



Department of the Air Force

Military Construction and Family Housing Program

**Fiscal Year (FY) 2001
Budget Estimates**

**Justification Data Submitted to Congress
February 2000**

Table of Contents

**Table Of Contents
Fiscal Year (FY) 2001
President's Budget**

<u>General</u>	Page Number
Table of Contents	1
Program Summary	3
 <u>Military Construction</u>	
State Summary (List of Projects)	5
New Mission/Current Mission Exhibit.....	13
Installation Index	21
Special Program Considerations:	
Statements	23
Congressional Reporting Requirements	24
Research and Development	26
Third Party Financing	27
Appropriation Language	29
Inside the United States Construction Projects..	31
Outside the United States Construction Projects..	231
Unspecified Minor Construction	259
Planning and Design	261
Working Capital Funds Construction Projects.....	263

Program Summary

Department of the Air Force
Military Construction and Military Family Housing
Program Summary
Fiscal Year 2001

	Appropriation	Authorization
	Request	Request
	(\$000s)	(\$000s)
	<small>(Se-c 2301)</small>	<small>(Sec 2304)</small>
Military Construction		
Inside the United States	419,007	419,007
Outside the United States	47,875	47,875
Planning and Design (10 USC 2807)	54,237	-
Unspecified Minor Construction (10 USC 2805)	9,850	-
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Military Construction	\$ 530,969	\$ 466,882
Military Family Housing		
	<small>(Sec 2302/2303)</small>	<small>(Sec 2304)</small>
New Construction	36,677	36,677
Improvements	174,046	174,046
Planning and Design	12,760	12,760
Subtotal	\$ 223,483	\$ 223,483
Operations, Utilities and Maintenance	711,609	711,609
Leasing	114,628	114,628
Debt Payment	34	34
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Subtotal	\$ 826,271	\$ 826,271
Total Military Family Housing	1,049,754	1,049,754
Grand Total Air Force	\$ 1,580,723	\$ 1,516,636

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Military Construction

State Summary

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STATE SUMMARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

STATE/COUNTRY	TITLE	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
INSTALLATION				
INSIDE THE U.S.				
ALABAMA				
MAXWELL AFB	OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY	3,825	3,825	32
		<u>MAXWELL AFB Total</u>	<u>3,825</u>	<u>3,825</u>
		<u>ALABAMA Total</u>	<u>3,825</u>	<u>3,825</u>
ALASKA				
CAPE ROMANZOF	GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE	3,900	3,900	36
		<u>CAPE ROMANZOF Total</u>	<u>3,900</u>	<u>3,900</u>
EIELSON AFB	DORMITORY (120 Rooms)	14,540	14,540	40
	HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE	1,450	1,450	44
		<u>EIELSON AFB Total</u>	<u>15,990</u>	<u>15,990</u>
ELMENDORF AFB	DORMITORY (144 Rooms)	15,920	15,920	47
	UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX	11,600	11,600	51
		<u>ELMENDORF AFB Total</u>	<u>27,520</u>	<u>27,520</u>
		<u>ALASKA Total</u>	<u>47,414</u>	<u>47,414</u>
ARIZONA				
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	FITNESS CENTER	7,900	7,900	55
		<u>DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB Total</u>	<u>7,900</u>	<u>7,900</u>
		<u>ARIZONA Total</u>	<u>7,900</u>	<u>7,900</u>
ARKANSAS				
LITTLE ROCK AFB	C- 130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	7,960	7,960	59
	FITNESS CENTER	9,100	9,100	62
		<u>LITTLE ROCK AFB Total</u>	<u>17,060</u>	<u>17,060</u>
		<u>ARKANSAS Total</u>	<u>17,060</u>	<u>17,060</u>

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STATE SUMMARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY	TITLE	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
INSTALLATION				
CALIFORNIA				
BEALE AFB	WATER TREATMENT PLANT & DISTRIBUTION LINE	3,800	3,800	66
	<u>BEALE AFB Total</u>	<u>3,800</u>	<u>3,800</u>	
LOS ANGELES AFB	FITNESS CENTER	6,580	6,580	70
	<u>LOS ANGELES AFB Total</u>	<u>6,580</u>	<u>6,580</u>	
VANDENBERG AFB	UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	4,650	4,650	74
	<u>VANDENBERG AFB Total</u>	<u>4,650</u>	<u>4,650</u>	
	<u>CALIFORNIA Total</u>	<u>15,034</u>	<u>15,030</u>	
COLORADO				
BUCKLEY ANGB	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) POWER CONNECTION	2,750	2,750	70
	<u>BUCKLEY AGB Total</u>	<u>2,750</u>	<u>2,750</u>	
PETERSON AFB	DORMITORY (144 Rooms)	11,000	11,000	82
	OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	2,260	2,260	86
	<u>PETERSON AFB Total</u>	<u>13,260</u>	<u>13,260</u>	
SCHRIEVER AFB	ADD TO OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILIN	8,450	8,450	90
	<u>SCHRIEVER AFB Total</u>	<u>8,450</u>	<u>8,450</u>	
USAF ACADEMY	ADD TO ATHLETIC FACILIN	18,960	18,960	94
	<u>USAF ACADEMY Total</u>	<u>18,960</u>	<u>18,960</u>	
	<u>COLORADO Total</u>	<u>43,420</u>	<u>43,420</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STATE SUMMARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY	TITLE	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
INSTALLATION				
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
BOLLING AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,520	4,520	98
	<u>BOLLING AFB Total</u>	<u>4,520</u>	<u>4,520</u>	
	<u>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Total</u>	<u>4,520</u>	<u>4,520</u>	
FLORIDA				
EGLIN AFB	PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,340	3,340	102
	UPGRADE DORMITORY (72 Rooms)	5,600	5,600	106
	<u>EGLIN AFB Total</u>	<u>8,940</u>	<u>8,940</u>	
EGLIN AUX 9	DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	2,360	0	110
	UPGRADE ACCESS ROADS	5,600	5,600	114
	<u>EGLIN AUX 9 Total</u>	<u>7,960</u>	<u>5,600</u>	
PATRICK AFB	DEFENSE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE (DEOMI) FACILITY	12,970	12,970	118
	<u>PATRICK AFB Total</u>	<u>12,970</u>	<u>12,970</u>	
TYNDALL AFB	F-22 ADD/ALTER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	18,500	18,500	122
	F-22 OPERATIONS FACILITY	6,800	6,800	126
	<u>TYNDALL AFB Total</u>	<u>25,300</u>	<u>25,300</u>	
	<u>FLORIDA Total</u>	<u>55,170</u>	<u>52,810</u>	
GEORGIA				
FORT STEWART	AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY	4,920	4,920	130
	<u>FORT STEWART Total</u>	<u>4,920</u>	<u>4,920</u>	
MOODY AFB	WATER TREATMENT PLANT	2,500	2,500	134
	<u>MOODY AFB Total</u>	<u>2,500</u>	<u>2,500</u>	
	<u>GEORGIA Total</u>	<u>7,420</u>	<u>7,420</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STATE SUMMARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY	TITLE	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
INSTALLATION				
HAWAII				
HICKAM AFB	UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX	4,620	4,620	138
	HICKAM AFB Total	4,620	4,620	
	HAWAII Total	4,620	4,620	
IDAHO				
MT HOME AFB	ENHANCED TRAINING RANGE, IDAHO PHASE 3	10,125	10,125	141
	MT HOME AFB Total	10,125	10,125	
	IDAHO Total	10,125	10,125	
ILLINOIS				
SCOTT AFB	MUNITIONS STORAGE/LAND ACQUISITION	3,830	3,830	145
	SCOTT AFB Total	3,830	3,830	
	ILLINOIS Total	3,830	3,830	
LOUISIANA				
BARKSDALE AFB	DORMITORY (96 Rooms)	6,390	6,390	149
	BARKSDALE Total	6,390	6,390	
	LOUISIANA Total	6,390	6,390	
MISSISSIPPI				
KEESLER AFB	TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	15,040	15,040	153
	KEESLER AFB Total	15,040	15,040	
	MISSISSIPPI Total	15,040	15,040	
MISSOURI				
WHITEMAN AFB	B-2 CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	4,150	4,150	157
	B-2 MUNITIONS ASSEMBLY AREA	7,900	7,900	160
	WHITEMAN AFB Total	12,050	12,050	
	MISSOURI Total	12,050	12,050	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STATE SUMMARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY	TITLE	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
INSTALLATION				
MONTANA				
MALMSTROM AFB	MINUTEMAN III MISSILE SERVICE FACILITY	5,300	5,300	164
	<u>MALMSTROM AFB Total</u>	<u>5,300</u>	<u>5,300</u>	
	<u>MONTANA Total</u>	<u>5,300</u>	<u>5,300</u>	
NEW JERSEY				
MCGUIRE AFB	FITNESS CENTER	9,772	9,772	168
	<u>MCGUIRE AFB Total</u>	<u>9,772</u>	<u>9,772</u>	
	<u>NEW JERSEY Total</u>	<u>9,772</u>	<u>9,772</u>	
NORTH CAROLINA				
POPE AFB	DANGEROUS CARGO PADS	24,570	24,570	172
	<u>POPE AFB Total</u>	<u>24,570</u>	<u>24,570</u>	
	<u>NORTH CAROLINA Total</u>	<u>24,570</u>	<u>24,570</u>	
OHIO				
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB	REPLACE WEST RAMP, PHASE I	22,600	22,600	176
	<u>WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB Total</u>	<u>22,600</u>	<u>22,600</u>	
	<u>OHIO Total</u>	<u>22,600</u>	<u>22,600</u>	
OKLAHOMA				
TINKER AFB	DEPOT CORROSION CONTROL STRIP FACILITY WORKING CAPITAL FUND (WCF)	12,380	12,380	180 264
	DORMITORY (96 Rooms)	5,800	5,800	184
	<u>TINKER Total</u>	<u>18,180</u>	<u>18,180</u>	
	<u>OKLAHOMA Total</u>	<u>18,180</u>	<u>18,180</u>	
SOUTH CAROLINA				
CHARLESTON AFB	C- 17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	2,500	2,500	188
	<u>CHARLESTON AFB Total</u>	<u>2,500</u>	<u>2,500</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STATE SUMMARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY	TITLE	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
INSTALLATION				
SHAW AFB	USCENTAF OPERATIONAL WEATHER SQUADRON FACILITY	2,850	2,850	192
	<u>SHAW AFB Total</u>	<u>2,850</u>	<u>2,850</u>	
	<u>SOUTH CAROLINA Total</u>	<u>5,350</u>	<u>5,350</u>	
TEXAS				
DYESS AFB	REALISTIC BOMBER TRAINING INITIATIVE (RBTI)	12,175	12,175	196
	<u>DYESS AFB Total</u>	<u>12,175</u>	<u>12,175</u>	
LACKLAND AFB	DORMITORY (96 Rooms)	5,500	5,500	200
	<u>LACKLAND AFB Total</u>	<u>5,500</u>	<u>5,500</u>	
	<u>TEXAS Total</u>	<u>17,675</u>	<u>17,675</u>	
UTAH				
HILL AFB	C-130 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY WORKING CAPITAL FUND (WCF)	16,500	16,500	204 268
	<u>HILL AFB Total</u>	<u>16,504</u>	<u>16,500</u>	
	<u>UTAH Total</u>	<u>16,500</u>	<u>16,504</u>	
VIRGINIA				
LANGLEY AFB	DORMITORY (96 Room)	7,470	7,470	208
	<u>LANGLEY AFB Total</u>	<u>7,470</u>	<u>7,470</u>	
	<u>VIRGINIA Total</u>	<u>7,470</u>	<u>7,470</u>	
WASHINGTON				
MCCHORD AFB	C- 17 ADD/ALTER NOSE DOCKS	3,750	3,750	212
	C- 17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	6,500	6,500	217
	<u>MCCHORD AFB Total</u>	<u>10,250</u>	<u>10,250</u>	
	<u>WASHINGTON Total</u>	<u>10,254</u>	<u>10,250</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STATE SUMMARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	TITLE	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
WYOMING				
F E WARREN AFB	COMMAND & CONTROL SUPPORT FACILITY	10,200	10,200	220
	MINUTEMAN III MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX	15,520	15,520	224
	<u>F E WARREN AFB Total</u>	<u>25,720</u>	<u>25,720</u>	
	<u>WYOMING Total</u>	<u>25,720</u>	<u>25,720</u>	
CLASSIFIED LOCATION	SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	1,810	1,810	228
	<u>CLASSIFIED LOCATION Total</u>	<u>1,810</u>	<u>1,810</u>	
	<u>INSIDE THE U.S. Total</u>	<u>419,007</u>	<u>419,007</u>	
OUTSIDE THE U.S.				
INDIAN OCEAN				
DIEGO GARCIA	MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	5,475	5,475	232
	<u>DIEGO GARCIA Total</u>	<u>5,475</u>	<u>5,475</u>	
	<u>INDIAN OCEAN Total</u>	<u>5,475</u>	<u>5,475</u>	
ITALY				
AVIANO AB	DORMITORY (102 Rooms)	8,000	8,000	235
	<u>AVIANO AB Total</u>	<u>8,000</u>	<u>8,000</u>	
	<u>ITALY Total</u>	<u>8,000</u>	<u>8,000</u>	
KOREA				
KUNSAN AB	UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,400	6,400	239
	<u>KUNSAN AB Total</u>	<u>6,400</u>	<u>6,400</u>	
OSAN AB	DORMITORY (156 Room)	11,348	11,348	243
	UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	10,600	10,600	247
	<u>OSAN AB Total</u>	<u>21,948</u>	<u>21,948</u>	
	<u>KOREA Total</u>	<u>28,348</u>	<u>28,348</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
STATE SUMMARY
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	TITLE	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
SPAIN				
ROTA NAVAL STATION	ENHANCED ROTA, VARIOUS FACILITIES	5,052	5,052	251
	<u>ROTA NAVAL STATION Total</u>	<u>5,052</u>	<u>5,052</u>	
	<u>SPAIN Total</u>	<u>5,052</u>	<u>5,052</u>	
TURKEY				
INCIRLIK AB	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,000	1,000	256
	<u>INCIRLIK AB Total</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	
	<u>TURKEY Total</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	
	<u>OUTSIDE THE U.S. Total</u>	<u>47,875</u>	<u>47,875</u>	
WORLDWIDE				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,850	0	260
	PLANNING AND DESIGN	54,237	0	262
	<u>VARIOUS LOCATIONS Total</u>	<u>64,087</u>	0	
	<u>WORLDWIDE Total</u>	<u>64,087</u>	0	
	<u>FY2001 Total</u>	<u>530,969</u>	<u>464,522</u>	

New Mission/Current Mission

Definitions of New and Current Mission

New Mission Projects--New mission projects all support new and additional programs or initiatives that do not revitalize the existing physical plant. These projects support the deployment and beddown of new weapons systems; new or additional aircraft, missile and space projects; and new equipment, i.e., radar, communication, computer satellite tracking and electronic security. Planning and Design and Unspecified Minor Constructions are also included in this category.

Current Mission Projects--These projects revitalize the existing facility plant by replacing or upgrading existing facilities and alleviating long standing deficiencies not generated by new missions or equipment. Included are projects to improve the quality of life, upgrade the workplace, enhance productivity and achieve compliance with environmental, health and safety standards.

	Authorization Request <u>(\$000s)</u> (Sec 2304)	Appropriation Request <u>(\$000s)</u> (Sec 2301)
Military - on		
New Mission	104,362	104,362
Current Mission	362,520	362,520
Planning and Design	-	54,237
Unspecified Minor Construction		9,850
Total Military Construction	\$ 466,882	\$ 530,969

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
NEW MISSION, CURRENT MISSION, AND WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY			APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	TYPE
INSTALLATION					
INSIDE THE US					
ALABAMA					
	MAXWELL AFB	OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY	3,825	3,825	NM
			<u>MAXWELL AFB Total</u>	<u>3,825</u>	
			<u>ALABAMA Total</u>	<u>3,825</u>	
ALASKA					
	CAPE ROMANZOF	GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE	3,900	3,900	C M
			<u>CAPE ROMANZOF Total</u>	<u>3,900</u>	
	EIELSON AFB	DORMITORY (120 Rooms)	14,540	14,540	C M
		HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE	1,450	1,450	CM
			<u>EIELSON AFB Total</u>	<u>15,990</u>	
	ELMENDORF AFB	DORMITORY (144 Rooms)	15,920	15,920	CM
		UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX	11,600	11,600	C M
			<u>ELMENDORF AFB Total</u>	<u>27,520</u>	
			<u>ALASKA Total</u>	<u>47,410</u>	
ARIZONA					
	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	FITNESS CENTER	7,900	7,900	CM
			<u>DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB Total</u>	<u>7,900</u>	
			<u>ARIZONA Total</u>	<u>7,900</u>	
ARKANSAS					
	LITTLE ROCK AFB	C- 130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	7,960	7,960	C M
		FITNESS CENTER	9,100	9,100	C M
			<u>LITTLE ROCK AFB Total</u>	<u>17,064</u>	
			<u>ARKANSAS Total</u>	<u>17,060</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
NEW MISSION, CURRENT MISSION, AND WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY			APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	TYPE
INSTALLATION					
CALIFORNIA					
	BEALE AFB	WATER TREATMENT PLANT & DISTRIBUTION LINE	3,800	3,800	C M
		<u>BEALE AFB Total</u>	<u>3,800</u>	<u>3,800</u>	
	LOS ANGELES AFB	FITNESS CENTER	6,580	6,580	C M
		<u>LOS ANGELES AFB Total</u>	<u>6,580</u>	<u>6,580</u>	
	VANDENBERG AFB	UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	4,650	4,650	C M
		<u>VANDENBERG AFB Total</u>	<u>4,650</u>	<u>4,650</u>	
		<u>CALIFORNIA Total</u>	<u>15,030</u>	<u>15,030</u>	
COLORADO					
	BUCKLEY ANGB	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) POWER	2,750	2,750	NM
		<u>BUCKLEY ANGB Total</u>	<u>2,750</u>	<u>2,750</u>	
	PETERSON AFB	DORMITORY (144 Rooms) OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	11,000 2,260	11,000 2,260	C M C M
		<u>PETERSON AFB Total</u>	<u>13,260</u>	<u>13,260</u>	
	SCHRIEVER AFB	ADD TO OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	8,450	8,450	C M
		<u>SCHRIEVER AFB Total</u>	<u>8,450</u>	<u>8,450</u>	
	USAF ACADEMY	ADD TO ATHLETIC FACILITY	18,960	18,960	C M
		<u>USAF ACADEMY Total</u>	<u>18,960</u>	<u>18,964</u>	
		<u>COLORADO Total</u>	<u>43,424</u>	<u>43,420</u>	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
	BOLLING AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,520	4,520	C M
		<u>BOLLING AFB Total</u>	<u>4,520</u>	<u>4,520</u>	
		<u>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Total</u>	<u>4,520</u>	<u>4,520</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
NEW MISSION, CURRENT MISSION, AND WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY			APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	N P E
INSTALLATION					
FLORIDA					
EGLIN AFB	PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION MAINTENANCE FACILITY		3,340	3,340	CM
	UPGRADE DORMITORY (72 Rooms)		5,600	5,600	CM
	<u>EGLIN AFB Total</u>		8,940	8,940	
EGLIN AUX 9	DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS		2,360	0	CM
	UPGRADE ACCESS ROADS		5,600	5,600	CM
	<u>EGLIN AUX 9 Total</u>		7,960	5,600	
PATRICK AFB	DEFENSE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE (DEOMI) FACILITY		12,970	12,970	CM
	<u>PATRICK AFB Total</u>		12,970	12,970	
NNDALL AFB	F-22 ADD/ALTER MAINTENANCE FACILITY		18,500	18,500	NM
	F-22 OPERATIONS FACILITY		6,800	6,800	NM
	<u>TYNDALL AFB Total</u>		25,300	25,300	
	<u>FLORIDA Total</u>		55,170	52,810	
GEORGIA					
FORT STEWART	AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY		4,920	4,920	CM
	<u>FORT STEWART Total</u>		4,920	4,920	
MOODY AFB	WATER TREATMENT PLANT		2,500	2,500	CM
	<u>MOODY AFB Total</u>		2,500	2,500	
	<u>GEORGIA Total</u>		7,420	7,420	
HAWAII					
HICKAM AFB	UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX		4,620	4,620	CM
	<u>HICKAM AFB Total</u>		4,620	4,620	
	<u>HAWAII Total</u>		4,620	4,620	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
NEW MISSION, CURRENT MISSION, AND WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION		APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	TYPE
IDAHO	MT HOME AFB	ENHANCED TRAINING RANGE, IDAHO PHASE 3	10,125	10,125	NM
		<u>MT HOME AFB Total</u>	<u>10,125</u>	<u>10,125</u>	
		<u>IDAHO Total</u>	<u>10,125</u>	<u>10,125</u>	
ILLINOIS	SCOTT AFB	MUNITIONS STORAGE/LAND ACQUISITION	3,830	3,830	C M
		<u>SCOTT AFB Total</u>	<u>3,830</u>	<u>3,830</u>	
		<u>ILLINOIS Total</u>	<u>3,830</u>	<u>3,830</u>	
LOUISIANA	BARKSDALE AFB	DORMITORY (96 Rooms)	6,390	6,390	C M
		<u>BARKSDALE Total</u>	<u>6,390</u>	<u>6,390</u>	
		<u>LOUISIANA Total</u>	<u>6,390</u>	<u>6,390</u>	
MISSISSIPPI	KEESLER AFB	TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	15,040	15,040	C M
		<u>KEESLER AFB Total</u>	<u>15,040</u>	<u>15,040</u>	
		<u>MISSISSIPPI Total</u>	<u>15,040</u>	<u>15,040</u>	
MISSOURI	WHITEMAN AFB	B-2 CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	4,150	4,150	NM
		B-2 MUNITIONS ASSEMBLY AREA	7,900	7,900	NM
		<u>WHITEMAN AFB Total</u>	<u>12,050</u>	<u>12,050</u>	
		<u>MISSOURI Total</u>	<u>12,050</u>	<u>12,050</u>	
MONTANA	MALMSTROM AFB	MINUTEMAN III MISSILE SERVICE FACILITY	5,300	5,300	C M
		<u>MALMSTROM AFB Total</u>	<u>5,300</u>	<u>5,300</u>	
		<u>MONTANA Total</u>	<u>5,300</u>	<u>5,300</u>	
NEW JERSEY	MCGUIRE AFB	FITNESS CENTER	9,772	9,772	CM
		<u>MCGUIRE AFB Total</u>	<u>9,772</u>	<u>9,772</u>	
		<u>NEW JERSEY Total</u>	<u>9,772</u>	<u>9,772</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
NEW MISSION, CURRENT MISSION, AND WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY			APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	TYPE
INSTALLATION					
NORTH CAROLINA					
	POPE AFB	DANGEROUS CARGO PADS	24,570	24,570	CM
		<u>POPE AFB Total</u>	<u>24,570</u>	<u>24,570</u>	
		<u>NORTH CAROLINA Total</u>	<u>24,570</u>	<u>24,570</u>	
OHIO					
	WRIGHT- PATTERSON AFB	REPLACE WEST RAMP, PHASE I	22,600	22,600	CM
		<u>WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB Total</u>	<u>22,600</u>	<u>22,600</u>	
		<u>OHIO Total</u>	<u>22,600</u>	<u>22,600</u>	
OKLAHOMA					
	TINKER AFB	DEPOT CORROSION CONTROL STRIP FACILITY WORKING CAPITAL FUND (WCF)	12,380	12,380	CM
		DORMITORY (96 Rooms)	5,800	5,800	CM
		<u>TINKER Total</u>	<u>18,180</u>	<u>18,180</u>	
		<u>OKLAHOMA Total</u>	<u>18,180</u>	<u>18,180</u>	
SOUTH CAROLINA					
	CHARLESTON AFB	C- 17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	2,500	2,500	NM
		<u>CHARLESTON AFB Total</u>	<u>2,500</u>	<u>2,500</u>	
	SHAW AFB	USCENTAF OPERATIONS WEATHER SQUADRON FACILITY	2,850	2,850	NM
		<u>SHAW AFB Total</u>	<u>2,850</u>	<u>2,850</u>	
		<u>SOUTH CAROLINA Total</u>	<u>5,350</u>	<u>5,350</u>	
TEXAS					
	DYESS AFB	REALISTIC BOMBER TRAINING INITIATIVE (RBTI)	12,175	12,175	NM
		<u>DYESS AFB Total</u>	<u>12,175</u>	<u>12,175</u>	
	LACKLAND AFB	DORMITORY (96 Rooms)	5,500	5,500	CM
		<u>LACKLAND AFB Total</u>	<u>5,500</u>	<u>5,500</u>	
		<u>TEXAS Total</u>	<u>17,675</u>	<u>17,675</u>	

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
NEW MISSION, CURRENT MISSION, AND WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY			APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	TYPE	
INSTALLATION						
UTAH	HILL AFB	C-130 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY WORKING CAPITAL FUND (WCF)	16,500	16,500	CM	
		HILL AFB Total	<u>16,500</u>	<u>16,500</u>		
		UTAH Total	<u>16,500</u>	<u>16,500</u>		
VIRGINIA	LANGLEY AFB	DORMITORY (96 Rooms)	7,470	7,470	CM	
		LANGLEY AFB Total	<u>7,470</u>	<u>7,470</u>		
		VIRGINIA Total	<u>7,470</u>	<u>7,470</u>		
WASHINGTON	MCCHORD AFB	C- 17 ADD/ALTER NOSE DOCKS C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	3,750 6,500	3,750 6,500	NM NM	
		MCCHORD AFB Total	<u>10,250</u>	<u>10,250</u>		
		WASHINGTON Total	<u>10,250</u>	<u>10,250</u>		
WYOMING	F E WARREN AFB	COMMAND AND CONTROL SUPPORT FACILITY MINUTEMAN III MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX	10,200 15,520	10,200 15,520	NM CM	
		F E WARREN AFB Total	<u>25,720</u>	<u>25,720</u>		
		WYOMING Total	<u>25,720</u>	<u>25,720</u>		
INSIDE THE U.S.	CLASSIFIED LOCATION	SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	1,810	1,810	NM	
		CLASSIFIED LOCATION Total	<u>1,810</u>	<u>1,810</u>		
		INSIDE THE U.S. Total	<u>419,007</u>	<u>419,007</u>		
OUTSIDE THE U.S.	INDIAN OCEAN	DIEGO GARCIA	MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	5,475	5,475	NM
		DIEGO GARCIA Total	<u>5,475</u>	<u>5,475</u>		

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2001
NEW MISSION, CURRENT MISSION, AND WORLDWIDE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION		APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	TYPE
		<u>INDIAN OCEAN Total</u>	<u>5,475</u>	<u>5,475</u>	
ITALY	AVIANO AB	DORMITORY (102 Rooms)	8,000	8,000	CM
		<u>AVIANO AB Total</u>	<u>8,000</u>	<u>8,000</u>	
		<u>ITALY Total</u>	<u>8,000</u>	<u>8,000</u>	
KOREA	KUNSAN AB	UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,400	6,400	CM
		<u>KUNSAN AB Total</u>	<u>6,400</u>	<u>6,400</u>	
	OSAN AB	DORMITORY (156 Rooms)	11,348	11,348	CM
		UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	10,600	10,600	CM
		<u>OSAN AB Total</u>	<u>21,948</u>	<u>21,948</u>	
		<u>KOREA Total</u>	<u>28,348</u>	<u>28,348</u>	
SPAIN	ROTA NAVAL STATION	ENHANCED ROTA, VARIOUS FACILITIES	5,052	5,052	NM
		<u>ROTA NAVAL STATION Total</u>	<u>5,052</u>	<u>5,052</u>	
		<u>SPAIN Total</u>	<u>5,052</u>	<u>5,052</u>	
TURKEY	INCIRLIK AB	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,000	1,000	CM
		<u>INCIRLIK AB Total</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	
		<u>TURKEY Total</u>	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>	
		<u>OUTSIDE THE U.S. Total</u>	<u>47,875</u>	<u>47,875</u>	
WORLDWIDE	VARIOUS LOCATIONS	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,850	0	NM
		PLANNING AND DESIGN	54,237	0	NM
		<u>VARIOUS LOCATIONS Total</u>	<u>64,087</u>	Q	
		<u>WORLDWIDE Total</u>	<u>64,087</u>	Q	
		<u>FY200 1 Total</u>	<u>530,969</u>	<u>464,522</u>	

Installation Index

**Military Construction Program
FY 2001 President's Budget
Installation Index**

<u>Installation</u>	<u>Command</u>	<u>State/Country</u>	<u>Page</u>
Aviano AB	USAFE	Italy	235
Beale AFB	ACC	California	66
Bolling AFB	11 WG	District Of Columbia	98
Buckley ANGB	AFSPC	Colorado	78
Cape Romanzof	PACAF	Alaska	36
Classified	Various	Various	228
Davis-Monthan AFB	ACC	Arizona	55
Diego Garcia	PACAF	Indian Ocean	232
Dyess AFB	ACC	Texas	196
Eglin AFB	AFMC	Florida	102
Eglin #9	AFSOC	Florida	110
Eielson AFB	PACAF	Alaska	40
Elmendorf AFB	PACAF	Alaska	47
F E Warren AFB	AFSPC	Wyoming	220
Fort Stewart	ACC	Georgia	130
Hickam AFB	PACAF	Hawaii	138
Hill AFB	AFMC	Utah	204
Incirlik AB	USAFE	Turkey	255
Keesler AFB	AETC	Mississippi	153
Kunsan AB	PACAF	Korea	239
Lackland AFB	AETC	Texas	200
Langley AFB	ACC	Virginia	208
Little Rock AFB	AMC	Arkansas	59
Los Angeles AFB	AFMC	California	70
Maxwell AFB	PACAF	Alabama	32
McChord AFB	AMC	Washington	212
McGuire AFB	AMC	New Jersey	168

**Military Construction Program
FY 2001 President's Budget
Installation Index**

<u>Installation</u>	<u>Command</u>	<u>State/Country.</u>	<u>Page</u>
Moody AFB	ACC	Georgia	134
Mountain Home AFB	ACC	Idaho	141
Osan AB	PACAF	Korea	243
Patrick AFB	AFSPC	Florida	118
Peterson AFB	AFSPC	Colorado	82
Pope AFB	AMC	North Carolina	172
Schriever AFB	AFSPC	Colorado	90
Scott AFB	AMC	Illinois	145
Shaw AFB	ACC	South Carolina	192
Tinker AFB	AFMC	Oklahoma	180
Tyndall AFB	AETC	Florida	122
USAF Academy	USAFE	Colorado	94
Vandenberg AFB	AFSPC	California	74
Various Locations	Support	Worldwide	260
Whiteman AFB	ACC	Missouri	157
Wright-Patterson AFB	AFMC	Ohio	176

Special Program Considerations

**Department Of The Air Force
Military Construction Program
Fiscal Year 2001**

Economic Considerations

An economic evaluation has been accomplished for all projects costing over \$2 million and the results are addressed in the individual DD Forms 1391.

Design For Accessibility Of Physically Handicapped Personnel

In accordance with Public Law, 90-480, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

Environmental Statement

In accordance with Section 102(2) (c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process (EIAP) has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Air Force FY 2001 Military Construction Program.

Evaluation Of Flood Plains And Wetlands

All projects in the program have been evaluated for compliance with Executive Orders 11988, Flood Plain Management, and 11990, Protection of Wetlands, and the Flood Plain Management Guidelines of U.S. Water Resources Council. Projects have been sited to avoid or reduce the risk of flood loss, minimize the impact of floods on human safety, health and welfare, preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands and minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands.

Environmental Compliance

The FY 2001 MILCON request includes \$17.3 million for requirements necessary to correct current environmental noncompliance situations and to prevent future noncompliance. The environmental compliance target areas for this program include live fire training facilities, hazardous material storage facilities, water distribution systems, water treatment facilities, and generator fuel storage tanks.

FY 2001

Congressional Reporting Requirements

1. Statements On NATO Eligibility

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-200, page 14, and are included in the appropriate project justification.

2. Statements On Compliance With Construction Manual 4210.1m

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Conference Report, 100-498, page 1003, and are included in each project justification.

3. New And Current Mission Activities

The FY 1989 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-380, pages 10 and 11, identified a requirement to include an exhibit in the budget justification books that displayed required projects in two separate categories: New Mission and Current Mission. The CM (current mission) or NM (new mission) designation which follows the project on the listing at page 13 identifies each project as new or current mission. Additionally, each justification in Block 11 of the DD Form 1391 indicates whether the project supports a new or current mission.

4. Resolution Trust Corporation Assets

The FY 1991 Senate Armed Services Committee Report, 101-384, requested the Department to screen Resolution Trust Corporation assets to determine if proposed construction projects could be more economically met through the purchase of existing assets held by the Resolution Trust Corporation. The FY 2001 Military Construction program was compared to the current real estate asset inventory published by the Resolution Trust Corporation. It was determined, and the Department certified, that no assets exist that can be economically used in lieu of the FY 2001 projects requested.

5. Real Property Maintenance

The FY 1997 House Appropriations Committee Report, 104-591, page 11, requested the Department to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project. Each DD Form 1390 reflects this information in block 12. In addition, the report requested all troop housing requests to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past two years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation. Each DD Form 1391 for troop housing reflects this information in block 11.

6. Metric Conversion

The FY 1999 House Appropriation Committee Report, 105578, page 11, requested the Department to ensure that any DD Form 1390/1391, which is presented as justification in metric measurement, shall include parenthetically the English measurement. Each DD Form 1391 reflects the metric and English equivalent in block 11.

FY 2001

Non-Milton Funding

Research and Development (RDT&E)	None
---	-------------

FY 2001

Third Party Financing

Test of long-term facilities contracts

None

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appropriation Language

Appropriations Language

Military Construction, Air Force

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property of the Air Force as currently authorized by law \$530,969,000 to remain available until September 30, 2004: Provided that, of this amount, not to exceed \$54,237,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of Defense determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of his determination and the reasons therefore.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Inside the United States Construction Projects

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)									2. DATE	
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA						4. COMMAND	AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX
0.86											
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL	
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV		
a. As of 30 SEP 99	917	1564	1537	614	1		1092	46	112	5,883	
b. End FY 2005	913	1132	1533	723	1		1092	46	112	5,552	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:	(3,497)										
b. Inventory Total As Of:	(30 SEP 99)									7,797,193	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:										0	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:										3,825	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:	(FY 2002)									21,600	
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:										0	
g. Remaining Deficiency:										65,800	
h. Grand Total:										7,888,418	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 2001											
CATEGORY	CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN START	STATUS CMPL					
171-844	OTS	ACADEMIC FACILITY	2,700 SM	3,825	MAY 99	SEP 00					
				TOTAL:	3,825						
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2002)											
171-851	ADD TO AND ALTER	SQUADRON OFFICER SCHOOL (SOS) COLLEGE	7,870 SM	8,600							
724-417	SOS	DORMITORY	162 RM	13,000							
				TOTAL:	21,600						
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:											
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Air University; Air War College; Air Command and Staff College; Squadron Officer School; Officer Training School; College for Aerospace Doctrine, Research, and Education; AF Quality Institute; Ira C Eaker College for Professional Development; AF Doctrine Center; Air Force Historical Research Agency; Headquarters AF Reserve Officer Training Corps; Headquarters Civil Air Patrol; Community College of the Air Force; an Air base wing; an airlift flight with C-21 aircraft; and an Air Force Reserve airlift wing with one C-130 squadron.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:										25	
b. Water pollution:										0	
c. Occupational safety and health:										0	
d. Other Environmental:										0	
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										5,500	

1. COMPONENT		FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA			OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
8.47.22	171-844	PNQS023134	3,825		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY		SM	2,700	1,122	3,029
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					590
UTILITIES		LS			(225)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(175)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(190)
SUBTOTAL					3,619
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					3,619
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)					206
TOTAL REQUEST					3,825
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,825
10. Description of Proposed Construction: A two-story academic facility constructed with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame, masonry walls, sloped architecturally compatible roof, fire protection, utilities and necessary support. Building will include 14 seminar instruction rooms, command and academic offices, student processing and support areas. Air Conditioning: 200 KW.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 8,440 SM ADEQUATE: 5,740 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct an officer training school (OTS) academic facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: This facility is required to conduct officer training in accordance with OTS curriculum and to produce the required number of trained officers. OTS's primary mission is Basic Officer Training (BOT) and Commissioned Officer Training (COT). BOT trains cadet officer candidates for commissions as line officers. The COT program trains newly commissioned lawyers, chaplains, medical professionals and other non-line officers. CURRENT SITUATION: OTS currently utilizes facilities at two locations, separated by over 10 miles, to conduct academic activities. OTS uses a portion of the Squadron Officer School (SOS) academic facility and a portion of the Senior NCO Academy (SNCOA) academic facility at the Gunter Annex. The SOS and SNCOA facilities are not large enough to support the increase in OTS production. A controlled environment is necessary to effectively train OTS cadets. This is achieved by limiting inappropriate external influences that undermine training. OTS students commingle with SOS and SNCOA students and as a result, the controlled environment is					

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY	PNQS023134	
<p>compromised. Additionally, the loss of key facilities by both SOS and the SNCOA affects their respective curriculum and flexibility to respond to their increasing requirements.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> OTS will not have sufficient academic space resulting in a potential shortfall of qualified Air Force officers. OTS will continue to operate in an inefficient manner, affecting quality of training and the other schools' training mission.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options (renovation, leasing, new construction) for accomplishing this project indicates that only new construction will satisfy operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Wilfred Cassidy, (334) 953-6945.</p> <p>Academic Addition: 2,700SM = 29,052 SF</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY		PNQS023134
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		Design, Bid, Build
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		99 MAY 10
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
* (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000		15%
* (d) Date 35% Designed.		99 DEC 30
(e) Date Design Complete		00 SEP 15
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed		Y
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		229
(b) All Other Design Costs		115
(c) Total		344
(d) Contract		287
(e) In-house		57
(3a) Construction Contract Award Date		00 DEC
(4) Construction Start		01 JAN
(5) Construction Completion		02 MAY
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)									2. DATE
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	CAPE ROMANZOF LONG RANGE RADAR SITE, ALASKA			4. COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			2.42
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. As of 30 SEP 99										
b. End FY 2005										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
a. Total Acreage:	(4,900)									
b. Inventory Total As Of:	(30 SEP 99)									1,607,578
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:										6
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:										3,900
e. Authorization Included In Following Program:	(FY 2002)									0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:										0
g. Remaining Deficiency:										672
h. Grand Total:										1,612,156
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 2001										
CATEGORY										
CODE	PROJECT TITLE				SCOPE	COST (\$000)	DESIGN STATUS			
							START	CMPL		
411-134	GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE				1,160 KL	3,900	JAN 99	AUG 00		
TOTAL:						3,900				
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2002) NONE										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:										
10. Mission or Major Functions: A remote early warning radar site equipped with an AN/FPS-117 Minimally Attended Radar system.										
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:										
a. Air pollution:										0
b. Water pollution:										0
c. Occupational safety and health:										0
d. Other Environmental:										0
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										62

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
CAPE ROMANZOF LONG-RANGE RADAR SITE, ALASKA		GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)	
2.74.56	411-134	DBWT017002	3,900	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE	LS			2,900
DIESEL FUEL STORAGE	KL	1,160	2,475	(2,871)
NEW PIPELINES	LM	244	119	(29)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				750
UTILITIES	LS			(350)
DEMOLITION/DISPOSAL	LS			(175)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(125)
SOIL REMEDIATION	LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL				3,650
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,650
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				<u>237</u>
TOTAL REQUEST				3,887
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install eight 145KL diesel fuel storage tanks with new double-wall pipes. Clean, dismantle, and remove one 2,275KL storage tank and 244LM of existing pipes. Includes all necessary support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,160 KL ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,275 KL PROJECT: Construct generator fuel storage. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. Adequate storage tanks must have leak detection, cathodic protection, liner, overflow protection, and secondary containment. Total fuel quantity must be adequate for an entire year of operation without resupply. CURRENT SITUATION: Cape Romanzof is a remote radar site and a key part of the North Atlantic Air Defense Command (NORAD) air defense network. All electrical power for the site is produced by diesel generators. Fuel can only be brought in by barge from May to September. The single existing storage tank was constructed in 1952, and does not have leak detection, cathodic protection, liner, nor overflow protection. The tank is beyond its useful life and does not comply with Alaska regulations. Should the single-tank fuel system fail, all power to the site will be lost. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Air Force will continue to be exposed to sanctions by state regulators. The potential failure of the single-tank fuel supply system risks a costly resupply by air, or evacuation of the site, with loss of radar coverage. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria of Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All reasonable alternatives were considered in development of this project. Only one option meets the operational and regulatory requirements. Therefore, a full economic				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE ROMANZOF LONG-RANGE RADAR SITE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE	5. PROJECT NUMBER DBWT017002	
<p>analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Lillemon, (907) 552-2217. Diesel Storage: 1160 KL = 306,000 GAL; New Pipelines: 244 LM = 800 LF.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CAPE ROMANZOF LONG-RANGE RADAR SITE, ALASKA																												
4. PROJECT TITLE GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE	5. PROJECT NUMBER DBWT017002																											
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data: Design, Bid, Build</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">99 JAN 29</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Y</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">*(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">15%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">*(d) Date 35% Designed.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">99 DEC 30</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">00 AUG 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Y</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">246</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">123</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">369</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">332</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td style="text-align: right;">37</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3a) Construction Contract Award Date 00 DEC</p> <p>(4) Construction Start 01 MAY</p> <p>(5) Construction Completion 02 AUG</p> <p>* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	99 JAN 29	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y	*(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000	15%	*(d) Date 35% Designed.	99 DEC 30	(e) Date Design Complete	00 AUG 15	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	Y	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	246	(b) All Other Design Costs	123	(c) Total	369	(d) Contract	332	(e) In-house	37
(a) Date Design Started	99 JAN 29																											
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y																											
*(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000	15%																											
*(d) Date 35% Designed.	99 DEC 30																											
(e) Date Design Complete	00 AUG 15																											
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	Y																											
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																											
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A																											
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	246																											
(b) All Other Design Costs	123																											
(c) Total	369																											
(d) Contract	332																											
(e) In-house	37																											

1. COMPONENT										2. DATE		
AIR FORCE										FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION					4. COMMAND					5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA					PACIFIC AIR FORCES					1.74		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH			PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL		
a. As of 30 SEP 99	259	2772	656				54	113	574	4,428		
b. End FY 2005	261	2809	658				54	113	574	4,469		
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)												
a. Total Acreage: (19,790)												
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 99) 6,302,436												
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0												
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 15,990												
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2002) 5,500												
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 75,055												
g. Remaining Deficiency: 280,181												
h. Grand Total: 6,679,162												
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 2001												
CATEGORY				COST				DESIGN STATUS				
CODE	PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE	(\$000)	START	CPL					
442-257	HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE			450 SM	1,450	JAN 99	AUG 00					
721-312	DORMITORY			120 RM	14,540	JAN 99	AUG 00					
TOTAL:					15,990							
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2002)												
214-426	HEATED MUNITIONS VEHICLE STORAGE FACILITY			1,150 SM	3,000							
215-582	MUNITIONS SURVEILLANCE AND INSPECTION FACILITY			488 SM	2,500							
TOTAL:					5,500							
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:												
141-786	JOINT MOBILITY COMPLEX			4,650 SM	17,184							
721-312	DORMITORY			120 RM	16,100							
721-315	VISITING AIRMAN QUARTERS			300 RM	31,871							
890-185	REPAIR ARCTIC UTILIDORS, PHASE 1			3,698 LM	9,900							
10. Mission or Major Functions: The host fighter wing supports an F-16 squadron, an A/OA-10 squadron, and a training squadron which conducts COPE THUNDER exercises. The installation also hosts an Air National Guard air refueling squadron (KC-135) and a training squadron.												
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:												
a. Air pollution:										0		
b. Water pollution:										0		
c. Occupational safety and health:										0		
d. Other Environmental:										0		
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										33,497		

1. COMPONENT		FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			DORMITORY (120 RM)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
2.75.96	721-312	FTQW033012	14,540		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
DORMITORY (120 RM)		SM	3,960	2,796	11,072
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					2,583
UTILITIES		LS			(600)
ARCTIC UTILIDOR		LM	110	3,300	(363)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(720)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(600)
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION		LS			(300)
SUBTOTAL					13,655
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					13,655
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					888
TOTAL REQUEST					14,543
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					14,540
10. Description of Proposed Construction: A three-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls and roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-room modules, laundries, storage, and lounge areas. Site improvements, parking, roadway, arctic utilidor, contaminated soil remediation, and all supporting facilities. Air Conditioning: None Grade Mix: 120 E1-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 723 RM ADEQUATE: 402 RM SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to proper rest, relaxation, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. This project is in accordance with the Air Force Dormitory Master Plan. CURRENT SITUATION: As verified by the Air Force Dormitory Master Plan, the base has insufficient dormitory facilities to house all assigned unaccompanied personnel required to live on-base per Air Force policy. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Low morale will contribute to retention difficulties for the Air Force. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new uniform barracks construction standards known as "one-plus-one" established by OSD. All known alternative options were considered during the development of the project. No other options meet the mission					

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA

4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DORMITORY (120 RM)	FTQW033012

requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. FY1998 Unaccompanied Housing RPM conducted: \$1,624K. FY1999 Unaccompanied Housing RPM conducted: \$167K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM requirements (estimated): FY00 \$3,130K; FY01 \$4,900K; FY02 \$500K; FY03 \$455K. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Zachmeier, 907-377-5213. DORMITORY 3,960 SM = 42,610 SF.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	DORMITORY (120 RM)	5. PROJECT NUMBER FTQW033012
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		Design, Bid, Build
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		99 JAN 29
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
* (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000		15%
* (d) Date 35% Designed.		99 DEC 30
(e) Date Design Complete		00 AUG 15
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed		Y
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		585
(b) All Other Design Costs		287
(c) Total		872
(d) Contract		858
(e) In-house		14
(3a) Construction Contract Award Date		00 DEC
(4) Construction Start		01 JAN
(5) Construction Completion		03 JAN
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)									2. DATE	
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION					4. COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA					PACIFIC AIR FORCES			1.74			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 99		259	2772	656				54	113	574	4,428
b. End FY 2005		261	2809	658				54	113	574	4,469
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (19,790)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 99)											6,302,436
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:											0
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:											15,990
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2002)											5,500
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:											75,055
g. Remaining Deficiency:											280,181
h. Grand Total:											6,679,162
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 2001											
CATEGORY						COST		DESIGN		STATUS	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE				SCOPE	(\$000)	START	CMPL			
442-257	HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE				450 SM	1,450	JAN 99	AUG 00			
721-312	DORMITORY				120 RM	14,540	JAN 99	AUG 00			
TOTAL:						15,990					
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2002)											
214-426	HEATED MUNITIONS VEHICLE STORAGE FACILITY				1,150 SM	3,000					
215-582	MUNITIONS SURVEILLANCE AND INSPECTION FACILITY				488 SM	2,500					
TOTAL:						5,500					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:											
141-786	JOINT MOBILITY COMPLEX				4,650 SM	17,184					
721-312	DORMITORY				120 RM	16,100					
721-315	VISITING AIRMAN QUARTERS				300 RM	31,871					
890-185	REPAIR ARCTIC UTILIDORS, PHASE 1				3,698 LM	9,900					
10. Mission or Major Functions: The host fighter wing supports an F-16 squadron, an A/OA-10 squadron, and a training squadron which conducts COPE THUNDER exercises. The installation also hosts an Air National Guard air refueling squadron (KC-135) and a training squadron.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution:											0
b. Water pollution:											0
c. Occupational safety and health:											0
d. Other Environmental:											0
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation											33,497

1. COMPONENT		FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.74.56	442-257	FTQW973011	1,450		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE		SM	450	2,000	900
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					474
UTILITIES/ARCTIC UTILIDOR		LS			(120)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(40)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(124)
CONTAMINATED SOIL REMEDIATION		LS			(190)
SUBTOTAL					1,374
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,374
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					89
TOTAL REQUEST					1,463
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,450
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete floor slab, masonry walls, structural steel-framed, pitched roof. Includes interior and exterior utilities, fire protection system, communications, HAZMAT monitoring, spill containment, alarm systems, pavements, and all necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 450 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 450 SM					
PROJECT: Construct a hazardous material storage facility. (Current Mission)					
REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. An adequately sized hazardous waste storage facility is necessary to support the base mission and comply with federal regulations.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility is in violation of federal regulations because it lacks the dikes and separation walls required to segregate hazardous waste, and has no fire alarm system. Continuous workarounds are required to store hazardous materials. The existing facility is operating at 250% of rated capacity.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will be in violation of federal regulations leading to possible fines of up to \$25,000 per-day per-violation. Noncompliance is a threat to the health and safety of personnel working in and around the existing facility.					
ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Zachmeier. 907-377-5213. Hazardous Material Storage: 450 SM = 4815 SF.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE		FTQW973011
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		Design, Bid, Build
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		99 JAN 29
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
* (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000		15%
* (d) Date 35% Designed.		99 DEC 30
(e) Date Design Complete		00 AUG 15
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed		Y
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		93
(b) All Other Design Costs		46
(c) Total		139
(d) Contract		125
(e) In-house		14
(3a) Construction Contract Award Date		00 DEC
(4) Construction Start		01 JAN
(5) Construction Completion		02 OCT
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope and cost and executability.		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (computer generated)							2. DATE		
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION					4. COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA					PACIFIC AIR FORCES			1.50			
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 99		819	6105	816				157	405	123	10,425
b. End FY 2005		822	6151	805				157	405	123	10,463
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage: (13,122)											
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 99) 2,775,140											
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0											
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 27,520											
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2002) 27,500											
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 42,400											
g. Remaining Deficiency: 239,912											
h. Grand Total: 3,112,472											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 2001											
CATEGORY											
CODE		PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE		COST (\$000)		DESIGN START		STATUS Cmpl
211-111		UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX			8,500 SM		11,600		JAN 99		AUG 00
721-312		DORMITORY			144 RM		15,920		TURNKEY		TURNKEY
TOTAL: 27,520											
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2002)											
721-312		DORMITORY			180 RM		20,200				
740-884		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER			2,512 SM		7,300				
TOTAL: 27,500											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:											
610-285		REPAIR HEADQUARTERS BUILDING			11,767 SM		10,000				
721-312		DORMITORY			180 RM		21,100				
740-674		ADD TO AND ALTER FITNESS CENTER			4,450 SM		11,300				
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Alaska Command; Headquarters Eleventh Air Force. The host wing supports three fighter squadrons including two F-15C/D squadrons, one F-15E squadron, one E3 airborne warning and control squadron and an airlift squadron with C-130H and C-12 aircraft.											
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:											
a. Air pollution: 0											
b. Water pollution: 0											
c. Occupational safety and health: 1,200											
d. Other Environmental: 0											
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation 43,355											

1. COMPONENT		FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA			DORMITORY (144 RM)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
2.75.96	721-312	FXSB013005	15,920		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
DORMITORY (144 RM)		SM	5,040	2,372	11,955
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					2,990
UTILITIES		LS			(790)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(900)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(1,000)
CONTAMINATED SOIL REMEDIATION		LS			(300)
SUBTOTAL					14,945
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					14,945
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					971
TOTAL REQUEST					15,916
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					15,920
10. Description of Proposed Construction: A three-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls and roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-room modules, laundries, storage and lounge area and all supporting facilities. Grade Mix: 144 E1-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,455 RM ADEQUATE: 938 RM SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. This project is in accordance with the Air Force Dormitory Master Plan. CURRENT SITUATION: As verified by the Air Force Dormitory Master Plan, the base has insufficient facilities to adequately accommodate permanent party unaccompanied enlisted personnel required to live on-base per Air Force policy. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Low morale will contribute to retention difficulties for the Air Force. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope in the new uniform barracks construction standard, known as "one plus one," established by OSD. All known alternatives were considered during development of this project. No other option could meet mission requirements, therefore no					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE DORMITORY (144 RM).	5. PROJECT NUMBER FXSB013005	
<p>economic analysis was performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. FY 1998 Unaccompanied Housing RPM conducted: \$2,868K. FY 1999 Unaccompanied Housing RPM conducted: \$2,160K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM requirements (estimated): FY00: \$2,995K; FY01: \$3,062K; FY02: \$3,129K; FY03: \$3,197K. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col. Showers, (907) 552-3007. Dormitory: 5,040 SM = 54,000 SF.</p>		

1. COMPONENT	FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
DORMITORY (144 RM)	FXSB013005	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		ELMENDOR
(3) Design Allowance		
(3a) Construction Contract Award Date		796
(4) Construction Start		00 DEC 01 JAN
(5) Construction Completion		03 JAN
(6) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed		Y
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		