DATE	Ξ
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCA	TION		4. COM	MAND				5. AREA	CONS
RAMSTEIN AIR B	ASE. GERN	MANY		UNITED EUROP	STATES E	AIR FO	DRCES II	N		INDEX 1.45
6. PERSONNEL	PERI	/ANEN	Г		STUDE	NTS		SUPP	ORTED	
STRENGTH	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	TOTA
a. As of 30 Sep 01	1,261	6,565	2.780				1,58		265	13,7
b. End FY 2005	1,269	6,686	2,735				1.584	· ·	265	13,7
				VENTOR	Y DATA \$((000)	1.00	1,200		
a. Total Acreage:		3.10		VENTOR	Ι ΕΝΙΑ ΦΙ	(000)				
b. Inventory Totals a	ne of: 30 S		2						074 554	
c. Authonzatron Not									371,551 9.665	
d. Authonzatron Rec		•	gram:						70.183	
e. Authonzatron Incli				(FY200	34)				31.438	
f. Planned in Next F	our Progran	n Years	:	•	,				161,484	
g. Remaining Defici	ency:							=	135,502	-
h. Grand Total:									779.823	='
. Projects Requeste	d in this Pr	ogram:	FY2003					·		
CATEGORY		_							DESIGN	
	DJECT TITI	LE .			SC	OPE			START	CM
·	1, Ph 1						LS	\$23,700	MAY 01	SEP
	ssenger Terminal Annex 1 LS \$17.683							AUG 01	SEP	
	Combined Fleet Service/In-Flight Kitchen 3.414 SM \$7,500							JUN 01	SEP	
351-147 KMC Center Support 1 LS \$21,300 Total \$70.183								_ APR 01	SEP	
							Total	\$70.103		
a. Future Projects:	Included in	the Folk	owing Pro	gram. (FY2004)					
141-454 1st Co	mbat Comn	n Sqd (Complex			3,629	SM	\$17.118		
760-674 Fitnes:	Center An	nnex				7,632	SM	\$14.320		
_							Total	\$31,438	_	
b. Future Projects:	Typically Pla	anned N	lext Four	Years						
113-321 AGE N	Maintenance	Shop			7	7,000	SM	\$4,800		
113-321 Ramp	1 Phase 2				7	77.000	SM	\$18.702		
1 13-321 Ramp	I, Phase 3				6	31.000	SM	\$14,300		
141-461 Reacht	oack Operat	tion Sup	oport Cen	ter		4,366		\$18.300		
¹ 41-786 AEF P	rocessing C	Center				7.820		\$23.100		
•	Ops/ AMU					3.800	SM	\$12,800		
·	Ops/ AMU	76 AS				3.561		\$12.800		
	Fuel Cell H	•				3.505	SM	\$5.620		
211-111 C-I 30.	J Aircraft Ha	-				2.850		\$5.900		
	r Maintenar					2.850	SM	\$5.900		
ū	/ Warehous			76 AS		4.134	SM	\$7.100		
211-111 Hangar		sing Fac	cility			1.672		\$4,900		
211-111 Hangar 211-111 Helicop	oter Process					4,040	SM	\$8.400		
211-111 Hangar 211-111 Helicop 21 I-157 Engine	Shop	:				0 000	0.14	P40 400		
211-111 Hangar 211-111 Helicop 21 I-157 Engine 442-758 Base S	Shop Supply Comp	•				6,200		\$12,102		
211-111 Hangar 211-111 Helicop 21 I-157 Engine 442-758 Base S	Shop	•				6,200 2,107	SM SM	\$12,102 \$6,760		

PageNo

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY2003		RY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM Iter geneated)	2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND RAMSTEIN AIR BASI	5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.45						
11. Outstanding pollutio	n and safety (C	SHA) def	iciencies:				
a. Air pollution				0			
b. Water pollution				0			
c. Occupational Sai	c. Occupational Safety and Heatth						
d. Other Environme	ental		0				

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	(compu	iter g	enerated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND L RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GE		1	4. PROJECT TITLE COMBINED FLEET SERVICE IN-FLIGHT KITCHE!					
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. P	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
27596	TYFR023	040		7.500				
	9. COS	T EST	IMATES					
	ITEM		U/N	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
COMBINED FLEET SERVI	SM	3,414		5,578				
FLEET SERVICE WAR	HOUSE		SM	1.650	905	(1,493		
ADMINISTRATION ARE	A		SM	300	1,901	(570		
IN-FLIGHT KITCHEN			SM	1,464	2,354	(3.446		
ANTITERRORISM FORC	E PROTECTION		SM	3.414	20	(68		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES			LS			1,104 (510		
PAVEMENTS			SM	3,500	134	(469		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS			LS			(125		
SUBTOTAL						6.682		
CONTINGENCY (5.0 %)						334		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						7.016		
SUPERVISION. INSPECTIO	N & OVERHEAD (6.5 %)				456		
TOTAL REQUEST						7.472		
TOTAL REOUEST (ROUNI	DED)					7,500		
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHE				(1,860				
FCF Budget Rate used: E	uropean Community Euro	1.138	8					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: All structural, mechanical, electrical, fire prevention/alarm and communication supporting work necessary. The project consists of a conventtonal or modular constructed facility on concrete foundation with a sloped roofing system, separated into two wings. Antiterrorism force protection measures in accordance with local and theater assessments.

11. REQUIREMENT: 3,414 SM ADEQUATE: SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,082 SM

PROJECT: Construct a combined fleet service/in-flight kitchen. (New Mission)

Requirement:

Relocate and replace existing facilities is required to transfer strategic airlift capability from Rhein Main AB to Ramstein AB and to maintain operational efficiency of Ramstein AB as an airlift hub for the European theater of operations. The Fleet Service Terminal must support interior aircraft cleaning; delivery of Imeals, water, and service equipment between the aircraft and the In-flight kitchen; removal/disposal of waste and Irefuse; and storage/delivery of supply items for passengers and crew. Storage space is required for vehicles, pallets, cargo nets, and trip seats for military aircraft. The in-flight kitchen prepares meals to be served aboard aircraft. Includes anti-terrorism force protection measures to comply with local and theater threat assessments.

ICURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility is located in an area that will be converted to ramp space to make toom for additional wide-bodied aircraft. Fleet services provides mission support for up to 15,000 customers and 1,800 aircraft per month. These numbers are expected to double when the Rhein Main AB mission is transferred to Ramstein AB after the Rhern Main AB closure. The fleet service operation has 12 vehicles Including 3 latrine service vehicles, 2 water trucks, and one all-terrain forklift stored in a covered, enclosed parking area. The existing in-flight kitchen, which currently provides up to 15,000 meals per month, will be demolished to accommodate the widening of Taxiway India. Due to the additional Rhern Main AB mission being transferred, the meal rate will increase to 29,278 meals per month.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without an adequate fleet service terminal/ In-flight kitchen, Air Mobility Command pperations, especially contract passenger carriers, cannot be supported. Without contract passenger carrier

DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete Page No. 163

1. COMPONENT	ï	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2.								
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION RAMSTEIN AIR BA		4. PROJECT TITLE COMBINED FLEET SERVICE/ IN-FLIGHT KITCHEN								
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. P	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST	(\$000)	
27596	141-785 TYFR023040							7.500)	
aupport the officien	t coat offe	otive and timely	movom	ont o	f noroonn	al ia Impagaih	la hatiwaan ti	a United	States	

support, the efficient, cost-effective. and timely movement of personnel is Impossible between the United States, Europe, Southwest Asia, and other locations. If the existing facility is not relocated, delays to the expansion of the Strategic Airlift Ramp and the widening of Taxiway India at Ramstein Air Base will result in degraded airlift capability in the European theater and possible delays to the closure of Rhein Main Air Base.

ADDITIONAL: Although this project is not currently eligible for NATO funding per the 'Approved Criteria & Standards for Tactical & Transport Airfields, 7th Edition' criteria, a precautionary pre-finance statement will be filed to allow for future recoupment should eligibility be established. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-I 084, 'Facility Requirements'. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was done and indicates only one option meets operational requirements. A certificate of exception has been prepared.— BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Jeffrey Leptrone, 011-49-6371-47-6228. "Warehouse: 1,650SM = 17,760SF; Administration: 300SM = 3,229SF; In-flight Kitchen: 1,464SM = 15,758SF

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational Considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.

DD FORM 139 1, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete Page No

1. COMPONENT	EV 2002 MILT	TARY CONSTRUCTION	DDO IECT DATA		lo BATE I			
AIR FORCE	P1 2003 MILI	(computer generated)			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	(
	SE, GERMANY FED HE	POF						
4. PROJECT TITLE	·			5. PR	ROJECT NUMBE			
COMBINED FLEET	SERVICE,' IN-FLIGHT K	ITCHEN		ī	YFR023040			
121 SUPPLEME	NTAL DATA:		Desid	an. Bio	d, Build			
a. Estimated	d Design Data:		•	,	.,			
(1) Status					44 11 12 04			
` ,	ate Design Started				11-JUN-01			
		es used to develop co	sts		YES			
	rcent Complete as of	Jan 02			15 %			
• (d) Da	ate 35% Designed.				17-SEP-01			
(e) Da	02-SEP-02							
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES								
(2) Basis:								
(a) Sta	andard or Definitive De	esign •			NO			
(b) Wh	nere Design Was Most	Recently Used -						
(3) Total C	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or	(d) + (e):			(\$000)			
(a) Pro	oduction of Plans and	Specifications			432			
(b) All	Other Design Costs				216			
(c) Tot	tal				648			
(d) Co	ntract				558			
(e) In-	house				90			
(4) Constru	uction Contract Award	Date			02 Nov			
(5) Constr	uction Start				03 Jan			
(6) Constru	uctton Completion				04 Jul			
Estimate w		Definition with Parame traditional 35% des ign						
b. Equipment ass other appropriation		ct will be provided from	1					
EQUIPMEN NOMENCLATI		PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEA APPROPRIAT OR REQUES	ED	COST (\$000)			
Kitchen Equipme	nt	3400	3		360			
Fleet Services		3400	3		1500			

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No 5 165

1. COMPONENT		FY 2003 MILITARY CON	ISTRU	CTION I	PROJECT DA	TA :	2 DATE		
AIR FORCE		(compu	ter ge	nerated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOC	CATION		4. PROJ	JECT TITLE				
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE,	GERN	MANY FED REP OF		KMC CENTER SUPPORT					
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	IT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PF	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)		
27596	851-147	YFR033	074		21.300				
		9 COS	T EST	NATES					
ITEM					QUANTITY	UNIT COST	(\$000)		
KMC CENTER SUPPOR	RT			LS			9,527		
ROADS AND INTER	SECT	ONS		LM	1.152	1.409	(1,623)		
THEATERS				SE	495	4.242	(2,100		
BUS PLAZA					2,924	105	(307		
BANK					682	2.475	(1,688		
CREDIT UNION					428	2.475	(1,059		
PARKING DECK				SP	250	11,000	(2.750		
SUPPORTING FACILITY	-						9,493		
•		GHTING&CROSSWALKS		LS			(687		
SITE DEVELOPMENT				LS			(1,365		
ENVIRONMENTAL SI				LS			(950		
FORCE PROTECTION		8 LINE RELOCATION		LS LS			(5,324 (775		
LANDSCAPING	I Ox A	LARIVI STSTEIVIS		LS			(92		
COMMUNICATION SI	JPPO	RT		LS			(300)		
SUBTOTAL							19.020		
CONTINGENCY (5.0 °	%)						951		
TOTAL CONTRACT CO	ST						19,971		
SUPERVISION. INSPEC	TION	& OVERHEAD (6.5 %))				1,298		
TOTAL REQUEST							21,269		
TOTAL REOUEST (ROL	JNDEI	D)					21,300		
1									

^{10.} Description of Proposed Construction: Civil, structural, electrical, utility, communications work for relocation and upgrade of roads, site development, two theaters, bank, credit union, parking deck, bus plaza. including Intersections. lights, sidewalks, bike path. fire protection, storm water collection/drainage. Includes force protection measures, tree replacement, and all other supporting facilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: LS ADEQUATE: LS SUBSTANDARD LS

FCF Budget Rate used: European Community Euro 1.138

PROJECT: KMC center support. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Road relocation, two theaters, bank, credit union, parking deck, and bus plaza are required to support the overall development of the Kaiserslautern Military Community Center which includes an AAFES NAF unded shopping mall, a visitor's lodging facility jointly funded from Non-government Payment-In-Kind through the Rhein Main Closure Agreement and NAF funding, an adjacent passenger terminal annex, and other facility equirements driven by the closure of Rhein Main AB, which will make Ramstein AB the new primary "GATEWAY FO EUROPE" A comprehensive, modern 729.000 SF/67,800 SM multi-use, multi-funded complex will consolidate retail, services, lodging, eating, administrative support, material processing, four (healers (Iwo APF unded), bank, credit union, outdoor recreation facility, and Information, Tickets, and Tours office in a safe, errorism-resistant environment, that supports high-volume personnel traffic from the adjacent passenger terminal and local community.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2003 MILITARY CON	ATA	2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION RAMSTEIN AIR BA	PORT									
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST										
27596	27596 851-147 TYFR033074 21,300									

CURRENT SITUATION: With the closure of Rhern-Main AB in December 2005, Ramstein AB will assume (he role as the central airliff hub for the European theater. The base will experience increases in personnel as well as high volumes of passanger traffic requiring food, service, and lodging facilities, which will be conveniently accommodated at this multi-source funded complex. Existing base theaters in the KMC are scattered throughout the area with only single screen capability, are obsolete for showing movies, and do not meet modem operational standards. The bank on the north side of base is currently located in the existing BX complex, Once the BX complex is closed, there will be no banking facilities located on that side of the base and the remaining one on Ramstein will be Inadequate to serve the customer base. Since the majority of the bank's customers will be represented in the complex, locating the bank in the same complex provides operational efficiency and security. The current credit union facilities are well below AF authorization, and inclusion in the KMC Center is required for customers to have the same level of access as bank customers. Restrictions on the site, German environmental controls, and economic considerations make constructing a parking deck essential for overall success for this community center. Current AAFES, lodging, and services facilities are scattered throughout the KMC area.

Many do not meet current AAFES. Air Force, and Industry standards for layout and customer access that can be found at competing retailers in the local area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate utilities, roads, site infrastructure. theaters, and banking facilities will not be available to support the \$130M-plus KMC Center complex including AAFES' \$61.6M shopping mall, AF Services' NAF and NG-PIK \$39M visitor's quarters and NAF funded \$10.4M outdoor recreation/ ITT and \$2.5M restaurant & lounge facility. Authorized customers will be forced to continue to use the existing undersized, aging, and spread-out facilities degrading the USAF and AAFES ability to support military members and their tamilies. Passengers at the terminal will experience a shortage of convenient food and lodging facilities during (flight delays/layovers. Theater and bank operations will continue to face inefficiencies.

ADDITIONAL
This project is not currently eligible for NATO funding based on NATO Approved Criteria & Standards for Tactical & Transport Airfields-7th Edition criteria and we do not anticipate it becoming eligible in the future. Requirements were developed by HQ USAFE/XPR in accordance with the Rhein Main closure agreement, Ramstern's new role as the primary 'GATEWAY TO EUROPE", AAFES planning personnel, and AF Service's requirements. Force protection measures will be considered IAW USAF Installation Force Protection Guide. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was done and indicates only one option meets operational requirements. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col. Jeffrey L. Leptrone, 31 I-49-6371 -47-6228

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation utility/infrastructure project and does not qualify for joint use at this location. However, all tenants on this installation are benefited by this project.

(MC CENTER SUPPORT TYFR033074 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: Design, Bid, Build a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs . (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 . (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion . Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate Which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
4. PROJECT TITLE (MC CENTER SUPPORT TYFR033074 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	3. INSTALLATION A	AND LOCATION	
(MC CENTER SUPPORT TYFR033074 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: Design, Bid, Build a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs . (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 . (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion . Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	RAMSTEIN AIR BAS	SE, GERMANY FED REP OF	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	.PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBE
a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	MC CENTER SUP	PORT	TYFR033074
(1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs . (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 . (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion . Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA: De:	sign, Bid, Build
(a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs . (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 . (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Completion . Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	a. Estimated	Design Data:	
(a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs . (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 . (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Completion . Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.			
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs . (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 . (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	` '		16 ADD 04
. (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 02 . (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	, ,	•	
. (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	. ,	·	YES
(e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion . Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	. (c) Per	cent Complete as of Jan 02	15 %
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design • (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used • (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	. (d) Date	e 35% Designed.	
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(e) Date	e Design Complete	06-SEP-02
(a) Standard or Definitive Design • (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used • (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (3) Total (d) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(f) Enei	gy Study/Life-Cycle analys is was/will be performed	YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(2) Basis:		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(a) Star	ndard or Definitive Design •	NO
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(b) Whe	ere Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(3) Total Co	ost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
(c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house 3 (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(a) Prod	duction of Plans and Specifications	1.218
(d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(b) All (Other Design Costs	609
(e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(c) Tota	al	1,827
(4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(d) Cor	ntract	1,523
(5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(e) In-h	ouse	305
(6) Construction Completion Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(4) Construc	ction Contract Award Date	02 Dec
Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(5) Constru	ction Start	03 Feb
Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.	(6) Constru	ction Completion	05 Feb
h Equipment appointed with this project will be provided from	Estimate w	nich is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid	
other appropriations: WA		ociated with this project will be provided from ions: wa	

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No 468

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE PASSENGER TERMINAL ANNEX						
5. PROGRAM ELEM	IENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. F	PROJECT NUMBER	R 8. PROJEC	CT COST (\$000)
27596		141-784 TYFR003149 17,663				17,663
		9 COST	ES1	TIMATES		

ITEM	U/h	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PASSENGER TERMINAL ANNEX	LS			11.921
ADD/ALTER TERMINAL ANNEX	SN	3.000	2.079	(6.237
PASSENGER RECEPTION FACILITY	SM	400	1,716	(686
PARKING STRUCTURE	SP	500	7.000	(3.500
ROADS&INTERSECTIONS	LM	850	1.409	(1,190:
BUS STOP/TROOP PICKUP AREA	SM	500	600	(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES	LS			3.811
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(750) (780)
LANDSCAPING	LS			(249)
PAVEMENTS&PEDESTRIAN LINKS	LS			(1.333)
STORM WATER DRAINAGE	LS			(1.333)
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(150
FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(108
DEMOLITION	LS			(50
SIDEWALKS. STREET LIGHTING&CROSSWALKS	LS			(291
SUBTOTAL				15,732
CONTINGENCY (5.0 46)				787
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				16.518
SUPERVISION. INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.5 %)				1,074
TOTAL REQUEST				17,592
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				17,603
FCF Budget Rate used: European Community Euro 1.138				

^{10.} Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete/steel/glass annex. altering existing facility, waiting areas, arrival gate, baggage processing, administrative area, reception facility, 500-space parking structure, connecting oads and intersections, sidewalks, street lighting, and all other supporting facilities. Comply with local and theater orce protection construction standards.

^{11.} REQUIREMENT: 10.000 SM ADEQUATE: 6.600 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,486 SM

PROJECT: Construct a passenger terminal annex (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured passenger terminal annex and associated passenger eception facility adjacent to the main facility is required to effectively support the transfer of the passenger/troop processing capability from Rhein Main AB to Ramstein AB. In addition, a 500 space parking structure is required to satisfy the needs for short and long term military personnel parking, especially vehicles arriving from other nilitary installations, using Ramstein AB as the Central Airlift Hub back to Conus and other destinations. Requirements include support for USEUCOM and throughput support for USCENTCOM, as well as the iccommodation of two wide aircraft capability at one time. Due to the Rhein Main closure in FY 2005, Ramstein to will become the new primary "GATEWAY TO EUROPE" for all US Forces personnel and their family members.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION		CATION MANY FED REP OF		4. PROJECT TITLE PASSENGER TERM			
HAMSTEIN AIR BA	ASE. GERN	MANY FED REP OF		PASSENGER TERM	MINAL ANNEX		
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. P	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST (\$000)
27596		141-784	ı	TYFR003149		17.683	ı

Currently about 80% of the personnel/troop processing is conducted on Rhein Main AB and about 20% at Ramstein AB. The existing primary passenger terminal is located at Rhein Main AB. The closure of this installation will leave USEUCOM without an adequate operating passenger/troop processing facility. In accordance with the Rhein Main AB agreement, the land the passenger terminal currently occupies will be returned to the Flughafen Corporation (Frank-furl Airport) no later than 31 Dec 05. Based on the current draw down plan, Rhein Main AB missions will cease during the Jun/Jul 2005 timeframe. To ensure a smooth transition and to minimize any impact to passenger/troop processing within USEUCOM's AOR, the new facility must be fully operational before Rhein Main AB closes. With the current passenger terminal, Ramstein AB is capable of processing 14,400 passengers per month, but the expected average flow will be 32,600 passengers per month with an expected monthly peak of 52,000 passengers during contingency and wartime operations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The current facility will not provide the required space needed to meet mission requirements. Without an adequate personnel/troop processing facility, Air Mobility Command operahons. Including potential contract passenger carriers, cannot adequately be supported. The mission capabilities associated with passenger/troop movement will be severely hampered due to insufficient space on Ramstein AB. This could result in degraded war fighting capabilities of US Forces due to delayed personnel/troop movements nto or throughout the USEUCOM's AOR.

Although this project is not currently eligible for NATO funding based on NATO Approved Criteria & Standards for Tactical & Transport Airfields-7th Edition criteria. a precaufionaty pre-finance statement will be filed to allow for future recoupment should eligibility be established. The requirements were developed by IQ USAFE/XPR IAW the Rhein Main AB closure agreement and Ramstein AB new role as the pnmary 'GATEWAY TO EUROPE'. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was done and indicates only one option neets operational requirements. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Jeffrey Leptrone. 01 I-49-6371 -47-6228.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational Considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components.

170 DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Page No

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	
RAMSTEIN AIR BA	SE. GERMANY FED REP OF	
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBE R
PASSENGERTERN	MINALANNEX	TYFR003149 3
12: SUPPLEMEI	NTAL DATA: Design	gn, Bid, Build
a. Estimated	Design Data:	
(1) status		12 440 01
(a) Da	te Design Started	13-AUG-01
(b) Pa	rametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
. (c) Pe	rcent Complete as of Jan 02	15 %
. (d) Da	te 35% Designed.	14-SEP-01
(e) Da	te Design Complete	19-SEP-02
(f) Ene	ergy Study/Life-Cycle analysts was/will be performed	YES
(2) Basis:		
(a) Sta	andard or Definitive Design -	NO
(b) Wh	nere Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) Total (Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
(a) Pro	oduction of Plans and Specifications	1,002
(b) All	Other Design Costs	501
(c) To	tal	1,503
(d) Co	ntract	1,253
(e) In-	house	251
(4) Constru	uctron Contract Award Date	02 Nov
(5) Constr	uctron Start	03 Jan
(6) Constr	uctron Completion	05 Jan
Estimate w	completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid cost and executability.	
b. Equipment assorther approp	ociated with this project will be provided from riations: N/A	
1		

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No 171

1. COMPONENT		FY 2003 MILITARY CON	NSTRI	JCTION	PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE		(compu	ıter g	enerated)			2. 57.12			
3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION		4 PRO	PROJECT TITLE					
RAMSTEIN AIR BAS	-				AMP 1, PHASE 1					
5. PROGRAM ELEM	4ENIT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7 -		NUMBER	0 0001501				
	VIENI		ľ			8. PROJECI	COST (\$000)			
27596		113-321		TYFR033	0411		23.700			
		9 008	I ESI	IMATES		UNIT	COST			
	I	TEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)			
RAMP 1. PHASE 1				SM	93.000		11.183			
APRON				SM	77.000	123	(9,471)			
PAVED SHOULDI	ER			SM	16.000	107	(1.712)			
SUPPORTING FACI	LITIES						10.021			
APRON LIGHTING	& FIRE	HYDRANTS		LS			(992)			
STORM WATER (COLLECT	TON SYSTEM		LS			(849;)			
SITE DEVELOPME	ENT & IN	MPROVEMENTS		LS			(1,555)			
FORCE PROTECT	ΓΙΟΝ			LS			(42)			
DEMOLITION				SM	6.290	138	(866)			
REFORESTRATIO	N			LS			(208)			
REMEDIATION OF	CONT.	SOIL & GW		LS			(166)			
RELOCATION OF	_			LS			(493)			
RELOCATION OF	ROADS	& BUILDINGS		LS			(4,8 ₅₀₎			
SUBTOTAL							21.2'04			
CONTINGENCY (5	.0 %)						1,060			
FOTAL CONTRACT	COST						22.264			
SUPERVISION, INSI	PECTION	& OVERHEAD (6.5 %)				1.447			
TOTAL REQUEST							23.711			
TOTAL REQUEST (F	ROUNDE	D)					23.700			
FCF Budget Rate u	sed: Eur	opean Community Euro	1.138	3						

.0. Description of Proposed Construction: All civil, structural, electrical, utility and communication work necessary for the construction of a concrete apron and paved shoulders to include striping, area lighting, and fire sydrants. Scope also includes relocating a secondary base road, utility & communication lines. Demolish 4 buildings and existing pavements. Must comply with USAF/German regulations.

11. REQUIREMENT: 243.376 SM ADEOUATE 28.376 SM SUBSTANDARD: 26,858 SM

PROJECT: Expand ramp 1, phasel. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: The expansion is required to provide space for adequate aircraft parking, servicing and lading of assigned C-130J-30 Tactical Transport Aircraft. Moving the assigned aircraft is required to promote a lafe work environment and minimize potential mishaps. This is the first phase of a three-phase project and provides parking for ten C-130J-30 aircraft.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Ramp 1 was designed and constructed for Tactical Fighter Aircraft. In 1914 when Ramsteln AB was operating as the 86th Tactical Fighter Wing with F-I 6 Fighter Aircraft. In 1994, Ramstein B's mission changed from the 86th Tactical Fighter Wing to the 86th Airlift Wing (AW) with C-130 as assigned mission aircraft. The current ramp configuration does not allow for sufficient parking of assigned C-130 Tactical transport Aircraft Fleet consisting of 19 Aircraft Currently. Ramstein A6 has only seven C-I 30 spots on Ramp 2 and eight on Ramp 1, which requires a constant juggling of locally assigned C-130 aircraft between parking spots vailable on the ramps and in maintenance hangars. In addition, Ramsteln AB has about 9 Contingency Delta PS C-130 aircraft parked on taxi tracks between hardened Aircraft Shelters in the former Southeast Fighter

DD FORM 1391. Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete. Page No

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOC	ATION		4. PRO	IECT TITLE		
RAMSTEIN AIR BA	ASE, GERM	MANY FED REP OF		RAMP 1	, PHASE 1		
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DE 7. F	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJEC	CT COST (\$000)
27596	27596 113-321 TYFR03304 11					23.700	
Area. This configuration violates many requirements of AFM 32-1123 (Airfield & Heliport Planning an					ing and Design),		

Area. This configuration violates many requirements of AFM 32-1123 (Airfield & Heliport Planning and Design), reference wing tip clearances, thus causing additional workload in towing operations and the need for wing walkers.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Ramstein AB's mission critical Tactical Transport Aircraft fleet will continue to be put at high risk for potential damage and mishaps due to inadequate parking spots. This will severely hamper the Base's ability to effectively perform its assigned mission in a timely manner, especially during Contingency and Wartime operations, as the only Tactical Airlift Wing within the USAFE theater. There are 14 violations of AFM 32-1123 (Airfield & Heliport Planning and Design) and one explosive clear zone waiver. The violations will continue to exist due to aircraft being parked too close to the Hot Cargo Pad.

ADDITIONAL: Although not eligible for NATO infrastructure common funding. a precautionary prefinance statement will be filed to allow possible future recoupment if eligibility is established. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in AFH 32-1084. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was done and indicates only one option meets operational requirements. A certification of exception has been prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Jeffrey Leptrone. 011-49-6371-6228 APRON 77,000SM = 828,821 SF; PAVED SHOULDER: 16,000SM = 172.223SF*

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force equipment.

DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete Page No

AIR FORCE	(computer seconds d)		2. DATE
INICTAL LATION	(computer generated)		
	AND LOCATION		
. PROJECT TITLE	ISE, GERMANY FED REP OF		5. PROJECT NUMBE
AMP 1, PHASE 1			TYFR0330411
			71110000717
12' SUPPLEME	NTAL DATA:	Desig	ın, Bid, Build
a. Estimate	Design Data:		
(1) status	:		
(a) Da	ate Design Started		23-MAY-01
(b) Pa	rametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		YES
. (c) Pe	ercent Complete as of Jan 02		15 %
. (d) Da	ate 35% Designed.		20-SEP-01
(e) Da	te Design Complete		04-SEP-02
(f) End	ergy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed		NC
(2) Basis:			
(a) St	andard or Definitive Design •		NC
(b) WI	nere Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total (Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction of Plans and Specifications		1,362
(b) All	Other Design Costs		681
(c) To	tal		2,043
(d) Co	ontract		1,703
(e) In-	house		341
(4) Constr	uction Contract Award Date		02 Nov
(5) Constr	uction Start		03 Jan
(6) Constr	uctron Completion		05 Jan
Estimate v	completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost hich is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure cost and executability.	valid	
3 Fauinment sec	sociated with this project will be provided from tions: NA		

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No 174

1. COMPONENT	FY2	003	MILITA	RY CONS	TRUCTIO	ON PR	OGRAM		2. DATI	E
AIR FORCE			(comp	rter gener	rated)					
3. INSTALLATION A	AND LOC	ATION		4. COMN	//AND				-	A CONST
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM PACIFIC AIR FORCES								COST	COST INDEX	
									,	1.99
6. PERSONNEL	PER	RMANEN	Γ		STUDE	NTS		SUPF	ORTED	
STRENGTH	OFF	ENL	CIV	Off	ENL	CIV	O ff	ENL	crv	TOTAL
a. As of 30 Sep 00	170	1.460	627	,			71	446	637	3,410
b . End FY 2005	171	1,454	623				_I 71	445	637	3.401
			7. IN	VENTOR	Y DATA	(000)				
ta. Total Acreage:		11.050	0							
b . Inventory Totals a	s of: 30	Sep 00							417.916	
c. Authorization Not		•							6.633	
d. Authorization Req		•							16.000	
3. Authorizabon Inclu		_	•	(FY2004	4)				0	
1. Planned in Next Fo	•	ım Years:							38,200	
g. Remaining Deficie	ency:							_	115.000	•
II. Grand Total:									593.751	
8. Projects Requeste	d in this P	rogram:	FY2003					COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CATEGORY CODE PRO	JECT † ľ	TI.F			SC	OPE			START	CMP
	Center				00	5.051	SM	\$16.000	MAY 01	SEP 02
						0.001	_	•		OL: 0.
		Total \$16,000								
	منا لمسلمينا مس	. d		/ 5	TV2004)					
9 a. Future Projects: I				9	Y2004)	N	lo Projects			
9 b. Future Projects: 1	Гурісаlly F	Phnned N	ext Four	Years				S		
9b. Future Projects: 7	Typically Feserve Ma	Phnned Nateriel Sto	ext Four rage Fac	Years	<u> </u>	8.000	SM	\$14.300		<u>-</u>
9b. Future Projects: 1 442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Constru	Typically Feserve Ma Juct Educa	Phnned Nateriel Sto	ext Four rage Fac plex	Years	<u> </u>	8.000 2,000	SM SM	\$14.300 \$8,900		1
9b. Future Projects: 7442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Constru El41-165 On Bas	Typically Feserve Mauct Educa	Phnned Nateriel Sto tion Com	ext Four rage Fac plex stem	Years bility	<u> </u>	8.000 2,000	SM	\$14.300	56	1
9b. Future Projects: 7 442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Constru 641-165 On Bas 9c. Real Property Ma	Typically Feserve Mauct Educa se Water \$	Phnned Nateriel Sto tion Com Supply Sy Backlog	ext Four rage Fac plex stem	Years cility mallation	· .	8.000 2,000 1	SM SM LS	\$14.300 \$8,900 \$15,000	56	is
9b. Future Projects: 7442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Constru El41-165 On Bas	Typically Feserve Mauct Educa se Water sintenance	Phnned N teriel Sto tion Com Supply Sy Backlog :: A host a	ext Four rage Fact plex stem This Instant base versions	Years cility Bilation wing support	orting Hea	8.000 2,000 1 dquart	SM SM LS	\$14.300 \$8,900 \$15,000	orce which	
9b. Future Projects: 7442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Constru El41-165 On Bas 9c. Real Property Ma 10. Mission or Major responsible to PACAI Indian Ocean areas o	Fypically Feserve Ma Let Educa se Water Se intenance Functions F to plan, f responsi	Phnned Noteriel Stotion Com Supply Sy Backlog :: A host a execute a bility.	ext Four rage Fac plex vstem This Instant base und contro	Years sility sallation wing suppool aerospa	orting Hea	8.000 2,000 1 dquart	SM SM LS	\$14.300 \$8,900 \$15,000	orce which	
9b. Future Projects: 7442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Construe E41-165 On Bas 9c. Real Property Ma 10. Mission or Major responsible to PACAI Indian Ocean areas of 1. Outstanding polluting 10 to	Fypically Feserve Ma Let Educa se Water Se intenance Functions F to plan, f responsi	Phnned Noteriel Stotion Com Supply Sy Backlog :: A host a execute a bility.	ext Four rage Fac plex vstem This Instant base und contro	Years sility sallation wing suppool aerospa	orting Hea	8.000 2,000 1 dquart	SM SM LS	\$14.300 \$8,900 \$15,000	orce which	
9b. Future Projects: 7 442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Construct 641-165 On Bas 9c. Real Property Ma 10. Mission or Major responsible to PACAI Indian Ocean areas of 1.1. Outstanding pollution	Fypically Feserve Ma act Educa se Water sintenance Functions F to plan, f responsition and s	Phnned Noteriel Stotion Com Supply Sy Backlog :: A host a execute a bility.	ext Four rage Fac plex vstem This Instant base und contro	Years sility sallation wing suppool aerospa	orting Hea	8.000 2,000 1 dquart	SM SM LS	\$14.300 \$8,900 \$15,000	orce which	
9b. Future Projects: 7442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Construe E41-165 On Bas 9c. Real Property Ma 10. Mission or Major responsible to PACAI Indian Ocean areas of 1.1. Outstanding pollution b. Water pollution	Fypically Feserve Ma act Educa se Water sintenance Functions F to plan , of responsition and s	Phnned Noteriel Stotion Com Supply Sy Backlog Execute a bility.	ext Four rage Fac plex vstem This Instant base und contro	Years sility sallation wing suppool aerospa	orting Hea	8.000 2,000 1 dquart	SM SM LS	\$14.300 \$8,900 \$15,000	Force which west Pacific	
9b. Future Projects: 7 442-758 War Re 7'30-441 Construct 641-165 On Bas 9c. Real Property Ma 10. Mission or Major responsible to PACAI Indian Ocean areas of 1.1. Outstanding pollution	Fypically Feserve Ma act Educa se Water sintenance Functions F to plan , of responsition and s	Phnned Noteriel Stotion Com Supply Sy Backlog Execute a bility.	ext Four rage Fac plex vstem This Instant base und contro	Years sility sallation wing suppool aerospa	orting Hea	8.000 2,000 1 dquart	SM SM LS	\$14.300 \$8,900 \$15,000	Force which west Pacific	

.=								
1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION	4. PRO	. PROJECT TITLE				
					S CENTER			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PR					NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST (\$000)	
27596		740-674		AJJY005	5115		16,000	
27000			1	IMATES	7113		10,000	
						UNIT	COST	
	I	TEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
FITNESS CENTER				SM	5,051		11,784	
FITNESS CENTE	R FACILI	TY		SM	5,051	2,310	(11.668	
ANTITERRORISM	force	PROTECTION		SM	5.051	23	(116	
SUPPORTING FAC	CILITIES						2.475	
SITE IMPROVEN	MENTS			LS			(650	
UTILITIES/PAVE	MENTS			LS			(975	
FORCE PROTEC	CTION			LS			(300	
LANDSCAPING				LS			(100	
CONTAMINATED	SOIL RE	MEDIATION		LS			(350	
COMMUNICATIO	NS			LS			(100	
SUBTOTAL							14,259	
CONTINGENCY (5.0 %)						713	
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST						14,972	
SUPERVISION. INS	SPECTION	& OVERHEAD (6.5 %	·)				973	
TOTAL REQUEST							15,945	
TOTAL REQUEST	(ROUNDEI	D)					16,000	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation, floor slab, masonry walls, and roofing system, fire and force protection. includes lobby, administration area, locker rooms, gymnasium, group exercise, litness equipment spaces, raquetball courts, restrooms, storage, and a Health and Wellness Center. Pavement, comm support, and all necessary support utilities, and soil remediation. Design to 170 MPH winds/Seismic Zone.

11. REOUIREMENT. 5.051 SM ADEOUATE: SM SUBSTANDARD: 1.712 SM

PROJECT: Construct a fitness center with health and wellness center. (Current Mission)

REOUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured fitness facility to conduct comprehensive and balanced programs for physical fitness programs required for Andersen AFB military personnel and their dependents, which is a major quality of life and retention requirement. Personnel require safe fitness programs, including aerobics, health, mental and nutritional training, and indoor recreational athletic activities, and a health and wellness center at this overseas base.

CURRENT SITUATION: Existing fitness center was constructed in 1964. Is too small, and co-located with the 3owling Center, Shoppette. Barber Shop, and other community functions. There is no space for warm-up or stretching exercises in the center. Corridors are narrow and not code compliant, and mechanical and electrical systems are unreliable and cannot support modern exercise equipment. The facility was not designed as a itness facility and the existing site is severely restricted from development Renovation/expansion is cost prohibitive and would require the closing of the existing facility for an extended period of time, leaving Andersen with no Fitness Center.

MPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Sports and fitness programs will be critically hampered by the lack of an adequate acility. This has a direct adverse impact on personnel, quality of life (QOL), morale, productivity, and impacts etention and readiness.

DD FORM 1391. Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete Page No 176

1. COMPONENT	i	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM 4. PROJECT TITLE FITNESS CENTER							
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. F	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	CT COST (\$000)	
27596		746674		AJJY0051 15		16.000	
ADDITIONAL.	This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-I 084, 'Facility						
Requirements,' and	Air Force	Fitness Center Master Plan. Antiterrorism/Force	an cr	iteria. This project is pr	iority number	3 in the Air	

This project meets the **scope/criteria** specified in Air Force Handbook 32-I 084, 'Facility Requirements,' and Air Force Fitness Center Master Plan criteria. This project is priority number 3 in the Air Force Fitness Center Master Plan. **Antiterrorism/Force** Protection features will be in accordance with the local threat assessment. This is a corporate Air Force directed project essential for personnel **QOL** and retention of highly skilled personnel. Only one option meets the mission requirement. Therefore, a full economic analysis was not completed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Eide. (671) **366-7101**. Fitness Center: 5,051 **SM** = 54.349 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force equipment.

DD FOAM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete. Page No. 177

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION	(computer generated)		
	DRCE BASE, GUAM		
4. PROJECT TITLE	DRCE BASE, GUAIN	5 PF	ROJECT NUMBEF
T-NESS CENTER			UJY005115
12. SUPPLEMEN		gn , Bio	d, Build
a. Estimated	Design Data:		
(1) status	:		
	te Design Starte d		1 S-MAY-01
(b) Pa	rametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		YES
• (c) Pe	ercent Complete as of Jan 02		15 %
• (d) Da	te 36% Designed.		19-SEP-01
(e) Da	te Design Complete		04-SEP-02
(f) Ene	ergy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed		YES
(2) Basis:			
(a) Sta	andard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Wh	ere Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total C	cost(c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Pro	oduction of Plans and Specifications		960
(b) All	Other Design Costs		480
(c) Tot	al		1,440
(d) Co	ntract		1,240
(e) In-l	house		200
(4) Constru	uction Contract Award Date		02 Oct
(5) Constru	uction Start		02 Dec
(6) Constru	uction Completion		04 Dec
Estimate w	completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid cost and executability.		
o. Equipment assorther appropria	ociated with this project will be provided from tions: NA		
			-

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No. 178

		FY2	003			1. COMPONENT FY2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)								
3. INSTALL	ATION /	AND LOC	ATION		4. COMN	IAND				5. AREA CONST				
OSAN AIR	BASE,	KOREA			PACIFIC	AIR FOI	RCES			COST	INDEX			
										•	1.12			
6. PERSO	NNEL	PER	MANENT	-		STUDE	NTS		SUPP	ORTED				
STRENG	TH	, OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL			
a. As of 30	Sep 01	551	4,493	982				1.08	4838	595	12,543			
b. End FY	2005	552	4,489	977				1,08	4 4.838	595	12,535			
7. INVENTORY DATA \$(OOO)														
a. Total Acr	eage		1,77	7										
b. Inventory	Totals a	s of: 30 S	Sep 01							401.219				
c. Authorizati			•							43.746				
d. Authonzai				-	(E) (0.00					15,100				
e. Authonzai			-	-	(FY200-	4)				17,000				
f. Planned in g. Remainin		_	am Years	iI						56,350 226,000				
h. Grand To	•	cricy.							_	759.415				
8. Projects R		d in this	Program:	FY2003										
CATEGORY	,									DESIGN	STATUS			
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE \$(000)							START	CMP						
721-312	Dormit	ory (156	RM)				156	RM	\$15,100	JUN 01	SEP 02			
								Total	\$15,100					
9a . Future P	rojects:	Included i	n the Fol	lowing P	rogram: (FY2004)								
721-312	Enliste	d Dormito	ry (156 F	RM)			156	RM	\$17.000		-			
								Total	\$17,000	_				
9b. Future P	rojects:	Typically	Planned	Next Foul	r Years									
141-753	Add/Al	ter Ops/A	MU Facil	lity			2.871	SM	\$15,000					
218-868	Replac	e PMEL	Facility				937	SM	\$2,750					
721-312		d Dormit	•				156		\$17,200					
730-835		idate Sec	-				4,785		\$14.000					
740-674		e Health					420		\$2,400					
871-185	Constr	uct Storm	water Pu	mp Static	on —————		- <u>-</u>	LS	\$5,000					
9c. Real Pro										38				
10. Mission								3J aircra	ft; a civil e	ngineering	heavy			
Headquarter repair squad reconnaissar	ron (RE	D HORSE); an Air	Mobility (Command	air mobil			dron; an A	ir Combat (Johnnand			
repair squad	ron (RE nce squa	D HORSE adron; and); an Air d an Air Ir	Mobility C ntelligenc	command e Agency	air mobil			dron; an A	ir Combat (
repair squad reconnaissar	ron (RE nce squa ling pollu	D HORSE adron; and); an Air d an Air Ir	Mobility C ntelligenc	command e Agency	air mobil			dron; an A	ir Combat (
repair squad reconnaissar 11. Outstand	ron (RE nce squa ling pollu llution	D HORSE adron; and ution and); an Air d an Air Ir	Mobility C ntelligenc	command e Agency	air mobil			dron; an A					
repair squad reconnaissar 11. Outstand a. Air pol b. Water	ron (RE nce squa ling pollu llution pollutio	D HORSE adron; and ution and	i); an Air d an Air Ir safety (O	Mobility C ntelligenc	command e Agency	air mobil			dron; an A	0				

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE		(compu							
3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION		4. PROJECT TITLE					
OSAN AIR BASE, F	KOREA (F	REPUBLIC OF)	DORMIT	ORY (156 RM	1)				
5. PROGRAM ELEI	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. P	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)			
27596		721-312	5	SMYU99:	3100		15.100		
		9. COS	T EST	IMATES	1				
	I	TEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)		
DORMITORY (156 F	RM)			RM	156		11.941		
DORMITORY				SM	5,460	1,500	(8,19(
SPLINTER PROT	TECTION			SM	6.460	65	(42(
COLLECTIVE PR	ROTECTIC	N		SM	1.000	2,550	(2,55(
ANTITERRORISM	FORCE	PROTECTION		SM	6,460	122	(788		
SUPPORTING FAC UTILITIES	ILITIES			LS			1,62((350		
PILE FOUNDATION	N			LS			(27C		
CONTAMINATED	SOIURE	MEDIATION		LS			(410		
DEMOLITION				LS			(170		
PAVEMENTS/SITE	IMPRO	VEMENTS		LS			(32C		
COMMUNICATION	N			LS			(100		
SUBTOTAL							13.568		
CONTINGENCY (5	5.0 %)						678		
TOTAL CONTRACT							14,246		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION 8 OVERHEAD (6.5 %)							926		
TOTAL REQUEST							15,172		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDE	D)					15.100		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: A multi-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation, floor slabs, walls, and roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-rooms modules, laundries, storage/lounge areas, fire sprinkler system air-lock areas, emergency generator. Splinter and chemical-biological protection, antiterronsm force protection, and all supporting facilities. Demolish one building and associated contaminated soil remediation.

Air Conditioning: 400 KW Grade Mix: 156 El-E4.

11. REQUIREMENT: 4,949 RM ADEOUATE: 3.810 RM SUBSTANDARD. RM

PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. The retention of these highly trained airmen is essential to our readiness posture and continuing world-wide presence. This dorm will incorporate as part of its normal construction, antiterrorism force protection standards currently mandated by Congress. Splinter and chemical-piological collective protection are required to protect personnel from theater threats at this remote, overseas, in-place war-fighting base.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The base has **insufficient** on-base housing to accommodate the unaccompanied **and insufficient** personnel. This project is in accordance with the Air Force Dormitory Master Plan.

MPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters which provide a level of privacy required for today's

DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete Page No. (180

1. COMPONENT		FY 2003 MILITARY CON	NSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION	<u></u> AND LOC	CATION	4. PROJECT TITLE		1					
OSAN AIR BASE.	-		DORMITORY (156 RI	M)						
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJE	CT COST (\$000)					
27596		721-312	I SMYU993100	SMYU993100 15.100						
unaccompanied enli	sted perso	nnel. Lack of protected	f morale, productivity, and ca on-base quarters and person veapons, and terrorist attack	nnel forced t						
established by OSD could meet mission Unaccompanied Ho Future Unaccompan Project eligible for unaccompanied hous	requirements requirements requirements requirements requirements required to the requirements re	n alternatives were consists. Therefore, no economic conducted: \$2,266K; Fing RPM requirements (esfunding, not enough fundat Osan AB requires MIII	a specified in the new one- idered during development of omic analysis was needed of Y01 Unaccompanied Housin stimated) FY02: \$2,348K; Fi ds are available for all requ LCON funds. BASE CIVIL I = 58,773SF; Chemical-Biological	of this project or performed og RPM cond Y03: \$2,400 irements. The ENGINEER: !	t. No other option b. FY00 ducted: \$2,348K. K; FY04. \$2,453. us, the large t Col Michael W.					
}										
			ion requirements, opera		nents.					
				-						

DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete. Page No. 181

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
(3. INSTALLATION /	AND LOCATION	1
	DREA (REPUBLIC OF)	
I. PROJECT TITLE	•••	5. PROJECTNUMBER
JORMITORY (156 R	M)	SMYU993100
12. SUPPLEMEN	ITAL DATA: Desi	gn, Bid, Build
a. Estimated	Design Data:	
(1) Statue		
(1) Status:	o Design Storted	13-JUN-01
` ,	te Design Started	73-3014-01 YES
` ,	ametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	15 %
, ,	rcent Complete as of Jan 02	
, ,	re 35% Designed.	20-SEP-01
	e Design Complete	02-SEP-02
	rgy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES
(2) Basis:		
	ndard or Definitive Design -	YES
` ,	ere Design Was Most Recently Used -	OSAN
	ost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
, ,	duction of Plans and Specifications	660
` '	Other Design Costs	336
(c) Tota	al .	990
(d) Cor	ntract	870
(e) In-h	ouse	120
(4) Constru	ction Contract Award Date	02 Oct
(5) Constru	ction Start	02 Dec
(6) Constru	ction Completion	05 Feb
Estimate wl	completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost nich is comparable to traditional 36% design to ensure valid cost and executability.	
control to the contro	ciated with this project will be provided from ions: NA	

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No. 182

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY2	FY2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated) 2. DATE								
3. INSTALLATION A				4. COMM						CONST
ROTA NAVAL STATION, SPAIN, SPAIN AIR MOBILITY COMMAND										
6. PERSONNEL	PEF	RMANENT			STUDEN	NTS		SUPPO	ORTED	
STRENGTH	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 Se	p 01 3	18 1,96	9 212				173	644	0	3.316
b. End FY 20	05 325	2.161	1,153				173	644	0	4,456
			7. IN	NVENTORY	/ DATA \$	(000)			-	
a. Total Acreage:		5,953	3							
b. Inventory Totals a	s of: 30 \$	Sep 01							209	
c. Authorization Not	Yet In Inv	entory.							0	
d. Authonzatron Req		Ŭ							31.818	
	e. Authonzatron Included In Following Program: (FY2004)									
Flanned in Next F	-	am Years							0	
g. Remaining Deficie	ency:								98.700	
h. Grand Total:									130,727	
I. Projects Requested	d in this I	Program:	FY2003					COST	DESIGN S	CTATUC
CATEGORY CODE PRO	DJECT TI	TIF			SC	OPE		\$(000)		CMP
113-321 Aircraft P			2 <u>0</u> 1		00	_	.S \$	31.818	APR 01	SEP 02
110-321 Alloran	arking A	JIOII I IIA	30 1			, .	Total \$		- /	02. 02
a. Future Projects: In	ncluded ir	the Follo	wing Pro	ogram. (F	Y2004)	No	Projects			
b. Future Projects. T	Typically I	Planned N	ext Four	Years	No Prote	cts				
c Real Property Mai	intenance	Backlog	This Ins	tallation					0	
10. Mission or Major he 31st Medical Grou							Mobility So	quadron a	nd a detacl	hment of
Outstanding pollut					a de contre	g anoran.				
a Air pollutron										
b. Water pollutron	ı								0	
c Occupational S	afety and	Health							0	
d Other Environn	•								0	

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION PROJE	T DATA	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
ROTA NAVAL STATION,	SPAIN, UNKNOWN	AIRCRAFT PAR	KING APRON PHAS	1			
5 PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$00)					
41896 113-321 ASKE023001 31,818							

9. COST RST :	DIATE	5		
ITE	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON PHASE 1	Ls			25,917
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRONS	SDM	170,167	106	(18,111)
CONNECTING TAXIMAYS	SM	7,867	92	(7201
DANGEROUS CARGO/POWER CHECK PAD	894	36,555	100	(3,671)
PAVED SHOULDERS	SM	70,097	47	(3.285)
RELOCATE AIRFIELD SURVEILLANCE RADAR	LS			(130)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,536
UTILITIES	LS			(353)
DEMOLITION	LS			(220)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(1.963)
SUBTOTAL				28.454
CONTINGENCY (5.0 %)				1,423
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				29,876
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5 %)				1,942
TOTAL REQUEST				31,818
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				31,818

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct concrete puking apron for 8 widebody aircraft (C-5), concrete power check pad, and asphalt connecting taxiway. Expand existing concrete dangerous cargo pads to accommodate two C-5 parking spots. Work includes paved shoulders and all necessary ramp and taxiway lighting. Relocate Airfield Surveillance Radar (ASR).

11. REQUIREMENT: LS ADEQUATE: LS SUBSTANDARD: LS

PROJECT: Aircraft parking apron, phase 1. (New Mission)

CURRENT SITUATION: Rota's 5 widebody aircraft parking pacam cannot meet mission demands for strategic mobility through the Southom European region. An interservice study of peacetime and contingency plans determined a need for 16 widebody (2 for dangerous cargo) puking spots with hydrant refueling. Additionally, the i8tAnQ 5 per rking spots violate airfield afaty criteria requiring waivers to park aircraft. Defense Logistics Agency has programmed a fuel hydrant project to be cowlimbed with the Air Force NILCON aircraft parking apron projects in FY03/04.

MPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing aircraft parking apron is insufficient to handle projected peacetime or contingency aircraft sorties. Aircraft will be towed and refueled by truck resulting in delayed missions and increased • ortio generation time. Widebody aircraft will continue to operate under waivers for runway and

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY	DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
ROTA NAVAL 81	PATION, SPAIN, UNKNOWN	AIRCRAFT PARI	KING APRON PHASE 1					
5. PROGRAM ELL	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)						
41896	113-321	113-321 ASKE023001 31,818						

:axiway • 5%□ • △ clearance zones.

Indicolar: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force landbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo and new construction) was done. It indicates that new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full • cdc analysis was not performed. In though this project is not eligible for NATO funding, a precautionary prefinance statement will be filed to allow for future recoupent, should eligibility be instablished. Director of Public Works: CDR Doyle 011-34-956-82-2343. Aprons: .70,167SM = 1,831,662SF; Taxiways: 7,867SM = 84,680SF; Cargo Pad: 36,555SM = 193,475SF; Shoulder: 70,097SM = 754,2448F.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force equipment.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	2. 5/112
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	
ROTA NAVAL STA	TION, SPAIN, UNKNOWN	
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
AIRCRAFT PARKIN	G APRON PHASE 1	ASKE023001
12.' SUPPLEMEI	NTAL DATA: Design	gn, Bid, Build
a. Estimated	I Design Data:	9 ,,
(1) status	:	
(a) Da	te Design Started	27-APR-01
(b) Pa	rametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YE S
. (c) Pe	rcent Complete as of Jan 02	15 %
. (d) Da	te 35% Designed.	W-SEP-01
(e) Da	te Design Complete	10-SEP-02
(f) Ene	rgy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	NO
(2) Basis:		
(a) Sta	ndard or Definitive Design -	NO
(b) Wh	ere Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) Total C	cost(c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Pro	duction of Plans and Specifications	1.819
(b) All	Other Design Costs	910
(c) Tot	al	2,729
(d) Co	ntract	2,274
(e) In-	nouse	455
(4) Constru	ictron Contract Award Date	02 Nov
(5) Constru	uction Start	03 Jan
(6) Constru	uction Completion	05 Jul
Estimate w	completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost hich is comparable to traditional 36% design to ensure valid cost and executability.	
b. Equipment ass other appropria	ociated with this project will be provided from tions: NA	

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No 186

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE										E
3. INSTALLATION A	AND LOC	ATION		4. COMN	//AND				5. AREA	CONST
RAF FAIRFORD. U	INITED K	INGDOM	ï	AIR CO	MBAT CC	MMAND			COST	INDEX
										1.44
6. PERSONNEL	PER	MANENT	•		STUD	ENTS	1	SUPP	ORTED	
STRENGTH	NGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL							ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 Sep 01	6	179	79							264
b. End FY	6	179	79							264
			7. IN	NVENTOR'	Y DATA \$	(000)				
a. Total Acreage:		1,170)							
b. Inventory Totals a	s of: 30	Sep 01							39,731	
c. Authonzation Not		•							0	
d. Authonzation Req	uested In	this Prog	ram:						19,000	
e. Authonzatron Inclu	uded In F	ollomng F	Program:	(FY200	4)				0	
f. Planned in Next F	our Progra	am Years:							0	
g. Remaining Deficie	ency:							_	0	-
h. Grand Total:									56.731	
Projects Requeste	ed in this l	Program.	FY2003					0007	DEGLON	0.7.4.7.1.0
CATEGORY	E.O.T. TI				0.0	2005			DESIGN	
0052	DJECT TI				SC	OPE			START	CMP
211-111 B-2 M	laintenance	e Hangar	/Apron			1 I	_	19,000		RNKEY
							Total \$	19,000		
9a. Future Projects: I	Included in	the Follo	owing Pro	ogram (FY2004)	No	Projects			
9b. Future Prolects: T	Typically P	lanned Ne	ext Four	Years	No Proje	ects				
c. Real Property Ma	intenance	Backlog	This Ins	tallation					27	
IO. Mission or Major provide support to de							Squadro	n, the ba	ise is mair	ntained to
11. Outstanding pollu					Jordany IL	Cation				
a. Air pollution	and i and	Jaioty (OC	, GO 11	5.5110103					0	
b Water pollution	1								0	
c. Occupational S	Safety and	Health							0	
d. Other Environs	mental								0	

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)								
					PROJECT TITLE 32 - MAINTENANCE HANGAR/APRON				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PRO.					8. PROJEC	T COST (\$000)			
11127		211-111		GKVB03	3015		19,000		
		9 COS	r est	IMATES		•			
	ľ	TEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)		
B2 - MAINTENANCE HA	ANGA	R/APRON		SM	1		14.760		
MAINTENANCE HAN	GAR			SM	5.360	2.250	(12.060		
PAVEMENTS				SM	16.000	150	(2.700		
SUPPORTING FACILITI UTILITIES	ES			LS			2.916 (375		
SITE IMPROVEMENT	S			LS			(345		
FIRE PROTECTION				LS			(1,950		
SECURITY AREA LIG	HTIN	3		LS			(150		
DEMOLITION				LS			(98		
SUBTOTAL							17.676		
CONTINGENCY (5.0 %	%)						884		
TOTAL CONTRACT COS	ST						16,562		
SUPERVISION. INSPECT	TION	& OVERHEAD (2.5 %)				464		
TOTAL REQUEST							19,026		
TOTAL REOUEST (ROUNDED)							19,000		
FCF Budget Rate used:	: Unit	ed Kingdom Pound 0.7	144						

10. Description of Proposed Construction The proposed constructron is steel framed, Insulated steel walls and roof on a concrete foundation and floor slab, including full air conditioning, utility outlets and systems maintenance equipment. AFFF fire suppressron systems, access pavements, aircraft parking aprons, roads and parking and all necessary utility connections. Also includes relocating a recycling center facility

11. REOUIREMENT: 16,066 SM ADEQUATE: SM SUBSTANDARD: 11.246 SM

PROJECT: Provide a B2 maintenance hangar and apron.

REQUIREMENT: RAF Fairford has been designated as the European forward operating location (FOL) for the B2. This aircraft requires environmentally controlled hangars to enable maintenance of low observable (LO) coatings and other aircraft systems. Hangar space is required for four aircraft with one currently planned for NATO funding. This protect provides 2 hangar spaces and a platform for an equipment shelter to be constructed in the future.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Currently there are not any hangar facilities available within the European theater capable of fulfilling the requirement to house a B2 and provide environmental control with adequate provision to enable maintenance of LO coatings and aircraft systems.

MPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Use of RAF Fairford as the European FOL for the B2 will be restricted due to the ack of a facility to fully maintain the aircraft. This will prevent mission beddown of the B2 in the European theater and ensure continued reliance on costly and time consuming operations from CONUS. The full mission benefit of using a European FOL will Increase mission effectiveness and sortile generation to CINC acceptable rates.

ADDITIONAL: The project meets the criteria/scope specified in AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". This project is not considered eligible for support from NATO common Infrastructure funding. Although not eligible for NATO Infrastructure common funding, a precautionary prefinancing statement will be filed to allow possible future ecoupment if eligibility is established. Preliminary analysis of reasonable options for satisfying this requirement

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION	&ND LOC	CATION	4. PROJECT TITLE					
RAF FAIRFORD. U	INITED KI	NGDOM	B2 - MAINTENANCE	HANGAR/A	PRON			
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	ROJECT COST (WOO)			
11127		211-111	GKVB033015	VB033015 19,000				
indicates that only one option meets mission needs. A complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Erin Mercer, 01 I-0044-1638-54-5630. Maintenance Hangar: 5,360 SM = 57,674 SF; Pavements: 18.000 SM = 19.3680 SF.								
com	ponents		This facility can be use basis; however, the sconipment.					
				-				

DD FORM 1391. Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete. Page No 189

(5) Construction Start	E
RAF FAIRFORD, UNITED KINGDOM PROJECT TITLE Standard Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	
PROJECT TITLE 2 - MAINTENANCE HANGAR/APRON Besign Build a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	NUMBI
a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed)15
(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	
(a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Design Allowance (4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	N
(4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed Equrpment associated with this project will be provided from	
(4) Construction Contract Award Date (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed Equrpment associated with this project will be provided from	76
(6) Construction Completion (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed Equrpment associated with this project will be provided from	02 No
(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed Equrpment associated with this project will be provided from	03 Jai
Equrpment assoaated with this project will be provided from	05 Jai
. Equrpment assoaated with this project will be provided from	YES
-	

DD FORM 1391, Apr 01 Page No 190

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RAF LAKENHEATH, UNITED KINGDOM UNITED STATES AIR FORCES IN EUROPE	PERSONNEL STRENGTH OFF E As of 30 Sep 01 522 4.1 End FY 2005 520 4.2 Total Acreage: Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep Authonzatron Not Yet In Invento Authonzatron Requested In this Authonzatron Included In Follow Planned In Next Four Program Ye								
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOT a. As of 30 Sep 01 522 4.152 925 2 6 337 5.9 b. End FY 2005 520 4.214 908 2 6 337 5.9 7. INVENTORY DATA \$(000) a. Total Acreage: 2,004 b. Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep 01 204.229 c. Authonzatron Not Yet In Inventory 52,337 d. Authonzatron Requested In this Program: 13,400 e. Authonzatton Included In Following Program. (FY2004) 12.300 f. Planned in Next Four Program Years. 39,860 g. Remaining Deficiency: 10.122 h. Grand Total: 332.248 3. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003 COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU TOT TOT OFF ENL CIV O	STRENGTH As of 30 Sep 01 522 4.1 End FY 2005 520 4.2 Total Acreage: Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep Authonzatron Not Yet In Invento Authonzatron Requested In this Authonzatron Included In Follow Planned In Next Four Program Y								
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOT a. As of 30 Sep 01 522 4.152 925 2 6 337 5.9 b. End FY 2005 520 4.214 908 2 6 337 5.9 7. INVENTORY DATA \$(000) a. Total Acreage: 2,004 b. Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep 01 204.229 c. Authonzatron Not Yet In Inventory 52,337 d. Authonzatron Requested In this Program: 13,400 e. Authonzatton Included In Following Program. (FY2004) 12.300 f. Planned in Next Four Program Years. 39,860 g. Remaining Deficiency: 10.122 h. Grand Total: 332.248 3. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003 COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU TOT TOT OFF ENL CIV O	STRENGTH As of 30 Sep 01 522 4.1 End FY 2005 520 4.2 Total Acreage: Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep Authonzatron Not Yet In Invento Authonzatron Requested In this Authonzatron Included In Follow Planned In Next Four Program Y								
a. As of 30 Sep 01 522 4.152 925 2 6 337 5.8 b. End FY 2005 520 4.214 908 2 6 337 5,8 7. INVENTORY DATA \$(OOO) a. Total Acreage: 2,004 b. Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep 01 204.229 c. Authonzatron Not Yet In Inventory 52,337 d. Authonzatron Requested In this Program: 13,400 e. Authonzatton Included In Following Program. (FY2004) 12.300 f. Planned in Next Four Program Years. 39,860 g. Remaining Deficiency: 10.122 h. Grand Total: 332.248 3. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003 COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU SCOPE \$(000) START CM 141-786 Mobility Processing Facility 1.255 SM \$2,600 TURN KEY 740-674 Add To and Alter Fitness Center 5.170 SM \$10.800 TURN KEY	As of 30 Sep 01 522 4.1 End FY 2005 520 4.2 Total Acreage: Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep Authonzatron Not Yet In Invento Authonzatron Requested In this Authonzatron Included In Follow Planned In Next Four Program Y								
b. End FY 2005 520 4.214 908 2 6 337 5,9 7. INVENTORY DATA \$(OOO) a. Total Acreage: 2,004 b. Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep 01 204.229 c. Authonzatron Not Yet In Inventory 52,337 d. Authonzatron Requested In this Program: 13,400 e. Authonzatron Included In Following Program. (FY2004) 12.300 f. Planned in Next Four Program Years. 39,860 g. Remaining Deficiency: 10.122 h. Grand Total: 332.248 3. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003 COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU SCOPE \$(000) START CM 141-786 Mobility Processing Facility 1.255 SM \$2,600 TURN KEY 740-674 Add To and Alter Fitness Center 5.170 SM \$10.800 TURN KEY	Total Acreage: Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep Authonzatron Not Yet In Invento Authonzatron Requested In this Authonzatton Included In Follow Planned In Next Four Program Y								
a. Total Acreage: 2,004 b. Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep 01 c. Authonzatron Not Yet In Inventory d. Authonzatron Requested In this Program: 13,400 e. Authonzatton Included In Following Program. (FY2004) f. Planned in Next Four Program Years. 39,860 g. Remaining Deficiency: 10.122 h. Grand Total: 332.248 3. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003 COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU SCOPE \$(000) START CM 141-786 Mobility Processing Facility 1.255 SM \$2,600 TURN KEY 740-674 Add To and Alter Fitness Center 5.170 SM \$10.800 TURN KEY	Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep Authonzatron Not Yet In Invento Authonzatton Requested In this Authonzatton Included In Follow Planned In Next Four Program Y								
a. Total Acreage: 2,004 b. Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep 01 c. Authonzatron Not Yet In Inventory d. Authonzatron Requested In this Program: 13,400 e. Authonzatton Included In Following Program. (FY2004) f. Planned in Next Four Program Years. 39,860 g. Remaining Deficiency: 10.122 h. Grand Total: 332.248 3. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003 COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU SCOPE \$(000) START CM 141-786 Mobility Processing Facility 1.255 SM \$2,600 TURN KEY 740-674 Add To and Alter Fitness Center 5.170 SM \$10.800 TURN KEY	Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep Authonzatron Not Yet In Invento Authonzatton Requested In this Authonzatton Included In Follow Planned In Next Four Program Y								
b. Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep 01 c. Authonzatron Not Yet In Inventory d. Authonzatron Requested In this Program: e. Authonzatton Included In Following Program. (FY2004) f. Planned in Next Four Program Years. g. Remaining Deficiency: h. Grand Total: 332.248 3. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003 COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU SCOPE 141-786 Mobility Processing Facility 740-674 Add To and Alter Fitness Center 5.170 SM \$10.800 TURN KEY	Inventory Totals as of: 30 Sep Authonzatron Not Yet In Invento Authonzatton Requested In this Authonzatton Included In Follow Planned In Next Four Program Y								
3. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003 CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATU COST DESIGN STATU SCOPE S(000) START CM 141-786 Mobility Processing Facility 1.255 SM \$2,600 TURN KEY 740-674 Add To and Alter Fitness Center 5.170 SM \$10.800 TURN KEY	-								
COST DESIGN STATU	The state of the s								
	COST D C								
la. Future Projects. Included in the Following Program (FY2004)	Future Projects. Included in the								
721-312 Dormitory (120 Pn) 120 PN \$12,300 Total 312.300									
lb. Future Projects: Typically Planned Next Four Years									
130-142 Crash Fire Station 1,340 SM \$2.900									
131-111 Communications Facility 2.013 SM \$7.810									
141-786 AEF Cargo Processing 2.640 SM \$16,250 721-312 Dormitory (120Pn) 120 PN \$12,900									
	•								
c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation 101 0. Mission or Major Functions: The host fighter wing supports two dual-capable F-15E squadrons an one F-15C ir superiority squadron. The wing also supports an Air Force regional hospital.	Mission or Major Functions: The								
1. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:									
a. Air pollution 0	a. Air pollution								
b. Water pollution 250	b. Water pollution								
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0	c. Occupational Safety and Hea								
d. Other Environmental 3,916									

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CON	UCTIO	TION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION	4. PF	ROJ	ECT TITLE				
RAF LAKENHEATH, UNITED	KINGDOM		ADD	ТО	AND ALTER	FITNESS C	ENTER	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. F	PROJE	СТ	NUMBER	8. PROJEC	CT COST (\$000)	
27596	740-674		MSET!	963	009		10,800	
	9. COS	T ES	TIMATE	S	<u> </u>			
	ITEM		J	/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
ADD TO AND ALTER FITNE	SS CENTER		s	M	5,170		8,656	
ADDITION			s	M	3,063	2,000	·	
RENOVATION			s	М	2.107	980	(2,065)	
ANTITERRORRISM FOR	CE PROTECTION		s	M	5,170	9 ((485)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES							1.400	
UTILITIES				S.			(600)	
PAVEMENTS			-	S.			(450)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS			-	.S			(350)	
SUBTOTAL							10,056	
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)							503	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	N 6 OVEDHEAD / 0 5 0/						10,559	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION					264			
TOTAL REQUEST							10,823	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDE	:D)						10,800	
FCF Budget Rate used: Uni	ted Kingdom Pound 0.7	144						

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a reinforced concrete foundation, steel structure, with masonry and pre-finished metal walls and roof. Addition to house the lobby, administration, support, men and women's locker room addition, gymnasium, group exercise, cardiovascular equipment area, free weight training area, stretching area, two racquetball courts as well as the Health and Wellness Center (HAWC) functions.

11. REQUIREMENT: 7.461 SM ADEQUATE: 2.291 SM SUBSTANDARD: 2.107 SM

PROJECT: Add to and alter fitness center including health and wellness center. (Current Mission)

<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequately sized and configured fitness facility to conduct comprehensive and balanced programs for physical fitness programs required for RAF Lakenheath military personnel and their dependents which is a major quality of life and retention requirement. Personnel require safe fitness programs, including aerobics, health, mental, nutritional training and indoor recreational athletic activities, and a health and wellness center at this overseas base.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing fitness center does not meet Air Force standards. The fitness center has been identified by HQ USAF personnel **as** inadequate to meet the overwhelming use demands imposed on this severely undersized facility. Recent Needs Assessment Study demographics reveal the current facility only provides one-fourth the physical fitness center authorized space. Crowded conditions in the existing facility forces customers to waste valuable time waiting for equipment to become free for use. The large number of people waiting to use equipment and time wasted are very discouraging to potential new customers who are not **physically** fit but are actively trying to establish a beneficial wellness program. The current facility size and limited services available restrict the range of programs and activities that are offered. The existing fitness center **complex** suffers from significant programmatic deficiencies and inefficient internal arrangement.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Sports and fitness programs will be critically hampered by the lack of an adequate 'acility. This has a direct adverse impact on personnel, quality of life (QOL), morale, productivity, and impacts 'etention' and readiness.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility

27596		740-674		10.800						
5. PROGRAM ELE	M ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER				8. PROJEC	CT COST (\$000)				
3. INSTALLATION RAF LAKENHEATH	_			4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER	R FITNESS C	CENTER				
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
1. COMPONENT	1	2. DATE								

Requirements.' and Air Force Fitness Center Master Plan criteria. Antiterrorism/Force Protectron features will be in accordance with the local threat assessment. This is a corporate Air Force directed project essential for personnel QOL and retention of highly skilled personnel. Only one option meets the mission requirement. Therefore, a full economic analysis was not completed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. The tappropriate authority has reviewed this project and a determination has been made that no portion is eligible for MATO infrastructure funding. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Thomas D. Quasney. 001-44-1638-52-21 00.' Fitness Center: 5170 SM = 55629 SF. Design Build - Design Build Cost (4% of Subtotal Cost): \$402.000.

> JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force equipment.

Previous editions are obsolete Page No

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA		2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION								
RAF LAKENHEATH, UNITED KINGDOM 5. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER								
	R FITNESS CENTER			SET963009				
			***	32130003				
12. SUPPLEMEN	NTAL DATA:	De	sign B	uild				
a. Estimated	d Design Data:							
(1) Project	to be accomplished by design-build procedures							
(2) Basis:	, , , , , ,							
(a) Sta	andard or Definitive Design -			NO				
(b) Wh	ere Design Was Most Recently Used -							
(3) Design	Allowance			302				
(4) Constru	uction Contract Award Date			02 Oct				
(5) Constru	uction Start			02 Dec				
(6) Constru	uction Completion			04 Dec				
(7) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed			YES				
b. Equipment assother appropria	oaated with this project will be provided from ations: WA							
			-					

DD FORM 1391, **Apr 01 Page** No.

194

1. COMPONENT		FY 2003 MILITARY CON	STRU	JCTION F	PROJECT DA	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION			PROJECT TITLE						
RAF LAKENHEATH	Ⅎ, United	KINGDOM		MOBILI	TY PROCESS	SING FACILIT	Y		
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. P	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJEC	CT COST (\$000)		
27596		141-786		MSET93	3011		2,600		
		9. COS	T ES	TIMATES					
	ļ	TEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
MOBILITY PROCESSING FACILITY				SM	1,255	1,23	2 1.541		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES							88(
UTILITIES				LS			(527		
PAVEMENTS	IENTO			LS			(94		
SITE IMPROVEM AT FORCE PRO				LS			(41 (142		
COMMUNICATION				LS			(75		
SUBTOTAL							2,429		
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)						121		
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST						2,551		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (2.5 %)							64		
TOTAL REQUEST							2,614		
TOTAL REQUEST	(ROUNDE	D)				2,600			
FCF Budget Rate ι	ısed: Uni	ted Kingdom Pound 0.7	144						

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construction to include a concrete foundation and floor, concrete or steel frame, masonry walls, roller shutter doors, partition walls, brick facing, truss-pitched roof with metal roofing, fire protection, attached covered porch and paved cargo area. Includes all support areas, all utilities, parking and site improvements.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,847 SM ADEQUATE: 525 SM SUBSTANDARD: SM

PROJECT: Construct a mobility processing facility. (Current Mission)

<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The current personnel mobility processing and deployment baggage-pallet assemblage and temporary storage facilities are inadequately sized to support RAF Lakenheath contingency and training deployment commitments.

CURRENT SITUATION: Deploying personnel are currently processed in a cramped and poorly configured facility that only partially satisfies deployment processing requirements. The existing facility provides approximately one-fourth the required space needed to process the peak workload count of 219 personnel. Processing is carried out in an open bay area which is disruptive to necessary organized flow and does not meet with deployment processing standards. The existing facility does not provide needed access and egress for passenger buses, personal baggage and immediate need mobility bags. Personal baggage processing and baggage pallet build-up, handling and temporary storage areas are inadequately sized and inappropriately located for efficient, expedient, and effective processing as required. Organized processing cannot be achieved n the current work around arrangements of the undersized facility during contingency operations, which is during the greatest demand.

MPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If a deployment processing facility is not constructed in a location close to the airfield **taxiway** and of size and configuration suitable for rapid, effective processing, the existing inefficiency and nability to meet all deployment needs will continue. During times of contingency operations, the Wing's **performance** capability will be impaired. Likewise, training operations will not be able to provide conditions **needed** to meet peak load scenarios.

ADDITIONAL: This project may be partially eligible for NATO infrastructure common funding and to that extent

DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete. Page No.

1. COMPONENT		Y 2003 MIL	LITARY CON	NSTRU	JCTION PROJECT DA	TA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			(comput	ter ge	enerated)		
3. INSTALLATION RAF LAKENHEAT					4. PROJECT TITLE MOBILITY PROCESS	ING FACILIT	Υ
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT	6. CATEGO	ORY CODE	7. PF	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST (SOOO)
27596		141	-786	, N	MSET933011		2.600
will be proposed for Force Handbook 3 indicates only one	32-1084, 'Fa option mee Col Thomas	in NATO Wo acility Require ets operations of D. Quasne	rks program ements'. A al requireme y, 001-44-16	. This prelinents. A	project meets the crite ninary analysis of reason certificate of exception 2-2100. Mobility Proces	onable optior on has been	ecified in Air as was done and prepared. *Base
					on requirements, op atible with use by		ponents.

DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete. Page No. 196

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	1	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION		
IRAF LAKENHEAT	T, UNITED KINGDOM		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5.	PROJECT NUMBER
MOBILITY PROCES	SSING FACILITY		MSET933011
12 SUPPLEMEN	NTAL DATA:	Desig	n Build
a. Estimated	Design Data:		
(1) Projec	t to be accomplished by design-build procedures		
(2) Basis:			
(a) St	andard or Definitive Design -		NO
(p) MI	nere Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Design	n Allowance		73
(4) Constr	ruction Contract Award Date		02 Nov
(5) Constr	ructron Start		03 Jan
(6) Consti	ruction Completion		04 Jan
(7) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed		YES
b. Equipment ass appropriations	sociated with this project will be provided from other		

DD FORM 1391, Apr 01 Page No 197

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE (computer generated) FY2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)																			
3. INSTALLATION A	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND																		
WAKE ISLAND, WAKE ISLAND PACIFIC AIR FORCES									COST	INDEX									
										1.99									
6. PERSONNEL	PEF	RMANENT	ORTED																
STRENGTH	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL									
a. As of 30 Sep 01										0									
b. End FY 2005										0									
	7. INVENTORY DATA \$(000)																		
a. Total Acreage		2,600)																
b. Inventory Totals a	as of: 30	Sep 01							29,024										
c. Authorization Not		•							0										
d. Authorization Red		-		(=) (0.00					24.900										
e. Authorization Incli f. Planned in Next Fo		_	_	(FY200	4)				24,000 50,000										
g. Remaining Deficie	U	alli TealS	•						105.000										
h. Grand Total:	51.0y.							_	232,924	-									
6. Projects Requested in this Program: FY2003																			
CATEGORY									DESIGN										
	OJECT					OPE	014		START	CMP									
111-111 Repair	Airfield P	avements	s, Ph 1		29	93,130		\$24,900	MAY 01	SEP 02									
							Total	\$24,900											
3a. Future Projects: I	ncluded i	n the Fol	lowing P	rogram: (FY2004)														
822-000 Upgrad	de Island-	Wide Infra	astructur	e. Ph 1		1	LS	\$24,000											
							Total	\$24.000											
9b. Future Projects: 7								-											
	de Island-						LS	\$25,006											
822-000 Upgrad	de Island-	Wide Infra	astructur	e, Ph 2		1	LS	\$25,006											
3c. Real Property Ma	intenance	e Backlog	This Ins	stallation					0										
10. Mission or Major								to Ballistic	Missile De	fense									
Organization test open 11. Outstanding pollu					on to de	pioying	units.												
a. Air pollution	uon and s	salety (O	oi ia) uei	iciei icies.					0										
b. Water pollution	1								0										
c. Occupational S		d Health							0										
•	-								•										
a. 5									U	d. Other Environmental 0									

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION		JECT TITLE	•			
WAKE ISLAND AIF	RFIELD, G	UAM		REPAIR	AIRFIELD P	AVEMENT, PH	HASE 1	
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PR	OJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)	
22176		111-111		G FZ95 3	3011		24.900	
		9. COST	ESTI	MATES				
	I	TEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$00 0)		
REPAIR RUNWAY	TAXIWAY	' PAVEMENT		LS			20.28:	
REPLACE ASPH	IALT RUN	WAY/SHOULDER PAVEN	MENT	SM	184,900	74	(13,683	
REPLACE PARA	LLEL TAX	IWAY PAVEMENT		SM	108,230	61	(6,602	
SUPPORTING FAC							2,000	
CONTAMINATED	SOIL RE	MEDIATION		LS			(600	
DEMOLITION/OFF	F-ISLAND	DEBRIS DISPOSAL		LS			(1,400	
SUBTOTAL							22,285	
CONTINGENCY (5.0 %)						1,114	
TOTAL CONTRACT							23,399	
SUPERVISION. INSPECTION 8 OVERHEAD (6.5 %)							1,521	
TOTAL REOUEST							24,920	
TOTAL REOUEST	(ROUNDE	D)				24.900		

- IO. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove runway and parallel taxtway pavement and replace with 5' hick asphalt pavement. Repair/recompact primed base course before resurfacing and restriping. Replace airfield ighting conduit, contaminated soil remediation. off-island disposal of debris, and all necessary support,
- 11 REOUIREMENT: LS ADEQUATE: LS SUBSTANDARD. LS

PROJECT: Repair main runway and parallel taxrway pavemenl (Current Mission)

<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequate runway and taxrway pavement free from foreign-object-damage (FOD) risk to irrcraft is required to support safe landings and takeoffs, and operation of fighter and transport aircraft at this southern enroute base.

CURRENT SITUATION: The entire runway and taxiway surfaces show significant block cracking. Major alligator cracking and rutting occur in the inner pavement FOD is highly possible. An existing patch located 3000' from runway 28 end has settled into a pronounced 'dip' and poses a significant safety hazard to aircraft. The airfield pavements are so deteriorated that the CSAF has placed the airfield in Very Limited Operations (VLO) status which means it is closed to day-to-day operations except for wartime, emergency diverts, and direct island support activities.

MPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Aircraft safety is severely jeopardized and FOD will be an increasing safety problem. Without immediate attention, the runway and parallel taxrway pavements will continue to deteriorate to the point of complete failure and not be able to support future aircraft operations.

<u>IDDITIONAL</u>: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facilities Requirements". A preliminary analysis of options for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will neet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has een prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Eide. (671) 366-7101. Repair Runway/Taxiway Pavements: 93,130 SM = 350,583 SY.

DD FORM 1391, Dec 76 Previous editions are obsolete Page No

1. COMPONENT	1	FY 2003 MILITARY CON	STRUCTION PRO	JECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(compu	iter generated)		
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOC	ATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE	
NAKE ISLAND AIF	RFIELD, G	UAM	ļ f	TELD PAVEMENT,	PHASE 1
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PR		(1000)
22176		111-111	YGFZ953011		24,900
				•	
***		CED THE C 1 THE C	mi . c		
		CERTIFICATION:			•
		on an "as available" sed on Air Force equ		me scope of the	
Proj		on in i orec equ			

COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3.INSTALLATION	ANDLOCATION	•						
WAKEISLAND								
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECTNUMBER						
REPAIR AIRFIELD	PAVEMENT, PHASE1	YGFZ953011						
12. SUPPLEMEN	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: Design							
a. Estimated Design Data:								
(1) status	:							
(a) Da	te Design Started	1 O-MAY-O'						
(b) Pa	rametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YE:						
. (c) Pe	rcent Complete as of Jan 02	15 %						
. (d) Da	te 36% Designed.	24-SEP-01						
(e) Da	te Design Complete	10-SEP-02						
(f) Ene	ergy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	NC						
(2) Basis:								
(a) Sta	undard or Definitive Design -	NO						
(b) Wh	ere Design Was Most Recently Used -							
(3) Total C	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)						
(a) Pro	oduction of Plans and Specifications	1,500						
(b) All	Other Design Costs	750						
(c) Tot	al	2,250						
(d) Co	ntract	2,000						
(e) In-	nouse	250						
(4) Constru	uction Contract Award Date	02 Nov						
(5) Constru	uction Start	03 Jan						
(6) Constru	uction Completion	05 Jul						
Estimate w	completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost hich is comparable to traditional 36% design to ensure valid cost and executability.							
3. Equipment assorther appropria	ociated with this project will be provided from tions: NA							

DD FORM 1391c, DEC 76 Page No 201

PLANNING AND DESIGN

1. COMPONENT FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)											
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
HQ USAF, UNUNDONS PLANNING AND DESIGN											
5	5 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT HUMBER 8. PROJECT COS										
91211	91211 010-211 PAY2030001 41,496						1,496				
9. COST RSTINATES											
	ITEN U/N						COST (\$000)				
PLANNING AND D				LS			41,496				
IUBTOTAL CONTRACT	COST					61,696					
NOTAL REQUEST							41,496				
COTAL REQUEST	(ROUNDE	D)					61,696				

0. Description of Proposed Construction: The funds requested will be used to rovide financing for architectural and engineering services and construction design or Air Force Military Construction and host nation funded construction programs

1. REQUIREMENT: LS ADEQUATE: LS SUBSTANDARD: LS

ROJECT: A8 required

EQUIREMENT: These planning and design funds are required to complete the design of facilities in the FY04 Military Construction Program, initiate design of facilities in the FY05 Military Construction Program and accomplish planning and design for major and complex technical projects with a long lead-time to be included in subsequent filitary Construction Programs. Also provides funds for value engineering and for the support of design and construction management of projects that are funded by foreign governments and for design of classified and special programs.

UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION

			DRAFT 1			
1. COMPONENT FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUC				DATA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE			
HQ USAP, UNEXNOWN			UNSPECIFIED NINGR CONSTRUCTION			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000,	
91211	010-211	PAYZ030002		11,500		
	9. cos	T ESTIMATE	5			
ITEM			QUARTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)	
IMSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION UPPORTING PACILITIES					11.500	
UBTOTAL					11.500	
NOTAL COSTRACT COST					11,500	
-					11,500	
'OTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					11.500	
			_	_		

- 0. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide a lump sum amount for unspecified construction projects not otherwise authorized by law. Minor construction projects osting less than these limits are authorized to be funded from the operations and aintenance appropriation. Includes construction, alteration, or conversion of $3\ -\ t\ o\ r$ temporary facilities.
- 1. REQUIREMENT: LS ADEQUATE: LS SUBSTANDARD: LS

ROJECT: As required.

=