

## Department of the Air Force

## Base Realignment and Closure Part I (BRAC 88)

# FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

Justification Data Submitted to Congress: June 2001 (For Display Purposes Only)

## FY 2002/2003 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

## 1988 COMMISSION

## FOR DISPLAY PURPOSES ONLY

## 1988 Commission

I.	Base	Closure and Realignment .	1
II.	Finar	ncial Summary	7
III.	Base	Closure and Realignment Detail - By Package	
	1.	Chanute AFB, Illinois	8
	2.	George AFB, California	11
	3.	Mather AFB, California	14
	4.	Pease AFB, New Hampshire	17
	5.	Norton AFB, California.	20
	5. 6.	Program Management	23

## FY 2001 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA 1988 COMMISSION

### **U.S. Air Force Overview**

### **Schedule:**

FY 1990: Base Closure Account requirement: \$221.5 Million. The following schedule was developed to reduce the impact on Air Force mission capability, while placing priority on closing the five bases recommended by the 1988 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Base Realignment and Closure Act, P.L. 100-526. It is the Air Force's objective to close bases at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of savings provided by reduction to Air Force end strength and reductions to base operating support costs. The savings, where shown, are for display purposes only. Actual savings accrue in other Air Force appropriations. To facilitate the closure of bases as well as the transition of land and facilities to community use, Base Transition Coordinator (BTC) positions were established in FY 1993 by the Department of Defense (DoD).

### Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$19.9 Million

Nine major training courses relocated to various other Technical Training Centers. Funding was primarily related to operation and maintenance costs for the movement of training courses and associated equipment. Also included were military construction and other procurement costs.

### George AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$61.8 Million

The F-4E/G aircraft relocated to Mountain Home, ID requiring the Mountain Home F- 111 s to realign to Cannon AFB, TX. The OV- 1 0s relocated to Davis-Monthan, AZ requiring EC-130H at Davis-Monthan to realign to Bergstrom AFB, TX. Funding was primarily related to construction at gaining locations and operation and maintenance.

## Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$34.1 Million

The Flying Training Wing relocated to **Beale** AFB, CA. Funding was primarily related to construction costs at **Beale** AFB. Operation and maintenance costs were also incurred.

## Pease AFB, NH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.0 Million

The Tanker Task Force detachment located at Pease AFB relocated to Plattsburgh AFB, NY. Funding was primarily related to movement of the Task Force, the start-up of the caretaker and temporary hospital support contracts and construction at gaining locations. Operation and maintenance and other procurement costs were also incurred.

### Norton AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$42.1 Million

The C-14 1 aircraft relocated to March AFB, CA and McChord AFB, WA. Funding was related to relocation of forces and construction at gaining locations. Also included were funds for operation and maintenance and procurement costs.

## **Program Management:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$47.6 Million

Funding was primarily related to planning and design costs for military construction at gaining locations, operation and maintenance, and the purchase of computer equipment.

FY 1991: Base Closure Account requirement: \$516.9 Million. Air Force implementation in FY 1991 primarily consisted of continuing military construction projects designed to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. As construction projects at some locations became ready to receive incoming forces, large units began to move. Pease AFB relocated all personnel and force structure and closed in the second quarter of FY 199 1. Environmental restoration for closure bases was integrated into the Base Closure Account. Operation and maintenance,

EXHIBIT BC-01

other procurement, and military personnel Permanent Change of Station (PCS) costs were also included.

### Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$138.2 Million

Funding supported military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental compliance and restoration, military PCS and other procurement.

### George AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.1 Million

Relocating CONUS based F-l 11 s continued. Funding was used to relocate equipment and personnel as construction continued at Cannon AFB, NM, and for environmental and operation and maintenance costs.

### Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$56.5 Million

A communications test program, the Model Base Program and associated personnel relocated to Barksdale AFB, LA. Funding was primarily for environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS. Construction projects programmed for Beale AFB, CA in preparation for movement of the Undergraduate Navigator Training (UNT) mission, were canceled when the 1991 Base Closure Commission redirected UNT to Randolph AFB, TX. The funds associated with these projects were realigned to support other requirements. Requirements generated by this change to UNT are shown in the Base Closure Account (Part II) Justification Book.

## Pease AFB, NH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$50.4 Million

Thirteen KC- 135 tankers relocated to **five** gaining locations. All active military and civilian personnel relocated or were eliminated from Air Force end strength. An Air National Guard tanker unit relocated into a cantonment area at Pease AFB. The base closed 3 1 March 199 1 and a caretaker force assumed maintenance of the base until final disposition of the property is determined. A Disposal Management Team took over responsibility for base disposal. Most costs this year were environmental. The rest were operation and maintenance.

### Norton AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2 14.1 Million

The first C-141s began to relocate to March AFB, CA and McChord AFB, WA. Funding was due to military construction of facilities at gaining locations, environmental, operation and maintenance, PCS of military personnel and other procurement.

## Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$21.6 Million

Funding was for one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base. These costs include military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, PCS of military personnel, and other procurement requirements.

<u>FY 1992</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$250.6 Million. Air Force implementation in FY 1992 consisted of military and family housing construction projects designed to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Most of the requirements were environmental. Also included were operation and maintenance and military personnel PCS costs. As construction projects at some locations became ready to receive incoming forces, some large units were moved.

## Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$47.0 Million

Sixteen training courses relocated from Chanute AFB, IL to various other Technical Training Centers. Funding supported construction, operation and maintenance costs for the relocation of training courses with associated equipment, and environmental restoration. There was also a requirement for military personnel PCS and other procurement costs. All construction and relocation support at Lowry AFB, CO was curtailed due to the 1991 Base Closure Commission's recommendation to close Lowry AFB. Courses originally scheduled to move to Lowry were diverted to other locations. Requirements generated by these changes are shown in the Base Closure Account (Part II) Justification Book.

George AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$44.5 Million

Remaining CONUS F-111 s were relocated to Cannon AFB, NM. The 199 1 Base Closure Commission recommended that the F-11.1 consolidation include the EF-111s currently based at Mountain Home AFB, ID. The Commission also recommended a squadron of F-4Gs, previously programmed for retirement, be retained in the Air National Guard. Requirements generated by these changes are shown in the Base Closure Account (Part II) Justification Book. Funding here was due to military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance and military PCS.

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$24.4 Million

Funding reflected here supported operation and maintenance requirements, environmental studies and military PCS.

Pease AFB, NH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$8 1.6 Million

Funding reflected here supported construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance requirements.

Norton AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$23.0 Million

The final 8 of 12 C- 14 Is relocated to **McChord** AFB, WA. Funding was due to environmental, military personnel PCS, military and family housing construction, and operation and maintenance.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$30.1 Million

Funding supported to military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental and military personnel PCS requirements.

<u>FY 1993</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$60.7 Million. Air Force implementation for FY 1993 consisted of major unit relocations as military construction projects neared completion. Three bases (George, **Mather** and Chanute **AFBs**) closed in FY 1993. Requirements included operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS and environmental compliance and restoration costs.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.4 Million

Base closed in 1993. All remaining training courses relocated to various other Technical Training Centers. Funding was for construction and relocation of military personnel.

George AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.8 Million

Base closed in 1993. Funding supported to construction, environmental requirements and relocation of military personnel.

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.4 Million

Base closed in 1993. Funding supported relocation of military personnel and environmental restoration.

Pease AFB, NH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.2 Million

Funding supported to environmental requirements.

Norton AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.9 Million

Funding supported environmental and relocation of military personnel.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.0 Million

Funding supported military construction, operation and maintenance, the Air Force Base Conversion Agency, environmental and military PCS costs.

FY 1994: Base Closure Account requirement. \$0.0 Million. All bases recommended for closure closed by the end of FY 1995 and will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred. Requirements were sourced from prior year unobligated balances.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

George AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Pease AFB, NH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Norton AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

**FY 1995:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million. All bases closed or realigned by the end of FY 1995 and will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred. Environmental restoration will continue until completion. Requirements were sourced from prior year unobligated balances.

Chanute AFB, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

George AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mather AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Pease AFB, NH: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Norton AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Mission Impact: The following schedule was developed to reduce the impact on Air Force mission capability while placing priority on closing the five bases recommended by the 1988 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Base Realignment and Closure Act, P.L. 100-526. It is the Air Force's objective to close bases at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength, reductions to base operating support costs, and anticipated proceeds from sale of real property at the closure bases.

Environmental Considerations: By the end of FY 2002, four of the five BRAC 88 installations will have remedial actions installed in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Restoration and Liability Act (CERCLA). These actions (which include: landfill closures, groundwater treatments, bioventing, air sparging, underground storage tank removals and free product removal) comply with the requirements of CERCLA 120(3), which governs deed transfers of property, and protects human health and the environment. The BRAC 88 installations will continue with long term monitoring and operation activities associated with previous cleanup actions. Four bases are on the National Priority List (NPL). Installations on the NPL have cleanup schedules that are legally binding with stipulated penalties and fines for failure to comply. There are currently 19,076 acres to be transferred at BRAC 88 installations; 9,988 of those are now environmentally ready for transfer. Through long term leases and deed transfers, 18,677 acres are now in reuse. All BRAC 88 installations have greater than 98% of the available acres in reuse. Completion of the environmental actions are crucial for the reuse and economic development of the affected communities.

<u>Other:</u> The savings associated with these closure actions are for display purposes only and will accrue in other Air Force appropriations. The funding profiles associated with the schedule have been programmed to accomplish this objective.

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL
-------

	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 90 - 95
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	147.485	276,042	35.307	8,999	0	0	467,833
Family Housing -Construction	0	0	285	0	0	0	285
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	198,516	157,976	40,856	0	0	397,348
Operation & Maintenance	71.140	37,757	54,705	1.919	0	0	165,521
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,314	2,199	8.910	0	0	12,423
Other	2,924	3.235	80	0	0	0	6,239
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paid for by later Appropriations (Non-Add)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	221,549	5 16.864	250,552	60,684	0	0	1,049,649
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	221,549	516.864	250,552	60,684	0	0	1,049,649
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing-Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2 1.300	0	0	0	0	0	21.300
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other		0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	10,359	2.08 I	834	13,274
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE ACCOUNT	2 1.300	0	0	10.359	2.08 <b>1</b>	834	34,574
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	1,600	50,300	so.300	50,300	50,300	202.800
Family Housing - Construction	12,600	16.900	8,500	9,700	11,300	3,500	62,500
- Operations	0	0	4,800	4,800	18,100	23,800	51,500
Operation & Maintenance	4,966	18,789	38.31 I	77,118	149,886	157,815	446,885
Military Personnel	0	24,504	6 1.626	126,809	208,622	224,464	646.025
Other	445	465	465	665	865	465	3,370
Civilian ES	0	(349)	(349)	(1 ,407)	(2,268)	(2,268)	0
Military ES	0	(1 ,778)	(1,770)	(4.864)	(6,479)	(6.479)	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	18,011	62,258	164,002	269,392	439.073	460,344	1,41 3,080
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	147,485	274,442	(14.993)	(41,301)	(50,300)	(50,300)	(62,215)
Family Housing - Construction	(12,600)	(16,900)	(8.215)	(9,700)	(11,300)	(3,500)	(51,500)
- Operations	0	0	(4,800)	(4,800)	(18,100)	(23,800)	418.648
Environmental	21,300	198,516	157,976	40.856	0	0	(281,364)
Operation & Maintenance	66. 174	18,968	16,394	(75,199)	(149.886)	(157,815)	(633.602)
Military Personnel - PCS Other	0	(23.190)	(59,427)	(I 17.899)	(208.622)	(224.464)	2,869
	2,479	2,770	(385)	(66.5)	(865)	(465)	13.274
Homeowners Assistance Program Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	10,359 0	2.08 I 0	834 0	0
Nevertues II UIII Lanu Sales (*)	U	U		U	U	U	U
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	224,838	454.606	86,550	(198,349)	(436.992)	(459,5 10)	(593,890)

Chanute Air Force Base, Illinois Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF/CHANUTE AFB, ILLINOIS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	(5 5 2 2 7 11		30, 120,			
	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994 I	Y 1995
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	3.792	122,076	12.209	4,775	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	9,683	21,283	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	15,289	5,138	13,337	0	0	0
Military Personnel PCS	0	879	105	1,642	0	0
Other	789	384	80	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	19,870	138,160	47,014	6,417	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET BEQUEST	19,870	138,160	47,014	6,417	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	8,036	1,825	834
FOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	8,036	1,825	834
SAVINGS:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2,000	2,300	2,600	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	5,500
Operation & Maintenance	900	900	1,300	25,687	35,120	36,630
Military Personnel	0	0	0	12,019	28,055	29,436
Other	0	0	0	200	200	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(454)	(465)	(465)
Military ES	0	0	0	(817)	(843)	(843)
TOTAL SAVINGS	900	900	3,300	40,206	65,975	7 1,566
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	3,792	122,076	12,209	4,775	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	-2,000	-2,300	-2,600	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	-5,500
Environmental	0	9,683	21,283	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	14,389	4,238	12,037	-25,687	-35,120	-36,630
Military Personnel - PCS	0	879	105	-10,377	-28,055	-29,436
Other	789	384	80	-200	-200	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	8,036	1,825	834
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	18,970	137,260	43,714	-25,753	-64,150	-70,732

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF/CHANUTE AFB. ILLINOIS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	IOIAL
	FY 90 - 95
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	142,852
Family Housing Construction	0
Operations	0
Environmental	30,966
Operation & Maintenance	33,764
Military Personnel - PCS	2,626
Other	1,253
Homeowners Assistance Program	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	211,461
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0
BUDGET BEQUEST	211,461
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	
Military Construction	0
Family Housing - Operations	0
Environmental	0
Operation & Maintenance	0
Other	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	10,695
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	10,695
SAVINGS:	
Military Construction	0
Family Housing Construction	6,900
- Operations	5,500
Operation & Maintenance	100,537
Military Personnel	69,510
Other	400
Civilian ES	0
Military ES	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	182,847
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	142,852
Family Housing - Construction	-6,900
- Operations	-5,500
Environmental	30,966
Operation & Maintenance	-66,773
Military Personnel - PCS	-66,884
Other	853
Homeowners Assistance Program	10,695
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	10,093
	_
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	39,309

## George Air Force Base, California Package Base Closure and Realignment Detail

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF/GEORGE AFB, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	56.875	4,317	15,191	3,797	0	0
Family Housing Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	23.995	<b>1</b> a.473	7,461	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	4,945	7.732	9.777	0	0	0
Military Personnel PCS	0	17	1.024	490	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	61,820	36,061	44,465	11,748	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	61,820	36,061	44,465	11,748	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	15	0	0
FOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	15	0	0
SAVINGS:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2,600	3,000	3,500	3,500
- Operations	0	0	0	0	6,600	6,600
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	9,895	10,880
Military Personnel	0	0	0	16,084	39,072	40,704
Other	127	147	147	147	147	147
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(277)	(287)	(287)
Military ES	0	0	0	(1,226)	(1,252)	(1,252)
TOTAL SAVINGS	127	147	2,747	19,231	59,214	61,831
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	56,875	4,317	15,191	3,797	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	-2,600	-3,000	-3,500	-3,500
- Operations	0	0	0	0	-6,600	-6,600
Environmental	0	23,995	18,473	7,461	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	4,945	7,732	9,777	0	-9,895	-10,880
Military Personnel - PCS	0	17	1,024	-15,594	-39,072	-40.704
Other	-127	-147	-147	-147	-147	-147
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	15	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	61,693	35,914	41,718	-7,468	-59,214	-61,831

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF/GEORGE AFB, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) TOTAL FY 90 - 95

	FY 90 - 95
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	80.180
Family Housing - Construction	0
Operations	0
Environmental	49,929
Operation & Maintenance	22,454
Military Personnel - PCS	1,531
Other	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	154,094
evenues from Land Sales (-)	0
BUDGET REQUEST	154,094
UNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	
filitary Construction	0
amily Housing - Operations	0
Invironmental	0
peration & Maintenance	0
other	0
Iomeowners Assistance Program	15
OTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	15
AVINGS:	
Iilitary Construction	0
amily Housing - Construction	12,600
- Operations	13,200
peration & Maintenance	20,775
lilitary Personnel	95,860
other	862
ivilian ES	0
Iilitary ES	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	143,297
TET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	80,180
amily Housing - Construction	-12,600
- Operations	-13,200
nvironmental	49,929
peration & Maintenance	1,679
lilitary Personnel - PCS	-94,329
ther	-862
Iomeowners Assistance Program	15
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	10,812
	- ,

## Mather Air Force Base, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF/MATHER AFB. CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	28.831	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	54,012	16.384	15,200	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5, 300	2, 432	8, 024	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	81	12	171	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	34, 131	56, 525	24, 420	15,371	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	34, 131	56, 525	24, 420	15, 371	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation &Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	1, 154	128	0
FOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	1, 154	128	0
SAVINGS:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	1, 900	2, 100	2, 500	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	6, 600	6, 700
Operation & Maintenance	200	200	900	9, 337	31, 109	32, 119
Military Personnel	0	0	0	16, 135	35, 656	37, 228
Other	0	0	0	0	200	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(327)	(338)	(338)
Military ES	0	0	0	(1,051)	(1,077)	(1,077)
TOTAL SAVINGS	200	200	2, 800	27, 572	76, 065	76, 047
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	28, 831	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	<b>-</b> 1,900	- 2, 100	- 2, 500	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	- 6, 600	- 6, 700
Environmental	0	54, 012	16, 384	15, 200	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5, 100	2, 232	7, 124	- 9, 337	- 31, 109	- 32, 119
Military Personnel - PCS	0	81	12	- 15, 964	- 35, 656	- 37, 228
Other	0	0	0	0	- 200	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	1, 154	128	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	33,931	56, 325	21, 620	- <b>1</b> 1,047	- 75, 937	- 76, 047

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF/MATHER AFB, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	IOIAL
	FY 90 - 95
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	_
Military Construction	28,831
Family Housing - Construction	0
- Operations	0
Environmental	85,596
Operation & Maintenance	15,756
Military Personnel - PCS	264
Other	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	130,447
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0
BUDGET REQUEST	130,447
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	
Military Construction	0
Family Housing - Operations	0
Environmental	0
Operation & Maintenance	0
Other	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	1,282
FOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	1,282
SAVINGS:	
Military Construction	0
Family Housing - Construction	6,500
- Operations	13,300
Operation & Maintenance	73,865
Military Personnel	89,019
Other	200
Civilian ES	0
Military ES	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	182,884
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	28,831
Family Housing - Construction	-6,500
- Operations	-13,300
Environmental	85,596
Operation & Maintenance	-58,109
Military Personnel - PCS	-88,755
Other	-200
Homeowners Assistance Program	1,282
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-51,155

16 EXHIBIT BC-02

Pease AFB, New Hampshire Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF/PEASE AFB, NEW HAMPSHIRE (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	7.048	0	3,319	0	0	0
Family Housing Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	42,624	75,288	11,208	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	8.667	7,749	2,972	0	0	0
Military Personnel PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	298	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	16,013	50,373	81,579	I 1,208	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET BEQUEST	16,013	50,373	81,579	<b>1</b> 1,208	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	1,154	128	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	1,154	128	0
SAVINGS:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2,000	2,300	2,700	0
- Operations	0	0	4,800	4,800	4,900	5,000
Operation & Maintenance	3,441	17,251	35,661	3 1,947	36,620	37,652
Military Personnel	0	15,377	52,585	60,633	63,672	66, 106
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(349)	(349)	(349)	(363)	(363)
Military ES	0	(1778)	(1770)	(1,770)	(1,829)	(1,829)
TOTAL SAVINGS	3,441	32,628	95,046	99,680	107,892	108,758
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	7,048	0	3,319	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	-2,000	-2,300	-2,700	0
- Operations	0	0	-4,800	-4,800	-4,900	-5,000
Environmental	0	42,624	75,288	11,208	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5,226	-9,502	-32,689	-3 I.947	-36,620	-37,652
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-15,377	-52,585	-60,633	-63,672	-66,106
Other	298	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	1,154	128	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	12,572	17,745	-13,467	-87,318	-107,764	-108,758

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION

## USAF/PEASE AFB, NEW HAMPSHIRE (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	TOTAL
	FY 90 - 95
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	10,367
Family Housing - Construction	0
Operations	0
Environmental	129,120
Operation & Maintenance	19,388
Military Personnel PCS	0
Other	298
Homeowners Assistance Program	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	159,173
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0
BUDGET REQUEST	159,173
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	
Military Construction	0
Family Housing - Operations	0
Environmental	0
Operation & Maintenance	0
Other	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	1,282
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	I.282
SAVINGS:	
Military Construction	0
Family Housing - Construction	7,000
- Operations	19,500
Operation & Maintenance	162,572
Military Personnel	258,373
Other	0
Civilian ES	0
Military ES	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	447,445
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	10,367
Family Housing - Construction	-7,000
- Operations	-19,500
Environmental	129,120
Operation & Maintenance	-143,184
Military Personnel - PCS	-258,373
Other	298
Homeowners Assistance Program	1,282
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-286,990

Norton Air Force Base, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1988 COMMISSION USAF/NORTON AFB, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	19,545	149,292	4, 492	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	285	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	58.701	13, 412	6, 249	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	21,543	4, 542	4, 112	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	296	692	5. 668	0	0
Other	1,016	1,322	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	42,104	214,153	22,993	11,917	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	42,104	214,153	22, 993	11,917	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:						
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	425	438	450	10,147	37, 142	40, 534
Military Personnel	0	9, 127	9, 041	21,938	42, 167	50, 990
Other	318	318	318	318	318	318
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	(815)	(815)
Military ES	0	0	0	0	(1,478)	(1,478)
TOTAL SAVINGS	743	9, 883	9, 809	32, 403	79, 627	<b>9</b> 1,842
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:						
Military Construction	19,545	149, 292	4, 492	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	285	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	<b>58</b> , <b>701</b>	13, 412	6, 249	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	21,118	4, 104	3, 662	- 10, 147	- 37, 142	- 40, 534
Military Personnel - PCS	0	- 8, 831	- 8, 349	16,270	- 42, 167	- 50, 990
Other	698	1, 004	- 318	-318	-318	- 318
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	41, 361	204, 270	13, 184	- 20, 486	- 79, 627	- 91, 842

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1988 COMMISSION USAF/NORTON AFB, CALIFORNIA

## (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) TOTAL FY 90 - 95

	FY 90 - 95
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	173,329
Family Housing Construction	285
Operations	0
Environmental	78,362
Operation & Maintenance	30,197
Military Personnel - PCS	6,656
Other	2,338
Homeowners Assistance Program	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	291,167
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0
BUDGET REQUEST	291,167
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	
Military Construction	0
Family Housing - Operations	0
Environmental	0
Operation & Maintenance	0
Other	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0
<b>FOTAL</b> FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0
SAVINGS:	
Military Construction	0
Family Housing - Construction	0
- Operations	0
Operation & Maintenance	89,136
Military Personnel	133,263
Other	I.908
Civilian ES	0
Military ES	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	224,307
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	
Military Construction	173,329
Family Housing - Construction	285
Operations	0
Environmental	78,362
Operation & Maintenance	-58,939
Military Personnel - PCS	- 126,607
Other	430
Homeowners Assistance Program	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	66.860
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	00.800

## U.S. Air Force Program Management Summary

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1990	FY 1991	<b>FY</b> 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 90 - 95
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	31,394	357	96	427	0	0	32.274
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	9,501	13,136	738	0	0	23.315
Operation & Maintenance	15.396	10,164	16.483	1,919	0	0	43,962
Military Personnel - PCS	0	41	366	939	0	0	1,346
Other	821	1,529	0	0	0	0	2,350
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	47,611	21,592	30,081	4,023	0	0	103,307
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET BEQUEST	47,611	2 1,592	30.08 1	4,023	0	0	103,307
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	21,300	0	0	0	0	0	21,300
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	21,300	0	0	0	0	0	21,300
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	1,600	50,300	50,300	50,300	50,300	202,800
Family Housing - Construction	12,600	16,900	0	0	0	0	29,500
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	12,600	18,500	50,300	50,300	50,300	50,300	232,300
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	31,394	-1,243	-50,204	-49,873	-50,300	-50,300	<b>-</b> 170,526
Family Housing - Construction	-12,600	- 16,900	0	0	0	0	-29,500
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	21,300	9,501	13,136	738	0	0	44,675
Operation & Maintenance	15,396	10,164	16,483	1,919	0	0	43,962
Military Personnel - PCS	0	41	366	939	0	0	1.346
Other	821	1,529	0	0	0	0	2,350
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS 56,311 3,092 -20,219 -46,277 -50,300 -50,300 \cdot \text{-107,693}

TOTAL