Fiscal Year FY 2004/FY 2005 BUDGET ESTIMATE AIR NATIONAL GUARD



MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION 3830

Justification Data Submitted to Congress
February 2003

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY PROJECT LIST	i
NEW MISSION/CURRENT MISSION EXHIBIT	ii
SECTION I - BUDGET APPENDIX EXTRACT	
Appropriations Language Special Program Considerations	I-1 I-2 - I-3
SECTION II - PROJECT JUSTIFICATION DATA	
DD Forms 1391	II-1 – II- 16
SECTION III - INSTALLATION DATA	
DD Forms 1390	III-1 – III-8
SECTION IV – FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PLAN (FYDP)	
Fiscal Year Listing State/Installations Listing	IV-1 – IV-4 IV-5 <i>-</i> IV-7

SUMMARY PROJECT LIST AIR NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM -- FY 2004

STATE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	APPR AMOUNT (\$000)	PAGE NO.
Colorado	Buckley Air Force Base Civil Engineer Complex	6,900	II-1
	Sub-Total Colorado	6,900	
Iowa	Sioux Gateway Airport KC-135 Fire Crash/Rescue Station/		
	Security Forces Complex	6,091	II-4
	Sub-Total Iowa	6,091	
Mississippi	Camp Shelby Range		
	C-17 Assault Runway	7,409	II-7
	Sub-Total Mississippi	7,409	
Rhode Island	Quonset State Airport Replace Composite Aircraft Maintenance Complex	18,500	II-10
	Sub-Total Rhode Island	18,500	
	SUB-TOTAL ALL BASES	38,900	
	PLANNING AND DESIGN	16,030	II-13
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,500	II-15
	SUB-TOTAL SUPPORT COSTS	21,530	
	GRAND TOTAL	60,430	

NEW MISSION/CURRENT MISSION EXHIBIT AIR NATIONAL GUARD MILTARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM – FY 2004

LOCATION	PROJECT	APPR COST (\$000)	CURRENT/ NEW/ENV
Buckley Air Force Base, CO	Civil Engineer Complex	6,900	N
Sioux Gateway Apt, IA	KC-135 Fire Crash/Rescue Station/ Security Forces Complex	6,091	Ν
Camp Shelby Range, MS	C-17 Assault Runway	7,409	N
Quonset State Airport, RI	Replace Composite Aircraft Maintenance Complex	18,500	С
	PLANNING AND DESIGN	16,030	
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,500	
	TOTAL ENERGY	0	
	TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL	0	
	TOTAL NEW MISSION (3)	20,400	
	TOTAL CURRENT MISSION (1)	18,500	
	GRAND TOTAL - FY 2004 REQUEST	60,430	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

SECTION I	

APPROPRIATIONS LANGUAGE

For construction, acquisition, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Air National Guard, and contributions therefore, as authorized by Chapter 1803 of Title 10, United States Code, and Military Construction Authorizations Acts, \$62,430,000 to remain available until September 30, 2008.

SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

Environmental Compliance

The environmental compliance projects proposed in this program are necessary to correct current environmental noncompliance situations and to prevent future noncompliance.

Flood Plain Management and Wetland Protection

Proposed land acquisitions, disposals, and installation construction projects have been planned in accordance with the requirements of Executive Orders 11988, Flood Plain Management, and 11900, Protection of Wetlands. Projects have been sited to avoid long and short-term adverse impacts, reduce the risk of flood losses, and minimize the loss, or degradation of wetlands.

Design for Accessibility of Physically Handicapped Personnel

In accordance with Public Law 90-480, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

Preservation of Historical Sites and Structures

Facilities included in this program do not directly or indirectly affect a district, site, building, structure, object, or setting listed in the National Register of Historic Places, except as noted on the DD Form 1391s.

Environmental Protection

In accordance with Section 102(2) (c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Military Construction Program.

Economic Analysis

Economics are an inherent aspect of project development and design of military construction projects. Therefore, all projects included in this program represent the most economical use of resources.

SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS (continued)

Reserve Manpower Potential

The reserve manpower potential to meet and maintain authorized strengths of all reserve flying/non-flying units in those areas in which these facilities are to be located has been reviewed. It has been determined, in coordination with all other Services having reserve flying/non-flying units in these areas, that the number of units of the reserve components of the Armed Forces presently located in those areas, and those which have been allocated to the areas for future activation, is not and will not be larger than the number that reasonably can be expected to be maintained at authorized strength considering the number of persons living in the areas who are qualified for membership in those reserve units.

Potential Use of Vacant Schools and Other State and Local Facilities

The potential use of vacant schools and other state and local owned facilities has been reviewed and analyzed for each facility to be constructed under this program.

Construction Criteria Manual

Unless otherwise noted, the projects comply with the scope and design criteria prescribed in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

SECTION II	

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION DATA

1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE
	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
ANG	(computer generated) February 2003					bruary 2003		
3. INSTALLATION	AND :	LOCATION		4. I	PROJECT	FITLE		
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX					
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	T NUN	/BER	8. PROJI	ECT	COST(\$000)
55296F		219-944	CRV	VU0430	009		\$6	,900
		9. COST	ESTIMATE	S				,
						UNI	T	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTIT	Y COS	Т	(\$000)
ANG CIVIL ENGIN				SM	3,470	1		5,160
		MINISTRATION AREA		SM	929	1,5	572	(1,460)
BASE ENGINEER				SM	1,036		579	(1,739)
COLD STORAGE				SM	465)76	(500)
DISASTER PREP				SM	297		504	(476)
		DS MAINTENANCE/STO		SM	743	1,2	292	(960)
		SICAL SECURITY STAN	NDARDS	SM	2,262		11	(25)
SUPPORTING FAC	ILIIE	28						1,040
UTILITIES PAVEMENTS				LS				(180)
SITE IMPROVEM	(TEXTOC	,		LS				(555)
				LS				(195)
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT AT/FP SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS				(60)
SUBTOTAL			LS				(_50)	
CONTINGENCY (5%)			1				6,200	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								310
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)			1				6,510 391	
TOTAL REQUEST	11.01	IOIT THE OTERRICAD (070)			ĺ		6,901
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUN	NDED)						6,900
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)						0,500		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: New construction: Single-story steel-framed structure with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry veneer exterior with exterior insulation and finish system accents and standing seam metal roof. Architectural style to match existing facilities. Includes: utility support, pavements, site improvements, fire protection, communication connection and support.

Air Conditioning: 88 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 3,470 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: Civil Engineer Complex (New Mission).

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: This project supports the new mission at Buckley Air Force Base (AFB). A Civil Engineer (CE) compound is required to support the 140th Wing, Colorado Air National Guard (ANG) mission. The ANG requires a properly sized and configured facility for CE operations, including management of construction, repair, and maintenance and wartime training. Functional areas include administration, training, maintenance shops, and storage. The active duty and ANG will jointly use the shops and storage area.

CURRENT SITUATION: Air Force Space Command became the host at Buckley AFB October 2001 and the active duty, 460th Civil Engineer, will take possession of the existing 140th Civil Engineer Complex. Prior to October 2001, the ANG Civil Engineers provided host CE support to the active duty Air Force at Buckley ANG Base. This change was required due to the large growth in facilities supporting the new mission at Buckley AFB. The existing complex was better located and configured to meet the active duty requirements. However, the existing ANG Civil Engineering Complex's size could not accommodate both active duty base engineer functions and the ANG training functions and expanding the existing complex is not cost effective. Active duty civil engineers provide operations and maintenance (O&M) for facilities, utilities systems, and pavements throughout the base. Guard civil engineers retain O&M responsibility for the airfield facilities, runway, taxiways, control tower,

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE							
ANG	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA (computer generated)	TA February 2003						
3. INSTALLATION A	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							
DUCKLEY AIR FOR	DUCHI EV AID DODGE DAGE GOVERAN							
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO								
5. PROJECT TITLE		7. PROJECT NUMBER						
CIVIL ENGINEER CO	OMPLEX	CRWI 10/3000						

munitions, F-16 operations and maintenance, and support facilities. The comprehensive base master plan highlighted the need for a facility swap. There are no adequately sized and sited facilities on base where the ANG Civil Engineers can be located. As a result, the Air Force should construct new facilities for the 140th ANG Civil Engineers. To ensure maximum operational efficiency, the new ANG Civil Engineer Complex will be located close to their support area. The U.S. Air Force and the State of Colorado entered into a formalized license prior to active duty occupying the Colorado ANG Civil Engineer Complex facilities. In accordance with Air Force Instruction 32-1012, paragraph 1.2, and DODI 1225.8 the active duty must provide a replacement facility for the ANG Civil Engineer work force to work and train.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The ANG Civil Engineers cannot completely vacate the space in the existing Civil Engineer Compound (Building 1005) to allow for the consolidation of the active duty civil engineer work force. Without a new civil engineering complex from which the 140th Civil Engineers can operate from, the unit cannot efficiently provide O&M support for their facilities, utility systems, or pavements as licensed. As a result, the 460th ABW and the host ability to support the mission will be significantly curtailed. Attempting to conduct civil engineer functions from scattered and overcrowded facilities results in inefficient operations and inadequate support to critical space missions for both units.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: The terrorist threat has been assessed and this facility meets the standoff distance requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction, leasing) was done. It indicates that only one option, new construction, will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in ANG AFI 32-1002, and ANG Programming Handbook "ANG Facility Space Authorization", as well as, AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements".

BASE ENGINEER ADMINISTRATION AREA	929 SM - 10,000 SF
BASE ENGINEER SHOP AREA	1,036 SM - 11,151 SF
COLD STORAGE AREA	465 SM - 5,005 SF
DISASTER PREPAREDNESS	297 SM - 3,197 SF
PAVEMENTS GROUNDS MAINTENANCE/STORAGE	743 SM - 7,998 SF
AT/FP MINIMUM PHYSICAL SECURITY STANDARDS	2,262 SM – 24,348 SF

MPONENT		2. DATE
ANG	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	ΓA February 2003
	AND LOCATION	1 Columny 2000
THE AND EOD	577:57 GOV 07:70	
ECTIFILE		7. PROJECT NUMBER
ENGINEER CO	OMPLEX	CRWU043009
UPPLEMENT	AL DATA:	
stimated Desig	n Data:	
) Status:		
		JUN 2002
(b) Paramet	ric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
		35%
		AUG 2002
		DEC 2003 TRADITIONAL
		YES
2) Basis:		
(a) Standard	d or Definitive Design -	NO
(b) Where I	Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
		(\$000)
		400
	er Design Costs	200
` '		600
		600
·) Contract Aw	vard (Month/Year)	APR 2004
) Construction	ı Start	MAY 2004
`	~	
(i) Construction	a Completion	MAY 2005
	EY AIR FORGET TITLE ENGINEER CO UPPLEMENTA stimated Desig) Status: (a) Date Do (b) Paramet (c) Percent (d) Date 35 (e) Date Do (f) Type of (g) Energy) Basis: (a) Standard (b) Where I) Total Cost (c) (a) Producti (b) All Othe (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-Hous) Contract Aw	ANG (computer generated) FALLATION AND LOCATION LEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO ECT TITLE ENGINEER COMPLEX UPPLEMENTAL DATA: stimated Design Data: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2003 (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Type of Design Contract (g) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs

POINT OF CONTACT: MR. STEVEN E. ROSNER (301) 836-8186

								*
1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE
		FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
ANG			uter generate				Feb	oruary 2003
3. INSTALLATION	AND :	LOCATION			ROJECT T			
								E STATION/
SIOUX GATEWAY	AIRPO			SECUI	RITY FOR			
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	CT NUN	1BER	8. PROJ		COST(\$000)
							\$6,	091
51411F		130-142	VSS	SB0091	70	<u> </u>		
		9. COST	ESTIMATI	ES				
						UNI	T	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COS	T	(\$000)
FIRE STATION AN	D SEC	CURITY FORCES COMP	LEX	SM	2,992			5,392
FIRE STATION A	AREA			SM	1,997	2,2	249	(4,491)
ALTER SECURIT	гү го	RCES TRAINING AREA		SM	995	8	372	(868)
AT/FP MINIMUN	и РНҮ	SICAL SECURITY STAT	NDARDS	SM	2,992		11	(33)
SUPPORTING FAC	ILITII	ES		}				938
DEMOLITION/A	SBES'	TOS REMOVAL		SM	406	1	110	(45)
UTILITIES				LS				(195)
PAVEMENTS AN	ND AC	CCESS ROADS		LS				(400)
SITE IMPROVEN	MENT	S/SECURITY FENCING		LS				(131)
COMMUNICATI	ONS S	SUPPORT		LS				(87)
	AT/FP SITE IMPROVEMENTS			LS				(80)
SUBTOTAL							6,330	
CONTINGENCY (5%)							317	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								6,647
	SPECT	TION AND OVERHEAD	(6%)	ĺ				399
TOTAL COST								7,046
FY02 INFLATION	SAVI	1GS						-955
TOTAL REQUEST								6,091

10. Description of Proposed Construction: New construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, steel-framed masonry walls built-up roof; interior walls and utilities; exterior access pavements, all utilities and support. Alteration: relocate and upgrade interior walls and relocate and extend utilities. Demolish one building (406 SM) and temporary trailers. Air Conditioning: 315 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,992 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 995 SM PROJECT: KC-135 Fire Crash/Rescue Station/Security Forces Complex (New Mission).

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: This project supports the conversion of one squadron of F-16 aircraft to KC-135 aircraft. The KC-135 aircraft will be arriving on base in May 2003. A fire station is required to support 35 member full-time fire fighters and crash/rescue vehicles. The base requires properly sized and configured facilities for security police operations, including management of the daily base security and wartime training. Functional areas include administration, training, support space, and a vault to store small arms and ammunition.

CURRENT SITUATION: The base is scheduled to receive additional fire fighting personnel and larger vehicles to support the KC-135 aircraft. Based on the current F-16 mission, the unit is authorized 24 fire fighters. With the conversion to the new aircraft an additional 11 fire fighters and additional and larger vehicles are authorized. The fire station is grossly undersized to support the new mission. It is only 56 percent of the minimum required space. The building has insufficient vehicle storage and maintenance bays. The building also has insufficient office and training space. The exercise room is small and congested. The bathrooms are small and do not have provisions for female accommodations even though there are a number of female fire fighters assigned to the station. There are insufficient sleeping accommodations for the crews; the existing bunkroom is an open bay type barrack with a small partition separating each bed. There is insufficient storage room. The security police do not have adequate space for operations, administration, training, and weapons storage. The functions are fragmented in various buildings on base. The security police areas contain less than 47 percent of the

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE				
	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA				
ANG	(computer generated)	February 2003				
3. INSTALLATION						
SIOUX GATEWAY AIRPORT, IOWA						
5. PROJECT TITLE	7. PROJECT NUMBER					

KC-135 FIRE CRASH/RESCUE STATION/SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX

VSSB009170

minimum needed space. The location of the security police does not provide proper response time to the flight line. An additional 14 security forces personnel are being assigned to the base, and there is no room. In addition, there is no room to park security police emergency vehicles. The facilities are cramped and restrictive. In the interim until this project is completed, temporary trailers have been provided for the additional fire fighters and for the security police personnel. These areas do not represent a quality work place.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inadequate crash and rescue service. Inadequate training. Vehicles will have to be stored in the open with resultant excessive maintenance. Without the additional bunk space, the fire station cannot be fully manned. Unable to properly respond to a crash or fire incidents. Poor morale and lower efficiency. Higher operating costs. Recruiting and training are adversely impacted. The grossly inadequate facilities degrade mission readiness and could cause personnel injury. Continued fragmented security operations. Degradation of services and security provided to the base. Low unit morale. Loss of training opportunities.

ADDITIONAL: The terrorist threat has been assessed and this facility meets the standoff distance requirements. However, because the fire station is "inhabited" (sleep areas), a minimum level of protection has been added to the construction standards. The following building will be demolished as a result of this project: 275 (406 SM) and the trailers. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements" and is in compliance with the base master plan. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the cost efficient over the life of the project.

FIRE STATION AREA 1,997 SM - 21,495 SF ALTER SECURITY FORCES TRAINING AREA 995 SM - 10,710 SF AT/FP MINIMUM PHYSICAL SECURITY STANDARDS 2,992 SM - 32,206 SF

1. C	OMPONENT	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	2. DATE					
	ANG	(computer generated)	February 2003					
3. Iì	ISTALLATION	AND LOCATION						
SIOI	JX GATEWAY .	AIRPORT, IOWA						
	5. PROJECT TITLE 7. PROJECT NUMB							
KC-	135 FIRE CRASI	H/RESCUE STATION/SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX	VSSB009170					
12.	SUPPLEMENT	ΓAL DATA:						
a.	Estimated Desig	gn Data:						
	(1) Status:							
	(a) Date D	Design Started	MAR 2002					
	(b) Parame	etric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES					
		at Complete as of Jan 2003	35%					
		5% Designed	AUG 2002 DEC 2003					
		Design Complete of Design Contract	TRADITIONAL					
		y Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES					
	(2) Basis:							
	(a) Standar	ard or Definitive Design -	NO					
		e Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A					
	(3) Total Cost	(c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)					
		ction of Plans and Specifications	675					
	(b) All Oth	her Design Costs	0					
	(c) Total		675					
	(d) Contra		675					
	(e) In-Hou	ise	0					
	(4) Contract A	ward (Month/Year)	MAY 2004					
	(5) Construction	on Start	JUN 2004					
	(6) Construction	on Completion	JUN 2005					
b.	Equipment assoc	ciated with this project will be provided from other appropriation	ns: N/A					

POINT OF CONTACT: MR. STEVEN E. ROSNER

(301) 836-8186

1. COMPONENT	**************************************					2. DATE
ļ	FY 2004 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTIC	ON PRO	JECT DA	TA	
ANG	(comp	uter generated	d)			February 2003
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
CAMP SHELBY AIR-TO-GROUND RANGE, MISSISSIPPI C-17 ASSAULT RUNWAY						
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	T NUM	BER	8. PROJ	ECT COST(\$000)
						\$7,409
54121F 116-116 CYPR999111						
9. COST ESTIMATES						

J. COST ESTIMATE	5			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
C-17 ASSAULT RUNWAY	SM	70,667		6,762
SHORTFIELD RUNWAY/OVERRUNS/TURN AROUNDS	SM	39,899	86	(3,431)
PARKING APRON AND TAXIWAY	SM	19,481	75	(1,461)
PAVED SHOULDERS	SM	11,287	11	(124)
FIRE STATION AREA WITH CONTROL TOWER	SM	474	1,994	(945)
AIRFIELD/RAMP LIGHTING	LS			(796)
ANTI TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	474	11	(5)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				833
SITE IMPROVEMENTS/UTILITIES/COMM	LS			(251)
ACCESS ROAD/LIGHTING/PAVEMENTS	LS			(152)
PERIMETER FENCE	LS			(430)
SUBTOTAL	1			7,595
CONTINGENCY (5%)				380
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,975
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				<u>479</u>
TOTAL COST				8,454
FY02 INFLATION SAVINGS				-1,045

10. Description of Proposed Construction: New construction: Construct a paved short field assault runway for C-17 aircraft. Includes paved runway and overruns, paved turnarounds and parking area, airfield lighting, crash/rescue station, fenced storage area, site development and drainage, security fence, access road, utilities, and all necessary support. Construct a crash/rescue station, reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, steel-framed masonry walls built-up roof; interior walls and utilities; exterior access pavements, all utilities and support.

Air Conditioning: 70 KW.

TOTAL REQUEST

11. REQUIREMENT: 84,520 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 SM PROJECT: C-17 Assault Runway (New Mission).

REQUIREMENT: Construct a short field assault runway at Camp Shelby with an associated crash/rescue facility to support the 172nd Airlift Wing, Jackson International Airport (IAP), MS. The concept of operation for the C-17 requires that crews be able to train in an environment and on austere facilities that are expected to be available in a foreign deployed area. The project satisfies the requirement to provide adequate and realistic proficiency training by simulating the type of field conditions that C-17 crews may encounter at forward operating locations. This type of training is mandatory by Air Mobility Command training standards. The unit will receive C-17 aircraft starting in early FY 2004. The short field assault runway includes a paved short field runway 4,100 feet long by 90 feet wide including overruns, 10 foot wide paved shoulders, paved turnarounds area at both ends, parking apron and combat off-load area, parking for two C-17, runway lighting, crash/rescue station with control cab, fenced storage area, access road and utilities.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: There are no airfield facilities available at Jackson IAP to support this vital mission requirement. Due to operational requirements of this civilian airport, the existing runways cannot be used for assault landing training. There is no available land or airspace for construction of an assault-landing zone at Jackson IAP. There are no available runways at any of the civilian airports or military airfields within a reasonable distance of Jackson IAP. Hagler Field, the existing airfield at

7.409

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	PATA
ANG	(computer generated)	February 2003
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	
CAMP SHELBY AIR	R-TO-GROUND RANGE, MISSISSIPPI	
5. PROJECT TITLE		7. PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 ASSAULT RUN	NWAY	CYPR999111
0 01 11 11	1	1 , 1

Camp Shelby, is inadequate for use as an assault-landing zone. The runway has severe operational restrictions due to the artillery impact zone located off the end of the runway. The restrictions are unacceptable for the proposed C-17 mission.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If an operationally viable short field assault strip is not provided within a reasonable distance of Jackson IAP, C-17 aircrews will be unable to maintain proficiency in short field takeoffs and landings in an efficient manner. Training opportunities will be lost and higher operating costs. Aircrews flying hours and aircraft flying time will be expended with no benefits in training.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Antiterrorism/Force Protection requirements have been considered in the development of this project, and do not apply. This project meets the scope/criteria in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternatives were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exemption has been prepared.

SHORTFIELD RUNWAY/OVERRUNS/TURN AROUNDS	39,899 SM – 429,469 SF
PARKING APRON AND TAXIWAY	19,481 SM – 209,692 SF
PAVED SHOULDERS	11,287 SM – 121,492 SF
FIRE STATION AREA WITH CONTROL TOWER	474 SM - 5,102 SF
ANTI TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	474 SM - 5,102 SF

1. CON	MPONENT	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ГЛ	2. DATE
	ANG	(computer generated)	171	February 2003
3. INST	FALLATION	AND LOCATION		
CAMP	CLIET DV AID	R-TO-GROUND RANGE, MISSISSIPPI		
	JECT TITLE		7 PROII	ECT NUMBER
3.110.	Let Hill		7.11031	SOT IVOIMBBIL
C-17 A	SSAULT RUN	WWAY	C	YPR999111
12 6	I IDDI EMENIT	SAL DATA.		
12. S	UPPLEMENT	AL DATA:		
a. E	stimated Desig	gn Data:		
(1	l) Status:			
(Design Started		APR 2002
		etric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		YES
		t Complete as of Jan 2003		35%
		5% Designed		AUG 2002
		esign Complete		JAN 2004
		f Design Contract	TRA	ADITIONAL
	(g) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed		NO
(2	2) Basis:			
	(a) Standar	rd or Definitive Design -		NO
1	(b) Where	Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3	R) Total Cost ((c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(-		tion of Plans and Specifications		500
		ner Design Costs		250
	(c) Total	ici Design Costs		750
	(d) Contra	ct		750 750
	(e) In-Hou			100
(4	l) Contract Av	ward (Month/Year)		APR 2004
(5	5) Constructio	on Start		MAY 2004
(6	6) Constructio	on Completion		JUN 2005
b. Eq	uipment assoc	iated with this project will be provided from other appropriations	s:	N/A
				İ
POIN	T OF CONTA	CT: MAJ CRAIG S. BRADFORD (301) 836-8083		
1		(-0.) 000 0000		

1 601 (001)								
1. COMPONENT							DATE	
ANG	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
ANG (computer generated)					Fe	bruary 2003		
3. INSTALLATION A	AND	LOCATION			PROJECT			
OHONGET OTATE A	ID DO	DT DIIODTIGI WID			ACE COM	-		CRAFT
QUONSET STATE A					TENANCE	COMPL	EX	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	CT NUN	/IBER	8. PROJ	ECT	COST(\$000)
5.500.CD								
55296F		211-157	TW	LR9496	61		\$18	3,500
		9. COST	ESTIMATI	ES				
						UNI	T	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	r cos	T	(\$000)
		MAINTENANCE COMPL		SM	6,633			11,924
		D/ WEAPONS SHOP ARI	EA	SM	1,384	1,	862	(2,577)
AVIONICS/ASE N				SM	1,384	1,	798	(2,488)
		PERATIONS/TRAINING	AREA	SM	1,329		798	(2,390)
TELECOM AND A				SM	1,217		991	(2,423)
AERIAL PORT TE			TD 1 D D C	SM	1,319	1,4	196	(1,973)
		URITY MINIMUM STAN	NDARDS	SM	6,633		11	(73)
SUPPORTING FACI UTILITIES	LILLE	22		LS				4,676
	ENITE	S/SPECIAL FOUNDATIO	NIC	LS		ĺ		(1,360)
		TS AND ACCESS PAVE		LS				(950)
DEMOLITION/AS			MENIS	LS	C 070			(340)
COMMUNICATION				SM	6,870		161	(1,106)
				LS LS				(125)
AT/FP SITE IMPROVEMEMENTS AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON				LS				(135)
SUBTOTAL				LS				(<u>660)</u> 16,600
CONTINGENCY (5%)								830
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								$\frac{-850}{17,430}$
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)								1,046
TOTAL REQUEST		•	,					18,476
TOTAL REQUEST (I	ROUN	VDED)						18,500

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Existing construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab on pile foundations. Insulated metal panels with limited masonry wainscot. Steel framing with standing seam metal roof. Conveyor systems, overhead cranes and associated utility systems to support specific functions. Provide parking lots, exterior utilities, fire protection, communications and site improvements. Install and or extend new utility system including water, storm sewer, sanitary sewer, electricity, gas and communications support. Demolish one building at 6,870 SM. Remove the foundation, utility lines, clean the soil and provide aircraft parking apron over it.

11. REQUIREMENT: 6,633 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 6,870 SM PROJECT: Replace Composite Aircraft Maintenance Complex (Current Mission).

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: The base requires an adequately sized, properly configured space and properly sited buildings to support one squadron of C-130 aircraft. Adequate space is required for the engine shop, non-destructive inspection (NDI) shop, avionics shop, electronic countermeasures (ECM) pod shop and storage, munitions management, aerial port training, security police, telecommunications and aircraft ground equipment shop and storage. A portion of the project will construct facilities on land that was recently added to the lease. This area has no utility support and requires the construction of a complete infrastructure system to include, security fencing, electricity, water, storm and sanitary sewers, gas, communication system, fire protection and antiterrorism and force protection.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: The aircraft maintenance shops (engine, NDI and avionics), aerial port, aerospace support equipment and security force functional areas are currently housed in a maintenance hangar and adjacent shops. The Communication function is scattered throughout other base facilities. The aircraft maintenance hangar was constructed in 1941 for Naval use and a different type of aircraft.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE			
	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	Γ DATA			
ANG	(computer generated)	February 2003			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION					
QUONSET STATE A	IRPORT, RHODE ISLAND				
5. PROJECT TITLE		7. PROJECT NUMBER			
REPLACE COMPOS	ITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	TWLR949661			

The Navy left the base in the early 70's and the Air National Guard moved in. The hangar, located within the aircraft-parking apron, is over 60 years of age and has exceeded its useful and economic life. The structure and its component parts are deteriorating faster than it can be repaired. The hangar floor is sinking due to the failure of the supporting foundation. The building is located in a soil filled area near the bay. The settlement has severely affected the aircraft doors, windows, personnel doors and plumbing, and roof structure. The internal systems such as electrical, heating, cooling, and fire suppression systems are failing at an ever-increasing rate due to their age. The facility has numerous severe fire, safety and health hazards. The avionics/ECM pod shops are in spaces that are 24 percent of their authorized minimums. The engine/NDI, aerial port training, aerospace support equipment shops and security are located in spaces 20-40 percent short of their authorized minimums as well. The aerial port does not have a parachute-drying tower. The aerospace support equipment (ASE) building is also failing faster than it can be repaired. This project is sited adjacent to related aircraft maintenance activities with easy access to the flight line for maximize organizational efficiency. The base is currently converting to C-130 J model, which is 15 feet longer than a standard C-130. This requires a larger parking apron. Upon demolishing of the hangar structure, the area will be paved and it will become an extension of the parking apron. The security police and communication complex will be constructed on newly acquired land. This 16-acre tract was recently obtained from the airport authority at no cost to the federal government. This project will extend the utility systems in the new area and size them for the planned facilities in accordance with the approved base long and short range master plan. The base has bad soils and subbase materials, consequently all construction will require building foundations on pile and the removal of the bad soil.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base is unable to reach full operational capability. Readiness is degraded. Training and maintenance time is lost. Energy costs remain high in this northern coastal climate. Lack of space, proper supervisory controls, and outdated facilities/systems will adversely affect the maintenance. Poor quality of life affects the morale, recruiting and retention. Accept the risk of severe health and safety and fire hazards.

ADDITIONAL: This facility is an "inhabited" building and meets the standoff distance requirements. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the AF Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements" and is in compliance with the base master plan. There is minimal threat and the level of protection is low so minimum construction standards have been applied. Upon completion of this project, the existing maintenance hangar will be demolished, building 13 (6,870 SM). An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the cost efficient over the life of the project. This is the last of a phase of a three-phased effort to replicate the hangar and shops. The initial phase was added by Congress in FY 2001. The second phase was included in the FY 2002 Budget Request. Each phase is a stand-alone effort.

1. C	OMPONENT	FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	2. DATE
	ANG	(computer generated)	February 2003
3. IN	ISTALLATION	AND LOCATION	
QUO	NSET STATE A	AIRPORT, RHODE ISLAND	
5. PR	OJECT TITLE		7. PROJECT NUMBER
REPI	LACE COMPOS	ITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	TWLR949661
2.	SUPPLEMENT	'AL DATA:	
a.	Estimated Desig	ın Data:	
	(1) Status:		
		Design Started etric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	JUN 2001
	(c) Percent	t Complete as of Jan 2003	NO 35%
		5% Designed	DEC 2002
		esign Complete	JAN 2004
		Design Contract	TRADITIONAL
	(g) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES
	(2) Basis:		
		d or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where	Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
	(3) Total Cost (f(c) = f(a) + f(b) or $f(d) + f(e)$:	(\$000)
		tion of Plans and Specifications	1,100
		ner Design Costs	500
	(c) Total		1,600
	(d) Contrac		1,600
	(e) In-Hous	se	
	(4) Contract Av	ward (Month/Year)	APR 2004
	(5) Construction	n Start	MAY 2004
	(6) Construction	n Completion	DEC 2005
b. 1	Equipment associ	iated with this project will be provided from other appropriations	s: N/A

POINT OF CONTACT: MR. SCOTT MULHOLLAND, PE (301) 836-7130

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

APPROPRIATION: MILITARY CONSTRUCTION -- AIR NATIONAL GUARD

PROGRAM 313: PLANNING AND DESIGN \$16,030,000

PART I -- PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The funds estimated in this program are to provide financing for project planning and design of the construction requirements for the Air National Guard

PART II -- JUSTIFICATION OF FUNDS REQUESTED

The funds required for Planning and Design will provide for establishing project construction design of the facilities and for fully evaluating each designed project in terms of technical adequacy and estimated costs.

1. COMPONENT						2.	DATE	
		FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
ANG			uter generat				F	ebruary 2003
3. INSTALLATION	AND I	LOCATION		4. F	ROJECT	TITLE		
TANDIOLIGI OCATIO	 10			DI AND	NITNICE ANIT	DEGICA	LT.	
VARIOUS LOCATION					NING ANI			GO GT (0000)
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	CT NUN	ABER	8. PROJ	ECT	COST(\$000)
55296F		999-999	AA	AA0400	001		\$16	,030
		9. COST	ESTIMAT	ES				
			·			UNI		COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTIT	r cos	T	(\$000)
PLANNING AND D	ESIG1	N (P-313)		LS				16,030
SUBTOTAL TOTAL CONTRAC	ፐ ሶርር	ידי		1				16,030 16,030
TOTAL CONTRAC	I COS	51						16,030
TOTAL REQUEST								10,030
				[

10. Description of Proposed Construction: The funds requested will provide for the architectural and engineering services necessary to fully evaluate each project's technical adequacy and estimated cost, and complete final design of facilities. In addition, the funds are required to prepare working drawings, specifications, and project reports for the design of construction projects to be included in future Air National Guard (ANG) Military Construction (MILCON) Programs.

11. REQUIREMENT: As Required

PROJECT: Planning and Design

REQUIREMENT: The ANG needs planning and design funds for projects that are to be included in future MILCON programs including the C-5 beddown at Martinsburg, WV, and Memphis, TN. The FY 2004 design funds are needed to complete the design for those projects that are to be included in the FY 2005 MILCON program and to begin the design for those projects to be included in the FY 2006 program. Funds also provide for design of the FY 2004 unspecified minor construction program. CURRENT SITUATION: The ANG requires the design money in FY 2004 to ensure the design milestones for the FY 2005 and FY 2006 MILCON Programs, as mandated by Department of Defense (DOD) Instruction 1225.8, are met. A portion of these funds will design the C-5 conversion projects in FY 2005/2006.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The ANG will not be able to effectively administer future year MILCON programs. Insufficient design funds will translate into late design completion, later construction starts, higher construction costs, and the inability to meet DOD and Congressionally mandated execution rates, and degrade the operational mission and training by the delays in construction completion.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

APPROPRIATION: MILITARY CONSTRUCTION -- AIR NATIONAL GUARD

PROGRAM 341: UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION \$5,500,000

PART I -- PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The funds estimated in this program are to provide financing for new construction and alteration projects having cost estimates over \$750,000 but not exceeding \$1,500,000, which are not otherwise authorized by law.

PART II -- JUSTIFICATION OF FUNDS REQUESTED

The funds required for Unspecified Minor Construction will finance projects for which the urgency is such that they could not be included in the regular Military Construction Program for the Air National Guard, and such that they exceed the minor construction authorization limit in the Operation and Maintenance Appropriation.

1. COMPONENT 2.	DATE						
FY 2004 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
ANG (computer generated) F	February 2003						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
VARIOUS LOCATIONS UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONS	STRUCTION						
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT	COST(\$000)						
55296F 999-999 AAAA030002 \$5	5,500						
9. COST ESTIMATES							
UNIT	COST						
ITEM U/M QUANTITY COST	(\$000)						
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (P-341) LS	5,500						
SUBTOTAL	5,500						
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	5,500						
TOTAL REQUEST	5,500						

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provides funding for unspecified minor construction projects not otherwise authorized by law and having a funded cost between \$750,000 and \$1,500,000. Projects include construction, alteration, or conversion of permanent or temporary facilities. The Secretary of the Air Force has the authority to approve projects of this nature under the provisions of 10 U. S. Code 18233a and 10 U. S. Code 2805.

11. REQUIREMENT: As Required

PROJECT: Unspecified Minor Construction Program

REQUIREMENT: This program provides the means of accomplishing urgent, unforeseen projects costing over \$750,000, but not exceeding \$1,500,000. The project requirements are anticipated to arise during late FY 2003 or FY 2004, and would be needed to satisfy critical, urgent mission beddowns and weapon system conversions, or to meet serious and urgent health, safety, and environmental requirements. The late identification of these requirements prevents their inclusion in the FY 2004 MILCON program and the projects cannot wait for the FY 2005 program. The requested funds are not a percent of the budget, but are based on historical trends. Routine and non-urgent projects are not funded from this account.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: As in the recent past, it is expected that the Air Force will continue to transfer missions and force structure into the ANG. These aircraft conversions and beddowns generate facility requirements that are often late-to-need using normal MILCON programming avenues. The urgency of the required projects is driven by the arrival of new aircraft and equipment, or the need to eliminate immediate health, safety or environmental requirements.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u>: Unable to adequately support mission conversions and beddowns. More expensive workarounds will have to be used. Formal reprogramming is the only other option available, however, funds may not be available for these reprogrammings.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

67	ECTION III	
S .	ECTION III	

INSTALLATION DATA

1. COMPONENT ANG	1	UARD AND RESERVE RY CONSTRUCTION		2. DATE February 2	003
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION					ONSTR DEX
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO 1.02					
5. FREQUENCY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION Twelve monthly assemblies per year, 15 days annual field training per year, daily use by technician/AGR force and					
for training.					
	/E/GUARD/RESERVE INSTA 3 Miles; Navy, Marines, Coast				l Guard
	Facility, Aurora - 0.5 miles; and			army reactional	Guard
7 PROJECTS RE	QUESTED IN THIS PROGRA	M: FY 2004			
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	<u>\$(000)</u>	START	<u>CMPL</u>
219-944 Civil	Engineer Complex	3,470 SM (37,350 SF)	6,900	Jun 02	Dec 03
	RVE FORCES FACILITIES BO d in item 6 have been examined			rd for nossibl	e ioint
	e Board recommendations are:			16 Aug 00	c joint
				(Date)	
				N. I.	
9. LAND ACQUI	SITION REQUIRED		(Nu	None mber of Acre	- s)
	LANNED IN NEXT FOUR YE	EARS			
CATEGORY CODE	PROJECT TITLE		SCC	PF	COST \$(000)
CODE	TROJECT TITLE		<u>500</u>	<u>ALD</u>	φ(σσσ)
R&	M Unfunded Requirement: \$5	,186,000			
·					
1					

1. COMPONENT	FY 2004 GUARD AND RESERVE	2. DATE
ANG 3. INSTALLATION AN	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION D LOCATION	February 2003

BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO

11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 08 Aug 02

	PERMANENT		G	UARD/RESI	ERVE		
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	<u>CIVILIAN</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	359	43	316	0	1,108	160	948
ACTUAL	332	34	298	0	1,099	157	942

12. RESERVE UNIT DATA

	STI	RENGTH
UNIT DESIGNATION	AUTHORIZED	<u>ACTUAL</u>
120 Fighter Squadron	37	38
120 Weather Flight	20	18
140 Aircraft Generation Squadron	175	165
140 Civil Engineering Squadron	93	95
140 Communication Flight	42	42
140 Logistics Group	20	20
140 Logistics Support Group	111	108
140 Logistics Support Flight	34	38
140 Medical Squadron	77	77
140 Maintenance Squadron	201	195
140 Mission Support Flight	30	27
140 Operations Group	3	3
140 Operations Support Flight	22	22
140 Security Forces Squadron	58	58
140 Support Group	5	5
140 Services Flight	42	42
140 Wing Group	60	64
240 Civil Engineering Flight	39	39
HQ COANG	39	<u>43</u>
TOTALS	1,108	1,099

13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT

TYPE	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	ASSIGNED
F-16 Aircraft	15	17
Support Equipment	442	380
Vehicle Equivalents	428	430
Vehicles		158

14 OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY(OSHA) DEFICIENCIE	S FY 2004
CATEGORY	CST

CATEGORY CODE

DE PROJECT TITLE

<u>SCOPE</u> \$(000)

DESIGN STATUS START CMPL

NONE

1. COMPONENT		ARD AND RESERVE		2. DATE	
ANG		Y CONSTRUCTION		February 20	003
	N AND LOCATION Y AIRPORT, IOWA			4. AREA COST IN	ONSTR DEX
	AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION				
Twenty four month and for training.	ly assemblies per year, 15 days a	annual field training per year	r, daily use by	technician/A	GR force
	E/GUARD/RESERVE INSTAL Guard facility, one Naval Reser				
	QUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM				
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	PROJECT TITLE		COST <u>\$(000)</u>	DESIGN S START	STATUS CMPL
	35 Fire Crash/Rescue tion/Security Forces Complex	2,992 SM (32,210 SF)	7,046	Mar 02	Dec 04
acilities identified	VE FORCES FACILITIES BOA in item 6 have been examined by Board recommendations are: U	y the State Reserve Forces I	Facilities Boar	rd for possible 9 Dec 01 (Date)	e joint
Facilities identified use/expansion. The	in item 6 have been examined by Board recommendations are: U	y the State Reserve Forces I	Facilities Boar	9 Dec 01 (Date)	e joint
Facilities identified use/expansion. The	in item 6 have been examined be Board recommendations are: U	y the State Reserve Forces F Inilateral Construction Appr	Facilities Boar roved <u>1</u>	9 Dec 01	
Facilities identified use/expansion. The	in item 6 have been examined by Board recommendations are: United States of the Board recommendation are united States o	y the State Reserve Forces F Inilateral Construction Appr RS	Facilities Boar roved <u>1</u>	9 Dec 01 (Date) None mber of Acres	COST \$(000)
Cacilities identified ise/expansion. The control of	in item 6 have been examined by Board recommendations are: United the Board recommendation are:	y the State Reserve Forces F Inilateral Construction Appr RS	Facilities Boar roved <u>1</u> (Nun	9 Dec 01 (Date) None mber of Acres	COST
O. LAND ACQUIS O. PROJECTS PL CATEGORY CODE 214-425	in item 6 have been examined by Board recommendations are: United the Board recommendation are: United the Board recommendation are: United the Board recommendation are: United the Board recommendations are: United the Board recommendation are: Unit	y the State Reserve Forces F Inilateral Construction Appr RS	Facilities Boar roved <u>1</u> (Nun	9 Dec 01 (Date) None mber of Acres	COST \$(000)
Cacilities identified ise/expansion. The control of	in item 6 have been examined by Board recommendations are: United the Board recommendation are: United the Board recommendation are: United the Board recommendation are: United the Board recommendations are: United the Board recommendation are: Unit	y the State Reserve Forces F Inilateral Construction Appr RS	Facilities Boar roved <u>1</u> (Nun	9 Dec 01 (Date) None mber of Acres	COST \$(000)

1. COMPONENT	FY 2004 GUARD AND RESERVE	2. DATE
ANG	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	February 2003
2 DIOTALLATION	LAND LOCATION	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

SIOUX GATEWAY AIRPORT, IOWA

11. PERSONNEL STRENGTH AS OF 14 Jun 02

	PERMANENT TOTAL OFFICER ENLISTED CIVILIAN				GUARD/RESERV		ERVE	
				<u>CIVILIAN</u>	$\underline{\mathbf{T}}$	OTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED	328	29	299	0		994	91	903
ACTUAL	287	29	258	0		914	95	819

12. RESERVE UNIT DATA

	STRENGTH	
<u>UNIT DESIGNATION</u>	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL
174 Fighter Squadron	41	43
185 Aircraft Generation Squadron	175	131
185 Civil Engineering Squadron	93	93
185 Communication Flight	45	49
185 Fighter Wing	56	62
185 Logistics Group	20	16
185 Logistics Squadron	111	113
185 Logistics Support Group	34	23
185 Medical Squadron	60	58
185 Maintenance Squadron	197	135
185 Mission Support Flight	30	34
185 Operations Group	3	2
185 Operations Support Flight	22	25
185 Security Forces Squadron	73	91
185 Support Group	5	4
185 Services Flight	29	<u>35</u>
TOTALS	994	914

13. MAJOR EQUIPMENT AND AIRCRAFT

<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	ASSIGNED
F-16 Aircraft	15	18
KC-135 Aircraft	8	
Number of Vehicles	97	116
Support Equipment	324	324
Vehicle Equivalents	91	95

14 OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY(OSH.	A) DEFICIENCIES FY	2004

CATEGORY			CST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	<u>\$(000)</u>	START CMPL

NONE

	FY 2004	GUARD AND RESERVE		2. DATE	
ANG		February 2			
3. INSTALLATION	4. AREA COST IN				
	IR-TO-GROUND RANGE,			.87	. ·
	ND TYPE OF UTILIZATIO				
Training Range - no	personnel or equipment assi	igned.			
	E/GUARD/RESERVE INST rd Training Range and Air N			JS	
Allily National Gua	iu Training Range and An IV	ational Guard Air to Groun	iu Kange.		
7. PROJECTS REC	UESTED IN THIS PROGR	AM: FY 2004	COST	DEGLON	OT A TI IO
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST \$(000)	<u>DESIGN:</u> START	CMPL
<u> </u>	TROJECT TITEE	500113	<u>Φ(000)</u>	<u>DIFFICE</u>	<u>OIII B</u>
116-116 C-17	Assault Runway	70,667 SM (84,520	SY) 8,454	Apr 02	Jan 04
	VE FORCES FACILITIES B				
Facilities identified	in item 6 have been examine	ed by the State Reserve Ford	ces Facilities Bo		e joint
Facilities identified		ed by the State Reserve Ford	ces Facilities Bo	10 Dec 01	e joint
Facilities identified	in item 6 have been examine	ed by the State Reserve Ford	ces Facilities Bo		e joint
Facilities identified	in item 6 have been examine	ed by the State Reserve Ford	ces Facilities Bo	10 Dec 01	e joint
Facilities identified use/expansion. The	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are	ed by the State Reserve Ford	ces Facilities Bo	10 Dec 01 (Date)	e joint
Facilities identified	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are	ed by the State Reserve Ford	ces Facilities Bo	10 Dec 01 (Date)	
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED	ed by the State Reserve Force: Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	10 Dec 01 (Date)	
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are	ed by the State Reserve Force: Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	10 Dec 01 (Date)	;)
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED	ed by the State Reserve Force: Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	10 Dec 01 (Date)	s) COST
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y	ed by the State Reserve Force: Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	;)
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL. CATEGORY CODE	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y	ed by the State Reserve Ford : Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	s) COST
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL. CATEGORY CODE	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y PROJECT TITLE	ed by the State Reserve Ford : Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	s) COST
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL. CATEGORY CODE	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y PROJECT TITLE	ed by the State Reserve Ford : Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	s) COST
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL. CATEGORY CODE	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y PROJECT TITLE	ed by the State Reserve Ford : Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	s) COST
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL. CATEGORY CODE	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y PROJECT TITLE	ed by the State Reserve Ford : Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	s) COST
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL. CATEGORY CODE	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y PROJECT TITLE	ed by the State Reserve Ford : Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	s) COST
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL. CATEGORY CODE	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y PROJECT TITLE	ed by the State Reserve Ford : Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	s) COST
Facilities identified use/expansion. The 9. LAND ACQUIS 10. PROJECTS PL. CATEGORY CODE	in item 6 have been examine Board recommendations are ITION REQUIRED ANNED IN NEXT FOUR Y PROJECT TITLE	ed by the State Reserve Ford : Unilateral Construction A	ces Facilities Bo	None Sumber of Acres	s) COST

1. COMPONENT ANG		004 GUARD AND RES LITARY CONSTRUCT		2. DATE February 2003
	ON AND LOCATION	LITART CONSTRUCT	ION	rebluary 2003
CAMP SHELBY	AIR-TO-GROUND RAN	GE MISSISSIPPI		
	L STRENGTH AS OF	GL, WIGGIGGH I		
	PERM	ANENT	GUA	RD/RESERVE
	TOTAL OFFICER E	NLISTED CIVILIAN	TOTAL O	FFICER ENLISTED
AUTHORIZED ACTUAL	$egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array}$	$egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0	$egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
ACTUAL	0 0	0 0	Ü	0
12. RESERVE U	NIT DATA (No personne	el assigned)		
LIMIT D	<u>ESIGNATION</u>		STR AUTHORIZED	ENGTH ACTUAL
ONII D.	<u>LSIGNATION</u>		0	<u>— 0</u>
		TOTALS	0	0
:				
13. MAJOR EQU	JIPMENT AND AIRCRA	FT		
	<u>TYPE</u>	TUA	HORIZED AS	SSIGNED
				
14 OUTSTANDI	NG POLLUTION AND S	AFETY(OSHA) DEFIC	IENCIES FY 2004	
CATEGORY			CST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>\$(000)</u>	START CMPL
NONE				
DD EODM 1200c		i		

1. COMPONE		UARD AND RESERVE		2. DATE February 2						
ANG	ANG MILITARY CONSTRUCTION 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION									
		.		4. AREA COST IN	DEX					
	ATE AIRPORT, RHODE ISLAND CY AND TYPE OF UTILIZATION			1.0	,					
Twelve month	y assemblies per year, 15 days anni	N wal field training per vear	, daily use by tech	nician/AGR	force and					
for training.	y appendict per year, to days and		, ,							
				~						
6. OTHER AC	TIVE/GUARD/RESERVE INSTA	ALLATIONS WITHIN IS	MILES RADIUS	S Air National (Suard Units					
Eight Army Na	tional Guard Units, two Marine Co	orps Reserve, two Navai s	stations, and two	All National C	Juara Omis					
7. PROJECTS	REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRA	M: FY 2004								
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN						
<u>CODE</u>	PROJECT TITLE	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>\$(000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>					
011 157 F	1	((22 CM (71 400	SF) 18,500	Jun 01	Jan 04					
211-157 F	Leplace Composite Aircraft Maintenance Complex	6,633 SM (71,400	Sr) 16,500	Jun or	Jan 07					
	Walitellance Complex									
O CTATE DE	SERVE FORCES FACILITIES BO	AND DECOMMENDA	TION							
	ified in item 6 have been examined			rd for possibl	e ioint					
use/expansion.	The Board recommendations are:	Unilateral Construction	Approved	22 Aug 01	- J					
1				(Date)						
O LANDAC	QUISITION REQUIRED			None						
9. LAND AC	SOISITION KEGOIKED		(Nu	mber of Acre	- s)					
10. PROJECT	S PLANNED IN NEXT FOUR YE	EARS								
CATEGORY					COST					
CODE	PROJECT TITLE		SCO	<u> PE</u>	<u>\$(000)</u>					
	R&M Unfunded Requirement: \$2	2,060,000								
1										

1. COMPONENT	FY 2004 GUARD AND RESERVE	2. DATE
ANG	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	February 2003
3. INSTALLATION AND I		
11. PERSONNEL STRENC		
	PERMANENT	GUARD/RESERVE

		PER	MANENT		GI	GUARD/RESERVE				
	TOTAL	OFFICER	ENLISTED	CIVILIAN	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	ENLISTED			
AUTHORIZED	256	30	226	0	984	139	845			
ACTUAL	243	26	217	0	930	133	797			

12. RESERVE UNIT DATA

	STRE	NGTH
UNIT DESIGNATION	AUTHORIZED	ACTUAL
143 Logistics Squadron	108	108
143 Maintenance Squadron	137	109
143 Security Forces	73	85
143 Mission Support Flight	28	29
143 Operations Support Flight	20	18
143 Services Flight	29	30
143 Aircraft Generation Squadron	63	51
143 Aerial Port Squadron	99	86
143 Airlift Squadron	114	97
143 Communication Flight	44	48
143 Airlift Wing	· 57	48
143 Civil Engineering Squadron	93	104
143 Logistics Support Flight	13	13
143 Logistics Group	10	9
143 Medical Squadron	60	60
143 Support Group	5	6
143 Operations Group	6	6
RI HQ ANG	<u>25</u>	23
TOTALS	984	930

10	1 / 1 TOD	DOLLED COLE	4 3 TF3 4 Y	TO 0 1 TOT
1.4		EOUIPMENT	A N.I.) A E	DI'D A L'I'

<u>TYPE</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	ASSIGNED
C-130E Aircraft	5	9
C-130J-30	3	0
Support Equipment	89	89
Vheicle Equivalents	288	288

14	OUTSTANDING	POLLUTION AND SAFETY	(OSHA) DEFICIENCIE	ES FY 2004		
CA	TEGORY			CST	DESIGN	<u>STATUS</u>
	CODE	PROJECT TITLE	<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>\$(000)</u>	START	CMPL

NONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

SECTION IV

FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PLAN (FYDP)

FISCAL YEAR LISTING

	Footprint		New	New	New	New	New				New	New	New	New	Existing	New	Existing	New	New	New	Existing			
	Explanation of Changes		5,800 New	New	New	6,600 New	New				New	11,000 New	,500 New	(3,365) Moved from FY 05. Partially funded in FY 03	Moved from FY 04	1,500 New	Moved from FY 04	31,293 New	4,150 New	36,000 New	(3,200) Scope Change			
Change from	FY03 PB S000		5,800	33,000 New	23,000 New	6,600	12,000 New	1,448				11,000	1,500	(3.365		1,500		31,293	4,150	36,000	(3.200	9,963		
Budget	Amount \$000		5,800	33,000	23,000	009'9	12,000	5,568	5,500	91,468	5,400	11,000	1,500	12,200	7,000	8,500	14,200	31,293	4,150	36,000	7,400	14,173	5,500	158,316
	Program Element		54119F	54119F	54119F	54119F	55296F	55296F	55296F	Total	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	54119F	54119F	54119F	55296F	55296F	55296F	FY 2006 Total
	Facility Category		149-962	113-321	211-179	141-753	:	:	1	FY 2005 Total	131-111	130-142	131-111	211-157	219-944	130-142	171-445	211-157	171-212	211-111	171-447	-	1	FY 20
	Project Title	 	C-5 Relocate Control Tower	C-5 Parking Apron/Hydrant	C-5 Fuel Cell Hangar	C-5 Squadron Operations	C-5 Planning and Design		Unspecified Minor Construction		Replace Security Forces Operations Facility	Replace Fire Station	Add /Alter Security Forces	Replace Aircraft Maintenance Complex	Replace Base Civil Engineer Complex	Replace Fire Station	Replace Composite Support Complex	C-5 Conversion	C-5 Flight Simulator	C-5 Maintenance Hangar/Shops		Planning and Design	Unspecified Minor Construction	
	Location		WV	AW.	۸ <u>۸</u>	WV	1	1	1		AK	MA	IM	¥	Z	Ž	PA	Z	ΛM	AW.	ΜX	1	١	
	n		0 Martinsburg			0 Martinsburg	П		0 Various	1	0 Ejelson AFB		0 WK Kellogg	3830 Duluth	0 McGuire AFB		0 Fort Indiantown	0 Memphis	0 Martinsburg	1		0 Various	3830 Various	
	4 000		15 3830	3830	_	<u> </u>	3830	<u> </u>	3830	1	3830	3830	3830	L	┖		96 3830	3830	┖	3830		3830	-	
	Comn	ı	ANG 2005	ANG 2005	ANG 2005	L.	ANG 2005	١	ANG 2005		ANG 2006	ANG 2006	ANG 2006	_		1_	ANG 2006	ANG 2006	L.	1	J	ANG 2006	ANG 2006	
L	ى	1	A	\	\ <u>\</u>	\ <u>\</u>	\ <u>\</u>	[\$	[2]	Ā	\	Ą	Ā	4	[2	[2	₹	₹	4	₹	4	4]

New	New	New	New	Existing	New	New	New	New	New			
New	New	Moved from FY 06	800 Minor cost add	,400 Scope Change	,650 Moved from FY 06. Scope Change	600 Moved from FY 06. Scope Change	New	New	New			
4,000 New	6,500 New		800	1,400	1,650	009	34,900 New	23,000	15,526 New	13,455		
4,000	6,500	13,400	6,300	8,500	6,100	000'6	34,900	23,000	15,526	17,755	5,500	150.481
\$5296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	54119F	54119F	54119F	55296F	55296F	FY 2007 Total
000-006	136-667	141-753	218-712	722-351	130-142	730-835	113-321	211-159	111-111		-	FY 200
223 CCS Site Preparation and Utilities	Upgrade Airfield Lighting/Pavements	Replace Operations and Training Facility	Replace Vehicle Maintenance and ASE Complex	Replace Dining Facility	Fire Station	Replace Communications and Security Forces	C-5 Conversion	C-5 Corrosion Control Hangar	C-5 Upgrade and Extend Runway	Planning and Design	Unspecified Minor Construction	
AR	AR	KS	ΓA	¥	Ή	N	2	WV	WV	1		
Hot Springs	Fort Smith	Forbes Field	New Orleans) Aipena) Pease	Reno-Tahoe) Memphis) Martinsburg) Martinsburg) Various	Various	
07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	07 3830	
ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	ANG 2007	

PB Explanation of Changes 4,200 New 11,400 New 10 Moved from FY 06 4,200 New 100 New 1
Explanati New New New Moved fr Moved fr Moved fr Moved fr Moved fr Moved fr
Change from FY03 PB 5000 Expli 4,200 New 11,400 New 13,800 New 100 Mov 4,700 New (9,500) Mov 4,800 New 200 Mov
Budget Amount 5000 11,400 13,800 5,900 4,700 4,800 4,800 13,800
Frogram Element 55296F 55296F 55296F 55296F 55296F 55296F 55296F 55296F
Category 722-351 141-445 141-445 171-450 214-425 211-111 131-111 211-159
Joint ANG/ARNG Dining Hall Replace Operations and Training Replace Pararescuc Training Composite Support Complex Medical Training/Sceurity Police Upgrade Aircraft Maintenance Hangar and Shops Upgrade Aircraft Maintenance Hangar and Shops E-15 Corrossion Control Hangar Replace CRTC Operations and Training Complex
AL AL AL AL AL AL AZ CA CA CA CA CA CA CA
Installation Birmingham Montgomery Stevens Tueson Fresno-Yosemite March ARB Greeley Greeley Jacksonville Savannah
Appn Appn Appn 3 3830 8 3830 8 3830 8 3830 8 3830
Comp FV ANG 2008

	Footprint		Existing	Existing	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	Existing	New	New	Existing	New	New	New	New	New	Existing	Existing	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New			
Change from	FY03 PB Sxnlanation of Changes		5,400 Moved from FY 07. Scope Change	4,000 New	5,000 New		1,500 New	7,550 New	3,000 New		3,750 New	Moved from FY 07	Moved from FY 07	Moved from FY 06	4,500 New	6,200 New	1,000 Moved from FY 07	New	(12,000) Moved from FY 07. Scope Change	7,200 New	1,750 New	7,100 New	Moved from FY 07	(2,000) Moved from FY 07. Scope Change	7,300 New	4,000 New	Moved from FY 07	3,500 New	5,400 New	4,900 New	100 Moved from FY 07	3,284 New	19,020	5,500	
Budget			10,400	4,000	5,000	4,000	1,500	7,550	3,000	4,000	3,750	2,300	5,500	006'9	4,500	6,200	4,650	6,200	13,000	7,200	1,750	7,100	10,200	3,000	7,300	4,000	2,500	3,500	5,400	4,900	4,500	3,284	19,020	5,500	181,604
	Program Flement	Tomori	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	66296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	\$5296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	54119F	55296F	55296F	Total
	Facility	Canegory	215-552	136-661	171-450	171-445	171-443	171-447	442-257	131-165	214-425	141-786	442-758	442-758	171-447	130-142	725-517	131-111	211-111	722-351	442-257	171-445	171-445	171-447	171-158	211-152	725-517	214-425	141-786	211-154	141-753	932-000		_	FY 2009 Total
	Droisos Titla	2011 122(01)	Replace Weapons Release Shop/Load Crew		Joint ANG/ARFC Medical Training	Visitors and ID Complex	Urban Venture	Replace Air Traffic Control Facilities	Relocate Munitions Complex (Phase I)	Force Protection Complex		Mobility Processing Center	Replace Base Supply Complex	Replace Logistics Complex	Replace Communications Training Complex	Replace Fire Station	Replace Troop Training Quarters	Security Police/Weather Flight	Replace Composite Aircraft Maintenance Complex	Replace Dining Hall	Munitions Facility	Replace Support Complex	Replace Operations and Training Complex	Upgrade Facilities	Composite Support Facilities	Upgrade General Purpose Shops	Replace Troop Training Quarters	Replace Vehicle Maintenance Facility	Deployment Training	Upgrade Aircraft Maintenance Complex	Replace Squadron Operations Facility	C-5 Conversion	Planning and Design	Unspecified Minor Construction	
	Location	Lucation	Z	MA	Ā	MI	Σ _N	MO	MS	MT	N	ΔŽ	λN	HO	НО	НО	НО	HO	9K	g	S.	PA	SC	Ϋ́	ΤX	Ϋ́	ΛV	۸۸	VT	WI	MI	ΛM	,		
	A man Tantollotion	Appn installation	3830 Hulman	1	1	П	3830 Minneapolis	П	1		3830 Reno	Г	Т	Т	1	Т	1		T		3830 Klamath Falls		1	3830 Hensley	3830 Fort Worth JRB	3830 Lackland AFB	3830 Camp Pendleton	_	3830 Burlington		3830 Volk Field	3830 Martinsburg	3830 Various	3830 Various	1
	2	1	2009	2009	5000	2009	2009	5009	2009	2009	2009	5009	5000	2009	5009	2009	2009	2009	5000	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	4
		Comp	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	

OTHER PROJECTS NO LONGER IN THE FYDP:

Budget Amount S000 Explanation of Changes	7,100 Deferred - Requirement not defined		5,100 Appropriated in FY 03	12,200 Funded with SRM	1,000 Funded with P-341	7,000 Appropriated in FY 03	5,800 Appropriated in FY 03																1,500 Deferred					12,600 In FY 04 funding request	8,900 Deferred		7,000 Deferred	2,500 Deferred - funded partially with P-341	12,000 Appropriated in FY 03
Location Project Title	Al Inint Intelligence Center			Upgrade C-130 Air	KC-135R Flight Si	Replace Communic		Replace C-130 Par	Upgrade Joint ANG	ID ASOS Beddown	Γ	KS Mutes Support Facility	ME Repair Joint ANG/FAA Airfield Pavements (Phase II)	MI Replace Joint Dining Facility (w/AFRC)	Replace Vehicle M			MS C-17 Assault Runway	Replace Medical T	Upgrade Communi	Munitions Load C	Γ	Γ	NY Upgrade Force Protection/Infrastructure Systems	Г	Γ	Γ			TX Replace Base Defense Training Center Complex	Γ		
Installation	Birminaham	Fort Smith	Little Rock AFB	Channel Island	March ARB	Sepulveda	Buckley AFB	New Castle	Des Moines	Boise	Capital	Smoky Hill	Bangor	Selfridge	WK Kellogg	Duluth	Lambert-St Louis	Camp Shelby	Gulfbort	Gulfbort	Great Falls	Charlotte	Hector	Hancock	Mansfield	Rickenbacker	Pittsburgh	Ougnset	Toe Foss Field	Fort Bliss	Richmond	Truax Field	Martinsburg

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

SECTION IV	
SECTION	

FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PLAN (FYDP) STATE/INSTALLATION LISTING

6,500 New 4,000 New 100 Moved from FY 06 4,700 New
6,500 4,000 5,900 4,700
55296F 55296F 55296F 55296F 55296F
135-007 900-000 171-450
223 CCS Site Preparation and Utilities Composite Support Complex Madical Training/Security Police
AZ Composite Sup
Tucson Group Vocamite
2

					:	,	Budget	Change from	
Comp	FY A	Appn Installation	n Location	n Project Title	racility Category	Frogram Element	S000	F 1 03 FB S000 Explanation of Changes	Footprint
					271 101	17003	000	4 000 N	Non
ANG	2008	3830 Great rails 3830 Montana	MT	Force Protection Complex Air to Ground Range Utility Support	179-481	55296F	4,000	4,000 New	New
ANG 2	2008 34	3830 Stanly Co	NC	Relocate Communications Complex	171-447	55296F	4,700	(3,500) Moved from FY 07. Scope Change	New
ANG 2	2007 38	3830 Pease	NH	Fire Station	130-142	55296F	6,100	1,650 Moved from FY 06. Scope Change	New
ll		1 1			210 014	370C33	000 %	Morial from EV (M	Fvieting
ANG	2006	3830 McGuire AFB	Z	Replace Base Civil Engineer Complex	719-944	33.290r	000,/	Moved Italii F I O4	EAISHIIB
ANG 2	2009	3830 Reno	N	Replace Vehicle Maintenance Facility	214-425	66296F	3,750	3,750 New	New
- 1	2007 38	3830 Reno-Tahoe	N	Replace Communications and Security Forces	730-835	55296F	9,000	600 Moved from FY 06. Scope Change	New
ANG	3008	3830 Hancock	ÀN	Munitions Complex	442-257	55296F	6.500	6.500 New	New
			λλ	Mobility Processing Center	141-786		2,300	Moved from FY 07	New
			ΝΥ	Replace Base Supply Complex	442-758		5,500	Moved from FY 07	New
ANG	2006 38	3830 Stewart	NX	Replace Fire Station	130-142	55296F	8,500	1,500 New	New
VNG	3000	1830 Blue Ach	HO	Replace Communications Training Complex	171-447	55796F	4 500	4 500 New	New
			5 5	Replace Troop Training Quarters	725-517	55296F	4.650		Existing
			HO	Replace Fire Station	130-142	55296F	6,200		New
			НО	Security Police/Weather Flight	131-111	55296F	6,200	New	New
ANG 2			Ю	Relocate Control Tower	149-962	55296F	8,000	8,000 New	New
			НО	Replace Logistics Complex	442-758	55296F	6,900	Moved from FY 06	Existing
ANG 2	2008 33	3830 Zanesville	НО	Replace Communications Complex	171-447	55296F	5,200	5,200 New	Existing
ANG 2	2009 3	3830 Will Rogers	OK	Replace Composite Aircraft Maintenance Complex	211-111	55296F	13,000	(12,000) Moved from FY 07. Scope Change	New
	- [-	ac	Minister English	730.000	5420KE	1 750	1 750 Now	New
ANG 2	2009	3830 Portland	8 8	Replace Dining Hall	722-351	55296F	7,200	7,200 New	New
						200	000	NO TATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	1
ANG	2006	3830 Fort Indiantown 3830 Willow Grove	PA PA	Replace Composite Support Complex Replace Support Complex	171-445	55296F	7,100	7,100 New	New
ANG 2	2009 3	3830 McEntire	SC	Replace Operations and Training Complex	171-445	55296F	10,200	Moved from FY 07	Existing
ANG 2	2008 3	3830 Joe Foss Field	SD	Replace Squadron Operations Facility	131-111	55296F	7,000	7,000 New	New
717.4	0000	2020 Machae Treas	Z	Eira Cratica and Canneitt Dorong Countlay	130-142	390055	008.9	800 Moved from FV 06 Scone Change	No.
		3830 Memnhis	ξ.	C-5 Conversion	211-157		31,293	31.293 New	New
			Z	C-5 Conversion	113-321		34,900	34,900 New	New
	2008 33	3830 Memphis	7	C-5 Conversion	211-111	54119F	11,776	11,776 New	New
ANG 2	2008 3	3080 Nashville	Z.	Aircraft Maintenance Complex	211-157	\$5296F	11,000	Moved from FY 05	Existing
ANG 2	2009	3830 Fort Worth JRB	TX	Composite Support Facilities	171-158	55296F	7,300	7,300 New	New
			ጟ	Upgrade Facilities	171-447		3,000	(2,000) Moved from FY 07. Scope Change	Existing
ANG 2	2009 33	3830 Lackland AFB	TX	Upgrade General Purpose Shops	211-152	55296F	4,000	4,000 New	New
		ļ	VA	Replace Troop Training Quarters	725-517	55296F	2,500	Moved from FY 07	Ncw
ANG 2	2009 3		VA	Replace Vehicle Maintenance Facility	214-425		3,500	3,500 New	New

	Footprint	New	New	Existing	New	New	New		New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	Ncw	New	Existing
Change from	FY03 PB Syplanation of Changes	5,400 New	7,500 New	Moved from FY 06	4,900 New	5,700 New	100 Moved from FY 07		5,800 New	33,000 New	23,000 New	6,600 New	4,150 New	36,000 New	23,000 New	15,526 New	17,500 New	3,284 New	(3,200) Scope Change
Budget	Amount \$000	5,400	7,500	9,200	4,900	5,700	4,500		5,800	33,000	23,000	009'9	4,150	36,000	23,000	15,526	17,500	3,284	7,400
	Program Element	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F	55296F		54119F	\$4119F	54119F	54119F	54119F	54119F	54119F	54119F	54119F	54119F	55296F
	Facility Category	141-786	219-944	442-758	211-154	130-142	141-753		149-962	113-321	211-179	141-753	171-212	211-111	211-159	111-111	932-000	932-000	171-447
	n Project Title	Deployment Training	RED HORSE/Medical Training	Replace Logistics Support Complex	Upgrade Aircraft Maintenance Complex	Add/Alter Fire Station	Replace Squadron Operations Facility		C-5 Relocate Control Tower	C-5 Parking Apron/Hydrant	C-5 Fuel Cell Hangar	C-5 Squadron Operations	C-5 Flight Simulator	C-5 Maintenance Hangar/Shops	C-5 Corrosion Control Hangar	C-5 Upgrade and Extend Runway	C-5 Conversion	C-5 Conversion	Aerial Port/Air Traffic Control Complex
	Location	VT	WA	WA	WI	WI	WI		WV	W	WV	WV	WV	WV	WV	WV	WV	WV	WY
	Installation	Burlington	Camp Murray	Fairchild AFB	General Mitchell	3830 Truax Field	Volk Field	The state of the s	Martinsburg	Martinsburg	Martinsburg	Martinsburg	Martinsburg	Martinsburg	Martinsburg	Martinsburg			3830 Cheyenne
	Appn	9 3830	8 3830	8 3830	9 3830		9 3830		5 3830	5 3830	5 3830	5 3830	6 3830	6 3830	7 3830	7 3830	8 3830	9 3830	
	p FY	3 2009	3 2008	3 2008	3 2009	3 2008	3 2009		3 2005	3 2005	3 2005	3 2005	3 2006	3 2006	3 2007	3 2007	3 2008	3 2009	3 2006
	Comp	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG		ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG	ANG